

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Seen every day for about the past two weeks).
	(Duration of signing.)	23.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	An exceedingly bright light, which is stationary, but sometimes flies off.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Near Boscombe Down in Wiltshire.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Over Boscombe Down.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance. Then moved up to three to four thousand feet in the sky, and stayed there.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Sometimes the light would fly off at fast speed across the sky, then come back and remain stationary again.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Quite clear.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Salisbury
	e e	Wiltshire
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
×		
12.	Remarks.	said he has seen the light every night for about the past two weeks. Is very curious to what it could be? It only flies off sometimes. Mainly remains stationary and usually every time it is spotted, it is usually around 11pm.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	4 April 2007 10.30L





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Lincoln Lincolnshire

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

10 April 2007

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 29 March 2007, the details of which you passed to RAF Waddington. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 29 March 2007 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

29/03/07 11:08

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT REPORT RAIC WADDINGTON.

<u>-</u>		99 11.0 03 11905/1-1
1	Date and time of receipt.	29 MAR 07 1125 (LOCAL)
	Date and time of sighting of UFO.	282153 MAN 07 (LOCAL)
3	How long did you observe the UFO for?	EMINS.
4	What were the weather conditions during the sighting?	MISTY 3/1-4/8 (LOUS).
5	Where were you when you observed the UFO?	BOOTHAM PARK ROAD PACINET SOUTH LINEURN
6	Did you observe the UFO with the naked eye or any optical device?	NAKED EYE & BINDS
7	In what direction in relation to you did you observe the UFO? Landmarks would be useful.	SOUTH.
8	Was the UFO stationary?	NO
	IF NO What direction was the UFO moving in? Again landmarks would be useful.	E - ESE DIRECTION.
9	Were there any significant objects	APRINE IN THINSIT AT SAME TIME
	nearby, for example, Power Lines.	AUDIBLE - OF JECTS WERE SILENT.
10		WIFE
11		No
12	Name and address of informer.	
	TAKEN BY	LINCOLN.
	Ps	LINCOLO
13	Any other details we have not	To SLOW AIR AC
1	covered?	No Souns
		FOR A BALLOON HEADINGS
E		INTO WIND
		20BJECTS 1/2 MILE APART
		VERY BRIGHT LIGHTS.
<u> </u>	2-24-18	HE FRONT BULLED HEIGHT AND


ACREST 2-3 MINS HERONT GALLOWED HEIGHT AND TOTAL TIME. DISSAFEARED, COLLOWED BY 2ND W SAME RESITION TOWARDS WADDO ALBGELD.


Pg: 1

29/03/07

11:08

ax irom		TYNICH ACT
*	Classification	UNCLAS F Sigs 927 (Int.9/92)
	Caveat	
	Covering	
a .		
	To the Transport	mission Cover Sheet
		nission Cover Sheet Document Details
	Transmission Details	
erial Number:	Date and Time of Transmission	Reference. WAD/2330/14 5x 5
om: PFOM	Fax Number:	Subject:
		1.FO Report
:	Fax:	Total number of pages including this cover sheet 2
DAS		TOTAL INC. TO PAGE
	Authorising Officer	Tran init Operators
nk, Name and		Rank/Grade and Name: N/A
gnature:		Signature:
essage/Remark	S:	
*	E .	
6		
	3	
	±	
3 5		
The state of the s		UNCLAS
	Classification	
	Caveat	
	la:	





1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Time and date not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Strange orange lights that seemed to float across the sky.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Over Braintree in Essex.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	They were going very slow, and seemed to be drifting.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Was quite clear.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Braintree Police, who then left a message on DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Anon. No name, address or number given.
11.	Other witnesses.	Two friends.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	26 March 2007 10.30L



Prom: Prom:



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Matlock Derbyshire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

26 March 2007

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 14 and 21 March 2007, the details of which passed to us by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 14 and 21 March 2007 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could be any help.

