

# It's Official: US Abandoning Ukraine



KIT KLARENBERG

JAN 22, 2025



425



177



85

SI

*All my investigations are free to access, thanks to the generosity of my readers. Independent journalism nonetheless requires investment, so if you took value from this article or any others, please consider sharing, or even becoming a subscriber. Your support is always gratefully received, and will never be forgotten. To buy me a coffee or two, please click this link.*

On January 19th, TIME magazine published an **astonishing article**, amply confirming what dissident, anti-war academics, activists, journalists and researchers have argued for a decade. The US *always* intended to abandon Ukraine after setting up the country for proxy war with Russia, and never *any* desire or intention to assist Kiev in defeating Moscow in the conflict, let alone achieving its maximalist aims of regaining Crimea and restoring the country's 1991 borders. To have a major mainstream outlet finally corroborate this indubitable reality is a seismic development.

The TIME article's brief first paragraph alone is rife with explosive revelations. It notes when the proxy war erupted in February 2022, then-President Joe Biden "set three objectives for the US response" - and "Ukraine's victory was never among them." Moreover, the phrase **oft-repeated** by White House apparatchiks, that Washington would support Kiev "for as long as it takes", was never meant to be taken literally. Instead, it was just "intentionally vague **newspeak**, with no implied timeframe or even desired outcome in mind.

Eric Green, a member of Biden's National Security Council who oversaw Russia policy, states the US "deliberately...made no promise" to President

Volodymyr Zelensky to “recover all of the land Russia had occupied” since conflict’s inception, “and certainly not” Crimea or the **breakaway** Donetsk Lugansk People’s Republics. He said the White House believed “doing so w beyond Ukraine’s ability, even with robust help from the West.” It was well understood such efforts were “not going to be a success story ultimately” Kiev, if tried.



Triumphant Donetsk People’s Militia’s “Somalia” Battalion leaving Mariupol post-victory, April 2022

According to *TIME*, the Biden administration’s three key objectives in Ukraine were all “achieved”. Nonetheless, “success” on these fronts “provides little satisfaction” to some of the former President’s “closest allies and advisers.” Green was quoted as saying Washington’s purported victory in Ukraine was “unfortunately the kind of success where you don’t feel great about it,” due to Kiev’s “suffering”, and “so much uncertainty about where it’s ultimately going to land.”

## ‘Direct Conflict’

One objective was “avoiding direct conflict between Russia and NATO.” Miraculously, despite the US and its allies consistently crossing Moscow’s clearly stated red lines on assistance to Kiev, providing Ukraine with weaponry and other support Biden himself explicitly and vehemently ruled out in **March 2022**, on the grounds it could cause World War III, and greenlighting hazardously escalatory strikes **deep inside** Russian territory far all-out hot war has failed to materialise. On this front perhaps, the former President can be said to have triumphed.

However, another “was for Ukraine to survive as a sovereign, democratic country free to pursue integration with the West.” This prospect dwindles daily, as the proxy war’s frontline teeters constantly on **total collapse**. Kiev facing an eventual and seemingly inevitable rout of some magnitude, with conflict likely settled solely on Russia’s terms, and Zelensky - or whoever replaces him - having no negotiating position to speak of. In December 2024 Empire house journal *Foreign Policy* even **openly advocated** cutting Kiev out of eventual peace talks.

Biden also “wanted the US and its allies to remain united.” It is this objective that most obviously failed, and quite spectacularly. As this journalist has repeatedly documented, British intelligence has consistently sought to escalate the proxy conflict into all-out war between the West and Russia, and encouraged Kiev in its maximalist aims, to the extent of covertly plotting grand operations for the purpose, and training Ukrainians to execute them. London’s overriding ambition, per leaked documents, is “to keep Ukraine fighting at all costs.”

The Western media **has acknowledged** Ukraine’s **calamitous** August 2024 invasion of Russia’s Kursk region was to all intents and purposes a British operation. London provided a vast welter of equipment to Kiev “central” to the effort, and “closely” advised their Ukrainian counterparts on strategy. The purpose was to draw Russian forces away from Donbass and boost Kiev’s bargaining

position, which has proven a **staggering embarrassment** on both fronts. But there was a wider, more insidious goal behind the incursion.

### British Challenger Tanks 'Storm' Into Russia; NATO Nation Joins Ukraine's Kurs



Britain openly and eagerly advertised its fundamental role in the Kursk misadventure to bolster public support at home for continuing the proxy war and “persuade key allies to do more to help.” In other words, to normalise open Western involvement, and create the “direct conflict” the Biden administration was so keen to avoid. London **was also** at the forefront of pressuring NATO member states to permit Ukraine to use foreign-supplied weaponry and materiel inside Russia, which could likewise produce their long-sought hot war against Moscow.

