



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was observed for 57 minutes.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye. Also, pictures were taken on her mobile phone.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Luton Airport in Bedfordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to another area (the PRIDE project) in the MOD.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
	***************************************	Stevenage
	4	Hertfordshire
		Section 40
	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	*	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	js .	
86	P us se	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the UFO seemed to be
	Q 0	monitoring Luton Airport air traffic.
		8 9 8 8
		±
a		a
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 July 2008 09.47L
		VA.TIE
	200	



From: Section 40


Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40



Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 24 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 21 July 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 21 July 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



### Section 40

From: Sent: To: Section 40 23 July 2008 10:45 Section 40

Subject: FW: FOI Written Request

From: Section 40

Sent: 23 July 2008 10:44

To: Section 40

Subject: FW: FOI Written Request

### Section 40

Can I interest you with this request?

It has not been logged as FOI.

Regards

#### Section 40

FOI Helpdesk

### Section 40

----Original Message----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]

Sent: 23 July 2008 11:39
To: Info-Access-Office
Subject: FOT Written Pegu

Subject: FOI Written Request

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Wednesday, July 23,

2008 at 11:38:38

Continue 40

firstname

lastname:

occupation: retired

org/company: Please enter your Organisation or Company (optional)

address1: Section 40

address2:

towncity: Dronfield

statecounty: Derbyshire

postzipcode: Section 40

country: United Kingdom

e-mail: Section 40

telephone: Please enter your telephone number (optional)

informationrequest: Can't find anywhere else to report sighting of unusual object in

the sky:

Seen through open car window, while driving, at about 10.30 p.m. on Monday July 21st, looking South from A6 between Buxton and Ashford-in the-Water. First, a distant bright

1


light, like huge star; minutes later, an object like a helicopter, high up, with many lights. Then a closer view, the size of a low plane, coming towards me - a wide thin pe with white and coloured lights across it - disappearing overhead.

I'm prepared to accept that it was a helicopter or plane seen from an unusual angle, but it didn't seem to behave like one. Will I ever know? Have I reached the right place to report it?

Thanks.

Section 40

submit: Send Form



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There were strange lights in the sky.
Ü		E
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were seen over Aberdeen, Aberdeenshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported.	The sighting was reported to another area
	(Police, military, press etc)	(the PRIDE project) in the MOD.
	Ta.	
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
		Aberdeen
	* **	Aberdeenshire
10	8 8	Section 40
	2	Section 40
	2	<u> </u>
¥	a e	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	3	
	1	
10		Section 40
12.	Remarks.	said that the lights were not
20	2.	an aircraft.
		140
	15	
5		*
	*	e y
13.	Date and time of receipt.	23 July 2008
	3	10.13L
		.eb as

### Section 40

From: Sec

Section 40

Sent:

24 July 2008 12:46

To:

Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

### Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your e.mail to the UFO office address dated 17 July 2008, regarding your sighting in October 2004. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, your details have been logged and your e.mail will be filed.

Sorry, I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

#### Section 40

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E-mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

24/07/2008

Page	1	of	1	
		1		

#### Section 40

From: Section 40 on behalf of DAS-UFO-Office

Sent: 21 July 2008 09:14
To: Section 40

Subject: FW: UFO

From: Section 40

Sent: 17 July 2008 15:35 To: DAS-UFO-Office Subject: UFO

Dear sir or madam I would like to report a UFO sighting. Our sighting did not happen recently but happened in October 2004 near Tattenhall village Cheshire.lve gave this quite some thought on weather or not to notify the MOD because of ridicule and/or disbelief, but I can only say with 100% certainty that an intelligent entity DOES exist in our skies. Our experience was long, Close [within feet] and very vivid, it was NOT something known to exist.

It also had a profound effect on my health at the time and I believe was responsible for health problems I had to seek soon after frequenting Walton Neurological Centre in Liverpool. If you would like any more information email me on Section 40

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

21/07/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1





From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1

## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Harrowden
Bedford

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 22 July 2008

Dear Section 40

Bedfordshire Section

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Harrowden, Bedford in Bedfordshire so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There were balls of light beaming red lights out of the sky.
†		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye. Also Section 40 took a video and photographs of the balls of light.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were seen over Bedford in Bedfordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Quite a bit of cloud cover.
8	e e	2 E S

9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
b 11	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
8:	* *	Harrowden
		Bedford Bedfordshire
*	# %	Section 40
		Section-40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	, , ,	
	25 26	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that she reported her
	a far a	gighting to the legal nevergence and charge
	2 (6)	
		them her video and photographic evidence.
		them her video and photographic evidence. She said that one journalist seemed amazed
		sighting to the local newspaper and showed them her video and photographic evidence. She said that one journalist seemed amazed at what he saw and that he had never seen anything like it before
		them her video and photographic evidence. She said that one journalist seemed amazed
20		them her video and photographic evidence. She said that one journalist seemed amazed at what he saw and that he had never seen
13.	Date and time of receipt.	them her video and photographic evidence. She said that one journalist seemed amazed at what he saw and that he had never seen
13.	Date and time of receipt.	them her video and photographic evidence. She said that one journalist seemed amazed at what he saw and that he had never seen anything like it before.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	them her video and photographic evidence. She said that one journalist seemed amazed at what he saw and that he had never seen anything like it before.  22 July 2008

#### Section 40

From: Sent:

feedback@www.mod.uk 14 July 2008 11:50 webmaster@dgics.mod.uk

To: Subject:

Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Monday, July 14, 2008 at 11:49:47

-----

firstname: Section 40

towncity:

statecounty: North Yorkshire

postzipcode: Y011

country: UK

e-mail: Section 40

, 11th 12th 14th July 2008

informationrequest: Hi.

Am a Field Investigator #: 12633 serious.

I'm wanting to report strange sightings in the sky of Scarborough UK what I could only describe them as other people around the United Kingdom and America are seeing them at the same time just before me. UFOs. On 11th July 2008 at about 11:30pm whilst at my Computer I saw a cork that glowed like an Angel fly up and over from Trees then on 12th July 2008 at 11:52am I saw a pea pod shaped colour white fly through a blue part of the sky then through a cloud. Then on 14th July 2008 at 2:14am whilst I was still wide awake in bed I saw yet another UFO shaped as a firey star on stary night and flied through a black sky for a few seconds and vanished.

You can check out my Video of real Aliens at www.youtube.com/michael29101977 with Aliens in a white building and one Alien standing next to a Bush caught on my Webcam seeing days after the Aliens in the building behind President George Bush of USA that wasn't shown on Video.

I've got a copy of it on Dvd if you want a copy of it let me know.

Thought it's best to tell you since other people are seeing UFO Sightings at the moment and that it's not me seeing things and something is going on in England with these UFO Sightings. I don't know what thou something like that should be investigated as it could be a threat to the United Kingdom from enemy of other countries with WMD or something like that.

Someone senses something big is going to happen near the end of 2008 as there isn't much time left in 2008.

I would appreciate a reply and if not then I don't mind.

Not on anything not taking any medication and wasn't watching any Science Fiction Films Tele programmes at that time and I know a UFO in reality is real and that Films are inmitating life of UFOs. I know Films isn't real when they have a UFO concept to them cause I know their just Special & Visual Effects in UFO Films.

Thanks.

submit: Send Form

------

1

# Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 22 July 2008 16:15

To: Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sightings.

Dear Sir,

I am writing with reference to your report of three 'unidentified flying objects' seen on the 11, 12 and 14 July 2008. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your three observations, I can confirm that for the 12 July 2008, we received four other UFO sighting reports, and they were from Surrey, Gloucestershire, Lincolnshire and North Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

#### Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E-mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

22/07/2008



From:

Section 40

Sent:

22 July 2008 15:31

To:

Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sightings.

### Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your e.mail dated 16 July 2008, regarding your two UFO sightings on the 12 and 13 July 2008.

As stated before, in previous correspondence, the Ministry of Defence has no interest or role in respect to 'UFO/flying saucer' matters, or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms about which it remains open-minded. The date, however, the MOD is unaware of any evidence that proves that these phenomena exist.

Unless there is corroborating evidence to suggest that the UK's airspace may have been compromised by unauthorised aircraft, the MOD does not investigate or seek to provide a precise explanation for the UFO sighting reports we receive each year. However, we believe that rational explanations could be found for most of the sightings if resources were devoted to doing so.

With regards to your observations on the 12 and 13 July 2008, I can confirm that we received four other UFO sighting reports for the 12 July 2008 and they were from Surrey, Gloucestershire, Lincolnshire and North Yorkshire.

Sorry I cannot be any help in explaining what you saw.

Yours sincerely

### Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

22/07/2008

### Section 40

From: Section 40 on behalf of DAS-UFO-Office

Sent: 16 July 2008 11:10

To: Section 40

Subject: FW: UFO Sighting.

One for you! - Looks like a regular

From: Section 40

Sent: 16 July 2008 09:47 To: DAS-UFO-Office

Subject: FW: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I'm afraid it's me again!!

19 + 13 2012 . 95.00.

Really sorry to keep pestering you, but I just wanted to let you know that we've seen the lights again.

We saw two briefly on Saturday night before they disappeared into a huge cloud and then 6 again on Sunday night – each time at just after 10pm.

Same as before like – they looked like bright orange stars rising up into the air one after the other. It was still slightly light on Sunday, as there was little cloud, so we could see where they were coming from this time. Before we thought they were appearing over the horizon, but they are actually coming up in front of Roughtor and at a guess I would say it's on Davidstow.

My Mum stayed at our house at the end of May, while we were on holiday and she also said she saw lights!!

I know you don't like to spend time looking into these things, but any suggestions on an explanation would be greatly appreciated, as this is driving us around the bend.

Many thanks for your time and I look forward to hearing from you.

Best regards

#### Section 40

From Section 40

**Sent:** 15 July 2008 21:48

To Section 40

Subject: Fw: UFO Sighting.

---- Original Message -----

From: Section 40
To: Section 40

Sent: Tuesday, May 06, 2008 3:20 PM

Subject: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen on 4 May 2008, the details of which you e.mailed to us on the das-ufo-office e.mail address. You will know from my previous correspondence that this is the office within the Ministry of Defence that deals with correspondence regarding UFOs and also our policy on the subject of UFOs.

21/07/2008

With regard to your particular observation on the 4 May 2008, I can confirm that we received no other reports of lights from Delabole in Cornwall, but we did receive one other report of a UFO for the 4 May 2008, but no area was given for that sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Finally, sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

#### Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

### Section 40

Credit Controller




×

This message and its attachments is intended for the above named only, and may be privileged or confidential. If it has come you in error you must take no action based on it, nor must you copy or show it to anyone. If you have received this message in error please destroy it and contact the sender, either via our switchboard on +44 (0) 1208 862121 or via return e-mail. All reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure that no viruses are present in this e-mail, however, Sharps Brewery Ltd cannot accept responsibility for loss or damage arising from the use of this message or attachments and recommend that you undertake your virus checking procedures prior to use. Sharps Brewery Ltd. does not enter into any form of contract via this medium, nor is our staff authorised to do so on our behalf. Any opinions expressed in this e-mail are those of the sender, and will not reflect the opinions of Sharps Brewery Ltd. unless specifically stated.

Company Registration No: 04729760
Registered Office: Sharp's Brewery, Rock, Cornwall PL27 6NU VAT Registration No: 821 3902 55


21/07/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1





l.	Date and time of sighting.	15 July 2008	¥ R				
	(Duration of sighting.)	Time not given	•		35		
2.	Description of object.	A UFO was see	n		<u> </u>		*
	(No of objects, size, shape,	li oi o was soo.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	colour, brightness, noise.)						74.
	, , , , , ,	(4)		-32	4		
					*		
	N &						
	*	et e	æ	W (W)			
						规	
						180 18	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.	B)	(c)			
N	Geographical location.	8					*
	(Indoors/outdoors,					6	
	stationary/moving.)	7			4	T = 1	
8							
 I.	How object was observed.	With the naked	eve.			1950(-3	
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	d-	V 82		9	72	
	other optical device, camera	,				ξ):	
	or camcorder.)						
	of camcorder.)	1					
	or camcorder.)		N 2		· 171.42-171 <del>.2</del> -=	*	1
5.	Direction in which object	The UFO was so	een o	ver Low	estoft	in	1
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.	The UFO was so Suffolk.	een o	ver Low	estoft	in	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more		een o	ver Low	estoft	in	4 4
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly		een o	ver Low	estoft	in	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more		een o	ver Low	estoft	in	
	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Suffolk.	een o	ver Low	estoft	in	
	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly		een o	ver Low	estoft	in	
	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Suffolk.	een o	ver Low	estoft	in	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Suffolk.	een o	ver Low	estoft	in	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.	Suffolk.  Not given.	een o	ver Low	estoft	in	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed.	Suffolk.  Not given.	een o	ver Low	estoft	in	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed.  (side to side, up or down,	Suffolk.  Not given.	een o	ver Low	estoft	in	
7.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed.  (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.  Not given.	een o	ver Low	estoft	in	
5. 6. 7.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed.  (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  Weather conditions	Suffolk.  Not given.	een o	ver Low	restoft	in	
5 <b>.</b> 7.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed.  (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  Weather conditions during observation.	Not given.  Not given.	een o	ver Low	restoft	in	
5. 7.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed.  (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  Weather conditions	Not given.  Not given.	een o	ver Low	restoft	in	

8		
9.	To whom reported.	Suffolk Police who in turn left a message
The state of the s	(Police, military, press etc)	on the DAS answerphone.
10.	Name address and	Cootion 40
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
	terephone no or informant.	Lowestoft
		Suffolk
	a +	Section 40
	A <sub>reo</sub> di v	
		4 4 4
E S		
11.	Other witnesses.	There were other calls to Suffolk Police
	- E	from Lowestoft regarding the UFO too.
38	7	
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
	4 ×	
2.0		
29		
28		
28		
13.	Date and time of receipt	21 July 2008
13.	Date and time of receipt.	21 July 2008 12.00L
13.	Date and time of receipt.	21 July 2008 12.00L
13.	Date and time of receipt.	





