	RESTRICTED/UNCLASSIFIED MINISTRY OF DEFENCE	MOD Form 329D (Revised 8/00) PPQ = 100
Registered Ties relater Constitution of Consti	1. Attention is drawn to the notes on the inside flap. 2. Enter notes of related files on page 2 of this jacket	DAS (LA) OFS+FOL
Redisered the A. Co.	SUBJECT	lfos'
Pagrino.		SIGHTING REPORTS
CORD OF KEYWORDS:	[BLOCK CAPITALS]	(2/8/04)
		20/4
Referred to Date Min/Engl	Referred to Date Min/ Referred to	Date Min/ Referred to Date Min/ Encl
	460	
OR DRO USE ONLY		
st Review date		0179356A 0179356A
	ACTION  OD Form 262F set be completed at	



# From: Section 40

#### Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

West Kilbride Ayrshire Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

31 August 2004

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter dated 23 August 2004.

You will be aware from our previous correspondence that the MOD examines UFO reports, sent to us, solely to establish whether they present any evidence of a breach of UK airspace by unauthorised aircraft.

With regard to your particular observation on 16 August 2004, I can confirm for that date, we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by any unauthorised aircraft.


The MOD is not saying that witnesses do not see things that they are unable to identify, just that we do not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question or to the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which we remain totally openminded. Also, the MOD is often misquoted as saying that it does not believe 'UFO/flying saucers' to be a threat; this is a distortion of the MOD's stated position which is that to date, we have seen nothing which could be classed as proof that extraterrestrial life and or 'UFO/flying saucers' exist. Hence to date, no threat to the UK has been discerned which has attributed to a so-called 'UFO/flying saucer'.

Your letter will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely



Section 40 Jee Section 40 ection 40 Killrice Reference 1)/0AS/64/3. Hypolinia I orice letter 22 nd June 04 Monday 23 MA Aug 7 0 4 (Secretarias/3A. I look note of your Sletement on UFO, an Alleger Menomence and No Evidence of existence. I Down that 16 monesty of Defence is Beny Fair to Honer Witnesses to Soled craft that Light uf Tike xinas hees in Libre of the Colorans that one Solici Lea frey as noted, Thenty of Evelence they are massive time, The Yellow Bran lyle Spleres are Still Here is our own Solor System. Do note lines They are mostly above your Deferen Aci Stace. But not always. Your is a los of activity Socry on August 16th 04. a) 23:35 PM 5 Steres turned at a once on stace of 32 minutes are song N/F. Recell one come down N/South. Some your letter de Observed a few as sky is not So clear loc cloudy But lines My Do Do Phenomural acts of Tempey like Stace. Time they can Stop also by con connect with each other with a while Hask "They are Real = exist - above my Home - Area I Refuted in a letter of Helicoften ) Tola Section 40 h 1980 où will my Dog à night let make no noise Jucce 1 Sow 16m very dere up. Pelot Human celete well Start peolicies and only a sky is swest Sound from hopelers above. Took me a whole to Think 'what' Deffend becomes they make such a Racket - we one used to lim Here on Holydi Theoretick, Hy were Schens Now de Read is Newsform dos week you Do Here such Stealth typo Hellicepters. Everyly In Telky you, is hatt trus me I Do Betwee the Peck out feefer at Random by bewar as Refuted. I know one Allerted ne to their horsence because by Back was to is he Read, once you observe tom as By clace Tity is my washing the you ten Became a witness. Ilon a Contactee? Why I Floren a clase. I link the Jun world know they eight. I link the Cranet is more Precious Han wo it a warming they eight. I link the Cranet is more Precious Han wo it your Sont it will the! May Deshey





# From: Section 40

#### Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Shepton Mallet Somerset Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

16 August 2004



Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 31 July 2004, the details of which you passed to Boscombe Down. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 31 July 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely



(on databases)

#### Section 40



#### 11 August 2004

#### Section 40

MOD FOI1 Fifth floor Zone H Main building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

# Dear Section 40

Titled Electronic

Re Unexplained Sightings Over Shepton Mallet 31 July 2004

I have been given your name and address from the MOD in Boscombe Down, who have told me that you deal with any strange sightings.

I would like to inform you that on the 31 July 2004, at approx 22.45 I was leaving my house and looked up into the sky and saw a very strange bright orange object which was quite large and going in an upward direction, this was watched for a while and then it was decided that it should be recorded, both myself and my neighbours went and got our video cameras, on looking again into the sky it was noted that there were now three of these objects all following the same diagonal line upwards into the sky, they all seemed to be following each other, and they then disappeared vertically out of our sight.

You might also wish to know that a "UFO" expert has actually seen the video and can confirm that it does not look like any plane or military aircraft he has actually seen, due to it luminosity and the spinning, he thinks that it could be a genuine sighting of a UFO.

This footage has been played on our local ITV news station and also on the national station GMTV. To which there have been no confirmations of what the objects were.

I look forward to receiving your comments in due course.

Yours sincerely









# Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Chingford London Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

10 August 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 7 August 2004, the details of which you passed to Chingford Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 7 August 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely



( on databases).

# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1	D-4	7th A		
1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	7 <sup>th</sup> August 2004 04.30 Local		
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Strange looking object. Bigger than an aircraft. It had mesh around it and red, blue, green and yellow lights around the outside.		
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Indoors		
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye, then husband observed it through binoculars.		
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	East, over the North Circular Road.		
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.		
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	"Stationary, but moving"!		
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.		

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Duty Controller, Chingford Police,  Section 40  who in-turn reported it to DCMC.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Chingford London Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 husband.
12.	Remarks.	Chingford Police have the local authority CCTV footage of the area and will send it to DAS.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Received by DCMC Saturday 7 <sup>th</sup> August 2004 – 2130 Local.  Received by DAS Monday 9 <sup>th</sup> August 2004 – 09.30 Local

#### Section 40

From: DJtCts

DJtCts-DCMC DCDSDO 4

Sent:

08 August 2004 11:16

To:

DAS-LACEU

Subject: UFO Reports

I received a UFO report on Saturday evening at 2130 from Chingford Police. They were concerned, and had video film of the occurrence. I explained that we did not take such reports and that there was a separate number for UFO reports.

In the event I said I would pass it on, but gave them the number for future reference. However, the number we hold, 0207 218 2140, did not have the answerphone switched on so I was unable to use it!

Is the number still correct? If so could the machine please be reactivated. The reporting officer was The Duty Controller Chingford Police, Section 40 Section 40

#### Section 40

Lt Col, Retd DCDSDO4

Section 40



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Corby Northants Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

2 August 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 14 July 2004, the details of which you passed to RAF Brize Norton. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'


First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 14 July 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40





From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1

#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Sqn Ldr Section 40

Royal Air Force Brize Norton

Carterton

Oxon

OX18 3LX

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/3

Date:

2 August 2004

Dear Sqn Ldr Section 40

Thank you for the letter dated 16 July 2004 for which you passed to us, with regards to Section 40 Section spotting a 'UFO' on the 14 July 2004.

This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

Enclosed is a copy of the report form, that we use when taking the details of a 'UFO' sighting, for future reference. Hope this will be of help.

Yours sincerely



MOD FORM 953



#### Section 40

From: CRO - Sqn Ldr Section [cfo@brizenorton.raf.mod.uk]

Sent: 16 July 2004 12:09

To: lowflying@defence.mod.uk

Subject: MOD FORM 953\_2

**RAF Brize Norton** 

Unit Ref:

BZN/392/1/PR

16 July

2004

MOD FORM 953 (Revised 4/99)

# MILITARY AIRCRAFT ACTIVITY PUBLIC COMPLAINT FORM

n To be completed in CAPITALS n Forward the completed form to the authorities listed at Section 1 within 5 days

**SECTION 1: ACTION AUTHORITIES** 

	3.00		•			
Action	Info		Action	Info	Action	Info
		Unit			Comd Sec HQ Land	RAF HQ PTC
		MOD DAS (LA) C&E			D/C+L(F+S) Claims 3	Other
		N9 (Sec2) SØ2			RAF HQ Spec STC	ify
FOR MOD	USE (	ONLY.	Б			69/6/54E 17
OS Gri	d Ref:	58892	Serial No:		HISO File Ref: C	26/6/6/61080
	LFA:	6	Previous	Com	plaint No. of Com date:	plaints to
	NS:		Serial No		File Ref:	
SECTIO	)N 2:	DETAILS OF			SECTION 4: D	ETAILS OF INCIDENT

# SECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT

# Title: Section 40 Surname: Forename (s):

Date:	14 July 04
Time:	2310 hrs
How ma	nny Aircraft involved: 1

Section 40 Corby Northants	Jet	Prop	Hel	Light A/C
Section 40	Other (Specify Not known	):		* ****
Town/City:  County:	Markings:			
Postcode:	Grey	Camoufl- aged	Red/ White	Black/Yellow
Telephone Section 40 No.	Other (Specify	):		
Section 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT				
X Address at Section 2,	Height X Low	M	edium	High
•	Estimation in F	eet:		
	Direction: Inside MATZ:		ŧ	
Town/City:			X	No
Postcode:	If Yes which M	ATZ:	s	

**OVER** 

**NOW TURN** 

necessary)	LAINT (Continue on a separate sneet if				
Bright yellow light appears from no where for around 10secs. Light at low level and is observed from back garden. Complains of shocking experience.					
SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT)	SECTION 7: UNIT RESPONSE				
Has the incident given rise to any injury to persons and/or livestock or damage to property which will result in a claim for compensation being submitted to the Ministry of Defence?	VEC NO				
Yes No	Return Telephone Call X				
If Yes, give details and copy form to D/C+L(F+S) Claims 3.	Full written response sent (attach x copy)				
	Low flying leaflet sent X				
∞	Written acknowledgement only (attach copy)				
30 T	Visit arranged X				
	Specify				
	Requires attention of				
SECTION 8: DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL					
RECEIVING COMPLAINT					
15 July 04 0030 hrs Hrs					

MOD FORM 953

Page 4 of 4

Signature I Correspondence to CCO RAF Brize Norton Ext Section Email cco.rafbzn@virgin.net				
Name:				
Rank:				
Unit:				
Tel. No.				

### **IMPORTANT REMINDER**

ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAI J5002 OF 1999

THE COMPLETED FORM IS TO BE FORWARDED TO THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES AS LISTED AT SECTION 1 WITHIN 5 DAYS

#### Section 40

From: Section 40 [cco.rafbzn@virgin.net]

Sent: 16 July 2004 13:37

To: lowflying@defence.mod.uk

Subject: MOD REFER AIRCRAFT



### **Royal Air Force Brize Norton** Carterton Oxon OX18 3LX

Telephone:

(01993) 897779 Military Network: Section 40

Fax:

Section 40



Section 40

Corby **Northants** Section 40 Reference:

BZN/392/1/PR

Date: 16 July 2004

I am writing to acknowledge your recent telephone message and I very much regret to hear that you have had cause to complain about the disturbance by a low flying unidentified aircraft.

I note your particular concerns about this incident and I have therefore forwarded the details you provided to the Ministry of Defence department that monitors low flying activity, who will consider what action should be taken in this case. For your information their full address is:

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff Complaints & Enquiries Unit Room 6/64 Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue London WC2N 5BP

Page 1 of 1

#### Section 40

From:

Section 40

Sent:

28 July 2004 15:28

To:

Section 40

Subject: Internet-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your e.mail of 20 May 2004. Sorry for such a long delay in getting back to you.

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 20 May 2004, the details of which you passed to us by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service.

It would be inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 May 2004, one in Lancashire and the other in North Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft, on any of these occasions.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2036/1

Elying

Con databases). Page 1 of 1

From: Section 40

Sent:

20 May 2004 10:17

To:

lowflying@defence.mod.uk

Subject: ufo spotted

Hello. At approx 09.43am this morning (20 may 2004) whilst lying in bed and looking out of the window i saw VERY CLEARLY what is commonly called a UFO. I live in surrey.

Regards

20/05/2004



#### From: Section 40

#### Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1

# 12 MBER

# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Winchmore Hill London

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

20 July 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 13 July 2004, the details of which you passed to the Civilian Aviation Authority. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'


First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 13 July 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by any unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40



14 July 2004

Civilian Aviation Authority CAA House 45 - 49 Kingsway London WC28 6TE

#### **Dear Sirs**

I am writing to express my concern regarding an aircraft that was seen at approximately 1.00 am Tuesday 13 July 2004. The aircraft was clearly seen by my wife and myself from our bedroom window and it was not something that I have ever seen before. It most certainly was not an aeroplane or helicopter.

It was extremely large with pulsating lights and there was no sound whatsoever. We often have planes and helicopters flying overhead but this was something very different. I am not a believer in UFO's or alien beings and I do not believe in ghosts or things that go bump in the night. My wife and myself had an extremely good view because our bedroom is at the back of our house and is a loft conversion that has large windows. My wife and I fully expected to hear something about this on the news but in fact no one else appears to have spotted it

Please do not dismiss this as a crank letter because I am not the type of person to just imagine what I saw. I am a 59 year old male and I do not frequent pubs or drink alcohol other than the occasional glass of wine with a meal or the odd glass of beer. I had not had any alcohol whatsoever and neither had my wife. We have been married for 35 years and have three adult children and a grandchild. We are just ordinary people who have lived in the same house for over 30 years and never before have we seen anything like this aircraft.

I would appreciate your looking into this for me and would be very grateful if you could give me an explanation of what you suspect this aircraft could have been. It was not completely circular but more like a circle than any other shape and the lights were pulsating different colours. I was not dreaming as I had only just gone upstairs to go to bed and my wife and I were both very much wide awake.

Once again I would ask you not to dismiss this as some kind of prank or something that is coming from someone who believes in little green men or such like nonsense. I would very much appreciate your response at your earliest convenience because I am totally perplexed about this.

Yours faithfully Section 40





14 July 2004

Airstaff 2A Ministry of Defence Old War Office Whitehall London SW1A 2EU

**Dear Sirs** 

I am writing to express my concern regarding an aircraft that was seen at approximately 1.00 am Tuesday 13 July 2004. The aircraft was clearly seen by my wife and myself from our bedroom window and it was not something that I have ever seen before. It most certainly was not an aeroplane or helicopter.

It was extremely large with pulsating lights and there was no sound whatsoever. We often have planes and helicopters flying overhead but this was something very different. I am not a believer in UFO's or alien beings and I do not believe in ghosts or things that go bump in the night. My wife and myself had an extremely good view because our bedroom is at the back of our house and is a loft conversion that has large windows. My wife and I fully expected to hear something about this on the news but in fact no one else appears to have spotted it.

Please do not dismiss this as a crank letter because I am not the type of person to just imagine what I saw. I am a 59 year old male and I do not frequent pubs or drink alcohol other than the occasional glass of wine with a meal or the odd glass of beer. I had not had any alcohol whatsoever and neither had my wife. We have been married for 35 years and have three adult children and a grandchild. We are just ordinary people who have lived in the same house for over 30 years and never before have we seen anything like this aircraft.

I would appreciate your looking into this for me and would be very grateful if you could give me an explanation of what you suspect this aircraft could have been. It was not completely circular but more like a circle than any other shape and the lights were pulsating different colours. I was not dreaming as I had only just gone upstairs to go to bed and my wife and I were both very much wide awake.

Once again I would ask you not to dismiss this as some kind of prank or something that is coming from someone who believes in little green men or such like nonsense. I would very much appreciate your response at your earliest convenience because I am totally perplexed about this.





Section 40


SONTTO CAS BY MISTAKE

Room 230 Ministry of Defence, Old War Office Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2EU

Tel Section 4

AIRSTAFF 2 A ,
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE,
OLD WAR OFFICE,
WHITEHALL,
LONDON,
SWIAZEU.


(Koep ringing-no-one arswers).



# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	22 May 2004 23.50L			
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Two objects were both an orange colour and were disc shaped. They looked like aircraft without wings.  Were very silent.			
	Exact position of observer.	Not given			
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)				
* *					
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given			
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.	Not given			
	(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)				
6.	Approximate distance.	Under a thousand feet up in the sky.			
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Objects glided. The objects moved at 80 degrees. Both did a flypast for about 20 seconds.			
8.	Weather conditions during	Was a clear night.			
Ο.	observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	rrus a cicar ingin.			

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	W W
		pr.
	1.0	, ,
1	##	*
-	9	8
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
10.	of informant.	Section 40
*	8	
		Section 40
38 11 38		
	*	
11.	Other witnesses.	His girlfriend.
		a a
		e 
12.	Remarks.	The objects were very exceptional.
S		Wondered if they could be satellites or
		satellite debris?
	4	
		and the second s
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 May 2004
		15.30L
P #		
ric .		e a n
		R III



#### Note of a Press enquiry

D News RAF called at 11.30 on 20<sup>th</sup> July 2004 to inform us that a local Newbury newspaper had called about reports of a UFO sighting in the Hungerford / Newbury area, near a US Depot at Wellford.

The UFO was seen at 22.20 on the 19<sup>th</sup> July 2004 and consisted of a ball of flames with a solid bit underneath. The witness said the flames went out, the object changed direction, the flames came back on and it plummeted to earth.

Thames Valley Police launched their helicopter, but they could not see anything unusual. Nothing has been found on the ground.

I informed D News RAF that we had received no other reports, although our UFO answerphone is not in operation at present, so we may receive reports at a later date.



20th July 2004



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Greenfield
Oldham
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 25 June 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 21 June 2004, the details of which you passed to Air Traffic Control Manchester ACC. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 21 June 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by any unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40



#### REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

- a. Date/Time And Duration Of Sighting (Local times to be quoted)
  21604 1755 10 mins DURATION
- b. Description Of Object (Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc).

  LONG, BLACK, CIGAR SHAPE
- C. Exact Position Observed (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving).

  OUER GREENFIEDD, OLDHAM . INCIALLY INDOORS THEN COTDOORS
- d. How Observed (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie camera).

  NAKED EYE
- e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing).
- f. Angle Of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable).

  APPROX 45
- g. Distance (By reference to a known landmark wherever possible).

# 1/2 TO 1 MILE

h. Movements (Changes in E, F, G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).

# SLOW, TOWARDS NORTH EAST

- i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc.)

  LARGE, WHITE CLOUD FORMATIONS
- j. Nearby Objects (Telephone lines, Spires Etc.)

#### NONE

k. To Whom Reported (Police, military organisations, the press etc).

# ATC, MANCHESTER ACC

Manform 005

Issue 3

Page 1 of 2

Name And Address Of Informant Section 40

Section 40

GREENFIELD, OLDHAM, Section 40

Any Background On The Informant That May Be Volunteered

NONE

Other Witnesses

FRIEND . PARENTS INFORMAUTS

o. Date And Time Of Receipt

21/6/03 2000

The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATCC on Section 40

The completed form is to be despatched to:

Ministry Of Defence Sec (AS) 2A Room 8245 RAF Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

Manform 005

Issue 3

Page 2 of 2 INININA



From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Coventry
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

23 June 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 4 June 2004, the details of which you passed to RAF Brize Norton. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 4 June 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely



MOD FORM 953  Low Flying	Page 1 of 4
From: CCO RAF Brize Norton [cco.rafbzn@virgin.net] Sent: 22 June 2004 12:44  To: lowflying@defence.mod.uk  Subject: MOD FORM 953_2	Section 40
Unit Ref: BZN/392/1/PR	MOD FORM 953 (Revised 4/99)
MILITARY AIRCRAFT PUBLIC COMPLAIN	
SECTION 1: ACTION AUTHORITIES	
Action Info Action In  Unit	Action Info  Comd Sec HQ RAF HQ PTC Land
MOD DAS(LA) C&E	D/C+L(F+S) Other Claims 3
N9 (Sec2)SØ2	RAF HQ STC Specify
FOR MOD USE ONLY.	
OS Grid Ref: SP2978 Serial No:	04/6/443 File Ref: 69/8/19 E 36
LFA: Previous Com	nplaint No. of Complaints to date:
NS: / Serial No:	File Ref:
SECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT	SECTION 4: DETAILS OF INCIDENT
Title:	Date: 4 Jun 04
Surname:	Time: 2107 hrs
Forename(s):	How many Aircraft involved: 1UFO
CORRECTED ADDRESS Section 40	
	Jet Prop Hel Light A/C
Coventry Section 40 Town/City:	Other (Specify): UFO
County: 22/06/2004	Markings:

MOD FORM 953				Page 2 of 4
Postcode:	Grey	Camoufl- aged	Red/ White	Black/Yellow
Telephone Section 40 No.	Other (Specify	<b>/</b> ):		
Section 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT	,			
x Address at Section 2,	Height			
	Lo		edium	High
	Estimation in	Feet:		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Direction: Inside MATZ:			
Town/City:	mside WATZ.		x	No
County:	If Yes which N	//ATZ:		
Postcode:				

**NOW TURN OVER** 

MOD FORM 953

Page 3 of 4

SECTION 5: PARTICULAR OF COMPLAINT (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)				
Section 40 reported an unidentified flying object, flying below cloud level heading from Birmingham to Coventry. The object was black and silver, and of cylindrical shape.				
She reports that 7 people attending a barbeque	e also saw the UFO	t		
			22	
d .				
180				
SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT)	SECTION 7: UNIT RESPONSE			
CECTION O. CEAMIO (BO NOT I NOMI I)				
Has the incident given rise to any injury to persons and/or livestock or damage to property which will result in a claim for compensation being submitted to the Ministry of Defence?		YES	NO	
Yes x No	Return Telephone Call	x	19	
If Yes, give details and copy form to D/C+L(F+S) Claims 3.	Full written response sent (attach copy)	x		
	Low flying leaflet sent		x	
	Written acknowledgement only (attach copy)		x	
est.	Visit arranged		x	
	Specify			
8	Requires attention of		5 #	
	*			
SECTION 8: DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL RECEIVING COMPLAINT		8	ġ	
12120 hrs				
Date Complaint received: 4 Jun 04			is S	
Signature I Correspondence to CCO Ext Section 40				

22/06/2004

MOD FORM 953

Page 4 of 4

Name:	
Rank:	
Unit:	RAF Brize Norton
Tel. No.	Ext Section 40

### **IMPORTANT REMINDER**

ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAI J5002 OF 1999

THE COMPLETED FORM IS TO BE FORWARDED TO THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES AS LISTED AT SECTION 1 WITHIN 5 DAYS

22/06/2004

#### Low Flying

CCO RAF Brize Norton [cco.rafbzn@virgin.net]

22 June 2004 12:41

To: lowflying@defence.mod.uk

Subject: MOD REFER AIRCRAFT

× **Royal Air Force Brize Norton** Carterton Oxon OX18 3LX

Telephone:

Section 40

Military Network: Section 40

Fax:

Section 40

Section 40

Reference:

BZN/392/1/PR

Coventry ection 40

Date: 22 June 2004

I am writing to acknowledge your recent telephone message and I very much regret to hear that you have had cause to complain about the disturbance by a low flying unidentified craft.

I note your particular concerns about this incident and I have therefore forwarded the details you provided to the Ministry of Defence department that monitors low flying activity, who will consider what action should be taken in this case. For your information their full address is:

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff Complaints & Enquiries Unit Room 6/64 Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue London WC2N 5BP



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



# **MINISTRY OF DEFENCE**

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Brackla
Bridgend
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2
Date:

18 June 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 14 June 2004, the details of which you passed to DARA St Athan Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 14 June 2004 and that was in Northants. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely



# REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

#### A. Date, Time and duration of sighting (Local Time).

14 June 04 at 2315 (L)

### B. Description of object.

One object about 70ft long. Seen in silhouette with very brilliant white lights. No sound at all. The object changed shape between being initially sighted to when sight was lost. See picture attached.

# C. Exact position of observer.

Brackla, Bridgend on the Section 40 (Built over old MOD / Local Authority bunker).

### D. How observed.

Eyes.

#### E. Direction in which object was first seen.

To the north of observers position, looking towards the M4 and Brackla Radio Mast.

#### F. Angle of sight.

45 degrees from the horizon.

#### G. Distance.

Approx 1-2 mile form observer's position.

#### H. Movement.


During the observation period the shape of the object changed and then it disappeared at high speed to the south.

#### I. Meteorological conditions during observation.

Clear sky, No haze. Sky had a slight green hue to it.

#### J. Nearby Objects.


Brackla Radio Mast. Overhead the old Brackla MOD / Local authority Bunker.



K. To whom reported?

Cpl Section 40
Air Traffic Control
DARA St Athan
Section 40

L. Name and address of informant.



M. Any background information.

Nil

N. Any other witnesses.

Nil


O. Date and time of receipt of report.

15 June 04 0915 (L)

P. Reply requested

Yes

18. JUN. 2004 11:56 NO. 9036



15-TUN-OK.



From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Hamilton
Lanarkshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

9 June 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 2 June 2004, the details of which you passed to National Air Traffic Services in Glasgow. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I understand that you believed the object might have been a Harrier aircraft. I can confirm that there were no Harriers flying at low level (below 2000ft) in your area at the time of your sighting. We received only one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 2 June 2004 and that was in Middlesex. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft on either of these occasions.

Yours sincerely Section 40



FORM/ATC/086

# Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports

Date	
Duto	Wednesday 2 <sup>nd</sup> June, 2004
Time	0035 Local
Sighting Duration	Approx. 30 secs
Description of Object	One object, with a bright, white flashing light. It looked like a Harrier Jet and was making engine noises.
Exact Position of Observer	Observed form the window of his apartment within a block of flats. The observer was stationary.
How Object was Observed	Naked eye
Direction in which Object was First Seen	North Easterly from the block of flats
Angular Elevation of Object	Not known
Distance of Object from Observer	Approx. 400 yards
Movement of Object	The object was in the hover, before it lifted and then climbed diagonally and to the right. The object then shot away very quickly.
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	Clear skies
Nearby Objects	3 other flats were close by, as the observer lives in a complex consisting of 4 flats.
To Whom Reported	NATS Glasgow Section 4(1DWM)
Name of Informant	Section 40 Tel Section 40
Address of Informant	Section 40 HAMILTON Lanarkshire Section 40
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	Informant was plying his playstation when he made the observation.
Other Witnesses	NONE

FORM/ATC/086

Version 2.0

29/7/03

Page 2 of 3



FORM/ATC/086

2.

Wednesday June, 2004  0050 Local		
Complete report of UFO with as many details as possible and send to MoD Sec (AS).		
Telephone details immediately to AIS (Military), LATCC(Mil), either direct or via D & D.		
	O050 Local  Actions as many details as possible and send to	

FORM/ATC/086

Version 2.0

29/7/03

Page 3 of 3



FORM/ATC/086

# UFO REPORTS

Includes addressed envelopes to:-

MoD AFO - SEC (AS)

FORM/ATC/086

Version 2.0

29/7/03

Page 1 of 3

# ACTIONSO BY SAC Section 08/06/04 1036 \$ .

#### TRACES

To:

Low Flying Booking Cell, LATCC, RAF West Drayton Facsimiler Section 40



I would be grateful if you could trace the following aircraft:

No	LFA	DATE	TIME (Z)	TYPE	1
		16104	2335	Rommy Fixed Winks	NIL
2	2B	21604	2335	POTTARY FIXED WING	NIC
3					
4					
5					
<u></u>					
7					
R					
9				·	
10					El
11			d		
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					<b>8</b>
17				1	
18		307 307			

Section 40

Directorate of Air St Staff Metropole Bldg

Section 40
Faction 40

FROM St:II 08-JUN-2004



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

West Kilbride Ayrshire Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

7 June 2004

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of your reported sightings, dated 3 June 2004.

I can assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force.

This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft). From that perspective, reports provided to us of 'UFO' sightings are examined, but consultation with air defence staff and others as necessary is considered only where there is sufficient evidence to suggest a breach of UK air space.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm for the dates 2 April, 17<sup>th</sup>, 19<sup>th</sup> and 30 May, we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings. On the 25<sup>th</sup> May, there was one other sighting, in Devon. We are satisfied there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft on any occasion.

Your letter will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

# Section 40

Hest Kilbricle Ayrestine Section 40 3 06/04

Ref D DAS 642

monstry of Defence Section 40

Received Letter 25" 05/04, 1 know you say 16 Jellow Strees Reported are to you not a threat

But he Been Toking Note It ones Duty to Do So, and Since may 10th, pactically every night, if Skies are clear to See thy one Hore IT, too cold to Stay ow No more Hon 15 minutes he Toten times notes

Sometimes one is date as 12.30 Pulley my cor in Surage April 2 So 10 H may 2 spheres, 18th 2 spheres each By Passed each other afferred to Be a 7007 ofent Comunicated with a Hast white light one went on, other Stofferd few Seconds x Regit above my Head frew Bryter « Stajed l'are for ages, I was apaid to move, unless seen as he welnessed what these Sphere can Do. Thy can Drop Hunched of Housand miles in one Tung Down.

10 Sat Mere, and eventually went Westically up Slowly toll
15 Lyil Sot Facular Faculty into Space.

you wouldn't know to use a UFO Sloffeel

I feel Twatched? I would not say thy one Not a Threat, "Their is Oute a Shartige c Area eljele Faslone Huntinston Nacheur Power Station 17 May, 15/here I only Slaged ou 5 minutes 19th may very Lorge Bry 164 Sphere" Lower is your Air Space Sony South. very last. 11.20 PM. 25# may 1 Sthere 30H may 5 store, I Had an Hr earlier as I come on my con 4 turned up a one, Between 12-15 PM = 12.23 PM. I come in because I don't won't to dinger So thy me Here all the Time Purposely going who ever couldy N/E, or N/S.

April from to one That Stepped a Deal Sometry Deffect.

I took my Doughter ow to See 16m from ST Andrews & SHR was
too frythred to dook up. You'd Home to ank perfect of by Belowe
in Bergs from Elsewber? I think, for leafer to Honder Ibne Stere.

Thy make me uneary because he Seen a craft 30-40 ft is bought

15 ft Hayl. Probably Bugger That hy Though dike Libre Office xman trees.

Marsine. I honderd. In I saw Therences frees one Afferred a
be Though dearn London Awesome. I feel brinky calm after the
like as if UFO. So what. I know by one not from Here. I could
see as ty who if you sere Solved and to Succeed. They are Definited
The Bey Detected By Rador is your lover Act Shace. That huth

Hack Sod you State in my Last better from Section 40 you 100 Hare no per mond. Because I would dismin l'on as No theo, They are too Supercor manive - 16 S/ Une do exceptamely Infosible feat, with ty Decide to are close, 46 one 7 5 my son walted, as I look of Decyonally at a tremendous speed Electic Shorks The around 5-6= as 5 look of, 41.T was to Fan' ) know ty were there after Henry to cours Bellow The Slove Try lines the devey Day Lyll ow of me my Son. 1 feet il Rather monacey. He dayer UFO. were more Anyelic dookey as thy Let up. my Hands were Paire white, but 16 Ly11. Yet I cowed Tust Out Tudy te Deslince. But I sort of Judge to Sajo from when I Stood. To like you hall I Das know by Nobody Elac Réparteu Mose two larger UFO. 2le Remember 76m all my Life You could never layer anyty so Proposed Ausone Jet Blantiful Thre are a Lot about I feal to world is in South a men By brong clocies. I Itik ty maybe a threat. Hy me now Hear for Noty. The Exist. Chybody con See the stores of the Stand when I stand. My Seem to Saller anound Hoe a Lot, Thy in Shay 11 lines, No Docy Acrobatu Stints. I'm hal too sure up he Seen 10n close up. The nothy to Lose or Sain or Power Tector. No need to lunct Back, I Das the see the onyty Here's to Report

under me is color away will them HA. I continued them.