Several Western countries – including the US – have offered such authorisation. Yet, Russia has **consistently responded** to strikes deep inside its territory with heavy duty counterattacks, which Kiev has been unable to repel. Meanwhile, London’s invitation to its allies to become more overtly involved in the proxy war was evidently rebuffed. In November 2024 too, the government outlet *Ukrainska Pravda* published a **startling investigation**,

documenting in forensic detail how the October 2023 – June 2024 Krynky operation was, à la Kursk, essentially British.

Never spoken of by Ukrainian officials today, the nine-month effort saw waves of British-trained and equipped marines attempt to secure a beachhead in a river-adjacent village in Russian-controlled Kherson. Poorly prepared, many died attempting to reach Krynky, due to relentless artillery, drone, flamethrower and mortar fire. Of those that survived the nightmarish journey, most were then killed under a constant and ever-intensifying blitz of harsh conditions. Russia's onslaught grew so inexorable, evacuating casualties or providing forces with even basic supplies became borderline impossible.

#### Ukrainian Marines SACRIFICED in Pointless Propaganda Stunt



Survivors of the Krynky catastrophe – one of the absolute worst in military history – who spoke to *Ukrainska Pravda* revealed it was hoped the beachhead would be a “game-changer”, opening a second front in the conflict, allowing Kiev's invading marines to march upon Crimea and all-out victory in the war. They hoped to recreate the June 1944 Normandy landings – D-Day. It

too easy to envisage British intelligence filling the heads of their Ukrainian trainees with such fantasies.

## ‘Settle Up’

Fast forward to today, and Britain and France are **openly discussing** sending “peacekeepers” to Ukraine, to “help underpin” whatever “post-war settlement” emerges between Kiev and Moscow. This is after in February 2024, French President Emmanuel Macron suggested **formally deploying** his country’s forces to Ukraine to halt Moscow’s advance. The proposal was summarily dropped and forgotten when Russian officials made **abundantly clear** each and every French soldier dispatched to the frontline would be killed without hesitation, and Paris could become a formal belligerent in the war.

It appears the “peacekeeping” plan is likely to suffer the same fate. On January 20th, coincidentally or not the day of Donald Trump’s inauguration, CIA-created *Radio Free Europe* published an **explainer guide** on why sending European troops to Ukraine is “a nonstarter”. Among other things, as the Russians are unambiguously winning, they are unlikely to offer many concessions, particularly allowing foreign soldiers to occupy Kiev’s territory. Furthermore, “as a permanent member of the UN Security Council, Moscow can block any peacekeeping mission.”

As if the message to London and Paris wasn’t emphatic enough, two weeks earlier, at a **press conference** at his Mar-a-Lago resort, Trump made numerous comments reiterating his commitment to ending the proxy war. “We’re going to have to settle up with Russia,” he declared. Notably, the President sympathised with Moscow’s “written in stone” **determination** that Ukraine not be enrolled into NATO, warned the situation “could escalate to be much worse,” and stated his hope the conflict could be wrapped up within six months.



Markedly, Zelensky was not present at Trump's inauguration. In a January **interview** with *Newsweek*, the Ukrainian President - typically never one to stay away from international jollies - said he "would like to [attend], of course", had received no invitation. In a rambling response, he said he was "not sure it's proper to come," particularly "during the war." Sources close to Trump **have claimed** that on the contrary, Zelensky repeatedly asked to attend, but was rebuffed.

For Berlin, Kiev, London, Paris, and NATO more widely, the writing couldn't be on the wall any more plainly. Whatever reveries they may have of maintaining the proxy war any longer - Britain **recently signed** a 100-year-long partnership with Ukraine, under which London will "explore" building military bases on Kiev's soil - they all ultimately remain **imperial vassals**, wholly dependent on US financial and **military support** to exist. Save for a major flag incident, Trump's message can only be received among the military alliance.



425 Likes · 85 Restacks

## Discussion about this post

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...



Andrew Thomas · 4d

It's official. The U.S. Empire is run by shameless monsters. The UK and France by clueless monsters.



LIKE (40)



REPLY

1 reply



Anne Boylon 4d

Empire, and its bestest friend, England, have the ethics of a gangster and the dirty tricks of a ca  
Besides the Russians, those who have suffered most at the hands of empire's neo-cons are the  
Ukrainians. Another crime against humanity racked up on empire's score sheet.

♡ LIKE (35)    💬 REPLY

5 replies

175 more comments...

---

© 2025 Kit Klarenberg · [Privacy](#) · [Terms](#) · [Collection notice](#)  
[Substack](#) is the home for great culture