s anything about the se you to feel that in natural or conventional manufactured?	t was not
Please provide details of any other witnesses, including names, adresses,	My wife also watched the object disappear into the distance.
telephone numbers, and relationship to you. Use a separate sheet of paper if needed.	
About the Object(s)	Number of objects?     1     Was there any sound?       Colour?     grey     (please provide description of sound)
What shape was (were) the object(s)?	Was the shape well defined, or distorted in any way?         (please give details), well defined
How bright was the ob (compared to the moo stars, car headlights, e	n, planets,
Cloud cover (please circle)	Cloudy
Temperature (please circle)	Cool
Wind (please circle)	Breeze
Moisture (please circle)	Dry
Celestial bodies (please circle all that apply)	n/a
Please use the spa sheet if required.	ace below to write/draw anything else that you think may be relevant. Use a continuation
ang ang dang mang mang sang bang sa tau na pana malakan bahan pang sa	
an a	
1	

3 of 3 WSF1(June 2001) Ufologyinuk

	The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1
Mess	
ĸ	Ipplicante Sciosi
	vient as post - (26)
Fre	om:
Se	nt: 27 June 2006 11:29
То	
Su	bject: Banbury UFO sighting 1
Hi	
Was	given your email by a colleague in the MoD press office.
Thur The	have received reports of a UFO from a reader which he captured on his cam-corder at about 7pm on sday June 8. object was visible for about eight minutes and is described as slowly moving up into the sky at an angle. vas stood in Banbury and saw the object to the southeast (Brackley direction).
a) vo	wondering if: ou can identify the object from the pics (see below) hat military activity there is in the area which could possible explain it (saw a news article on the TV the

d) you could give us a general comment about the regularity of UFO sightings, particularly in this area, and how the majority of sightings are explained/resolved (if indeed they are?). Plus historica data e.g. are

sightings becoming less or more common?

supposed to have been closed)

We're on deadline today so if you could get back to me before 5.30pm that would be great, or if you can't, can you let me know please?

c) if Upper Heyford airbase (which is in that direction) is ever used for military activity (I believe it was is

We have four images which I'll send you on separate emails.

other day about an Apache helecopter which did look a bit like this)

Thanks

ion 40

Reporter Banbury Guardian 7 North Bar Banbury Oxon OX16 0TQ

Opinions expressed in this email are those of the writer and not the company.

04/07/2006



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1



From:



# **Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1**

# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

с ,		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
Churchstoke Powys		-1		

#### Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other reports of 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not forward this office on the answerphone, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

#### Yours sincerely



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

# **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**



during observation.	
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	e .
	5 

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Churchstoke
	а С	Powys
11.	Other witnesses.	girlfriend.
12.	Remarks.	said that the sighting was quite odd. How could a disc move slowly up and down in the sky? Was that
	а а а а а а а а а а а а а а а а а а а	possible? He felt he had to let someone know of his sighting. Was the weirdest thing he had ever seen. Said they couldn't take their eyes off it, like you were glued to the lights.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	3 July 2006 10.45L





From:



# **Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1**

# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
2 		
Your R	eference:	
Our Re	ference:	
D/DAS	5/64/2	
Date:		
27 Jun	e 2006	
-	Your R Our Re D/DAS Date:	(Switchboard) (Fax) Your Reference: Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

#### Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 26 June 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

I enquired as to if there were any military aircraft in the vicinity of where you had the sighting between the times you stated and have been informed that, if authorised, helicopters and Hercules can fly through the night. Also, for the last few weeks, there has been a lot of low flying training by military aircraft over the county of Suffolk.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 26 June 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no

corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

# **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	<b>Date and time of sighting.</b> (Duration of sighting.)	26 June 2006 23.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said that she had a UFO sighting.
3.	<b>Exact position of observer.</b> <b>Geographical location.</b> (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Over Lavenham, Suffolk, where she lives.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Going very fast.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

15	during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Lavenham Suffolk 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Dwondered whether there were any military aircraft in the vicinity of where she lives, between the times of 23.30 and midnight on the 26 June 2006. Deaid though, thinking about it, the craft was a bit of an odd shape to be a military aircraft.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	27 June 2006 10.30L





From:

NUMBER

# Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
Your R	eference:	
	5/64/2	
7	e 2006	
	Your R Our Re D/DAS Date: 27 June	(Switchboard) (Fax) Your Reference: Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 27 June 2006

#### Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 17 June 2006, the details of which you passed to Blackpool ATC. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 17 June 2006 and that was from Broadstairs in Kent. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

# **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	Date and time of sighting.	17 <sup>th</sup> June 2006
	(Duration of sighting.)	23.30 Local time
2.	<b>Description</b> of object.	9 Objects
	(No of objects, size, shape, colour,	Orange Bright Lights
	brightness, noise.)	No Sound, No smell
	5	
27		
	- * -	-
	х в	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Obcomron in his book conden
5.	Geographical location.	Observer in his back garden.
	(Indoors/outdoors,	Stationary
	stationary/moving.)	
	Stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed.	Filmed the objects on a video camera and
	(Naked eye, binoculars, other	his mobile phone.
	optical device, camera or	
	camcorder.)	
		·
5.	Direction in which object was	From the Beach inland.
	first seen.	From the west.
	(A landmark may be more helpful	<u>×</u>
81	than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance	Not given
0.	Approximate distance.	Not given
		и.
7.	Movements and speed.	Moving. The objects disappeared inland.
	(side to side, up or down,	
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
d.		
10		
8.	Weather conditions during	Weather good.