But I holiced, 16 very daze me will the Turnets. contacted of hom Bellind with a Glash. A Blue white Ly 11. That all I know. I feel they won't There bresence known.


Thankyou for lotey line to Read my Refats. I Believe feafer may see I'm too, But Das Repat, or Just Refat to Researches

Perfee are more Afraid of the Unknown. I Hope My are Benertes?

Your Sincrety

Section 40





No.


Directorate of Air Steff. 3A.

ministy of Defence

Room 6/73 Metrohole Building

Northumberland Ave

LONDON WC. 2N 3BP.





# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	1 June 2004 24.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour,	The object was a bright light and it was flashing. Was making sort of engine noises.
	brightness, noise.)	masning. was making soft of engine noises.
	15 25 27 27 28	and the second s
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	36 N
4 3		
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Flew over a block of flats in Hamilton.
6.	Approximate distance.	The object was 600yds away.
7.	Movements and speed.	It shot away very quickly.
	(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	it shot away very quickly.
*		
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Clear skies.

9.	To whom reported.	Glasgow Airport Air Traffic Control.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
		*
10.	Name address and talanhana no	Section 40 2
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Hamilton
		Scotland
	# <sup>37</sup>	
		a p
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
/a =	49 89 <sup>20</sup>	
	a a a	
12.	Remarks.	The object was there for only about 30
98		seconds.
31		Q (8)
a		
,	and an	
		er e
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 June 2004
		14.30L
		w
	· ·	*



From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Hayes Middlesex Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

3 June 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 2 June 2004, the details of which you passed to me on the telephone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 2 June 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	2 June 2004
	(Duration of sighting.)	01.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Object, looked like a comet at first, was quite bright and lit up even more when it got bigger.
3.		
a (1)		
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location.  (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Was flying over Hayes.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Going quite fast.
	N as	
es I		
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given
*	(Cloudy, Haze, Hilst, Clear)	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
=	*	

9.	To whom reported.	Was transferred to Section plane, and I
	(Police, military, press etc)	took the report.
	A <sub>rest</sub>	is a second of the second of t
×.		**
		·
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
	,	Hayes
		Middlesex
	* 5	Section 40
	¥	
	The second of the second second	
		**************************************
	ė	*
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
		201
	W W A	*
E	ार सुरु के	95
12.	Remarks.	Rang up Heathrow Airport, to enquire if
12.	Kemai ks.	there were any planes flying at that time of
		the morning.
	na pana <sup>ea</sup> a na pa	
14	4	9
10	D-4	2 I 2004
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 June 2004 18.00L
	× (3)	10,001
	9	ii iii
		w w

Library and the second of the



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Torquay
Devon
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 3 June 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 25 May 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 25 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	25 May 2004 17.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Long single, black cylinder type object about 200ft long.
*		
7.0		
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location.  (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
. i		
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Flying easterly over Paignton, Devon.
6.	Approximate distance.	Ten thousand feet up in the sky.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given
V		5 <sup>2</sup>

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
3	26 26 30	Torquay Devon
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
8		
12.	Remarks.	Was last seen drifting 20ft up in the air. Wondered if it could be an airship that went missing that day.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 June 2004 14.30L
61 =		

# over but there is slusy, no amount or A maditi

REPORT of an UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

a.	Date/Time	and	<b>Duration</b>	of Sighting(	Local	times	to	be quoted)	)
----	-----------	-----	-----------------	--------------	-------	-------	----	------------	---

20.5.2004 1115 HIS 3-4 MINS

b. Description of Object (No. of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc)

OBJECT, COLOUR-WHITE, "CHEWY TINT' SHAPED

SOLIO CAMT, NO WINDOWS, NO WHAT NO THIL FIN.

Exact Position Observed. (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving)

RAILWAY STREET, NELSON, LANCS

IN CAR - DRIVING UP STEEP HILL

How Observed (Naked eye, Binoculars other optical device, still or movie camera)

NAKEO EYE

( MOTORRAPH TAKEN ON MOBILE PHONE)

Direction in which Object was first seen ( A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing)

TOWARDS THE EAST

Angle of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable)

30° (ESTIMATION 10000')

Distance (By reference to a known Landmark if possible)

3 NM

Movements (Changes in E,F,G may be of more use)

Z' shoped movement was observed and then

disappeared into doud. Meteorological Conditions During Observations. (Moving clouds Mist etc.)

BLUE SKY WITH LIGHT CUTHENS

Nearby Objects (Telephone lines Spires Etc.)

None REPORTED

k. To Whom Reported (Police, Military Organisations, the Press)

MANCUETTER ATC

MAN/FORM/005

Forms Des. UFO/005

Issue 3

Page 1 of 2 18/09/96

Name and Address ofInformant\,

post. postcode.

Section 40

NELSON, LANCS.

m. Any Background on the Informant that may be Volunteered.

NOTHING RELEVANT

Other Witnesses

NONE

Date and Time of Receipt

20-5-2004 1140

The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATTC on Section 40

ection 40

The completed form is to be despatched to:

**Ministry of Defence** 

Sec (AS) 2A

Room 8245

**RAF Main Building** 

Whitehall

LON DON SW1A 2HB

MAN/FORM/005 C

Issue 3

Page 2 of 2 18/09/96



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, **London WC2N 3BP**

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140

Section 40

Scarborough North Yorkshire Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 27 May 2004

Dear Section 40

I have just received the letter and photographs that you sent to RAF Fylingdales regarding 'UFOs', that you observed in Sri Lanka on 27 March 2004.

It may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Defence of Sri Lankan airspace is clearly a matter for the Sri Lankan Government and you may wish to pursue your enquiries with them.

I am sorry I can not be more helpful.



Yours sincerely

Section 40







# Royal Air Force Fylingdales Pickering, North Yorkshire YO18 7NT







Directorate of Air Staff
Operations & Policy 1
Rm 6/73 Metropole Building
Northumberland Ave
LONDON
WC21 5BP

FYD/1816/1/OPS

12 May 04

#### **UNUSUAL ATMOSPHERIC OCCURRENCE**

- 1. Please find enclosed a letter and a couple of photographs I recently received regarding an unusual atmospheric occurrence observed over Sri Lanka on 27 Mar 04. As RAF Fylingdales routinely forwards sightings of UFOs to your office I am also forwarding this to you. RAF Fylingdales recorded no satellite re-entries or unusual phenomena on the date in question.
- 2. I have sent a standard reply to Section 40 a copy of which is also enclosed.



#### Section 40

Flt Lt For OC

#### **Enclosures:**

- 1. Letter from Section 40 dated 26 Apr 04 and accompanying photographs.
- 2. Letter from RAF Fylingdales dated 12 May 04.



Section 40
Scarborough
N'Yorkshire
Section 40
26-04-04

#### Unusual Atmospheric Occurrence

The Officer Commanding, Royal Air Force Station, Fylingdales.

Dear Sir

For many years I was employed by the Ministry Of Defence at R A F Fylingdales as an employee of the A F D F S, my records will be held by the appropriate office. You will have seen by now the two photos enclosed, they are of an incident I observed whilst on holiday in SRI LANKA on the 27'th of MARCH this year, they may or may not be of any significance to your stations working, though may be of some significance to The M O D. You must admit they are unusual.

My notes on the incident are,

On Saturday the 27'th March 2004, whilst on holiday in Sri lanka, at a small place called HABARANA, I noticed a partial aura in the sky, a minuet or so later there was a clap of thunder, then a short while later a ring like a doughnut appeared the ring was orange in colour with a white/cream finger pushed through, the head of the column glowed an orange colour, behind the doughnut, was a second cloud of colour, and a further ring of orange. The Time This occurrence happened was 17-30 hrs approx SRI LANKAN time. The only way I can describe the sighting is that of an atomic or other type of nuclear explosion, the cloud from which did not rise in the sky, but headed from the high atmosphere towards the earth. Whatever the outcome of this letter, I shall remain convinced that it was an air Burst of some kind or other.

I am fully aware that you cannot reply to my letter due to the nature of your service restrictions, what I am not sure of, is what the outcome will be.

To,
B M E W S
Station Commander
Royal Air force
Fylingdales
Pickering
North York's





# Royal Air Force Fylingdales Pickering, North Yorkshire YO18 7NT









FYD/1816/1/OPS

12 May 04

## **UNUSUAL ATMOSPHERIC OCCURRENCE**

- 1. Thank you for your recent letter and photographs reporting the sighting of an unusual atmospheric occurrence over Sri Lanka. Both this letter and the photographs have been forwarded to an office within the Ministry of Defence that deals with similar sightings and reports. The task of this office is to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance. It is also possible that there may be a natural explanation for this phenomenon.
- 2. I can assure you that your report has not been ignored and will be subject to further investigation by the Ministry of Defence. Once again, thank you for your letter and photographs and also for your interest.



Section 40 Flt Lt For OC





From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

West Kilbride Ayrshire Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

25 May 2004

Dear Section 40


Thank you for your letter of your reported sightings.


You will be aware from our previous correspondence that the MOD examines UFO reports, sent to us, solely to establish whether they present any evidence of a breach of UK airspace by unauthorised aircraft.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm for the dates 15<sup>th</sup>/16<sup>th</sup> April 2004, we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings. On the 10<sup>th</sup> May 2004, there was one other sighting, in Cumbria. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Your letter will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely





© Judges Postcards Ltd., Hastings, England P

Ref. D/DAS/64/2

West Kilbrice Hypoline

Jele Section 40

In August 2003 1 Reforbie Yellow Stheres and one Tumped Hundrers Housands of Mules Down in one so willout as much a Blink of to eye Some my Sin ) fred Anxiety. Later of 2 very Large UFO Close one must Have Been a Destina 7 Saw J 30-40 fl day, 15 fl Heyl. Snow white or of the Lebre totale to tel det up in a Straight dens from le Front and Reflection, Buck, was hed frey well wendens I cul out. 16 otte Libre efter freen well styll yeller Centis Saucer Stroke that Burst though a fient of Passenger Clane, You Sove a Tell. Hoalen Down Storely to Lond or welviersed to Back of Torber Hill So 7 Les Track of it.

well I said I would tele you of the yellow Sphere, Headed North East, would Return. Well they are

Still live. But not as many as in August 1 Seft. But it Been Too cold - Too cloudy to keep watch Hy Hy quite Fast Soil of Sly Cendeleum more Stur Size. But one knows How How Host ly con come down. But on Africe 15th 10 20 PM, withered one. 11 16th 10 40 10.55 welnessed two 10 11 may 1 Sthre 10.47 - 04 Beller weater a clear stress probably more it low west to sly more l'in 15 monales or so, tou much cloud. However I am also coneasy to Sty Longer, as 9 wrote the belief Libre of the one. Affeired bettered my Back. Husbed me te Tum amound. 3 Times of Let up and out. IT was Awesome to See. But 1 felt tremendous colm, lite a a UFO. So what? Bu has quite three for a few Days I thik it More polound Ten 1 Realise who I Have welmossed At breen Lebre ofthe Succer his an Astonishy entry wolbled 16n det up. Very Angelee dooking, of way O' Hoaled Down. He sthere are The for all to see. I can found tom out

To farthe like I But will my Son. How stires as

See Ball' looky who close, Soon Bigger to be of

Diagnally as a Tremendous steed Of Thre

are Stark dine anound to one we saw before

if look off. I as I said Hayl is to sky they

actually Hash lead other if thy end up on Sne Poff

hite a Tool Hosh, while digit.

I dan know if they ever went away, all I Know By to end of Soft alm mors was close l'oro were a had more sometime 3 lumes ish at once, some not as Hylas ottos. The sthes are Still The as Better weather Cones, Ill Be able to withers more In Trutt I'm not loo Huffy to desger and not Sure of l'or agenda a ala major usule It to unknown the motes one uneasy. Everythy is True Here Wonesty Telly Futt. Thy one Swaled craft. Yours Sourcely Section 40

Section 40



Ministry of Referee

Room 6/73 hetropole Building

Northumberland Are.

London WC 2N 5BP.



Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Skipton N. Yorks Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

26 May 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 20 May 2004, the details of which you reported to Manchester Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 May 2004, one in Lancashire and the other in Surrey. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft, on any of these occasions.

Yours sincerely

#### REPORT of an UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

a. Date/Time and Duration of Sighting( Local times to be quoted )

20.5.2004 1330 30 secs.

b. Description of Object (No. of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc)

LIGHTISH, TRANSPARENT RING'

DEFINITELY NOT AN AIRCRAFT'

c. Exact Position Observed. (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving)

SKIPTON, OLD BAILEY

d How Observed (Naked eye, Binoculars other optical device, still or movie camera)

e Direction in which Object was first seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing)

THE EAST

f Angle of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable)

'NOT HIGH' BUT 'DIFFICULT TO JUDGE'

g Distance (By reference to a known Landmark if possible)

4-5 Nm

h Movements (Changes in E,F,G may be of more use)

NO MOVEMENT - JUST DISAPPEARED

i Meteorological Conditions During Observations. (Moving clouds Mist etc.)

SKY LIGHT CUTULUS CLOUD.

j Nearby Objects (Telephone lines Spires Etc.)

OPEN COUNTRY

k. To Whom Reported (Police, Military Organisations, the Press)

MANCHEMER ATC

MAN/FORM/005
Forms Des. UEO/005 A

Issue 3

Page 1 of 2 18/09/96 Name and Address of Inform Section 40

Section 40

SKIPTON, N.YORKS.

Section 40

Section 40

m. Any Background on the Informant that may be Volunteered.

NONE

Other Witnesses

NONE

Date and Time of Receipt

1350 20-5-2004

The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATTC on Section 40

Section 40

The completed form is to be despatched to:

**Ministry of Defence** 

Sec (AS) 2A

Room 8245

**RAF Main Building** 

Whitehall

LON DON SW1A 2HB


MAN/FORM/005 C

Issue 3

Page 2 of 2 18/09/96



Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Guiseley Leeds West Yorkshire Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 18 May 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 14 May 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 14 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

#### REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	14 May 2004 03.10L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Was a very bright light. Saw strobe lights near the bright light.
21		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Indoors, looking through lounge window.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
		n .
		,
i i		2
*	8	
	e t	и
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
		Guiseley
8		Leeds
		*
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	* · · ·	West Yorkshire
		Section 40
	talamen er man a "a anatal ta	a autodiji u e i i u i
11.	Other witnesses.	His girlfriend.
		*
	a .	
	3 * 1 .	at g a s
12.	Remarks.	Rang Heathrow, said something about it
12.	Tellian is.	not being a 24 hour airport?!
	ê	not being a 24 nour amport!!
		C14 4 1- T 4 - 4 - 4
	,	Could it be Terrorist activity?
	**	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	17 May 2004
	<del>-</del>	16.45L
8	*	
		, n
a	e e	
L		



Piroctorete of Air Ot 55 (0)

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Storth
Nr Milnthorpe
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 14 May 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 10 May 2004, the details of which you reported to the Cumbrian Police Contabulary. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 10 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely







1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	10 HAY 2004 2245-0045
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	BRICHT START. SPORE EFFECT MOVES ARROUND LIKE A KITE DISAFFEARS OVER
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	FROM LOUNCE IN HOUSE AT HOME ADDRESS
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	MARTED ETE 9 16×50 BINDCHLARS.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing)	LANCIDALE PIKES
б.	Approximate distance.	AOKLES! HANCEDANE PIKES
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	WP & DOWN LIKE A KITE,
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	CLEAT

1

7	(Police, military, press etc)	Section 40
		9557 TC CTO (cre-T).
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		STORTH NOT HILNITHORPE
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 Section 40
12.	Remarks.	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	1430 11412004

2

TOTAL P.03

DE = TRANSMISSION

START=07-MAY 10:46

END=07-MAY 10:56

STN NO.