From:

on behalf of Low Flying

Sent:

21 March 2007 14:55

To: Subject:

FW: Low Flying Complaints

----Original Message----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]

Sent: 21 March 2007 07:53 To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk Subject: Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Wednesday, March 21,

2007 at 07:52:56

txtfirstname

txtlastname:

txtaddress1:

txtaddress2:

txttowncity: Matlock

txtstatecountry: Derbyhire

txtzipcodepostcode:

txttelephone:

txtcountry: UK

txtemailAddress:

txtincident: 21.3.07 7.30am

txtrequest: I witnessed three what looked like small aircraft, very white but totally still in the sky, two above the quarry on Cromford Hill and One over the town (Wirksworth) I would like to know whether they were actual aircraft or whether I should report them to some other body. A week ago I saw a very bright light over

Idridgehay at round 7 in the evening, I do not usually see such things.

(a reports of -UFG). - on database.

J reports.

troo Seen in different week).





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Clipston Leicestershire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

22 March 2007

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 7 March 2007, the details of which you passed to RAF Cranwell. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 7 March 2007 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Transmission at:

161556Z MAR 07

RESTRICTED UNCLASSIFIED

Prec Act:

ROUTINE

DTG

161530Z MAR 07

From:

RAF CRANWELL

To:

MODUK AIR

SICs:

Z6F

SUBJECT:

ACTN: 161530Z MAR 07 CRN 005 MSG ID

SUBJECT: AERIAL PHENOMENA

A. 111920Z MAR 07

- B. JOINED LIGHTS SUDDENLY MOVING INDEPENDANTLY AND ERRATICALLY
- C. IN A CAR. THEN BY SIDE OF ROAD ON VERGE
- D. NAKED EYE
- E. COLD ASHBY TOWARD NASEBY. HEADING SOUTHERLY
- F. 30 DEGREES TO HORIZON THEN 30-45 WHEN MOVING
- G. POSS QUARTER MILE TO 5 MILES AWAY
- H. RANDOM, ERRATIC, SOMETIMES VERTICAL
- J. VERY CLEAR NIGHT. NO CLOUD AT ALL
- K. NOTHING IN AREA TO AFFECT

N. CIVILIAN. FAMILIAR WITH MILITARY AIRCRAFT

- O. NOT KNOWN
- P. 12 MAR 07
- O. NIL
- R. REMINDED PERSON OF TV PICTURES OF UFO OCCURANCES. HENCE REPORT HAVING SPOKEN TO HE SEEMED A CREDIBLE WITNESS HE HAS REPORTED THE INCIDENT TO THE LOCAL PAPERS TO FIND OUT MORE INFO. END OF X.400 TEXT

ACP Message Identifier:

RBDBVR 5070 0751554

Military Message ID:

5448 070316155448Z

AMRAD Received Time:

161556Z MAR 07

Page 1 (of 1)

RESTRICTED UNCLASSIFIED





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Nottingham
Nottinghamshire

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

7 March 2007

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 3 March 2007, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 3 March 2007 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

From: Sent:

feedback@www.mod.uk <u>04</u> March 2007 00:34 webmaster@dgics.mod.uk

To: Subject:

Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Sunday, March 4, 2007 at 00:34:04

txtlastname:
txtaddress1:
txtaddress2:
txttowncity: Nottingham
txtstatecountry: UK
txtzipcodepostcode:
txttelephone
txtcountry: UK

txtincident: 10.29pm -

txtrequest: I'd like to report a sighting but I know who to report to...I know this may sound really really stupid, and I find it really hard to believe my self, but I think I saw a flying object of some sort passing across the sky. It was during the luna eclips so I was looking at the sky and I look away from the moon, straigh up at the sky and caught the glimps of a curved diamond shaped object pass over head directly heading north. At firth I thought maybe it was a satalite, but it was too low to be a salalite and it also appeard to go much to fast, also I have seen salalites pass overhead and they seem to stay directly on the same path, where as this object went straigh then vered left and then vierd right back onto its original course it was taking. I think thought it might have been a bird but it was much too fast and appeared to be too high and too large to be a bird. I dont exactly know the hight of it but it appear like a a brown shade like a curvey diamond, it had!

no lights on it, and it made no sound, it appeared to be travelling fast and was literally quickly moving my head round to follow the object. I have no idea if it was a plane, or bird or satalite, but it as I explained it did not appear to be a bird or satalite.

1





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Highgate

Highgate London Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

7 March 2007

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2007, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other reports of 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not forward this office on the answerphone, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Flaming, yellow object.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Seen over Highgate West Hill, Highgate, London, coming from the West.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The yellow object was coming from the West and moving very fast.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	
		Highgate London
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said it definitely was not a conventional aircraft. Was very odd.
10	D. A	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	6 March 2007 11.30L





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Ricester

Bicester Oxon Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

20 February 2007

Dear


I am writing concerning your letter dated 3 February 2007.