0.	weather continuous during	weather good.	
	observation.	~	
di .	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)		
53			

© Crown Copyright

1

9.	To whom reported.	Blackpool ATC and a family member who
	(Police, military, press etc)	is in the Police.
		Blackpool ATC (
a.	5 F	No reports received from the Police.
с р 1		
10.	Name, address and telephone no	
2	of informant.	
: }		St Annes
1 1 1		Lancashire
2 2 2 2 2 2 3		O
	v.	Telephone:
11.		NTerra
11.	Other witnesses.	None given.
12.	Remarks.	Blackpool ATC say they have received
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	approximately 6 similar calls about this but
	п	because they were busy at the time, they
		only took the details of this one. They say
		these sightings were in the direction of
		BAE Walton but they believe it was closed
		at the time.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	18 <sup>th</sup> June 2006
		09.25 Local time

2

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1



From:



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

5 5	a.				Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
		1070 W 117 W		-			8
			a.		Your R	eference:	
Broadstairs					Our Re	eference:	
Kent			2		D/DAS	5/64/2	
					Date:		
					27 June	e 2006	
	11 #####14.10						

#### Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 17 June 2006, the details of which you passed to the Kent Coastguard. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 17 June 2006, and that was from St Annes in Lancashire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

### Yours sincerely



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

# **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

Date and time of sighting.	17 <sup>th</sup> June 2006
(Duration of sighting.)	21.45 Local
Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	5 Orange balls of light, going over one at a time at about 10/15 minute intervals. Started as Orange/white ball with a halo around and then turned red/orange. About the size of a tennis ball or twice as big as the space station looks. Caller knows it was not the space station as he saw this later in the evening. When he looked through binoculars it looked like it had a parachute attached.
Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors
How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Binoculars. Also took some digital photographs.
Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Appeared above the horizon then went directly over the house South to North.
Approximate distance.	8000 – 10000 feet
Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Travelling about the speed of a Hercules (250 Knots).
	<ul> <li>(Duration of sighting.)</li> <li>Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</li> <li>Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</li> <li>How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</li> <li>Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</li> <li>Approximate distance.</li> <li>Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down,</li> </ul>

8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given
4		1
к к		

9.	To whom reported.	Coastguard who gave him DAS number.
	(Police, military, press etc)	Someone from the Coastguard visited
	<b>5</b> 51	because he kept calling them.
		Coastguard did not know what it was.
		Coasiguard did not know what it was.
	х. х	
		·
10.	Name, address and telephone no	
	of informant.	10 Th
	u .	Broadstairs
		Kent
	· · ·	
		Telephone:
	·	
11.	Other witnesses.	None mentioned
	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
10	Dementer	
12.	Remarks.	Informant is an Ex RAF Squadron Leader.
	8	He was a Hercules Navigator.
	~a)	
		đ
	- 1	
		3
13.	Date and time of receipt.	19 <sup>th</sup> June 2006
13.	Date and time of receipt.	
		10.30 Local Time
a.		
	e	
	a d	



Enclosures - eas



I have received two UFO reports today. One was left on our answerphone over the weekend by Blackpool ATC and the other came from a telephone call this morning. Blackpool ATC apparently also received about 6 other calls but only took the details of this one. Although one of these reports is from Blackpool and the other from Broadstairs, they both describe similar things within a few hours of each other so may be connected. The Broadstairs report is from an ex Sqdn Ldr, Hercules navigator.

I would be grateful if you could let me know whether you think these are of any defence interest.

Regards

	40
DAS-F	-01
5-H-	
0000	<b></b>



الاتر ف	<b>1</b>	Page 1 of 1
-		
Erom:	Wg Cdr	
To:	23 June 2006 11:48	
	FW: 20060620-UFO Reports	
n 4		

Sorry for the delay been out all week. We have nothing on the UFOs, I do not consider these reports to be of consequence to UK Airspace Integrity.

From: BOU AFC-BACCS SO2 Sent: 20 June 2006 17:38 To: Wg Cdr Cc: BOU-AFC-COS OPS Subject: 20060620-UFO Reports

Sir,

The staff at BL have reviewed the tapes relating to the 2 incident reports but could find nothing in the locations reported that would coincide. At present we have not impounded the tapes. Should you wish us to do so, pse advise before 10 Jul.



#### 23/06/2006



From:



# **Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1**

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	ĸ		3	v	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
			5	50		-	
jeren er en					Your R	leference:	· .
7.11		s		2		eference:	
Boldon West Verleshing		8			D/DAS Date:	6/64/2	
West Yorkshire					15 June	e 2006	
No							

#### Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 14 June 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 14 June 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

#### Yours sincerely



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

# **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	<b>Date and time of sighting.</b> (Duration of sighting.)	14 June 2006 18.15L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	said that he saw an object in the sky and was glowing white.
3.	<b>Exact position of observer.</b> <b>Geographical location.</b> (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The object was moving quite fast sideways.
8.	Weather conditions	The weather was clear. Could see the

8	during observation.	object clearly.	
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)		
2		u .	

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Boldon West Yorkshire
		а Ха
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said that he is no nutcase and that he doesn't subscribe to UFO magazines either, was just flabbergasted at what he saw in the sky, as he has never seen anything like that before.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	15 June 2006 14.40L

#### Dear Sir



I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', that you saw above your home, the details of which were passed to this Department. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, what you saw could have been due to remnants of a fire that was in a Manchester Warehouse, although you haven't given this office a date or time of your sighting, to see if the dates match up, but then that is only a theory. Also, I can't confirm whether we received any other 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO' as you did not forward this office in your e.mail, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be more helpful.

