

NEWS

World Cup still intoxicating even without beer



PAGE 6

SOLUTIONS

Court success for group set up to protect children



PAGE 12

INTERNATIONAL

Holocaust survivor: Let us forget our differences



PAGE 17

HEALTH

Alcohol: a rite of passage that is so wrong for so many



PAGE 19

THE



LIGHT

Issue 28 - Monthly

The Uncensored Truth

thelightpaper.co.uk

PEOPLE-FUNDED
PAPER



A constitutional renaissance

Our ancestors already laid down the law - now use it: Page 2

What will 2023 be like?

Choose your own adventure in the year ahead

‘LIFE’S what you make it’ goes the old saying. So the short answer to the question above is, it’s up to you what the future holds, because we all create it for ourselves, every day.

Many in the world are highly restricted by either direct state control, or poverty of resources and education. But for the majority in the UK and other Western countries, we still have a fair amount of freedom to choose how we spend our time and energy, whom we associate with, and what we choose to believe about the world.

And while there are those who are talking openly about restricting those choices permanently, we still have ample opportunities to learn, grow and direct our lives in the way we want to.

Every day we can make new, enriching connections, create useful ideas and new value, and be genuinely productive with our lives.

But if we eat terrible food, drink too much and sit at home or at a job we hate - then end up with very little energy to get through the week - it is little wonder we might feel our lives are beyond our control, tiresome and not making much difference in the world.

We may then turn to more bad food, booze, drugs and other comfort blankets, in order to escape the uncomfortable truth. This is a well-

known self-destructive cycle.

But if we decide we are going to be in charge of our lives, and have our body perform at its best, so we are primed from the moment we wake up to the moment before we nod off, able to handle work, home and relationships with ease and with an attitude that enjoys serving and playing with others, then this is exactly what will happen for us.

We all need something that is genuinely going to motivate us to jump out of bed first thing, and remembering the last time we had something to get excited about might be a clue as to where we could take our lives next.

It does not matter what the government, the World Economic Forum, the Federal Reserve, your boss or anyone else do or think about your plans. There is absolutely nothing they can do about them, and

certainly not the decision to meet whatever life throws at you on your journey there, with an adventurous, warrior spirit, instead of a feeling of weariness, dread and doubting.

There are thousands of different situations, but there is not a single one of us who cannot decide, right now, to fill our lives with energy, hope and action. The secret to getting stuff done is to make sure that the stuff that needs to get done is worth doing in the first place.

Why waste your life doing things you’re not interested in? Yes, most have to do service and supply jobs to get where we want to go - but nearly everyone in those places is passing through, except for those who own the businesses.

No one, whether it’s an agent of the government or council, a police officer or a business, can create an obligation for another, without that

person’s consent. That is what the Bill of Rights guarantees (please see page 2), and it is still the current law of the land. Constitutional laws are superior to all other laws and statutes by definition, and cannot be altered without the express will of the people.

Governments are not there to tell the people what to do, nor steal our money to keep the richest getting even richer, while pocketing millions for themselves in the process.

Although the courts have rightly struck out almost all individual lockdown fines, any attempt at a prosecution of those proven to be deceiving people and causing serious harm with these unlawful policies, has been met with a scared judiciary - unsurprising given the known historical tactics of those who wish to preserve their power at all costs.

What judges would do is uphold

the law, if the people demanded that it be upheld. The government is not above the law, and it is not the law. All each of us has to do is refuse their unlawful legislation, citing and upholding pre-existing constitutional law that prevents them from doing exactly what they are doing - ‘nothing to the prejudice of the people’.

We have a God-given, natural right to live our lives according to our own wishes. So long as we cause no harm or loss, and allow everyone else that same freedom, then we are perfectly within the law.

If I open my business and police arrest me because a government has ruled, against my consent, that businesses like mine can’t open, then they are breaking the law, not me.