I.	Date and time of sighting.	(Date not given).
•	(Duration of sighting.)	23.10L
2.	Description of object.	There were flashing lights. It looked like
	(No of objects, size, shape,	there were three hundred planes going
	colour, brightness, noise.)	across the sky.
		a v v
		and the state of the
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
٥.	Geographical location.	Tiot given.
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
4	stationary/moving.)	
	3	en e
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	15 No. 8
	(Naked Cyc, Officeulais,	8
S2 15	other optical device, camera	
12 T		
	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	
5.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object	The lights were seen over Cambridgeshire.
5.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen.	The lights were seen over Cambridgeshire.
5.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more	The lights were seen over Cambridgeshire.
5.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly	The lights were seen over Cambridgeshire.
5.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more	The lights were seen over Cambridgeshire.
10 And 10	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly	The lights were seen over Cambridgeshire.  Not given.
<ul><li>5.</li><li>6.</li></ul>	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.	Not given.
6.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed.	Not given.
6.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down,	Not given.
6.     7.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.  Not given.
6.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  Weather conditions	Not given.
6.     7.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  Weather conditions during observation.	Not given.  Not given.
6.     7.	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  Weather conditions	Not given.  Not given.

1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.	3 5
2004			
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40	
*		Cambridgeshire	. **
		Section 40	87
**	*	, †	
11.	Other witnesses.	Her daughter witnessed the lights too.	
	V. 9		
	a		
12.	Remarks.	Not given.	
	a a		
77			
			8
			热
13.	Date and time of receipt.	21 July 2008 11.50L	# <i>i</i>
	*		
	8		







## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Redruth Cornwall

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

21 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 20 July 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 July 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting.	20 July 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	03.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	An object was seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Redruth in Cornwall moving from the North to the West. It then disappeared after moving towards the East.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

Е		
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Redruth Cornwall Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
it i		
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	21 July 2008 11.20L



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Horncastle Lincolnshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

21 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 12 July 2008, the details of which you passed to ATC Swanwick. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received three other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 12 July 2008, and they were from Surrey, Gloucestershire and North Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



ATC Swanwick. & UKO-Answerphone.

Report of Unidentified Flying	ng Object
Date	12 JUL 08
Time	2012 22:50 L
Sighting Duration	30 MINS
Description of Object	8 CIRCULAR YELLOW LARGE BRIGHT SOLID LIGHTS
	BRIGHT SOLID LIGHTS
Exact Position of Observer	Section 40 HOKNCHSTIE LINCOUNSHIPE Section 40
How Object was Observed	HUMAN EYE
Direction in which Object was First Seen	EAST, SOUTH EAST.
Angular Elevation of Object	BELOW CLOUD LOW LEVEL.
Distance of Object from Observer	UNKNOWN.
Movement of Object	HIGH SPEED LOW LEVEL SILENT
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	DE CLOUD SKY OBSCURED.
Nearby Objects	NONE.
To Whom Reported	Drazio a Section 40 LACC AC
Name of Informant	Section 40
Address of Informant	Section 40
	HNCOUNSHIRE Section 40
	Section 40
Background Information on Informant that may be	FLT OPS MANAGER
Volunteered	RAF CRANWELL , USUALLY RECE
Other Witnesses	Section 40



Page 2 of 3

Issue Date: 25/02/08

Date of Receipt of Report	12 JUL 08	8 2 E
Time of Receipt of Report	22:24	
465	Actions	Time
Complete report of UFO with FOI1	as many details as possible and send to	3 3 E
Telephone details immediately	y and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	

Issue Date: 25/02/08

Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1

Page 3 of 3



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Richmond North Yorkshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

21 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 12 July 2008, the details of which you passed to ATC Swanwick. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received three other reports of 'UFO' sightings for the 12 July 2008, and they were from Surrey, Gloucestershire and Lincolnshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



Report of Unidentified Flyin	g Object
Date	12 JUL 08
Time	09352
Sighting Duration	10MINS
Description of Object	7 RED CLOWING OBJECTS
Exact Position of Observer	MIDHUEST. W. SUSSEX.
How Object was Observed	BY SIGHT
Direction in which Object was First Seen	FROM WEST TO EAST.
Angular Elevation of Object	100
Distance of Object from Observer	NOT SURE
Movement of Object	WEST - EAST
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	DRY - BROKEN CLOVD
Nearby Objects	
To Whom Reported	ATCC
Name of Informant	Section 40
Address of Informant	Section 40
20 21 - 10 21	KUMMEND, NORTH YORKS. TEL Section 40
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	
Other Witnesses	2 PEOPLE FROM MIDHURST

Issue Date: 25/02/08

Page 2 of 3

Date of Receipt of Report	12 JUL 08	r r
Time of Receipt of Report	21502	
Actions		Time
Complete report of UFO with a FOI1	s many details as possible and send to	g* - (2000 9 90) 33
Telephone details immediately	and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	***************************************

Issue Date: 25/02/08 Page 3 of 3



From: Section 40

### Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40



Stroud
Gloucestershire Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 21 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 12 July 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received three other reports of 'UFO' sightings for the 12 July 2008, and they were from Surrey, Lincolnshire and North Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	12 July 2008 23.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen moving over Amberley in Gloucestershire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The UFO was moving to the West.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
9		Stroud
		Gloucestershire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
-		
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	21 July 2008 11.15L
# #		





From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000



Duns Borders

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

21 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in July 2008, the details of which you passed to the low flying complaints section. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Duns in Borders so far for July 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location.  (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye. Photographs were taken.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Duns in Borders, Scotland.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The report was passed to one of the Low Flying Complaints Cell phones.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Duns
		Borders Section 40
12		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
ħ.		
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 has taken photographs of her sighting and will be sending them to us.
*		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	17 July 2008 16.45L
18 E		



Sent 21/7/08.



#### Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Oxted Surrey

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

21 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 12 July 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received three other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 12 July 2008, and they were from Gloucestershire, North Yorkshire and Lincolnshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	12 July 2008 23.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Ninety orange lights were in a V or S shaped pattern. They were the size of footballs.
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors in the garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Oxted in Surrey.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite close, they passed over the witnesses' house.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

941 9		
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
4		
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
		Oxted
		Surrey Section 40
2		
11.	Other witnesses.	Four other people witnessed the lights.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the lights made no
		sound, and does not believe that they were
		aircraft. That she had never seen anything
		like it before.
4. y W		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 July 2008
+		15.10L
1.47	was .	



Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Bury St Edmonds Suffolk Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

21 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in July 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings in July for Bury St Edmonds so far in 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.


Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Two white lights were spotted through low cloud.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors in the back garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Bury St Edmonds in Suffolk.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The lights went backwards and forwards for about forty minutes.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Some cloud cover.

(2)	a 9	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
, ,		
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
,		Bury St Edmonds
is E		Suffolk Section 40
* 4		
11.	Other witnesses.	His wife was in the back garden with him.
W		
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the lights were like a
		torch shining through a sheet.
9		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 July 2008
đ	* * * *	13.48L





1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A large, silver metallic disc was seen.
	colour, originaless, noise.)	
4		
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars,	With the naked eye.
	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.	The object was seen over Christchurch in Dorset.
12 (g) 14 (g)	(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	
	en e	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
	during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
	A	

	2 2	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Section-40
۴٠,	telephone no of informant.	
		Christchurch
24		Dorset
7		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	" # " # # #	
*		
*		
12.	Remarks.	Section 40asked if we could get in touch
	# 100 DE	with him by post about his sighting, but he
		did not leave a forwarding address. The
2.1	a s s	UFO was seen over two weeks ago.
. =		
A.		
#		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 July 2008
13.	Date and time of receipt.	13.51L
J4 :		13.312
		S (A)
i i		







# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H. Main Buildin

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000



Stafford
Staffordshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

10 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 1 July 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 1 July 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	1 July 2008 22.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said object/activity.
ъ в		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside in Cornwall, Devon.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye and Section 40took photographs for which he says he has just got developed.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Cornwall in Devon.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

	3	8 8
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
¥	telephone no of informant.	
	10 W B	Stafford
		Staffordshire
3f 3g	e (8) 8	Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
,ti		
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 wants us to inform him if there
		was any other activity on the date Section 4 Section 4 his sighting.
F		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 July 2008
	, * ** ** **	15.30L



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	4 July 2008 (Time not given)
÷	(Duration of signing.)	(Time not given)
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was seen.
8 6		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Barnet in Greater London.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

01		
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
经		
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
V.		Full address not given.
2		Barnet Greater London
	e eg	Section 40
		ende a o
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	et 8	
#2		
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 aid she felt she should report the
		sighting.
	3.7	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 July 2008
44	* a	14.30L
ts (	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	e e





# From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Lambeth
London

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 10 July 2008

Dear Section 40

ction

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Lambeth, London so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



#### Section 40

From: Sent: To: Subject: Section 40 10 July 2008 10:56 Section 40

FW: Low Flying Complaints

For you

----Original Message----

From: Section 40 On Behalf Of Low Flying

Sent: 10 July 2008 10:09

To: Section 40

Subject: FW: Low Flying Complaints

#### Section 40

Directorate of Airstaff (Lower Airspace) Complaints & Enquiries Unit Floor 5, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

----Original Message----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]

Sent: 10 July 2008 03:08 To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk Subject: Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted

on Thursday, July 10, 2008 at 03:08:06

-----

firstname

Section 40

lastname:

address1:

address2:

Cambeth

towncity: London

statecounty: Please enter your State or County

postzipcode: Section 40

country: UK

telephone: Section 40

e-mail: Section 40

informationrequest: Bright white light in the sky much lower than the stars with a multi coloured pulsating light. I was staring at the stationary object for hours then I noticed in the light of the object three smaller objects dark with no lights appear from below and enter into the object. There was no noise from the object at all.

submit: Send Form

------

1



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Northampton Northamptonshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

10 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in October 1977, the details of which you passed to us by letter. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.


The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation back in 1977, the records for that year are in The National Archives, so I can not tell you if Section 40 reported the incident to the MOD or not. Sorry I could not be any help.


Finally, your sighting details have been noted and your letter will be placed on our files.


Yours sincerely





LLANGEFNI. anglesey Oct. 1977. (a Sat) 10.0 pm. 7.08.08 WITNESS. Engineer WYLFA Kudsar Town Station. AMENICH anglesey. Kadio Noonin contact with My D-soperally instacted of Falkband Islas. Son, Royal Marine, awarded Military Medile Sheet of light entered room through have of shut window, balloored and retted or armichaet. Filled chair four top to floor. It had a stin-Pulpated with energy. Electric lights went out-Torqueire colour as seen in a gos flame traide full of pin-point Lights like staic. After a short line - I cannot specify - the light went into exact replay of its entry and came on Section 40 ran outride but saw nothing, We did not discuss it - but I am hoping he reported the incidence to you What intiques me is that it seemed perfectly controlled. No noise No heat. DAS





1.	Date and time of sighting.	3 July 2008
Ť	(Duration of sighting.)	23.30L
2.	Description of object.	There were two orange balls hovering a
errore.	(No of objects, size, shape,	foot in front of the witness.
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
		-
18	48	
	***	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Outside in the garden.
8.	Geographical location.	8
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
т.	(Naked eye, binoculars,	with the haked eye.
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
	or valuesius	
5.	Direction in which object	In back garden in Coventry, Warwickshire.
	was first seen.	A
	(A landmark may be more	New 27
	helpful than a roughly	
<b>8</b> 2	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	A foot away.
		, f
7.	Movements and speed.	The two balls of light were hovering
	(side to side, up or down,	slowly from left to right.
	constant, moving fast, slow)	Slowly from left to right.
	Constant, moving rast, slow)	
591		7 v 8 94
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
	during observation.	
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	e e

0	T-1	DA01
9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	# W
		K. 8
	¥ 4	
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	*
		Full address not given.
		Coventry
	.e .e	Warwickshire
		Section 40
	*	
	# H	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
11.	Other withesses.	Not given.
	*	g
		<u>.</u>
		¥
10		Continue 40 : 1.11 . 11 . C
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that her Springer Spaniel
		dog was out in the garden, but unusually
		ran back in, and that's when Section 40
		went out to investigate. She said she was
	и «	frightened by what she saw and ran back in
	A w v	and locked the kitchen/back door. She said
		what do these things want and could she
	g. 8 9	and her dog now be contaminated. Could
	xc 40	we get in touch with her. (She didn't give
ā	*	full address or phone number)!
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 July 2008
	3 1	10.45L
		W. The state of th



### Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Inverness
Inverness-shire
Highlands
Scotland

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 10 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 June 2008, the details of which you passed to RAF Kinloss. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for 27 June 2008 and that was also from Inverness, Inverness-shire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



### **OPERATIONS SUPPORT SQUADRON ORDERS**

## SECTION 2 – OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

## ORDER 17 - ANNEX A

## REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

Α	Date, time and duration of sighting	27 Jun 08 2355L
В	Description of object (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise).	5x ORANGE CIRCULAR LIGHTS IN SKY & BEAM OF LIGHT GOING UP TO THE SKY
С	Exact position of observer (indoors/outdoor, stationary/moving).	VIEINITY OF TELFORD STREET INVERNESS
D	How observed (naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder).	NAKED EYE
Е	Direction in which object first seen (landmark may be more useful than an estimated bearing).	NOT REPORTED
F	Angle of sight (estimated heights are unreliable).	u
G	Distance (by reference to a known landmark).	n
Н	Movements (changes in E, F and G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).	n
l	Met conditions during observation (moving cloud, haze, mist etc).	POSSIDLE SHOWERD/LOW CLOND. KINTAF RADZ BKNOOF (TEMPO)
J	Nearby objects (telephone lines, high voltage lines, reservoir, lake or dam, swamp or marsh, rivers, high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, TV/ radio masts, airfields, generating plants, factories, pits, or other sites with floodlights).	Cannal
K	To whom reported (police, military, press etc)	ATC KINLOSS SAC Section 40
L	Name and address of observer.	Section 40 , NVELNESS
М	Background of observer that may be volunteered.	HUSBAND BROWGET LIGHTS TO ATTENTION OF Section 40 + MOTHER IN LAND
N	Other witnesses.	# Hussans + Wiffe Moturin Law
0	Date and time of receipt.	280005LJW08
Р	Any unusual met conditions.	NIL SIG. INVELNESS MEAN ATTACHED
Q	Remarks.	SEARCHLIGHT ACTIVITY NOTAM H 1827/08

WINISTRY OF DEFENCE

2-17A-1

PTO

Further Call from

28 0045L.

