

so reply. 289



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	26 November 2008
4.6595337	(Duration of sighting.)	Time not given.
2.	Description of object.	A UFO was flying through the sky.
	(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	
	corour, originatess, actively	
đ	8.1 1 (j)	
	委任後	
	an a the second s	
3.	Exact position of observer.	The witness was in Bitten Lane in Cheadle
	Geographical location.	in Staffordshire.
•	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object	The object was seen over Bitten Lane in
	was first seen.	Cheadle in Staffordshire.
	(A landmark may be more	
	helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
ť.		
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	Tvot Bryon.
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.


during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

1		
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Staffordshire Police, who in turn left a message on DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Anon Section 40 Stoke on Trent Staffordshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	27 November 2008 10.45L

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

rep 20 ress ben.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object.	Eight UFOs shaped like arrow heads were
. 4.	(No of objects, size, shape,	seen in the sky. They were orange/reddish
	colour, brightness, noise.)	in colour. There was no sound.
8. ¹		
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	5
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
7.	(Naked eye, binoculars,	With the naked eye.
	other optical device, camera	
85	or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object	The UFOs were seen flying over Ladbroke
	(A landmark may be more	Grove moving towards Holland Park in London.
19 ³⁶ 1967 - 19	helpful than a roughly	London.
3	estimated bearing.)	
19 K	5,	
6.	Approximate distance.	They were quite high up in the sky.
1		
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
1	(side to side, up or down,	
	constant, moving fast, slow)	n n n
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

34

\$

		ž
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Kensington and Chelsea Police, who then in turn left a message on DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Anon
	telephone no of informant.	
		Section 40
		London Section 40
		Kensington Police did not pass the details
		across.
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	3	
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
		and the second sec
		38 38
		a
13.	Date and time of receipt.	26 November 2008
	6 6	11.00L
a.,	ŝ	







From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

ж		₫ ●		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 214 020 7218 900 Section 40
	a s	2 			2 2 1	
ection 40		* • *		Your R	leference:	
				Our Re	eference:	
eeds		р э		D/DAS	5/64/2	
est Yorkshire			10	Date:	15	
ection 40				26 Nov	ember 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 12 November 2008, the details of which you passed to RAF Leeming. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 12 November 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Section 40

Ę

MCO

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

1. Date, time and duration of sighting	12 Nov 08. First sighting was at 17:23 and
66.	the event continued for 10 to 15 minutes.
2. Description of object (No of	Looked like Chinese lanterns - orange in
objects, size, shape, colour,	colour and looked like the silhouette of a hot
brightness, noise)	air balloon flame. Very bright but no noise
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	noticed.
3. Exact position of observer	They were driving in a van through the
(Indoors / outdoors, stationary /	village of Carlton, near Rothwell. They
moving)	stopped to take 2 x photographs
4. How observed (Naked eye,	Viewed by naked eye at first – then static
binoculars, other optical device,	photographs taken.
camera or camcorder)	
5. Direction in which object first	Moved generally in a South-Eastern
seen (A landmark may be more useful	direction.
than a roughly estimated bearing)	
6. Angle of sight (Estimated heights	Approximately 50 degrees from the
are unreliable)	horizontal.
7. Distance (By reference to a known landmark)	Unknown.
landmark)	
8. Movements (Changes in 5, 6 & 7	Moving in random patterns for the sighting
may be of more use than estimates of	but finally formed up in a single line and
course and speed)	moved off into the clouds.
9. Met conditions during	Cold relatively clear night with a full bright
observations (Moving clouds, haze,	moon but some clouds in the sky.
mist etc)	
10. Nearby objects (Telephone lines,	Street lighting in the surrounding villages and
high voltage lines, reservoir, lake or	towns – an urban area with Rothwelll nearby.
dam, swamp or marsh, river, high	
buildings, tall chimneys, steeples,	
spires, TV or radio masts, airfields,	5
generating plant, factories, pits or	
other sites with floodlights or night	
v v v	
lighting).	
11. To whom reported (Police,	Reported to the press (Yorkshire TV – Section 40
military, press etc)	Section 40 and photos provided to him. Also
	reported to the Police on the evening of
	sighting.
12. Name and address of informant.	Section 40
· ·	
	Leeds
	Section 40
13. Background of informant that	None given
may be volunteered.	
14. Other witnesses.	One other person in the van who took the
	photos.
15. Date and time of receipt.	13 Nov 08 at 1630L
	LIACS .
	1 2 5 NOV 2
h.	MINISTRY OF DE

: : : : : : :

16. Any unusual meteorological conditions.	Nil (meteorological conditions as per Question 9)
17. Remarks.	Objects moved very fast and were extremely manoeuvrable. Aircraft were seen in the same area (but at a greater height) at the beginning of the sighting. These aircraft then left immediately but one aircraft returned 5 or 10 minutes later.


Section 40 would like a written response as to an explanation of this sighting to put his mind at rest.

Report taken by:

1

2. 4

Section	40	
Flt L	Sectio	n 40
	Leemi	ng
Tel.:	Sectio	n 40





With Compliments

ction 40

Deputy Media & Communications Officer

Royal Air Force Leeming NORTHALLERTON North Yorkshire DL7 9NJ



Email: leebsw-mcodeputy@LEEMING.raf.mod.uk



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

a d	a B A	* *	÷.,			Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
		1 ° 2 1	a a		- <u>8 . 10 </u>			
Section 4	10	in. a	\$	* *		Your R	Reference:	
Gravesend	1 .		10.00			Our Re	eference:	
Kent						D/DAS	5/64/2	2.
Section 40	D			2		Date:	a	2
		e .			85	25 Nov	ember 2008	
	+			5.	3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in November 2008, the details of which you passed to Kent Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Poole in Kent so far for November 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

on 40

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1


REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING


1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO flew across the sky.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside, in Poole in Kent.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen moving over Poole in Kent.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.


during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Kent Police who then in turn left a message on DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Gravesend Kent
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	25 November 2008 11.00L







REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	22 November 2008
2	(Duration of sighting.)	Time not given.
2.	Description of object.	A UFO was seen.
2	(No of objects, size, shape,	
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
a	P	
	a and a	8 · · ·
ж. Ж	а ²⁴ а. 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
	ă la c	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	4 B
	stationary/moving.)	
14		
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
	other optical device, camera	6 N
12	or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object	The UFO was seen over the South coast of
	was first seen.	Jersey in the Channel Islands.
	(A landmark may be more	
	helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approvinceto distance	Not given
0.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	
9	constant, moving fast, slow)	
		a and
0		
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported.	Jersey Air traffic control, who then in turn,
	(Police, military, press etc)	left a message on DAS answerphone.
	· · · · · ·	
10.	Name, address and	Anon
	telephone no of informant.	
		Jersey
s (Channel Islands
	5 5. B) 10 50	The name and address of the witness was
	3 8 8 4 A	not passed on to Air Traffic Control.
÷.,		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	n. 19	н Ф
	24	
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
	the second se	
	ਲੇ ਗੀ ਹੈ। ਇੱਕੀ ਕੀ ਸ਼ੁ	a ia Cin
	9. a.c	* *
		×
	2	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 November 2008
	3 d. 3	10.45L
34 14		
		24 <u>5</u> 160 g

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

No rep 229



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	(Date and time not given).
5	(Duration of sighting.)	
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen moving over Wellingborough in Northamptonshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

120

	2	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10		Section (0)
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
ж 94		Section 40 Welingborough
(m. 19)		Northamptonshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said could we get back to him, regarding his sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	20 November 2008 11.15L

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



From:



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
	 ×			
Section 40		Your R	eference:	
Redditch		Our Re D/DAS	eference: 6/64/2	3 *
Worcestershire Section 40		Date: 20 Nov	vember 2008	*

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in November 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.


First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Redditch in Worcestershire, so far for November 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Something that looked like a helicopter spotlight was 500/600ft high in the sky. Looked like there was a fire beneath the craft. There was no engine noise at all.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	The witness was at his work premises.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Redditch in Worcestershire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The object was moving at about 60-80 miles per hour.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

	n in the second s	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
	8	
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Redditch
a 3		Worcestershire
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	*	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 thought he had seen a
		helicopter at first, but then he realised that
	8 82 87 6	there was no engine noise. Plus, as the object moved nearer, he could see that on
Ε.		the underbelly, there was like a mini fire!
		•••
13.	Date and time of receipt.	20 November 2008
	-	11.00L
10 12		аланан айтай Алан айтай
		÷

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

...





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

· · ·	. 1 ³		· · · ·			Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
and a start			a _{ut} v	(新) [14			and a	2
		14		14			te	
section 40	ф) (ф)					Your R	eference:	
	S				-	Our Re	ference:	
Wareham		ø		3		D/DAS		
Dorset				Э.	stri.	Date:	<u>4</u> 1	
Section 40						20 Nov	ember 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in November 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Wareham in Dorset so far for November 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.


Yours sincerely

ction 40

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date not given). 20.18L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A pretty unusual sighting.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen moving over East Stoke, Wareham in Dorset.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Ē:

a 1

.

9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
10.	Name address and	Section 40
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	
		Wareham
		Dorset
		Section 40
Ř	6	
	10 IV	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
		a R
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 would like someone to get back
		to her to explain what she could have seen
2 ³⁴		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	20 November 2008
		10.30L
	а 	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1
		5 B





Dear Section 40



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
Section 40		Your F	leference:	\
Keighley West Yorkshire ection 40		D/DAS Date:	eference: 8/64/2 vember 2008	

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 16 November 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 16 November 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

ction 40

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	16 November 2008 18.55L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Two objects were seen.
я	50 B	
e a		
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The objects were seen moving over Keighley in West Yorkshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	Keighley
	5 e 5	West Yorkshire Section 40
8		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	28° 91' "D	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said could we get back to her
		about her sighting.
	· · · · ·	
1		1977 - H. Bar 192, B ¹ - B ² A
13.	Date and time of receipt.	17 November 2008 15.30L







From:

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

			र्गे <i>ल</i> ह	· T	elephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
	90		8 18			2 2	
Section 40	× *	e ^{la sa} t		2 2	Your R	leference:	
Henley-on-Thames	F			9	Our Re	eference:	
Oxfordshire	≈ ⁴ , 1		Ø.	1	D/DAS	5/64/2	
Section 40			gh a		Date:		
			53	1	13 Nov	ember 2008	5

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 7 November 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.