But like most things, if I stand alone in my conviction, then the lawbreakers will win. If the people had stood together against the inquisitors in the Middle Ages, then very few people would have been tortured and burned.

No one should accept laws and orders that harm us or our families, and our ancestors guaranteed that we would never have to - they had been through tyranny, and wanted to preserve freedom for all future generations.

Keep sharing the information that is hidden from the public, and keep setting the example of being fully responsible for making all the choices in your own life.

No one wants to be a slave, or stuck in an office or warehouse for years, doing a job they know is pointless - ‘go climb that goddamn mountain’.

Photo: Drew Beamer

PEOPLE FUNDED - FREE TO THE READER - PLEASE SUPPORT US

A constitutional renaissance

If you're worried about tyranny, consult the old Bill

THIS is not the first time that this country has endured tyranny. Fortunately for us today, however, our forefathers settled the matter once and for all.

James II was a tyrannical king; the political class of his day invited William of Orange to bring his army to England, sure in their belief that confronted with an invading army, the king would not be able to resist. Indeed, James's army deserted, and he abandoned the throne, living out the remaining years of his life in France.

In offering the now-vacant throne to William and Mary, the political classes were not so foolish to risk a repetition of monarchical tyranny, and so the throne was accepted on the basis that the new king and queen agree to the Declaration of Rights as it was then (1688).

What became the Bill of Rights restrained the monarch, inasmuch

by **LANCE PEATLING**

as it allowed the monarch to form a government, but that government would be required to seek parliamentary approval for its actions. This is the founding constitutional document that forms the basis of how we are governed to this very day.

The question, then, is: did our forebears, in closing out one avenue of tyranny (monarchy), open up the possibility of another - a parliamentary tyranny? The answer to this question, pressing today in light of recent events is, no.

The Bill of Rights is very clear on this matter. It declares that the parties to the agreement (the king and queen, the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and the Commons) cannot do anything that is to the prejudice of the people. In short, the crown, the government and parliament are constitutionally



Clearly a population correctly schooled in their constitutional history would present a solid, impenetrable defence against overreach, whether governmental or parliamentary

THE LIGHT PAPER DEC 2022

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free of the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Original content is © 2022, thelightpaper.co.uk

For all subscription enquiries, please contact:

subscriptions@thelightpaper.co.uk

For all distribution and pre-order enquiries, please email: lightdistribution@mailbox.org

For advertising enquiries, please contact Nicola at:

ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

thelightpaper.co.uk

Editor: Darren Smith

Sub-editors: Harry Wundas & Jamie Rodriguez

Layout: Miki Kay

Distribution: Cath Swann & Matt Smith

Advertising: Nicola Kelly

Subscriptions: Ross & Rebecca Penname

Social Media: Jessica Paris, Milou & Nicola Kelly

Proofreading: Stevie M, Tracy S, David K, Jerry R, Alan I

Special thanks to our warehouse hubs & distributors who work tirelessly to bring you the uncensored news.

To keep our paper FREE is not free - you can help by ordering advance copies, donating and subscribing at:

thelightpaper.co.uk

“Let your light shine so brightly that others can see their way out of the dark.”

Katrina Mayer

constrained from doing anything that would harm the people.

Knowing the power of the document, our forefathers also understood how likely it would be that later generations of tyrants would likely wish to do away with the most powerful tool that is in the service of individual liberty. It was why the document itself states that it can not be altered or amended in any manner.

The 1700 Act of Settlement stated very clearly, when referring to the Bill of Rights, that the Bill is for ever. It is why, when you go to the government's legislation website and search under 1688, the Bill is still to be found live on the statute book today.

As the founding constitutional document of our current governance arrangements, it cannot be undone by the very institutions that it seeks to restrain. Clearly, a population correctly schooled in their

constitutional history would present a solid, impenetrable defence against overreach, whether governmental or parliamentary, by those who claim mastery.

What is clear is that our forebears had lived experience of tyranny, and that experience gave them the wherewithal to protect future generations from repeating that experience.

