



# U.F.O. RESEARCH & INVESTIGATIONS

## Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to you about an increase of sightings of so called Black Triangles in this area (NW Cumbria). On the 4th of June 2001 at approx 2pm a large tiangular craft hovered low over a field in an area called Moota in Cockermouth, now several witnesses saw this craft and it seems to be appearing most nights over this area and is causing alarm to the local residence. I was hoping you may have an answer to these mysterious crafts or at least picking them up on radar as this craft is extremely large and colourful (white/red lights). I also have video footage taken on the 3rd and the 20th of March this year of a large triangular craft over NW Cumbria.

I would appreciate if you could shed any light on these matters. Yours sincerely









## Confidential

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE(MOD) Secretariat (Air Staff)2a, Room &245, Main Building, Whitehall, London SWLA 2HB.









I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 2 July 2001. The report was passed to this office by the Aeronautical Information Service (Military) at RAF West Drayton as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 2 July 2001 from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerel



#### The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1

03-JUL-2001	06:40	FROM	AIS(M)LATCC	то
-------------	-------	------	-------------	----

P.01

TRANSMISSION DETAILS:	DTG of Transmission:
AIS(M), LATCC(M), Porters Way, West Dray Middlesex UB7 9AU Fax No:	SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall London SW1A 2HB Fax No:
AUTHORISING OFFICER:	TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:
RANK, NAME & APPOINTMEN	RANK/GRADE &
SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE:

A	DATE: 02-07-01 TIME: 19.30 Z DURATION OF SIGHTING: 20 MID
В	DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT: Smell? Now
	Number One Other
	Size NK
	Shape Dome Colour DARK
	Brightness VER4
	Sound? NONE
С	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER:
0.	Geographical location: HAMPSTEAD GARDENS
	Long tes/Outdoors/Stationary/Nationalg
D	HOW OD JECT WAS OBSERVED.
-	TELESCOL (70× Buck)
	Naked eye/Binoculars/Camera/Video camera
E	DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)
	DIRECTLY ABOVE HAWBTERD GARDENS HDG NW
	precerce Abbva maisters and 14W
F	ANGLE OF SIGHT: TARELTLY ABOUE
-G	DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible):
	JUM
н	NOW THE OF BOT
ņ	MOVEMENT OF ODJECT:
÷	
J	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc):
	LIGHT LOD.
ĸ	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:
	NIL
L	TO WHOM REPORTED:
	Press: Relies:
	Military Organisation: D & D
	Police: Military Organisation: D & D

	Airport:
	Other:
	INFORM/ Name: Address:
N	ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED: SCHOOL TEACHER, TRA. NED OBSERVER
0	OTHER WITNESS? WIFE + NEIKANBOUR
Ρ	DATE/TIME OF REPORT: 2100 + 02 07 01

8

TOTAL P.01

•3

'	From: Directorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB Telephone (Direct dial) (Fax)	<u>33</u> 0207 218 2140
Llannon Llannelli	Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS/64/2 Date G July 2001	

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 25 June 2001. The report was passed to this office by the Aeronautical Information Service (Military) at RAF West Drayton as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

Vear

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 25 June 2001 from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely



27-JUN-2001 07:39 FROM AIS(M)LATCC TO SEC AS

P.01	

-

_					
1-2	X TRANSMISSION DETAILS: DTG of Transmission:				
		To:			
	IS(M), LATCC(M), Porters Way, West Orayton liddlesex_UB7 9AU				
0.025	ax No:	SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall			
1		London SW1A 2HB Fax No:			
A	UTHORISING OFFICER:	TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:			
1					
	ANK, NAME & APPOINTMENT:	RANK/GRADE & NAME:			
		SAC.			
S	GNATURE:	SIGNATURE:			
Ĭ		SIGNATURE.			
_					
L		DURATION OF SIGHTING: 3MIN,			
В		Smell? NK			
	Number 1 Size LAILCIG	Uner \			
	Shape DISC	Changes to our stage-			
	Colour BLACK.				
ļ	Brightness NL				
	Sound? NK				
¢					
	Geographical location: T-1 COCU ARE	a sumser.			
Ι,					
	Indoors/Dutdoors/Stationary/Moving				
D	ROW OBJECT WAS OBSERVED:				
	Naked eye Binoculars/Camera/Video camera				
E	E DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)				
	WEST				
	0000				
F	ANGLE OF SIGHT:				
	ANGLE OF SIGHT.				
G	DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible	e).			
		-,.			
	3 Miles.				
H	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:				
	LIGUSPLEED WEST				
J	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving of	douds, mist, haze etc):			
	NK				
	•				
K					
	NONE -				
	TO WHOM REPORTED.				
-	TO WHOM REPORTED: Press:				
	Police: SLANSER POLICE - MUMBLES	STN.			
	Military Organization				

8	wintery Organisation:
- 1	Airport
	Other:
M	Name: Addres
N	ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED:
0	OTHER WITNESS? NONE
	DATE/TIME OF REPORT: 27 JN 01 14202

\*

TOTAL P.01

	From: Directorate of Air S MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London,			32
	a	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Fax)	0207 218 2140
		Your F	Reference	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Golders Green		Our Re D/DAS	ference 64/2	
		Date 6 <sup>July</sup>	2001	

## Daar

.

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 19 June 2001. The report was passed to this office by the Aeronautical Information Service (Military) at RAF West Drayton as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 19 June 2001 from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.



22-JUN-2001 09:59 FROM AIS(M)LATCC

TO SEC AS

	•	72		·····	,	•
7	TRANSMISSION DETAILS:	DTG of Transmission:	22 0940	\$ JUN \$	)	
AIS Mie	m: 5(M), LATCC(M), Porters Way, West Dra Idlesex, UB7 9AU < No:		To: Kerry Philipot SEC (AS)2, MOD M London SW1A 2H Fax No:	lain Building, W B		
AU	THORISING OFFICER:		TRANSMISSION O			
RA	NK, NAME & APPOINTMENT:		RANK/GRADE & N	<sup>AME:</sup> SAC		
Sic	SNATURE:		SIGNATURE:			
			DUDATION OF	CICHTING:		
A B C		ER: The Frence	DURATION OF Smell? Other		ISMIN	
D	HOW OBJECT WAS OBSERVE	deo-comera		5		
E	DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT	WAS FIRST SEEN: (	A landmark may b	oe useful)		
F	ANGLE OF SIGHT:					

G DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible):

H MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:

J MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc):

CLEAR SW

K NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:

URDAN AREA

2		the second s		
Γ	TO WHOM REPORTED	D:		
	Press:			
	Police: Military Organisation:	NONE,	SO FAIL	



TOTAL P.01



From: Directorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) (Fax)

0207 218 2140	0207	218	21	AL
	OF OL	2, I Q	41	-

Diss Norfolk D/DAS/64/2 Date	Your Reference
Date	
<b>6</b> July 2001	Date <i>July</i> 2001

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 16 June 2001, the details of which were left on the Air Staff 4a answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 16 June 2001 from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

ours sincarely

## RECORD OF MESSAGE LEFT ON DIRECTORATE OF AIR STAFF ANSWERPHONE ON 17 JUNE 2001

25

.

...

•0

Caller

١



Norfolk

The informant wanted to report seeing a hovering orange light, low in the sky, turning white and moving right to left on the 16/17 June 2001 between 11:30 and 1:00.

05-JUL-2001 11:24 FRO	M AIS(M)LATCC	TO SEC	AS NA	P.02	· ·
X TRANSMISSION DETAILS:	DTG of Transmission:				
From: AIS(M), LATCC(M), Porters Way, West Dra Middlesex, UB7 9AU Fax No:	nyton	To: SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Build London SW1A 2HB	ding, Whitehall	30/	1.

Fax No:	Fax No:	
AUTHORISING OFFICER:	TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:	
RANK, NAME & APPOINTMENT:	RANK/GRADE & NAME:	
SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE:	

DE	ATE: 04 JULY OF TIME: 0700 Z DURATION OF SIGHTING: TEN MINUTES.
N	ESCRIPTION OF OBJECT: Smell? NO
12 22	Umber ONE Other
Si	ZE NK.
SI	nape
	olour BRACHT LIGHT
	rightness
	aund? NO
	XACT POSITION OF OBSERVER:
	eographical location: KENT.
	doors Outdoors Stationary/Moving
N H	OW OBJECT WAS OBSERVED:
6	aked eye/Binoculars/Camera/Video camera
	RECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)
1	
1.	to THE NORTH.
F   A	NGLE OF SIGHT: 20° EST.
बंग	ISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible):
	on NIK.
H) M	IOVEMENT OF OBJECT:
	WEST - EAST.
u ti	ET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc):
	CLEAR.
KIN	EARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:
3	NIK
17	O WHOM REPORTED:
	Press: CONTACT INT. UK.
	Police:
- 19	Military Organisation
	Airport:
	Other: Oxford.
	NFORMANTS' DETAILS:
	Name: Address: NOT GIVEN.
J.	THE REPORT OF THE AND AND AND THE ARAY DE VOLUNTEEREN?
"] '	NO .
	OTHER WITNESS? WIFE.
PI	DATENTIME OF REPORT: 05 11002 July 01

•

:

1

TOTAL P.02

			The National A	rchives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1
(A5-J	UL-2001) 11:24	FROM P	AIS(M)LATCC	TO SEC AS P.01
AX TRANS	MISSION DETAILS:	D	TG of Transmission	n:
From: ALS(M), LAT Middlesex Fax No:	CC(M), Porters Way, W UB7 9AU	lest Draytor	1	SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall London SW1A 2HB Fax No:
AUTHORISI	NG OFFICER:			TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:
RANK, NAM	E & APPOINTMENT:	iiii_		RANK/GRADE & NAME:
SIGNATURE	<u>.</u> 2			SIGNATURE:
A DATE:	28 June 84	TIME:	2359 z	DURATION OF SIGHTING: 10 SECONDS
A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR	RIPTION OF OBJEC TONE 4x STAR ROUND BRIGHT LIGH ESS	et:	10-2 ) WALLE .	Smell? NO Other

A	DATE: 28 June of TIME: 2359 Z DURATION OF SIGHTING: 10 SECONDS
B	DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT: Smell? NO
	Number ONE Other
	Size 4x STAR
	Shape Round
	Colour BRIGHT LIGHT - YELLOW WATTE.
	Brightness
	Sound? ND
C	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER: Geographical location: A EYNSHAM - NR UHITNEY.
	Geographical location: IN EYNSHAM - NE WHITNEY.
	Indoons/Outdoors Stationary Moving
-	HOW OBJECT WAS OBSERVED:
U	HOW OBJECT WAS OBSERVED:
	Naked eye/Binoculars/Camera/Video camera
E	
E	DIRECTION IN WINCH ODDECT WAS FIRST SEEK: (Anandinark thay be seekili)
	VERTICAL - OVERHEAD
F	ANGLE OF SIGHT:
	ANGLE OF SIGHT: 90"
G	DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible):
	VIN
Η	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:
a	Moved Noeth.
J	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc):
-	Clene - Whispy cloud.
K	
	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:
	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS: No .
L	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS: No . TO WHOM REPORTED:
L	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS: No .