Convinced sourchlight activity was not responsible for 5x bright orange circular lights seen over Inverness from Telford st (by the cannal) couldn't judge distance or height but it was not reflection on clouds, nor fireworks, she spake With Police who said they know of no activity at the time Just after 2300-2330L (27th) Spoaking with Section 40 helicopter or ak lights ruled out. Informed Section 40 that other than searchlight display, and no military activity over tourness we have no explanation but would raise this report for submission

Albrers In Correspondence

28granala1

Called to Septice & ARCC-No known agrical activity in Vicinity of Inservers at times of report.

Selected TAFs and METARs

Page 1 of 1

## Selected TAFs and METARs

INVERNESS/DALCROSS 272250 METAR EGPE 272250Z AUTO 02004KT 9999 -SHRA BKN028/// 12/11 Q1007= No TAFs or RAFORs available for EGPE

**Product Help** 

Updated Sat Jun 28 00:22:15 UTC+0100 2008 Crown Copyright © 2008

http://md000hwy.met.mil.uk/Scripts/TafMetarSelection.pl?icao\_region=British+Isles... 28/06/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1

Selected TAFs and METARs

Page 1 of 1

## **Selected TAFs and METARs**

KINLOSS

272250 METAR EGQK 272250Z 08003KT 9999 FEW020 0VC040 12/12 Q1006 BLU=

272300 TAF EGQK 272259Z 280018 VRB05KT 9999 FEW025 BKN045 TEMPO 0012 7000 -RA

SCT020 PROB30 TEMPO 0010 4500 RADZ SCT007 BKN015=

272000 TAF EGQK 271945Z 272115 VRB05KT 9299 FEW025 BKN045 TEMPO 2115 7000 -RA

SCT022 PROB30 TEMPO 2309 4500 FEW007 BKN015=

Product Help

Updated Sat Jun 28 00:32:43 UTC+0100 2008 Crown Copyright © 2008

http://md000hwy.met.mil.uk/Scripts/TafMetarSelection.pl?icao\_region=British+Isles... 28/06/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1



## Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Inverness
Inverness-shire
Highlands
Scotland

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

10 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 June 2008, the details of which you passed to RAF Kinloss. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for 27 June 2008 and that was also from Inverness, Invernesshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting).	27 June 2345L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise).	FIRSTLY OME ORANGE CIGHT THEN BRILLIANT LIGHT INTE SHY CSEANCE LIGHT DISPLAY AT INVERLIESS) THEN FIVE BRIGHT ORANGE STERROY LIGHTS MOVING VERY SLOWLY OVERHEAD ABOVE CLOSO LEVEL. WHEN CLOSE CAME IN. AND COVERED LIGHTS. OSSERVERY SMELED RUSSERY TYPE BURNING
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving).	Turing INTO GIGU UNOMMAT MORD A86 AT INVENNESS. THEN BY CACEDONIAN CAMPL IN INVERNESS
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder).	NAMOS EYE
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing).	orange ughts coming from East to West overhead.
6.	Approximate distance.	HUNT UP BUT CLOSE TOUTHER IN FORMATION ATE ALL TIME NO MORE
7.	Movement and speed. (Side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow).	CONSTANT SLOW MOVING TILL LIGHTS LIGHE COVENED BY
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear).	DAY BOTH AND CLOAN AT FIRST, THON CLOND T RAIN CAME IN
į.	DAS	
er ( <sup>AA</sup>	UI. 2383	
INISTRY	OF DEFENCE	

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc).	Just MAF Minerss
ta .	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
	a # 10	Imagen Ess
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	
11.	Other withesses.	Section 40
12.	Remarks.	
	8	
	9	,
		Tal.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	28 1020 L JUN 08
1		
	41	

Return the completed form by fax or post to:

Directorate of Airstaff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1 Room 6/73 Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue WC2N 5BP

Tel: 020 7218 2140



# From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Cobham Surrey

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

8 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 28 June 2008, the details of which were passed to us on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' Sorry if I have misspelt your surname, the answerphone can be hard to understand.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of UFO sightings for the 28 June 2008. One was from Bedfordshire and the other was from Kent. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

# Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting.	28 June 2008
1.	(Duration of sighting.)	(Time not given).
	(Duration of signifies.)	(Time not given).
2.	Description of object.	Something interesting was seen!
	(No of objects, size, shape,	
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
	colour, originaless, noise.)	a a a
4		a <sub>re</sub>
	e a	
38	#	
4 1		
=	4 1	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
10	stationary/moving.)	
	Stationary, moving.,	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	William Harros Cyc.
to to	180 180 180	
les se c	other optical device, camera	# ***
	or camcorder.)	re g
5.	Direction in which object	The UFO was seen over Cobham, Surrey.
	was first seen.	Bis C
	(A landmark may be more	
	helpful than a roughly	5
	estimated bearing.)	e to the
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
	9	
N E		
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	5.00
2	constant, moving fast, slow)	
Sa.	constant, moving last, slow)	an g
	8	
0	Waathan aanditians	Not given
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
	during observation.	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
		là.

9.	To whom woneward	A friend of Section 40 left a	
<b>,</b> .	To whom reported.		
	(Police, military, press etc)	message on DAS answerphone for her.	
	9		
0.	Name, address and	Section 40	
. 0.	telephone no of informant.		
		Cobham	
		Surrey	
		Section 40	
		×	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.	
4	26.7		
	*		
		2 2	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 wants us to get in touch	
		with her by telephone, so she can give us	
	*	details of her sighting.	
×	4 g		
		e:	
12	Date and time of receipt	9 Tuly 2009	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	8 July 2008	
SI .	41	14.30L	
	1 NC		



Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Marlborough

Swindon
Wiltshire Section 4

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

8 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 4 July 2008, the details of which you passed to the RAF. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 4 July 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



Fax from

UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING REPORT

04/07/08

16:44

Pg:

Elicit as many details from the caller as possible using the list of questions then dispose of as Low Flying/Noise Complaints

ı ques	Hous their appara	04 0400 LOCAL
	Date & Time of Sighting	F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F
	Duration of Sighting	IS WATCHES PAIL TO MINE
	Description of Object: No of objects	ts ONE UNKNOWN - JUSTA LIGHT DE TRIANGLE A
<u> </u>	Size	ie Oko w Com Susin
o de mestro	shape	re TRIANGLE
		W WHITE LIGHT
	colou	ur while clean
	brightnes	
	did it make any noise	se? NONE
<del>-</del> -	Exact position of observer: (in/Outdoo	
3	(stationary/moving	ng) STATIONARY  NAMED ETE
4	How observed:	NAMED ETE
4	Maked sye/hipos/camera/other optical device	ice
	To what direction was the object first sec	en   1000 br
5	A landmark may be of better use than	na Land Cara Carala
	rough hearing	NOOTH ISSUED
6	And of sighting from horizon (estimated t	hts Est 1000 Fr
Ů.	are michao	ole) A board RAPAIR CASTLE
7	Distance Reference to a landmark hel	elps Nolth Balbury Chatles ject Stalt, Shalp Movements
8	Movement of obje	ject State
a	(changes in 5,6 and 7 above may be of more u	use
	than estimates of course and spee	ed) G-MPI GTG- 47 CLCAL
9	Weather conditions during observati	tion completely eccal loud 96 97A23.  ghts
	haze or mist, moving clo	oud 382 37
10 1	Nearby objects Telephone/power lines/floodlig	arsh ASSAM WRONGHTON arsh A/FIELD + CHISEDON, tions SWIH OF BWINDON
1.0	Train fortifor (FIVET) MCCUMILLIA	arsh ALCIA + CHISEDON
Ì	Trick buildings / Chimneys / Steepies / Ma	BASTS POPULAR BUINDAN
	Ochor: Airfields/factories/Dits/Dowel State	10BS SOOTE
	Other plant using moodinging	nang Con
11	To whom reported apart from	Section 40
12	Informer's Na	ame Section 40  14 Witness Names/Tels
(2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)	Section 40 Section	n 40
1	MAR LIBOR OVERT	
1	Swind Section 40	Section 40
-	Informer's Tel	el No.
15	Any other details volunted	eered 70L0
13		cered FOLD FAMILY &  SOME FRICADS:  CENOTE D41725 LOCAL JULY
16	Date/time of this re	report 1041 165 Co
17		
	Vario alla almits To	BEING UND
	Remarks about informer by person taking of the MAN ADMITS TO CLEAR BUT AFAIL 40	MODE WENT BACK TO BEN
At	nnotate a LYN no. and fax the form directly to I	Tel GPTN Section 40
oments = **4978 o		101 01 111 0001011 10
		FAX GPTN Section 40
8	and a second solidade a doto	PSTN
A	nnotate the form "FAXED" with the date.	



From: Section 40

# Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Widnes Cheshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

8 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings from Turnhill in Shrewsbury, Shropshire for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was seen.
(f)		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	In his car, parked in a parking bay.
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over the Village- Turnhill in Shrewsbury.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.
된 1928년 10 전	* * * *	

Λ	T1	DAC anarrambana
9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
	2 90	a. e
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
		Widnes
w e		Cheshire
		Section 40
		2 <u>0</u> ,
11.	Other witnesses.	A few other people must have seen it.
	4	
	40	₹
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that it was very strange.
2	e e d	Said something about there being marks on
		the grass around the area. That this was a
	B	definite sighting.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	28
. 6	N	*
		*
13.	Date and time of receipt.	4 July 2008
		16.40L
		2
15	E 187 E 187	



# From Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40
Littlemore
Oxfordshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 3 July 2008

Dear Sir,

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 2 July 2008, the details of which you passed to Thames Valley Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' I apologise for above, but Thames Valley Police did not inform me of you surname.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 2 July 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could be any help.

# Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	2 July 2008 21.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Sixteen square shaped objects appeared as silhouettes.
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location.  (Indoors/outdoors,	Not given.
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The objects were seen over Littlemore in Oxfordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	They were travelling across the sky from South to North.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported.	The sighting was reported to Thames	新
	(Police, military, press etc)	Valley Police who then left a message	on
		the DAS answerphone.	
10.	Name, address and	Mr (name not given)	
	telephone no of informant.	Section 40	
		Section 40	
(F 16		Littlemore Oxfordshire	
		Section 40	
		Section 40	
		* *	
	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.	5
12.	Remarks.	Not given.	
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
	"		
e	7 = 10		
		x.	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	3 July 2008	
	*	10.45L	
	2 8		

#### Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

03 July 2008 10:52

To:

Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of a 'UFO' that you saw back in August 1999. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I have logged the information and your e.mail will be filed.

Yours sincerely

#### Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

03/07/2008 atalogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1

# Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

02 July 2008 09:29

To:

**DAS-UFO-Office** 

Subject: UFO sighting in 1999 - Thought you may want it on record

#### Good Morning,

I've just been informed by Section that I am meant to report UFO sightings to you guys. I'm not too sure if you need any more reports especially as it was back in August 1999. I have only told family and friends but I've been researching UFO's for the last two weeks and I have seem some quite exciting pieces of evidence.

The only reason I am bothering to see if you were interested is my friend and I who experienced the sighting saw the object very clearly as it was only about 2 to 3 hundred feet above us/slightly off to the side.

Please let me know if you want to know the details. If not then no worries. Hope it's all going well.

#### Kind Regards

# Section 40

This email and any attachments to it are confidential and intended solely for the individual or organisation to whom they are addressed.

You must not copy or retransmit this e-mail or its attachments in whole or in part to anyone else without our permission. The views expressed in them are those of the individual author and do not necessarily represent the views of this Company.

Whilst we would never knowingly transmit anything containing a virus we cannot guarantee that this e-mail is virus-free and you should take all steps that you can to protect your systems against viruses.

