

Registered File Disposal Form





(Continued overleaf)

(ii) Key enclosures which support the recommendation are:	
(iii) At the end of the specified retention period the file is to be:	xr x
Destroyed	
Considered by DR for permanent preservation	
c. Of no further administrative value but worthy of consideration by	INFO(EXP)-R for permanent preservation.
PART 3.	PART 4 DESTRUCTION CERTIFICATE
Signature	It is certified that the specified file has been destroyed.
Name:	Signature:
(Block Capitals) Grade/Rank: <u>ENADE</u> 7 Date: <u>26/06/07</u> .	Name:(Block Capitals)
Branch Title and Full Address:	Grade/Rank: Date:
MODILAL	Witnessed by (TOP SECRET and SECRET only)
Lotteen.	Signature:
CONSCIENCE.	Name:(Block Capitals)
	Grade/Rank: Date:
	*(FOR DR USE ONLY)

Produced by MOD, DSDA(PC) KY Tel. 0117 9376256





From:



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

5	Telephone(Direct dial)020 7218 2140(Switchboard)020 7218 9000(Fax)
	Your Reference:
Irlham	Our Reference.
Manchester	D/DAS/64/2
	Date:
	14 September 2006

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 September 2006, the details of which you passed to Manchester Airport – Swanwick Control Centre. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

There has been talk on the internet about meteorite showers over this country on the 9 September 2006, and that is what you could have witnessed. Also, with regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received three other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 September 2006, matching your description and they were from Oldbury in Greater Manchester, Basildon in Essex and Stamford Hill in London. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely





Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports

Report of Unidentified Flying Object		
Date	9/9/06	
Time	21.03 LOCAL	
Sighting Duration	5 minutes	
Description of Object	circular; orange ball-aura around it	
Exact Position of Observer	Irlham. Greuter Manchester	
How Object was Observed	by the naked eye 4 through camera phone	
Direction in which Object was First Seen	above Broadway Street, Irlam.	
Angular Elevation of Object	above roof level 4 then climbing.	
Distance of Object from Observer	approx 60 ft.	
Movement of Object	very Fast, low in sky, then increased in height.	
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	Very clear night.	
Nearby Objects	Nothing.	
To Whom Reported	Manchester airport - Swanwick Control Centre.	
Name of Informant		
Address of Informant	Irlham, Manchester	
Background Information on		

 Informant that may be Volunteered	None.		
Other Witnesses			
	<u>a</u>		

LACC/ATC/GEN/CHK/1621

L

Version 2.3

01/02/05

Page 2 of 3



Date of Receipt of Report	9/9/06	
Time of Receipt of Report	2110 2	
Α	ctions	Time
Complete report of UFO with as many details as possible and send to FOI1		21302
Telephone details immediately and	1 leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	V

LACC/ATC/GEN/CHK/1621

Version 2.3

01/02/05

Page 3 of 3



	C
From:	J D
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1	

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

7	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
	Your R	eference:	
Whitehaven	Our Re	eference:	
Cumbria	D/DAS	\$/64/2	91 - V
	Date:		
	14 Sep	tember 2006	

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 13 September 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 13 September 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.





The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

in 1 in 2 i i

.

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	13 September 2006 21.45L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A triangular object with lights. One green, one red and the other two amber. There was a strange droning noise as it passed overhead.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object came from the direction of the Isle of Man.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The object was moving across the sky quite fast.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Was a clear night, with a few clouds dotted around.

	2	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Whitehaven Cumbria
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said the triangular object was as big as a Jumbo Jet. The object did have lots of lights on it, but none of them were flashing, as lights on a normal plane do! Thought it was a very odd sight and thought that he had better report it.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	14 September 2006 10.30L

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

From: Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

x	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
	Your F	Reference:	
	 ************************************	eference:	
Bedford	D/DAS	5/64/2	
Bedfordshire	Date:		
	12 Sep	tember 2006	

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

There has been talk on the internet about meteorite showers over this country and that is what you could have witnessed on the night you had your sighting, if the date was 9 September 2006. On this date, I had three reports talking of orange balls of fire, and they were from Oldbury in Greater Manchester, Basildon in Essex and Stamford Hill in London.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other reports of 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not forward this office on the



answerphone, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

۲ ۲ ۲

3



Constanting of the local division of the loc

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A series of orange orbs were seen. (Didn't say how many).
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The orange orbs were seen over the town of Bedford, Bedfordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	They were going quite fast.
8.	Weather conditions during observation	Not given.

~ •	ti cutifer conditions	1 to Briend
	during observation.	
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

T

.