	Airport:					
	Other:	Oxford.				
		O BE KNOW				
N	OTHER WITNESS? WIFE	INFORMANT TH	AT MAY BE VO	LUNTEERED:		
- 1	DATE/TIME OF REPORT:	05 11002 Ju	401			
						*
					-	



I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 10 June 2001. The report was passed to this office by RAF Leuchars as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

I should wish to assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Poling Area by the Royal Air Force and we remain vigilant for any potential threat. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on 10 June 2001 the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours Sincol



<b>4</b> 00	11/06/2001	08:50	The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1	PAGE 02
			Classification Caveat: Covering:	×
	No.		Royal Air Force Leuchars St Andrews Fife Scotland KY16 0JX	20 ROBRI DO COLO MEDAL

# REPORT ON AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.	Date, Time and Duration of Sighting:
	10/06/01, 0150(L), 15 mins.
2.	Description of Object (No. of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, sound, smell etc) :
	1 triangular shaped pulsing white light. about twice the size of a star
3,	Exact Position when Observed (Geographical location, Indoors / Outdoors, Stationary / Moving) :
	Mr stewart was at home when he
	saw the doject only water between Barly
4.	How Observed (Naked eye, binoculars, Still or Movie Camera/VCR) : Bodelon St. Hendue
	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen (possibly with reference to a landmark) :
	SN from home address.
6.	Angle of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable) :
	2
7.	Distance (with reference to a landmark if possible) :



The National Archives'	reference	DFFF	24/2021/1
THE Manufal Archives	IEIEIEIILE		24/2021/1

	The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1	
1/06/	2001 08:51 LEUCHARS STN OPS PAGE	01/6
3		10
	Classification	
	Caveat:	
	Covering:	
9.	Weather (moving clouds, visibility) :	ר
	'18 of cloud cover	
	45km Vis.	1
10.		
1 10.	Nearby Objects (Telephone / power lines, lakes, rivers, high structures, airfields, generating plants,	1
1	factories, pits or other sites with floodlights or night lights) :	
	RAF Levenars	1
1		
11.		
	ork / Contact No) :	
	ATC RAF LEDOHARS.	
12.	Name Address & Tolenhans March 6	
	avorate	
[3,	the sacregroond on informant that may be vojunteered:	
	informant did sound shightly intoxicated but not drunk.	
	t t t lind source signed interested	*
	boi not anone.	
14.	Other Witnesses:	
	in li Op	
	a alter.	
-		83 <b>4</b> 38
5.	Date & Time of Receipt of Report:	
	10/6/01 0156(L)	
6.		
ν.	Is a reply requested?	
	100	
	142	

NOTES:

Members of the public who submit reports should be informed that the information has been passed 1, to the Ministry of Defence.

2. Sightings by Service personnel and action taken as a result of civilian sightings must not be disclosed to members of the Press who, if they make enquiries, should be referred to the Ministry of

#### Défence.

A routine unclassified signal, or Fax 3. should be sent to the Ministry of Defence, London (for the attention of MOD Sec(AS)2A). The format of the signal is as set out in this report proforma. The signal SIC is z6f

Contact MOD Sec (AS) 2A as soon as possible at MOD Main Building 4.



MINISTRY OF DE	ectorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 FENCE hall, London, SW1A 2HB	29
	Telephone (Direct dia (Fax)	d) 0207 218 2140
	Your Reference	
Newcastle-upon-Tyne	Our Reference D/DAS/64/2 Date July 2001	

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 10 June 2001, the details of which were left on the Air Staff 4a answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

I should wish to assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Poling Area by the Royal Air Force and we remain vigilant for any potential threat. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on 10 June 2001 the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely



8

1 4

#### RECORD OF MESSAGE LEFT ON DIRECTORATE OF AIR STAFF ANSWERPHONE ON 9 JUNE 2001 @ 19:47

Caller



Wanted to report on 10 June 2001 @ 18:00, seeing a white spherical object. It was a clear blue sky and the informant observed the object for approximately 1 min and captured about 50 seconds on video tape.

© Crown Copyright

48

	From: Directorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB
	Telephone (Direct dia!) 0207 218 2140 (Fax)
	Your Reference
Kennoway	Our Reference D/DAS/64/2
	Date July 2001

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 10 June 2001. The report was passed to this office by RAF Leuchars as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

I should wish to assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Poling Area by the Royal Air Force and we remain vigilant for any potential threat. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on 10 June 2001 the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely

11/06/	2001 08:50		eference DEFE 24/2021/1	PAGE Ø
11/00/	2001 88.30			-
)		Classification Caveat: Covering:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		-	Scotland KY16 0JX	20 ROSPA 00 GOLD MEDAL Amin
1.	REPORT O	N AN UNIDENTIF	OSLOCAL DURATION 2 M	_
2.	sound, smell etc) :	(No. of objects, size, shape, colou SIZE, BLACIC, NO SOUND		RLE SHAPED.
3.	Exact Position when ( NEAR LOMONOS	Discrived (Geographical location,	Indoors / Outdoors, Stationary /	Moving) :
4.	How Observed (Naked NAKED EYE	leye, hinoculars, Still or Movie C	amera/VCR) :	
5.	Direction in which obj SOUTH TRAVELING	ect was first seen (possibly with r	eference to a landmark) :	

7. Distance (with reference to a landmark if possible) : 5 MILES NEAR THE LOMONOS

8. Movements (changes in 6, 7 and 8 may be better than estimates of heading and speed) : STRAIGHT LINE AT ESTIMATED 4000' AT A SPEED OF 400-500 ICT



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1

11/06/	2001 08:51		LEUCHARS STN OPS	PAGE	02/02
•		Classification Caveat: Covering:		-	
9.	Weather (movie	ng clouds, visibility) : GOOO	CLOUD BASE 4000		
10.	Nearby Objects factories, pits or	(Telephone / power lines, lake other sites with floodlights or	es, rivers, high structures, airfields, gene night lights) : NIL	erating plants,	
	SAC	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	RAF LEUCHARS		
. 12.	Name, Address KENNOWAY	& Telenhong No. of Informant			
13.	Any background	on Informant that may be vot	unteered.		
	His son is	A PILOT, HE HAS A	IN INTEREST IN AVIATION .		
14.	Other Witnesses:	HIS WIFE.			
15.	Date & Time of R	ecceipt of Report: 1016101	1110 LOCAL		
16.	ls a reply requeste	ed? NO.			
L					

NOTES:

1. Members of the public who submit reports should be informed that the information has been passed to the Ministry of Defence.

2. Sightings by Service personnel and action taken as a result of civilian sightings must not be disclosed to members of the Press who, if they make enquiries, should be referred to the Ministry of Defence.

3. A routine unclassified signal, or Fax **sector and** should be sent to the Ministry of Defence, London (for the attention of MOD Sec(AS)2A). The format of the signal is as set out in this report proforma. The signal SIC is z6f

4. Contact MOD Sec (AS) 2A as soon as possible at MOD Main Building





The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1

NAZ- Information of Ors 1

## RECORD OF MESSAGE LEFT ON DAS 4A ANSWERPHONE SATURDAY 30 JUNE 2001 @ 14:12

Caller



Wanted to report seeing a 'UFO' on 29 June 2001 floating high up in the sky over Sutton park. He has video footage of the object.

© Crown Copyright

.

	From: Directorate MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, Lo		27 t 0207 218 2140
Worcester		Reference S/64/2	

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 8 June 2001. The report was passed to this office by the Aeronautical Information Service (Military) at RAF West Drayton as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

lear

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 31 May 2001 from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1

01-JUN-2001 13:06 FROM AIS(M)LATCC

TO

£	

AX TRANSMISSION DETAILS:	DTG of Transmission:	
From:		To:
NS(M), LATCC(M), Porters Way, West Da Middlesex UB7 9AU Fax No:	rayton	SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall London SW1A 2HB Fax No:
AUTHORISING OFFICER:		TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:
RANK, NAME & APPOINTMENT		RANK/GRADE & NAME:
SIGNATURE:		SIGNATURE:
A DATE: 31 mat TIN	1E: 0300 Z	DURATION OF SIGHTING: 5 5500075.
B DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT: Number ONG Size Shape Shape Shape Shape Shape Shape Shape Colour Brightness JEAT BALT Sound? NO		Smell? Other Nournant your Turn East.
C EXACT POSITION OF OBSERV Geographical location: Indeors/Outdoors/Stationary/Mov	,	3

Naked eye/Binopolars/Camera/Video camera E DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)

HEATING OF MOTOLNAY.

F ANGLE OF SIGHT:

G DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible):

NA

FAS!

NIX

GIJEN.

H MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:

MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc): J

NOT

K NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:

BUILT UP AREA

TO WHOM REPORTED: L Press:

Police:

Military Organisation:

	Airport: Other:	
	INFORMANTS: DETAILS: Name Address:	Were the
N	ANY BACKGROUND OF THE INFORMANT THAT M	20.240
-		
õ	OTHER WITNESS? NO APAR! EA	com Day

TOTAL P.01



## From: Directorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB



Telephone (Direct dial) (Fax) 0207 218 2140

	Your Reference
Gainsborough Lincolnshire	Our Reference D/DAS/64/2
Lucoinsmre	Date 20 June 2001

Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 31 May 2001. The report was passed to this office by the Aeronautical Information Service (Military) at RAF West Drayton as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 31 May 2001 from anywhere in Lincolnshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely

Non-second States in the second states in the secon	sion Details	ission Covering Sheet	<u> </u>
onal Number:	Bate & Time of Transmission: 31 marth of 0815	Reference:	
rozo.	Fax Number:	Subject:	
AF WADDINGTON		UFO REFORT	
" JFO REPORT	Fax Number:		
CELL .			
······································		Total camber of pages including this cover shoes.	2
Authoris ank Name and Appointment:	ing Officer	Transmit Operators Rank/Grade Name and Telephone No:	
FLT LT	D ops o	SAC	
gastore:		Signature.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

-		20 <b>2</b>
ж.	•	-2
 Covering		NRA- Const
Caveat		NR- Const as full and proper addres

UNCLAS Classification



		NIL
R.	Remarks.	THEY LIGHTED TO KNOW WHAT IT WAS AND WOULD NOT ACCEPT I DIDN'T KNOW I TOLD FLIGH I WOULD SPEAK TO
		AN ANDWER IF THERE WAS SNO.

TOTAL P.01

TO SEC AS

20.4 JA101

85	TOTAL PLANE (	
JA.	Date, Time and duration of sighting Description of object (No of object	<u>Neveri</u>
	I TRICE and duration of sighting	8/012
B.	Description	10135 31 MAY
<b>.</b>	Description of object (No of objects	BRIGHT LIGHT TROVELLING
	size, shape, colour, brightness, noise).	KAN LIGHT TREVELLING
C.		ACROSS SE-1
	Exact position of observer	OUTDOORS
	(indoors/outdoors,	JUN Service -
D.	stationary/moving).	
	How observed (naked eye,	
	binoculars, other optical device,	NAKED EYE
E.	Camera or camcorder)	
	Direction in which object first seen	FROM GRIMSBY
	(landmark may be more useful than	TO IVE
F.	an estimated bearing)	TO WEST
4 -	Angle of sight (estimated heights	VEE-1 HIGH
G.	are unreliable).	יכרי הוארו
~	Distance (by reference to a known landmark).	ulk
H.		
• • •	Movements (changes in E, F & G	HEDDING DEST
	may be of more use than estimates	FOSTER THON A JET 61
	of course and speed).	30 se 40 TIMES"
J.	Meet and difference of the	JU DR TUMES
<b>V</b> .	Met conditions during observation	
	(moving clouds, haze, mist atc).	NIL
ĸ	Nonthe objects links in the	
1.14	Nearby objects (telephone lines,	
	high voltage lines reservoir, lake or	
	dam, swamp or mersh, rivers, high	UNENSU
	buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, TV/radio masts, airfields,	
	Appointing plant factories alter	
	generating plant, factories, pits or other sites with floodlights).	
Ľ	To whom reported (Police, military,	
	press etc).	WAD. ORS
M.	Name and address of observer.	
	Hame and address of observer.	
N	Background of observer that may	GOINSBOROCIGIA
	be voluntaered.	BOTH WITNESSES JOUNDED
		Deux se Contuses
D.	Other witnesses.	
	Caloi Williossos.	WIFE
<u>,                                     </u>	Date and time of receipt.	
		0150 31 MAY OI
2.	Arter a strategies and some stations	
<b>F</b> (-	Any unusual met conditions.	NIL
2	Demodes	
	Remarks.	THEY LONTED TO KNOW
		what it was and would
		NOT ACCEPT I DIDN'T KNOW
		TOLD THEM I WOULD SPEAK TO
		AN ANSWER IF THERE WAS ONE.
	·	AN ANSWER IF THERE WAS ONE!
		TOTAL
		I DI HL



From: Directorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB



Telephone (Direct dial) (Fax)



<i>2</i>	Your Reference
Keighley West Yorkshire	Our Reference D/DAS/64/2 -
west forkshife	Date 18 June 2001
Dear	

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 8 June 2001. The report was passed to the Ministry of Defence by Humberside police and this office is the focal point within the MOD for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 8 June 2001 from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely

TO SEC AS

a 8



## **HUMBERSIDE POLICE**

Incident Handling Unit Northern Command Centre **Hessle Police Station** Hessie Road Kingston Upon Hull HU4 7BA

. . . . . . . .

	PS
a.	at HESSLE
	Telephone: 01482 - 328111 Fac
Facsimil	e Message
TO MINITAL OF DEFENCE	Fax Number
For the attention of UFO office	et ·
From Soft	Page (one) ( )
MESSAGE	
UFO Sighting - 0004	4 8/6/07. From 4
	AUL- THE NE
Singhur white blight	light in sky abourned
	anthen of Alshamon. Travent a Entrily or Elading but should
The strength of the state of th	
Detertime OOX& -	the month of the second
Data/Time	5 [ <b>4</b> ]01

red this communia

on in error, j and return the document to us; your cost will be reimbursed. You must not copy the 0 001 I UIÇ B ruber instructioner, document, show it to anyone else, or otherwise act upon it. Thank you for your co-operation.

. . .

ι.

-

4

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1



TO SEC AS

P.02

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT AIS/PF5

- A. OF 0005 Local 2-3 mins B. J. Vit O'Alet, 1 -the
  - · 3 White lights triing le statue red light

c. Keighles Yorks. Movingstoch

- D. Nahed Eye E. South West of Okserver
- г. 90°
- G.
- H. Straight Line

J. Clear night full room.

R. Open Valley L. None

Η. N. 11 would sig 

Keighlen

· Q.

.

;

P. 2340 UTC 07.06.01

TOTAL P.02



From: Directorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB



Telephone (Direct dial) (Fax)

0207 218 2140

	Your Reference
Rudstow	Our Reference D/DAS/64/2
Humberside	Date 4-June 2001

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 20 May 2001. The report was passed to this office by RAF Fylingdales as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 May 2001 from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely

10.00

#### UNCLASSIFIED

### copy 1 for DAS(SEC)/MB7254

¥

Precedence	ROUTINE	
DTG	200820Z MAY 01	
From	RAF FYLINGDALES	
То	MODUK AIR	
SIC	B4D	
SUBJ: AER	IAL PHENOMENON	
	AERIAL PHENOMENON	
	ING SIGHTING OF A POSSIBLE UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT IS	
REPORTED	:	
A. 20 MAY	1, 0115L.	
B. SMALL LIGHT.	BLOBS OF RINGS WITH LARGER RING AROUND. VERY BRIGHT WHITE	
	NARY, OUTDOORS.	d.
D. NAKED		
E. FILLED	WHOLE SKY.	
F. ABOVE	CLOUD COVER.	
G. ABOVE	CLOUD COVER.	
H. CIRCLE	ROUND.	
J. LIGHT		
K. NOTHIN	G OF SIGNIFICANCE.	
L. RAF FY	LINGDALES.	
M	, RUDSTOW,	
HUMBERSI	DE.	an a that is an an array
N. SOBER.		
	D SAW FIRST THEN TOLD WIFE TO COME OUT TO SEE.	
Q. 200710	L MAY 01.	
R. NIL.	THE STATE THE SUCCESSOR US & NOW DEDN DEDODURD BY SWOND	
	BELIEVE THAT THE PHENOMENON HAS NOT BEEN REPORTED BY ANYONE	
ELSE.	T LT CREW CMDR - RAFTN/UNITER	
2. POC FL		
END OF X.	100 TEXT	*
<sup>51</sup>		8 /
	STRIBUTION	
SMA	SIC ACTION BRANCH DUTY OFFICER	
<u> </u>		
MODUK AIR		
् इ	B4D DAS (SEC) /MB7254	
÷.		
:		



\*

892) 10

#### . -/PRMD=MODUK-AMRAD/ADMD= /C=GB/;amrad2.hq-:249401:20010520091 P1 Identifier 306 : ACP127 Identifier RBDAIA 2456 1400830

## RDCPR02/20 May 2001 09:13:16

R.

4

•

#### UNCLASSIFIED



#### UNCLASSIFIED

#### copy 1 for DAS(SEC)/MB7254

-

ï

.

. 118 6 Q., H. . .

Content Californi

MM Identifier

/CN=RAF FYLINGDALES/DD.acp-plad=RAF FYLINGDALES/DD.acp-ri=RB DAIA/OU2=OUA/OU1=MODMAIN/O=HQ-AMRAD/PRMD=MODUK-AMRAD/ADMD= /C=GB/ 1256 010520091256Z

#### RDCPR02/20 May 2001 09:13:16

#### UNCLASSIFIED

## page 2/last

. 544.05

2

	From: The second		20	
	2	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Fax)	0207 218 214
		Your R	leference	
Yorkshire UFO Osmondthorpe Leeds	INFO	Our Re D/DAS	ference /64/2	
		Date § June	2001	

Thank you for your two recent letters. In your first letter you asked if we had received any sighting reports of "unidentified flying objects" within the period 28 April 2001 to 1 May 2001. I can confirm that we received one sighting report from the Swansea area on 29 April 2001 at 16:20. The informant reported seeing three spherical, silver objects travelling from east to west, visible for about a minute.

With regard to your second letter, I can confirm that we received no other reports of "UFOs" from anywhere in the UK for 23 May 2001. You also asked if we had received any other reports within the period 21 May 2001 to 24 May 2001. I can confirm that we received two reports, both from the Forest Hill area in South East London, on 22 May 2001 at 22:25 and on 23 May 2001 between 22:05 and 22:40. On both occasions the informant reported seeing a disk shaped object with a beam of light coming from it.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely

//ZA ILLEA

	LEAS WHEN
6	<u>Yorkshire UFO INFO</u>
Investigations Director	
<u>Osmondthorpe</u>	
<u>Leeds</u>	
	Tel Email:

Dear Sir,

I would like to report a UFO sighting to you that was made to us.