Yours sincerely

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB





The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD\* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department\*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent within 15 working days of the above date. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

> Ministerial Correspondence Unit Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB

> > t:

DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at http://main.defence.mod.uk/min\_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

# **\*\* TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY \*\***

\* Delete as appropriate.

f:

INVESTOR IN PROPLI

**Revised January 2006** 

From: Sent: To: Subject: feedback@www.mod.uk 07 June 2006 16:22 webmaster@dgics.mod.uk Ask a Minister

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Wednesday, June 7, 2006 at 16:21:58

ction 40 txtName:

txtEmail:

txtCountry: England

txtComments: Dear Sir/Madam,

Could you please assist us further relating to an UFO sighting above our home. St-Helens, Merseyside ADDRESS: We contacted the merseyside police who informed us that they had received calls from the Wirral and Huyton. We do not believe in Aliens, however, this ball of light was very, very unusual. It looked like a sphere on fire, like a comet without its tail. It had a circular light glowing in front of it, orange, yellow. It made no noise. It darted all over the sky, up into the clouds and back, and had passed very close to our home, lower than normal aircraft. It then shot into the clouds, turned black, freefell and shot off towards Liverpool out of sight, (East Lancs). Our digital camera would not work at first, but once it passed our home we managed to obtain a photograph. The man in the Wirral thought somebody had crashed, the police said he reported seeing the ball of light that turned black, he thought somebody had crashed and went to help, but found nothing. In Huyton they heard a loud bang, which set off car alarms in the street, they went out to investigate and saw! a ball of light dart away in the sky? Could you perhaps shed some light onto it please. It makes you worry if we are safe after the 9.11 atrosities in America. Thank you for your assistance. **Ection 40** 

\_\_\_\_\_





From: Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
	Your F	leference:	
Sheffield	Our Re	eference:	
South Yorkshire	D/DAS	5/64/2	
	Date:		
	8 June	2006	
		2000	

#### Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 1992, the details of which you passed to RAF Leeming. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

In your report you talked about conspiracies to capture people. Abduction/kidnap in the general

sense is, of course, a criminal offence and as such would be a matter for the civil police.

Finally, with regard to your particular observation, back in 1992, we are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

÷

Sorry I could not be any help.

٩.

Yours sincerely

- 1

.

.

2

# REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

MOD SEC(AS)2A SEND TO:

DURING WORKING HOURS:

MOD x 82140 FAX x 40 A 40 UNCLAS SIGNAL TO MODUK AIR SIC Z6D

ISIDE W	ORKING HOURS: UNCLAS SIGNAL TO MODOLIT	All day and night. Multiple
	DATE, TIME & DURATION OF SIGHTING.	events. Mentioned a spacesnip
A	THE OF OBJECT (NO OF OBJECTS, SIZE,	Strange craft in the sky
В	SHAPE, COLOUR, BRIGHTNESS).	leaving trails of air and smoke, or stealth ac, spaceships with 6 windows all lit up
	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER.	None reported
С	LOCATION, INDOOR OUTDOOR	
	THE OPERATION OF A STATE OF A DESCRIPTION OF A STATE OF	Naked eye
D	HOW OBSERVED (INTERCE, STILL OR OTHER OPTICAL DEVICE, STILL OR VIDEO/MOVIE).	х.
	DIRECTION ON WHICH OBJECT FIRST SEEN (A	None reported
E	DIRECTION ON WHICH OBJECT THAN A LANDMARK MAY BE MORE USEFUL THAN A BADLY ESTIMATED BEARING).	
	ANGLE OF SIGHT (ESTIMATED HEIGHTS ARE	None reported
F	UNRELIABLE).	
	DISTANCE (BY REFERANCE TO A KNOWN	None reported
G	LANDMARK).	
	MOVEMENTS (CHANGES IN É, F & G MAY BE OF	None reported
H	MOVEMENTS (CHANGES IN E, I GOURSE AND MORE USE THAN ESTIMATES OF COURSE AND SPEED).	
	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATIONS	None reported
I	(MOVING CLOUDS, HAZE, MIST MAC)	
	NEARBY OBJECTS (TELEPHONE LINES, HIGH	None reported
	VOLTAGE LINES, RESERVOIL, MICH BUILDINGS,	
т	SWAMP OR MARSH, RIVER, BYRES, TV OR TALL CHIMNEYS, STEEPLES, SPIRES, TV OR	
J		
	RADIO MASTS, AIRFIELDS, OR SITES WITH FACTORIES, PITS OR OTHER SITES WITH FLOODLIGHTS OR NIGHT LIGHTING).	
ł	FLOODLIGHTS OR MONTED (POLICE, MILITARY,	Fg Off