ه - ۲

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Bedford
		Bedfordshire
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said that his sighting took him by surprise and was quite bizarre, had never seen anything like that before. He said it could have been a meteorite shower. Otherwise, there is really no explanation!
13.	Date and time of receipt.	11 September 2006 14.30L

No rep

sasht

23

229

,50

4

given.

U

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1 🖌 🔿

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	9 September 2006 21.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Two objects that looked like massive fire balls were moving from East to West. One followed the other a few minutes later. They were very bright. Before they had been seen, there had been a large bang, and that is why people were alerted to the fact that something was going on outside.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	A massive bang was heard, and so people went outdoors to see what was going on.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye. The fire balls were massive and at first moved slowly above the witnesses houses.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Moving from East to West over Stamford Hill, London.
6.	Approximate distance.	Looked like they were just above the witnesses houses.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	After initially going slow, they were moving across the sky and going very fast. They kept in line, maintained their height and kept their flight path.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	The evening was very clear as it had been a hot, sunny day.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphon
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Stamford Hill London
11.	Other witnesses.	seemed to be out in the streets looking at these objects.
12.	Remarks.	sto d that this sighting was scary but amazing and that he had never seen anything like this before. He said they could have been meteorites flying through the sky, as it looked like they were burning up, or even satellite debris! (Some satellite parts do come back down to Earth)! 4 Anted us to call him back and discuss his sighting and tell him what it was that he had seen!
13.	Date and time of receipt.	11 September 2006 11.30L



From: Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	Telephone (Dire (Swi (Fax	ect dial) 020 7218 2140 (tchboard) 020 7218 9000 (t) 020 7218 9000			
	Your Refere	ence:			
Basildon	Our Referen				
Essex	D/DAS/64/2				
	Date:				
	11 Septemb	er 2006			
Dear					

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 September 2006, the details of which you passed to the Low Flying Complaint Cell. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

There has been talk on the internet about meteorite showers over this country and that is what you could have witnessed on the night you had your sighting. Also, with regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 September 2006, one was from Stamford Hill in London and the other from Oldbury in Greater Manchester. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

.

ъ

.....

•

.

UNCLASSIFIED

Low Flying Complaint

Details of Complainant

Name of
Tel No:
Address:

Basildon	
Basildon	
	020

essex.

Military/Civil AC:

Details of Incident - 4- P. T:c

Date & Time of	092230ASep06	
No of AC:		
Height:		
Heading:		
Details of Aircraft		
Type (prop/jet):		
Model:	5	
Colour:		
Remarks:		

Location of Complaint

Claims

Full Details of Complaint

Large lights static in the sky NW of his house. A Chinook has been flying around looking at it. What's going on?

Date and Time Report Received

09 September 2006 2240 Hrs

{CHOtS Signed}



UNCLASSIFIED

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1



From: Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 OCCIION 40
Your R	eference:	
D/DAS Date:	8/64/2	
	Your R Our Re D/DAS Date:	(Switchboard) (Fax) Your Reference: Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 September 2006, the details of which you passed to the Low Flying Complaint Cell and our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

There has been talk on the internet about meteorite showers over this country and that is what you could have witnessed being that you said the objects looked like orange balls of fire. Also, with regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of 'UFO' sightings on the 9 September 2006, one was from Basildon in Essex and the other from Stamford Hill in London. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

.

ъ

.....

•

.

	The National Archives re	eterence DEFE 24/2082/1
Low Flying (unclass Complaint	SIFIED
Details of Complainant Name of Tel No: Address:		West midbards. Smethwick 0
Details of Incident Date & Time of No of AC: Height: Heading: Details of Aircraft Type (prop/jet): Model: Colour: Remarks: Location of Complaint	092200ASep06	Military/Civil AC:

a Nietienel Analisse al mateman as DEEE 04/0000/4

Claims

C

Full Details of Complaint

Mr P was more disturbed by the lights of the aircraft which seemed to be flying in formation than by any noise.

He described five lights abreast and one below! They seemed to hover before one vanished.

Possibly one for the UFO hot line?

Hang the hotting - and stated that he says give, orange give balls and that his large and daughter withessed them too They were moving across the sky in a star pormation. Seen in the debury area. lang formation.

Date and Time Report Received

09 September 2006

2205 Hrs

{CHOtS Signed}



RO2 DCDSDO: MB DCMC 136

UNCLASSIFIED

From:					
Directorate	of Air	Staff –	Freedom	of Information	1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140 (Switchboard) 020 7218 9000 (Fax)
	Your Reference:
Chigwell	Our Reference:
Essex	D/DAS/64/2
D	Date:
*	5 September 2006

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not forward this office on the answerphone, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Finally, you asked for a member of staff to call you back. Sorry, but we do not correspond by telephone to discuss details of sightings, as we have the answerphone for members of the public to leave their information on. This office corresponds by letter or e.mail.