With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 7 November 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Section 40



of the lights and their dullness that the other object was not a plane. Forgive the cliche but the pattern of the lights was similar to the scrolling effect of the lights on the ship in Close Encounters. It seemed to scroll from one side to another - 000000, 000000, 000000, 000000, 000000 and reversed. If they had been the signal lights on a plane they would have been spaced farther apart - these seemed almost next to one another.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

© Crown Copyright

1



Dear

From



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	5.5 ×			#1) 14	4 8 8 ³ 8	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 214 020 7218 900 Section 40
Section 40				a a	2	Your R	eference:	5 K
Folkestone Kent	а. 14 г.	16 ¹⁸	и и ул. б	tar.		D/DAS	eference: 8/64/2	
ection-40	e e				. e	Date: 13 Nov	vember 2008	*

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in November 2008, the details of which you passed to the Kent Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Folkestone in Kent so far for November 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Yours sincerely
Section 40

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	(Date and time not given).
	(Duration of sighting.)	
2.	Description of object.	There were lights in the sky that were
	(No of objects, size, shape,	circling in front of the witnesses' house.
	colour, brightness, noise.)	They were in a half moon shape.
	*	
	#)	
	gan S	
*:	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
4	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
	other optical device, camera	
37	or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object	The objects were seen in front of the
5.	was first seen.	witnesses' house and then moving across
	(A landmark may be more	the sky above Folkestone in Kent.
	helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite close, and then moved quite far
		away.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

	39 L	
9.	To whom reported.	The sighting was reported to Kent Police,
	(Police, military, press etc)	who then in turn left a message on the
		DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	a
	2 A.H. *	Folkestone
	i na sta e	Kent
	a thair	Section 40
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
5/ 18		
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 daughter saw the lights too.
	5 × 1	
	3	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said the lights were strange,
		they circled for half an hour and then
	we we start g	moved away.
	*	
	¥0:	2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13.	Date and time of receipt.	13 November 2008
13.	Date and time of receipt.	The second
13.	Date and time of receipt.	13 November 2008 11.20L
13.	Date and time of receipt.	The second

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1





Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1

From: Section 40

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

e e e	20 20 20		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
а с. 	× 2		2		
Section 40			Your Re	eference:	
Dover	ų.		Our Ref	erence:	
Kent		8 8 8 9	D/DAS/	/64/2	3,
Section 40			Date:		
			13 Nove	ember 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in November 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Dover in Kent so far for November 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

ection 40

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date not given). 19.15L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There were half a dozen glowing lights. They were an orange fire colour and were globular. They were silent.
8 <u>8</u>		
•		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye. Plus, Section 40 also caught the lights on his camera phone, but you can not see them clearly.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were seen flying over the South of Dover in Kent.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	They were moving across the sky at the speed of a helicopter.
8.	Weather conditions during observation.	There was some low white cloud in the sky.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Kent police were contacted and then a message was left on the DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Dover Kent Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	His partner and his Step-daughter saw the lights too.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said at first, he thought the lights were helicopters, but then the lights would have been more white, (he is exmilitary), but these lights were glowing a firey orange colour and were too silent.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	13 November 2008 11.05L

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



From:Section 40Sent:13 November 2008 15:45To:Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Todmorden in West Yorkshire so far for November 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

13/11/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

To: INFO LibSvcs-PublicEnquiries-Office


This request has been received via www.mod.uk.

txtname: Section 40

txtemailAddress: Section 40

txtcountry: UK

txtrequest: I was wondering how UFO sighting information is recorded? I think I have one.. A couple of us in <u>Todmorden</u>, <u>West Yorkshire saw one vellowy light</u> moving across the sky, above our heads for part of the time this evening. At first I thought it was a firework or a flare as it seemed to be moving vertically from the valley floor, but then continued to moved across the sky more like a plane would higher in the sky. Very round, totally silent.





From: Section 40

Sent: 13 November 2008 14:47

To: Section

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 25 December 2007, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received three other reports of 'UFO' sightings for the 25 December 2007, and they were from Falmouth Bay in Cornwall, Kettering in Northamptonshire and Raurdeen Woodside in Gloucestershire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk.

13/11/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

and auditing.

From: no-reply@feedback.mod.uk [mailto:no-reply@feedback.mod.uk] Sent: 05 November 2008 14:37 To: INFO LibSvcs-PublicEnquiries-Office Subject: Research Enquiry

This request has been received via www.mod.uk.

txtname:<mark>Section 40</mark> txtemailAddress: Section 40

txtcountry: UK

txtrequest: I have just been alerted to the fact that the MOD keeps a log of reported "UFO" sightings. I, with others, saw an object in the sky in <u>Wiltshire</u> on <u>25th Dec</u> 2007 and took photos . I thought it might be worth reporting this but I do not know where to report on your website. Perhaps you could let me know.

Section 40

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

© Crown Copyright

2





Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

ection 40

From:

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

e e e		an Bac Ar A	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
a Maria e	2°				
ection 40	a la		Your R	eference:	
				ference:	
Burnham on Sea	1		D/DAS	64/2	3
Somerset	10		Date:		38
		1.20	11 Mor	ember 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in November 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's. I apologise if I have misspelt your surname, the answerphone can be hard to understand.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Burnham on Sea in Somerset so far for November 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Section 40

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
	8 8 10	
	60 20 20 20	
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.	The UFO was seen over Burnham on Sea, in Somerset.
	(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	III Somersei.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

© Crown Copyright

1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
	•	· , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
	× .	Burnham on Sea
¢.		Somerset
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	20 20 20	
s.		
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
	8 20 80 L	
	алан алан алан алан алан алан алан алан	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	7 November 2008 16.00L
		2 2 2

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1





From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

						14	3 O	
	52 m	9	15			Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
			ι.			8 ×	(Fax)	Section 40
								ti i
10 10	04		X:	a				
-					-	all the state of the second		
Section 40	5 × ×		12			Your H	Reference:	3.
Rainham	19	a ^(a, 1, 1)				Our Re	eference:	s
Kent					8	D/DA		
ection 40					(8) X.,	Date:		59 : B
					14 (14) 14	ti.	vember 2008	
							11001 2000	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 6 November 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.


Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 6 November 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.





REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	6 November 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	20.10L
2.	Description of object.	A UFO, that looked like a yellowy light.
2.	(No of objects, size, shape,	There was no sound.
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
	· · · ·	2
12		ella anti-
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given
5.	Geographical location.	Not given.
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	19 19	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
5	Direction in which object	The object was seen moving over Rainham
.	was first seen.	in Kent.
	(A landmark may be more	
2	helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	a a constante de la constante de
6.	Approximate distance.	The object was quite near and fairly low
		down in the sky.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
7.	(side to side, up or down,	
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Rainham Kent
1		Section 40
1		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	с. 	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said could we get back to her about her sighting.
a a		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	7 November 2008 16.30L
× .	a ta an Ta ta	

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

 $k_{1}^{2}=2$





From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	a		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
			-	•	Section 40
Section 40	2		Your R	eference:	
Somerton	 		Our Re	eference:	
Somerset	18		D/DAS	5/64/2	
Section 40	aki A		Date:		
		₫Ŀ	6 Nove	mber 2008	

Dear Section 40


I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 4 November 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD Police at Yeovilton, Somerset. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 4 November 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	4 November 2008 17.40L
	(Duration of signing.)	17.40L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	The object was cigar shaped, was about eighty ft long and about thirty ft tall. It was orange in colour and looked illuminated. It had a rear, bright white light.
3.	Exact position of charger	Outside didate on the
5.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	Outside, didn't say where.
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more	The object was seen moving over Somerton in Somerset.
*	helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	The object was about 400ft away.
a ³²		
7.	Movements and speed.	It was moving across the sky, it then made
¢,	(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	a sharp right turn and then disappeared.
8.	Weather conditions during observation.	Not given.


during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)


.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom wananted	The sighting was reported to DC Continue
9.	To whom reported.	The sighting was reported to PC Section 4
	(Police, military, press etc)	Section 100 Police at the Royal Naval Air
13		Base, Yeovilton. Who then in turn left a
	40 II	message on DAS answerphone, asking to
	ala na ka	be called back for the details of the
		sighting.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
		Somerton
	e ^{ent} ra de R	Somerset
	и	Section 40
	N 1 ^N *	
14 18		
	· **	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	14 B	8
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	a	
	*	
~		
12.	Remarks.	Other people in the area had seen
	2 V	something similar a few days before. They
		had made reports to the Naval Base.
	20 °	
	12°	
	1.000 K ² T	
11 1		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	6 November 2008
		12.30L
	(194)	
h		







REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date not given). 21.20L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Three bright single lights. There was no sound.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were moving over Brandiston, Norwich in Norfolk.
6.	Approximate distance.	They were running in a line moving towards Coltishall, Norfolk.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	They hovered for a short while.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

ta da staladar

F		
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Norwich Norfolk Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 husband.
12.	Remarks.	At first. Section 40 hought the lights could be planets. Said that they were definitely not fireworks, aircraft or anything like that. Section 40 said that these lights were very, very bright.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	4 November 2008. 11.35L

电管电管机

in di sin di tan

h, glenn, di

- da di bis da

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

and and and shot

() (in a





Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

Section 40

From:

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

			3 m	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
			92 			<u>.i.</u>
Section 40		5		Your	Reference:	
	: 442		8	5 ×		
No. 1120 energy and			.*	Our I	Reference:	
Bucknell				D/DA	AS/64/2	
Shropshire	10 10	30 ⁻¹		Date	enneren under under der	
Section 40	100 M			4 No	vember 2008	

Section 40 Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's. I apologise if I have misspelt your surname or address. The answerphone can be hard to understand.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.


Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Bedstone in Shropshire so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1





Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date not given). Midnight.
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There was an orange light coming over the hills. Another two approached. Then there were eight orange lights in formation. There was no noise at all.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were seen over Bedstone moving towards Bishop's Castle in Shropshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	The lights were quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	They were moving at the speed of helicopters.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

		4
9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
		Bucknell
		Shropshire Section 40
	2 2	
	3 ³⁶	
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 wife saw the lights too.
		5. 0.
		5 a
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the lights were there
		for ten minutes, before they disappeared.
н. И _М		He couldn't determine the height.
	10 - ¹⁰	40 L
	۹. 	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	4 November 2008
		10.55L
	5. 5. 8.	भ सुधि अ
	<i>2</i>	

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



From:



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Section 40Your Reference:WakefieldOur Reference:West YorkshireD/DAS/64/2Section 40Date:31 October 2008	5. * . #		5	18 . 16 . 15		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
Wakefield Our Reference: West Yorkshire D/DAS/64/2 Section 40 Date:	6	1						
West Yorkshire D/DAS/64/2 Section 40 Date:	Section 40			a.		Your R	eference:	
Date.	West Yorkshire	а а	4					
	Section 40	45a	φ.		5		ober 2008	н. Так

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 30 October 2008, the details of which you passed to Manchester ATC. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.