3

1

M	BACKGROUND OF INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED.	He has been seeing clones of friends and family since he was 9 yrs old.
	OTHER WITNESSES.	Neighbours, Mother, Father and many other family members.
N		About 0900Z 08 Jun 06
0	DATE, TIME OF RECEIPT	
	ANY UNUSUAL METEOROGICAL CONDITIONS.	None reported
P	REMARKS.	This was a disjointed conversation in which covered various subjects. He talked first about helos that regularly touch his garage and hedge and American stealth ac that pass over his garden. He believes this is a scare tactic to drive him out.
		He mentioned a spaceship that landed in 1992 with clones of his family on board.
Q		He claimed that an army soldier told him 'agent orange' was use on him. This made him feel like insects were coming out of his skin
		He also fears that the aircraft a part of a conspiracy by the CIA too capture people and take the to Cuba. He believes all the neighbours have been sworn to secrecy and that he might be taken away. I assured him that the RAF had no intention of abducting him to Cuba.
		He finds all this activity surrea and sinister. He is scared and i losing sl <u>eep over it.</u>



Fax_from :			08/06/06	11:03	Pg :	1
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				2		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Classification	UNCLASSIFIED				
<b>O</b> ROYAL AIR FORCE	Caveat Covering		v			

# Facsimile Transmission Cover Sheet

Transmission Details		Document Details	
Serial Number:	Date and Time of Transmission:	Reference:	
www	8 Jun 06 1100Z	Subject: UFO complaint	
From:	Fax Number:	Subject. OFO complaint	
Fg Off Lloyd			
Air Operations			
RAF Leeming			
To: MOD	Fax Number:	Total number of pages including this cover sheet	Three
Message/Remarks: Please find attached a Fg Off DOO	a UFO complaint I took ear	lier today.	
Autho	rizing Officer	Transmit Operators	
Rank/Name and Appointment		Rank/Name and Appointment:	
FG OFF A			

Covering





Dear

Thankyou for your recent telephone call to our operations cell, expressing concern about unusual aircraft flying near and over your home.

Your enquiry has been forwarded to the Directorate of Air Staff Complaints and Enquiries Unit, which is responsible for monitoring such enquiries and decides whether any further action is necessary. If you would like to contact them direct, they can be contacted at:

Ministry of Defence DAS-LACEU Floor 5, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

I trust you are content that the issue will be dealt with via the correct channels.

Yours sincerely

DAG - 4 JUL 203 ی از شمه د هورتیم برد به از این شیری ا از از مراجع برد اور از مراجع می مرجع و از مرجع می ا





original Sent-Received - 4/7/06.

# **REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT**

MOD SEC(AS)2A SEND TO:

DURING WORKING HOURS:

1.

MOD x 82140 FAX x Contion 40 OUTSIDE WORKING HOURS: UNCLAS SIGNAL TO MODUK AIR SIC Z6D

A	DATE, TIME & DURATION OF SIGHTING.	All day and night. Multiple events. Mentioned a spaceship from 1992.
B	DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT (NO OF OBJECTS, SIZE, SHAPE, COLOUR, BRIGHTNESS).	Strange craft in the sky Helos, aircraft in formation leaving trails of air and smoke, Us stealth ac, spaceships with 6 windows all lit up
С	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER. LOCATION, INDOOR/OUTDOOR, STATIONARY/MOVING.	None reported
D	HOW OBSERVED (NAKED EYE, BINOCULARS, OTHER OPTICAL DEVICE, STILL OR VIDEO/MOVIE).	Naked eye
E	DIRECTION ON WHICH OBJECT FIRST SEEN (A LANDMARK MAY BE MORE USEFUL THAN A BADLY ESTIMATED BEARING).	None reported
F	ANGLE OF SIGHT (ESTIMATED HEIGHTS ARE UNRELIABLE).	None reported
G	DISTANCE (BY REFERANCE TO A KNOWN LANDMARK).	None reported
Н	MOVEMENTS (CHANGES IN E, F & G MAY BE OF MORE USE THAN ESTIMATES OF COURSE AND SPEED).	None reported
Ι	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATIONS (MOVING CLOUDS, HAZE, MIST ETC).	None reported
J	NEARBY OBJECTS (TELEPHONE LINES, HIGH VOLTAGE LINES, RESERVOIR, LAKE OR DAM, SWAMP OR MARSH, RIVER, HIGH BUILDINGS, TALL CHIMNEYS, STEEPLES, SPIRES, TV OR RADIO MASTS, AIRFIELDS, GENERATING PLANT, FACTORIES, PITS OR OTHER SITES WITH FLOODLIGHTS OR NIGHT LIGHTING).	None reported
K	TO WHOM REPORTED (POLICE, MILITARY, MEDIA ETC).	Fg Off Eleming, Stn Ops about 0900Z 08 Jun 06
L	NAME AND ADDRESS OF INFORMANT.	Sheffield

Μ	BACKGROUND OF INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED.	He has been seeing clones of friends and family since he was 9 yrs old.
N	OTHER WITNESSES.	Neighbours, Mother, Father and many other family members.
 	DATE, TIME OF RECEIPT	About 0900Z 08 Jun 06
0		
 Р	ANY UNUSUAL METEOROGICAL CONDITIONS.	None reported
Q	REMARKS.	<ul> <li>This was a disjointed conversation in which covered various subjects. He talked first about helos that regularly touch his garage and hedge and American stealth ac that pass over his garden. He believes this is a scare tactic to drive him out.</li> <li>He mentioned a spaceship that landed in 1992 with clones of his family on board.</li> <li>He claimed that an army soldier told him 'agent orange' was used on him. This made him feel like insects were coming out of his skin</li> <li>He also fears that the aircraft are part of a conspiracy by the CIA too capture people and take them to Cuba. He believes all the neighbours have been sworn to secrecy and that he might be</li> </ul>
		taken away. I assured him that the RAF had no intention of abducting him to Cuba. He finds all this activity surreal and sinister. He is scared and is losing sleep over it.