Sorry I could not be any help.

.

Yours sincerely

. .

in a c

.

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said a UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye and then the witness took a picture of the UFO with his camera phone.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Seen over Chigwell in Essex.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

•

1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Chigwell Essex 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	a call to discuss the sighting!
13.	Date and time of receipt.	5 September 2006 11.45L



1		1		1
			F	~
	T		ł	
8				

From: Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
	Your Re	eference:	а.
Herne Bay	Our Ref	erence:	
Kent	D/DAS/	64/2	
D	Date:		
	29 Augu	ıst 2006	

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 August 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 27 August 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

2



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	27 August 2006 21.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Eight yellow/orange spheres that looked like they had flames coming out of the back of them.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Objects were first seen coming from the direction of Whitstable, Kent.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation.	
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
(

	1	
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Herne Bay Kent
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Said that the spheres were following one another, and they were seen for about 15 minutes. They had flames coming out of them and definitely were not conventional aircraft.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	29 August 2006 11.30L

10.9 JATOT

hot gwen.

UFO Sighting

Name:

Address: Polgate East Sussex

Tel No:

Description: Description: Description: Description: Description: Description: Description: Description: Description: The set of the normal direction of the police helicopters so thought it could be one of them. As it got closer he could see 4 extensions from the bottom of this object and it also changed shape, it had a possible platform appear. It looked as if it had a half deflated balloon or parachute on the top. The closest the object got to the gentleman was quarter of a mile and the height was approx 400-500 ft travelling in a west to east direction. It was travelling at a speed of 80 mph. It also produced no sound. The wind at the time was North West.

As the object disappeared from sight rushed in the house to tell his wife and to see if he could see it out of another window. He couldn't as other houses where in the way.

then went outside and saw a second object flying in exactly the same direction as the first. However this one was a red, star shape (4/5 points) object. Again this one had a possible tarp or parachute on the top of it.



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

no reply; as witness's name and address not given.

44

-***** - 4

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	21 August 2006 20.50L		
	(Duration of signifig.)			
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Two extremely bright lights.		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.		
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.		
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights flew over the Craven Arms Area in Shropshire.		
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.		
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The lights were sort of turning in different directions and then were drifting through the sky.		
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.		

÷	during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
	(,,,)	

×.

•

.

14

x.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported by a man and lady to PC Shrewsbury Police Station, who then in turn left a message on Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	No details were given.
11.	Other witnesses.	Just the two.
12.	Remarks.	The man who left the message reckons that other people may have seen the lights, as they were there for a long time, drifting through the sky.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	22 August 2006 11.35L

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1

No reply:

38

didn't gwe his pull address. 43

REPORT	OF AN	UNEXPLA	INED	AERIAL	SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	20 August 2006
	(Duration of sighting.)	(No time given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Lights were seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were seen moving over Torquay, Devon.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation.	
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

© Crown Copyright

8

1

2

•

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Torquay Devon
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said could we call him back as he wants to discuss his sighting. Wants to know if there was any military operation going on in Devon on that day? (No reply, as he has not given his full address and we don't phone to retrieve details).
13.	Date and time of receipt.	22 August 2006 11.45L

Page 1 of 1

/1	5	62
4	と	ل

From:	
Sent:	22 August 2006 15:07
То:	
Subiect	: Internet-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 August 2006, and the photograph of the object, the details of which you passed to our das-ufo-office e.mail address on the 19 and 22 August 2006. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'. Sorry for the delay in replying.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 August 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We also viewed the photograph, but can not make out as to what it could be. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk



Page 1 of 1



From:

Sent: 22 August 2006 12:42

To: DAS-UFO-Office

Subject: What is this ? U.F.O?

Dear Madam/Sir,

This is the second e-mail that I have sent to you because I was in a hurry when I sent the first one and I may have left out some useful detail.

On **9th August 2006 about 10.15pm (22:15)** I decided to take some photo's of the evening sky as there was a full moon (or nearly full moon) and my husband and I were watching it rise. I took about 14 photo's, deleted about 4 because I had forgotten to use the flash and there wasn't anything on them, which left me with about 10 photo's. I had taken all these photo's in about 5mins and downloaded them onto my computer straight after taking them.

When I had downloaded the photo's my husband and I were amazed to see a strange object in 2 of them. We expected to see the moon and the other various lights, street and house lights, but there was an object on 2 photo's that neither of us saw when we were looking at the sky.

