

The Grand Poobah's Not-So-Grand Victory Ploy Unveiled



SIMPLICIUS
OCT 17, 2024



540




456

Share

Zelensky finally presented his long awaited 'Victory Plan' to nation and Rada alike.

Hear the pathological delusion presented as "plan" for yourself in all its demented glory:



Note in point three above, the translated word "restraint" is supposed to be "deterrence".

Also for those interested an English dubbed version:

Zelenskyy Presented the Victory Plan Publicly for the First Time: 5 Poi...



1. *The first point is the invitation of Ukraine to NATO immediately.*
2. *The second is defense (We need to ramp up our own production and increase aid from Western partners).*
3. *The third point states, "Ukraine proposes to deploy on its territory a comprehensive non-nuclear strategic deterrence package, which will be sufficient to protect Ukraine from any military threat from Russia."*
4. *The fourth is economic ("Peace will come through economic strength and pressure on Russia: in particular, limiting oil prices and exports").*
5. *The fifth point is, "After the war, we will have one of the most experienced military contingents. People with military experience, experience with international weapons. This is a guarantee of security in Europe. This is a worthy mission for our Heroes."
"The Victory Plan is a guarantee that the lunatics in the Kremlin will lose the ability to continue the war. Russia must forever lose control over Ukraine."*

Most even on the Ukrainian side had either tepid or outright condescending reactions to this 'plan'.



“What leaves me speechless is not that he is putting forward these points, but that they are all points whose implementation has already been rejected,” writes a befuddled Roepcke.

He’s right most of the “plan” is obnoxious and can be dismissed outright. Number one: joining NATO—won’t happen; number two seems self-evident and redundant; number five is just some kind of ambiguous morale-boosting platitude with no real substance.

But numbers three and four is where it gets interesting.

Three invokes the deployment of some kind of “non-nuclear” but devastating military package that can serve as major deterrence to Russia. It’s vague, but we can only assume this is merely the call for deploying major NATO systems, perhaps even like as done in Poland with the upcoming Aegis Ashore shield which allows the dual-use MK 41 vertical tubes to launch offensive Tomahawk missiles. But given the vague nature of his statement, Zelensky may even be referring to some kind of NATO “defensive” deployment of boots on ground in rear “deterrence” positions with missiles aimed at Russia.

It could also obviously refer to a large-scale build up of Ukraine’s airforce with major long range strike package capability.

The fourth point is the most ominous by far. Here it is again:



In fact, we can go out on a limb and say this point is the whole meat and potatoes—the very reason for the existence not only of all other points, but of the war itself. Isn't it so interesting Zelensky unveils this key linchpin only a day or two after the announcement of Ukraine's largest titanium production being sold off for pennies on the dollar?

The most ominous part of it is Zelensky's emphasis on the fact that the agreement has a guardedly "secret" component to be shared only with the top few allies, which he appears to tie into the allies' military protection of their resource 'investments'. What more can one say? This is nothing other than Zelensky's pimping out of his country's economic treasures and future in order to desperately tie NATO militarily to Ukraine's hip. This is just the continuation of the same old plan, except this time via outright bribery: create massive monetary incentive to *obligate* NATO into sending boots on ground to confront Russia and save Ukraine by virtue or deterrence of WWII. It's dangling trillions before their noses to entice their help, with the alluded-to most 'secret' component of the deal likely having to do with the sheer preferential nature of the disaster capitalism extraction and economic shock therapy in one.

It's just more of what we already knew, that the Ukrainian game is all about the Western crony elite positioning themselves to pillage Ukraine's resources and industries. For instance, most missed [this excerpt from ex-CIA chief Pompeo's recent prank-call](#) with Russian Vovan and Lexus posing as Poroshenko. In the private call he describes how he got his own comfy sinecure on a big Ukrainian enterprise:



Isn't it interesting how the ex-head of the CIA now runs a *bank* of all things, and that bank is buying up Ukrainian enterprises? Also interesting it happens to be a telecom, just like Vodafone owned by the Azerbaijani oligarch who just bought up the remainder of Ukraine's titanium industry. Virtually every deep state elite involved in the Ukraine debacle has got their fingers in the jar.

Getting back: Unfortunately for Zelensky, the latest Politico piece finally unequivocally confirms why the US can shoot down Iranian missiles over Israel but not Russian ones over Ukraine:



NEWS > DEFENSE

Ukraine bridles at no-holds-barred US support for Israel

Kyiv wants the US to down Russian rockets, but the “tough answer” for Ukraine is that Russia has nuclear weapons, while Iran does not.

<https://www.politico.eu/article/ukraine-bridle-no-holds-barred-us-support-israel/>

Just read the subheadline above. It's very simple:

“The tough answer that Ukrainians may not like to hear but is unfortunately true is that we can take the risk of shooting down Iranian missiles over Israel without triggering direct war with Tehran that could lead to nuclear war,” a senior U.S. Senate aide who works on Ukraine policy told POLITICO. “There’s a lot more risk in trying that with Russia.”