Thank you for the details of the sighting, they will be logged and filed.

With regards to the sighting in Archway, N19 on 1 February 2007, of 12-15 orange balls of light, I informed you in a previous letter dated 5 February 2007, that we received no other reports of this specific sighting you informed us of. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Finally, we can not tell you if the sightings were attributed to fragments of a comet, or if any radar system tracked them, as we do not investigate into UFO sightings.

Sorry, I can not be any help.



Dear Sir/Madam

of 12 to 15 orange balls of Talington, and an

light, seen at Archway N19 on 01 02 2007 at 17:25 to 17:35. They moved across the sky not to

quickly, stopped, moved on then deminished, faded away or moved upwards.


Can you please supply me with the following information CAN YOU CONFIRM THAT


1. You received reports of the above sightings, if so how many? \sim 2. The objects were tracked on radar {Heathrow airport or any other radar system.

3. The sightings were attributed to fragments of a comet.

I hope this request does not cause you any inconvenience, I await your reply.

Yours Faithfully





Page 1



Within an FOI request, which is the witnessed a UFO, in 1993. Thought he would inform us of it now.

March 1993 at 01:00 in Bromley, Kent.

A laser beam, a long, thin beam of green light pierced the night sky. A burning mass then emerged through the gloom of the clouds.

19 February 2007







MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Calne Wiltshire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

5 February 2007

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 3 February 2007, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 3 February 2007 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



1.	Date and time of sighting.	3 February 2007
	(Duration of sighting.)	(No time given)
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	An object fell from the sky. It had like a green halo around it. Was very bright.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	was driving in his car on the M4 Motorway, London to Bristol, going Westbound.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	It fell somewhere in the vicinity of Swindon.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The object was travelling very fast through the sky, going towards the ground.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Was quite clear.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone, and we got a message from PC AtODevizes Police, where the sighting had been reported to them and then they gave our UFO help desk number to
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	
В	n u	Calne Wiltshire
11.	Other witnesses.	His friend that was travelling with him.
12.	Remarks.	said that there was quite an explosion when the object hit the ground. It sounded really loud, even from a distance. He said it could have been a falling star or
el e		even a massive meteorite.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	5 February 2007 11.00L







MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Hull Humberside Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

5 February 2007

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2007, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other reports of 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not forward this office on the answerphone, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A round object, with white lights. The object also seemed to be changing colours.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Hull.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The object was moving in right angles. Was making bizarre shapes, and moving rapidly.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
	a a	* <u>*</u>
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Hull Humberside
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said that this object was definitely not an aircraft. That it was moving in ways that a plane can not do. Was wondering if we could shed some light on the situation and perhaps ring him back, so that he could give us more details of his sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	5 February 2007 10.45L





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000



Carmarthen Mid-Wales

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

19 January 2007

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 16 January 2007, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

I apologise if your address is wrong in any way. It can be hard to get a clear message off our answerphone.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 16 January 2007 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	16 January 2007 20.45L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Ball of light that faded away, then came back again. Then there was an orange ball on the right hand side of the vehicle. Then three red lights were seen afterwards, moving clockwise.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	was travelling in his lorry on a road.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Over Llanpumsaint in Mid-Wales.
6.	Approximate distance.	The ball of light seemed to be overhead. The orange ball stayed stationary for a while. The three red lights were quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The ball of light had faded. The orange ball stayed there for quite a while. The three red lights were moving very fast from left to right. There was erratic movement and they were then moving in a circular motion.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Dark but not many clouds around.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Carmarthen Mid-Wales
11.	Other witnesses.	None, as said he was on his own in the lorry.
12.	Remarks.	said that after the three red lights, can't be sure, but said there may have been a series of other lights in the distance, that were erratic too. He has asked if the MOD had any operations in that particular area of Wales? Said that he thought it was quite scary seeing all these unknown things in the sky!!
13.	Date and time of receipt.	17 January 2007 14.30L





1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Seen sometime in December 2006). (No time given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said that he saw a UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	No address given.
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said that he wanted to speak to someone about the UFO, just to give us more details and describe what it was like.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	5 January 2007 11.30L





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Hunstanton

Hunstanton Norfolk Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

15 January 2007

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 30 December 2006, the details of which you put in a letter to us. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 30 December 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

I am sorry to hear of yours and others discomfort, but I cannot give you an explanation as to what is happening, as we do not know what could have caused the burns and blisters.