COM

ABBR NO.

STATION NAME/TEL.NO.

PAGES DURATION

001

Section 40

-DIRECTORATE AIR STAFF

00:01'00"

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Section 40

– \*\*\*\*\*

003

Section 40

жжжжжжж

ection 40

in Cumbria please call about a UPO.



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat) 3
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue,
LONDON WC2N 5BP

Telephone: (Direct dial) Section 40

(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000

(Fax) Section 40

CHOts address: DAS-Sec3

E-Mail: das-sec3@defence.mod.uk

#### **FAX MESSAGE**

TO:

Section 40

SUBJECT: UFO Form

DATE: 7 May 2004

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER: 3

Section 40

Here is the UFO form as obscursed. Please feel free to keep a blank copy just in case you get any other UFO reports. You can fax these to me on the complaints fax number.



From:

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue,

**London WC2N 3BP** 

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 9000

Section 40

Chederton Staffordshire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

12 May 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 29 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 29 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely





#### **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	29 April 2004 22.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	She saw four bright lights, absolutely unbelievable. The outer circle of the round object, had eight lights going round in a clockwise direction and inner circle of lights, didn't say how many, going round in an anti-clockwise direction.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
п		
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Flying just outside Derby, for 20 minutes.
6.	Approximate distance.	A couple of miles up in the air.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
, ,		
8.	Weather conditions during observation.	Not given
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	a e a

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
16 18 15 89		
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
	*	Chederton
	a .	Staffordshire
		Section 40
l e e	a sama a sama sa sasahi si aya a a a a a .	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
12.	Remarks.	Was it a military plane?
	v	
	* *	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 May 2004
	e e e	15.30L
	g d a gar 4	
<u></u>		
a	, e	ti e
		·



Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000

Section 40

Ealing London

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

12 May 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 25 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 25 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely



#### REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	25 April 2004 08.50L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	The object was a rectangle shape with a white light.
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
	3	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Moved from right to left, then dissapeared over Primrose Hill.
6.	Approximate distance.	About a mile away and 500ft up in the sky.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
6		
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
9	(Police, military, press etc)	
	40	
8		
10		Section 40
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	Falina
		Ealing
		London Section 40
6	*	
2		
11.	Other witnesses.	His son.
		1
, e	(M) 4. (i)	* *
15		
12.	Remarks.	At first, Section 40 thought it was a
		helicopter.
12	Data and time of marint	10 May 2004
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 May 2004 15.45L
		13.73L
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
L		<u> </u>



Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

West Winch Kings Lynn Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

11 May 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 5 May 2004, the details of which were passed to us from RAF Marham. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 5 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely



DAS-INTL-1

#### UNCLASSIFIED

Action Precedence:

ROUTINE

DTG:

052340ZMAY04

From:

**RAF MARHAM** 

SIC:

JDA; I3F

Subject:

**INFO** 

To:

**MODUK AIR** 

SUBJECT:

SUBJ: 052340Z APR 04 MRM 0001 MSG ID

MODUK (AIR) FOR SEC(AS)DA. SUBJECT UFO REPORT

SERIAL 1 - 052310L MAY 04, SERIAL 2 - BRIGHT-PULSING-SPIDERISH,

SERIAL 3 - OUTDOORS, STATIONARY, SERIAL 4 - NAKED EYE, SERIAL 5 - NE,

SERIAL 6 - NIL, SERIAL 7 - CANNOT SAY, SERIAL 8 - STATIONARY,

SERIAL 9 - MINIUM CLOUD COVER, CLEAR NIGHT, SERIAL 10 -

CONSTELLATION OF THE PLOUGH, SERIAL 11 - MILITARY (RAF MARHAM),

WEST WINCH, KINGS

SERIAL 12 Section 40 WEST WIN LYNN Section 40 TEL: Section 40 SERIAL 13 - NIL, SERIAL 14 - NIL,

SERIAL 15 - 052310L MAY 04

**END OF X400 TEXT** 

**END OF X.400 TEXT** 

Time Sent: ACP127 Identifier:

MM Identifier:

060726ZMAY04

RBDBIW 8624 1270727

/DD.acp-plad=RAF MARHAM/DD.acp-ri=RBDBIW/CN=RAF MARHAM/OU2=OUA/OU1=MODMAIN/O=HQ-AMRAD/P=MODUK-AMRAD/A= /C=GB/@3215 040506073215Z

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 1 of 1



Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000

Section 40

Filey
North Yorkshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

27 April 2004

Dear Section 40

Thank you for the reports of the sightings that you witnessed on the 19 April and the 22 April 2004. I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings on these dates from anywhere in the UK.

Your reports will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely



To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to you regarding a report of a (unidentified object) reported

Seen at	( Filey Brig	)
On	( 19 - 4 - 04	)
Time	( 10.10 PM	)
Heading	( East out to the North sea	)

I am writing to you regarding a report of a UFO, there where two objects travelling to giver. And almost touched each other at one point, then an other object just appeared at the side of the first two objects. the object then climbed at an incredible speed, and headed south down the coast.

I thank you in advance for your co-operation in this matter

Yours



To Whom It May Concern:


I am writing to you regarding a report of a (unidentified object) repo	I	am writing to	vou regarding	a report of a	(unidentified	object)	reporte
--	---	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------	---------

Seen at	( Qu	eensoury Bradio	)ra
On	(	22 - 4 - 04	)
Time	(		)
Heading	(		)

I am writing to you regarding a report of a UFO, did you resev any other reports in this area, or in the UK.

I thank you in advance for your co-operation in this matter

Yours



To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to you regarding a report of a (unidentified object) reported

Seen at (Goole East Yorkshire)

22-4-04

On

Time (4.30pm)

Heading (stationary)

I am writing to you regarding a report of a UFO, the object was stationary above Drax power station. The object looked like a boomerang, an aircraft was circling the object.

I thank you in advance for your co-operation in this matter





# From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000

Section 40

Honley West Yorkshire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

20 April 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 14 April 2004, the details of which you reported to the West Yorkshire Police Constabulary. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 14 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

#### REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	14 April 2004 20.27L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Looked like a Jellyfish flying in the sky.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	
	# :	
4.	How object was observed.  (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or	Not given
at Vi	camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

9.	To whom reported.	The object was reported to West Yorkshire
	(Police, military, press etc)	Police and then the message was left on
		the das answerphone, by the police.
	*	
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
		Honley
	, **	West Yorkshire
	2° 0	
		a a
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
		1
		a ,
12.	Remarks.	The informant reckons he might have seen
12		two bright white lights on the side of the
		object.
	e a personal and a second	a di
13.	Date and time of receipt.	19 April 2004
		15.00L
		* * *
		7. 9. 2.



Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Dimchurch Kent Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

15 April 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely



#### **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	9 April 2004 19.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said it was an object.
# <sub>2</sub>	*	
		and the second of the second o
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or	Not given
	camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Object was flying over and going very fast and then dissapeared.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given
n n		

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.		
	(Police, military, press etc)	*		
X3				
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.			
e.	e e	Dimchurch		
		Kent		
		Section 40		
		Tente fores o		
11	0.1			
11.	Other witnesses.	Father.		
		,		
12.	Remarks.	Was it a Military plane?		
e.				
× 1	a a	e .		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	14 April 2004		
		15.00L		
	v a e			



Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

#### **MINISTRY OF DEFENCE**

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Seaforth Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

14 April 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 11 April 2004, the details of which you reported to the Lancashire Constabulary. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 11 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

ection 40

FROM LANCASHIRE CONSTABULARY Section 40 Section 40

Lancashire Constabulary

## SOUTHERN COMMUNICATIONS CENTRE Police Headquarters, Hutton, Preston, PR4 58B

Telephone No Section 40

Fax No. Section 40

#### NOTICE

If you have received this FAX in error, please notify me immediately by telephone and return the original to me by post. You must not keep the original, Lancashire Constabulary will refund the postage.

## IMPORTANT YOU ARE PUT ON NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING:

The contents of this FAX are intended for the use of the person to whom the FAX is addressed. The contents are confidential strictly to that person and, in addition, are privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are strictly prohibited from reading, disseminating, copying or using this PAX or its contents in any way.

### Fax Front Sheet

				100
For	the	Atte	ntion	of:

Section 40

Manchester Airport Air Traffic Control

From : Southern Communications Centre

Extension:

No. of Pages

1 of 1

Date:

11/04/04

### MESSAGE

UFO SIGHTING: Informant:

Section 40

mob no:

Section 40 plus 3 other adults in veh. Approx 2043hrs, inf was driving down Formby dual carriageway heading from Formby >> Southport just going past Woodvale RAF base. They all saw a static UFO, with a cluster of 4 bright white lights in a ring shape on it, in front of clouds. 3 beams of white light shone upwards & then it disappeared. The UFO appeared to be sucked backwards at great speed into the clouds behind it. Inf heard no sound. Other witnesses: Section 40 Ow leg 39052/110404

TOTAL P.01

PAGE 02/02

NATS FPRS MANCHESTER

ection 40

11/0d/500d 53:de

## REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

Date/Time And Duration Of Sighting (Local times to be quoted)

11.04.04 20:43

b. Description Of Object (Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc).

4 BRIGHT LIGHTS

C. Exact Position Observed (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving).

RAF WOODVALE

d. How Observed (Naked eye. binoculars, other optical device, still or movie camera).

NAKED EYE

- e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly catimated bearing).
- f. Angle Of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable).
- g. Distance (By reference to a known landmark wherever possible).
- h. Movements (Changes in E. F. G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).
- i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist atc.)

CLOUDS

j. Nearby Objects (Telephone lines, Spires Etc.)

k. To Whom Reported (Police, military organisations, the press etc).

SEE ATTACHED FOX.

Manform 005

Issue 3

Page 1 of 2
Section 40

Section 40

ATSA 4 MACC. NATI LTD.

PAGE 01/02

NATS FPRS MANCHESTER

Section 40

11/04/5004 53:46

#### REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

a. Date/Time And Duration Of Sighting (Local times to be quoted)

11.04.04 20:43

b. Description Of Object (Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc).

4 BRIGHT LIGHTS

c. Exact Position Observed (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving).

RAF WOODVALE

d. How Observed (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie camera).

NAKED EYE

- e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing).
- f. Angle Of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable).
- g. Distance (By reference to a known landmark wherever possible).
- h. Movements (Changes in E, F, G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).
- i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc.)

CLOUDS

- j. Nearby Objects (Telephone lines, Spires Etc.)
- k. To Whom Reported (Police, military organisations, the press etc).

SEE ATTACHED FAX.

Manform 005

Issue 3

Page 1 of 2 Da Section 40

Section 40

ATSA 4 MACC. NATS LTD.

	Sec. 10. 10.	2.50			The second of the second	
		- 1	Address	~ ~		con all la course en conservant serve 🗀 🗀
1	Namo	000	Addroce	()+	nto	1 CC C MCC /
	иаше	MILL	<b>UUTTE22</b>			/Lwant

- m. Any Background On The Informant That May Be Volunteered
- n. Other Witnesses
- o. Date And Time Of Receipt

Revo e MACC 22:30 /11.04.04

The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATCC on Section 40

The completed form is to be despatched to:

Ministry Of Defence Sec (AS) 2A Room 8245 RAF Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB


Manform 005

Issue 3

Page 2 of 2 Date 18/9/96 11-APR-2004 23:14 FROM LANCASHIRE CONSTABULARY

Section 40

P.01/01



## Lancashire Constabulary

### SOUTHERN COMMUNICATIONS CENTRE Police Headquarters, Hutton, Preston, PR4 5SB

Telephone No. Section 40

Fax No. Section 40

#### NOTICE

If you have received this FAX in error, please notify me immediately by telephone and return the original to me by post. You must not keep the original, Lancashire Constabulary will refund the postage.

#### IMPORTANT YOU ARE PUT ON NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING:

The contents of this FAX are intended for the use of the person to whom the FAX is addressed. The contents are confidential strictly to that person and, in addition, are privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are strictly prohibited from reading, disseminating, copying or using this FAX or its contents in any way.

#### Fax Front Sheet

For the Attention of:

Section 40

Manchester Airport Air Traffic Control

From : Southern Communications Centre

Extension:

No. of Pages

1 of 1

Date:

11/04/04

## **MESSAGE**

Section 40 plus 3 other adults in veh. Approx 2043hrs, inf was driving down Formby dual carriageway heading from Formby >> Southport just going past Woodvale RAF base. They all saw a static UFO, with a cluster of 4 bright white lights in a ring shape on it, in front of clouds. 3 beams of white light shone upwards & then it disappeared. The UFO appeared to be sucked backwards at great speed into the clouds behind it. Inf heard no sound. Other witnesses:

Section 40

TOTAL P.01

39052/110404

National Air Traffic Services Ltd, Control Tower Building, Manchester Airport, Wythenshawe, Manchester M90 2PL





#### WITH COMPLIMENTS



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000

Section 40

Greater Chesterford Essex

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

14 April 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	9 April 2004 17.57L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	60 foot long Symetrical object.
3 5 *		
3.	Exact position of observer.	Outdoors.
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object came from Duxford direction flying into the wind, flying in the direction of Stansted.
6.	Approximate distance.	Object was 3,000ft up in the sky.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
1		
8.	Weather conditions during	Not given
	observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
		* *
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
10.	of informant.	
	of miormant.	Greater Chesterford
		Essex
	9	
		Section 40
		the state of the s
e u	4.4	
120 M		
11.	Other witnesses.	Six people witnessed it.
7 2		
		al al
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 was one of the six.
	*	
20	er en	
." "		
10		12 4 1 2004
13.	Date and time of receipt.	13 April 2004 17.00L
52	a a e	17.00L
L		<u> </u>



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, **London WC2N 3BP**

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000

Section 40

Leighton Buzzard Bedfordshire ection 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference; D/DAS/64/2 Date: 30 March 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 25 March 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 25 March 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely



~

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	25 March 2004 22.10L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Large ball of light, looked like a rocket. No sound generally, but at times, a slight whirring sound.
		NT.4.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
1		o n
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	From North to South over Leighton Buzzard.
6.	Approximate distance.	A thousand feet up in the sky.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Object was falling slightly. The speed was like a helicopter.
::		
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.
illi		

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
2	(Police, military, press etc)	
*	N E	
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Leighton Buzzard
	,	Section 40
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	None given.
	* a	
12.	Remarks.	No more to give.
	#* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
. Y		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	30 March 2004
		10.30L
	2 2	
	*	





#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Edinburgh Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

19 March 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 17 March 2004, the details of which you passed to Scottish Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 17 March 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely



18/03/2004 11:12 FAX Section 40

SCO/F/120

ATC ADMIM

Ø 01

Report of Unidentified Flying Object

<b>a</b> )	Date, Time and Duration of Sighting. 17/3/04 2020 40 7050 Serons
b)	Description of Object FAST MOVING BRIGHT LIGHT.
c)	Exact Position of DUNGAR
d)	How Observed NAKED EYE.
e)	Direction in which Object was First Seen
f)	Angular Elevation of Object HIGH
g)	Distance of Object from Observer NOT KNOWN
h)	Movements of Object SOUTH TO NORTH
j)	Meteorological Conditions During Observations CLEAR.
<b>k</b> )	Nearby Objects NIL
1)	To Whom Reported  Section 40  Section 40  SCOTTISH AIR TRAFFIC CENTRE,  SHERWOOD RORD, PRESTNICK,  Name and Address of Information 40  RORD, PRESTNICK,
m)	Section 40 Section 40 Section 40
n)	Any Background Information on the Informant that may be Volunteered
0)	Other witnesses HER BOYFRIEND.
p)	Date and Time of Receipt of Report  17 3 04 2040
6C0@#30	Issue : 1 30 August 1996