First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.


Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 30 October 2008, and that was from Dumfries in Dumfriesshire in Scotland. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.







REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	30 October 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	18.30L
÷.	(Duration of sighting.)	18.50L
2.	Description of object.	There was an orange object in the sky and
	(No of objects, size, shape,	it was the size of an aircraft.
9	colour, brightness, noise.)	it was the size of all allerant.
S	colour, originaless, noise.)	8
đ	10	
		1 ¹ 2 1 1 1 1
t. L	2 ⁻	
3.	Exact position of observer.	The witness was outdoors and stationary.
2.	Geographical location.	The writess was outdoors and stationary.
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	1 ⁴
	stational y/moving.)	
	1.0.	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
••	(Naked eye, binoculars,	White the nared eye.
	other optical device, camera	8
	or camcorder.)	9), A.
	of calleorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object	The object was flying over Wakefield
	was first seen.	moving in the direction of Barnsley, in
	(A landmark may be more	West Yorkshire.
	helpful than a roughly	West Torkshire.
3	estimated bearing.)	
	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	The object was about twenty miles away.
7.	Movements and speed.	It climbed into the sky very fast, and then
	(side to side, up or down,	disappeared.
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
		54 ⁻
		2
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)


Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

		T E I
9.	To whom reported.	The sighting was reported to the West
8	(Police, military, press etc)	Yorkshire Police, then Manchester ATC,
	a a ^a b	who then left a message on DAS
5070-2	2 2 4	answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
35	× 8	Wakefield
	a and the first second se	West Yorkshire
		Section 40
53		ð p a
		* *
	3	56 ×
11.	Other witnesses.	A neighbour who lives nearby.
		350 ¥
		*
	-	
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
		200 B
	x a a ra	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	31 October 2008
	n 3	11.00L
	*	

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

		× ,
	Report Of UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT Report ID 34965	MATS PART 1 8SD/1/11
Α	Date, Time and Duration of Sighting - Local times to be quoted. Sighting Occurred on 30/10/2008 at 18:30 for N/A Hrs N/A Mins 35 Secs	
В	Description of Object - Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brig Orange object. About the size of an a/c	htness, sound, smell, etc.
C	Exact Position of Observer - Geographical location, indoors or outdo Place: Wakefield, Outdoors, Stationary	
D	How Observed - Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or r	novie camera.
E	Direction in which Object was First Seen - A landmark may be mo bearing. Towards Wakefield /Barnsley	
F	Angular Elevation of Object - Estimated heights are unreliable. N/A	
G	Distance of Object from Observer - By reference to a known landm 20 Nautical miles	ark wherever possible.
н	Movements of Object - Change in E, F and G may be of more use the speed. climber very fast then disappeared	
J	Meteorological Conditions During Observations - Moving cloud, had clear weather no wind no rain	aze, mist, etc.
К	Nearby Objects - Telephone or high voltage lines; reservoir, lake or on high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, spires, TV or radio masts; airfies pits or other sites with floodlights or other lighting. N/A	lds, generating plant; factories;
L	To Whom Reported - Police, military organisation, the press, etc. West Yorkshire Police	
м	Name and Address of Informant Section 40 Wakefield, Yorkshire	
N	Any Background Information on the Informant that may be Volu N/A	unteered
0	Other Witnesses Neigbour at No Section 40	
Ρ	Date and Time of Receipt of Report Report Received on 30/10/2008 at 20:16	Section 40
The d	etails are to be telephoned immediately to AIS (Military), LTCC on Section	

The completed form report





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

¢	64 85			Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
			886	- -	×	-
ection 40		یں۔ ایک ایک	N ğ	Your I	Reference:	
Dumfries Dumfriesshire	×			Our Ro D/DAS	eference: 5/64/2	
Section 40			8	Date: 31 Oct	ober 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 30 October 2008, the details of which you passed to the Dumfries and Galloway Police, and Scottish ATC. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.


Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 30 October 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



Covering:

Caveat:

Classification:

r		

Facsimile Transmission Cover Sheet

Transmiss	ion Details	Document Details				
Serial Number:	Date and Time of Transmission:	Reference:				
	30 2120 \$	PWKI52/DeD				
From:	Fax Number:	Subject:				
CPL Section 40		UFO Report				
То:	Fax Number:	Total number of pages including this Q				
MOD Main Building	Section 40					
Message / Remarks:	м — С.	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a				
PQ.	2					
8 - 1 ⁸ 141						
		782 				
ла, а		r				
Authorisi	ng Officer	Transmit Operators				
Rank / Name and Appoi	intment	Rank / Name and Appointment				
Fs Section 40 D+D	Contingue.					
Signature: Section 40		Signature:				
	Covering:					
	Caveat:					

Classification:



Scottish Air Traffic Control Centre (Military) Royal Air Force Prestwick Atlantic House Sherwood Road Prestwick KA9 2NR TEL: Section 40 FAX: Email:



morf xsH

Catalogue Referencezdese/28/292614

1	a constant data	
,1	Date, time and duration of sighting	30 OCTOBER 2008 2035
2	Description of object	TWO LIGHTS MODING TOWARDS EACH OTHER THEN MILGED AND DISAPEADED THEN UN ADVIATED ADADT
3	Exact position of observation	DUMFRIES AT HOME ADDRESS - SEE BELOW
4	How observed	NAKED EYE
5	Direction in which object first seen	South Wast
6	Angular elevation of object	NNKNONN
7	Distance of object from observer	NNKNOWN
8	Movements of object	YOWARDS FACH OTHER- MERGED THEN DISCHPEADED
9	Weather conditions	DRY - Some ciano
10	Nearby objects	Houses
11	To whom else reported	DUMFRIES AND GALLOWAY POLICE
12	Name and address of informant	Section 40 TEL Section 40 DUMFRIES Section 40
13	Any volunteered personnal information	
14	Any other witnesses	None

30203510 15 DTG of report Report of UFO Checklist

Catalogue Réference: defe/24/2626/1

: morî xsī





From:

section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	4	E				Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
			ی ان		9. P		5, 1 1	2
Section 40		53		æ		Your F	teference:	
					10 - 16 No.	Our Re	eference:	<i>R</i>
Wrexham	*		1 a	iti H		D/DAS	5/64/2	
Clwyd			8			Date:		
Section 40		2	8 6	3		30 Oct	ober 2008	23

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 29 October 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's. I apologise if I have spelt any part of your address or name wrong. The answerphone can be hard to understand.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.


Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 29 October 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING


1.	Date and time of sighting.	29 October 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	Time not given.
L.		The not Brown
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	An unusual sighting.
D2		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye. The witnessess son also caught, and filmed the object on his mobile phone.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was moving over Erbistock in Wrexham, Clwyd.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported.	The sighting was reported to Wrexham
	(Police, military, press etc)	Police and then on the DAS answerphone.
		×.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
к' 1.	telephone no of informant.	
		Wrexham
		Clwyd
		Section 40
	· · ·	
		8
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 son.
94 194		
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that he told the local
	i voinui no.	police of his sighting and then decided to
		report it to us. Says his son has footage on
	3	his mobile phone, and if we require it,
	20 20	should ring him on his home phone and he
	2	will send it to us. He said that the sighting
		was very unusual.
	* *	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	30 October 2008
		10.45L
	8. 11. 19 1	
	(4)	*

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 October 2008, the details of which you passed to RAF Mildenhall. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 27 October 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

· · ·	
Unit Ref:	MOD FORM 953 (Revised 4/99)
MILITARY AIRCRAFT A PUBLIC COMPLAINT	
Action Info Action Info Unit Unit Image: Constraint of the second	Action Mo employee Domo Control Control Action Department of PAF HOLETC Control Action Spectry
SECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT	SECTION 4: DETAILS OF INCIDENT
Title: Section 40	Date: 27 OCT 2008
Surname: Section 40 Forename(s):	Time:0001ZHow many Aircraft involved:1
Address <mark>Section 40</mark>	Type of aircraft:
	Jet Prop Helo Light A/C
	Other (Specify): SPACECRAFT/ UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT
Town/City: SNETTERTON County: Section 40	Markings:
Postcode: Section 40	Grey Camoufl- Red/ Black/ aged White Yellow
Telephone No. Section 40	Other (Specify): TO DARK TO SEE ANY MARKINGS
Section 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT	
Address at Section 2,	
Or:	Height Low Medium Migh

	Direction:	UNKNOWN	J	
	Inside MAT	Z:		
Town/City:		Yes		No
County:	If Yes whic	h MATZ:		
Postcode:				
			NOW TUR	

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

© Crown Copyright

No

SECTION 5: PARTICULAR OF COMPLAINT (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

ERY HIGH OBJECT CAME FROM OUT OF SPACE TRAVELLING 10 TIMES THE SPEED OF SOUND; IT WAS FOLLOWED BY A LOUD WHOOSHING SOUND. THE OBJECT WAS DECLINING AND WAS TRAVELLING FAR FASTER THAN ANY RAF OR USAF JET CAN GO. SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT zopielowisten den zen ka Has the incident given rise to any injury to persons and livestock of damage to property which will result in a cla compensation being submitted to the Ministry of Defence Yes. DINORR Calls If Yes, give details and copy form to WGHLF-S response sent (allach copy) wedgement only (attach PARTY AND Sequres attention of 110 PKSS Omendepects

SECTION 8: DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL RECEIVING COMPLAINT

Time: (Local) 0115 Date Complaint received: 27 OCT 2008

Signature:

Name:	Section 40	INFORTANT REMINDER
Rank:	A1C	ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE
Unit:	100 ARW/CP	THE COMPLETED TORM IS TO BE FORWARDED TO
Tel. No.	Section_40	SECTION T WITHIN & DAYS

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

No reply, as pull

nor

12

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	They looked like flares or lights.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The flares were seen over Redruth in Cornwall.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Going quite fast.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
	2	
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	D 1 1
		Redruth
		Cornwall Section 40
		B 2 at
		10 V
	a a ² a	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	*	ar ar an ar
		8 19 11
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that he had seen a satellite
		in the sky too, and then these weird flares,
	s	lights, that shot across the sky. He asked if
		the MOD were tracking any of these, and
		could we get back to him and let him
ж.,	n de la Caración de	know, but he did not leave a full address of
		number!
13.	Date and time of receipt.	28 October 2008
		10.35L
	10 <u>11</u>	10 III III III III III III III III III I
25	<u>a)</u>	2
	di Barran anna ann ann an ann an ann an ann an	

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	5 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	26 - 26 - 26 - 46 - 46 - 46 - 46 - 46 - 46 - 46 - 4	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
19 20 19 19				a a	a. 0
ection 40				en e	
			Your R	eference:	
Aylesbury		ar A	Our Re	ference:	5. 10
Buckinghamshire			D/DAS		
Section 40			Date:		
		51	27 Oct	ober 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 24 October 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 24 October 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.