#### A Multimap map of Norton Lane shettield

multimap.com

rage I VI I

1

#### A Multimap Map of Norton Lane sheffield at 1:200000 Scale

High Bradfield multimap. 的效率 Ø Bradfield Moort Wonall LOW Bradfield A631 1 21 Ban Tatley Dungworth E think Rear Aé Lord Billiok atcliffe A6101 Holon 700 Aughten K R.B. 1 Lose AM AS SY TOGET High Neb 100 d 310 Man Hill Greyska 176 Restains Reactains -Aston Bamford Thom Ringenglowa Hope £00% Burbage A6187 Hope Moon A\$135 Highland Ridgéway Carl Wask Dom Brough Ridgeway<sup>D</sup> Moor Hathersage Hattiersage A615 **ÖBradwell** A6187 Eckington Abney Cock Marshe Little Date d Dronfield Dro Nether Pedley Lane Hucklow igate Woodbouse Apperishowle Unstone Hundall Harden <sup>D</sup>Middle Register 54 Holmesti \$4.6 Grindleford Great o Hucklow lector de 🎸 Handley Grindlow Milthorpe Foggatt 10 Unstone Green **B** Barrow Mastin Eyamo, 84 Consir Foolow Unthank A631 Moo Hill Side Stoney A617 Litton Staveley fell Middleton Barlower2 Curba Wilday Sine Calver oodthou Wardlow iller's Cuthorge Sec. S )ale lapton Baslov Newbold End Grove tr bersall Great Hass Old Brampton Cressbrook Gre Longstone Rowland Kohi Wadshelf CHESTERFEN Arkwright Toylog Priestchile Ô astracti Beustenis End "Little Longstone Pastay 4632 A. 6 Calow Duckm ton <sup>3</sup> Taddington Ashlord to the Water 20 Duna Aller **GEdensor** Holymoorside Sutton Lords Scarsdale Sheldon the Walton emple LONGS Pal Bakewell Statepit Du ganton 2 AGE O Grassmoor Heath dr Wingerworth Beeley 5 © Collins-Bartholomew 200

### Multimap.com is the European leader in online mapping services.

We hope that you find Multimap's mapping services helpful and easy to use. Please note, however, that the maps should be used as a guide only. In particular, the red circle may indicate the centre of the area covered by the postcode selected, rather than the precise location of an address. Multimap.com and its suppliers assume no responsibility for any loss or delay resulting from use of our services. If you do find an error or omission, please let us know; we try to provide the best service possible. Please also note that Multimap grants you permission to make up to 10 copies of our maps for personal use only; if you require more copies, please write to info@multimap.com with your request.





http://www.multimap.com/map/browse.cgi?client=print&X=430000.829996661&Y=38... 08/06/06

Dear Sir



I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 3 June 2006, the details of which were passed to this Department. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 3 June 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours faithfully

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB



#### txtCountry: england

txtComments: ufo sighting.On 03/06/06 I was at a bbq in Maidstone, Kent, when at about 1705 I glanced into the south east sky only to see a round white object that looked quite a distance away in the clear sky. It had another smaller white object just above and to the right slightly. At this point I called my friends over. The small object then seemed to move diagonally across the path of the bigger one and come to rest just below it. At this stage we all started to see a lot more of the smaller objects randomly scattered in the sky surrounding the large one. At a guess there must have been 12 to 15 of these smaller objects slowly appear. None seemed to move, they just faded in and with in 5 minutes they started to fade out again leaving the large white object to slowly fade out by itself. The whole thing lasted for approx 15 min with 9 other witnesses. It was day light with a clear blue sky at the time.





Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen on 20 May 2006, the details of which were passed to this Department. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to UFOs.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 May 2006 from anywhere else in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not have been more helpful.

Yours sincerely



Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail - <u>das-ufo-office@mod.uk</u>
	- Options - Edit Request Details	*** *** Start V and Access resolution and the start start and the star start and the start and		
				-
Home My Cases I L Request:	og New Request Policy Guidance Help			
Days Left: 13 Workflow Options AIT Main View Audit Trail Comments Log Saved Search Result Contact Details Documents	Editing the request details will initiate The new search results will be saved Request Details Response Format Requested:	Received: 22 May 0 Expiry Date: 20 Jun 06 Status: Open e a new search. and will replace the existing s	ave search results.	
Actions Assign Within My Group Change Alert Settings Edit Request Details Upload Document Close Case Take Ownership	*Enter the request for information: Hi, Could you give me any details of the of The lights appeared to be in formation They looked as if they may have come Hardingham area. We were inclined to Record storage location of Applicant requ To be held by the Helpdesk until allocate	think they were helicopters	Language Reque Dereham just after 10pm 2 Ve couldn't hear any noise co n and appeared to be travel although, as previously state	
			а -	





Cancel





From: Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Your Reference:	anna da an Alfrida Agrico da Santa da S
Hastings Our Reference:	
East Sussex D/DAS/64/2	
Date:	
05 June 2006	

#### Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of alien phenomena seen on 16 May 2006, the details of which you passed to the Ministerial Correspondence Unit. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of this alien phenomena from anywhere in the UK.

Sorry I could not be any help.