#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000

Section 40

Section 40

Lowestoft Suffolk

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

9 March 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 1 March 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 1 March 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting.	1 March 2004 20.00L
	(Duration of sighting.)	
2.	Description of object.	Yellow light in circular formation. The
	(No of objects, size, shape, colour,	flash shot across the sky and the lights went
ė	brightness, noise.)	out.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Outdoors.
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	* <sub>a</sub>
	stationary/moving.)	
		#. j.
4.	How object was observed.	Not given
	(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or	
	camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was	Object going across Lowestoft, East
25.	first seen.	Anglia.
10	(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed.	Object/lights moving fast up into the sky
	(side to side, up or down,	and across the sky.
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
		E.
		a se a
8.	Weather conditions during	Not given
	observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
	(cloudy, naze, mist, crear)	a a
	(cloudy, maze, mist, crear)	

# REDACTED ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
æ		
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Lowestoft Suffold Section 40
e e		
11.	Other witnesses.	Her children
7.		
11, 61		
12.	Remarks.	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 March 2004 15.20L





2. Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)  3. Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)  4. How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  5. Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  6. Approximate distance.  Not given  Not given  Not given  Not given  Not given  Not given  Not given	1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	12 January 2004 16.30L
Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)  4. How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  5. Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  6. Approximate distance.  Not given  7. Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  8. Weather conditions during observation.	2.	(No of objects, size, shape, colour,	Round object with white lights all around it over the Huddersfield area.
Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)  4. How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  5. Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  6. Approximate distance.  Not given  7. Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  8. Weather conditions during observation.	8	· v	
Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)  4. How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  5. Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  6. Approximate distance.  Not given  7. Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  Not given			
Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)  4. How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  5. Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  6. Approximate distance.  Not given  7. Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  Not given			
stationary/moving.)  4. How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  5. Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  6. Approximate distance.  Not given  7. Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  8. Weather conditions during observation.	3.	Geographical location.	No details given.
(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  5. Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  6. Approximate distance.  Not given  7. Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  Not given			
first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  6. Approximate distance.  Not given  7. Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  8. Weather conditions during observation.	4.	(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or	No details given
(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  6. Approximate distance. Not given  7. Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  8. Weather conditions during observation.	5.		SW of Huddersfield
7. Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  8. Weather conditions during observation.  Not given		(A landmark may be more helpful	
(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  8. Weather conditions during observation.  Not given	6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
observation.	7.	(side to side, up or down,	Not given
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	8.	observation.	Not given
		(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Reported to the switchboard of Leeds/Bradford Airport who relayed the message to DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 No address given
		Tel: Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	
10		
12.	Remarks.	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	12 January 2004 17.35L

No answer on Section

Section 40

number



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000

ection 40

Section 40

Rhyl North Wales Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

24 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 18 February 2004, the details of which you passed to the Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 18 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	18 February 2004 16.02L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Large black object over Rhyl.
1		
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
4.	How object was observed.	Not given
8	(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given
	, ;	

9.	To whom reported.	Policeman
	(Police, military, press etc)	
	,	*
10	~	2
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
	of mormant.	,
		Rhyl
	* •	North Wales
	o .	
3		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	None mentioned.
12.	Remarks.	NIVIV Delice beliegeter was up at the time
12.	Remarks.	NVW Police helicopter was up at the time and saw a civilian aircraft in the area.
	e e	and saw a civinal ancial in the area.
w.		
	ee ee se sams B	u wi
6- E		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Message left on our answerphone by Sargeant Section 40
		Sargeant Section 40
	·	



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Holbeach Lincs

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

19 February 2004

Dear Section 40


I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 11 February 2004, the details of which you passed to RAF Wittering. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 11 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely



Date and time of sighting	11 Can at 0000 11 0100 +
(Duration of sighting.)	ILFEB 04 APPROX 2105 \$
	DURATION OF APPROX 3 SECS
Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	2 OBJECTS, DESCRIBED AS A FAST PAIR OF SPEEDING LIGHTS. NO SIZE OR SHAPE. VERY FAST BRIGHT LIGHT, NO NOISE. PESS CONTRAIL (BLURRED LIGHT)
Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	OUTSIDE STANDING IN GARDEN. STATIONARY Section 40  HOLBEACH LINCE Section 40
How object was observed.  (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	NAKEO EYE
Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	STARTING FROM SSE MEADING NW - FROM DIRECTION OF RADIO MAST/ CONIFER TREE
Approximate distance.	UNSURE
Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	EXTREMELY FAST LEVEL 2ND OBJECT TURNED 180° BACK ON ITSELF INSTANTANEOUSLY
Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	CLEAR SKY LITTLE / NO AMBIENT LIGHT
Details of any other agencies that have been informed. (such as police, press etc)	RAF WITTERING GUARD ROOM (C/T Section 40 , INFORMED RAF NEATISHEAD SURVEILLANCE DIRECTOR
	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)  Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)  How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  Approximate distance.  Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  Weather conditions during observation.  *(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)

10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40  HOLBEACH LINCS Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	NIL
12.	Remarks.	Section 40  WAS SHAKEN BUT  COMPOSED. A POLICEMAN OF 30  YEARS CLAIMS HE NEVER BELIEVED  IN UFO'S BUT DOES NOW!
13.	Date and time of receipt.	22107 11 FEB 04
14.	Name and telephone no of person submitting report form to MOD.	FLT LT Section 40  SURVEILLANCE DIRECTOR  CRC NEATISHEAD  WROXHAM, NORWICH  NORFOLK  Section 40

#### Completed Unexplained Aerial Sighting Reports should then be either:

E-mailed on CHOtS or RAF CCIS to DAS-Sec3;

Faxed to DAS Sec 3 on Section 40 or Section 40

Posted to

DAS Sec 3

**Ministry of Defence** 

Room 6/73

**Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue** 

London WC2N 5BP;

Or a message left on answerphone: 0207 218 2140 or Section 40





#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Ely
Cambridgeshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

19 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 8 February 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 8 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Sunday 8 February 2004 21.45-23.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	4 Lights, I brighter than others, sometimes fading, other lights not as bright.
	a a	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or	With a camera.
	camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful	Not given
	than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down,	Not given
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
		* * * * *
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone
	(romos, minor), pross occ)	
	t.	ė p
		e e
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Ely Cambridgeshire
		Section 40
		A NO PAGE LANGE
11.	Other witnesses.	None mentioned
1 * .	Other withesses.	TVOIC Incitioned
12.	Remarks.	She checked with the Police who did not
	u u	have any helicopters in the area.
2	*	She said it was not a plane, stars or helicopters.
		Also took some photographs.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Message left on Sunday 8 February 2004 at
	_	23.21 Local.
3		





#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

West Kilbride Ayrshire Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

16 February 2004

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter dated 1 February concerning 'unidentified flying objects'.

You will be aware from our previous correspondence that the MOD examines UFO reports, sent to us, solely to establish whether they present any evidence of a breach of UK airspace by unauthorised aircraft. We do not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which we re main totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

I therefore have nothing further to add to our previous correspondence. Your letter has, however, been placed on our files.

Yours sincerely,



Section 40

125 Jeb 04

West Kelbriche
AyroLine

Section 40

moneshy of Defence

Section 40

Rej D/DAS/64/2.

When I don't wrote te jou sometime in Seft Last. about my Views The wave, as Stateted Letter 26 Augusto3 Then also The one my Son: ) watched A/Gr we Heard Cours Bellowing a neglé. Some us some Anxioly. The Second one that det up, Your is something ) left out about Mot one I was alhacted By a Host at my Back As I lumed Round, couldn't See a tay os I Stated. Bat to Strange Many was, When it Ded light up. Fibre Oftic white, it let up in a dine from Lont-Shayer accross to Its Buck, before & Reached Its Back I could See it Shuckure from Reflection of 16 Front. 15 was Definately Shuckured 5 Colour grey melal dookey, actt cut out - windows. Solice grey Lette a Rence le el, co ) Said 3 lines d'Assafement Lup, It Had Sood Deft of Height Too is want as Slow as to Jobne Oftice Horeson Green wett Step 11 There oftic Jellow is Centire well the Deroflene Het Barst Knowl in only Black Duty Cloud. Wobbled & ton det up. Just Hought I Ded not majon it Ester. It

Loas for Real. 16 wore of to yellow Spleres, Some There is a Deal Shay To dine too for miles a feccully Hey North to South. What workes me Section 40 You te come « websiers Tem = you would for Lack of French Et. This Remarks me of my Sleffaller, telly me, He ded morse code Ham Radio. Picket up from other Ham Raideo Morse code that to July were Headay to America, - He send I over to to Americans who general it because they Declar Hink it was teaseble. So Hence Kearl Harbour. Someday Somebody is Jory to tell monestry of Defence Somethy Really Services, a not Soany to Be acknowledged. Just because Thy The ty one so supercor is knowledge that Noboely was lover Level Has none. Ilis is not acceptable nous Bright feates Don't lête very deng te volice deck of knowledge in our Suferiors He world is clargery everybody is Externey a New Information Age and Beginney to Occestion. Authority. I we wont a duline et time you look Heed of Responsible peoples Viens. « Not tréat lien as Jools. Or Be No Lecture. We Have te dave with llèse Viens with No Suffart. To all Seens Waster Stace. The a Hyll 19 c Course Hore Boen a member of Mense of I could Have done matter, by Dang the Edenburgh University is a mallemalicican Physic halls Prime mall. So were not a Staped Jamely We need Respect of our Views Section 40



Operation : Policy 1A MINISTRY OF DEFENCE ROOM 6/73 Metro Love Building Northumberland Ave LONDON WC2N 5.B.P.

22 Dec 03




To: Subject:

D News RAF

Press Enquiries on UFOs

Note:



# Section 40

I have received two enquiries on UFO matters direct from the press. One left a message on our answerphone and one called the public low flying complaints line. I do not normally deal direct with the press so would be grateful if someone in the press office could contact the following. I attach a few lines which can be given to both of them.





Thame News
Jordan's Courtyard
8 Upper High Street
Thame
Oxfordshire
OX9 3ER

Tel: Section 40

e-mail: editor@thamenews.net

She left a message on the DAS UFO answerphone concerning a loud noise, like an air balloon heard in the area in the early hours of 2 December.

Section 40
Flame TV Company
Section 40

Note: Press Office called Section 40

Resolved over the phone wing lines to take provided. NFA. 12/2/2004.

The Press Office left a nessage on Section 40 answerphone. There have been no further Calls. 12/2/2004

Telephoned our Low Flying complaints line and said his company are making a programme about UFOs. No further details given. Please inform him that if he needs any further information, we would be happy to answer his questions if he would like to send them by fax or e-mail via yourselves.

Thanks for your help.

Section 40

MT6/73 Section 40

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	2 December 2003 Between 0130-0200L for 5-10 minutes.
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Loud noise like a hot air balloon heard, but nothing seen.
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Information passed by the local newspaper. No details given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Nothing seen, only heard.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Nothing seen.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Nothing seen.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported.	Local newspaper.
	(Police, military, press etc)	Thame News and then to DAS
		answerphone.
	ar and an artist of the second	
		*
i.	e.	
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
3 -	4	Thame News
10		Jordan's Courtyard
		8 Upper High Street
		Thame
		Oxfordshire
		OX9 3ER
	*	Tel: Section 40
		e-mail: editor@thamenews.net
8	e v	
11.	Other witnesses.	Members of the public have reported this to
		the newspaper. The newspaper has not
		provided us with their details.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that a similar noise was
		heard in the area 10-11 months ago. A local
		person with an interest in these things has
		told her it may be a Flying Triangle or the
ē		military could be testing "an anti-gravity
	,	method of propulsion". She wondered if
	a .	we could identify what this was.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Tuesday 2 December 2003
1147 Ø T.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8	e e	



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Billingsley Kidderminster Shropshire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 12 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 28 January 2004 the details of which you passed to RAF Shawbury. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.


With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 28 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

MON, 09-FEB-04 14:58

CRO RAF SHAWBURY

01939 250351



~Unit Ref: 2033/17/ CRs

LS

MILITARY AIRCRAFT A PUBLIC COMPLAIN	the outhor
SECTION 1: ACTION AUTHORITIES	
Action   Info	Action Info  Comd Sec HQ Land  RAF HQ PTC  D/C+L (F+S) Claims 3  RAF HQ STC  Specify
FOR MOD USE ONLY.	
OS Grid Ref: SO7184 Serial No: O  LFA: Previous Compl  NS: Serial No:	
SECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT	SECTION 4: DETAILS OF INCIDENT
Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr/Other: Section 40	Date: WED 28 JAN 04
Surname: Section 40	Time: 1815 — 2000
Forename(s): Section 40	How many aircraft involved:
Address: Section 40	Type of aircraft
	Jet Prop Helo Light a/c
BOLLINGSLEY	Other (Specify)
Town/City: KIDDEQMINSTER	LIGHTS
County: SAROPS HI RE	Markings
Postcode: Section 40	Grey Camouflaged Red/White Black/Yellow
Telephone No. Section 40	Other (Specify)
SECTION 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT	
Address at Section 2,	
	Height
or:	Low Medium High
Address:	Estimation in Feet:
	Direction: N =
	Inside MATZ?
Town/City:	Yes VMo
County:	If Yes which MATZ?
Postcode:	

**NOW TURN OVER** 

CRO RAF SHAWBURY

01939 250351

SECTION 5: PARTICULARS OF COMPLAINT (Continue of	n a separate sheet if necessary).
PLE UNIDENTIFIED LIGHT	TS IN NIGHT SKY ABOUT
TWO MILES AWAY TO	NE APPEARED TO BE
TWO MILES AWAY TO "FLYING" IN FORMATION	Section 40  RANG
	B TO SE ASK WHITHOU
WE HAD DISCOVERED ANY	74 NG.
SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT)	SECTION 7: UNITS RESPONSE
Has the incident given rise to any injury to persons and/or livestock or damage to property which will result in a claim for compensation being submitted to the Ministry of Defence?	Yes No Return Telephone call
Yes No	Full written response sent (attach copy)
If Yes, give details and copy form to D/C+L (F+S) Claims 3.	Low flying leaflet sent
	Written acknowledgement only (attach
	Visit arranged Copy)
	Specify
	Requires attention of HQ P&SS
	Other (Specify)
SECTION 8: DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL	
Time: (Local) 15)3	
Date Complaint received: 30 SAN 04	
Signature: Section 40	
Continue 40	IMPORTANT REMINDER
Name: Section 40	ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH
Rank: SM LN	GAI J5002 OF 1999.
Unit: RAF SHAWBURY	THE COMPLETED FORM IS TO BE FORWARDED TO THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES AS LISTED AT SECTION
Tel. No: Section 40	1 WITHIN 5 DAYS.

01939 250351



## ROYAL AIR FORCE Shawbury, Shrewsbury SY4 4DZ

Please reply to the Community Relations Officer

Telephone: Section 40

Fax Section 40

e-mail: cro@direct.shawburyigs.raf.mod.uk

or shy-admin-cro

Section 40

Your reference:

Our reference:

SHAW/2033/17/CRO

(+ answerphone)

Date:

9 February 2004

Billingsley Section 40



#### Sighting of Lights

I am writing to follow up your call to RAF Shawbury, taken by Section 40 pm on Friday 30 January, to report the sighting of unidentified lights in the sky in your area on Wednesday 28 January between 6.15 pm and 8 pm. I do apologise for taking so long to write to you and for giving you cause to telephone again last Friday.