When we look out of our french doors and over the balcony we look out to **Windmill Hill, (we can see the windmill on the far left) and there is some houses and a road to the right of our view.**

I will attach a photo of the larger image and I will also sent a photo of the same view taken during the day so that you will get an idea of what is beyond the darkness of the night, houses road hill etc.,

Our address is;



I would appreciate a reply just to say that you have received this e-mail and a further reply when you have had time to consider the photo's and maybe give us some idea of what it may be.





Dear Sir,

I took several photograps and I didn't see anything unusual in the sky while I was taking them but when I downloaded then onto my computer a strange image appeared on 2 of them. I am sending you a photo of the with the largest image. I would appreciate a response from you indicating what this strange image could be.

Date and time of taking photograph - 9th August 2006 about 10.15pm Place of photograph - Clanfield somewhere around Windmill Hill

Regards

22/08/2006
and the second secon



oo reply

- 25 address).

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	7 August 2006
	(Duration of sighting.)	(No time given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
-		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during o	bser	vation	l.
(cloudy,	haze,	mist,	clear)

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	NAT Swanick rang and left this UFO message for me, but when I rang back, they couldn't find details of the man's full address.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Derbyshire
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	8 August 2006 11.30L





Dear D

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in August 2006, the details of which you passed to us on your e.mail dated 13 August 2006. Your e.mail was passed to this office, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not put a specific date or time of your sighting on your e.mail. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail – <u>das-ufo-office@mod.uk</u>

goog serior

		The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2082/1
۹ ۴		
	From: Sent: To: Subject:	feedback@www.mod.uk 13 August 2006 20:58 webmaster@dgics.mod.uk Low Flying Enquiry
×	Below is the result 2006 at 20:57:37	of your feedback form. It was submitted on Sunday, August 13,
	txtName:	
	txtEmail:	
	txtCountry: England	
	house overlooks the flutter through the Im positive the plan	just seen a UFO over Clevedon somerset. I live on Particul Oand my Radio masts behind. I saw a distant globe shaped lighted object sky near a plane coming from Bristol Airport. It looked erattic. he must have seen it, as it seemed to avoid it. 30 miles away id ed it as far as i could see. But im definate. It was not a natural ap
α		





I am writing with reference to your report of 'identified flying objects' seen on the 29 July 2006, the details of which you passed to the das-ufo-office e.mail address on the 8 August 2006. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any experience or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 29 July 2006, and that was from County Durham.

We have been informed that the orange lights in the sky, probably were the result of Chinese lanterns that were released into the sky due to a celebration. People buy them for weddings and various other celebrations. Once released, the Chinese lanterns can reach a thousand feet and can travel for very long distances until they burn out, hence looking like UFOs. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely

Dear

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail – <u>das-ufo-office@mod.uk</u>

11 August 2006.

Page 1 of 2

From:		5004
Sent:	08 August 2006 14:55	-
To:	DAS-UFO-Office	2
Cc:		

Below is a copy my internal email to our force press office. At the time I was told there had been no media reports, however, since then The Sunderland Echo newspaper has ran a couple of stories about this incident, the last one being in their publication dated 5th August 2006.

At the end of that report your email address was shown and I thought I'd take the opportunity to pass this on to you.

Should you wish to communicate further please contact my private email -

From:

Sent: 01 August 2006 09:02 To: Press Office Subject: UFO's ??

I'm curious to know whether your office have any knowledge through local press/tv reports of strange lights in the sky over the north east coast on Saturday night 29/7/06?? Although I haven't scoured the local papers or local tv news reports (haven't checked with control room either) - I'm sure that someone must have reported them.

I live in Seaham and first saw these lights about 10pm - 1015pm. Initially there were 6 travelling in a line/slightly staggered from south to north - one of them even "over took" the other as they moved. They were bright yellow/orange in colour and there was absolutely no noise to be heard which I could attribute to them. It was difficult to estimate the size of the lights, the distance they were away and their speed, however, they all followed the same general path until they disappeared behind clouds?, over what I would estimate to be Grangetown, Sunderland area. Within 5-10mins there was a further 3 of these lights, same size/description, speed/direction etc. Again these also seemed to disappear behind clouds in that same area. Just prior to the 3 lights appearing there was a small/prop.? aircraft of some description flying north to south over the sea, which could be heard and the usual flashing light seen.

At the time I saw the lights my wife and 2 friends were present and witnessed exactly as I have described. In addition my son and some of his friends were spending the night camping locally (in Seaham slightly more towards the coast and slightly more north from our home) they also seen these lights as at the time as he rang me from his mobile to tell us what could see.

On my return to work yesterday my colleague **to be a set of t**old me he had also seen both sets of lights. He took the opportunity to try and video record them but hasn't yet properly viewed his footage.

Can you help??