The Prime Minister/SofS/Min(AF)/Min(DP)/USofS/MOD\* has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department\*.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent within 15 working days of the above date. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information – even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy – and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is vital that branches ensure they have simple systems to record and track correspondence received from members of the public. This information should be regularly monitored and reviewed against the targets for answering correspondence published in the Spending Review 2000 Service Delivery Agreement for the Ministry of Defence.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

> Ministerial Correspondence Unit Floor 5, Zone A, Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB

> > t:

DII: Ministerial Correspondence; e: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at http://main.defence.mod.uk/min\_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

## \*\* TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY \*\*

Delete as appropriate.

f:

\*\* TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY \*\*

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

**Revised January 2006** 



18/05/06

M.O.D Ministerial Correspondence Unit 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone A Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

Dear Sir/Madam

We recently wrote to the Prime Minister after we thought we saw an alien outside our kitchen window. It was one Tuesday at precisely 7.41pm. He could not help us and advised we write to you, we were wondering if you could please tell us do aliens really exist or did we both imagine the same thing. This incident has left us quivering and disturbed.

Thank you for your time we look forward to your immediate response.

Yours truly,



P.S. We can forward an artists impression if this is any help.



From: Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	Telephone(Direct dial)020 7218 214(Switchboard)020 7218 900(Fax)
х х	Your Reference:
Hunton	Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2
Hertfordshire	Date: 22 May 2006

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in May 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not forward this office on the answerphone, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Finally, you asked for a member of staff to call you back. Sorry, but we don't correspond by telephone, as we have the answerphone for members of the public to leave their details on. This office corresponds by letter or e.mail.

## Yours sincerely

\*

.....

© Crown Copyright

.

....

.

#### **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	<b>Date and time of sighting.</b> (Duration of sighting.)	No date or time given.
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said a sighting.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation.	
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Hunton Hertfordshire
11.	Other witnesses.	partner.
12.	Remarks.	said that she rang the police to give them details of her sighting and that they couldn't help her or explain as to what it could be, so would like our office to call her back and shed some light on the subject.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	22 May 2006 10.45L





From:



**Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1** 

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

,	14 - 14 14 14	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
,				s
		 Your R	eference:	
Stoke On Trent	a . 1.	Our Re D/DAS		
Staffordshire		Date:		
		 17 May	/ 2006	

#### Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 30 April 2006, and the 5 May 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 30 April 2006 and 5 May 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Finally, with regards to the video of your sighting, it is your property and is entirely up to you whether you want to keep the footage or put it out in the public domain for everyone else to see.

Hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely

,

140



#### **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	<b>Date and time of sighting.</b> (Duration of sighting.)	30 April 2006 and the 5 May 2006. (No time given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There was the mother ship and two smaller orbs that were moving around it. One of the orbs was white in colour and the other was orange. They were communicating with the mother ship and getting their energy off the mother ship too!!!
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye and then a camcorder.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Seen over the village of Barlaston, Staffordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite close.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Quite clear.

13

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Stoke On Trent Staffordshire
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said that the tiny orbs were getting their energy from the mother ship. They then turned into massive, angelic, godly life forms afterwards! wondered if anyone else had reported these objects to the MOD.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 May 2006 11.30L



Dear Sir

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen on 18 October 2004, the details of which you passed to us in your e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to UFOs.

First, I am not disputing that you saw an object that you could not identify, but it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'UFOs' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 18 October 2004, and that was from Wimbledon in London. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours faithfully



		The National Archiv	ives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1	
•	•		1	
	•		a da	
2	From: Sent: To: Subject:	feedback@www.mod.uk 08 May 2006 19:31 webmaster@dgics.mod.uk Low Flying Enquiry		а. Т
	Below is the result 19:31:21	of your feedback form.	It was submitted on Monday, May 8, 2006 at	1
	txtName: on 40			
	txtEmail:		*	

txtCountry: england

txtComments: Id like to complain about the complete rubbish ufo s dont exist,My wife and i repoetrd world-wide 18 months ago about a very real event what took place in cheshire at 1am 18th october, a ufo followed our car feet away flying below and above the car, using vapour gas balls mechanically spinning around and gave off light, it was a terrifying experience for us , it then produced a rainbow like both auro spinning on the outside.It was with our car for 10-12 minutes before ascending up into the clouds.This is no hoax it is the truth please believe us.It also had a vapour cloud trailing it when it first appeared on the road in front of our car.





Dear

I am writing with reference to your e.mail and web cam picture of the UFO that was sighted over St. Tudwal's Islands on the 8 May 2006, the details of which you passed to us on the DAS-UFO-Office e.mail address. You will already know the MOD's policy on UFOs, through previous correspondence.

I looked at the UFO that was caught on the web cam and zoomed in on the object, but even with that facility available, could not identify the object in any way, as I could not see it clearly, so can make so suggestions as to what it could have been.

Finally, with regard to that particular observation in Mid Wales, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for the 8 May 2006 from Mid Wales or from anywhere else in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



, <b>4</b>	•	Page 1 of	·
•			<b>T</b>
	From:		
	Sent:	09 May 2006 10:08	8
	То:	DAS-UFO-Office	
	Cc:		
	Subject:	STRANGE.BMP	

This was caught on a weather cam mid Wales yesterday. I wonder if the Mod have had any reports from this area? The time and location are on the weather cam you can zoom in, there is something definitely hanging in the sky. Your thoughts on this matter would be appreciated. Kind regards







From:

之
~??)
E?

**Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1** 

#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Your Reference: Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2	218 2140 218 9000
	<u></u>
Stafford     Date: 4 May 2006	

Dear 0

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 April 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 27 April 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

#### Yours sincerely



#### **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

. . . . .

1.	<b>Date and time of sighting.</b> (Duration of sighting.)	27 April 2006 22.30L
2.	<b>Description of object.</b> (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Looked like a satellite coming into the atmosphere and coming to it's end, but then the object started zig-zagging around in the sky, and then another object joined this one, and the satellites or man made objects may not be satellites or man made crafts at all.
3.	<b>Exact position of observer.</b> <b>Geographical location.</b> (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors, outside his house.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Over Trestle in Stafford.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The objects, were zig-zagging across the sky really fast. The G Force must have been tremendous. Too strong for any man made craft.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Dusky but quite clear.

، بر م بر

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	
		Trestle Stafford
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	The two objects were just very strange and they have been bugging <b>the</b> last week, wondering what they are and wondered if we could shed some light on the situation, and explain if they were satellites and if not, what they could have been.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	4 May 2006 10.45L

© Crown Copyright

.



the months of March and April. She said she knows the MOD's policy on UFOs and does not want a reply back. Said that she thought she had better let us know that the spheres are back again.

I have put the sighting reports for both months on the databases.

26 April 2006



Haven Seen such for agos. 'Jan' By accided ) Sur (Tri West Kilbrick R D DAS 64 2. In always Lote Pulty con in Sorage. It Automatic Tor Ayrestine me te lork up. Itone too mony a me ajain. 23/04/06 Jou dow Need to write Back. In Just letty you Dear Directorate of ALT Stoff - Lower Air Shace, Know Ity me about a ain. The Had help clayery experience of Itore now IFO. ) Know your Policy on UFO, But. because de witnessed How Solid they one i they one 1 am Refaty Now on IFO, as the Had very close Views 2004. 15. - Know Hy one soled succed crafts. definately led frey before ty fully bylt up. "Oute No stleres are Back in quantity again Pendelum menaccy. Totally alen Jully lit, Junt en Aure He Beauty motion, Just volced The march 18 10-4574 2006 lære ne deffer for ti splare. They have up for to 1. N/S. 2 following lad other together S/te N/E, knob on Top. Down outer eilge. Hen accors Graft April 23Rd between 10PM to 10f10 PM, 4 h all h a Shay IT Line Reflection, medelle & freenist Led fry I SNE Rendelin Jolden as for Usual te Back, cut outs Ewindows. 30 te ho fi ley H. 15fr. 3 logetter from diffed Direction. (all Rendelin) Possibly 19/1 High. Shen hime Turnet Lyli up i Dussifear 3 Time. Dead Slow Passy By You set a boot Look this Source in 1 S/N/E Sory Fontastic Rate Not as Nomal. Lover W/S/E Byger Bylter as low as Purseyer Plane ?



10

© Crown Copyright

1

No reply- no name and address.



## **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	Date and time of sighting.	14 April 2006
	(Duration of sighting.)	(No time given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location.	Not given.
	(Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

	during observation.	v	
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)		
8			

9. 10.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc) Name, address and telephone no of informant.	<ul> <li>Air Traffic Control, didn't say where they were based, left a message on Das answerphone about this UFO report. ATC also left a number to ring them, but when I tried, the line kept going dead. So I couldn't ask them for any other details regarding this sighting report.</li> <li>No name and address.</li> </ul>	
		й К 	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.	
12.	Remarks.	Not given.	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	17 April 2006 10.30L	



No reply - as no full address.



#### **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

	(Duration of sighting.)	(Time and date not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A member of the public just said that he saw a UFO and that it was there for quite a while.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	In the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

a strange management of the second second

Ĵ.

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Name and address not given. There was a lot of shouting going on in the background, when the message was being made. The only part of the address given that I could understand on the answerphone, that was clear, was South Yorkshire.
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Just kept saying that it was UFO, but didn't explain.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 April 2006 10.30L



From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

# MARET N

## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
	Your R	eference:	
Oldbury	Our Re	ference:	
West Midlands	D/DAS	64/2	
	Date:		
	3 April	2006	

#### Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other reports of 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not forward this office on the answerphone, a specific date or time, or details of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

## Yours sincerely

.



#### **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	<b>Date and time of sighting.</b> (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just a sighting.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Oldbury West Midlands
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	3 April 2006 10.30L

© Crown Copyright



#### Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 30 March 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any experience or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 30 March 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

#### Yours sincerely



#### **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

ي ل*ت* -

1.	<b>Date and time of sighting.</b> (Duration of sighting.)	30 March 2006 00.40L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There was a huge, shimmering ball of orange fire. Flew Eastwards and looked like a black spot, as it disappeared into the clouds. There was no noise.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The orange ball of fire came in over the Catholic Church steeple, in Plymouth, Devon.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The orange ball, was going roughly the speed of a helicopter.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	It was night time, but was quite clear, with a few low hanging clouds.

i se s

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Plymouth Devon 0
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said the ball of orange fire was flying just below cloud level and was spectacular, as it lit up the whole sky and made it a bright orange colour. The sighting lasted about 20 seconds and was an amazing sight. wonders if any other members of the public saw it and have reported it to us?
13.	Date and time of receipt.	30 March 2006 10.30L



From: Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
	Your I	Reference:	
Derby	Our Ro	eference:	
Derbyshire	D/DAS/64/2		
	Date:		
	29 Ma	rch 2006	
		·····	