Archant Life Limited, is registered in England under Company Registration Number 3518941, and the Registered Office is Prospect House, Rouen Road, Norwich NR1 1RE.

02/07/2008 atalogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Newtownards
Northern Ireland
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

2 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Newtownards in Northern Ireland so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.


Sorry I could not be any help.


Yours sincerely

Section 40

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object.	A UFO.
	(No of objects, size, shape,	
瞎	colour, brightness, noise.)	
		*
	18 4	
	*	, s
	*	e e
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
J.	Geographical location.	Two given.
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
	, 111 mg.	
7,03		
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
5	Divertion in subject	The LIEO was seen over Newtown and in
٥.	Direction in which object was first seen.	The UFO was seen over Newtownards in Northern Ireland.
¥	(A landmark may be more	Northern reland.
1911	helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	
W 5	ostinated bearing.)	and the second s
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	
04	constant, moving fast, slow)	
0	TT7 - 41 - 1040	NT
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
	during observation.	a a a
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	ar an
	12 °	

		The same and the s
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	Newtownards
		Northern Ireland
		Section 40
*		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
2		a di
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that he would like us to
	** *** **** **** **** **** **** **** ****	call him back and explain to him what it
	8 * V P	was that he could have seen. It was not a
#))	9	shooting star or an aircraft made by man,
(15)		whether it be civilian or military.
B		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 July 2008
		12.20L
		192





1.	Date and time of sighting.	(Date and time not given).
	(Duration of sighting.)	
		*
2.	Description of object.	An orange ball.
7	(No of objects, size, shape,	,
	colour, brightness, noise.)	g a g
	corour, originaless, noise.)	A S
		* # W
	gi H	m:
88	g 90	· ·
3.	Exact position of observer.	Outside of his house.
٥.	Geographical location.	outside of his house.
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	e de la companya de l
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
т.	(Naked eye, binoculars,	With the haked eye.
	other optical device, camera	* 1
	or camcorder.)	*
	of camcorder.)	× 8.8
5.	Direction in which object	The orange ball hovered over the witnesses
<i>J</i> .	was first seen.	car in Locks Heath, Southampton,
	(A landmark may be more	Hampshire.
	helpful than a roughly	Trampsinie.
	estimated bearing.)	B B
	estimated bearing.)	W W W
6.	Annuavimete distance	Ovita alogo
υ.	Approximate distance.	Quite close.
7.	Mayamanta and anaad	The chiest was maring some the slave
7.	Movements and speed.	The object was moving across the sky.
	(side to side, up or down,	
3.	constant, moving fast, slow)	
0	W-A-	Not all all all all all all all all all al
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
to:	during observation.	ė.
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
.+	30 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	
	·	35

(v		
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section-40
		No house no. or street name given.
		Section 40 Southampton
2		Hampshire Section 40
1.1		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the object hovered above his car and then shot off and
	3 d (a)	disappeared.
±1	*	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 July 2008 11.00L



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Section 40

Bedford Bedfordshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

1 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 28 June 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by telephone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 28 June 2008, and that was from Kent. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



From:

ction 40

Sent:

01 July 2008 08:37

To:

ction 40

Subject:

FW: UFO Sighting

Attachments Section 40 WG CDR .vcf

WG CDR

**Sent:** 30 June 2008 10:53

Subject: UFO Sighting

ction #eceived a call on Saturday night reporting 'lights in the sky'. Detaills are below.

Date/time of report: Sat 28 Jun at 23:40

Name: St

Tel; Section 40

Address Section 40 Shortstown, Beds Section 40

Detail Section 4 as driving on the A507 on Saturday evening (I assume after dark) between Arledsey and Bedford when he saw lights in the sky. He stopped his car, along with a number of other people to see what was happening. There were a total of 7 strange lights moving from north to south. He took photos with his mobile phone which he will send if you want them. He sounded quite rational and also said he had reported another strange occurrence last week.

Hope this is useful.

Wg Cdr DCDSDO4

**DCMC 136** 

01/07/2008



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Yeovil Somerset

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

1 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 29 June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 29 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting.	29 June 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	(Time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	An orange ball.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Yeovilton in Somerset.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

		7 E
9.	To whom reported.	The sighting was reported to Somerset
100	(Police, military, press etc)	Police first and then the Yeovilton Air
	tage 6 day	Base, who said to leave a message for the
	· .	MOD on the answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	N a
	W Se	Yeovil
	W 8	Somerset
		Section 40
	gr. 10 m	2
	9	¥
88		9 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97
		8
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	= 61	
	# E	* 8
12.	Remarks.	Section 41d that she thought she should
		report the incident.
	.F	2 6
	2 4 4	
	,	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	30 June 2008
	187 187 187	16.00L
	*	A state of any property of the state of the



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40



Solihull West Midlands Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 1 July 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Shirley, West Midlands in June 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Date and time not given.
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside of his house.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye and he took pictures with his mobile phone.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object shot past his house in a Southerly direction over Shirley, Solihull in the West Midlands.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite near.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The UFO was moving quite fast across the sky. It then stopped and started to hover in a South Easterly direction.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
٦.	are the Committee of th	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
		*
10.	Name address and	Section 40
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	0000001140
	telephone no of informant.	
		Solihull
		West Midlands
		Section 40
		Section 40
		*
	(a) (8)	
11.	Other witnesses	Not given
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	e e	
į.	AT AS NO AS	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 aid that the object was hovering
14.	Remarks.	for quite some time. That it was infringing
	*	on British airspace and that something
		should be done about it.
		Should be done about it.
160	e ***	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	30 June 2008
15.	Date and time of receipt.	15.30L
	90 °	10.000
80	9 9	
	**:	E-35



# From Section 40

# Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

New Malden Surrey Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 1 July 2008

Dear

Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for New Malden in Surrey so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

1.	Date and time of sighting.	The object is there every night.			
t.	(Duration of sighting.)	Time not given.			
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said a UFO.			
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location.  (Indoors/outdoors,	Not given.			
	stationary/moving.)	* * *			
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.			
7.					
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.			
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far.			
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Is stationary up in the sky.			
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.			
		8 as			

	at .	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	2 8	
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
	6 #	New Malden
	a 16	Surrey
		Section 40
		*
		ų.
	9	4.
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 has been ringing the
		answerphone saying that she really needs
	ă.	to talk to us, as she is frightened to go out.
	es vi 🕅	That this object is up in the sky every
		night.
		2. **
		3 9
		g to the second
13.	Date and time of receipt.	30 June 2008
	59	15.00L
	W W	
	3	
	Ε	•

Page 1 of 1

# Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

30 June 2008 15:44

To:

Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on the 28 June 2008, the details and the photograph of which you passed to the DAS-UFO-office e.mail address. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 28 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

30/06/2008

#### Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

29 June 2008 14:33

To:

**DAS-UFO-Office** 

Cc:

Section 40

Subject:


object in the sky

Attachments: aliens in fade kent 220.jpg

hello their i have recently taken a photo on the 28th of june when you open it you will have to zoom in at the middle bottom right tou wont miss it can you let me know if it is use full for anything

yours truly

30/06/2008



Page 1 of 1



# Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

30 June 2008 15:44

To:

Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

# Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen on 20 June 2008, the details of which you passed to the Westmorland Gazette. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 20 June 2008, and that was from Doncaster in South Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

#### Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

30/06/2008

Page 1 of 3

# Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

26 June 2008 13:38

To:

**DAS-UFO-Office** 

Subject: FW: Strange lights over KENDAL, 30 MINUTE SIGHTING

To Whom It May Concern,

Below is a copy of an email I sent to a local newspaper concerning two sightings of orange lights over Kendal, Cumbria. If you'd like any questions answered don't hesitate to email.

Regards Section 40

20/6/08. 23.00.

From Section 40

To Section 40

Subject: RE: Strange lights over KENDAL, 30 MINUTE SIGHTING

Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2008 08:53:36 +0200

Section 40

The Sun may be interested in this. A telephone number and email address for their newsdesk is at the end of the article here:

http://www.thesun.co.uk/sol/homepage/news/article1336870.ece You can report it to the MoD via their <u>das-ufo-office@mod.uk</u> email address.

#### Best wishes, Section 40

Message Received: Jun 25 2008, 07:01 PM

From: Section 40

TcSec

Cc:

Subject: Strange lights over KENDAL, 30 MINUTE SIGHTING

Dear Section 40

Below is the original copy of an email I sent to the Westmorland Gazette over sightings of orange objects over Kendal, Cumbria, on Friday and Monday nights. When I saw the picture of three lights in a cross formation in the Sun today, I felt compelled to email you about my sightings (see formation second from bottom)

I hope you read this. Sightings in this area have picked up at an enormous rate in the last few weeks, all documented in the local Gazette.

I also would like to email the MoD about the sightings, but can't find a contact address!

Kind Regards

Section 40

26/06/2008

From Section 40

To: newsdesk@kendal.newsquest.co.uk Subject: FACSection 40 RE: UFOs Date: Tue, 24 Jun 2008 14:42:12 +0100

Dear Section 40



My name is Section 40 I am 19 and in Kendal. I have seen these orange lights in the sky on two occassions (see below) since Friday, and I can say with absolute certainty that these are not satellites, space debris or the ISS.

The first sighting was looking west of Kendal at around 11pm on Friday night. Quite low was a bright red/orange light which I assumed was a light of a communications antenna but then realised there aren't any in that area so I stopped and watched it. I then thought it must be a red flare, but a few seconds later a bright, phosphorus white light fell from the orange one, and drifted slowly towards the ground before disappearing. As soon as the white light disappeared the red one slowly faded out. The red light was completly static throughout the 10-15 second sighting.

This sighting was not enough to make me want to email the Gazette, as I thought there must be a logical explanation for it. However last night my girlfriend came running into the house, in Kendal, and told me and my brother to come outside (at around 11.15pm). She said she had just seen an orange star move from it's place in the sky, dart across in a straight line and then do a NINETY DEGREES TURN before stopping. How many satellites can do this? We stared at it, and realised there were 3 other dim orange lights, and they were constantly moving in a formation, as if they were trying to find the correct position. They came very close together, then very far apart, the one on the left went from the top of the triangle (the shape they had formed) to the bottom within 2 or 3 seconds. The lights finally settled in an arrow shape, ie:

0

We watched for half an hour, but they weren't moving very much after that, but we noticed a new dimmer light above and to the right of the left light.

This new dimmer one moved alot, and the bottom right one started moving more too.

0 0

0

26/06/2008 Catalogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1 Our necks were hurting and we'd been staring for half an hour so we went outside, as they didn't seem to be moving any more. I went back out 5 minutes later to check up and they'd formed like this

0 0

This is not an exaggeration, and I wouldn't have e-mailed if I didn't see this for such a long period last night. I hope with all the reports you are getting you can let me know if anyone else saw either of the sightings, so I don't think I'm going insane! The fact that my girlfriend saw a light do a right-angle turn proves Section 40 can't dismiss these sightings as space shuttles or satellites.

s = <del></del>	1			
P	9		7.4	
Get Started!				
(A)				
18		, 100 (14 m) (15 m) <sub>1</sub> ,	2	

Get fish-slapping on Messenger! Play Now

26/06/2008

Page 1 of 1



#### Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

26 June 2008 14:29

To:

Section 40

Subject: TERN HILL SIGHTING 7 JUNE 2008

The Sun has reported a UFO sighting over Ternhill Barracks in Shropshire at about 11pm on 7 June 2008. It appears that a number of soldiers saw lights in the sky and made a video which they have passed to the newspaper. They have not made a formal written report on the matter, although they did inform their superiors.

The MoD in London became involved when the press office was contacted by the newspaper on 24<sup>th</sup> June. On 25<sup>th</sup> June I requested a copy of the video from Media Ops at HQ 5 Div where it had been passed by the unit concerned. It shows a number of lights in the sky. As reported in the paper, the lights change colour and appear square, but this looks like the pixels showing up as the photographer zoomed in. They do not appear to be moving very quickly.

The BBC has reported that at the same time as the alleged incident, a local hotel was letting off Chinese lanterns and, apparently, the hotel manager thinks the whole UFO story is "highly hilarious".

I do not intend to investigate any further as I think we have our answer and, as I suspect there might be copyright issues surrounding the video, do not intend to retain a copy on our files.

I will inform the soldiers of my decision through their 2ic.



26/06/2008



# **Hotel behind UFO 'fleet' mystery**

A hotel celebration appears to be behind the mystery of "UFO sightings" in Shropshire.

Cpl Mark Proctor told the Sun newspaper he saw 13 craft "zig-zagging" over Tern Hill barracks, near Market Drayton. Other people also reported sightings.

The Ministry of Defence has confirmed it was handed a soldier's mobile phone footage from 7 June.

However, the Tern Hill Hall Hotel believes Chinese lanterns it released for a wedding reception are to blame.

Cpl Proctor reported seeing a "fleet" of objects at about 2300 BST.

"They were like rotating cubes with multiple colours," he said.

But hotel manager Stuart Willett believes he holds the key to the mystery.

"On the 7th of June we had a wedding where she had sky lanterns - you can light the lanterns and they float off into the air like hot air balloons," he said.

He said it was the third or fourth time the hotel had used the lanterns, which he said "burn up until they disintegrate".

"We've had inquiries from residents before, but it's the first time it's been classed as a UFO," he said.

Mr Willet said he thought it was "highly hilarious", but added the hotel would give some advance warning before the lanterns were used again.