PC CJU Peterlee

The information contained in this message and any attachment(s) is confidential and intended, only, for the attention of the named organisation or individual to whom it is addressed. The message may contain information that is covered by legal, professional or other privilege. No mistake in transmission is intended to waive or compromise any such privilege. This message has

10/08/2006

been sent over public networks and the sender cannot be held responsible for its integrity. If you are not the intended recipient be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or action taken in reliance of the information contained herein is strictly prohibited, and is contrary to the provisions of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1998 and the Data Protection Act 1998. Any views expressed are those of the sender and, unless specifically stated, do not necessarily represent the views of Durham Constabulary. We cannot accept any liability for any loss or damage sustained as a result of software viruses.

10/08/2006

From:

 Sent:
 17 August 2006 17:46

 To:
 DAS-UFO-Office

I had read the local newspaper soon after sending my email which confirmed a local person had used these lanterns.

many thanks for your response in any event.

<u>All new Yahoo! Mail</u> "The new Interface is stunning in its simplicity and ease of use." - PC Magazine





From:



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
		Your R	eference:	
Hetton-Le-Hole		Our Re	ference:	
Tyne & Wear	u .	D/DAS	/64/2	
		Date:	3	
		8 Augu	st 2006	
			8	

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other reports of 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not forward this office on the answerphone, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Finally, you asked for a member of staff to call you back regarding your UFO sighting. Sorry, but we do not correspond by telephone, as we have the answerphone for members of the public to leave their details of UFO sightings on. This office corresponds by letter or e.mail.

Yours sincerely

5

а ^{на} и, .



.

© Crown Copyright

.

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	bsaid there were many lights up in the sky.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Hetton-Le-Hole Tyne & Wear
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said could we call him back to discuss the sightings.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	8 August 2006 11.35L

·

0



Dear 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen on 29 July 2006, the details of which you passed to us on our das-ufo-office e.mail address. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 29 July 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail - <u>das-ufo-office@mod.uk</u>

August 2006



From: Sent: To: Subject: 07 August 2006 09:31

FW: ufo sighting

40

Please treat as new UFO report.

Thanks

40

-----Original Message----From:

Sent: 04 August 2006 15:10 To: DAS-UFO-Office Subject: ufo sighting

country Durton

i would like to report a ufo sighting at grid ref nz405504 or post code sr7 Ons. this happened at approx. 22:40hrs sat 29/7/06.

first one ball of orange light appeared due south of my position and carried on a path direct north, there was no sound except for the bright orange light. it was followed about 2mins later by another five, moving with a drift like motion changing position with each other, following the same direction as the first.

then! approx. 2mins later from the same position south another three objects drifting south to north.

i had time to video the five and last three, total time 5 mins. i was able to zoom on the objects which looked like a burning planet.

i reported to the local press and there has been a lot of confirmation. my wife and three neighbors were present at the time.

i wonder if this is of any interest to you.





From:



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

u U	5	5	3	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
	Υ.			51		
				2		
			ν.	Your R	leference:	0
Enfield				Our Re	eference:	
Middlesex				D/DAS	5/64/2	
				Date:		
				7 Augu	ıst 2006	
S	and the second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		deportant of the second s				

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 5 August 2006, the details of which you passed to the das-ufo-office e.mail address. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add to that date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 5 August 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely





Last night at approx 2130 hours, 11 of my neighbours witnessed up to 13 "orb" like objects in the sky. These came from the South and were visible in the sky for at least 15-20 minutes. They were orange in colour.

The objects were darting about in different directions before shooting straight up. Looking at the internet it would appear to be the same sort of sighting as in Durham last week.

Yours (questiioning my own sanity)







From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	а. -	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
			-	
		Your R	eference:	
Chorlton		Our Re	eference:	
Manchester		D/DAS	5/64/2	-
		Date:		
		1 Augi	ıst 2006	
<i>a</i>		C		

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 15 July 2006, the details of which you passed to our das-ufo-office e.mail address. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

I have enquired as to if there was any RAF activity over Chorlton, Manchester on 15 July 2006, the date you saw the UFO, and have been informed that there could have been some activity over Chorlton, as that area comes under Manchester Air Traffic Control which is a controlled flying zone, hence, there could have been a helicopter in the vicinity. This though, is not an everyday occurrence, as Greater Manchester is a very populated area and the RAF does not routinely fly over these areas.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 15 July 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



Page 1 of 1

From:	on behalf of DAS-UFO-Office	2
Sent:	28 July 2006 13:55	
To:	* 	
Subject	: FW: ufo sighting	
Please res Thanks	spond to this UFO report.	
	0	
From:		