The sighting was on the 23.5.01, 12.15 hours, from the Nottingham area.

Our witness has informed me that he seen a "Bright white light" that made several movements before coming to a complete stop, at which point the object remained in a hover.

I would like to ask you if you have received any further reports from the 21.5.01-24.5.01 for anywhere in the United Kingdom.

Yours sincerely,







	UFO INFO
	Yorkshire UFO INFO
Investigations Director	
<u>Osmondthorpe</u> Leeds	
	Tel
	Email:

Dear Sir,

.

I would like to ask you if you have had any reports made to you during the dates of 28.4.01 & 1.5.01.

The reason I ask is that we have had a few reports of strange lights over Co. Durham.

Any information that you can offer is greatly appreciated.


MINISTRY OF DEFENCE MOD SECRETARIA RCOM 8245 MAIN BUILDING WHITEHIALL LONDON SWIA 2HB





agentes da stati

ang Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew Ange Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew



### RECORD OF MESSAGE LEFT ON DAS 4A ANSWERPHONE SATURDAY 3 JUNE 2001 @ 02:14

.

1

÷

Caller



a security officer on duty, had just seen an object he could not identify over Hertfordshire near Hitchen. The object passed over him emitting a bright mauve light.



3



Any Background Information on the Informant that may be Volunteered

#### MESSAGES LEFT ON ANSWERPHONE

Tuesday 12.00pm



London

said the time was 10.25pm and she was telephoning about the alien craft that was near the back of her house. There was a disc with a beam of light coming from it. It was interfering with the electrical equipment in the house and there was strange noises in the house.

### Wednesday 9.26pm

again. She said the time was 10.40pm. Telephoning about the alien craft which was now to the east of the house. It does not want to go away. There are beams Of white light engulfing the house. She said she had reported it to the MOD and they had told her to report it to us or the CAA. She has done this and if it keeps returning she will tell the Police.

### Wednesday 9.42pm

again. She said the time was 10.05pm. There are beams of light from north of the house, ¼ of a mile away. They up in to the sky. She does not know what it is.

Messages taken off answerphone 25 May 2001.

10



I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 12 May 2001. The report was passed to this office by the Aeronautical Information Service (Military) at RAF West Drayton as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 12 May 2001 from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely



The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1

AIS (M) LATCC TO SEC AS FROM

14-MAY-2001 07:23 AN UNIDENTITIED I ETING UBJECT .... ----~ .

-

٢.01

+

TRANSMISSION DETAILS:	DTG of Transmission:	
From:		To:
AIS(M), LATCC(M), Porters Way, West	Drayton	
Middlesex UB7 9AU		SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall
Fax No:		London SW1A 2HB
		Fax No:
AUTHORISING OFFICER:		TRANSMISSION OPERATOR
DANK		
RANK,		RANK/GRADE & NAME
	Of D F.T	
SIGNATURE.		SIGNATURE:
<u> </u>	AY an	$\circ$ $\circ$
A DATE: 12 MAY T	ME: 2210- Z	DURATION OF SIGHTING: 2HKD DO MIND.
B DESCRIPTION OF BJECT:	0100	Smell?
Number 2		Other 0
size not Given		
Shape DATELLIED		
Colour WHITE		
Brightness		
Sound? NO		
C EXACT POSITION OF OBSER	RVER:	
Geographical location: BLS	61 CHANNEL	
Indoors Outdoors Stationary		
D HOW OBJECT WAS OBSERV		
HOW OBJECT WAS OBSERV	ED:	
Naked eye/Binoculars/Camera	Alideo comero	
E DIDE TION IN MUCH OF		andmark may be useful) = a a O
EV TONE DI		IN O IT TOUR ADDING
A DE LA DE L	on the indiana	abuil Ulareis Arrivanties
of metalo	" TRAVELLED AK	andmark may be useful) LD, it stated APPRoached And the Filst and Then went 20 wern
F ANGLE OF SIGHT: Coult	SNOT DAY THEM	to are rentered in the och putit alot
000	SIND JAP I	
G DISTANCE (By reference to a	known landmark if possible	):
	SNOT DAY	
H MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:	- 00	
A:	Deschert.	
J MET CONDITIONS DURING O	BSERVATION (Moving clo	ouds, mist, haze etc):
	cleality	
<u> </u>		e de la constante de la consta
K NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUIL	PINGS:	
KealGa	Loon of a hard of	HOURT
L TO WHOM REPORTED:		
Press:	Ο.	
Police: Driven Darth )	NALED YOLCE. DC	



3

	From: Directorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB
	Telephone (Direct dial) 0207 218 2140 (Fax)
Porth Rhonda Valley	Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS/64/2
Wales	Date 23 May 2001

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 14 May 2001. The report was passed to this office by the Aeronautical Information Service (Military) at RAF West Drayton as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 14 May 2001 from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.



EFE 24/2021/1

14-MAY-2001	08:25	FROM	AIS(M)LATCC	το	SEC AS	P.01
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	199 <b>1 - 1</b> 999 - 1990 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1990 - 1999 -			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

X TRANSMISSION DETAILS:	DTG of Transmission:		_
AIS(M), LATCC(M), Porters Way, West Middlesex UB7 9AU Fax No: AUTHORISING OFFICER:	Drayton	To: SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall London SW1A 2HB Fax No: TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:	
RANK, NAME A LONG		RANK/GRADE & NAME:	_
SIGNATU		SIGNATURE:	

	A DATE: 14 MAYOT	TIME: 0	650 Z	DURATION	OF SIGHTING:	APPROX	SECONDS
1	DESCRIPTION OF OBJEC	T:		Smell?	ALL	THIRDE S	20000107
	Number ONE			Other			
	Size TENNIS BALL				AUC		
	Shape Round FRONT.		lar back	s			
	Colour RATHERSON C	ocours					
[	Brightness VIERCY BA	LENT					
F	Sound? Acce				. <u></u>		
۱ ۹	EXACT POSITION OF OBS	SERVER:					
	Geographical location:	itume	e addre	$s_3 - RH$	tomba va	lay	
	Indoors Outdoors Stational					,	
T		//Moving					
		RVED:					
	Naked eye/Binoculars/Came	raA/ideo cam					
E		IECT WAS EI	POT SEEN. /	A log advantation of			
0000			COLOREN: (	a lanomank ma	ay be useful)		
F	ANGLE OF SIGHT:			-			
	A.	PROX 4	-2-				ĺ
G	DISTANCE (By reference to	a known land	mark if possib	e):			
		164FER -					
н	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:						-
	m	wince in	A NURTHE	ercy Dir	ECTION - APPR	of 3 Roof-	TOP LENGHTY
				1			
5	MET CONDITIONS DURING		ON (Moving o	louds, mist, h	aze etc):		
	c	FAR					
K	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BU	DINCE.					
	1-1.	ouses					
L	TO WHOM REPORTED:						
	Press:						
	Police:						3
	FUICE.						

Other: M INFORMANTS' DETAILS' Name:		(#)
Address:	PORTH, RHONDDA 1	ALLEVW)
N ANY BACKGROUND OF T	HE INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED:	
	Husbane) + milteman	
	Husbard) + Miltemand 14 MAY 01 0730 2.	
O OTHER WITNESS? P DATE/TIME OF REPORT:		TOTAL P.01

	From: Directorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB	20
	Telephone (Direct dial) 0207 2 (Fax)	218 2140
Dersingham Norfolk	Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS/64/2 Date & May 2001	

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 7 May 2001. The report was passed to this office by RAF Marham as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

Dear

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 7 May 2001 from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1

-> DAS 4A1 (SEC).

1.14 page 1

### UNCLASSIFIED

19				copy 1 for DAO/M	B4219	
			2	9) <sub>10</sub> 51	2	
				3		
Precedence	ROUTINE					
DTG	080740Z MAY	01			23	
From	RAF MARHAN	4				
Го	MODUK AIR			12	99 	
SIC .	Z6F		0.6			
			· · ·	te		
SUBJ: MRM	0001 128			P.	10	
UFO REPOR	T					
1. 072030			12			
		E TADPOLE SHA	PED OBJECT WITH A	LONG TAIL		
FOLLOWEI	SHORTLY AFT		O (2) FURTHER SIMI		E	
TOGETHEF		8				
	I HOUSE FROM	KITCHEN				
4. NAKED					<b>N</b> (195)	
5. NORTH	- NORTH EAST	1				
5. UNABLE	TO ASCERTAI	N				
7. UNABLE	TO ASCERTAI	N				22032
8. OBJECT	S REPORTED A	S TRAVELLING	ACROSS THE WASH FE	ROM HUNSTANTON		
AREA PAS	T DERSINGHAM	TOWARDS HOLE	EACH AREA. APPROX	NE - SW	89 89	
a contract term and the second	ISIBILITY CI		£.			
10. NONE						10
	OPERATIONS (	FFICER RAF MA	RHAM FLT LT BINNIN	NGTON		
12	QI DIGITIQUE C		ERSINGHAM NORFOLK			
			NFORMATION BUT FRO			
				M GIDI UP	60 600 50 10 <b>0</b> 100	51 (° <b>1</b>
1920 CH CLONDER CONCINCTION CONTRACTOR		SURMISE ELDE				
		E BUT CALLER	WOULD NOT IDENTIFY	ſ		
	OA MAY 01					
			RED PERFECTLY RATI		[	
			FROM HER. SHE WAS			
			ONVINCE THAT THE N			
			CE WAS NOT AWARE W		2	
WERE. TH	HE CALLER SEN	IS AIRCRAFT RE	GULARLY OVER THE W	NASH AND WAS		
ADAMANT	THAT THE OB.	ECTS WERE NOT	CONVENTIONAL AIR	CRAFT		
All	400 TEXT				4	
	na v oriestatores i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			a		
· · · ·	6 - <del>6</del>			£2	an the second	$\mathbf{r}_{i}$
\$	30 s					
ACTION D	STRIBUTION		8	20 M		
SMA	SIC AC	CTION BRANCH	DUTY OFFIC	ER		
3.7			2	1. K. K.		
MODUK AI	2		8	*		
PRODUCT ALL		AS (SEC) /MB7254	4			
					5. <sup>10</sup> 1000 5555 Ju 100 54	23.
				17 F	$(\mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r}_{i}) = (\mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r}_{i}) + (\mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r}_{i}) + (\mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r}_{i})$	

INFO DISTRIBUTION



DI 55/WH 336

### Tracing Detail

• ; ;

8.