RAF Shawbury airfield was closed on the evening of 28 January as no night flying had been programmed during the week. In any event the helicopters from RAF Shawbury would not be operating at night in the Billingsley area, which is well outside our designated training area. It is also most unlikely that the lights would have been associated with anything operating from RAF Cosford as their airfield would also have been closed.

As I am unable to shed any light on the phenomenon I have forwarded details to the appropriate department in the Ministry of Defence in London for their attention.

Once again, please excuse my delay in replying.

With best wishes



CC:

MOD DAS(C&E) - by fax Section 40

www.shawbury.raf.mod.uk





# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue,

London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40



Grosmont N Yorkshire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

11 February 2004

# Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 4 February 2004 the details of which you left with the Operater at RAF Fylingdales. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 4 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

UNCLASSIFIED

DAS-EXEC-REG3

on Precedence:

ROUTINE

DTG:

041000ZFEB04

RAF FYLINGDALES BMEWS/OPS OPERATOR// From:

SIC: Z6F

Subject: ACTION

To:

MODUK AIR

SUBJECT:

SUBJ: MSG ID/FYD/0005/041000ZFEB04

SUBJECT: AERIAL PHENOMENON

1. FOLLOWING SIGHTING OF A POSSIBLE UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT IS REPORTED:

A. 040615 LOCAL FEB 04

B. OBJECT APPEARED TO BE A SOUNDLESS PAIR OF LIGHTS, ONE YELLOW, THE OTHER WHITE. NO DISCERNABLE SHAPE.

C. ON THE N YORK MOORS IN THE VILLAGE OF GROSMONT WITH RAF FYLINGDALES ON THE LEFT.

D. NAKED EYE

E. OBJECT SEEN JUST SOUTH EAST OF OBSERVER, FLEW OVER FYLINGDALES AND ON TOWARDS PICKERING.

F. 25 DEGREES.

G. OBJECT VIEWED AT A RANGE OF APPROX. 10 MILES.

H. OBJECT MOVED ERRATICALLY (LEFT, RIGHT, UP AND DOWN). IT CAME TO A SUDDEN STOP AND MOVED A GREAT DISTANCE IN A SPLIT SECOND. APPROX. DISTANCE TRAVELLED 60 MILES (ACCORDING TO OBSERVER).

J. CLEAR, MORNING SKY. STARS OBSERVED RED IN THE SKY.

K. OBJECT INITIALLY VIEWED ABOVE TREE-LINE, BUT FOR THE MAJORITY OF SIGHTING WAS IN CLEAR VIEW.

L. RAF FYLINGDALES THROUGH MOD OPERATOR

M.Section 40 YORKSHIRE.

GROSMONT, N

N. UNEMPLOYED OIL RIG DRILLER. FAMILIAR WITH HELIOPS AND THE LIKE DUE TO PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT

P. NONE

Q. 040915 LOCAL FEB 04

R. NONE

S. INFORMANT OFTEN SEES HELICOPTERS AND COMMERCIAL AIRLINERS WHEN IN THE GENERAL AREA, BUT DUE TO NATURE OF MOVEMENT OF OBJECT BUT THOUGHT IT PRUDENT TO REPORT THE INCIDENT.

2. POC CPLSection &CREW OPS - RAFTN/UNITER EXTN Section 40

- SPACE INFO OFFICER - EXT Section 40

**END OF X.400 TEXT** 

Time Sent: 041030ZFEB04

MM Identifier:

ACP127 Identifier: RBDBIA 0220 0351018

/DD.acp-plad=RAF FYLINGDALES BMEWS\$/OPS OPERATOR\$/\$//DD.acp-ri=RBDBIA/CN=RBDWC/OU2=OUA/OU1 =MODMAIN/O=HQ-AMRAD/P=MODUK-AMRAD/A=/C=GB/@3227 040204103227Z

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Page 1 of 1





# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Thaxted Essex

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

2 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, the Strange light, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

# **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	9 January 2004 10.30-1200L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Strange light
a Try		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
	×	
	p	
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
		Thaxted
		Section 40
	y 4	Section 40
2 2	turkan a da a jira na a	Tel: Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	None mentioned
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said the time was just after
		1200 and she had been watching this
		strange light for about 1½ hours and it was
		still there at the time of the call.
		The 8 <sup>th</sup> was a Thursday and the message
		was recorded on Friday at 12.15 am, I
		therefore believe that she should have said it was the 9 <sup>th</sup> January.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	9 January 2004 12.15L
	was min or receipt	- value y 2001 12.1312
H.		* * *
9		



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40



Hampton
Peterborough
Cambridgeshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

2 February 2004

#### Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 28 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, of the flashing green lights, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 28 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,



# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	28 January 2004 18.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Saw flashing green lights. 1000-5000ft. Lights stayed on for about 2 seconds.
	ti v	
al 10		
3.	Exact position of observer.	Outdoors but in car
,	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	Outdoors but in car
al a	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed.	Not given
10 13	(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Trot given
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	They were driving in a North-North West direction along Blackwell Road.  South – N/NW
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Flew off very fast and low.
8.	Weather conditions during observation.	Not given
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone
	(Police, military, press etc)	
	¥	
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
5)		Hampton
		Peterborough
33	*	Cambridgeshire
6		Section 40
2.0		a Mar Mar and Ar a great
11.	Other witnesses.	Daughter
10		
12.	Remarks.	Not a normal aircraft.
	٠	No sound.
	*	
ii n		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Tuesday 28 January 20.39L
	e a	
. ·		· ·
		*



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Bretton
Peterborough
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

2 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, the four dull red lights, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 27 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,



# **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Tuesday 27 Jan 2004 21.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	4 dull red lights above his house, north of Peterborough
05. 50		
	ing francis angungan i magalas ana an ang para dan ari ng saan, ara sa	
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Travelling fast and low
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Cloudy

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone
	(Police, military, press etc)	
	n	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		`
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
	a - 1	Bretton
i e		Peterborough
		Section 40
3 %		Section 40
		S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
	3	
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
11.	Other witnesses.	140t given
1		
703	2	
64 (6)	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
12.	Remarks.	Changed formation and disappeared behind
		clouds.
		f I
	g g	Did not recognise as normal aircraft they
		see in the area.
	*	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Tuesday 27 Jan 2004 21.30L
	zace and time of receipt	1 4 5 4 1 5 4 1 2 1 . 5 0 E
		*.
ye.		
*	*	
		*



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40



Ayr

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date:

2 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 2 January 2004 the details of which were passed to us by Scottish Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 2 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

# Report of Unidentified Flying Object

Date, Time and Duration of Sighting. a) 2-1-2004, 0430, 2-3 mins **Description of Object b**) Square red object. Pintish at front Exact Position of SERVER c) Indoors, at address noted at (m) - Sweet Protwick with with with the **How Observed** d) Through house window, Noted eye. Direction in which Object was First Seen e) esterotestatester 2 miles out to west of house towards Aran. Angular Elevation of Object f) 10w augle Distance of Object from Observer g) estimated at 15 miles - 2 miles **Movements of Object** h) West to hast appeared to be descending, as fast as a fighter " **Meteorological Conditions During Observations** j) Clear, wind colu. **Nearby Objects** k) None observed To Whom Reported 1) E'watch supervisor Scottish Airways, Dosnetz. Name and Address of Informant m) AYR ection 40 Any Background Information on the Informant that may be Volunteered Nene Other witnesses 0) No Date and Time of Receipt of Report p) 2-1-2004 1500 30 August 1996 Issue: 1 SCO/F/120

© Crown Copyright

SCOLLISH CENTRE

T00/T00 2

→ NEO WOD

05/01 2004 16:32 FAX



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Market Harborough Leicestershire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2

Date:

2 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We can confirm also, that there were no F117's, or B2's over the UK on that same date. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,



# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	9 January 2004 1400-1800L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	One large black triangular aircraft with three bright lights in a triangle formation.  Rumbling sound.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors in his garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	SE -N
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Flying directly overhead.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given
	:	p o

9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
	F	
		7
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
	00 NO 1000 TO	Market Harborough
	:	Leicestershire
		Tel: Section 40
	в ч	101.
	a, was pay to a second of	
		,
11.	Other witnesses.	
	other withesses.	
81		
1		
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 as in his back garden when a
	A TOWNS OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	commercial airliner went over and then
		directly overhead he saw a large black
		triangular aircraft with lights on each
	*	corner, "jumping about the sky". He
e e		wondered if it may have been a military F117 or B2.
		1111 OLDZ.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 Ionuary 2004 19 44I
15.	Date and time of receipt.	10 January 2004 18.44L
	r ·	
	*	
12		*

DAS-VF confirmed there were no F117's or B2 over the UK on the 9th Jan. Section 40

on Tuesday 20th January 2004. Repeated the message he left on the 14th January. Section 40



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Barnton Park Edinburgh Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 27 January 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 29 December 2003 the details of which were passed to us by Scottish Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'


First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 29 December 2003 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

ATC ADMIM



# Report of Unidentified Flying Object

Date, Time and Duration of Sighting. 29/12/03 APPROX OISOZ AND ON PREVIOUS
EVENING.
STEADY BRIGHT LIGHT RESEMBLING FRONT OF HELICOPTER a) **Description of Object b**) EAST SOUTHEAST OF BARNION PARK, EDINBURSH **Exact Position of** c) **How Observed** d) BY ENE NAKED EYE, Direction in which Object was First Seen e) DIRECTION OF CORSTORPHINE HILL SIMILAR TO THAT AT WHICH OJSERVER WOULD EXPECT TO Angular Elevation of Object f) SEE AIRCRAFT ON APPROACH OR "STACKING" FOR ESPH. Distance of Object from Observer g) KNOWN. NOT **Movements of Object** h) STEADY **Meteorological Conditions During Observations** j) SEE ATTACH MENT. **Nearby Objects k**) NONE To Whom Reported Section 40 1) Section 40 BARNTON PARK, EDINBURSH Name and Address of Informant m) Section 40 Section 40 Any Background Information on the Informant that may be Volunteered LIKE AN OLDER LADY SONDEO Other witnesses 0) NONE Date and Time of Receipt of Report p) 01532 23/12/03

SCO/F/120

Issue: 1


30 August 1996

when I onowered the telephone she soked to be 2! The put through to Section 40 She had phoned, I believe, Edinburgh Rarport most Wholey BAA. Checked with Att and they had not been contacted by her. Have tried to locate where Section 40 is, but the phase gives unabhainable tone when it is diallad.

Section 40

at end of conversation, having been told that we knew I nothing there, conceded that I was possibly a planet or stellite.

Référence D/DAS/64/2.



Dear Sir Madam,

thankyou for your reply which reached me on the 25 Dec 2008 on 25 Nov 2003 at 25 past 2 in the afternoon I saw a object in the sky like I had never seen before. I opend my trench windows, and about a rich North of my which there was this glorious strong bright light Consung dann to earth at a steady speed, the light was so so bright lhave never seen such a strong glow in all my life it was inherienable it had a trickle of smoke horrering behind it there was no sound from the object at all, perfectly traight to came down, perfectly straight.

We will take our fainly dogs and look around in the awer and see it we can find any clues-but it decrewing when year looking from a dishance whit the decrewing when year looking from a dishance with

its decieving when your looming from a distance will trying to find where it landed. The orning light from where Isaw it was huge.

thom where is as to the form of the share showing lowers so amazed thats why I had to show Shawbovery and tell theor. If my husband would of been at home at the time it happend I would of opine to have a look. But because I was on My own I was allthe weary,

bécause these days its hast not sake to walled avoind fields on your own.

It we do Come across anything unviseval we will lok you now as Som as possible.

Many thanks for your reply.

Section 40

There was Sanething Else I should mention, I have three children and when My llyour old daughter Section 40 house I told her the stony and she said that a girl aged the Sane age II, said to a friend Guess what we seen balls of fine in the sky!

So She must have seen the same object has Me.
My daughter said she was shouting it out load, though to to Section 40



From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3



#### **MINISTRY OF DEFENCE**

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Woodbridge Suffolk Section 40 Your Reference

Our Reference D/DAS/64/2 Date 15 January 2003

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your letter of 21 December 2003 to my colleague, Section 40 concerning your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 1 December 2003. Your letter has been passed to me as this office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

As you will be aware from our previous correspondence, the Ministry of Defence examines reports of UFOs solely to establish whether they present any evidence that UK airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 1 December from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that UK airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

As for your suggestion that the MOD should develop something to combat alien spacecraft, I should inform you that while the MOD remains open-minded as to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, to date we know of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Yours sincerely



Section 40

21/12/03

Section 40

Your REF D/DAS/03/12/36

Dew Section 40


Themle your for your letter of the 15 inst, I have noted your Commo dherein Nothing in circlian ainsoft is anything like what I seems, the plum toid was Aug rough estil salo of 2 weathleys Tide by side, it made no wine and could fun or fire Lob and Chunge thepe, my grest to it culted be letter an puret dever here bestell in which care it should chem him in eithe wolated anies

Or over the sea, karing studed all amongh for the past 40 years I fun never been anything "Cas Good as the muchine, my seend Meny is it could be men sens to from a dender aver we don't know los to about then organ of it belongs to that during won what are out Ufos, why the size, I have your reply would be of ho defener siznifrence or is it we don't know or not developed enything & combat it yet I have the belief that of there there's wist and I am nor 100% consumed they could fram talen us yeurs ago If they do exist they have some



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3



#### **MINISTRY OF DEFENCE**

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Wokingham Berkshire Section 40 Your Reference

Our Reference D/DAS/64/2 Date 22 December 2003

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on  $17^{th}$  December 2003, the details of which you gave to our Low Flying Complaints and Enquiry unit. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that, to date, we have received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 17 December from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,



Section 40

He and wife returning home in their car along peacock lave. Sow an object - 60 ft across & 40ft wigh.


Thing remained Still for approx 2-3
mins then dripted Stowly of towards
Reading
Section 40
Stayed our Cozastum for 20 mins

The Object was Brack / Dark Will, lights along the Side and a wierd broke light - like when electrical thing Arc?!! - Object 81 lent.