To whom it may concern,

I would like to report a sighting of four ufo's and also see if anyone else has made a report to vourselves. Firstly the sighting. It was Saturday 15th July 2006 sometime after 6pm. I was at friends house in the back garden it was a very hot day and there wasn't a cloud in the sky it was perfectly blue. Out of the corner of my eye I caught sight of something high up in the sky which turned out to be birds, but as I scanned the sky I saw even higher up than the birds what I can only describe as a silver dot. It was very high up and only visible because of the clear sky, it was moving very slowly and as i watched it i saw it was actually moving towards another "dot", at this point i checked that my friend was seeing what i was seeing and they were. I watched for another 30 seconds or so and then my friend called my attention to a plane over to our left which was being followed by another silver craft it appeared to be much smaller than the plane and they were both a lot lower down than the two dots. The plane started to fly higher and at this point the craft travelled away from us through the planes vapour trail and out of my view. I turned back to the two dots and was amazed to see three dots there now spaced equally apart not moving, i watched for another minute or so but the brightness of the day hurt my eyes and i had to look away, when i looked back they were gone. I must point out the lower craft apparently following the plane was definately mettallic as it glinted in the sun both my friend and myself saw this happen. I've been brief with my description of the sighting but will can go into more details if needed i just wanted to get it reported and see if anyone else has reported a sighting or if there was some sort of RAF activity that day. My name is Chorlton, Manchester, on 40

id wand like to thank you in advance for any information you may be able to give me.

28/07/2006



From:

(SCLC	NSU?
122	YE
1 de	1/2/
Non	BE

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

 Telephone
 (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)
 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

 Vour Reference:
 Our Reference:

 Little Hampton
 D/DAS/64/2 Date:

 West Sussex
 Date:

 24 July 2006

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other reports of 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO,' as you did not forward this office on the answerphone, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Finally, you asked for a member of staff to call you back. Sorry, but we do not correspond by telephone, as we have the answerphone for members of the public to leave their details of UFO sightings on. This office corresponds by letter or e.mail.

Sorry I could not be any help.

•

Yours sincerely



.

•

٠

© Crown Copyright

¥.

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	No date or time given.
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Two flying objects were seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye, and then pictures were taken on a mobile phone.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Seen over Rushington in West Sussex.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation.	
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Little Hampton West Sussex
11.	Other witnesses.	Four friends.
12.	Remarks.	taid the objects were very clear, did not look like normal aircraft. He tried to take pictures with his mobile phone, but when checked, they hadn't come out very clear. Also Said, can use call him back to cliscuss his sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 July 2006 11.00L





From:



Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
Barton-le-Clay	Your R	eference:	
Bedfordshire	Our Re D/DAS	ference: /64/2	
а ж	Date: 24 July	2006	
,			

Dear Sir

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not forward this office on the answerphone, a specific date of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	No date given. 22.15L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Four, independent, bright orange lights going from South to North.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Seen over Barton-le-Clay in Bedfordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

during observation.	
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

		5) 5)
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	No name given. Barton-le-Clay Bedfordshire
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 July 2006 10.45L





From:

4	al	281	2
1	30	5	m
	~	る	1
Ì	n	VBE	Z

020 7218 2140

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial)

	(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000 (Fax)
	Your Reference:
	Our Reference:
Johnstone	D/DAS/64/2
Paisley	Date:
	21 July 2006

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 20 July 2006, the details of which you passed to NATS Glasgow. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 July 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely





- of this report hasn't reached the correct department, please adrise using the contact mumbers, below.

Control Tower Building

Campsie Drive

Glasgow Airport

Paisley

PA3 256

Telephono: Fax:

Z

Pg .

Fax from

UFO Report all times GMT.

- 20/7/06 2345-2355
- 1 orange object. Spherical. Pure orange, size of a street light. No smell. No sound. Α
- ₿ Howwood Road Estate, Johnstone.
- Observed with naked eye. Also filmed on camcorder unsure if footage has turned out. ¢
- Object first appeared over Robertson Prk on the Gleniffer Braes (Just outside Paisley) then turned towards Glasgow D
- Ε

airport.

- Angle of elevation 45 degrees. F
- Distance form observer 1-1 1/2 miles form observer. 1 mile up (estimate). G
- Movement of object see E. Η
- BKN 2600 8km vis in nil wx.
- J Nearby objects. Housing estate.
- K Reported to Glasgow ATC
- L
- Observer was Μ

Informant made similar observation on Tuesday night. Same description but 2 of them and they were visible for N longer. Claims others saw it too but didn't report it.