Story from BBC NEWS:

http://news.bbc.co.uk/go/pr/fr/-/1/hi/uk/7474160.stm

Published: 2008/06/25 17:40:45 GMT

© BBC MMVIII

http://newsvote.bbc.co.uk/mpapps/pagetools/print/news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/7474160.stm 26/06/2008 atalogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1



# EN soldier told nt how he saw IN UFOS spin-the skies above ary barracks. and JOHN COLES three squaddies ed the objects

"The said easied with any footage. The out to see what the commanding officers and gave and guarded they were garded on the gave commanding officers and gave com An MoD spokesman said: "We deal with any UFO sightings to see if there was a military threat." The Sun told last week how helicopter cops were conformed by an object as they attempted to land at their base near Cardiff the same night.

Cabaret duo Katy Cunnion and Russell Quinn claimed they were pursued by the light in the sky for nearly an hour at lam the following morning.

I have been cylinder-shaped craft.

She said. "They weren't from this world. They made no sound and had flashing dots inside."

She said. They made no sound a purker@the-sun.co.uk Watch the astonishing footage at thesum.co.uk/n

Mystery . . . UFO seen on soldier Mark Proctor's video SUN, 12.40am SOLDIERS on night patrol are stunned to see UPOs hovering in formation above their Army base.

One squaddie, Cpi Mark Proctor, captures this eerle image on his phone and sends it to MoD chiefs. **Bromsgrove** FRI, 10.00pm SAT, II pm SUN, Iam lights in sky





A HITLER fanatic who plotted to bomb mosques was caught by chance after a stash of 39,000 child porn images was found on his computer, it was revealed yesterday.

Martyn Gilleard, 31, had four nail bombs hidden in his flat and planned to use them in a "holy lets and was preparing to build a machine gun.

His cache of weapons was discovered when cops probing his links to child porn swooped on his flat in Goole, East Yorks. They found images of children being assaulted.

Rut during the search a children being assaulted.

But during the search a bobby discovered the nail bombs under a bed. He also had knives, bayonets, A jury at Leeds Crown Court yesterday convicted Gilleard of engaging in information for terrorist act and collecting admitted possessing child porn.

Gilleard will be sentenced today. Detective Chief Superintendent John Parkinson, of the Counter Terrorist Unit, said: "He is extremely dangerous. Thankfully he is now behind bars."

oull hell **Nalker's** 

A WALKER was in intensive care last night after he was trampled FOUR times by a rampaging bull.

David Upton, 67, was attacked while out with partner Patricia Bennett.


PARKER and JOHN COLES

A SHAKEN soldier told last night how he saw THIRTEEN UFOS spinning in the skies above his military barracks.

Corporal Mark Proctor

Corporal Mark Proctorwas among three squaddies who spotted the objects while out on night patrol. He filmed them on his mobile phone and reported the close encounter to Army top brass. Wideo yesterday – after ordering Mark and his pals NOT to say anything else about the incident. The sighting, at Tern Hill barracks near Market Drayton, Shrops, came two hours before helicopter police officers reported an encounter with a huge craft 80 miles away near Cardiff. And three hours before a couple claimed they were followed by a strange light in the sky along the A5 near Shrewsbury. Yesterday further mind-bogurally evidence emerged as dog walker Bonnie Lewis, 29, told how she filmed seven UFOs at the Bronsgrove, Worcs, last Friday. Cpl Proctor, 38, of the 1st Battalion Irish Regiment, the recalled how he saw the amazing "craft" just after 11pm on Saturday, June 7.

vit prices exclude VAT which is via MAC code) to BT Business



alogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1

© Crown Copyright

a swea

Naom

Page 1 of 1

From:

Section 40

Sent:

01 July 2008 15:33

To:

1RIRISH-Trg-Offr Section 40

Subject: UFO SIGHTING 7 JUNE 2008 TERN HILL BARRACKS

Dear Capt. Section 40

The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorized foreign military activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

Given the reports of the nearby hotel setting off Chinese Lanterns around the time of the sighting at Tern Hill and the above policy, I do not intend to investigate the matter any further.

I should however, be grateful if you would pass this decision on to the soldier that reported the sighting. I assume he has retained a copy of the video. If not, I shall return my copy to him, otherwise, it will be destroyed.

DAS-FOI 05-H<mark>Section 40</mark>

MoD main Building

Whitehall London

SW1A 2HB

NSTE: SUBJECT TO PSI REQUEST - RETAIN

VIJES.

3 JUL 08



From:

Section 40

Sent:

26 June 2008 14:29

To:

Section 40

Subject: TERN HILL SIGHTING 7 JUNE 2008

The Sun has reported a UFO sighting over Ternhill Barracks in Shropshire at about 11pm on 7 June 2008. It appears that a number of soldiers saw lights in the sky and made a video which they have passed to the newspaper. They have not made a formal written report on the matter, although they did inform their superiors.

The MoD in London became involved when the press office was contacted by the newspaper on 24<sup>th</sup> June. On 25<sup>th</sup> June I requested a copy of the video from Media Ops at HQ 5 Div where it had been passed by the unit concerned. It shows a number of lights in the sky. As reported in the paper, the lights change colour and appear square, but this looks like the pixels showing up as the photographer zoomed in. They do not appear to be moving very quickly.

The BBC has reported that at the same time as the alleged incident, a local hotel was letting off Chinese lanterns and, apparently, the hotel manager thinks the whole UFO story is "highly hilarious".

I do not intend to investigate any further as I think we have our answer and, as I suspect there might be copyright issues surrounding the video, do not intend to retain a copy on our files.

I will inform the soldiers of my decision through their 2ic.





01/07/2008 atalogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Doncaster
South Yorkshire

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 26 June 2008

Dear Section 40

ction 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 20 June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' Sorry if I have misspelt your surname, the answerphone can be hard to understand.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

# Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting.	20 June 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	19.30L
2.	Description of object.	A metallic, capsule shaped object. There
	(No of objects, size, shape,	was no sound.
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
	· ·	
	¥ 8	
	2 *	ा है । स
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
<i>J</i> .	Geographical location.	Not given.
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
		2
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
Œ	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
-	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object	The object was moving from South East to
	was first seen.	North West over Doncaster in South
	(A landmark may be more	Yorkshire.
	helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Annuavimata diatana	Not given
0.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
v 8		
7.	Movements and speed.	The object was going quite fast and didn't
ųs.	(side to side, up or down,	slow down at any point.
*	constant, moving fast, slow)	
	5 E E E	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
	during observation.	
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
3		

	4	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
-98	telephone no of informant.	
	H ***	Doncaster
	nan <sup>est</sup> de nan	South Yorkshire Section 40
	<i>2 2</i>	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	in the second se	
63	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Vi.	
12.	Remarks.	said that he had read the article in the Sun newspaper and had
6.1		decided to inform us of his sighting. That
£	a g	we can contact him if we need to.
13		26 I 2000
13.	Date and time of receipt.	26 June 2008 11.15L
¥	2 Pr. 181	2
FS.	a **	



# From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Welton Lincoln Lincolnshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

26 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 23 June 2008, the details of which you passed to RAF Waddington. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 23 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



MILITARY AIRCRAFT	$f_{CC} = \frac{1}{5}$ $f_{CC} = \frac{1}{5}$ Section 4 dection 40 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ Notes
PUBLIC COMPLAII ECTION 1: ACTION AUTHORITIES	
Action         Info         Action           ☐         Unit         ☐           ☐         MOD Sec(AS)2b         ☐           ☐         N9 (Sec2)SØ2         ☐	Info G9 Pol Sec D/C+L (F+S) Claims 3 RAF HQ STC RCRO Action Info TG DA Sec JHC RCRO
OS Grid Ref: Serial No:	File Ref:
LFA: Previous Co	omplaint No. of Complaints to date:
NS: Serial No:	File Ref:
ECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT	SECTION 4: DETAILS OF INCIDENT
Mn/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr/Other:	Date: Mary 23 Jan 08
Surname: Section 40	Time (Local): 17-50 oppox
Forename(s):	How many aircraft involved:
Address Section 40	Category of aircraft
	Jet Prop Helo Light a/c Transport
L. V. COW	Possible type (eg 'Chinook')
Town/City: (M/C or n)	CIFO)
County:	Markings
Section 40	Grey Camouflaged Red/White Black/Yello
Telephone N Section 40 Section 40	Other (Specify)
SECTION 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT	white bright
Address at Section 2,	001100 [31.7.10
	Height
or: In ancelo Calo	Low Medium High
Address: W Rolice Str	Estimation in Feet: ( Thous o-d )
	Direction: 10-15 K -
	Inside MATZ?
Town/City:	Yes No
County:	If Yes which MATZ?

atalogue Reference defe/24/2625/152

Section 40 : word xe

Fax from Section 40

25/06/08 13:52 Pg: 1

ECTION 5: PARTICULARS OF COMPLAINT (Continue on	a separate sheet if necessary).
mos ed 12 (10-11-e)	
high sine distance away-	New mora. Poachite
the mid of shoot	No weres don not a
Charles or	10-(x min)
2 ch	
17-1 di 1/2 la la care	a stocot in antral Lincoln ad
The lady was walking along	ect (as above)
the 10-12 min appears on ask	ed case
TOWN OF AIMS (DOWN)	SECTION 7: UNITS RESPONSE
SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT)	Yes No
Has the incident given rise to any injury to persons and/or livestock or damage to property which will result in a claim for	Return Telephone call
compensation being submitted to the Ministry of Defence?  Yes	Written response sent (attach copy)
If Yes, give details and copy form to D/C+L (F+S) Claims 3.	Low flying leaflet sent
	Visit arranged
	Possibly requires attention of HQ P&SS / Other (Specify)
	Other (opecity)
SECTION 8: DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL RECEIVING COMPLAINT	
Date Complaint received:	
Time: (Local)	
Signature:	
Section 40	
	IMPORTANT REMINDER
Name:	ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE
Rank: fur cu	WITH GAI J5002 OF 1999.
unit: RAF WAOONGTON	THE COMPLETED FORM IS TO BE FORWARDED
Tel. No: Section 40	TO THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES AS LISTED AT SECTION 1 WITHIN 5 DAYS.



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Westbury
Shrewsbury
Shropshire

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 26 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 7 June 2008, the details of which you passed to Tern Hill. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of UFO sightings for the 7 June 2008. One was from South Norwood in London and the other from Heathrow in Middlesex. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	7 June 2008 23.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Westbury, Shrewsbury in Shropshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Tern Hill who then in turn gave out the DAS
i i		answerphone number.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
	State	Westbury
	9	Shrewsbury Shropshire
	9	Section 40
		9
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	×	
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
8		
<i>II</i> ,	e F	
æ		
T2.	** ** **	* ×
13.	Date and time of receipt.	25 June 2008
9		14.45L
. 83		
3.		



# From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Carlisle
Cumbria
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 24 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Carlisle in Cumbria so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was seen, and Section says that he is living with an alien.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Both indoors and outdoors of his house.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Above his house in Carlisle, Cumbria.
6.	Approximate distance.	Very close.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom venouted	DAC anavyzambana
9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	a
	, of	
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
		Carlisle
		Cumbria
	e * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Section 40
		A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH
	e 8	NC
	* *	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
		to the state of th
	5.	
,	*	
12.	Remarks.	Section did that he definitely has an alien
		amongst his mists. That things move
		around the house. Can we please get back
		to him and explain what is happening.
		(5)
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 June 2008
1997 ( <del>17</del> ) (1 <b>7</b> )		11.20L
	5	
		*



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Keynsham Bristol Avon and Somerset Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

24 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in February 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Keynsham, Bristol in February 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely





From: Sent: feedback@www.mod.uk 20 June 2008 20:59 webmaster@dgics.mod.uk

To: Subject:

Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Friday, June 20, 2008 at 20:59:28

\_\_\_\_\_\_

txtfirstname:

txtlastname:

txtaddress1:

txtaddress2:

txttowncity: keynsham

txtstatecountry: bristol

txtzipcodepostcode: Section

0 1: 40

txttelephone Section 40

txtcountry: United Kingdom

txtemailAddress: Section 40

txtincident: February 2008

txtrequest: Hello,

I don't really know where to start.

This is not related to low flying aircraft, but I didn't know who else to contact. Briefly, I was coming home from my Son's house in February, it was a Sunday, about 6 pm, and it was dark.

My 2 Daughter's and Granddaughter aged 5 were in the car. When we had turned left into Charlton Road coming from Whitchurch, through the lanes, we got as far as almost to the house on the left, when I saw a round object in the sky, it was hovering, in one spot, there was a light running through the centre which appeared to be going around the object. I slowed right down, I was too afraid to stop, I said to my Daughter 26, who was sat in the front, "can you see that or I am seeing things", she said "yes I can", we were both very quiet, shocked I suppose, the other two were asleep in the back. It was there for about 5 minutes, as we passed it we decided to turn around and take a photo with our phones, when we turned round, it had gone.

I telephoned my Daughter-in-law when we got in, she asked if we were okay, I told her we were, I think, she only half believed me, I can understand that, if someone told me that story, I wouldn't believe them at all, I'd probably laugh. But, we are both reasonably intelligent women, not prone to fantasy. I thought I should contact you, after reading the Police in South Wales had an incident with something unknown, and it was reported to you.

If you need anything further I would be happy to help.