Sorry I could not be any help.



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	24 October 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	00.10L
2.	Description of object.	A UFO.
	(No of objects, size, shape,	
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
		a 2. 10
	10	
	<i>r</i> .	*
12	e	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
18	Geographical location.	
M	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
÷.,		
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye, and also pictures were
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	taken of it.
	other optical device, camera	а. Э
	or camcorder.)	n in internet in the second seco
5.	Direction in which object	The UFO was seen moving over Aylesbury
	was first seen.	in Buckinghamshire.
	(A landmark may be more	
	helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	8 ¹⁹
27	estimated rearing.)	26 19
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	7
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
	J	



	20 20	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to the Buckinghamshire Police first and then DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
		Aylesbury
3		Buckinghamshire
		Section 40
	. a.	10 July 14
	<i>i</i> ,	
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 husband.
	a.	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that she would like us to
10	2	get in touch with her and tell her what she
	· .	saw and that then her children will be
		satisfied. Section 40 said that when she
	ан М	contacted the Police, they said there had been no plane crash or anything at the time
35	·	of her sighting?!
	40 2)	of her significant.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	27 October 2008
		11.15L
		2
	2	

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1





From:Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

				Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
ан — Э. Сан — Э.	ž a		82 82		<i>¥</i> :	
			an a			
Section 40	34. B			Your R	leference:	
	* a.					
Leeds	<i>2</i> .			Our Re	eference:	
West Yorkshire		10		D/DAS	5/64/2	
Section 40				Date:		
				22 Oct	ober 2008	
ant de la companya d		3 1				

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 July 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by letter. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of 'UFO' sightings for the 27 July 2008, and they were from Helston in Cornwall, and Scunthorpe in Humberside. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

ction 40


atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

ection_40 Section 40 Hug 18 thas leas dir, On July 27th (Sun) at 11:25pm app. my husband & myself saw The Following objects in the sky. It was a very clear still evening T we First saw one lit up circle no noise, moving steadily from the back of our bungalow, over the Vop I out at the front all in the Same line of path, speed etc, we counted IL4 of these, all spaced.

This was most uncanny, all of our heighbours were in bed. Can you offer us any explanation please Catalogue Reference: defe/24/2626/1

We have been away 2 weeks been busy so haven't had time Domeone in 681Kon village reported that they saw 6 these objects at 11:35 p.m. fours sincerely

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

From Section

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

2			*		, 19	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
· · · · ·		-		ð	5 14.	e le p		1
Section 40	÷	i.			÷۲.	Your R	eference:	
				18 18			ference:	
Brighton						TO046	62/2008	
East Sussex						Date:		
Section 40	8).				<i>.</i>	21 Octo	ober 2008	

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter to the Rt Hon John Hutton MP. I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 18 October 2008. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.


First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 18 October 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



TOO4662 2008 - 20081021095750 -From: no-reply@feedback.mod.uk Sent: 18 October 2008 21:46 To: Ministers Subject: Ask a Minister

This request has been received via www.mod.uk.

txtfirstname txtlastname: txtsubject: UFO SIGHTING!!!! txtaddress1: Section 40 txtaddress2: txttowncity: Brighton txtstatecountry: East Sussex txtzipcodepostcode: Section 40 txtcountry: UK txtemailAddress: Section 40

txtrequest: On Saturday <u>18th October 2008 at 20.05 pm</u> I was in my car when my daughter asked 'What is that in the sky'. We was at a junction on the coast road of the A259 Brighton and I looked out the window and hovering above a house about 50 yards away was a Bright Orange Spherical light. We sat and looked at this object for a good minute before it slowly departed east and it spun and shifted at a rapid speed upwards in a northely direction before disappearing. There was no wind and it was very silent which made this incident very frightening. I dont believe in UFO's but this sighting has changed my views. I look forward to a response from yourselves and look forward to hearing your thoughts on this observation!!!

Kind Regards,

Section 40

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Page 1

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE - TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY

Section 40		
From:	Section 40	
Sent:	21 October 2008 10:26	
То:	Section 40	
Subject:	FW: Release-Authorised: Treat (Official Correspondence: TO04662/2008
Attachmen	ts: TO04662 2008 - 200810210957	0 -Section 40 txt

From: Parli Branch-Treat-Official Sent: 21 October 2008 09:59 To: DAS-Sec; Low Flying Subject: Release-Authorised: Treat Official Correspondence: TO04662/2008

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE - TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY

To:	DAS Sec
Сору То:	
Our Reference:	TO04662/2008
Due Date:	10 November 2008
Correspondent:	Section 40
Additional Advic	e:

The Rt Hon John Hutton MP has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the date of this message**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

If correspondence includes a specific request for recorded information then it should be treated under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, even though the Act is not specifically mentioned. You should inform Parliamentary Branch, who will close the case down on the Parliamentary Toolkit. The correspondence should then be logged on the Access to Information Toolkit and you should consult and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOI request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info. (See the guidance at

http://defenceintranet.diiweb.r.mil.uk/DefenceIntranet/Admin/RespondToRequestsForInformatic

It is important that branches ensure they have simple systems to track correspondence received from members of the public, though the Parliamentary Toolkit records the basic details. If you have access to a DII/C terminal, please follow this link (once a response has been sent) to add your Final Reply Date and close the case to remove it from your TO Task List: http://pt/_Layouts/PT/TaskList/TaskList.aspx. Lead Branches without access to the Toolkit should notify the Ministerial Correspondence Unit (via ParliBranch-Treat-Official@mod.uk) of the date of their reply so that Parli Branch can close the record on the Toolkit.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm. If you do not have access to

21/10/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE - TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY

Page 2 of 2

the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

Email: ParliBranch-Treat-Official@mod.uk

Regards,

MOD Parliamentary Branch Ministerial Correspondence Unit

t: Section 40

t: f:

e: ParliBranch-Treat-Official@mod.uk

21/10/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

8	e L	4	2	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
	1116.01		a (5			
Section 40				Your R	eference:	
Chelmsford				Our Re	ference:	
Essex			48 	D/DAS	64/2	
Section 40				Date:	*:	
				21 Octo	ober 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 11 October 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of 'UFO' sightings for the 11 October 2008, and they were from Portsmouth in Hampshire and Stafford in Staffordshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Finally, with regard to there being any low flying activity, I enquired and was informed that there was not at the time and date of your sighting.

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely ction 40

Alexie a serve a serve

. Maria di Kasaran Kasara

1. S

in (de nid

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Section 40

From:no-reply@feSent:20 OctoberTo:Low FlyingSubject:Low Flying

no-reply@feedback.mod.uk 20 October 2008 23:54 Low Flying Low Flying Complaints

This request has been received via www.mod.uk.



txtrequest: I viewed (from my car passing Junction 28, Colchester, southbound on the A12) 2 bright ligts traveling Northbound in the Sky flying in line about 500 yards apart (I'd spotted them in the distance about a mile before they flew over me)

I slowed to take a good look as they didn't seem like normal air traffic, at the closest point they appeared to be what I can only describe as balls of fire. (no flashing lights or identifiable shape)

Can you tell me if these were military aircraft?

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

© Crown Copyright

1





Dear Section 4

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

From: Section 40

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

			11. 26	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
44 10	b)				20	20
Section 40	–			Your R	leference:	
					eference:	
Buxton				D/DAS	\$/64/2	
Derbyshire		-4		Date:		
Section 40			20. A	20 Oct	ober 2008	

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 13 October 2008, the details of which you passed to Buxton Police and Manchester ATC. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.


First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 13 October 2008, and that was from Balham in London. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT Date/Time And Duration Of Sighting (Local times to be quoted) 13/10/2008 2100 FOR 5 MINS b. Description Of Object (Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc). 7 ORANGE ORBS - FORMATION OF 3 THEN 2 SETS OF 2 c. Exact Position Observed (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving). SOUTH EAST OF BUXTON HEADING TOWARDS MANCHESTER. d. How Observed (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie camera). NAKED EVE, INTIALLING OFFICE AT HOME THEN OUT OF WINDOW e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing). SOUTH EAST OF BUXTON f. Angle Of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable). 2600 g. Distance (By reference to a known landmark wherever possible). NOT SURE h. Movements (Changes in E, F, G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed). GENERALLY STRAIGHT LINE BUT WITH SOME "DANCING" IN THE SKY i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc.) NO CLOUD, EXLECTENT VISABILITY Nearby Objects (Telephone lines, Spires Etc.)

NONE

j.