- Four other witnesses present names unknown. 0
- report received 2355 20/7/06 P

VATS

Deputy Watch Manager

D:			
M:			
E:			

21/07/2006





020 7218 2140

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

From:

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial)

(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000 (Fax)
Your Reference:
Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
20 July 2006
-
-

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 18 July 2006, the details of which you passed to NATS Manchester and also on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 18 July 2006, and that was from Stevenage, in Bedfordshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



PAGE 01/01 NATS ACC MANCHESTER 19/07/2006 12:31 REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT a. Date Time And Duration Of Sighting (Local times to be quoted) 18/07/06. 21-2200 hrs. 10-12 Sacondo b. Description of Object (Number of objects, sist, shape, volours, brightness, sound, smell are). Row of fed lights, zig zag shape Curred. Aprox 5-7 lights din lights. No sound c. Exact Position Observed (Geographical Location, indeper or eucdoors, stationary or moving) Blackpool - Red back, Above home - Flew east -> Went out to sea d. How Observed (Naked eys, bineculars, other optical device, still or movie camera). Naked eye e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen to Landmark may be more deefed than a badly estimated bearing). Acw East - 1 West Fairly low f. Angle Of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable). stronight above. g. Distance (By reference to a known landmark wherever possible). Undear h. Movements (Changes in E. F. G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed). Moved relifiely slowly . No change of conse i. Moteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds, base, mist osc.) clear Night, No cloud. Nearby Objects (Telephone Linds, Spires Etc.) j.



• * ²		
LINTOT		
. Name And Address Of Informant	TEL: DAY -	8
A in also At		
Bispham		
Any Background On The Informant That Ma	ay Be Volunteered	
Class Marine		
Clock Maker		
n. Other Witnesses		
o. Date And Time Of Receipt		
	×	
19/07/06		
1330 L		
1270 -		
	•	
The above details are to be faxed immedia	tely to AIS (Military), LATCC	
or		
The completed form is to be despatched to) .	
Ministry Of Defence		
Sec (AS) 2A	×.	
Room 8245 RAF Main Building		
Whitehall		



REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

- a. Date/Time And Duration Of Sighting (Local times to be quoted) 18/07/06 21-2200 hrs. 10-12 Seconds
- b. Description Of Object (Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc). Row of red lights, zig zag shape Curred, Aprox 5-7 lights din lights. No sound
- c. Exact Position Observed (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving). Blackpool - Red back, ASove home · Flew eart -> whit out to seq

d. How Observed (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie camera).

e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly Acw East J West Faily low

f. Angle Of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable). Storight above.

g. Distance (By reference to a known landmark wherever possible).

h. Movements (Changes in E, F, G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).

Moved reliands slowly. No change of course

i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc.) Clear Night, No cloud

j. Nearby Objects (Telephone lines, Spires Etc.)

Naked eye

k. To Whom Reported (Police, military organisations, the press etc). Police



No.

Issue 3

Page 1 of 2 Date 18/9/96





No reply-as no hame and address.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	18 July 2006
	(Duration of sighting.)	16.15L
а 5	(Duration of signification)	
2.	Description of object.	The object was oblong shape, and was also
	(No of objects, size, shape,	described as looking a bit like a scooter.
	colour, brightness, noise.)	deserved as looking a bit like a scooler.
	colour, originaless, noise.)	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
45		
4.	How object was observed.	With the naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object	The object was seen over Stevenage,
	was first seen.	Bedfordshire.
	(A landmark may be more	
	helpful than a roughly	
	estimated bearing.)	с. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
		5
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far away in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.

	during obse	rvation. e, mist, clear)		a.	3	
.					 	I
			1			

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Luton Air Traffic Control – second hand, they then in turn rang Das answerphone and left a message.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	No name or address.
	т.,	a I a
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	19 July 2006 10.45L





From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

	л.	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
а 				
		Your R	eference:	· ·
Marilhorough		Our Re D/DAS	ference:	
Marlborough Devon		D/DAS Date:	0/04/2	
		18 July	2006	
	• → STARTING STATISTICS			11 11

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 17 July 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 17 July 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Sorry I could be any help.

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	17 July 2006 02.00 – 04.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	could hear strange noises, like seagulls, so she looked out of her window and saw two round, stationary, slightly oval lights.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Indoors, looking out of her bedroom window.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Over and to the side of her house in Marlborough.
6.	Approximate distance.	They seemed quite near to her house.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The lights were stationary for two hours.
8.	Weather conditions	It was early morning, so still quite dark,

	during observation.	but	could see that the sky
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	was	cloudy, as the lights lit up the sky.
		~	
····			

.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Marlborough Devon 0
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said that another light kept arcing between the other two oval lights, and the three lights kept the clouds lit up for the whole two hours.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	18 July 2006 10.30L



From:

