## 🕈 Home 🧼 Cables mirror and Afghan War Diary 🔿 Privacy

<b>Identifier</b> 09KYIV1433	<b>Created</b> 2009-08-20 15:16:00	Classification CONFIDENTIAL	<b>Origin</b> Embassy Kyiv
Cable title: UKRAIN	E - RUSSIA: RELATIVE CALM	PREVAILS	
ags: PREL UP RU	J		how-to read a cable
how metadata			
ONFIDENTIAL SECT	ION 01 OF 02 KYIV 001433		
IPDIS			
. O. 12958: <u>DECL</u> : 08/20/20 GGS: <u>PREL UP RU</u> SUBJECT: UKRAINE - RUSSIA	019 A: RELATIVE CALM PREVAILS		
REF: KYIV 1322			
iummary			
complaints conveyed in an President Medvedev (ref) ha Jkraine. Prime Minister Yul response was also measured strongly defending Ukraine' pilateral contacts have quid neeting of the Acting Minis counterpart on August 18 an August 19 to discuss econor	Yushchenko's calm response to August 10 letter from Russian as been met by general approval in iya Tymoshenko's eventual d in tone, and echoed Yushchenko in 's sovereign rights. Since then, etly continued, including a ster of Defense with his Russian nd a Tymoshenko-Putin phone call on mic cooperation and a possible n Poland, at the invitation of k. End Summary.		
/ushchenko's Reply			
conveyed in a written respond on August 13. His calm, read Jkraine's sovereign right to vell as its own internal and chastised Medvedev as unco decision not to send an Aml eaction to Yushchenko's let atisfaction with the tone to	emotional diatribe from ited by his eventual reaction, onse to Medvedev three days later, isonable response underscored o determine its own alliances, as d foreign policies. The letter onstructive, particularly in his bassador to Kyiv. Ukrainian tter has ranged from overall to mild dissatisfaction with its uggestions on how relations could		
īymoshenko			
Medvedev's incoming letter rom Yushchenko (ref), Prin eleased on August 14, echo plame the President for pro perself from his policies, Ty Prime Minister, also tries to relations. In strong terms, s	rainian politicians reacted to by quickly distancing themselves ne Minister Tymoshenko's statement, oed Yushchenko's. Rather than oblems with Russia or distancing ymoshenko noted that she, as contribute to strong bilateral she defended Ukraine's right to ternal interference, define its		$\lor$

a dig at Medvedev's unwillingness to work with Ukraine's current leadership: "Any pause in the development of cooperation between Ukraine and Russia is unacceptable."

Lytvyn the Conciliator?

4. (C) Presidential candidates Yanukovich -- widely perceived to have done himself some discredit by a hasty embrace of the Medvedev letter -- and Yatsenyuk have not commented further after their initial criticism of the current bad state of relations. By contrast, Rada Speaker Lytvyn has continued to press forward with his style of parliamentary diplomacy, pushing hard for a meeting of the Ukraine-Russia Inter-Parliamentary Commission in early September.

5. (C) In an interview with Ekho Moskvy radio on August 18, Lytvyn took pains to reach out to the Russian audience, agreeing that relations cannot improve if foreign policy is determined by the President of Ukraine (note: according to the Ukrainian constitution, this is the case). Lytvyn stated that Ukraine should not focus on "controversial moments" in its shared history with Russia, that Ukraine should remain in the CIS, that Russia has a special leadership role in the CIS, that the new law authorizing the Russian president to use force to protect Russian citizens abroad may not be "a priori" aggressive, that arms sales to Georgia should be reexamined, and that he does not see direct Russian influence in Ukrainian electoral politics.

Public Opinion

-----

6. (C) Ukrainian think tank Razumkov Center conducted a telephone poll of at least 600 people each in the cities of Kyiv, Lviv, Mykolaiv, Donetsk, and Simferopol between September 12 and 16. Respondents were asked whether they were aware of Medvedev's address to Yushchenko, whether they supported Medvedev's claims, what they thought of Medvedev's decision to delay the dispatch of a new ambassador to Kyiv, whether Medvedev was disrespectful to Yushchenko or to Ukraine on the whole (or both), whether Medvedev's motive was to interfere with elections, and whether Russia currently represents a threat to Ukraine.

7. (C) Results of the polling revealed a predictable geographic split in people's views, with most in the West and in Kyiv reacting negatively to the letter and ascribing

KYIV 00001433 002 OF 002

negative motives to Medvedev. With regard to the potential threat posed to Ukraine by Russia, most respondents did not perceive a threat (with the exception of Lviv, where the split was slightly skewed toward seeing a threat). Most interesting of all, however, was the high percentage or respondents who said they were unaware of Medvedev's letter in the first place.

Comment

-----

8. (C) The letter from Medvedev seems to have been perceived by many Ukrainians as an emotional statement of well-known differences. Ukrainians by and large do not seem to view Russia as an existential threat. While many were not pleased with the emotional tone taken in Medvedev's message, Ukrainians may be currently more absorbed with Ukraine's own internal problems and the upcoming elections than with problems in the bilateral relationship with Russia. Tymoshenko's balanced response to Medvedev is likely to play well both with her traditional electoral base in the West, and with potential voters in the East, who look forward to a new pragmatic approach that would do less to irritate Moscow. PETTIT

Website pages 🗸 🗸