17 NAINISTRY OF DEFENCE To Whom Reported (Police, military organisations, the press etc) BUXTON POLICE ction 40 MANCHESTER ATC Manform 005 **Issue 3** Page 1 of 2 Date 18/9/96


DAS


Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Name And Address Of Informant ction 40 Derbyshire . Any Background on The Informant That May Be Volunteered m. RETIRED. HAD HAD NOTHING TO DRINK INCIDENT SIMILAR TO OWE OVER CHESTERTIES SERT 08 - ACCESSED VIA INTERENT. WIFE. ALSO SEEN IN DEPENDENTLY BY NEIGHBOUR. o. Date And Time Of Receipt 13/10/02 1120 The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATCC on Section 40 The completed form is to be despatched to: Ministry Of Defence Sec (AS) 2A Room 8245

RAF Main Building Whitehall London

- · · ·








REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

	1.	Date and time of sighting.	14 October 2008
		(Duration of sighting.)	Time not given.
		12	
	2.	Description of object.	There was a continual orange strange shape
		(No of objects, size, shape,	in the sky. There was a very odd light too.
		colour, brightness, noise.)	
		19	a 6 ²
		8	
			<i>a</i>
		×	
	3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
		Geographical location.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		(Indoors/outdoors,	×
		stationary/moving.)	a 6 a
			<i>∞</i>
	4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
		(Naked eye, binoculars,	
		other optical device, camera	
		or camcorder.)	а. — В. — В
-	F		
	5.	Direction in which object	The object was seen over Swansea Bay in
		was first seen.	West Glamorgan.
		(A landmark may be more	
		helpful than a roughly	
		estimated bearing.)	
	6.	Approvimate distance	Not given
	0.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
	1740		×
	7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	••	(side to side, up or down,	and Brown
		constant, moving fast, slow)	
	*		
			X .
Ì	8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
	197 9 1978		



9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Swansea West Glamorgan Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	That Section 40 reckons that a lot of people must have seen this object too, as it was over Swansea Bay for some time.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	20 October 2008 11.00L

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1





From: Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

<u>8</u>	17			Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
	b.	2				
Section 40	*		2	Your R	leference:	3
St Albans			р	Our Re D/DAS	eference: S/64/2	
Hertfordshire				Date:		
Section 40				20 Oct	ober 2008	

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings so far for St Albans in 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1


REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
4		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over St Albans in Hertfordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

	0. 4 0. 1702/100	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
		24 m
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		St Albans Hertfordshire
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
		R. In the second se
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
14	а _л — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
28		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	20 October 2008 10.45L
а		







From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

<i>2</i>	16 A.,		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
······································			2 e	18: 	
Section 40			Your F	leference:	
Slough		9. V	Our Re	eference:	
Berkshire			D/DAS	5/64/2	
Section 40			Date:		
14 M	25		20 Oct	ober 2008	
۹.		15		10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 15 October 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 15 October 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

ction 40

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	15 October 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	No time given.
2.	Description of object.	There was a triangular object in the sky. It
	(No of objects, size, shape,	had a sort of pattern under it, like a sign.
	colour, brightness, noise.)	There were also three circular type of
		lights. There was a weird hovering sound.
		¥
	2 e ⁸ e	
	×	
-		
3.	Exact position of observer.	Section 40 was outside in his garden,
	Geographical location.	smoking a cigarette.
	(Indoors/outdoors,	18 1
	stationary/moving.)	a at a second
	a	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	б [.] ў 8 с.
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	18
5		
3.	Direction in which object	The object was hovering a mile above the
	was first seen.	witness in Slough, Berkshire.
	(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly	un in
	estimated bearing.)	
	estimated bearing.)	2
6.	Approximate distance.	A mile above the witness.
0.	Approximate distance.	Trainie above the without.
1		32 B
7.	Movements and speed.	The object just hovered.
	(side to side, up or down,	A Construction of the second sec
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
1		

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Slough Berkshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 4 Said that the object was hovering high in the sky for a few seconds, and unnerved him. That the universe is a big place, so there must be other life and alien life forms out there. It shot up in the sky and then disappeared. It definitely wasn't a plane or harrier or anything, as he had been an air cadet and knows what normal aircraft look like.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 October 2008 10.45L





From:



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

			Te	lephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
				8	Ξ.	
		20 2				
Section 40				Your R	leference:	* 14. * 14.
	a da p	4.44 		Our Re	eference:	
Stafford	-34			D/DAS	5/64/2	
Staffordshire		20 	8	Date:		
Section 40			2 x	16 Octo	ober 2008	
	5		-	*		

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 11 October 2008, the details of which you passed to us by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.


First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 11 October 2008, and that was from Portsmouth in Hampshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



-----Original Message-----From: no-reply@feedback.mod.uk [mailto:no-reply@feedback.mod.uk] Sent: 13 October 2008 19:14 To: Info-Access-Office Subject: FOI written request PS 14-10-2008-091513-004 Section 40

This request has been received via www.mod.uk.

txttitle:Section 40
txtfirstname:Section 40
txtlastname:
txtoccupation: bricklayer
txtaddress1:Section 40
txtaddress2:
txttowncity: stafford
txtstatecountry: staffordshire
txtzipcodepostcode:Section 40
txtcountry: UK
txtemailAddress:Section 40

txttelephone:Section 40

txtinforequest: I would like to request infomation about a number of aircraft witnessed by myself and my girlfriend flying in formation over stafford on saturday <u>11th october</u> at approx <u>11pm</u>. the cratf seemed unusual, shining bright orange and moving slowly. That night there was a lot of cloud cover and no stars visable. could they of been UFO's and did the RAF notice anything strange over stafford?

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	2 2 2				a a 		· · · · ·	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
а. Т	778 - 1	1			10 10 10		3) (8) (9			18
Section 40			18 ⁵⁸⁵	, ,				Your R	leference:	* 2
Portsmouth					×.			Our Re	ference:	
Hampshire								D/DAS	5/64/2	
Section 40	đ	21						Date:	e.	
								16 Oct	ober 2008	
	3					-50				

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 11 October 2008, the details of which you passed to us by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 11 October 2008, and that was from Stafford in Staffordshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.





Section 40			а 1	
From: Sent: To: Subject:	no-reply@feedback.mod.uk 11 October 2008 22:19 Low Flying Low Flying Complaints			
This request ha	as been received via www.mod.uk	ç.	а.	
txtfirstname txtlastname: txtaddress1: txtaddress2:	ction 40	9 8 0		24
txttowncity: Po txtstatecountry txtstatecountry	y: Hampshire		al Mal	
txttelephone:				

txtcountry: UK txtemailAddress:<mark>Section 40</mark> txtincident: Saturday 11th October, 7.20pm txtrequest: Hello

I Didn't know if you would be interested in this but at around 7.20pm (GMT) 11th October 2008 i spotted a large bright orange tube shaped craft fly slowly across the sky in a north westerly direction as if it was carrying out some kind of surveilance only for it to stay sationary for around a minute, make 3 or 4 circles in the sky then zoom of east.

This happened over the skys of Portsmouth, England. I thought this may be of some interest to you or some other government department as it seemed a little un-ordinary, im pretty sure it wasn't an MoD or RAF plane due to the way this object moved.

Thanks

ction

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

© Crown Copyright

1





From:

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

2		4. 4			Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
	2						7)
Section 40	5 <u>6</u>	22	ř	速	Your R	eference:	
Newcastle upon Tyne					Our Re	ference:	
Northumberland					D/DAS	64/2	
Section 40					Date:		
	*				16 Octo	ober 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 8 October 2008, the details of which you passed to us by letter. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 8 October 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

ction 40

Sorry I could not be any help.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



Directorate of Air Staff-Freedom of Information 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

October 11th 2008

Unidentified Flying Object I observed over Newcastle upon Tyne

Dear Sir/Madam

ction_40

Newcastle upon Tyne

I would like to report the unidentified flying object I observed over Newcastle upon Tyne on Wednesday 8th of October 2008 at 11:17pm.

I have searched the internet and found your address after looking at number of silly web-sites and believe this is the best way to report what I observed.

I was looking out of my open window this was north for the Aurora Borealis as it had been reported due to solar activity on Wednesday 8th of October.

I then seen a large white bright object moving silently a fast speed in the clear night sky at very high altitude, heading from North East to South West.

At first I thought the object was a Satellite.

The object then changed colour from white to red and stopped.

The object then started to jump to different parts of the sky and continue in South West direction and disappear.

See attached picture of view from where I observed this phenomena and map.

During the one minute I observed the object there was no flashing lights or noise, as I have observed all types of aeroplanes at night before.

I like to add I know how the following (International Space Station, Satellite, Comet, Meteorite, aeroplane and helicopter) appear in the night sky.

I have searched for time tables of flight plans for International Space Station, Satellite, Cornets and Meteorite events for this time at night and found nothing.


This was not like anything I've observed before it's unbelievable that I've seen this over Newcatle upon Tyne.

I don't know how important the sighting maybe and hope I'm not wasting your time by reporting this.


Thank you.



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



Bort's the




Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

address not given.

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	13 October 2008 22.50L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	
) *	stationary/moving.)	e e e e e
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object	The object moved over Balham in London.
5.	was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object moved over Banain in London.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Surbiton, Met Police who then left a message on DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	No name and full address given. Balham London
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	14 October 2008 11.25L

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

© Crown Copyright

а.





Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

From Section 40

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

е	2 2			Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
. ji	2 		£.	182		
Section 40	24 2 ⁻¹	2 2 3	e E	Your R	eference:	
Swansea	2		5	Our Re D/DAS	eference: 8/64/2	
South Wales			-	Date: 15 Oct	ober 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in October 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings so far for October 2008, from Mumbles in Glamorgan. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.


Yours sincerely

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen moving over Mumbles, Swansea, South Wales.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

	5	
9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
	1227 III 2251 V	
	-	Contine 10
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
	6)	
		Swansea
		Glamorgan
		South Wales
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
		a
		ж. у
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
	,	
10 ¹⁰		B
		<i>\$</i> .
	a.	
	a a cara cara cara cara cara cara cara	් ක ම අ. අ. අ
7 		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	14 October 2008
		11.00L
	2	× ×
<u> </u>		

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



From

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	ан 194			Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40	
	3 3	3 		iti Mtopportuge	* *		1
Section 40			2 11	Your R	leference:	a	
	28	<i>e</i>		Our Re	eference:	4	
Glamorgan				D/DAS	5/64/2		
South Wales				Date:			
Section 40	88 1			15 Oct	ober 2008		

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in October 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings so far for October 2008, from Neath in Glamorgan. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.


Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely
Section 40

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There were three glowing objects.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The objects were seen moving over Neath in Glamorgan, South Wales.
6.	Approximate distance.	They came quite close.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The objects were moving towards the witness and then moved straight up into the sky.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

~		
9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
	1	а <u>л</u>
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
		Neath
		Glamorgan
	* 9 g.	Section 40
	a, a ,	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	÷	
	in the second se	5
		3.
12.	Remarks.	The objects were seen at one minute
3		intervals. They moved upwards into the
		sky and then disappeared.
		Section 40 said that these objects had
	* × ×	been seen by other people and that the
	The Art of	incident was in the local paper.
	900 F	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	14 October 2008
	9. A	10.40L
	а, — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
	<i>s</i> ² #	(4.)



From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

20 (X		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
The second s		n na second	9 	
Section 40		Your R	leference:	¥:
Cheltenham		Our Re	eference:	28
Gloucestershire		D/DAS	5/64/2	
Section 40		Date:		
	*	15 Oct	ober 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 10 October 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.


First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.


With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 10 October 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	10 October 2008 20.45L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape,	There were five, what looked like orange fire balls. They were silent.
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
	×.,	
-		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
	*	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more	The objects were moving over Cheltenham in Gloucestershire.
	helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.