### ACASPR01/08 May 2001 08:15:02

.

ж. Э

UNCLASSIFIED

#### UNCLASSIFIED

### copy 1 for DAO/MB4219

#### P1 Identifier

MM Identifier

1.2

1.5.25

#### /PRMD=MODUK-AMRAD/ADMD= /C=GB/;amrad2.hq-:002132:20010508081 501 ACP127 Identifier **RBDAIW 5710 1280813** /CN=RAF MARHAM/DD.acp-plad=RAF MARHAM/DD.acp-ri=RBDAIW/OU2=O UA/OU1=MODMAIN/O=HQ-AMRAD/PRMD=MODUK-AMRAD/ADMD=/C=GB/ 1455 010508081455Z

#### 201

 $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{p}}$ A. (1.)





### From: Directorate of Air Staff 4a1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 8245, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000



Dear

Thank you for your recent letter in which you have reported three sightings of 'unidentified flying objects' between December 2000 and 22 February 2001, all of which you believe are incursions of UK airspace.

As you will be aware from previous correspondence, the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. Any threat to the Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time and it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft.

With regard to your particular sightings, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings in these locations, on the dates you gave. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

In paragraph (b) of your letter, you say that the 'craft' was in 'what you have been assured is an air exclusion zone'. Military aircraft do not generally train close to civilian airports and this is what may have been meant by an 'air exclusion zone'. However, Woolwich and Greenwich are within the Control Zone for London City Airport. Civilian aircraft will therefore be seen in the area and will be under the control of London City Airport air traffic controllers. If you have any concerns about this air traffic, you may wish to contact the airport direct.

Yours sincerely,





Dear

40

Sorry I havn't forwarded anything recently, but I've been rather busy, (the recording studio rebuild is going very well & we should re-open hopefully in the Summer). Hence enc: is a CD-ROM of some of our recent cases we think might be of interest to your dept:

- a) Rendlesham 2000\* (actually on the 20<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the original case).... This to our knowledge is from the only footage available in civilian hands. This object was clearly visible for over 20 minutes. We were actually there & witnessed it with at least 30 other people inc: one of the original witnesses from Bentwaters (original Rendlesham case) in 1980 & many well known researchers. We investigated this sighting on the night & have made a thorough investigation in collaboration with local research groups to date. In our opinion it remains 'unidentified'. It was a clear incursion of the UK's airspace and would have posed a danger to legitimate civilian & military aircraft & was in close proximity to a former airbase (Bentwaters) esp: as it was at a very low altitude & presumed (to our inquiries) to have been below the radar ceiling.
- b) Woolwich Dec'00\* (picture 1). On the 29<sup>th</sup> Dec'00 a triangular 'UFO' was observed for several in minutes over the Millenium Dome in Greenwich. The following photograph was taken. This was witnessed by 3 workmen & myself (who took the photo from the studio roof). Our research shows this was no kite, balloon, advertising blimp etc.... the 'craft' was under obviously intelligent control. Clearly below 200 feet in altitude and in direct breach of what we have been assured is (or now was?) an air exclusion zone. Both in a sensitive area & at a sensitive time. It is also very close to the flightpath of the Docklands City Airport. In our opinion posed a danger to local air traffic & was thus of UK defence significance.
- c) Woolwich Feb'01\* (picture 2). On the 22<sup>nd</sup> Feb'01 a bright luminous orange spherical 'UFO' was observed in almost the same location as the previous sighting. It was witnessed by myself and a workman on site. (I was able to take this one photo, I keep a camera with me at all times to photograph the development of the studio re-build). This object was witnessed for around a minute and exhibited fast 'controlled (?)' movement, also for a short time remaining stationary while in close proximity to the Docklands City Airport, then a very fast, low trajectory before disappearing into thin air on a clear day with very good visibility. I only just managed to catch it on film. This 'UFO' was in my opinion posed a danger to air traffic in the vicinity of the Docklands City Airport. The nature of this sighting rules out natural phenomena such as bollide meteors & ball lightning. This object remains unidentified.
  - full reports of these sightings are available.



### WOOLWICH UFO RESEARCH GROUP

# WOOLWICH UFO RESEARCH GROUP

Finally in strict confidence I have been given an encrypted message from the SETI Institute in the USA, before the NSA closed down any further transmissions concerning this signal. Please do not mention me as the source or this would put me in direct danger. Part of it I have included on the CD-ROM. Presumed to have been part of project 'Phoenix'? We have now managed to decode this message and it is very 'interesting'. As have now shared this with 'friends' in Moscow (who share UFO research with us), we feel it is OK to hand on to you, to pass on to any relevant dept in the MOD. We have the full document in our safe keeping.

All the best,

(Woolwich UFO Research Group)

**WOOLWICH UFO RESEARCH GROUP** 





Picture 1 Dec'00 triangular ufo over the Millenium Dome Greenwich



Picture 2 Feb'01 spherical orange ufo over Woolwich, near D.C.A.

Full colour images are available on the CD-ROM.

و و و و و و و و



------



### MINISTRY OF DEFENCE F.A.O

بالمرجز تفريعونه الرابير والرادية

SECRETARIAT (AIR STAFF) 2A ROOM 8245 MAIN BUILDING WHITEHALL LONDON SW1A 2HB N ROAD, PAIGNTON, DEVON, TQ4 7NG.

© Crown Copyright





21.

	From: Directorate of Air MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, Londor	18		
		Telephone	(Direct dial) (Fax)	0207 218 2140
		Your I	Reference	
Oxley Wolverhamptor West Midlands		Data	eference S/64/2	

Thank you for your letter dated 29 April 2001, in which you report a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' in Wolverhampton on 20 December 2000. Your letter was passed to this office as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

Dear

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 December from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely



Low Him I was.

### MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT

To

Ref No <u>3たら</u>/2001 Date <u>915(0</u>)

The Secretary of State, / \_\_\_\_\_\_ has received the attached letter from a member of the public. It has not been acknowledged by this office.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister concerned. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly, your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of receipt in this branch. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99, further information is available from DOMD on extension

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the

public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

ROOM 6140

OXLEY

WOLVERHAMPTON,

WEST MIDLANDS.

DEAR SIR,

29/4/2005

TEL Nº

I WISH TO REPORT A U.F.O.

I WAS COMPENSATIONE FROM WORK, THE TIME WAS 11, OP.M. JUNSON (2 PM TO 10 P.M.) 20th DEC I WAS WALKING DOWN A ALLEY BE WEEN THE ROAD AND WHRE I LIVE.

I LOOK UP AND DEE A TRIANGLE U.F.O. IT WAS ABOUT 100 FEET LONG BY SOTO 60 FEET WIDE.

AND iT WAS MOVEING AT STOLD M. P.H. AND 20 TO 30 FEET OVER THE HOUSES,

AS I LIVE ABOUT S MILES FROM R.A.F. COSFORD I DENT A LETTER TO THEN. AND A RELD SQUADRON LEAPER REPLY AND DAID NO OTHER SIGHTING HAVE BEED REPORTED FOR THAT TIME. I CANNOT BELIVE THIS.

I hope you will Look in TO THIS AND LET ME NO ANYTINCE YOU CAN.



	From: Director MINISTRY OF DEFE Main Building, Whiteha			17
	(25.1	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Fax)	0207 218 214
		Your R	eference	
Syderstone Norfolk		Our Re D/DAS	ference /64/2	
NUTUR		Date 9 May	2001	

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 13 April 2001. The report was passed to this office by the Aeronautical Information Service (Military) at RAF West Drayton as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' You also left a message on our answerphone.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

It mentions in the report that the sighting was recorded on videotape. If you are still concerned that what you saw might have represented something of defence significance, then please send the tape in to us, although, as I have said, we can not attempt to identify the precise nature of the sighting. The tape would, of course, be posted back to you once viewed.

Yours sincerely

04-MAY-2001 14:40 FROM AIS(M)LATCC

TO SEC AS

P.01

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

FAX TRANSMISSION DETAILS:	OTG of Transmission:		· · · ·
From: AIS(M), LATCC(M), Porters Way, West Middlesex UB7 9AU Fax No: AUTHORISING OFFICER:		To: SEC (AS)2, MOD Main Building, Whitehall London SW1A 2HB Fax No: TRANSMISSION OPERATOR:	·
RANK, NAME & APPOINTMENT:		RANK/GRADE & NAME:	
SIGNATURE:		SIGNATURE	

A	DATE: 3 APA OI TIME: Z DURATION OF SIGHTING: 20 0105.
8	
	Size Other
	Shape
	Brightness BRIGHT LIGHT
	Sound?
C	EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER:
3	Geographical location:
	OVELHEAD SYDERSTONE, NORFOLK FM DOCKING
	Lodeors/Outdoors/Stationary/Meving 4-5 m NW
D	HOW OBJECT WAS OBSERVED:
	Naked eye/Binoculars/Comora/Video camera
E	DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT WAS FIRST SEEN: (A landmark may be useful)
*	FM DOCKING
F	ANGLE OF SIGHT:
_	LOW TO HORIZON
G	DISTANCE (By reference to a known landmark if possible):
1	
-	
H	MOVEMENT OF OBJECT:
ŀ	
÷	MET CONDITIONS BURNING OBOTENIA
1	MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATION (Moving clouds, mist, haze etc):
	OVER CHET NIGHT
R	NEARBY OBJECTS OR BUILDINGS:
	TERROT ODECTO OR BUILDINGS.
1	
t	TO WHOM REPORTED:
	Press:



<b>G</b>	From: The Directorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB	16
	Telephone (Direct dial (Fax)	) 0207 218 2140
	Your Reference	
Mastrick Aberdeen	Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS/64/2	

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 4 April 2001. The report was passed to us by Grampian police via the Aeronautical Information Service (Military) at RAF West Drayton as this office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

I should wish to assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous policing of the UK Air Defence Region by the Royal Air Force and we remain vigilant for any potential military threat. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on 4 April 2001 the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.