However, that knowledge and the tools to resist have suffered from what might be described as constitutional entropy; in brief, we forgot. Much like the Renaissance was the rediscovery of prior knowledge, today we have to re-learn the basis upon which we agree to be governed, and be properly armed with the Bill of Rights.

The knowledge that neither your government nor parliament is permitted to cause you harm is the most powerful weapon we can use, as it would undo much that they have

done. How much legislation would stand up to scrutiny by a populace armed with an understanding of their constitutional rights? Likely little, if any of the more recent disgraceful bills passed as legislation were to answer the question of harm.

Our past generations were not foolish people. In the Bill of Rights, they recognised the power of what they had achieved; likely why the bloodless coup of William & Mary came to be called the Glorious Revolution.

Together with the Act of Settlement 1700, it placed those who seek to govern under an obligation to cause no harm; it is our obligation to see that they are held to their agreement.

■ <https://peacekeepers.org.uk>

■ <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/aep/WillandMarSess2/1/2/introduction>

How to have more rational conversations

by **DAVID CHARALAMBOUS**

Having successful conversations with people unaware of the issues we face today is complex and difficult, but can be done with practice and patience

WHY do people shut down when asked a simple question, or lash out at a stated fact?

I spent over 20 years, prior to the first lockdown, studying perception, communication and behaviour. Yet nothing had prepared me for the level of influence that could be exerted over intelligent people who suddenly became completely irrational and abusive, doctors who dismissed valid questions, and a collective media that continues to demonise anyone daring to ask questions, or who refused to comply with rules and mandates.

Reaching people that have been conditioned with a false narrative is difficult, and at times can seem impossible. There are ways to reach people but it takes practice, understanding of the issues, as well as self-control, to even begin to have small successes at having rational conversations. It's an obstacle course we must navigate.

It is fair to say there are many pitfalls in having conversations with loved ones. Much of how to reach people begins with a number of things we must avoid; if these pitfalls are not navigated successfully, it really doesn't matter how many facts you have, or convincing an argument you present; you will likely be shut down with one of the many scripted responses, such as 'you're a conspiracy theorist' or 'have you joined a cult?'.

What has happened when someone turns into King Kong and gets angry? Metaphorically speaking, we stepped on a landmine. Human beings have in-built (and cultivated) safety alarms, or cognitive dissonance, that to some extent help us function in the world.

While the behavioural sciences have in recent times made startling discoveries about how we come to



Photo: William Krause

make decisions, and what drives our behaviour, these sciences are also now used by corporations and authorities in what is known as 'nudging' (influencing). For example, in repeated slogans such as 'hands, face, space'.

If these insights were understood by the public on the other hand, we could solve so many problems. This gives me great hope, and communicating these insights to help people understand their own and other's behaviours and beliefs is one of my missions in establishing reachingpeople.net.

'Science advances one funeral at a time' - Max Plank

Max's quote describes the resistance that we humans have when our beliefs are challenged. Usually the first information in sets the belief, so education and the media have a huge responsibility, and they have failed us so miserably, for so long.

First, however, we will have to let go of negative emotions we have towards unaware people. Trying to have a conversation in a heightened state - angry, anxious, etc. - will invariably not work. The anger, the injustice, the bitterness are all justified; but the problem is, in practical terms, they disconnect us from those we need to reach.

The greatest manipulation of all

is of our emotions, and by resolving negative ones, we do not let the perpetrators off the hook; we just stop experiencing those emotions. You don't want to feel bad when looking at a picture of a maniac such as Bill Gates. He isn't worth an ounce of energy.

So, finding a way to let go will really help conversations. Some of the ways I have found powerful are a) The Sedona Method; b) Emotional Freedom Technique (EFT or 'tapping'), and c) any process that assists in letting go of unresolved emotion.

Jerome Bruner showed that a fact presented in a story will land over 20 times more effectively than the fact presented in its raw form. We find that metaphor is also useful at reaching people, along with questions used artfully. See boxes on the right.