So sorry, that I could not be any help in this matter.

Fax from	m : 10/01/07 10:06 Pg: 2
	LFA5
	HUNSTANTON
	NOREOLK
<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
	1st Jan 2007
-	
	Dear Sir
	I'm writting to see if you could
	advise or help in any way
	I'm experiencing smell fumes from a plane
	and burning. Thave burns to my scalp and
	blister and burns to my skin.
	I have been intouch with my local R.A.F
	and also airport, they were both very
	helpfull and put me intouch with Head
	of civil aviation authority handon. They explained that Hunsbankon is outside
	the controlled airspace, but also started that
	pilots must comply with the rules of the air
	and any personal licence, I was also told
	only a military tipo of plane could do
	this, it is happening day a night It has
	been confirmed this is nothing to do with
	military in norfolk.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I'm not the only one to expensence this the
1	small frames went into the face of a visiting
	hurse and also on Sat 38th Doc my 15 year old
	I can decribe the plane, possible two, and
	it is possible Satellites are univolved
	The plane is as follows:
-	Silver in colour, has a oblong light under
	the plane, can hover in the sky, and makes very little noise even when flying.
	veni little noise even when thing.

Can be heard Hovering around my proper during the night (I've nicknamed it Fletiplan dust coping down come from the Lincolnshire area

Fax from

10/01/07 10:06 Pg: 1



Covering:

Caveat:

Classification:

UNCLAS



Facsimile Transmission Cover Sheet

Transmiss	ion Details	Document Details	
Serial Number:	Date and Time of Transmission: 10つみい0チ 0953	Reference:	a 3
From: Pにて Off	Fax Number:	Subject: LOW FLYING COMPLAINT	
To: อคร - เคยยบ	Fax Number	Total number of pages including this cover sheet	3
a ran tining	complaint receiv	and to the compression of place to the compression of places to the compression of the co	JPN 67
Authorisi	ng Officer	Transmit Operators	
Rank / Name and Appointment		Rank / Name and Appointment	
00 pendral out	THOUT EST	central registry ac	
Signature		Signature:	
e a	Covering:		
e s	Caveat:		
	Classification:	UNCLAS	e





1.	Date and time of sighting.	6 January 2007
	(Duration of sighting.)	(No time given).
	3 3,	
2.	Description of object.	Just said that he saw a UFO.
2.	(No of objects, size, shape,	
	colour, brightness, noise.)	
	colour, originaloss, noise.	
	и.	ē.
		6
	u.	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
٥,	_	140t given.
*	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
1	Harry shippet was absorved	With the naked eye.
4.	How object was observed.	With the haked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars,	
	other optical device, camera	
	or camcorder.)	
_	Dissains in military history	Not given
3.	Direction in which object	Not given.
	was first seen.	
	(A landmark may be more	
	helpful than a roughly	
8.	estimated bearing.)	*
		Onite for in the distance
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
	:	
	N/	Not given
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given.
	(side to side, up or down,	
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
		*
		NIA
8.	Weather conditions	Not given.
	during observation.	
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	No address or number given.
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	wanted us to get in touch with him, but left no details so that we could.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	12 January 2007 11.30L



From:

Sent:

09 January 2007 11:54

To:

Subject: Internet-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear 0

I am writing with reference to your report of objects seen in the sky, the details of which you e.mailed to the das-ufo-office address. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard your daughter's particular observation of the 9 objects and the photograph that was taken by her, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 3 January 2007 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1 5th Floor, Zone H Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

E.mail - das-ufo-office@mod.uk

09/01/2007

From:

Sent: 03

03 January 2007 22:08

To:

DAS-UFO-Office

Subject: 03 01 2007

my daughter took a picture tonight after seeing objects in the sky towards the moon we mailed it to a ufo centre in usa but were told prob marks on the lens the email photo does not do the pic justice but on looking at the camera the lens is spotless and even more strange is the fact there is also nine of these objects on the photo we have save the pic and would like to know if there are any more sightings like this tonight thanks

Be one of the first to try Windows Live Mail.