17th Dee. -7.15 pm



From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3



### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Aylesbury Bucks Section 40 Your Reference

Our Reference D/DAS/64/2 Date 22 December 2003

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an unusual noise heard on 2 December, the details of which were left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'Unidentified Flying Objects.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to the unusual noise heard in the vicinity of your home on 2 December, we are satisfied that that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

# **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Tuesday 2 December 2003 01.20 for 1 minute
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Nothing seen. Loud noise like a balloon letting out air.
51		
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not Given
3.	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	NOT GIVEN
2		
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not seen, only heard.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not seen.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not seen.
The second secon		
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
	,	
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
10.	of informant.	Section 40
	of miormant.	
	,	Ayloghuey
		Aylesbury
		Bucks
	a a	Section 40
		Tel Section 40
	*	
11.	Other witnesses.	None given, but said the local newspaper
		knew of the event.
12.	Remarks.	Lady said they heard the same thing in the
12.	Remarks.	
		area about a year ago.
<u>e</u>	n n	
	×	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	11.36am Tuesday 2 December 2003.
		*
	, I	
	a	
	. ,	
L		



From Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3



#### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40



Wem

Section 40

Your Reference

Our Reference D/DAS/64/2 Date 23 December 2003

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 25 November 2003, the details of which you gave to the Community Relations Officer at RAF Shawbury. Your report has been forwarded to this department, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 25 November from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

cc: CRO RAF Shawbury

CRO RAF SHAWBURY

01939 250351

PUBLIC COMPLAINT

(NB – To be faxed to the CRO asap on Ext

on the next working day)

um MATZ

Origin		
From: (please tick o	one box)	Ops Desk SDO/OO
a .		D Oi/c Fg
	- / 0	
Personal Detail (Please complete ALI	boxes unles	plainant ss repeat complaint)
Name (M(Mrs)etc)	ection 40	
Initials		
Address Sec	etion 40	
	ction 40	
Post Code Se	ction 40	
Marie 1	ction 40	
Has person compla		
Det	ails of Inc	ident
Date	25 N	N 03
Time (Local)	142	5
Type (FW/RW)		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	BRIGH	A LIGHT
No of ac	BR191	The Light
	DRANGE	
No of ac	DRANGE	
No of ac Other info	ORANGE VELLO	
No of ac Other info (Colour/markings)	ORANGE VELLO	- (RED
No of ac Other info (Colour/markings) Direction Est Ht	ORANGE VELLO	- (RED ENDING
No of ac Other info (Colour/markings) Direction Est Ht	DESCE	CIDED Cident
No of ac Other info (Colour/markings) Direction Est Ht	DESCA	CIDED Cident

Nam	June 1
Particulars of	of Complaint
Section 40  OF THE WINDON  AMAZING BR	PLEAT ORANGE   ESCENDING NORTH HE HAD NEVER LIKE IT IN ORE, IT WAS AN I(-4EAR- Section 40  SAID).
Is caller sending formal let	ter? (Y/N)
	nse/Action
(by Ops/O	D/D OIC Fg)
Date and local time of call	25 NOV 1435
Taken by (name/rank)	Section 40
Sect & tel ext	CCo Section 40
Signature	Section 40
CRO Follow-up Acti	on (see over)

14/7/03

TUE, 02-DEC-03 10:14

CRO RAF SHAWBURY

01939 250351

P. 02



**ROYAL AIR FORCE** 

**Shawbury Shrewsbury Shropshire SY4 4DZ** 

Please reply to the Community Relations Officer

(RAFTN Section Ext Section 40 swerphone) Telephone: Section 40

(RAFTN Section 40 Section 40 Fax: Section 40

e-mail: cro@shawbury.raf.mod.uk or shy-admin-cro

Your reference:

Section 40

Our reference:

SHAW/2033/17/CRO

Date:

Wem Section 40

1 December 2003

Dear Section 40

#### SIGHTING OF STRANGE LIGHT

I am writing to acknowledge your telephone call on Tuesday afternoon, 25 November, to report a strange bright orange/red light which appeared in the sky to the north of Wem at 2.25 pm and would like to thank you for bringing the phenomenon to my attention.

As RAF Shawbury is not really equipped to conduct research into sightings of this sort I am forwarding your comments to the appropriate department at the Ministry of Defence in London.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further concerns.

Yours sincerely



Section 40

Squadron Leader for Officer Commanding

cc:

- by fax

www.shawbury.raf.mod.uk

# NFA. Report already received a processed. Section 40



# Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports

Report of Unidentified Flying Object		
Date	17 NOVEMBER 2003	
Time	0225	
Sighting Duration	UNKNONN	
Description of Object	of Object 20 - 30 FLASHINE RED + WHITE LIEHTS	
	MOVING QUICKLEY RESIDENT VIDEOED THE INCIDENT.	
Exact Position of Observer	BROMLEY HENT	
How Object was Observed	MAKED EYE	
Direction in which Object was First Seen	UNKNOWN	
Angular Elevation of Object	UNKNOWN	
Distance of Object from Observer	UNINONN	
Movement of Object	ZIE ZAGGING	
Meteorological Conditions During Observations  UNKNONN		
Nearby Objects	UNKNOWN =	
To Whom Reported	NEW SCUTLAND WARD SET Section 40 REF CAD625	
Name of Informant	UNKNOWN 2 ADULTS + 1 CHILD.	
Address of Informant		
a de la companya de	UNKNOWN	
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	UNKNOWN	
Other Witnesses	A POLICEMAN SENT TO INVESTIGATE  COMFIRMED THE SIGHTING OBJECTS WERE  MOVING FASIER THAN ANY HAN MADE AIRCRAFT.	
Date of Receipt of Report	0330 17 NOVEHBER 2003	
Time of Receipt of Report	0330	

02/11/01

Checklist Version 1

Page 44 of 47

D UK/270/1/13

18 Nov 03

DAS Sec 3


# TO WHER

#### **CREDIBLE WITNESS 'UFO' REPORT – 17 NOV 03**

Reference:

A. D/Sec(AS)/64/2 dated 17 Nov 03.

At Reference, you asked whether the report submitted by Sgt Section 4 moments on New Scotland Yard, through Plt Off Section 4 mc C Neatishead, regarding "20-30 red flashing lights in the sky accompanied by a whirring noise" at 0225hrs on 17 Nov 03 at Bromley in Kent, represented anything of air defence interest. CRC Neatishead have reviewed the radar tapes for the time period specified and other than routine air traffic in the area, nothing additional was detected in the area despite good radar coverage. Therefore, I can now confirm that the incident does not represent anything of air defence interest.



Section 40 at New Scotland Yardin not on cluty. Message of outcome passed to control room. No details of the people reporting this sighting so unable to reply direct. Police given my letterhone number in-case Section 40 wanted to contact me or pass us the address of those reporting the Sighting.

19/11/03.

#### LOOSE MINUTE

D/Sec(AS)/64/2

17 November 2003

D UK-SO1 AIR

M NOU 03

#### **CREDIBLE WITNESS REPORT DATED 3 NOVEMBER 1999.**

In line with our current policy, please find below a 'UFO' report which you may wish to be aware of. Although the report was originally from a member of the public, these lights were also seen by Police Officers and a Police helicopter crew. Swanwick and RAF Neatishead have also become involved. I would be grateful if you could let me know if the report represents anything of air defence interest.

Section 40

Section 40

DAS-Sec3

(CHOTS: DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1)

MT6/73 Section 40



Follow-up UFO Report - 170612z Nov 03

UFO report relayed to STCDO from the Duty Surveillance Officer Fg Off Section 40 at RAF Neatishead who had received the report from F/SgtSection Stranick Mil extSection 40 Section 40 at Section 40 Section 40 Section 40

F/Sgt Section 40 eceived the report from Sgt Section 40 f New Scotland Yard Ref. No CAD 625 contact Tel No Section 40

'At 2.25am 17 Nov 03 2 adults and 1 child in Bromley, Kent saw 20-30 red flashing lights in the sky accompanied by a whirring noise and they recorded the occurrence on video tape. The family called the police who confirmed the sighting. The policeman using a lamppost as a marker concluded the lights were zigzagging / turning across the sky at a speeds faster than any man-made aircraft.

A crew of a police helicopter airborne at the time also confirmed the lights but they thought they might be lights of aircraft on the approach in to LHR. However Swanick Mil declared that there were no aircraft in the approach pattern at that time all aircraft on their radar were at high level.'

S/L FOSection 40 STCDO-C Section 40

Section 40

Message left on DAS answerphone at 05.34 Monday 17th Now by Pilot Officer Section 40 of RAF Neutrileach. UFO sighting details as above reported to Neutrileach at 04.00 by Swarwick ATC. The sighting was confirmed by the Police and Scotland land reported it to Swanich Mil. Police helicopter in the assea thought they were aircraft approaching Heathrow but Swarwich say there were no such aircraft in the area.

Pilot Officier Section 40 is on Neatishead Crew Room Section 40 rackille number Section 40



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3



#### **MINISTRY OF DEFENCE**

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Assistant Secretary
RAF Dependants Fund
Section 40

London

Section 40

Your Reference

Our Reference D/DAS/64/2 Date 17 November 2003

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 5<sup>th</sup> November 2003, the details of which were left on our answerphone. As you may be aware, this office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting reports and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 5 November from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

D UK/270/1/13

17 Nov 03

DAS Sec 3

#### CREDIBLE WITNESS 'UFO' REPORT - 5 NOV 03

Reference:

A. D/Sec(AS)/64/2 dated 12 Nov 03.

At Reference, you asked whether the report submitted by Section 40 regarding "2 bright lights that hung in the air for several minutes before departing very fast", whilst he was Southbound on the A11 on 5 Jul 03, represented anything of air defence interest. I can now confirm that the incident does not represent anything of air defence interest.

Signed on CHOtS
Section 40
Wg Cdr
DUK SO1 Air Ops 2



D/Sec(AS)/64/2

12 November 2003

D UK-SO1 AIR OPS 2

#### **CREDIBLE WITNESS REPORT DATED 5 NOVEMBER 2003.**

1. In line with our current policy, please find below a 'UFO' report from the Assistant Secretary of the RAF Dependants Fund. Although Section 40 did not say in his message that he was either Military or ex-Military, his position with the RAF Dependants Fund suggests that he may be. I would be grateful if you could let me know if this report represents anything of air defence interest.

#### Section 40

DAS-Sec3 (CHOts DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1) MT6/73 Section 40

#### REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Wednesday 5 <sup>th</sup> November 2003 16.30
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	2 Bright Lights
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location.  (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	In car on A11
4.	How object was observed.  (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye

		*
5.	Direction in which object was	Not given
	first seen.	
	(A landmark may be more helpful	
	than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Very high in the sky
9		*
7.	Movements and speed.	Hanging in the air for several minutes, then
	(side to side, up or down,	departed very fast.
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
3.	Weather conditions during	Clear sky
i.	observation.	e distribution of the problem.
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	
		*
9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone
8	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	Assistant Secretary, RAF Dependants Fund
		Section 40
8		London
		Section 40
		Section 40
		GPTN Section 40
1.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 wife and a friend
	e gen d'	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2.	Remarks.	Section 40 and party were returning from
		RAF Honington to London and saw these
	, and the second	lights while on the A11
. 8		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Message left on answerphone at 09.20am
6	•	Thursday 6 <sup>th</sup> November 2003.
8)		•



# REPLICATED VERSION 1 OCT 03

RAF Publications Library Contact: AP3392 Vol 2 Administrator
Last Update: 20 May 2003

Review Date: 14 May 2004

Home | Publications Index | Forms Index | User Guide

AP3392 Vol 2 Leaflet 2405

### THE RAF DEPENDANTS FUND

#### General

- 1. The RAF Dependants Fund was established on 1 Jul 67 with an initial grant of £50,000 from the RAF Central Fund.
- 2. The purpose of the RAF Dependants Fund is to provide a single tax-free payment for the **immediate** need of widows, widowers, dependant children, or other nominated dependants of subscribing members of the Fund who die while serving on a regular RAF engagement or on specially designated Full Time Reserve Service.
- 3. The RAF Dependants Fund is a registered charity. The governing Trust Deed, Constitution and Rules have been registered in accordance with the Charities Act.

#### Scope of the Fund

4. All officers, airmen and airwomen, including members of the PMRAFNS, who are serving on regular engagements may apply for membership of the Fund. Specially designated Full Time Reserve Service (FTRS) personnel may also apply for membership. Eligibility will cease on the last day of paid service regardless of age.

### **Grant Payment**

5. Because of the charitable status of the RAF Dependants Fund, the basic grant payable on death will be at the discretion of the Managing Trustees and will be related to the current financial state of the Fund. The amount to be paid will be reviewed by the Managing Trustees annually, or as dictated by exceptional circumstances, and promulgated to the RAF.

#### Subscription

6. The subscription is 25p per month per member. Six months advance warning will be given to members in the event of any increase. Subscriptions will be collected monthly in arrears by AFPAA(Inns) and paid to the Fund.

### **Income Tax**

7. Subscriptions to the Fund do not qualify for income tax relief.

#### **Closure During Emergency**

8. The Managing Trustees may close the Fund to new subscribers when war has been declared or when, in their sole opinion, war is imminent. In such a case, the dependants of those who were subscribers at the date of closure may be considered for assistance from the Fund for such period and in such circumstances as the Managing Trustees in their sole opinion shall decide. Should the Fund be so closed, the Managing Trustees will determine the day on which it shall re-open and will notify all serving personnel of the date of such re-opening.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS

#### **Application for Membership**

http://main.chots.mod.uk/rafpubs/ap3392v2/12405.htm

10/11/03

- 9. Eligible personnel may join the Fund at any time. Application for membership is to be made on RAF Form 7230 (RAF Dependants Fund Application/Voluntary Withdrawal), a composite 3-part form. The purpose of the various parts is as follows:
  - a. Flysheet. The Flysheet contains basic information on membership of the Fund. It is to be detached and retained by the applicant on submission of the Form 7230.
  - b. *Original.* The original is used as the authority for the deduction of subscriptions from pay.
  - c. Duplicate. The duplicate is a card on which the subscriber agrees to be bound by the rules of the Fund. It becomes the Fund's permanent record of membership and beneficiary.

#### **Effective Date of Membership**

Membership of the Fund will commence from the date on which the applicant signs the Form 7230 provided that the applicant is eligible for membership in accordance with para 4 and provided there is no undue delay in the Form 7230 reaching the Secretary of the Fund. The Managing Trustees' decision on the effective date of commencement will be final.

#### Action in Personnel Services Flight and Disposal of Form 7230

- Form 7230 is to be signed by OC PSF certifying the eligibility of the applicant. Should an applicant's dependant be under 18 years of age, the applicant is to nominate on Form 7230 a Responsible Adult through whom any monies can be paid.
- 12. After certification by OC PSF, both the original and duplicate copies of Form 7230 are to be forwarded to the RAF Dependants Fund at the address shown in para 25. All applications from FTRS personnel **must** be accompanied by Form 7455 duly completed and authorised by OC PSF.

#### Action by the Secretary of the Fund

- 13. The Secretary of the Fund is responsible for:
  - a. Ensuring the computer input coding is correct on the original copy of the Form 7230 and forwarding the form to AFPAA(Inns) as the authority to commence the deduction of subscriptions.
  - b. Retaining the duplicate copy of the Form 7230 as a permanent record of Fund membership and details of the beneficiary.
  - c. Amending details of beneficiaries from RAF Forms 7233 received from units.

### Withdrawal of Membership

- 14. **Withdrawal of Membership.** Members of the Fund who do not subscribe to the RAF Dependants (Income) Trust can withdraw from the Fund by completing Form 7230 and inserting Code '2' as shown in para 4 on Form 7230. The original and duplicate copies of the Form 7230 are to be forwarded to the Fund for action and onward transmission to AFPAA(Inns). Personnel who withdraw from the Fund will not be eligible for any refund of subscriptions already paid.
- (**NB** Fund membership is a **pre-requisite** to joining the RAF Dependants (Income) Trust and, therefore, those personnel who subscribe to **both** the Fund and Trust cannot withdraw from the Fund without also ceasing membership of the Trust using Form 7329 see AP3392, Vol 2, <u>Leaflet 2406</u>.

### Change of Nominated Dependant or Change of Name of Subscriber

15. **Change of Nominated Dependant.** RAF Form 7233 (RAF Dependants Fund and RAF Dependants (Income) Trust – Notice of Amendment), raised in duplicate, is to be used to notify the Fund of a change of nominated dependant. After signature, the original copy of Form 7233 is to be

10/11/03

- filed in the individual's Form 445A and the duplicate sent to the Fund. To avoid ambiguity, it is essential that subscribers to both the Fund and RAF Dependants (Income) Trust complete **both** sections on the Form 7233 relating to the Fund and Trust.
  - 16. Change of Nominated Dependant on Marriage. When a subscriber marries, the Trustees will automatically assume that the spouse is to become the nominated beneficiary. Where the individual wishes a person other than their spouse to be the beneficiary, details are to be sent to the Secretary of the Fund on Form 7233. In the event of separation, divorce or re-marriage, it is imperative that subscribers notify the Secretary of the Fund of any change of beneficiary, if appropriate. If in doubt, subscribers are invited to contact the Secretary of the Fund to discuss beneficiary options.
  - 17. **Change of Name of Subscriber**. Form 7233 is to be used to notify the Secretary of the Fund of the change of surname of any subscriber. If this also involves a change in the name of the nominated beneficiary, the two occurrences may be included on the same form.