■ **The Reaching People project helps people put into practice the three best ways to share information - stories, metaphors and asking the right questions.**

■ **Please see website and our YouTube channel for further details: <https://reachingpeople.net/dos-and-donts-in-conversation/>**

Key concepts in successful communication

1 First impressions are everything

Most people make up their minds whether someone is a threat (physical or ego) within the first second. If we are seen as a threat, the other person will shut down immediately, likely attack us verbally, or exit the conversation quickly.

Seeing the unaware person as having wrong ideas, rather than see them in a derogatory light, will greatly help us not trigger this shutdown. If we unconsciously view people as stupid, we will treat them as such, and they will sense this and shut down. We have to be understanding that people have been subjected to the greatest psychological operation in history. Letting go of negative emotions we have towards unaware people is key.

2 Overcome division and find common ground

Studies clearly show if we see people as on the opposite side, we will mostly dismiss everything that person has to say. This is why media propaganda focuses on divisive group identities such as 'anti-vax', 'conspiracy theorist' and many others.

Overcoming this is critical. If we find a subject where we and the other person agree, we will have a great platform to introduce ideas they need to be aware of. A quick way to find common ground is to establish that you both want people to be healthy and happy. Example: 'we are effectively on the same side'.

3 Pace, pace, pace

One of the quickest ways to shut down someone to new ideas is to introduce too many subjects and complex problems too early. This is like a pushy salesman, or evangelical preacher - it shuts people down.

Share information at a pace that the other person can digest. Think about where they are and what they can acknowledge, such as corruption.

4 Share information in a way that the other person can hear

Much of the propaganda is aimed at reaching the unconscious mind. It is largely pointless to present a fact to someone in its raw form such as 'lockdowns don't work', if they believe the opposite. Starting with 'how effective were lockdowns?', then telling a story using metaphors or questions is a more powerful way to do so.

It is also worth avoiding asking the question "Why?" regarding the person's decisions. They get defensive, and will rationalise and justify. Asking questions to have them explain themselves, in a polite and gentle way, helps them understand their thinking, or lack of it. Be supportive as they hit discomfort in their minds. You're not trying to 'win', only help people realise where their beliefs come from. Asking questions about things such as 'are the public aware it doesn't stop transmission?' etc, when you have a good rapport going, can also be very effective.

Understanding the road to fascism

‘Conspiracy theories’
now
emerging
as our new
reality

We are - we are constantly being told - living in ‘unprecedented times’, facing ‘unprecedented circumstances’ requiring ‘unprecedented measures’ for which there is no historical precedent and because of which - is the unstated implication - those in power cannot be held to account for the consequences of their actions.

‘Unprecedented’, however, is one of those words that should set alarm-bells ringing in the head of the historian, implying, as it does, that we are in a moment about which history can teach us nothing, but which signals, in practice, that the speaker either doesn’t know what they’re talking about or is lying about what they are doing. But whether the present is a product of ignorance or deceit, history inevitably has a lot to tell us about supposedly ‘unprecedented’ moments, and so it does with the coronavirus ‘crisis’.

The two years between March 2020, when the ‘pandemic’ was officially declared, and March 2022, when the last of the 582 coronavirus-justified Statutory Instruments made into law were revoked, have left us now, eight months later, in our own re-enactment of that ‘phoney war’, also lasting eight months, between the UK’s declaration of war against Germany in September 1939 and the invasion of France in May 1940.

With the lifting of the thousands of regulations by which our lives were ruled for two long years there has been an understandable desire to believe that the coronavirus ‘crisis’ is over and we will return to something like an albeit ‘new’ normal. But as new crises have

by **SIMON ELMER**

sprung up to take its place - war in the Ukraine, the so-called cost of living crisis and the return of the environmental crisis - it has become increasingly difficult not to look back on lockdown as only the first campaign in a war that has not been declared by any government but is no less real for that.