## **Facsimile Transmission Cover Sheet**

Serial Number:	Transmission:	Document Reference:	
	Date: OS APROL Time: 01402	Total number of pages including this of	ne:
From: ScATCC (Mil)	Fax Number: Tel Number:	TO: Fax Number: AIS MIL LATCC MCD SEC (MS) 2	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Authorised by: Rank Na	ame Appointment	Transmitted by: Rank Name Tel Nu CVL	mpėt
Signature:		Signature:	

SENDING FAX OF A REPORT. SUBMITTED TO GRAMPIAN ADLICE, BELIEVE OUR CIVIL COLLEAGUES ALSO HUAD A REPORT LAST MIGHT.

### Classification: UNCLAS

Covering:

Caveat



.

1

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1

5 Apr '01



GRAMPIAN POLLICE ANDREWG BROWN OPM Chief Cunstable

FAX MESSAGE

FAX MESSAGE

5 April 2001

P. 02

Police Headquarters

Queen Street

Tel: 01224 386000

Far:

Aberdeen ABIO 1ZA

1:15

This document and any accompanying this facsimile transmission contains information from Grampian Police which is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the exclusive use of the recipient named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents in any manner whatsoever is prohibited and is contrary to the provisions of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephoning immediately.

Number of Pages to follow:.....ONE

Fax

Force/Organisation:

Subject:

FLASHING LIGHTS

Remarks/Instructions:

SEE ATTACHED INCIDENT REPORT - 2ND REPORT OF SAME TO OUR OFFICE

From - (Rank/Name) ...... CONSTABLE

Department FORCE CONTROL ROOM

### FAX MESSAGE

### FAX MESSAGE

FAX MESSAGE

÷ . .

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1 5 Apr '01 1:15 5-Apr -01 2:10; P.03 RAF Prestwick FAX Fax Page 2/2 GRAMPIAN POLICE PAGE 1 05/04/2001 00:02:41 - Incident Report / GP-20010404-443 osted by: 9316 Estation FHQ-6 Section :Bl 04/04/2001 21:12:55 (SUSP.INC) SUSPICIOUS INCIDENT Seat: B3A Call Origin:TEL (4) GRADE 4 1111 Final Result Codes (1 to 6) : Scenario: REGION 5075 Operator No. (Dispatcher) : --- Address ----Proximity: MASTRICK. ABERDIEN ( ] Valid Gazeteer: 1423 --- Complainer ---Surname/First Name . 1 --- Date/Time Information -Creation: 04/04/2001 21:10:55 21:12:55 04/04/2001 Received: Dispatched: On Route: Arrived: Control: " ' : · · Cleared: Disposed: --- incident Log ----04/04/2001 21:14:34 5075 REMARKS INFT REPORTS THAT THERE WOULD BE A STRANGE LIGHT IN THE SKY THIS WOULD HAVE BEEN OVER NORTHFIELD YESTERDAY AND IS NOW 04/04/2001 21:15:09 5075 REMARKS OVER MASTRICK 1.1 REMARKS 04/04/2001 21:15:52 5075 REMARKS 04/04/2001 21:16:20 5075 INFT WOULD HAVE CALLED THE AIRPORT LAST NIGHT (THEY AGREED THAT THERE WAS SOMETHING THERE BUT WERE NOT SURF. WHAT IT WAS) i di su d REMARKS 04/04/2001 21:16:23 5075 REMARKS 10.00 04/04/2001 21:16:32 5075 THIS WOULD BE VISIBLE FROM WESTHILL ALSO 04/04/2001 21:16:34 5075 REMARKS IT IS DESCRIBED AS A BIG STAR TWINKLING BUT WHEN YOU LOOK 04/04/2001 21:10:05 5075 REMARKS AT IT THROUGH BINOCULARS IT WOULD BE A RED LIGHT AND A BLUE LIGHT AND AN URANGE LIGHT 04/04/2001 21:18:11 5075 REMARKS • -- Incident Relationships ---GP-20010403-516 ASSOCIATED BY OPERATOR 9316 CALL CARD -Data Protection Act 1996 This document is confidential, unauthorized use or disclosure is unlawful 1992 (See 197



P.02.

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1 HISCOLHICC

U I

SEC AS

Yoron (3)M 6/4 (Nov '99)

SCJU1



FAX MESSAGE

Police Headquarters Queen Street Aberdeen ABIO IZA

> Tel: 01224 386000 Fax:

FAX MESSAGE

5 April 2001

This document and any accompanying this facsimile transmission contains information from Grampian Police which is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the exclusive use of the recipient named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient he aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents in any manuer whatsoever is prohibited and is contrary to the provisions of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephoning immediately.

Number of Pages to follows......ONE

To - Name: 

Force/Organisation:

Subject:

FLASHING LIGHTS

Remarks/Testructions:

SEE ATTACHED INCIDENT REPORT - 2ND REPORT OF SAME TO OUR OFFICE

From - (Rauk/Name). CONSTABLE

FORCE CONTROL ROOM Department ......

#### Number/Extension ..... .....01224386000

### FAX MESSAGE

### FAX MESSAGE

### FAX MESSAGE

The National Archiv 05-APR-2001 06:59 FROM AIS(M)LATCC GRAVIAN		TO			P.03 Page
182441	•		1		*
mested by: .9316 Incident Report / G	P-2001(	0464-44:	1		
			<u> </u>		
04/04/200121:12:55(806P.INC)SUSPICIOUS INCIDENT(4)GRADE 4Call Origin:TEP(nal Result Codes (1 to 6) : / / / / /5075Scenario:REGIOOperator No. (Dispatcher) :	L				Section :B3 Beat:B3A
- Address					
NASTRICK. ABERDEEN					Proximity
				11	Valid Gaseteen
- Complainer		e*			
		đ			
		×.			
- Date/Time Information					
Crwelion: 04/04/2001 21:10:55	10 <b>1</b> 5				<u>v</u>
Received: 04/04/2001 21:12:55		10			
Dispetched: On Noute:			2		
Arrivod:					
Control:		i			
Cleared:				3	
Disposed:					
- Incident Log	THE	04/04	/2001 21:14:34	5075	REMARKS
SKY THIS WOULD HAVE BEEN OVER NORTHFIELD YESTERDAY AND	TA NOW	04/04	/2001 21:15:09	5075	RENARKS
OVER MASTRICK		2	/2001 21:15:52		23
INET WOULD HAVE CALLED THE AIRPORT LAST NIGHT (THEY			/2001 21:15:32		
INFT WOULD HAVE CALLED THE RIRFORT LAST DIVERE NOT					
WHAT IT WAS)		nà /04	/2001 21:16:23	5075	REMARKS
THIS WOULD DE VISIBLE FROM WESTHILL ALSO		04/04	/2001 21:16:32	3075	REMARKS
		04/04	/2001 21:16:34	1 5075 5 5075	Remarks Remarks
IT IS DESCRIBED AS A BIG STAR TWINKLING BUT WHEN YO AT IT THROUGH BINOCULARS IT WOULD BE A RED LIGHT AN	DA	04704	/2001 11:10:0:	, ,,,,,	
BLUE LIGHT AND AN UNANCE LIGHT					RENARKS
		04/04	/2001 21:18:13	50/5	KOMMAND
		. ļ			
CALL CARD - GP-20010403-516 ASSOCIAT	to by	OPERAT	OR 9316		
Data Protectio	n Act	1998			
Data Protectio This document is confidenti disclosure is	al, un unlaw	eucnorx. Evl	36 <i>0 n</i> 2 <b>8</b> AI		
	*	÷			
	· · ·	•			
		1			

.

TOTAL P.03

	From: Directorate of Air Staff 4a, Room 8245 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB	15	
	Telephone (Direct dial) (Fax)	0207 218 2140	
Barrmill Beith	Your Reference Our Reference D/DAS/64/2		
Dear	Date May 2001		

I am writing with reference to your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on 4 April 2001. The report was passed to us by Grampian police via the Aeronautical Information Service (Military) at RAF West Drayton as this office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

I should wish to assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous policing of the UK Air Defence Region by the Royal Air Force and we remain vigilant for any potential military threat. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on 4 April 2001 the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.



### fefort attached to E10



Dear

T

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 16 February, in the vicinity of Scarborough. This Department is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs' and your letters to RAF Leeming and Humberside Police have also been sent to us for reply.

I will answer your questions in the same order as your letter.

### Could you clarify this sighting?

As you will be aware from previous correspondence, the Ministry of Defence's interest in reports of 'UFOs' is limited to whether there is any evidence that UK airspace has been compromised by authorised air activity. Unless there is such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular sighting, we are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft on this day.

Was the military flying exercises?

The only military flying activities notified to the Ministry of Defence are major exercises and low flying training (below 2000 feet), where a specific booking is required. Individual sorties at medium or high level and small Squadron exercises are flown depending on individual training requirements and it is therefore not possible to say if there were any military aircraft in the area. I can confirm, however, that there were no major exercises taking place and there was only one aircraft flying at low level. This was a search and rescue Sea King helicopter operating in the general area between 17.45 and 18.45.

## Have you had any more sightings reported from anywhere else on the night in question?

We received three other reports for 16 February and these are summarised below.

Date & Time:	16 February 2001 18:30
Location:	Ryhall, Lincolnshire
Sighting:	Two large, bright objects, no particular shape.
Distance:	2-3 miles
Movement:	North to South
Duration of Sighting:	2-3 minutes

Date & Time:	16 February 2001 18:20
Location:	Ruskington, Shropshire
Sighting:	Two white lights
Distance:	Right above
Movement:	Heading east
Duration of Sighting:	Not given

.