Section 40

1



From: Section 40

### Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Stanley Wakefield West Yorkshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

24 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you passed to West Yorkshire Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Wakefield in West Yorkshire, so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting	(Date not given). 32 June 08.
	(Duration of sighting.)	01.00L
2.	Description of object.	Five, bright, oval shaped orange lights.
	(No of objects, size, shape,	Three of the lights were in a group, and the
	colour, brightness, noise.)	other two were also in a group, travelling behind.
	4.7	boiling.
	No. of the second secon	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Outside, but didn't say where.
063	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
	8	* × * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
	other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	
-	or cameoraci.)	
5.	Direction in which object	The lights were flying over Stanley,
	was first seen.	Wakefield in West Yorkshire from East to
	(A landmark may be more	West.
	helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	6
	estimated ocaring.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
		-
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
***	(side to side, up or down,	1100 8170111
100	constant, moving fast, slow)	N N N
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
٥.	during observation.	THOU SIVOII.
*	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	er v 1
		3e

	,c=	
9.	To whom reported.	The report was reported to West Yorkshire
	(Police, military, press etc)	Police, who passed the report to Section 4
	- Viii.	Section 4 ATC Swanwick, who then in
ž):	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	turn left a message on the DAS
		answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
9.		Stanley
	to a second	Wakefield
	A Second	West Yorkshire
		Section 40
	]	
	400	e 30
		* a
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	Si * g ×	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
		*
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
	70 BI	
	2.	M MI M
2.		
	*	-
	2	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 June 2008
	W.	11.00L
	8	8
		* % · .
3		

Duto of evenosity our-

Date	22 JUN 08.
Time	MAN 3100.
Sighting Duration	NOT KNOWN
Description of Object	5 BRIGHT ORANGE LIGHTS. 2 SEPERATE GROUPS NO 2 LIGHTS IN FROMINT \$28EHIND
Exact Position of Observer	Section 40
How Object was Observed	NAKED EYE.
Direction in which Object was First Seen	EPIST TRAVELLING WEST.
Angular Elevation of Object	NOT KNOWN - HIGH!
Distance of Object from Observer	NOT KNOW
Movement of Object	E->W
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	CLEAR, LITTLE CLOUD, SLIGHTE
Nearby Objects	STREET LIGHTS.
To Whom Reported	WEST YORKSHIRE POLICE
Name of Informant	Section 40
Address of Informant	Section 40
	WAKEFIELD Section 40
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	NO SPECIALIST BACKGROUND.  Section 40  LOOKS AT SKY  ALOT BUT NO EXPERT
Other Witnesses	Section 40

MCIDENT NO. 1411-22068.

Issue Date: 25/02/08

DAS
27 JUN 2000
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Page 2 of 3

Date of Receipt of Report	22 JUN 08	¥
Time of Receipt of Report	2205	
	Actions	Time
Complete report of UFO with FOI1	as many details as possible and send to	
Telephone details immediately	and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	

Issue Date: 25/02/08

Page 3 of 3



### From: Section 40

### Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Swadlincote Derbyshire Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

24 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Linton, Derbyshire in 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Triangular lights on strange objects.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Outside of her house.
th.	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	Se 4.80
*	stationary/moving.)	
		W/1 1 1 Section 40
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye has one and a half hours footage of the triangular lights on video.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The objects was seen going over Swadlincote in Derbyshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite near to the witnesses' house.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported.	Section 40 informed the Mirror
	(Police, military, press etc)	newspaper and they told her that she
300 83		should ring the DAS answerphone number
		and leave a message, and inform us of her
	к и	sighting.
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	× .
	*	
27	36	Swadlincote
		Derbyshire
	20	Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
20	45 W G	
		Sec.
		.₹
10		Section 40
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that she has written two
(8)	100 mg/s	UFO books on what she has witnessed
	N and	over the years. After reading the Sun
		newspaper dated 23 June 2008, she though
		that it was time that she reported her
	*****	sighting.
	* * *	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 June 2008
	zana ama ame or receipt.	10.45L
	# 10 H	
	60 Mari	
	8	





1.	Date and time of sighting.	17 June 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	(Time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
ä	corour, originaless, noise.)	
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over the New Forest, Hampshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
	4	Full address not given
E .		Fordingbridge Hampshire
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 husband and father in law.
11.	Other withesses.	nusband and father in law.
=		
	a a	20 A
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that they were all freaked
		out by what they saw and that they would
	<i>y</i>	like us to call them back and explain to them, what it could have been. Or could we
	8	put their mind to rest and say that it was
	चा इट है	some military craft or a helicopter!
13.	Date and time of receipt.	18 June 2008
		16.15L

Page 1 of 1

# Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

18 June 2008 15:26

To:

Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

### Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 7 June 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 7 June 2008, and that was from South Norwood in London. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

#### Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

18/06/2008

Page 1 of 1

#### SDD

From:

**InfoServices** 

Sent:

09 June 2008 09:35

To:

SDD

Subject:

FW: Objects leaving Heathrow Area.

Attachments: P1010138.JPG; P1010139.JPG

N REGULATION GROUS RECEIVED 09 JUN 2008

DATA DEPAR

From:

**Sent:** 07 June 2008 12:29

To: InfoServices

Subject: Objects leaving Heathrow Area.

Dear Sir/Madam,

25 of these amber object were seen leaving the Heathrow area at 12.30pm 07/06/08 traveling west at 45 degrees 200-300 knots. My girlfriend and I saw these from my 10th floor apartment in Battersea Section 40 The blue lights on the lower left are the Putney Bridge Building.

Please let me know you have received this.

**Operations Director** 

**Urban Investments** 

No virus found in this outgoing message.

Checked by AVG.

Version: 7.5.524 / Virus Database: 269.24.6/1488 - Release Date: 6/6/2008 5:48 PM

09/06/2008

Saf Regulation Group

with compliments

Please find endosed à repr afa UFO Fight up.

kund regards

Section 40


**Civil Aviation** 


Authority

**Civil Aviation Authority** 

Aviation House Gatwick Airport South West Sussex RH6 0YR

Telephone Section 40 Fax Section 40







From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Section 40

South Norwood London

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

17 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 7 June 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 7 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



From:

Sent:

<u>16 June 2008 15:</u>18

To:

Subject:

FW: FOI written request 16-06-2008-151317-006 Section 40

Categories:

**FOI Information Request** 

Can you answer this as a normal sighting report. It does not need to be a FOI response.

--Original Message--

From: Section 40

Sent: 16 June 2008 15:14

To: Section 40

Subject: FW: FOI written request 16-06-2008-151317-006

Can you take?

Info-AccessOps5

# Section 40

Main Building

----Original Message----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]

Sent: 13 June 2008 18:43

To: Info-Access-Office

Subject: FOI written request 16-06-2008-151317-006 Section 40

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Friday, June 13, 2008

at 18:42:46

txttitle:

txtfirstname Section 40

txtlastname:

txtaddress1:

txtaddress2: South Norwood

txttowncity: London

txtstatecountry: London

txtzipcodepostcode: Section 40


txtcountry: United Kingdom

txtemailAddress:

txttelephone:

inforequest: Not sure whether this is the correct email address to use, but my partner and I saw a very strange object in the sky over Croydon on 07/06/08 at just before 11pm. We continued to watch it for several minutes before it flew off. It did not behave like a conventional aircraft. I have photos which look disturbingly like objects described in your "UFO" reports. We are intrigued to know what it might be.

Regards



1.	Date and time of sighting.	5 June 2008
1.	(Duration of sighting.)	00.55L
¥	(Duration of signing.)	00.33L
2.	Description of object.	An object was flying around in circles at
	(No of objects, size, shape,	aeroplane level and sounded like an
	colour, brightness, noise.)	industrial train. There was a strange
3	oolour, originaloss, noise.	flashing on the object.
	,	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
N .	Geographical location.	
3	(Indoors/outdoors,	at .
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
91	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object	The object was moving in circles over
	was first seen.	Tonbridge in Kent.
	(A landmark may be more	a a
	helpful than a roughly	*
	estimated bearing.)	
		· ·
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
	10 - 20	
7.	Movements and speed.	The witness just said that the object was
	(side to side, up or down,	moving in circles.
	constant, moving fast, slow)	e e
2	*	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
8	during observation.	•
8	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	a a
		. *
		e e

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Kent Police who then in turn left a message on DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	No house number or street name given.  Tonbridge Kent Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 at first, thought that it was a helicopter up in the sky. Then realised that it was something totally different.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 June 2008 14.30L



From:





# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Bath Somerset

ection 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

16 June 2008

Dear Sir

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' I apologise for above, but you did not leave your name on our answerphone.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Bath in Somerset so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

## Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A circular, slightly off centre UFO flew Eastwards across they sky.
	F .	
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO flew Eastwards over Radstock, Bath in Somerset.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
	9	
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	A man, but didn't give his name.
		Section 40
		Bath
		Somerset Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	The witness said that the UFO flew above
		the plough star constellation. He wondered if there had been any military activity in
		the area. If the MOD were testing any military craft out.
1.2		16 1 2000
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 June 2008 11.20L
	T T	



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40



St Leonards-on-Sea East Sussex

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

16 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other UFO sighting reports on the day you saw the UFO, as you did not leave a date of your sighting on our answerphone. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Over St Leonards-on-Sea in East Sussex.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	
		Ct I compared on Com
		St Leonards-on-Sea
	а ,	East Sussex
	T I	Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	4	
2	,	
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
	*,	
	u u	e to
	80	
to the		
13.	Date and time of vession	16 June 2008
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 June 2008 11.10L
		11.10L
	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
	6	



# From Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

London

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

16 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other 'UFO' sighting reports on the date you witnessed the UFO, as you did not pass this office a date on which you had the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A metallic blue UFO. It was quite big.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside, didn't say where.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye, and then the witness used binoculars.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was flying over London.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The UFO was climbing in the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

3		
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10	Name address and	Section 40
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	
	e • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	London
		Section 40
F-91		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	e e	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that it looked like there
		was a Police helicopter up there with the
	e e	UFO too.
	a.	e e
		# **
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 June 2008 10.55L
	*	



# From Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000



Chester Cheshire Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 16 June 2008



I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 15 June 2008, the details of which you passed to us by phone message. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 15 June 2008 from anywhere else in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

## Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	15 June 2008 22.45L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A formation of eighteen lights. They appeared like a 'flock of helicopters' with lights on. They gave off no sound, but there was a slight rattle.
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors, in the back garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were flying over Chester in Cheshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
		·
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The message came through on a private work number in the DAS office.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Chester Cheshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Her husband.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 June 2008 09.47L



From: Section 40





# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Nr Chester

Cheshire Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

8 July 2008

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter dated 1 July 2008 and also the photographs of your UFO sighting.

You will know our policy on the subject of UFOs due to my previous correspondence.

With regards to your sighting report, the internet is the best place to check, as there are many organisations that would be interested in your sighting. They may be able to help you.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely



F.A.o. Section 40

Directorate of Air Stall



11708

your +4 D | DAS |64 |2


Dear Section 40


Thank you gor your letter of 16th June. I am writing again with regard to these wound sightings observed by my bustoned and myself on 15.6.2008 at 10 45 pm in les coestes area. I am now while to enclose two protographs taken by my mobord. I appreciate That the M.O.D does not attempt to identify the precise nature A each Sighting reported to you. Wile your selves, we are completely open minded and believe that pational expenditions can be found. With this is mind quier the protographs enclosed there must be some enterenced member if staff who could identify the objects in the sky. It not yourselves, could you provide an address A an organisation who could help. Inadentally, jurter sightings were observed in the huerpool area on 23.6.08 which were filmed by mobile phone and reported on B.B.C. Regional News at 6,30pm on 24.6.08. My hust and and 9, both sception, felt Vindicated on vewing similar sightings that we shad observed on 15.6.08 I wish to make a comment regarding my install Stephone call to the M.O.D. The gertleman Wo answered the prove took my message, then passed


Reference:defe/24/2625/1


it over to die colleague with the comment "FEMALE"
as if that placed me in a category for unchable
test, money!
Guen that 9 am 6 k years old, have HEVER
seen such a SIONTING in all my life I felt
rather slighted. Incidentally, as I explained it my
phone call, I felt that the Syntings and well
be explained as natural phenomena and 9 was not
an alarmist.
I would also like to comment on the just that
I proved the M.OD. on 16.8.08 and your letter
was dated the same day 16.6.05. confirming that
you had received no other segants of sightings.
I thought that it was semarkable that thus
concrete and most definite confirmation of
lack of evidence was made so quickly - less Than
24 hours.
I hook you and to hearing your you
Sincerely
Section 40 Section 40

Reference:defe/24/2625/1











1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A big alien craft landed on the top of the witnesses' house.
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The craft was flying over Bristol in Avon.
6.	Approximate distance.	Very close to the witnesses' house and then on the house.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.
i.		

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Name and address not given.
*	telephone no of informant.	Bristol
		Avon
		*
		* 4
2	*	
	Ti .	e e e
11	O4b	Nat airea
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	*	
**		
•		
12.	Remarks.	The person (man), mentioned something
	* 1	about aliens too, but I could not understand
	N N	the answerphone message at times. He said the craft stayed on his house for a while
is.		and then flew off.
(A)	e e e	
	v	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	11 June 2008
		10.45L
	N.	
	Til .	