Waged by the international technocracies of global governance that have assumed increasing power over our lives, this war is not being fought against foreign countries but against the populations of their member states. Trialled for compliance under lockdown, the weapons of this war are Digital Identity, Central Bank Digital Currency, Universal Basic Income, 15-Minute Cities, Sustainable Development Goals, and all the other programmes instrumental to the United Nations Agenda 2030.

If they haven’t been already, these look likely to be launched in a Blitzkrieg campaign, possibly this winter, with the World Health Organization advising European countries to reimpose mandatory masking and ‘vaccination’. Just like the winter of 1939-1940, now is the deep breath before the storm.

The comparison with the opening of the Second World War, however, is not merely an analogy. The willingness of our governments to use the forces of the state against their own populations on the justification of protecting us from ourselves signals a new level of authoritarianism - and something like the return of fascism - to the former democracies of the West, and one of the aims of my book is to examine the validity of this thesis.

My purpose in doing so is to examine how and why the general and widespread moral collapse in the West since March 2020 has been effected with such rapidity and ease, and to what ends that moral collapse is being used. It is here that history can tell us something about these supposedly ‘unprecedented’ circumstances.

Something, but not everything. For while historical fascism arose in the context of European nations and their struggle for power, a hundred years later that struggle has been reduced to their united

It has become increasingly difficult not to look back on lockdown as only the first campaign in a war that has not been declared by any government, but is no less real for that

and virtually unopposed roll-out of the programmes, technologies and regulations of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. And while our economic and military alliances are dividing the globe into new axes of geopolitical influence, the war we face in the West is not between nation states but a civil war waged against our institutions

of democratic governance and the division of powers between executive, legislature and judiciary.

Insofar as these institutions and this division are being dismantled and replaced by the rule of unelected international technocracies, this war represents a revolution in Western capitalism from the neoliberalism under which

we have lived for the past forty years into the new totalitarianism of the Global Biosecurity State.


Since the revocation of coronavirus-justified regulations in the UK, much of the resistance to the programmes of biosecurity has become bogged down in challenging the justification for the lockdowns and demonstrating the dangers of the ‘vaccination’ programme. And while there is value and importance in this work, it has been accompanied by a reluctance to look at what these programmes have prepared our compliance for in the next stage of the Great Reset.

These programmes will be implemented outside of any immediate threat such as that represented by the coronavirus ‘pandemic’, and can expect less compliance than that which met the restrictions on our human rights and freedoms under lockdown. For this reason, they are likely to be implemented quickly and all at once, with Digital ID holding our biometric data made a condition of numerous freedoms, cash withdrawn from circulation and replaced by Digital Currency controlled and programmed by central banks, and a system of Social Credit modelled on that in China all a reality to which we will wake up one day with no choice but compliance or having our access to the rights of citizenship removed by default.

This is the context in which I have written *The Road to Fascism*, which describes how what was contemptuously dismissed as ‘conspiracy theories’ now constitute the reality in which our immediate future is about to unfold with terrifying speed and finality. The question confronting us now is not one of doubt or belief in the reality that is all around us, but of how to oppose it before we are submerged into the new totalitarianism.

■ *The Road to Fascism: For a Critique of the Global Biosecurity State* was published on 28 September, and is available in hardback, paperback and e-book.

■ <https://architectsforsocialhousing.co.uk/2022/09/28/the-road-to-fascism-for-a-critique-of-the-global-biosecurity-state/>



Before forgiveness comes repentance

by **RICHARD HOUSE**

Call for covid amnesty met with astonishment

THERE have been many responses to the recent proposal by Professor of Economics Emily Oster for an ‘amnesty’ and a moving-on from all the schisms that have typified the covid era.

One of the most devastating ripostes came from the host of the HighWire media platform, journalist Del Bigtree. This is a summary of the case that Bigtree made against Oster’s amnesty proposal - in his own words, from episode 292 of The HighWire.