25 ho address.

1.	Date and time of sighting.	31 December 2006
	(Duration of sighting.)	(No time given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There was a light darting about the sky.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given.
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite near.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The light was moving quite fast.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

8		
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Address not given.
	5	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said it was a very strange sight and certainly not a plane, by the way that it was moving! Wants us to ring him back.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	4 January 2007 11.15L





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Midlothian Scotland Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

5 January 2007

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in December 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other reports of 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not forward this office on the answerphone, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Seen in December 2006). (Time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A weird light that was going in all sorts of weird directions. Going back and forth too, quite a lot.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Quite clear.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Midlothian Scotland
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	said that the light was definitely not a plane, as it was just flying round in a small circle.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	4 January 2007 11.00L





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Maidstone Kent Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

4 January 2007

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 31 December 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other 'UFO' sightings for 31 December 2006, and one was from Dorset, but the other witness did not inform us of the area. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



1.	Date and time of sighting.	31 December 2006
	(Duration of sighting.)	20.55L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	UFO, lights – so many different ones.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	
		Maidstone
	*	Kent
		* *
i v		
11.	Other witnesses	Not given
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
15	5 V	
12.	Remarks.	Just asked us to get back to him. Said that the lights were definitely not aeroplanes.
	2	
	и	, c
	u u	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	4 January 2007
	N.	10.45L





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Blandford St Mary Dorset Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

4 January 2007

Dear 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 31 December 2006, the details of which you passed to ATC. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.


The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 31 December 2006, and one was from Maidstone, Kent, but the other witness did not inform us of the area. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.







Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports

Report of Unidentified Flyin	g Object
Date	31-12-06
Time	1915
Sighting Duration	RIMIT TO LEFT 20 SECONDS
Description of Object	3 x ORANCE LICUTS SATE SPEEDS
	10 S ECOLDS LATE = 1 ORANGE LIGHTS
Exact Position of Observer	FORDNABRIEW
How Object was Observed	VISMALLY
Direction in which Object was First Seen	WEST
Angular Elevation of Object	450
Distance of Object from Observer	APPROX \$14-112 MILLE
Movement of Object	WEST TOWAST
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	CLOUDY PARTLY BROKEN
Nearby Objects	TREES IN BACK GARAKN
To Whom Reported	
Name of Informant	
Address of Informant	
3	DEADFURD ST MARY
Background Information on	
Informant that may be Volunteered	- MEMBER OR HM ARMED ROLLES.
	ARMED ROLLES.
Other Witnesses	

Version 2.3

01/02/05

Page 2 of 3



Date of Receipt of Report	31-12-06	
Time of Receipt of Report	1930	
	Actions	Time
Complete report of UFO with FOI1	as many details as possible and send to	
Telephone details immediatel	y and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	

Page 3 of 3

01/02/05







MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Cobham Surrey Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

20 December 2006

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 17 December 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 17 December 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.



Date and time of sighting.	17 December 2006	
(Duration of sighting.)	18.45L	
Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Definitive white object moving from North to South.	
Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.	
How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.	
Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was flying over East Cobham, Surrey.	
Approximate distance.	Not given.	
Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.	
Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Quite clear.	
	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.) Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.) How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.) Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.) Approximate distance. Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow) Weather conditions during observation.	

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Cobham Surrey
11.	Other witnesses.	His sons.
12.	Remarks.	looked like the object was burning up. It then showed a trail behind it that lasted for a few seconds.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	20 December 2006 11.30L





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000



Stratford upon Avon Warwickshire

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

18 December 2006

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 11 December 2006, the details of which you e.mailed to the Minister's Office. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 11 December 2006, and that was from Plymouth, Devon. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

TO BE GIVEN PRIORITY AT ALL TIMES

Page 1 of 2

From:

on behalf of Low Flying

Sent:

14 December 2006 14:03

To:

Subject: FW: Internet-Authorised: Treat Official Correspondence: TO08007/2006

Hd of DAS-LACEU
5-H-Ction 40
MAIN BUILDING
WHITEHALL
LONDON SW1A 2HB
@mod.uk

From: Ministerial Correspondence Sent: 14 December 2006 13:00

To: DAS-Sec; Low Flying

Subject: Internet-Authorised: Treat Official Correspondence: TO08007/2006

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE - TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY

To: DAS Sec Copy To:

Our Reference: TO08007/2006

Due Date: 11 January 2007

the Department has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent within 15 working days of the date of this message. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information - even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy - and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is important that branches ensure they have simple systems to track correspondence received from members of the public, though the Parliamentary

14/12/2006

Toolkit will now record the basic details. Once a response has been sent, therefore, can you please notify the Ministerial Correspondence Unit (preferably via Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk) of the date of your reply so that the record can be closed on the Toolkit.