Dear 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 28 March 2006, the details of which you passed to the Control Room at Derbyshire Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any experience or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 28 March 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

#### Yours sincerely



1

ĩ

· · · · ·

#### **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	Date and time of sighting.	28 March 2006
	(Duration of sighting.)	(Time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A bright red light was seen in the sky.
3.	<b>Exact position of observer.</b> <b>Geographical location.</b> (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The light was going South East over Derby, Derbyshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The red light was moving very fast, that's why 40 didn't think it was an aircraft.
8.	Weather conditions	Quite clear.

during observation.	
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
х 🗭

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	Inspector Pelloce Control Room – Derbyshire, who then in turn left a message on Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Derby Derbyshire
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	be a light on an aircraft, but then it looked like it was a light on it's own, and then it moved so quickly across the sky, he decided that it was something that could not be explained.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	29 March 2006 10.17L



I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 19 March 2006, the details of which you passed to us in your e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seem might have some defence significance; namely, where there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 19 March 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breach by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Dear

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

20 March 2006

From: Sent: To: Subject: feedback@www.mod.uk 19 March 2006 23:26 webmaster@dgics.mod.uk Low Flying Enquiry

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Sunday, March 19, 2006 at 23:26:04

txtName:

txtEmail:

txtCountry: UK

txtComments: What was this?

Sir,

This is not a complaint.

I wish to report a remarkable occurrence that I witnessed this evening. If our air defences know what this was, then please ignore this email. However, if you do not know, then I believe we should all start worrying about it!

I describe myself as level-headed and quite responsible. I am not exaggerating what I witnessed, not even in the least. I believe in scientific reason and I don't have outrageous ideas about other beings.

However, at approximately 1835hrs this evening, I was driving my car (unfortunately alone) and had just left Newquay on the road towards Chiverton Cross and Camborne. Suddely I noticed what I mom, entarily took to be a rather unusually bright apparition of the planet 'Venus' in the sky, because it was bright disc hanging in the sky ahead of me at a tangent of about two o'clock. Then I realised that this could not be so, because although the Sun had just set in a fairly clear sky and it was still clear over the sea, the bright object was beneath a solid curtaining of rather dark cloud. I decided that it had to be a plane and although I could not see it moving, that the light had to be the setting sunlight glinting on its fuselage. But then it did quite suddenly appear to quiver rather than move and at the very same instant it became a slightly smaller disc and slightly less brilliant. I deduced that it was moving directly away from me, but was amazed at its instant velocity (even fast jet planes can't move at anything like that fast!). Then to crown what I had already seen, there was a sudden flash from it like a bullet from a gun that streaked an enormous way out across the sky and at the same instant the object shot slightly to the right and again reduced in size and brightness. I was trying to pull up and park, but yet again there was a second similar flash fired and the object moved further and sharper to the right, and now it was very tiny in size. I managed to stop in the mouth of a road junction I was passing, but it had now vanished.

I realise that this account might well get me unwanted attention, but I feel that I have to tell of what I saw. I another nation has a vehicle like that, then we had better watch out for ourselves. Otherwise, what the hell was it?!!!

© Crown Copyright

1



From: Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	Telephone(Direct dial)020 7218 2140(Switchboard)020 7218 9000(Fax)
	Your Reference:
Hemel Hempstead Bedfordshire	Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 7 March 2006
Dear 0	

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 5 March 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any experience or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 5 March 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.





The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

\_\_\_\_

# **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

• <sup>•</sup> • •

1.	<b>Date and time of sighting.</b> (Duration of sighting.)	5 March 2006 17.35L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Saw a disc flying above two aircraft.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The disc was seen over Hemel Hempstead.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Hemel Hempstead Bedfordshire
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	49 did that the disc certainly was a strange sight and not man made.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	6 March 2006 10.30L

© Crown Copyright

.



From:

**Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1** 

## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
	Your R	eference:	
Streatham London	Our Re D/DAS Date: 7 Marc		2

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 3 March 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 3 March 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

#### Yours sincerely



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

.

د معر ر ------

## **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	Date and time of sighting.	3 March 2006
	(Duration of sighting.)	(No time given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Two objects were seen in the sky.
3.	<b>Exact position of observer.</b> <b>Geographical location.</b> (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	In the distance over Streatham, South London.
6.	Approximate distance.	In the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during	Not given.

observation.	
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
(	,,,,,,,,

•

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	
		Streatham
		London
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said that they were there for sometime, and didn't know what else to
		say really, as it was a weird experience.
		а а
13.	Date and time of receipt.	6 March 2006
		10.20L



From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	e	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
Walsall West Midlands	2 2			

#### Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2006, the details of which you passed to RAF Lakenheath. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any experience or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as I do not have the date and time of your sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

#### Yours sincerely



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

3

,

.

## **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	<b>Date and time of sighting.</b> (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given). — Ob.
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	said that he saw a UFO doing funny, sharp turns over a field.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	In his vehicle, he is a delivery driver.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Over a field in Walsall, West Midlands.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far away.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during	Said it was quite clear.

	observation.	
2	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
	(	
6		

9.	<b>To whom reported.</b> (Police, military, press etc)	P C MOD Police at RAF Lakenheath were informed, who then in turn left a message on Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Walsall West Midlands
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Qust said that the UFO was doing funny, sharp, razor turns in the sky. He watched it for some time, and thought he had better report it, in case it was some unknown military activity.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	6 March 2006 10.15L