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

		4	Telephone .	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000
		т. Ч	Your R	eference:	n takin du ku t
Locherbridges Dumfries	n n n n n n n	*	Our Re D/DAS Date: 10 July		
<u>a i garci i i i i</u>					

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 4 July 2006, the details of which you passed to LATCC(Mil) Middlesex. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 4 July 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely



Classification	UNCLASSIFIED
Caveat	NONE
Covering	UNCLASSIFIED

RADAR ANALYSIS CELL

FAX TRANSMISS		DOCUMENT DETAILS	
TRANSMISSION I	DETAILS		
DTG of Transmission	: 061435Z JULY 00	Subject: UFO REPORT	
From:	Fax Number:		
RAC			
	BT:		<i>p</i>
PORTERS WAY	E-mail:		•
	rac.mil@nats.co.uk	Total number of pages	2
UB7 9AU		including covering sheet	
		Fax	umber:
2			
	,		
	°0	ASSISTANT OPERATORS	
AUTHORISING OFFICE			
Name & Appointme	nt:	Grade & Name:	
Marrie & Appointing		SAC	
Signature:		Signature	
Cignate			
Message/Remarks:		e	
UFO Report.			
Thestan			
Thankyou			
With the compliments	s of		
DADAR ANALYS			



 \mathcal{B} **Report of Unidentified Flying Object** Date, Time and Duration of Sighting. a) TUES 4th JUL BETWEEN 2200 + 0000 + 5 DOMESTAPES STATIC OBJECT WITH LIGHTS RUNNING b) UPA DOWN THE DOME WITH ANOTHER LIGHT SWAYING TO & IRO BENEATH Exact Position of **c**) NUMFRIES SITTING IN BACK GARDEN AFTER THE FOOTBALL How Observed d) Direction in which Object was First Seen e) NOT SAIN IN THE SKY, THOUGHT IT WAS A FALLING STAL Angular Elevation of Object f) FAR ENCUGH AWAY TO USE BINOCULARS. Distance of Object from Observer g) Movements of Object STATIC DOME, SWALING LIGHT UNDERNEATTI **h**) Meteorological Conditions During Observations j) NICE NIGHT Nearby Objects k) To Whom Reported 1) m)



Dear



I am writing in reference to the report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 18 June 2006, in Cheshire, the details of which you passed to us by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

I have enquired as to if there was any military air activity in Cheshire on 18 June 2006 between 18:30 and 19:30, and have been informed that there was no military air activity or air shows that date.

With regard to the UFO sighting, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 18 June 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely



Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail – <u>das-ufo-office@mod.uk</u>

13 July 2006.





Also please advise if any military air activity was taking place in Cheshire between 18:30 and 19:30 on 18th June.

Regards,



WWW.UK-UFO.ORG

Witness sighting form

Please write, in your own words, the details of your sighting. Include as much information as you can remember, including your thoughts and feelings at the time. If you need more space, you can attach a seperate sheet of paper. If you need advice about completing the form, please ask the investigator that sent it to you for help.

Forename	n.40	Email:
Surname:		Address: Macclesfield, Cheshire
Occupation:		
Telephone:	5	
Age:	50+	Postcode:
l was drivi	ng home along the main road betwe	en Congleton and Macclesfield. It was approx 6.45pm on Sunday 18th June 2006.
		The clouds were fairly high with some breaks of blue sky.
NUTRIN CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONT	ached the outskirts of Macclesfield	
about 300	high in the sky. At first I thought it r	night be a helicopter that was experiencing some difficulty and was spinning
	5	along at approx 20 mph, I realised the object was stable, maintaining height
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	tical axis. The object appeared to be travelling at approx the same speed.
		as trying to account for what it could be. I gained the impression that it's
	an An dibhaile dha ceana ann an an ann ann ann ann ann ann a	e appeared to be a triangular shaped part
ann an fan an dwer refer an en sy'the teacher a stranger and the second statements		beared to be slightly twisted like barley sugar.
	ĸĸĸŧĸĸĸĬŎġſĸĸĬŇĸŔĸŊĊŎĸĸĸŊĊŎĸĸĸŧĊŎŢŎĊŢŎĊŎŢŎĊŎĸĸŎŎŎĬŎŎŎŎŎĸŎŎĸŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎ	arrived at my house, the object was also witnessed another member of
my family.		
	ֈֈֈ֎ՠ՟Ա֎֎֍֎ՠֈֈ֎ՠ֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎֎	



		Important! Please circle 'Y' below if you require your identity to be kept confidential.
ase use the space above to draw what you saw, giving ances and size if possible	Date: Signature:	20/06/2006 Anonymity: Y
Ufologyinuk	1 of 3	WSF1(June 2001)