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Bedford Bedfordshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

11 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 10 June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 10 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	10 June 2008 11.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A big orange, saucer shaped floating thing was in the sky. There were four flashing lights on it. It was like a light show. They then suddenly stopped flashing.
8 g 8		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen flying over Shortstown, Bedford in Bedfordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	The object seemed to be quite near.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The orange thing was floating in the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)  Name, address and telephone no of informant.	DAS answerphone.  Section 40  Bedford Bedfordshire Section 40	
	Bedford Bedfordshire	
	Bedford Bedfordshire	
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Bedfordshire	
	Section 40	
*		
Other witnesses.	His wife.	
Remarks.	They watched this light show/spectacle for over two hours. The orange thing just floated around. The lights were flashing, but then just suddenly stopped and the whole thing just disappeared.	
Date and time of receipt.	11 June 2008 11.05L	

#### Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

01 July 2008 14:48

To:

Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

## Dear Section 40

I am writing regarding your UFO sighting dated 10 June 2008. You left a message on our answerphone on the 11 June 2008, for which I wrote to you on that same date.

You will already know our policy on UFOs, due to my previous correspondence.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 10 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

#### Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

01/07/2008

Page 1 of 1

### Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

26 June 2008 08:59

To:

**DAS-UFO-Office** 

Subject:

UFO caught on camera over Bedford 10/6/08

Attachments: HPIM0437.JPG; HPIM0439.JPG

Hello,



My wife and I have recently witnessed six UFO's on the night of Tuesday 10th 11:30pm, until approximately 3:00am on Wednesday 11th June.

One of the bright lights may be explained away as being a planetary body or satellite. Four of them where small white dots that flashed red, suspended in a kite shaped formation but spaced a considerable distance apart. What was strange about them was they were silent and hovered unstably in the air. (Almost as though they were jangling at the end of some string.) The fifth was the most amazing of all! It was immense compared to the white & red dots, hovered low in the air & was pale orange in colour. (Almost transparent.) This UFO was shaped like a bowler hat with an upside-down saucer at the bottom & only appeared at the end of my recording!

NB - two of the four flashing lights flew over my house and out of sight, however the remaining two merged with the large "Mother Ship." then descended out of view.

Unfortunately my video camera was only able to capture the large orange. "Mother Ship" & the original bright light! The flashing lights where too small for my camera to detect..

I would value your insight into this & whether it was the same craft identified in other recent sightings?

Kind Regards.

#### Section 40

**Managing Consultant** 




This message, together with any attachments, is confidential and is intended only for the use of the addressee(s) and may contain information, which is covered by legal, professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient please destroy the email and contact us.

Message and attachments have been scanned with Sophos Anti-Virus.

Visit our new website - www.jabgroup.co.uk.

JAB Associates Limited, Registered in England, No:2461762. Registered address 4 Weald way, Caterham, Surrey, CR3 6EG

26/06/2008



atalogue Reference:defe/24/2625/1



# From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Caernarfon
Gwynedd
North Wales

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

17 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 6 June 2008, the details of which you passed to North Wales Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 6 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



Report of Unidentified Flyin	ng Object
Date	06.06.08
Time	2259 hrs local
Sighting Duration	minutes
Description of Object	2x orange glowing lights fast moving. One went to the east to
*,	moving. One week to the east to
- CO1	IRALA COCIONE CIMBRO VECOCOIIA.
Exact Position of Observer	Section 40  (POSECODE) LLANUWCHLLYN GLOYNEDO
How Object was Observed	naked eye
Direction in which Object was First Seen	west to east
Angular Elevation of Object	UNKNOWN
Distance of Object from Observer	UNIKNOWA
Movement of Object	unknown
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	unknown / night
Nearby Objects	
To Whom Reported	NORTH WALES POLICE, THEN WATCH SUPERVISOR Section 40 AT SWANWICK AIR TRAFF
Name of	Section 40
Informant	CONTACT NUMBER : Section 40
Address of Informant	
	CAERNARFON Guynedd.
	WALES
Background Information on	
Informant that may be Volunteered	JIO6723 - POLICE INCIDENT NUMBER
Other Witnesses	GROUP PRESENT



Issue Date: 25/02/08

Page 2 of 3

Date of Receipt of Report	06.06.08	
Time of Receipt of Report 23.30 ms 1000		
Actions		Time
Complete report of UFO with as many details as possible and send to FOI1		23.35 hrs
Telephone details immediately and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.  23 - 50 h		

NATS Contact:

#### Section 40

WATCH SUPERVISOR
LONDON AREA CONTROL CENTRE
SOPWITH WAM
SWANWICK
HAMPSHIRE
SOBI TAM

e-mail.

Section 40

Issue Date: 25/02/08

Page 3 of 3



# From Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Rhydwyn Caergybi Isle of Anglesey Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

10 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 19 May 2008, the details of which you passed to CRO Wales and RAF Valley. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.


With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 19 May 2008, and that was from Delabole in Cornwall. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely







		·
A.	Date, Time & Duration of Sighting	19 MAY 2008 02-30-03.00am 30mins
В.	Desciption of Object (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness)	Round Bright white
c.	Exact Position of Observer Location, indoor/outdoor, stationary/moving	Outdoor looking up into He sky
D.	How Observed (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie)	daked Eye
Е.	Direction in which Object first seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing)	Moving John SW-ME
F.	Angle of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable)	2/14
G	Distance (By reference to a known landmark)	2114
н.	Movements (Changes in E, F & G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed)	moving slowly and quierly
I.	Met Conditions during Observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc)	quierly Still quier night
J.	Nearby Objects (Telephone lines, high Voltage lines, reservoir, lake or dam, swamp or marsh, river, high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, spires, TV or radio masts, airfields, generating plant, factories, pits or other sites with floodlights or night lighting)	

к.	To whom reported (Police, military, press etc)	CRO WALES &
L.	Name & Address of Informant	Section 40  Rhydwyn Coer o yb
М.	Background of Informant that may be volunteered	Married to 2 RAF Officies 84yrs old. Knows what she saw
N.	Other Witnesses	NoNE
0.	Date, Time of Receipt	29 MAY 2008
P.	Any Unusual Meteorological Conditions	
Q.	Remarks	Judy as she likes to be known as watched this Comet for about 30 mins She has
		good heaving but Here was no engine noise.

She is convinced it was a Comet and it moved slowly from the west side of Anglesey rowards Liverpool.

30/5/08

RAF COMMUNITY
RELATIONS OFFICER (WALES)
WENDOLANOG HOUSE
WERN ROAD
ELSHPOOL
POWYS
SY21 7DA



with compliments/gyda chyfarchion

10 WHOEVER PEALS WITH UFO REPORTS



## **RAF Community Relations Officer (Wales)**

Ministry of Defence Room 2-04, New Dolanog House Severn Road,Welshpool Powys SY21 7DA

Tel: Section 40

Email: cro.wales@stc.raf.med.uk



Swyddog Cymunedol Llu Awyr Brenhinol (Cymru)

Y Weinyddiaeth Amddiffyn Ystafell 2-04, Ty Dolanog Newydd Ffordd Hafren Y Trallwng Powys SY21 7DA

Ffon Section 40

E-bôst: cro.wales.asst@ste.raf.mod.uk



# From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40



Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

10 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 June 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



Sent:

feedback@www.mod.uk 09 June 2008 22:22 webmaster@dgics.mod.uk Low Flying Complaints

To: Subject:

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Monday, June 9, 2008 at 22:22:08

txtfirstname: Section 40 txtlastname: txtaddress1: txtaddress2:

txttowncity: stourbridge

txtstatecountry: west midlands

txtzipcodepostcode:

txttelephone: Section

txtcountry: UK

txtemailAddress: S

txtincident: 01.30/02.30 9th June 2008

txtrequest: This is no necessarily 'military low flying', but i could not find the appropriate area of the site to send this to otherwise.

At either 1.30am or 2.30am i am not certain, i heard a dim humming that sounded similar to a plane but softer and constant.

Even though the hum stopped I decided to through the blind slats of my bedroom window and saw what can looks like a flying saucer (i would send a drawing if required). There were no lights, so it was dimly outlined against the biege colour of the Stourbridge night time. It had a sort of flat top and a rounded bottom. From my position it was framed between the roof of a neighbouring house and a large oak tree; using the perspective i can only guess it was the width of a combined semi detached house, given its distance. I can also place its approximate position on a map. I only glimpsed it as i rapidly closed the blinds and lay completely still for the rest of the night not getting much sleep.

First signs of dawn emerged at around 4.00am, and sometime between then and 5.00am i heard a low humming again but from further away. This time i saw a faint round outline (like a speck on the eye) gliding in a straight line across the sky, i looked away and back to it and it was still gliding so i guess not a speck in my eye.

I can only say from my point of view it is a UFO... i could not identify it. But if it is a new form of military aircraft please do not fly it over my house again. If you want a map position and a drawing (if only a basic outline) then please say so. I am not saying it is an alien spacecraft, but it did look and sound like a stereotypical flying saucer, and hence i shall not be sleeping well tonight.

Please give me information where possible, especially if any one else has experienced something similar recently.

Section 40	



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



### **MINISTRY OF DEFENCE**

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Ilkley
West Yorkshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

17 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 8 June 2008, the details of which you passed to West Yorkshire Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.


With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 8 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Report of Unidentified Flyin	g Object	9		
Date	08	June	2008	
Time	00.25	(we	AL)	
Sighting Duration	10 M	INS		
Description of Object	5 ORANGE	OBJECT	3 + 10	RANGE
	'BLOB'	v = 8		* 1
Exact Position of Observer	M BALLETON	ILKLE	Y L529	
How Object was Observed	FILMED			**************************************
Direction in which Object was First Seen	CIRCLING		n Burley	AND
Angular Elevation of Object				
Distance of Object from Observer				4
Movement of Object				
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	CLEAR			- 1001 - 1001
Nearby Objects				
To Whom Reported	W. YORKS	POLICE	BRADER	)
Name of Informant	Section 40			
Address of Informant	Section 40			
	west you	Section	n 40	, 44
Background Information on	, ,			
Informant that may be Volunteered		· ·		
Other Witnesses	Section 40	v		LICLEY



Issue Date: 25/02/08

Page 2 of 3

Date of Receipt of Report	0815(LOVA) 8 JU	NE
Time of Receipt of Report	(COLIN WOOD)	, LACC)
4	Actions	Time
Complete report of UFO with a FOI1	as many details as possible and send to	
Telephone details immediately	and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	

W. YORKS POLICE BRADFORD Section 40

Section 40


MUCHICANINO, 77 (8th

2 2317 | 7th

Issue Date: 25/02/08

Page 3 of 3





1.	Date and time of sighting.	2 June 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	20.30L
2.	Description of object.	A sighting of something.
	(No of objects, size, shape,	
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
	8	
	· ·	
8		
	*	,
3.	Exact position of observer.	Outside, in the back garden.
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	a a
	stationary/moving.)	
	,	
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	Williams Hames Sys.
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
N		
5.	Direction in which object	The UFO was flying over Stroud in
	was first seen.	Gloucestershire.
	(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	*
ä	ostimatoa ooaring.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
	,	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
Annida (SE) (= )	during observation.	
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
200		

3400 3 4		
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Stroud
2		Gloucestershire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	His wife briefly saw the UFO too.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said he would like us to call him back and explain what the sighting could have been.
4		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	5 June 2008 11.20L
	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Worthing
West Sussex
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

3 June 2008

#### Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' Sorry if I have misspelt your surname, the answerphone can be hard to understand.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Worthing in East Sussex so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

### Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	No date given. \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	At first, there was one light like a star, and then another moving light appeared.
er A		**************************************
7		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Section 40 was out in his garden having a cigarette.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	One of the lights were flying right over his house, in Worthing, West Sussex. The other light was in the distance. Both were moving in a South Easterly direction towards Brighton.
6.	Approximate distance.	One light was near and the other was further away.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The lights were moving across the sky, both at a medium to slow speed, and at a steady pace.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

To whom reported.	Section 40 informed Air Traffic Control of
<b>1</b>	his sighting, who then in turn told him that
	he should contact the police. The police
zi.	then gave him the DAS answerphone
_	number.
Name, address and	Section 40
telephone no or mrormana.	Worthing
±	West Sussex
	Section 40
	CCOCION 10
* *	2
e e	9
Other witnesses	Not given.
Other witnesses.	140t given.
Remarks	Section 40 said that after the other light
	joined the first one, they then moved off in
	the same direction and then just
u.	disappeared. They were definitely not
	aircraft and sometimes looked like a weird
	vision. Section 40 aid we can't be the only
	ones alive in the Solar System. That he
e e	believes in UFOs, 120 percent. He felt he
	needed to tell us about his sighting, in case
*	we had to do something about it!!
Date and time of receipt	3 June 2008
Date and time of receipt.	11.10L
	11.102
	*,
	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)  Name, address and telephone no of informant.  Other witnesses.  Remarks.

(e.mail came after ) answerkhone message).

Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

05 June 2008 09:47

To: Subject:

FW: FOI written request PS 04-06-2008-105219-004 Section

Section 40

**Categories:** 

**FOI Information Request** 

Section  $4\theta$  reat this as a normal UFO sighting. Check if you have already received and answered anything from this man.

Section 40

----Original Message----

From:<mark>Section 40</mark>

Sent: 04 June 2008 10:53

To: Section 40

Subject: FW: FOI written request PS 04-06-2008-105219-004

Section 40

Section 40

Can I interest you with this FOI request?