4-2-2		
9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
	949	
). 		
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
	· · · ·	Cheltenham
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Gloucestershire
<u>,</u>		Section 40
2		
		n e ^e
2.0		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	e (%).	
20		
12.	Remarks.	Was a very weird sighting.
14.	ixinar ks.	was a very went signing.
		32
	- -	
		22
13.	Date and time of receipt.	14 October 2008
	4 ····	10.30L
	10	*
	21	, [*]

+

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

it.	2 2 2		Telephone(Direct dial)020 7218 2°(Switchboard)020 7218 9°(Fax)Section 4
· · ·	R 8	5 1	4
Section 40			Your Reference:
Felixstowe Suffolk	•	7 2	Our Reference: TO04504/2008
Section 40	100		Date: 13 October 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object'/flashes, seen on 1 October 2008, the details of which you passed to the Rt Hon John Hutton MP. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings/flashes in the sky for 1 October 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

ction 40

Sorry I could not be any help.



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Page 1 of 2

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE - TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY

Section 40		2 5
From: S	ection 40 on behalf of Low Flying	
Sent:	07 October 2008 16:09	
То:	DAS-LACEU3	
Subject:	FW: Release-Authorised: Treat Official Correspondence: TOO	4504/2008
Attachments	: TO04504 2008 - 20081007151615 Section 49t	



From: Parli Branch-Treat-Official Sent: 07 October 2008 15:19 To: DAS-Sec; Low Flying Subject: Release-Authorised: Treat Official Correspondence: TO04504/2008

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE - TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY

To: DAS Sec Copy To: Our Reference: TO04504/2008 Due Date: 27 October 2008 Correspondent: Section 40 Additional Advice:

The Rt Hon John Hutton MP has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent **within 15 working days of the date of this message**. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

If correspondence includes a specific request for recorded information then it should be treated under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, even though the Act is not specifically mentioned. You should inform Parliamentary Branch, who will close the case down on the Parliamentary Toolkit. The correspondence should then be logged on the Access to Information Toolkit and you should consult and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOI request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info. (See the guidance at

http://defenceintranet.diiweb.r.mil.uk/DefenceIntranet/Admin/RespondToRequestsForInformatic

It is important that branches ensure they have simple systems to track

10/10/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE - TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY

Page 2 of 2

correspondence received from members of the public, though the Parliamentary Toolkit records the basic details. If you have access to a DII/C terminal, please follow this link (once a response has been sent) to add your Final Reply Date and close the case to remove it from your TO Task List:

<u>http://pt/_Layouts/PT/TaskList/TaskList.aspx</u>. Lead Branches without access to the Toolkit should notify the Ministerial Correspondence Unit (via ParliBranch-Treat-Official@mod.uk) of the date of their reply so that Parli Branch can close the record on the Toolkit.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm. If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

Email: ParliBranch-Treat-Official@mod.uk

Regards,

MOD Parliamentary Branch Ministerial Correspondence Unit t: Section 40 t: f: e: ParliBranch-Treat-Official@mod.uk

10/10/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

5 Section 40 (2)

TOO4504 2008 - 20081007151615 From: no-reply@feedback.mod.uk Sent: 03 October 2008 20:38 To: Ministers Subject: Ask a Minister

This request has been received via www.mod.uk.

txtfirstname Section 40 txtlastname: txtsubject: Flashes in the sky txtaddress1: Section 40 txtaddress2: txttowncity: Felixstowe txtstatecountry: Suffolk txtzipcodepostcode: Section 40 txtcountry: United Kingdom

txtcountry: United Kingdom txtemailAddress: Section 40 txtrequest: Just wondered what the very quick flashes people are seeing in the night sky are. For some 8 years now I, and others, have noticed very fast intense flashes at night. Believe me it is not my sanity going, nor is it aircraft strobes, Iridium flares (too fast), meteors, lightning nor lighthouses in the area. The last nights of 1 and 2 October have been the most spectacular with some 10 flashes between 11:00ish and midnight (NE to SE direction). One I happened to see directly, rare to see this, and appeared in the north east at about 50 degrees high and looked to form into a ball. It seems from reading the internet that many others have noticed these as well along eastern UK, along with several other people I know all over suffolk...Any comment??? Or not??

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

2

Page 1

NO rep' que OR




REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(No date or time given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There were two strange objects in the sky. They were together and then they followed each other.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Just parking the car in New Malden, Greater London, when she looked up in the sky.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The objects were seen flying over New Malden, Greater London.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

10000000		
9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
2.	(Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
	(i once, mintary, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
		the second se
		New Malden
	61	Greater London
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
1		a. 91.
	22	
10	Devel	Section 400
12.	Remarks.	Section said that she would like to speak to
÷		someone about her sighting, as she was
4		baffled by what she saw. That there was
		something definitely very strange in the
3	*	sky.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 October 2008
	▲	11.30L
	2	



Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen on 6 October 2008, the details of which you passed to us by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for the 6 October 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

09/10/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

6 oct.

Page 1 of 1

Section 40

From: Section 40


Sent: 07 October 2008 15:04

To: DAS-UFO-Office

Subject: sighting on mon 6th oct '08

I was playing with my dog in the garden last night, <u>North Lincolnshire at 2055hrs</u>, I happend to look up, admiring the circle of cloud surrounding the area with a clear centre showing the blank strarry sky when from the west I suddenly spotted a strange movement. It was like a very large inverted V of dull, <u>lights - greyish</u> almost, no shell outline, the shape only defined by the 7 lights. It moved to a southernly position to myself [I was facing south] it rotated slightly then seemed to bounce slightly, then to my amazement it wasnt one large object as I first thorght because the 7 lights then shot off towards the east...... individualy & at very high speed!!!????????

08/10/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



Dear<mark>Section 40</mark>

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 5 October 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of at potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 5 October 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely Section 40 Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail – das-ufo-office@mod.uk

09/10/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

	The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2626/1
•	
	Section 40
	From: Section 40 Sent: 06 October 2008 14:15 To: Section 40 Subject: FW: Release-authorised: FW: Service Families Enquiry
	UFO Report
	Original Message From: <mark>Section 40</mark> Sent: 06 October 2008 14:12 To: Section 40 Subject: Release-authorised: FW: Service Families Enquiry
	Dear <mark>Section 40</mark>
	Please find below, another report of a strange sighting received via the MOD public website.
	I will reply to Section 40 advise him that I have forwarded his email to the correct department.
	Kind regards,
	Section 40
	Information Support Assistant HIVE UK & Overseas
C	Tel: Section-40 Mil: Section-40
	Original Message From: no-reply@feedback.mod.uk [mailto:no-reply@feedback.mod.uk] Sent: 05 October 2008 20:13 To: <mark>Section 40</mark> Subject: Service Families Enquiry
	This request has been received via www.mod.uk.
Se	txtname: Section 40 txtemailAddress: Section 40 txtcountry: UK txtcountry: UK txtrequest: This evening i witnessed a number of mysterious orange lights in the night sky moving silently overhead. At first there were three and then another three lights appeared. I have witnessed solar iridium flairs which often light up the night sky, but there are always bright white light. I have not idea what these strange lights were and would appreciate it if you or anyone could shed some light. My postcode is ction 40 and they were visible at 19:50BST.
	Regards, Wodverhampton wet midlands, Section 40

S

© Crown Copyright

1





From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

· .		а. Т	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
		а а а ба а		8	
1	×		57		
			2 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		5. 5
Section 40			Your F	Reference:	
	-22	а – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –		x.	
Exmouth			Our Re	eference:	
Devon			D/DAS	5/64/2	<i>a</i>
Section 40		2	Date:		
			9 Octo	ber 2008	
а В	·				

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 28 September 2008, the details of which you passed to us by letter dated 1 October 2008. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 28 September 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Sorry I could not be any help.


Section 40

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

EXMOUTH DEVON DAS 1.10.08 Dear Sir or Madam During the early hours Sunday 28th Schlember, I saw an object J in able to I am a at opproximal mednight hast I was driveng to Bus era about 5 miles from Browett. Lwas a clear right with no cloud as I love towards Budleigh, through dark country. side, I could see a lot & stars the m particular samed to be twinkling a lot. as I reached my destination I realized it was too low to be a /24/2626/1

2 It was stationary, but was too high to be a helicopter. The dject then moved across a stopped. It was orange in by and colour and did not seem to be twent time and for a seco SK moved by then. stopped again. The people I was collecting also saw it move the second time. The direction it took in a straight line, was roughly N.E.-S.W. apoligies in advance for probably wasting your time, but any sort explanation would be most in your faithtue ction 40 /2626/1

No rep! عاج e given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date not given). 19.55L
2.	Description of object.	One hundred possible UFOs in the sky.
2.	(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	One nullered possible of os in the sky.
		2.V. L.
8		
	n	a s
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	Not given.
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFOs were seen over Sopley in Dorset.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
1		* 10 0 DI T 011.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9 .	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Full address not given. Section 40 Christchurch
8		Dorset Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	husband also saw the UFOs.
12.	Remarks. S	said that they both saw these UFOs in the summer months and wonders what they could be.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	8 October 2008 10.35L
	2 12 13	ы л ч л 2 2

· 5





Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

From Section 40

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140 (Switchboard) 020 7218 9000 (Fax) ction 40 Your Reference: **Buckhurst Hill** Our Reference: Essex D/DAS/64/2 40 Date: 8 October 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in October 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's. I apologise if I have misspelt your surname, the answerphone can be hard to understand.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.


Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings so far for Buckhurst Hill in Essex for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date not given). 20.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Four very strange orange glow lights.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were seen flying above the witnesses' house, in Buckhurst Hill, Essex.
6.	Approximate distance.	Right above the house.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
	x +	
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
15		Buckhurst Hill Essex
		Section 40
	a a a a a a a	
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 husband and son saw the
	*	lights too.
a.	*	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said the lights were very
a a		strange and nerving that they were right above her house. Could we shed any light on this?
	*	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	8 October 2008 10.20L
рс Д		





Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Section 40

From:

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	· ·	5 2	Telephone(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
	e ^e 5		
Section 40	е, в ²	n na	Your Reference:
Belfast	<u>к</u>		Our Reference:
County Antrim	2	а Д	D/DAS/64/2
Northern Ireland			Date:
	а а а	۵ ۲	2 October 2008

Deat Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on various occasions in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings from Belfast so far in 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

We do not contact members of the public by telephone, just by letter or e.mail. We have the answerphone for people to leave their details of their sightings on.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Finally, with regards to you receiving death threats, that would be a matter for the civil police to deal with.