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm. If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

Email: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk

Regards,

MOD Parliamentary Branch
Ministerial Correspondence Unit

TO08007 2006 - 20061214125642 -From: feedback@www.mod.uk Sent: 12 December 2006 11:31 To: Ministers Subject: Ask a Minister

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Tuesday, December 12, 2006 at 11:30:30

txtfirstname txtlastname:

txtsubject: UFO

txtaddress1:

txtaddress2:

txttowncity: Stratford upon Avon

txtstatecountry: Warwickshire

txtzipcodepostcode:

txtcountry: United Kingdom

txtemailAddress:


txtrequest: Hi,

How do I go about reporting a UFO sighting.
My wife and I saw something very unusual last night, 11th Dec' at approx 22:50,

travelling across the sky, quite low over the Stratford upon Avon area. I look forward to your reply.

Regards.





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000



Peterborough Cambridgeshire

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

15 December 2006

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 6 November 2006, the details of which you wrote to the Minister's Office. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 6 November 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

TO BE GIVEN PRIORITY AT ALL TIMES

Page 1 of 2

From:

Sent:

13 December 2006 10:32

To:

Subject: FW: Internet-Authorised: Treat Official Correspondence: TO07923/2006

Really, really good, interesting and great you said?

DAS-Secretariat

MB5.H

DII: DAS-Sec e-mail:

@mod.uk

From: Ministerial Correspondence **Sent:** 12 December 2006 11:58

To: DAS-Sec; Low Flying

Subject: Internet-Authorised: Treat Official Correspondence: TO07923/2006

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE - TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY

To:

-

Copy To: Our Reference:

T007923/2006

DAS Sec

Due Date:

09 January 2007

The Rt Hon Des Browne MP has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent within 15 working days of the date of this message. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information - even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy - and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is important that branches ensure they have simple systems to track correspondence received from members of the public, though the Parliamentary Toolkit will now record the basic details. Once a response has been sent, therefore, can you please notify the Ministerial Correspondence Unit (preferably via Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk) of the date of your reply so that the record can be closed on the Toolkit.

TO BE GIVEN PRIORITY AT ALL TIMES

Detailed guidance on handling TO Correspondence can be found on the Defence Intranet at http://main.defence.mod.uk/min_parl/ParlBrch/TOGuid.htm. If you do not have access to the Intranet, please inform the Ministerial Correspondence Unit.

Email: Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk

Regards,

MOD Parlia	mentary Bra	nch
Ministerial	Corresponde	nce Unit
t:		
t:		
f:		

Peter Borough camps

(Wear Sir) madam, The had another sighting of flying saurers u Fo's here in this Back garden, I last Burbte to you I think last year about they Privious sighting in Eye Village "1990" when I tued there with my husband e our Jack Russell dog this one I saw with Binoculars Well it was not one But about 10 to 15 of them fairly high up white something like shells" with Pale Pink in the midde, all flying at the same speed m formation in a line fairly fast but not too fast anyway I was able to get a look at Uthan not winep so not plants not Binds e in sure not Balloons they seemed to be well intelligently controlled id say, the sighting was a month ago monday norming 11 20 Ah on the 6th november 86 a clear sunny morning handly a cloud in the stry. Possible Scout SHIPS.?. I know you know these things exist, I also know you don't have the complete answer nor do 9, But 9 feet 9 reeded to Report it my second sighting m 16 years, my first was 5 milis away when 9

luned in Eur

Deported it to the PeterBorough Police have at Thorpe Wood Police Station a woman did wood new Saud contact Seti on Internet, I have have computer my daughter has the witernet she searched but no sighting she could find, nothing in local Paper I don't think? my Police contact number I think was 208 on 6th november. Hope this helps you, oluvously something going on.






MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000



Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

15 December 2006

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 10 December 2006, the details of which you e.mailed to the MOD. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 10 December 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

1

From: Sent: feedback@www.mod.uk 12 December 2006 09:43 webmaster@dgics.mod.uk

To: Subject:

Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Tuesday, December 12,

2006 at 09:43:16

txtfirstname: anon

txtlastname: anon

txtaddress1:

txtaddress2: southgate

txttowncity: london

txtstatecountry: uk

txtzipcodepostcode:

txttelephone: 00000000000

txtcountry: United Kingdom

txtemailAddress:

txtincident: 10/12/2006 2-3pm

txtrequest: Craft seen over EASTLEICH COTSWOLDS NEAR MACARONI WOODS.

Inverted photograph shows solid metallic spinning object and with comparison to birds

has heat sources showing green.

Grey in colour.

Do reply, as pull address not given.

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date not given). 22.55L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A white light was seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Seen over Dudley.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Going very fast at mac 1.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Dudley West Midlands
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	says it was like nothing he had ever seen before.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	12 December 2006 11.30L





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Dlymouth

Plymouth Devon

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

14 December 2006

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 11 December 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 11 December 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.







1.	Date and time of sighting.	11 December 2006
	(Duration of sighting.)	(No time given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A blue light with a white light in the middle.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Seen over Plymouth.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The light moved straight up in the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

		·
9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
		a
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Plymouth
	-	Devon
e e		
11.	Other witnesses.	Her husband.
	a to	•
12.	Remarks.	said they are on a flight path, but this was definitely not a normal aircraft.
e		
	1	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	12 December 2006 10.30L
1		n 27

No reply, as no full





Report of UFO Checklist

Fax from







MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000



Bury St Edmunds Suffolk Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

30 November 2006

Dear 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2006, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can't confirm whether we received any other 'UFO' sightings on the day you saw the 'UFO', as you did not forward this office on the answerphone, a specific date or time of the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.





1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Bright white object like a white star in the sky. The object had a tail.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Was seen over Bury St Edmunds.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Bury St Edmunds Suffolk
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	sald that it was a very strange sight.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	28 November 2006 10.45L





MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Marranant

Newport Shropshire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

30 November 2006

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 25 November 2006, the details of which you passed to the Minister's Office. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 25 November 2006 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

TO BE GIVEN PRIORITY AT ALL TIMES

Page 1 of 2

From:

Sent:

28 November 2006 12:30

To:

Subject: FW: Internet-Authorised: Treat Official Correspondence: TO07543/2006

All yours!

DAS-Secretariat

MB5.H.

DII: DAS-Sec e-mail:

@mod.uk

From: Ministerial Correspondence **Sent:** 28 November 2006 12:29

To: DAS-Sec; Low Flying

Subject: Internet-Authorised: Treat Official Correspondence: TO07543/2006

TREAT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE - TO BE GIVEN A HIGH PRIORITY

To:

DAS Sec

Copy To:

Our Reference:

TO07543/2006

Due Date:

18 December 2006

The Rt Hon Des Browne MP has received the attached correspondence from a member of the public, which this office has neither retained nor acknowledged. Please send a reply on behalf of the PM/Minister/Department.

Ministers attach great importance to correspondence being answered promptly, and your reply should be sent within 15 working days of the date of this message. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible, an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale. You should be aware that No 10 periodically calls for a sample of letters sent by officials on the PM's behalf for his perusal.

Most correspondence involves some form of request for information - even if it is only a request for clarification of Government policy - and is therefore covered by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) from January 2005. In general, if you meet the deadline for responding to correspondence, and comply with any requests for information, there is no need to do anything differently as this will meet the requirements of the Act. However, if the correspondence requests information which is not already in the public domain, and which might need to be withheld, then you should treat it as a FOIA request, track it using the Access to Information toolkit, and comply with the separate FOI guidance from DG Info (see http://aitportal/default.aspx for details). However, the deadline for responding to correspondence will still apply. If you are in any doubt as to whether a piece of correspondence should be treated as an FOIA request, you should ask your FOI Focal Point or refer to the guidance produced by DG Info.

It is important that branches ensure they have simple systems to track correspondence received from members of the public, though the Parliamentary Toolkit will now record the basic details. Once a response has been sent, therefore, can you please notify the Ministerial Correspondence Unit (preferably via Ministerial-Correspondence@mod.uk) of the date of your reply so that the record can be closed on the Toolkit.

28/11/2006