Regards

Section 40

FOI Helpdesk
Section 40

----Original Message----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]

Sent: 04 June 2008 00:16 To: Info-Access-Office

Subject: FOI written request PS 04-06-2008-105219-004 Section 40

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Wednesday, June 4,

2008 at 00:16:29

txttitle: Section

txtfirstname Section 40

txtlastname:

txtoccupation: electro plater metal finisher

txtorganisation: Section 40

txtaddress1 Section 40

txtaddress2: Worthing

txttowncity: Worthing

txtstatecountry: west sussex

txtzipcodepostcode: Section 40

txtcountry: UK

txtemailAddress Section 40

txttelephone: Section 40

1

txtinforequest: hello

6/2008 .30 approx

I was in my garden smoking a fag,,, Im not allowed to smoke in the house,,, and looking at the sky,,, there was some cloud cover just like a high fog. Athough this was not dense. I could see 2 stars, whilst i was looking at the 2 stars that i could see, a white with a tint of orange light came into view over the top of my neighbours house it was traveling in a westerly but slightly south direction at a medium speed, but faster than the jets that come over my house from gatwick airport. This star! light! UFO! travelled in a perfectly straight line at the same altitude as the cloud cover maybe a little higher, there was no sound at all completly silent. I watched it for as long at i could untill it dissapeared into the the cloud, and i could no longer see it. I was then thinking to myself what the heck was that!! I had no explination. I then was looking at the 2 stars that I was origonally looking at... but at that moment the star that was the lowest ( or the furthes!

t )away from me, suddenly started moving in the same direction allthough it was not taking the same path it was heading to the same point as the 1st (light, star, UFO,) this one was travelling at a much slower speed. I also watched this one untill i could see it no more at the same point as the first, This one also made no sound and was at about the same altitude if not maybe a little higher than the 1st.... Then I didnt know what to do !!! I know what i saw,, so i tried to contact ATC gatwick they gave me a phone number that is now not in use,,,, I then phoned sussex police and told them what i had seen thay gave me a reference number :1907/1/6/2008 they then gave me the MOD UFO sighting number whitch then took me to a awnsering machine and i left a message. I had had no reply I know that 4 at least 4 other people saw these stars UFO's lights in worthing because they called the local radio station (splash FM)....

I would love to hear some feedback from this.

I know that we are not alone, the universe is to varst to even think that we are... If I can be a help or a use to you please do not hesitate to contact me,,, trust me i will not be alarmed... look forward to hearing from you.

many thanks

Section 40



From: Section 40





#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Leeds West Yorkshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

3 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 31 May 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 31 May 2008, and that was from Stranraer, Dumfries and Galloway, in Scotland. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



From: Sent:

feedback@www.mod.uk 01 June 2008 19:43 webmaster@dgics.mod.uk

To:

Subject:

Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Sunday, June 1, 2008

txtfirstname: Section 40

txtlastname:

txtaddress1:

txtaddress2: Roundhay

txttowncity: Leeds

txtstatecountry: West Yorkshire

txtzipcodepostcode: Section 4

txttelephone:

txtcountry: UK

txtemailAddress:

txtincident: Saturday 31st May about 10 pm

txtrequest: Hi

this isn't a complaint but a UFO report/query. i couldn't find a better category. My wife and i were looking South from our garden in North Leeds when we saw 2 bright lights moving fast from West to East across the sky. they were at typical jet height at medium altitude and at typical jet speed. at first we thought 'plane' but immediately were confused as the lights were:

- ~ constant and not flashing, as planes usually have ~ one above the other at a distant suggesting 2 craft. they remained at exactly the same distant apart and the same
- ~ they went behind trees that were in the foreground and did not emerge as they should have done if following the same flight path.
- ~ we didn't hear any jet engine sounds until after the lights had disappeared (in a different direction and not behind the craft as you would expect when watching a plane moving faster than the speed of sound)

could this have been 2 military aircraft? do planes sometimes have constant lights only? has anyone else reported this?

Many Thanks

we are both education professionals (consultant and teacher)



From: Section 40





#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Stranraer

**Dumfries and Galloway** 

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

3 June 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 31 May 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 31 May 2008, and that was from Leeds in West Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	31 May 2008 23.09L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A bright orange object.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside in the garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye and a picture was taken with a digital camera.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen heading in a South Easterly direction over Stranraer in Dumfries and Galloway.
6.	Approximate distance.	The object was quite near.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The object was moving across the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

	<del></del>
To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)  Section 40 informed RAF Prestwice then in turn gave him the DAS answerphone number.	k, who
Name, address and telephone no of informant.  Stranraer Dumfries and Galloway Section 40	
Other witnesses.  Section 40  wife.	
Remarks.  Section 40 said that he would like a get back to him about his sighting.	is to
Date and time of receipt. 3 June 2008 11.00L	
	11.00L



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(No date or time given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A really unusual sighting that started with four bright orange lights floating across the sky. Then a black blob appeared.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The objects were seen moving over Woodford Green in Essex.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The objects were floating across the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
¥i		
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
20		Couldn't make out the no. and street name of the address on the answerphone.
		Woodford Green Essex
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 partner and daughter.
	*	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that once the black blob
	***************************************	appeared, that they all started to panic, as
ħ	e	these were obviously unusual sightings and the experience freaked them out. That she
2	*	wants us to get back to her to possibly explain what they all saw.
	ø	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	3 June 2008 10.45L
		9 2

#### Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

28 May 2008 15:47

To:

Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' that you saw in the Spring of 1985, the details of which you e.mailed to the MOD. Your sighting report has been passed to this office, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, UFO sighting reports for the year 1985 are available for public viewing at The National Archives.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

#### Section 40

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

28/05/2008

#### Section 40

From: Sent: To: Section 40

28 May 2008 08:35

Subject:

FW: foi email request

Normal business

From: Section 40
Sent: 27 May 2008 13:15

To: Section 40

Subject: FW: foi email request

#### Section 40

Can I interest you with this request?

I have not logged this as FOI.

Regards

#### Section 40

FOI Helpdesk

Section 40

----Original Message----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]

Sent: 27 May 2008 13:32 To: Info-Access-Office Subject: foi email request

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Tuesday, May 27, 2008

at 13:32:13

txttitle:

Section 40

txtfirstname: Section 40

orror reportation.

me: Oection 40

txtlastname:

txtoccupation: Community Psychiatric Nurse

txtorganisation: NHS

txtemailAddress:

Section 40

txttelephone Section 40

txtinforequest: I am completely sure I saw an object that I have not seen before or since. It was 1985approx. It was an early spring morning when the light was not quite daylight. I was getting ready to go to work in the hospital for a 7am start. I opened the back door into the garden and was immediately aware of an unusually bright light in the sky just above where I knew there was an electric pylon. The light was stationary. I went in to get my binoculars and could see very clearly a cigar shaped object with individual bright lights all round the edge of it. I watched this for 15mins. then without a sound or noticeable movement it disapeared. I felt very excited at the time but nobody

1



From: Section 40





#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Section 40

Delabole Cornwall

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

28 May 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 19 May 2008, the details of which you reported to ATC Swanwick. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 19 May 2008, and that was from Cornwall. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.


Yours sincerely



# UFO REPORTS

## Includes addressed envelopes to:-

FOI1
5<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR, ZONE H
MAIN BUILDING
WHITEHALL
LONDON
SW1A 2HB



Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports

Issue Date: 25/02/08

Page 1 of 3

Date	19-MAY-OF
Гіте	19-MAY-OF 2245L
Sighting Duration	APPROX 2 MIN
Description of Object	V. BRICHT DRANGE LIGHT BE BRIGHTEN
	THAN BRICHTEST STAR AND 3 X 5128
	AM SOBJECTS JUHTED TO
Exact Position of Observer	DELABOLE CORNWALL
How Object was Observed	NAKED EME + VIDEO
Direction in which Object was First Seen	FROM SW TRAVELLING OUT OVER SEATOWARDS TINTAGEL
Angular Elevation of Object	FROM HORIZON TO HORIZON
Distance of Object from Observer	EST 15 000 FT AT LEAST
30501 (01	
Movement of Object	ACCELERATING OVER SIGH
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	CLEAN, MOONLIT
Nearby Objects	M007
To Whom Reported	W/SUP SWANWICK
Name of	Section 40
Informant	
Address of Informant	Section 40
e e e	Section 40 DEAGOLE
	Section 40
Background Information on	PPL
Informant that may be Volunteered	
	en e

& THEY SAW 4 LAST WEEK, SAME DEJURIPHOND DIFFERENT DIRECTION OF TRANSL.


22 Page 2 of 3

Issue Date: 25/02/08

Date of Receipt of Report 19-MAY- 08		
Time of Receipt of Report	2323L 19-MAM	
	Actions	Time
Complete report of UFO with FOI1	as many details as possible and send to	2334 L
Telephone details immediatel	y and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	

Completed by Section 40

WATCH SUPERNOOR, GREEN WATCH
LONDON ACC
SWANWICK
COPWITH WAM
SOUTHAMAON
SOUTHAMAON



Issue Date: 25/02/08

Page 3 of 3



## Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

Newcastle upon Tyne Northumberland

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 28 May 2008

Dear Section 40

ection 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in May 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Heaton, Newcastle upon Tyne so far in 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely




## 1

#### REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Date not given. 01.45L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
	* *	
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Heaton, Newcastle upon Tyne in Northumberland.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.


9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Newcastle upon Tyne Northumberland Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
Ŧ		
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 would like us to get back to him.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	28 May 2008 11.00L
	* "	






1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said it was a UFO sighting.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.


	9	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
	d	
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		No address given.
		Section 40
9		
		.*
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
¥:	9	
12.	Remarks.	Section wants us to get back to her.
	4	
12		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	28 May 2008 10.50L
	y .	
	*	





1.	Date and time of sighting.	19 May 2008
	(Duration of sighting.)	22.45L
2.	Description of object.	Three objects were seen travelling from
	(No of objects, size, shape,	South East to North West.
	colour, brightness, noise.)	*
2		
¥		*
10		
81	* .	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
8	Geographical location.	
:	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
21 T		
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
e e	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
5	Direction in which object	The objects were seen over Delabole in
3.	was first seen.	Cornwall.
	(A landmark may be more	Comwan
	helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
	, "	
7.	Movements and speed	Not given.
/.	Movements and speed.  (side to side, up or down,	Not given.
,	constant, moving fast, slow)	*
	,	
		3 a
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
	during observation.	r <sub>g</sub>
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

o eli li	u u	4 .
9.	To whom reported.	The sighting was reported to Section 40
	(Police, military, press etc)	Section 40 Watch Supervisor at Swanick,
		who then in turn left a message on the
		DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and	Anon
	telephone no of informant.	
		Delabole
	*	Cornwall
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
15 es		
11	Oth ou witnesses	Not given
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	1	
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
		2.00 8-10-11
	e.	
		a a s
		*
zi N		
		,
13.	Date and time of receipt.	20 May 2008
		11.05L
	, ,	er er
		ft <sup>or</sup>
		4





1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	One circle of bright light. It was as high up as a telegraph pole. It was seen for thirty minutes.
2	Every and a series of a beautiful	Was travalling in a gar
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Was travelling in a car.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Newtownhamilton, Newry, in Co Down, Northern Ireland.
6.	Approximate distance.	The object was moving towards and away from the vehicle.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The object was moving in all different directions.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	There was broken cloud.

2			ASSESSED
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.	
			C 27 343
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40	
		Newry	
		County Down Northern Ireland	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.	
	e		
	u		
12.	Remarks.	Not given.	
		n n	
٠			*
ti N	y y		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	20 May 2008 10.45L	
SF.	•	»	



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Section 40

West Kensington
London

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 15 May 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 11 May 2008 the details of which you e.mailed to the MOD. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports c 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have som defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspac might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft light or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be a inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totall open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports o 'UFO' sightings for 11 May 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is n corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached b unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



From: Sent:

feedback@www.mod.uk 14 May 2008 10:26

To:

webmaster@dgics.mod.uk

Subject:

**Low Flying Complaints** 

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Wednesday, May 14, 2008 at 10:26:21

txtfirstname

txtlastname:

txtaddress1:

txtaddress2: west kensington

txttowncity: london

txtstatecountry: london

txtzipcodepostcode: Section

txttelephone: Section 40

txtcountry: United Kingdom

txtemailAddress: Section 40

txtincident: 2pm ish 11 may

txtrequest: Hello

This is not the right place to report this...but can not find the right place report this...please read, Sunday 11 may last, at West wycombe boot sale, in the behind the boot sale there was a long black object very high and still, there is s sort flying club nearby, as I saw a lot of small aircraft coming up from the ground one was as big as the black thing in the sky ...but I can not tell the distance whi it was at, it was there for about 5 minutes and I pointed it out to a few people, t saw it to, it turned on its end like a ship under torpedo attack and dissappered.

am 60 years old and of sound min

Hope this is helpful.



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Rugby Warwickshire Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 13 May 2008

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in May 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings from Rugby in Warwickshire so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said a UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Rugby in Warwickshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

3 1 4000		
10		
9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
	7 7 7 7	
10	N	Section 40
10.	Name, address and	Section 40
	telephone no of informant.	- p 4
		Rugby
		Warwickshire
	1	Section 40
	ø	
8	*	
		,
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
	* •	
	a a	
	4	a "
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10	1	Section 40
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said he would like someone to
		ring him back, so that he could explain
		about his UFO sighting.
	*	1
	e a	
	a a a	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	12 May 2008
	8	16.00L
		1 B 2
•		