Sorry I could not be of much help.

Yours sincerely



Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given). Seen on various occasions.
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Ships/UFOs were over the witnesses' house.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Indoors, looking out of his window.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The ships were seen over his house in Belfast, Northern Ireland.
6.	Approximate distance.	Right above.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
а	telephone no of informant.	Belfast
		County Antrim
	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Northern Ireland
		Section 40
	а. А	
	a	An
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
		р
	т. С	*
. *		
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that he has seen these
		ships quite a lot. Would like us to get back to him. That people are out to get him, and
		that he has received death threats. He has
2 .		also had visitations from the greys! He has
	a na a a a	also written to the American government regarding this subject.
		regulating this subject.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 October 2008
		10.50L
N.		





From

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

 Telephone
 (Direct dial) (Switchboard)
 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

 Section 40
 Your Reference:

 Leighton Buzzard Bedfordshire
 Our Reference:

 Section 40
 D/DAS/64/2

 Date: 2 October 2008
 2 October 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 September 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for the 27 September 2008, and that was from Southgate in London. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

ection 40

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	27 September 2008
1	(Duration of sighting.)	(Time not given).
2.	Description of object.	Had a sighting of something definitely odd.
2	(No of objects, size, shape,	
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
		8
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
	8	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye, plus the witness took a
т.	(Naked eye, binoculars,	photograph.
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	а. С
5.	Direction in which object	The object was seen flying over the South
	was first seen.	of Bletchley in Buckinghamshire.
	(A landmark may be more	n s
	helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
	5	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
0.		

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

	ан на н	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
	5	Leighton Buzzard Bedfordshire
r H	a a N	Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	ų. N	a da
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said he hopes that somebody
		gets back to him, as his sighting was
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	definitely quite odd, and that he has got a photograph of the incident.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	1 October 2008
	а.	15.00L
		L





From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	Telephone(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000Section 40
Section 40 Southgate London Section 40	Your Reference: Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 1 October 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 September 2008, the details of which you passed to the Low Flying Complaints Cell by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.


With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 27 September 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1





Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

No, reply, 28 no address.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over St Peter in Jersey.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far away.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Jersey Air Traffic Control, who in turn left a message on the DAS answerphone.					
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Anon, Jersey ATC did not pass the name or address on.					
а — м — м — м — м — м							
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.					
12.	Remarks.	Not given.					
а 8	a A A A A A A						
13.	Date and time of receipt.	17 September 2008 10.30L					



From:

ection 40



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	л. т. н				Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
	а. ² 8		12 21		Ŧ	N X	
Section 40		na de sub idensia		fan 1995 - Hin Hillin de geseel is one en e	Your R	leference:	Та на на
Middlesbrough	а на А			a 2 11 12	Our Re	eference:	2
Cleveland	15				D/DAS	5/64/2	
Section 40	23		8	23	Date:		
	n In 18			а. Т	29 Sept	tember 2008	
				<i>v</i>			

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's. I apologise if I have spelt your name or address wrong, the answerphone can be hard to understand.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.


Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Middlesbrough in Cleveland so far for September 2008. We satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



© Crown Copyright


REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2		
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
9 B		
e.		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Middlesbrough, Cleveland.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
	(1 once, mintary, press etc)	
к		
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
sî.	telephone no of informant.	Middlesbrough
53		Cleveland
231		Section 40
5		
6 66 - 263 19	8 8	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	5 1	а. С
	2 10	
	s. x	
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
2 2 203		
8	4	
8	a na na sa	
13.	Data and time of respire	29 September 2008
15.	Date and time of receipt.	10.45L
N.	а. 	
8	4	





Sent: 23 September 2008 15:06

40

To:

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

DealSection 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen on 20 September 2008, the details of which you passed to the das-ufo-office e.mail address.

You will already know our policy regarding UFOs, due to previous correspondence.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of UFO sightings for the 20 September 2008, and they were from Wiltshire and North Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be much help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

23/09/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Section	-40	2			5 2	
From:	Section 40					
Sent:	23 September 2008 12:28	*	×			
To:	DAS-UFO-Office		12	17 21		


Subject: UFO sighting

To whome it may concern, i would like to register a ufo sighting that was witnessed by myself family and friends and neighbours. This sighting occured over Chatteris on the <u>20th september between 8-8.30pm</u>. Multiple bright glowing objects between 5-10,000ft heading from the south to north. This sighting was reported to cambs police along with other reports from witnesses in an area about 10square miles approx. If you have any information in regards to this sighting i would appreciate any answers that may be allowed to be passed forward to the general public. Weather clear skies wind neglible, no jetstream over the uk at that particular time.

Kind regards Section 40

23/09/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

rep 200




REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	22 September 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	No time given.
2.	Description of object.	A UFO.
	(No of objects, size, shape,	
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
	<i>x</i>	
	, * · ·	
	4 A A	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
* * *	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
	1	и на ^и и к
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	5 g
1	other optical device, camera	а
17	or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object	The UFO was seen over Glasgow in
	was first seen.	Lanarkshire.
	(A landmark may be more	
	helpful than a roughly	n
	estimated bearing.)	
6	Annuarimata distance	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	
	constant, moving fast, slow)	n _{is}
0	W/a a 4h an a an 3040 and	Natairran
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		No address given.
i B		Glasgow Lanarkshire
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that he informed Lanarkshire Police of his sighting, but to no avail. That he told the RAF too.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	23 September 2008 14.20L
8	s o z	



Dear "Eye witness",

Thank you for your e-mail of 22 September 2008 regarding lights you saw near RAF Lyneham on 20 September 2008.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 September 2008 in the Wiltshire area and RAF Lyneham have confirmed their air traffic control have no record of anything unusual being noted at the time in question.

Sorry I could not be more helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40 DAS-FOI 05-H-Section 40 MoD Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

22/09/2008 atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 22 September 2008 10:10

To: DAS-UFO-Office

Subject: Wiltshire UFOs 20th Sept 2008

Hi,

This is a copy of an email I sent to R.A.F Lyneham Airbase, Wiltshire after myself & many others witnessed UFO craft.

it reads as follows.....

Hi,

Im an eye witness who lives near Lyneham Air base in Calne.

This Saturday 20th September 2008 myself & many others witnessed UFO activity arround 10pm in the Calne area.

We witnessed x5 super bright glowing orange/pink orbs at low altitude flying above us that had unusual flying characteristis & were totally silent in flight! They were (NOT) flaires from Sailsbury as could elevate aswell as decend

Did Air traffic control pick these UFOs up on radar or know what craft they were?

Im convinced these vessles were not military as civilians know of ,as looked of exterrestrial form even though Im aware of technology that is hidden from public knowledge!

These bright orbs went out of vision as we re-located in an attempt to get a closer viewing & thought we had lost the vessels for good!

However less than a minute later we followed a solo flying fireball above us that gradually made its way in the direction of Wootton Bassett climbing altitude until could no longer be seen!

Again I think this was a craft of some sort & not a commet or weather bloon as x1 it was climbing altitude & 2 travelled to quickly to be a bloon & eventually entered space

Again did Air control track this huge fireball that made a right turn above us towards Wootton Bassett?

I and others know of Black project coverups controlled by your superiors, as its now leaked over the internet for everyone to see!

However If you have information on this sighting you can share, I would appriciate what you could tell me! I have (NOT) reported this to local press as for many would appear a total hoax, plus many may not be conditioned in a way they could accept this! Hope to hear back, Best regards Eye witness.

If your DAS Organization can give me further knowledge of exterrestrial technology I want to hear from you!

I know the Nazi history behind it all, project paper clip & the build up of Area51 - The Majestic12

group, & Project Cloverleaf

22/09/2008 atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1





From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

		2 P 2	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
				-	
		й		v v <i>s sama</i>	
Section 40	4 1		Your R	eference:	
Iver (Nr Uxbrigde)	· · ·		Our Re	ference:	
Buckinghamshire			D/DAS	/64/2	
Section 40			Date:		
	n -		22 Sept	tember 2008	. *

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 21 September 2008, the details of which you passed to RAF Northolt. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 21 September 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Section 40

 Fax from
 Section 40

 21/08 2008 23:27 FAX
 Section 40

22/09/08 13:18

Pg: 2

UNCLASSIFIED

ANNEX B TO ACDO SOP 105 DATED APR 07

FROM RAF NORTHOLT – Duty Operations Officer

To: MOD Duty Ops DCDSCO

FAO: Wg Cdr Section 40

UFO SIGHTING REPORT

1. DTG & duration of sighting. 21 Scp 08 between 2215 – 2230 hrs local.

2. Description of Object. Stream of about 40 oval-round'ish lights. "First of all 4 in a stream, then a gap, then, right up from the horizon a group of 4 then 5 then 6, all in straight lines, then a bit of a gap followed by a last one". Colour, "funny orange". Bright, no noise.

3. Exact position of observer. Observer was in her front garden looking towards London Heathrow. Section 40 has no idea of the orientation of her front garden.

4. How observed. By the naked eye and about 8 minutes recorded on video. I have advised Section 40 not to loose the video. Also observed by her husband (age 55), son (age 32) and grand daughter (age 3).

5. Direction in which object first seen. Coming from the West Drayton area moving towards the Slough area. Section 40 had no idea of relative bearing.

6. Angle of sight. About 55 Deg from horizontal (not very accurate).

7. Distance. About 1 – 1.5 miles away.

8. Movement. In a non-uniform stream, moving from the West Drayton area to the Slough area.

9. Met conditions. Dark, clear, dry, high cloud.

10. Nearby objects. London Heathrow, local church, telephone lines, HV lines (build up outer London urban area).


11. To whom reported.

Flt I Section 40 RAF, Duty Operations Officer via the MOD

operator initially as a flying complaint. POCs: RAFTN-Dection 40

UNCLASSIFIED

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



16. DTG receipt of report. 21 Sep 08 at 2230 hrs local.

17. Unusual Met conditions in arca of sighting. Unlikely. Here at RAF Northolt we have our own Met service adjacent to the Ops room. I've been on duty in the RAF Northolt Ops room throughout the weekend: the weather has been exceptionally good for the time of year. Clear and dry, cool in the evening. We've had no notice/reports of any unusual Met in the local area. Uxbridge is only about 8 miles away.

18. Other remarks.

Section 40 is very keep to find out what this 'phenomenon' is/was.