Two Biden administration officials, speaking on condition of anonymity to discuss the matter candidly, made the same point.

Is that not the greatest advertisement for nuclear weapons ever? What better reason does Iran need to finish up its nuclear program?

Additionally Polish FM Sikorski served to even further deflate Ukraine's hopes by downplaying Russian strikes near Poland as mere ‘mistakes’, which clearly implies Poland refuses to help Ukraine by taking an aggressive stance against these near-misses:

“The NATO border is in a sort of in-between state, between peacetime rules and crisis,” he said, adding that the Kremlin’s intent is unclear. “Some of these things pose a danger to our citizens [and] some people speculate that the Russians are testing our procedures, [but] I suspect that with these numbers of drones and missiles, they just lose control of them.”

Translation: Russia is not striking us intentionally so we will not respond in any way, particularly not with any Article 5 silliness.


Further bad news was Pentagon spokesman Sabrina Singh's new admission that the US military simply doesn't have the infinite supplies to keep pumping Ukraine carte blanche:



Also:

The U.S. approach to limiting Ukraine's use of long-range weapons to attack deep into Russia "has not changed and will not change," White House press secretary Karine Jean-Pierre said.

This rising issue amongst the 'allies' has been highlighted by several recent articles:



FirstFT World

+ Add to myFT


FirstFT: Israel grapples with shortage of air defence missiles

Also in today's newsletter: Nvidia share price hits new record and tensions rise on the Korean peninsula



The US Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (Thaad) system

A Thaad battery, of which the US currently has seven, consists of:





The US Navy is burning through missiles in the Middle East that it would need in a war with China

BI Business Insider · 3d



Politics

US Will Spend \$1.2 Billion to Restock Arms After Iran, Houthi Attacks



<https://www.businessinsider.com/us-navy-burns-through-key-missiles-needed-for-china-fight-2024-10>

Journalist Leonid Ragozin reached a similar conclusion to my own, that Zelensky's hollow plan was likely just the ground laying for the inevitable selling of ceasefire to the masses:



Leonid Ragozin ✓
@leonidragozin

Subscribe



So Zelensky's victory plan is what it is - an entirely unrealistic plan, or rather an ultimatum to Western allies, which is most likely designed to prepare society for the inevitability of peace talks and a very painful compromise. The next line in this song is: The West betrayed us.

The gigantic bubble of surreal delusions, outright lies and cowardly self-deception surrounding this conflict is about to burst.



Leonid Ragozin ✓ @leonidragozin · Oct 11

Zelensky is touring Europe with his "victory plan". Maybe it's all a distraction and there is a cunning and utterly realistic plan of enforcing peace on Russia under Ukrainian conditions that is being secretly discussed. The real victory plan.

...

[Show more](#)

10:20 AM · Oct 16, 2024 · 42.8K Views



We'll keep this one short and just highlight a few of the most poignant and noteworthy videos of the day, which connect tangentially to the broader issue of Z's 'Victory Plan'.

Firstly in a Bundestag session that was full of symbolic flourishes, Chancellor Olaf Scholz outrageously declared that Germany's economic woes were caused by *Russia* cutting Germany off from energy—imagine that!



Related:

Germany's economy is continuing to decline. In southern Germany, where the automotive industry was once the primary driver of growth, the situation has become alarming. Over the past two months, the supplier industry has experienced a drop in order intake by about 10 to 15%, signaling significant challenges for the sector.

*Boris Palmer is a German politician who became well-known over many years as the mayor of the city of Tübingen (Baden-Württemberg). He recently said: **"This is a decline like I have never seen before, the heart of Baden-Württemberg as an industrial region. The situation is truly alarming.** Tübingen, once a thriving city, is now a case for redevelopment."*

Scholz' performance was followed by a tirade from the leader of Germany's second largest party who proposed to give Putin an ultimatum:




Friedrich Merz, leader of the CDU (Germany's largest opposition party), proposed issuing an ultimatum to Russia, after which they would begin to strike deep into its territory.

"I call for giving Russia a 24-hour ultimatum to end hostilities. If the demand is not met, lift the limitation on the range of weapons for Ukraine and hand over the Taurus missiles."

But to save the day came Sahra Wagenknecht with her own fiery rebuttal of Scholz' superfluous sophistry:




For his part, Russian Duma committee chief Andrey Kartapolov responded to Germany's panicked agonies in the best way possible:



The problem of Scholz is that they are more and more clearly realizing that with their reckless and irresponsible actions, they have practically made the planet a dead end.