Date & Time:	16 February 2001 21:00
Location:	Bishops Castle, Shropshire
Sighting:	One red, yellow and green light, no particular shape.
Distance:	20-30 miles
Movement:	Towards Shrewsbury
Duration of Sighting:	

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

(2)





Low Him WED'S.

### **MINISTERIAL CORRESPONDENCE UNIT**

AS 4(sec То

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE DAS 4 (SEC)

12 APR 2001

FILE

Date  $((\langle \zeta (0) \rangle)$ 

Ref No 3( 1/2001

The Secretary of State, / \_\_\_\_\_ has received the attached letter from a member of the public. It has not been acknowledged by this office.

Please send a reply on behalf of the Minister concerned. All Ministers attach importance to such letters being answered promptly, your reply should therefore be sent within 20 working days of receipt in this branch. If, exceptionally, this should prove impossible an interim reply should be sent within the same timescale.

A new Open Government Code of Practice on Access to Government Information came into force in January 1997. All replies to members of the public must be in accordance with the procedures set out in the Code. A full explanation of the Code of Practice is contained in DCI(Gen) 223/99, further information is available from DOMD on extension

Under the Citizens' Charter, Departments are now required to keep records of their performance. All branches and Agencies are required to keep information on the number of **requests for information which refer to the Code of Practice** including details of the correspondent and the nature and date of the reply. In addition, the Department is required to provide a record of the total number of letters from members of the public and provide statistics (which may be used on a valid sample) of its performance in providing replies within their published targets.

As part of our monitoring procedure, random spot checks on the accuracy of your branch records on correspondence will be performed throughout the year.

ROOM 6140





LFAN

### R.E. Scarborough Sighting 16.2.01

I have had several sightings reported to us, which I actually witnessed myself and my son caught it/them on camcorder. Please find below details of sighting including screen shots:

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.20

Duration Of Sighting: 8 - 9 Minutes

Nearest Town: Scarborough

"We, when we took a wrong turn. We pulled up down one of the side streets to turn around and we then decided to have a drink, (Coke Only!) and while we stood outside our cars we all looked to the skies as it was a very clear night. It was whet we stood outside the object/object's in the night sky,. "Look at that, Two of them!!!" We all looked up in amazement, we could clearly see two very bright balls of light (Brighter then Jupiter), moving in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were about 3 - 4 inches apart, and travelling at the same speed from West to East. It was then I wished I had my camcorder with me, but luckily I had my mobile phone, so I called my son whet was back home in Hull, and asked him if he could see anything in the sky near Orion's Belt, luckily I taught him some of the major constellations, He said he could see "Two balls of light travelling from West To East just going through Orion's Belt." I asked him to get my camcorder and video the objects, without moving too sharply or going into digital. He videod for about 2 minutes and got some very good shots. We actually saw the objects for about 8 or 9 minutes before losing sight of it over the roof tops. Whatever it was, was now gone, but luckily we now have the video to prove what we witnessed that night."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.20

Duration Of Sighting: 8 - 9 Minutes

Nearest Town: Scarborough

"We all witnessed two very bright balls of ligh (Brighter then any planet), moving in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were moving from West To East and about 3 inches apart, and both were



travelling at the same speed. My boyfriend phoned our son, **bound** on the mobile phone and asked him if he could see anything in the sky near Orion's Belt. He said he could see the same objects we could see. He got his Dad's camcorder and videod the objects. He videod for about 2 minutes (See Video 16.02.01). We actually saw the objects for about 4 or 8 minutes before losing sight of it over the roof tops."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.20

Duration Of Sighting: 8 - 9 Minutes

Nearest Town: Scarborough



"I spotted two very bright balls of light (Brighter then any planet), moving West to East in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were about 3 inches apart, and both were traveling at the same speed. I told the others to look and witness what I had seen.

otherOphoned his son, back home in Hull to see if he could see the same objects we were viewing. He verified that he could see the same thing and he reordered the objects on his dad's camcorder. We saw the objects for about 6 - 8 minutes before they disappeared over the

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

rooftops."

Time Of Sighting: 18.21

Duration Of Sighting: 2 - 3 Minutes

Nearest Town: Hull

"My Dad telephoned me and told me to look outside towards Orion's Belt. I spotted two very bright balls of light moving West to East in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were about 2 - 3 inches apart, and both were traveling at the same speed. I shouted



my Brother to come and look as well. He saw the same objects what me, my Dad, **m4**0 could see in Scarborough. I videod the whole event for my Dad before I lost sight of them over the roof."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.21

Duration Of Sighting: 2 - 3 Minutes

Nearest Town: Hull

"My Dad telephoned my brother and told him to look outside towards Orion's Belt. He spotted two very bright balls of light moving West to East in a straight line, one in front of the other and shouted me. I'd say the object/objects were about 2 inches apart, and both were traveling at the same speed. We saw the same objects what, my Dad, could see in Scarborough wideod the whole event for my Dad before we lost sight of them."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 19.15

Duration Of Sighting: 20 - 25 Seconds

Nearest Town: Hull

"It was dark, with clear skies and the moon was not visible. I was in my back yard generally looking up into the night sky, looking at the stars. When I noticed two white round objects, each about the size of a bright star. They where moving in a straight line, one in front of the other. I didn't notice anything unusual like effects to cats dogs etc. At first I thought it could have been two airplanes flying in formation but there was no noise, no flashing lights and they appeared to be traveling too fast. They were traveling in a West to East direction."

I can also tell you I have ruled out the ISS (International Space Station) and the Space Shuttle, although at the time they were undocking, they could only be seen in the lower half of Britain and they would have been too high up, altitude wise, as they went through the

constellation of Taurus (Auriga) while the object/s we witnessed went through the bottom half of Orion.



There is talk that the military might have been flying exercises over the areas in question, but

seem

to

a

we

very

÷ •

Date	Mag	Starts		Max. <u>Altitude</u>			Ends			
		Time	<u>Ait.</u>	<u>Az.</u>	Time	<u>Ait</u>	Az.	Time	<u>Alt.</u>	Az.
<u>16 Feb</u>	-0.3	18:21:03	10	WSW	18:24:06	58	S	18:26:06	19	ESE
16 Feb	18	19:57:27	10	W	19:58:48	21	WSW	19:58:48	21	WSW

Hull and Scarborough at the same time. It passed over my back garden in Hull and my son lost sight of it in the last screen shot 18.22.59 over our single story bathroom roof. At the same time we witnessed the object/s go right above our heads in Scarborough heading in a easterly direction ...

With so many people seeing the same thing at about the same time, I believe, something was there.

Could you clarify this sighting?

ŀ

Was the military flying exercises?

4

Have you had any more sightings reported from anywhere else on the night in question?

I'd like to thank you in advance.

Yours Sincerely

. **. 4** 



HUFOS Investigator Remember: Look Up To The Skies, See Something, Report It!!!



Copyright ©

© Crown Copyright

<u>ی</u>
CRO -5 APR 2001 RAF LEEMING



Dear Sir/Madam,

#### R.E. Scarborough Sighting 16.2.01

I have had several sightings reported to us, which I actually witnessed myself and my son caught it/them on camcorder. Please find below details of sighting including screen shots:

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.20

Duration Of Sighting: 8 - 9 Minutes



Nearest Town: Scarborough

"We, when we took a wrong turn. We pulled up down one of the side streets to turn around and we then decided to have a drink, (Coke Only!) and while we stood outside our cars we all looked to the skies as it was a very clear night. It was when the stood outside our cars we all looked to the skies as it was a very clear night. It was when the stood outside our cars we all looked to the skies as it was a very clear night. It was when the stood outside our cars we all looked to the skies as it was a very clear night. It was when the stood outside our cars we all looked to the skies as it was a very clear night. It was we way the stood outside our cars we all looked to the skies as it was a very clear night. It was we way the stood outside our cars we all looked to the skies as it was a very clear night. It was we way the stood outside our cars we all looked to the skies as it was a very clear night. It was we all looked up in amazement, we could clearly see two very bright balls of light (Brighter then Jupiter), moving in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were about 3 - 4 inches apart, and travelling at the same speed from West to East. It was then I wished I had my camcorder with me, but luckily I had my mobile phone, so I called my son when we back home in Hull, and asked him if he could see anything in the sky near Orion's Belt, luckily I taught him some of the major constellations, He said he could see "Two balls of light travelling from West To East just going through Orion's Belt." I asked him to get my carcorder and video the objects, without moving too sharply or going into digital. He videod for about 2 minutes and got some very good shots. We actually saw the objects for about 8 or 9 minutes before losing sight of it over the roof tops. Whatever it was, was now gone, but luckily we now have the video to prove what we witnessed that night."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.20

**Duration Of Sighting: 8 - 9 Minutes** 

Nearest Town: Scarborough

"We all witnessed two very bright balls of ligh (Brighter then any planet), moving in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were moving from West To East and about 3 inches apart, and both were



travelling at the same speed. My boyfriend phoned our son with the mobile phone and asked him if he could see anything in the sky near Orion's Belt. He said he could see the same objects we could see. He got his Dad's camcorder and videod the objects. He videod for about 2 minutes (See Video 16.02.01). We actually saw the objects for about 4 or 8 minutes before losing sight of it over the roof tops."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.20

Duration Of Sighting: 8 - 9 Minutes

Nearest Town: Scarborough



"I spotted two very bright balls of light (Brighter then any planet), moving West to East in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were about 3 inches apart, and both were traveling at the same speed. I told the others to look and witness what I had seen. thetOphoned his son, back home in Hull to see if he could see the same objects we were viewing. He verified that he could see the same thing and he reordered the objects on his dad's camcorder. We saw the objects for about 6 - 8



Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.21

Duration Of Sighting: 2 - 3 Minutes

Nearest Town: Hull

"My Dad telephoned me and told me to look outside towards Orion's Belt. I spotted two very bright balls of light moving West to East in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were about 2 - 3 inches apart, and both were traveling at the same speed. I shouted



my Brother to come and look as well. He saw the same objects what me, my Dad, and 0could see in Scarborough. I videod the whole event for my Dad before I lost sight of them over the roof."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.21

Duration Of Sighting: 2 - 3 Minutes

Nearest Town: Hull

"My Dad telephoned my brother and told him to look outside towards Orion's Belt. He spotted two very bright balls of light moving West to East in a straight line, one in front of the other and shouted me. I'd say the object/objects were about 2 inches apart, and both were traveling at the same speed. We saw the same objects what, my Dad, could see in Scarborough widtod the whole event for my Dad before we lost sight of them."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 19.15

Duration Of Sighting: 20 - 25 Seconds

Nearest Town: Hull

"It was dark, with clear skies and the moon was not visible. I was in my back yard generally looking up into the night sky, looking at the stars. When I noticed two white round objects, each about the size of a bright star. They where moving in a straight line, one in front of the other. I didn't notice anything unusual like effects to cats dogs etc. At first I thought it could have been two airplanes flying in formation but there was no noise, no flashing lights and they appeared to be traveling too fast. They were traveling in a West to East direction."