Section 40

Flt Lt Duty Operations Officer RAF Northolt

UNCLASSIFIED

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



Facsimile Transmission Cover Sheet

Transmissio	n Details	Document Details
Serial Number:	Date and time of transmission:	Reference:
005	21 2316Z SEP 08	л ж
From: RAF Northolt Duty Ops Officer	Fax Number Section 40	
To:	Fax Number:	Subject:
MOD DCDS DO	Section 40	UFO SIGHTING 21 Sep 08
FAQ - Wg Cdr Section 40		
		Total Number of pages including this cover sheet

Message/Remarks:

Sir,

As discussed on the phone, please find following 2 pages of a UFO sighting report which I received less than 2 hours ago.

I have already checked with London/Swanwick - there was no stream of ac that might have been seen and mistaken for these lights.

May the force he with you!

Authorizing Officer	Transmit Operators		
Rank/Name and Appointment: Fit Lt Section 40	Rank/Name and Appointment: Fit L <mark>Section 40</mark> 40		
Signature Section 40	Signatu CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC		

Covering Caveat Classification

-
-
UNCLAS

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date not given). 21.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A load of orange lights in formation.
а. 11 2		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were seen coming from the Farnborough direction, moving towards Greenwich and then just disappeared.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The lights were moving across the sky.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
3	terephone no or miormant.	Full address not given.
		Isle of Dogs
3	a k ^a n k a n a k a k	London Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said could we ring her and
-		offer any explanation as to what the lights could have been.
a	n sa s ^a n Rai y n A sa s	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	22 September 2008 11.00L





Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

ection 40

From:

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

н н ц н			* .	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
n s p g ou n					a	а а ^н
section 40		9 10 10	;	Your R	leference:	. *
Stoke on Trent Staffordshire	-	8.		Our Re D/DAS	eference: 8/64/2	*
ection 40		a	* · .	Date: 22 Sep	tember 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 19 September 2008, the details of which you passed to Staffordshire Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 19 September 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	19 September 2008 20.44L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	An orange ball which looked like it came up from the ground.
9 a 8		
3		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside, didn't say where.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen moving over Stoke on Trent in Staffordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The orange ball shot up vertically into the sky and then disappeared.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

a		
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Staffordshire Police, who then in turn left a message on the DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Stoke on Trent Staffordshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Some of his friends.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the sighting was very strange.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	22 September 2008 20.44L



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	20 September 2008 22.55L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There were circles of white lights with a set of fire coming from inside of each of them. They were like hang gliders as in totally quiet. The last circle had a red light. They were in a formation.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside of a B&B in Malton.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Malton in North Yorkshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	They were moving at quite a quick speed.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
).	(Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
	(I once, mintary, press etc)	
10.	Name address and	Didn't give nome and just said he lives in
10.	Name, address and	Didn't give name and just said he lives in Lincolnshire.
11	telephone no of informant.	Lincoinsnire.
	5 	
27 12		
* *		
		a a state of the s
11		
11.	Other witnesses.	Other people that were staying at the B&B.
	а. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
10		
12.	Remarks.	The witness said that we should get back to
	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	him and as he is a taxpayer, explain to him
		what the MOD are doing and what it was
		that he saw. He has a right to know. The
		circles were seen for six to seven minutes.
3		
	n a stand	8
10		<u>22.0 i 1 2000</u>
13.	Date and time of receipt.	22 September 2008
		10.45L
	a a	

Section 40



 From:
 Section 40

 Sent:
 18 September 2008 14:29

To: Section 4

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 13 September 2008, the details of which you passed to us by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to UFOs.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 13 September 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

18/09/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

Section 40			Ŧ	ā.	· · ·	÷
From: Sent:	Section 40 16 September 2	008 09:18	÷	6 10 K 13 K 13 V	2 2	
To: Subject:		uthorised:FW: 20 s_Enquiry_LF_P		foSp_Asst-U		r.

-----Original Message-----From: Section 40 Sent: 15 September 2008 13:31 To: Section 40 Subject: Release-Authorised:FW: 20080915-Service_Families_Enquiry_LF_Pers_Hive_InfoSp_Asst-U

Dear Section 40

A colleague of mine, Section 40 recently sent you one of these 'ufo' sightings, and you were kind enough to reply that you were indeed the right person to pass these on to.

So, please find below another reported sighting, although this one fails to mention the location of the sighting.

I will reply to <u>Section 40</u> to say that I have forwarded his request to the relevant department.

Kind regards,

Section 40

Information Support Assistant HIVE UK & Overseas



-----Original Message-----From: no-reply@feedback.mod.uk [mailto:no-reply@feedback.mod.uk] Sent: 13 September 2008 15:46 To: Section 40 Subject: Service Families Enquiry

This request has been received via www.mod.uk.

txtname: Section 40 txtemailAddress Section 40 txtcountry: UK txtrequest: i just finnished from work at 4.53 am saturday morning and was on my bike on way home and there was this quite large flashing object just hanging in the air quite high up and underneath the object was orange red luminating light . i was quite scared of this object becouse i never seen anything like this . as i watched this object it flew off at massive speed before i know it was gone. im reporting this becouse i dont want any hostile threat in are airspace.ive not told any website this or anybody else till speak to mod.

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

© Crown Copyright

1





From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

т.	а. к к			а 1 2	20	20 20 21	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
. а а ^н	л м ц	e T		a		,			
Section 40		5 U 2 5 5		u u	a a		Your R	eference:	- - - -
Stoke on Trent Staffordshire	6	it it is	а 5 л		v	· · ·	Our Re D/DAS	ference: 5/64/2	n 19 2
Section 40	11	2	1 	а, в 13	ž		Date: 17 Sept	tember 2008	а а а

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in September 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Stoke on Trent in Staffordshire in September 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

		
1.	Date and time of sighting.	(Date and time not given).
8	(Duration of sighting.)	
n 8 e 11	(D'aracioni or bighting.)	
2.	Description of object.	Saw something strange in the sky.
	(No of objects, size, shape,	
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	a	
94 - 491		
60 9	5 g 6 g 6	x.
1		
е — ¹⁶	10 M	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
n T	stationary/moving.)	
1		
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
	of calleorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object	The object was moving over Stoke on
5	was first seen.	Trent in Staffordshire.
	(A landmark may be more	
	helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
	*	9
7.	Movements and speed	Not given.
1.	Movements and speed.	
	(side to side, up or down,	
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
		л
		5 ^{- 6}
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
2		Section 40 Stoke on Trent Staffordshire
X X		Section 40
s 		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
5 S		
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that we should get in touch with him and get more details of his sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	17 September 2008 11.00L
2		





From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

		а В		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
		×	r G	2	5	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9 g	9 20000 0 2 20 20				
ection 40	a (*			Your R	Reference:	1
						а — Р а
Rickmansworth		2	* *	Our Re	eference:	21
Hertfordshire	2			D/DAS	5/64/2	¥1
Section 40		т. ^н	5 41	Date:	18 1	
d.			*0 X		tember 2008	
						2

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in September 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Rickmansworth in Herts so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	Date not given.
55 J	(Duration of sighting.)	17.30L
2.	Description of object.	There were objects flying across the sky.
	(No of objects, size, shape,	
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
	и "	
		a a Baara
	5. -	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Outside the witnesses house.
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
a.		
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye and three videos from
а В	(Naked eye, binoculars,	three mobile phones were taken.
	other optical device, camera	
)	or camcorder.)	
		n a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
5.	Direction in which object	The objects were seen over
:	was first seen.	Rickmansworth in Hertfordshire.
3 X	(A landmark may be more	
	helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
0.	Approximate distance.	
51		
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	
61 (2	constant, moving fast, slow)	
		5
	4 1 1 1 1	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
20	during observation	

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Rickmansworth
21		Hertfordshire
		Section 40
N 8		
11.	Other witnesses.	Two other people, but Section 40 didn't
	е	say who they were.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the objects were not
		ordinary planes. You could see that they
÷		were clearly something else.
20 3		
e *		
a H	5 	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	17 September 2008
		10.45L
	8 6 7	
	· · · · · · ·	





From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

			Teleph	none (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
۰ ,	2		a 2		÷
ection 40	5 		Y	our Reference:	
x				ur Reference:	8 2
High Wycombe			D	/DAS/64/2	
Buckinghamshire	2		Da	ate:	
		х — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	1.5	September 2008	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 14 September 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 14 September 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

ection 40

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	14 September 2008 01.07L
	(Duration of signing.)	01.07L
2.	Description of object.	A UFO sighting.
-	(No of objects, size, shape,	
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
12	ан на селото на селот На селото на	
а а		
	6	
		· · · ·
<u> </u>		Neteine
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location.	Not given.
1	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	м н. К
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera	
2	or camcorder.)	
2		
5.	Direction in which object	The UFO was seen moving over High
	was first seen.	Wycombe in Buckinghamshire.
	(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	а — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
2		
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
/.	(side to side, up or down,	
а 1	constant, moving fast, slow)	4 8 8 4 4
		* * *
0	XX7X	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

80-17 14	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		High Wycombe Buckinghamshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that he is an ex-RAF Pilot and that this was no ordinary plane that he saw, and could we get back to him about his sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	15 September 2008 11.05L





Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

From: Section 40

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	8 8 8 8	a a			Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
s				р в	F		-
Section 40 Enfield		2 2	a.		Your R	eference:	a a
Greater London	8				Our Re	ference:	
Section 40	×				D/DAS	/64/2	
	8		8 8		Date:	12	
	0		2 2		15 Sept	tember 2008	

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in September 2008, the details of which you passed to Heathrow Airport. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's. I apologise that I have not put your name on the letter, Heathrow Airport did not pass me those details.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.


Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Enfield, Greater London so far for September 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	(No date or time given).
1	(Duration of sighting.)	
2.	Description of object.	Five orange balls in the sky.
	(No of objects, size, shape,	<i>E P</i>
2. 2	colour, brightness, noise.)	
с. К		
8	н. Н	
	ф. ж.	
		a ¹⁷
3.	Exact position of observer	Not given
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
	e	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
5	Direction in which object	The object was seen moving over Enfield
3.	Direction in which object	The object was seen moving over Enfield
11	was first seen.	in Greater London.
	(A landmark may be more	
18	helpful than a roughly	а
	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

atalogue Reference:defe/24/2626/1

		2
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Heathrow Airport, who then in turn left a message on the DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	No name was passed on. Section 40 Enfield Greater London Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
10		NI-4 -i
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	15 September 2008 10.45L
5	:	

© Crown Copyright

.