"The problem of [German Chancellor Olaf] Scholz... They are increasingly aware that with their incompetent, irresponsible actions they have practically led the planet into a dead end. And now they are trying to spin like eels in a frying pan," Andrei Kartapolov, head of the State Duma Defense Committee, told reporters.

Meanwhile, US Army Europe and Africa Commander General Darryl Williams says another quiet part out loud. But most interesting is his seeming confirmation of rumors about how US intends to pass over management of the Ukraine theater to Europe—in other words, dump it on Europe:



'All of NATO is helping Ukrainians fight Russia' - U.S. Army Europe and Africa Commander General Darryl A. Williams

At the same time Speaker of the Ukrainian Rada says another quiet part aloud:



'We are NATO's army' - Verkhovna Rada Speaker Stefanchuk openly admits NATO is using Ukraine to fight Russia

As a final note, amidst all the swirling debate around how the conflict will end, given that things feel like they're reaching an apogee of sorts, veteran rapporteur John Helmer has written an interesting new article which puts forth his *opinion* on an ideological clash between the Kremlin and General Staff on this account:

<https://johnhelmer.net/dmitry-rogozin-for-president/>

As I said, it's his opinion and there is no real evidence for such a major disagreement per se, however it provides interesting food for thought, particularly given that I've long espoused the notion that it would be difficult to imagine Putin choosing a premature negotiated end to the war for these very reasons: that the General Staff would not allow it. Putin is hardly a Tsar and doesn't quite have *all* the say on such matters, a fact recently highlighted in leaks about how the Russian General Staff reportedly made nuke ultimatums to the US long before 'dovish' Putin caved to expanding the nuclear threshold; Helmer touches on this as well.

This is emerging as the most important issue as we edge toward the crux point of the war where a major decision will face the Russian leadership over caving to demands for ceasefire or continuing kinetic action until total surrender. But thus far, there

have been no signs of Russia choosing the settlement option and in fact we continue to see signals of the opposite: a self-fortifying toward maximalist goals.

*Your support is invaluable. If you enjoyed the read, I would greatly appreciate if you subscribed to a **monthly/yearly pledge** to support my work, so that I may continue providing you with detailed, incisive reports like this one.*

Alternatively, you can tip here: buymeacoffee.com/Simplicius

Subscribe

540 Likes · 30 Restacks

Discussion about this post

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...



773H0+06 Oct 17

It's like him again playing the piano.



LIKE (18)



REPLY



SHARE



4 replies



Mikey Johnson Mikey Johnson Oct 17 · edited Oct 17

Zelensky is a Clown. Never been anything than a produced scam to "entertain" the masses. It cost Ukraine 1 000 000 dead and maimed and chrushed the whole country.

Simplicius nailed all the good parts of this "Peace plan". One can delve a bit more on it.

1. The plan is so pompous that ordinary people should suspect it as a scam.
2. It is so unlikely to realize that Zelenskys gang can say they were betrayed by West, although I find it unlikely this is their endgame. They only want the "movement of war to Russian soil" It is the most important for them - to continue the war but be able to smash even more bases inside Russia.
3. The 5 point about stationing psychotic veterans from the War in Europe instead of American DEI-personell seems to be a tid-bits for Trump (who has declared some uninterest in NATO)
4. Zelensky also said that some calculation for Ukraines economy would be added after the War suggesting a enormous war reparations extracted from Russia. Fantasies of course.
5. The mineral resources is on Russian territory and most of it east of Dnieper. It could be they think of selling out resources in occupied territories to ensnare the Politicians in West (dominantly US and City of London) to commit for a deeper involvement with troops on the ground. It is interesting to think of a scenario where Trump makes a Friedrich the Fuhrer Merz speech and give Russia 24 hours to comply to the demands of "peace" or "ceasefire". Otherwise US&NATO will deploy long-range missiles, aircraft and AD staffed by US forces/NATO on Ukrainian soil from the next morning. How will Kreml respond to such lunatics? They will of course repeat their standpoint but will they start destroy US troops mid-air or on the ground?

One can clearly see what Stefanchuk implied by Ukraine is already NATO-army.

6. Rada was assembled, part of, and some of the gang (Budanov, Stafanchuk). Where was Kinzhal?

I can see staff at Stavka grinding their teeth...

The other part with Helmer was interesting but too simple. Russia is not Tom Clancy with 4-5 characters doing the plot. It is even not Tolstoy with 120 characters intriguing, scheming and feverishly striving for power. It is way more complicated. For me it is clear that Putin has showed restraint, which is good, but also showed doubtfulness that someone could call weakness. I think a successor will come into place before 2030.

♡ LIKE (24) 💬 REPLY ↗ SHARE

...

38 replies

454 more comments...

© 2024 Simplicius76 · [Privacy](#) · [Terms](#) · [Collection notice](#)
[Substack](#) is the home for great culture