#### Continuity of Membership during periods of Non-Effectiveness for Pay

- 18. Fund members who become non-effective for pay due to secondment to other forces must make private arrangements with the Secretary of the Fund for payment of monthly subscriptions for the period of secondment. Subscribers going on the **unpaid** portion of Maternity/Paternity Leave must make similar arrangements with the Secretary of the Fund. As no loss can be borne by public funds in respect of subscriptions to the Fund collected through RAF Pay, failure to pay subscriptions privately during the period of non-effectiveness for pay will result in the termination of the subscriber's membership.
- 19. **Illegal Absence**. Any subscriber to the Fund who is declared illegally absent will automatically cease to be a member of the Fund. Such individuals may re-apply to join the Fund on becoming effective for pay again.
- 20. **Detention**. When a subscriber to the Fund is awarded detention, membership of the Fund will be allowed to continue uninterrupted with subscriptions being deducted from the individual's RAF pay in the normal manner.
- 21. AFPAA (Inns) will inform the Fund and the unit in all cases when subscriptions cease to be recovered under paras 19 and 20.

#### Method of Payment on Death of a Subscriber

- Notification of Casualties. In the event of the death of a subscriber, the CO's representative is to ascertain membership by reference to the individual's personal documents [see also Leaflet 2406 (The RAF Dependants (Income) Trust)]. The Secretary of the Fund is to be informed of the casualty without delay by fax, using the fax number quoted in para 25, giving the following particulars:
  - a. Number, rank and name of the subscriber.
  - b. Date and cause of death, if known.
  - c. Name and address of the spouse or nominated beneficiary.

Speed is the essential factor and the submission is not to be delayed because of lack of information on any specific point. If necessary, the fax is to be amplified by telephone, letter or further fax. Units and Visiting Officers are not to advise individuals that they are beneficiaries until confirmation has been given by the Secretary of the Fund.

23. **Payment to Beneficiaries.** On receipt of the Casualty fax, the Managing Trustees will take immediate action regarding payment of a grant. The final decision regarding the beneficiary, the method of payment and the amount will be at the sole discretion of the Trustees.

#### **Fund Organisation**

24. The Fund and its assets are controlled by a committee of Managing Trustees as follows:

President: -

AMP

Members

Controller, RAF Benevolent Fun.

AOA HQPTC Cmd Sec HQPTC Gp Capt SF&CS HQPTC

#### Communications

25. All communications should be addressed to:

The Secretary Royal Air Force Dependants Fund, 67 Portland Place, London W1B 1AR.

Telephone:

020-7580-8343 Section 40 (Switchboard) (Direct Line) (Fax) (GPTN)

#### **Publicity**

The continued ability of the RAF Dependants Fund to assist the beneficiaries of subscribers who die depends on the support it receives from serving personnel and COs should give the scheme the widest publicity. Every facility is to be afforded to personnel who wish to be subscribers to the Fund and advice offered to all eligible personnel to ensure that they are aware of the value of the scheme.

(AL187, May 03)

Page 1 of 1

# DA A OpsPol1

From:

DAS-LA OpsPol1

Sent:

06 November 2003 15:22

To:

Section 40

Subject:

UFO

Signed By:

das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk

Security Label: Signed

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your e-mail of 26 October concerning your sighting of unusual aerial activity at North Wirral.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the UK from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe that it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked at our sighting reports and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 26 October from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the UK's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk

06/11/2003

Message

Page 1 of 1

# DA OpsPol1

From:

Section 40

Sent:

26 October 2003 23:00

To:

das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk

Subject:

U.F.O.

**Sensitivity:** Confidential

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Report of sighting on Sunday 26/10/03. Time: Approx 21.06 Hrs. Location: North

Wirral, Merseyside.

As I left a friends house on the evening above, I happened to look into the night sky. Conditions were extremely clear and as I commented to my friend on how grand the night sky looked, I noticed two objects traveling side by side directly overhead, they were a dull red colour and appeared to be moving about as fast as a satellite against the back ground stars. what fascinated both me and my friend as they passed overhead (East-west) the two objects suddenly started to zig zag in and out of each others orbits, Like all satellites I have observed in the past there was no sound of any jet engines, just silence, but unlike the satellites I have seen before, there was two objects and unlike satellites they began to zig zag, crossing each others paths as they moved what appeared to be high speed against the background stars. It was quite amazing to see this as I have been a keen amateur astronomer for over 20 years and I have never seen anything like this before in the night sky.

6, 50/10/03.

# DAS-LA OpsPol1

From:

Sent:

Section 40 26 October 2003 23:03

das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk Emailing: U F O To:

Subject:



Your files are attached and ready to send with this message.



From Section 40

**Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1a** 

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

E-Mail

das-laopspol1a@defence.mod.uk

Section 40

Bridlington East Yorkshire Section 40

Your Reference

Our Reference D/DAS/64/2

23 October 2003


Section 40

Thank you for your letter of 5 October 2003 in which you report a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' over Bridlington on 22 July 2003. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your question concerning whether there were any unusual radar signals that day, you may wish to be aware that this department does not hold radar data. It is generally the case that air defence radar data is recorded and held for 30 days before disposal. In any case, such data is held in electronic form, requiring appropriate software to translate it and necessary expertise to interpret it. Furthermore, any such information would be classified as it reflects the capabilities of the respective systems and it is unlikely it would be released.

Finally, I should wish to assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous policing of the UK Air Defence Region by the Royal Air Force and we remain vigilant for any potential military threat. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on 22 July the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.



To whom it may concern

On the 22<sup>nd</sup> July of this year, I filmed on video what I believe are genuine UFOs over Bridlington.

The object was silver white in colour and appeared at a high altitude then proceeded to fly in much lower until it looked as though it were at roof top level. Although it was higher this is how it appeared looking up from the ground.

Since it was totally silent I ruled out that it could be a helicopter or a small plane and it was most diffidently not a balloon as it came in from a great height and was pulsing as it traveled. After about 10 minuets it reappeared at an unbelievable speed only this time it was going in the opposite direction.

I also filmed what I believe was the same object stationary in the sky the next day. It stayed stationary a few minuets then proceeded to move right across the sky in front of me. Calendar TV showed this film 3 days in a row and it caused a great deal of interest. (It is totally genuine)

What I would like to no is if there was any unusual radar signals that day or during July that could account for these objects

Yours

3 L 1. MA

# **REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1	D-4	T 14th O 1 1 2002 O 20 5
1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Tuesday 14 <sup>th</sup> October 2003 @ 06:56
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Very large object, sun reflected off, lights were flashing on it, before being covered by cloud.
3.	Exact position of observer.  Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Indoors
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.  (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	
<b>7.</b>	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS (LA) Ops & Pol 1 Answerphone
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Whitney Oxfordshire
2		Tel (Home): Section 40 Tel (Mobile)
11.	Other witnesses.	
12.	Remarks.	Often sees US and RAF aircraft where he lives.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Wednesday 15 <sup>th</sup> September 2003 @18:00

Return the completed form by fax or post to:

Directorate of Airstaff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1 Room 6/73 Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue WC2N 5BP

Tel: 020 7218 2140

Fax: Section 40



From: Section 40

**Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1a** 

**MINISTRY OF DEFENCE** 

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial)

020 7218 2140

(Switchboard)

E-Mail

(Fax)

das-laopspol1a@defence.mod.uk

Section 40

Lincoln

Your Reference

Our Reference D/DAS/64/2

17October 2003

Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen on 21 August 2003. The report was passed to this office by RAF Waddington, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 21 August from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

TO DAS

\_\_\_\_ P.01

P:1

Classification	VIC	
Caveat	-	The state of the s
Covering	U/C	

5 E	Facsimilie Transmission Cover Sheet				
	smission Details	Document Details			
Serial Number:	Date & Time of Transmission:	Reference:	19		
p t	12 10304 569 03	WAO/2538/OPS €	21		
From:	Fax Number:	Subject:			
RAF Weddington Station Operations	Section 40	UFO REPORT			
To: Uto Reflet	Fax Number:				
CELL	Section 40	Total No. of pages including this cover sheet.	Two		
	Transmit Op	erator			
Rank/Grade: Name: Section 40 Tel No. Section 40			n 40		
Message / Remarks:					
	•				
		*			
*.					
	•		9		
		•			

Classification	NC	
Caveat		
Covering	UL	

A.	Date, Time and duration of sighting.	21 AUGO3 1400(L) SMIN
<b>B</b> .	Description of object (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise).	CIGAR SHAPED 18-20' CYLINDERION WITH DOMED ENDS SILONT, GREY, SLOW
C.	Exact position of observer (indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving).	OUTROOPS IN GARDEN
D.	How observed (nakad eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder)	THROUGH SPECTACLES
E.	Direction in which object first seen (landmark may be more useful than an estimated bearing)	WEST
F.	Angle of sight (estimated heights are unreliable).	
G.	Distance (by reference to a known lendmark).	
H.	Movements (changes in E, F & G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).	THROUGH CLOUDS AT 10 MPH
J.	Met conditions during observation (moving clouds, haze, mist etc).	SUNNY, BLUE SKY WITH FLOFFY WHITE CLOUDS
K	Nearby objects (telephone lines, high voltage lines reservoir, take or dam, swamp or marsh, rivers, high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, TV/radio masts, airfields, generating plant, factories, pits or other sites with floodlights).	CAME OUT OF CLOUDS
L.	To whom reported (Police, military, press etc).	
M.	Name and address of observer.	Section 40
N	Background of observer that may be volunteered.	BIYES OLD & HASN'T LOST HER MARBLES.
О.	Other witnesses.	NIL
P.	Date and time of receipt.	12 SEPT 63 1100(L)
Q.	Any unusual met conditions.	NIL
R.	Remarks.	NIC



From: Section 40

**Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1a** MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

E-Mail

(Direct dial)

(Switchboard) (Fax)

das-laopspol1a@defence.mod.uk

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000

Section 40

Sleaford Lincolnshire

Section 40

Your Reference

Our Reference D/DAS/64/2


13 October 2003

Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen near Digby on 19 September 2003. The report was passed to this office by RAF Waddington, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.


First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 19 September from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.



# UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT REPORT

1	Date and time of receipt.	19 SEPT 03 0940 7
2	Date and time of sighting of UFO.	19 SEPT 03 2230 Z
3	How long did you observe the UFO for?	3/4 SECTNOS.
4	What were the weather conditions during the sighting?	CLEAR SUIES
5	Where were you when you observed the UFO?	ASHBY DE LA LAUNDE, NR DEGBY, LEWS.
6	Did you observe the UFO with the naked eye or any optical device?	NALLED - EYE.
7	In what direction in relation to you did you observe the UFO? Landmarks would be useful.	THE OBJECT WAS SPINNING WHILST STATIONARY, THEN HEADED NORTH-WRIT TOWARDS WADDINGTON.
8	Was the UFO stationary?	SPINNING STATIONARY - THEN SHOT -OFF" ACTION
	IF NO What direction was the UFO moving in? Again landmarks would be useful.	MEAD NORTH-WEST FROM ASHEY DR. LA LAUNCE TO RAF MADDENSTON (TOWNERS)
9	Were there any significant objects nearby, for example, Power Lines.	NO (OPEN SPACE)
10	Were they any other witnesses? (Name and address on back of form)	<b>~</b> 0
11	Have you informed the civil authorities or the press? IF YES WHOM.	CIUTE POLICE - INFOH LINGLIKERE RADIO -INFOÒ
12	Name and address of informer.	Section 40
		Section 40 SLEAFORD, Section 40
13	Any other details we have not covered?	THE SpENING OUTERT WAS ABOVE A HOUSE . IT "SHOT OPF"
		CREATING A TRUE + WHITE LEGHT
		Some 30 FEET LONG.





From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) **Operations & Policy 1a** 

# MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard)

020 7218 2140

(Fax) das-laopspol1a@defence.mod.uk

E-Mail

Section 40

Ministry of Defence SATIC

Leeds

ection 40

Your Reference

Our Reference D/DAS/64/2 **←** 

Date **q** October 2003

)ear

Section 40

Thank you for your letter dated 16 September, in which you provided details of a sighting of an 'unidentifed flying object' on 13 September over Heckmondale, West Yorkshire by the Section 40 at the Royal Armouries Museum.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

The details of the sighting were passed to the appropriate Air Defence experts in the MOD, who were satisfied that the report did not represent anything of air defence interest. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on the 13 September the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

LOOSE MINUTE

D/DAS/64/2

25 September 2003

DAO-AIRC2-SO1


## CREDIBLE WITNESS 'UFO' SIGHTING REPORT -13 SEPTEMBER 2003

- 1. Please see the attached enquiry regarding an 'unidentified flying object' seen by the Section 40 at the Royal Armouries Museum on 13 September 2003 at approx 21:40.
- 2. I would be grateful if you could let me know if the report represents anything of air defence interest.

Section 40

DAS(LA)Ops+Pol1a MT 6/73 Section 40

CHOTS: DAS-LA-Ops + Polla



## MINISTRY OF DEFENCE SATIC

ARMOURIES DRIVE LEEDS

Tel: 0113 220 Section 40

Fax: 0113 220

Email: Section 40

Ref D/PR RAS Date 16 September 2003

Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace)
Operations & Policy 1
Rm 6/73
Metropole Building
Northumberland Avenue
London
WC2N 5BP

Dear Colleague

# **UFO SIGHTING**

Please see attached report complied by Section 40 who is Section 40 at the Royal Armouries Museum (we are currently housed in the Royal Armouries as a MoD unit).

I would consider Section 40 account to be reliable and worthy of investigation.

Thanking you for your assistance.

Yours sincerely,



C2 Ministry of Defence

Email Section 40

# Sighting of UFOs

Date: 13<sup>th</sup> September 2003. Time: Approximately 9.40 p.m.

Weather: Warm clear night with very thin, high, transparent wisps of clouds.

Location: Heckmondwike, West Yorkshire.

On the night of the 13<sup>th</sup> of September, my son, his wife, my wife and I were returning from dinning out in Leeds. As normal we parked the car at the rear of the house and were making our way down a path at the side. My son and his wife were helping my wife locate a small step in the path, her night vision being limited. I was behind the others, looking upwards and admiring the clarity of the night and the remarkable number of stars visible.

Two circular objects, about half the apparent size of the moon, came into view from over the roof of my house. They were travelling in straight lines, on parallel courses, towards the Southwest. As they moved away from me, they appearance changed from circular to elliptical suggesting that they were disc-shaped rather than spherical. As soon as I had grasped what was happening, I shouted to my son who looked up and saw the same phenomena as myself. After having covered about half of the distance between my house and the roof of the houses on the other side of the drive, the two objects moved together, almost touched and then separated to resume their original courses and spacing before disappearing over the neighbour's rooftop. During this manoeuvre their speeds, that were not excessive, remained unchanged. The changes of direction of both objects being smooth and controlled.

The appearance of both objects was the same, of a very dull yellow, not bright but definitely self-luminous. The outer edges of both objects were not well defined, giving the impression of being either jagged or fuzzy. There were darker areas inside the shape but not easily defined. With no point of reference it was impossible to be definite about height or size, but the impression was that they were not very high, maybe a few hundreds of feet. The whole sighting lasted no more than about five seconds.





# DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1a

From:

D UK-SO1 AIR OPS 2

Sent:

01 October 2003 15:11

To:

DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1; DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1a

Subject:

Credible Witness UFO Sighting Report 13 Sep 03

# Section 40

I have reviewed in concert with 2 Gp Staffs, the credible witness report dated 13 Sep (D/DAS/64/2 letter dated 25 Sep 03) and can confirm that the incident does not represent anything of air defence interest.

## Section 40

Wg Cdr DUK, SO1 Air Ops 2 MT466