I can also tell you I have ruled out the ISS (International Space Station) and the Space Shuttle, although at the time they were undocking, they could only be seen in the lower half of Britain and they would have been too high up, altitude wise, as they went through the

to

a

constellation of Taurus (Auriga) while the object/s we witnessed went through the bottom half of Orion.



There is talk that the military might have been flying exercises over the areas in question, but

Date	Mag	Starts			Max. <u>Altitude</u>			Ends		
		Time	<u>Alt.</u>	Az.	Time	<u>Alt.</u>	Az.	Time	<u>Alt</u>	<u>Az.</u>
<u>16 Feb</u>	-0.3	18:21:03	10	wsw	18:24:06	58	S	18:26:06	19	ESE
	1.8	40.57.07	1.40	SAL	10.50.10	100	JAYONA	19:58:48	1 34	10000

Hull and Scarborough at the same time. It passed over my back garden in Hull and my son lost sight of it in the last screen shot 18.22.59 over our single story bathroom roof. At the same time we witnessed the object/s go right above our heads in Scarborough heading in a easterly direction ...

With so many people seeing the same thing at about the same time, I believe, something was there.

Could you clarify this sighting?

2

Was the military flying exercises?

.

Have you had any more sightings reported from anywhere else on the night in question?

I'd like to thank you in advance.

Yours Sincerely

+

۱,



HUFOS Investigator Remember: Look Up To The Skies, See Something, Report It!!!



Copyright ©

© Crown Copyright

 $\mathbf{S}_{i}^{i}$ 



Flight Lieutenant



2390/2/PR

9 April 2000

## Dear

**ب** 

. 1

٠

I am writing in response to your letter in which you describe a sighting of 2 bright lights seen in both Scarborough and Hull. I can confirm that RAF Leeming has no record of any activity in that area at that time.

I have therefore forwarded your letter to the Ministry of Defence Secretariat.





The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1 DIB TOWER GRANGE

P.01

Form 445 'D' Div. (July 2000)

## HUMBERSIDE POLICE

'D' Division, Central Police Station Queens Gardens HULL HUI 3DJ

Your Ref:		
Our Ref:		

This matter is being dealt with by:

12SPECTOR	
at	Police Station
on Tel. No.	
return Fax. No.	

### FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

то <u>МОО</u>	Fax Number
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	a.
For the attention of	
From Inspector	Page (one) of ( 🛛 🗴 )
Message	***************************************
THIS WAS RECEIVED	AT TONER GLANGE
POLICE STATION HULL	on 54-01. Force
Gui DANCE AND INFOLDAD	DISTATES THAT DUS
Docures Stours BE	FAKED TO THE MOD
IN VIEW OF THE LENGTH	of TIME SINCE THE ALLEGO
SIGHTINES PLAF WADDINGTO	W HUB NOT BEEN INFORMED,
	***************************************
**************	***************************************





H.U.F.O.S. Hull UFO Society

HOL

Tel Mb E-mail: Websitu 25.2.01

Dear Sir/Madam,

AR ANTI AND A COMPANY

# R.E. Scarborough Sighting 16.2.01

I have had several sightings reported to us, which I actually witnessed myself and my son caught it/them on camcorder. Please find below details of sighting including screen shots:

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.20

Duration Of Sighting: 8 - 9 Minutes

Nearest Town: Scarborough

"We, when we took a wrong turn. We pulled up down one of the side streets to turn around and we then decided to have a drink, (Coke Only!) and while we stood outside our cars we all looked to the skies as it was a very clear night. It was **bound** (first noticed the object/object's in the night sky,. "Look at that, Two of them!!!" We all looked up in amazement, we could clearly see two very bright balls of light (Brighter then Jupiter), moving in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were about 3 - 4 inches apart, and travelling at the same speed from West to East. It was then I wished I had my camcorder with me, but luckily I had my mobile phone, so I called my son what was back home in Hull, and asked him if he could see anything in the sky near Orion's Belt, luckily I taught him some of the major constellations, He said he could see "Two balls of light travelling from West To East just going through Orion's Belt." I

12 1 1 1 1 M T

P.03

asked him to get my cancorder and video the objects, without moving too sharply or going into digital. He videod for about 2 minutes and got some very good shots. We actually saw the objects for about 8 or 9 minutes before losing sight of it over the roof tops. Whatever it was, was now gone, but luckily we now have the video to prove what we witnessed that night."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.20

Duration Of Sighting: 8 - 9 Minutes

Nearest Town: Scarborough

"We all witnessed two very bright balls of light (Brighter then any planet), moving in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were moving from West To East and about 3 inches apart, and both were

travelling at the same speed. My boyfriend phoned our son, **Sector** On the mobile phone and asked him if he could see anything in the sky near Orion's Belt. He said he could see the same objects we could see. He got his Dad's camcorder and videod the objects. He videod for about 2 minutes (See Video 16.02.01). We actually saw the objects for about 4 or 8 minutes before losing sight of it over the roof tops."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.20

Duration Of Sighting: 8 - 9 Mimnes

Nearest Town: Scarborough



"I spotted two very bright balls of light (Brighter then any planet), moving West to East in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were about 3 inches apart, and both were traveling at the same speed. I told the others to look and witness what 1 had seen.

see if he could see the same objects we were viewing. He verified that he could see the same thing and he reordered the objects on his dad's



camcorder. We saw the objects for about 6 - 8 minutes before they disappeared over the

rooftops."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1 DIB TOWER GRANGE

P.04

Time Of Sighting: 18.21

Duration Of Sighting: 2 - 3 Minutes

Nearest Town: Hull

"My Dad telephoned me and told me to look outside towards Orion's Belt. I spotted two very bright balls of light moving West to East in a straight line, one in front of the other. I'd say the object/objects were about 2 - 3 inches apart, and both were traveling at the same speed. I shouted



my Brother to come and look as well. He saw the same objects what me, my Dad, **and and** of sound sound see in Scarborough. I videod the whole event for my Dad before I lost sight of them over the roof."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 18.21

Duration Of Sighting: 2 - 3 Minutes

Nearest Town: Hull

"My Dad telephoned my brother and told him to look outside towards Orion's Belt. He spotted two very bright balls of light moving West to East in a straight line, one in front of the other and shouted me. I'd say the object/objects were about 2 inches apart, and both were traveling at the same speed. We saw the same objects what, my Dad, **Section 1999** could see in Scarborough. **Section** the whole event for my Dad before we lost sight of them."

Date Of Sighting: 16.02.01

Time Of Sighting: 19.15

Duration Of Sighting: 20 - 25 Seconds.

Nearest Town: Hull

"It was dark, with clear skies and the moon was not visible. I was in my back yard generally looking up into the night sky, looking at the stars. When I noticed two white round objects, each about the size of a bright star. They where moving in a straight line, one in front of the other. I didn't notice anything unusual like effects to cats dogs etc. At first I thought it could have been two airplanes flying in formation but there was no noise, no flashing lights and they appeared to be traveling too fast. They were traveling in a West to East direction."

I can also tell you I have ruled out the ISS (International Space Station) and the Space Shuttle, although at the time they were undocking, they could only be seen in the lower half of Britain and they would have been too high up, altitude wise, as they went through the constellation of Taurus (Auriga) while the object/s we witnessed went through the bottom half of Orion.



There is talk that the military might have been flying exercises over the areas in question, but

s s	starts	:	Max.	Altitu	<u>de</u>	Ende		
Time	Alt.	Az.	Time	Ait.	Az.	Time	Alt	<u>Az.</u>

:	16 Feb -0.3	18:21:03	10	WSW	18:24:06	58	S	18:26:06	19	ESE
	16 Feb 1.8	19:57:27	10	W	19:58:48	21	wsw	19:58:48	21	WSW

Hull and Scarborough at the same time. It passed over my back garden in Hull and my son lost sight of it in the last screen shot 18.22.59 over our single story bathroom roof. At the same time we witnessed the object/s go right above our heads in Scarborough heading in a easterly direction ..

With so many people seeing the same thing at about the same time, I believe, something was there.

Could you clarify this sighting?

The National Archives' reference DEFE 24/2021/1 DIB TOWER GRANGE

P.06

an r r b<u>zi</u> j

Was the military flying exercises?

Have you had any more sightings reported from anywhere else on the night in question?

I'd like to thank you in advance.

Yours Sincerely



HUFOS Investigator Remember: Look Up To The Skies, See Something, Report It!!!



Copyright ©

TOTAL P.06

© Crown Copyright

• •

.....



### Dear

You may recall that I wrote to you on 12 April concerning your report of a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' on the evening of 28 January and your belief that there were also RAF jet aircraft in the area.

Military aircraft do occasionally conduct training at weekends and the activity you describe could have been fighter affiliation training, where one aircraft assumes an attack role and the others manoeuvre to avoid it. However, without checking with every fast jet Squadron in the RAF, the RN and the United States Air Force, which are based in the UK, it is not possible to be certain whether there were any fast jets flying on the evening of the 28 January. Nevertheless, as you thought the aircraft you saw may have been Tornado F3s, I have checked with the RAF Stations where these aircraft are based and they have confirmed that there were none flying on the date you gave.

I am sorry that I am unable to assist any further with your enquiries.

Yours sincerely,