“Let us be the ones that forgive so that we can go back. In some ways what is being asked of us is to forgive. I think forgiveness is a good thing, but in order to forgive, there’s something that first has to happen.

“To all those people who were opposed to what I’ve been saying, and who were censoring The HighWire - could you please articulate for me all those things that we are supposed to forgive? Because I don’t know. I don’t know what you know, and what you don’t know. I think that’s important in this place of forgiveness. So what are we supposed to forgive? Are we supposed to forgive that you locked down and took away our jobs, our dreams of having a future? In that process, you destroyed our economy, not just in America but across the world.

“Are we supposed to forgive all the sanitising and all the spraying and the destruction of our nasal passages, as you tested us with the product which itself couldn’t even test properly? And how about all those who died and weren’t treated correctly in hospitals? And we couldn’t even get to our loved ones to say goodbye to them - the elderly, our aunts and uncles, our mothers, our fathers.

“Instead, we were left outside, and they were left to die alone. We couldn’t even go to a funeral. And how about all the suicides

that took place, and the child abuse and domestic abuse and drug abuse? Or turning our children into hypochondriacs?

“How about denying people transplants because they didn’t want an experimental ‘vaccine’? And what

this product to our innocent children who are not at risk?

“And how many athletes have been crashing to the floor unable to play again, or maybe dead? All the performers who can’t get through a concert or can’t even perform any



We didn’t get lucky: On the contrary, we were reading the science while you were quoting experts and listening to doctors that ended up being liars

about the police brutality, attacking those people who were standing in the truth; who wanted to watch their child playing in a sports event without wearing a mask - because they knew, as we know now, that masks don’t work.

“Should we forgive the President who said he wouldn’t foist the ‘vaccine’ upon us and then took away jobs from the military, from police officers, and from frontline doctors and firefighters, leaving them with nowhere to go?

“And then there are the injuries: all sorts of people suffering from seizures that are unexplained, and the lies from the pharmaceutical industry saying that these injuries never happened. What about the ‘vaccines’ that are not only hurting people, but are still being mandated on our [US] children? Do we forgive while we are still, even now, giving

on myocarditis (the swelling of the heart) - something he knew about more than anyone else. So in the very moment when Dr McCullough’s licence is being taken away and he’s under attack, we’re supposed to give amnesty right now?

“And what is it I’m supposed to forgive you for? Because there’s a word that goes along with forgiveness, if we’re to look at the biblical spiritual nature of this. That word is ‘repent’. You see, in order to deserve to be forgiven, you must articulate what you were being forgiven for. That’s called ‘repenting’. So please explain to me what you think you did wrong here.

“Because at the Nuremberg Trials, which is what maybe is about to happen in the USA, the doctors did not repent; they did not say they made a mistake. What they said was, ‘We didn’t know better - we were just following orders. We were just doing what we were told, and there was no way to know better.’

“I’m all about forgiveness, but I’m not even ready for that conversation till I hear those that

made that mistake, those that continue to censor, those that continue to attack, and those who will not admit that these are not sudden adult syndrome deaths; we’re not randomly seeing excess mortality deaths around the world because maybe we locked down for the wrong reasons.

“No, when you stop being mystified by this rise in deaths and get to the reality that you gave an unproven, untested pharmaceutical product that was killing every animal in every animal trial prior to giving it to human beings; when you admit that, just maybe it is the ‘vaccines’ that are killing us around the world, dying and collapsing on fields and collapsing in cages.

“When you’re ready to repent, I will forgive. And then, and only then, will we consider amnesty.”

■ [https://independentviewpoints.com tinyurl.com/antbpju9](https://independentviewpoints.com/tinyurl.com/antbpju9)

■ thehighwire.com

■ icandecide.org

MHRA LATEST



MHRA Yellow Card Reporting

**2,362
DEATHS**

**1,544,930
ADVERSE REACTIONS**

Data correct as of:

24/11/2022

Search ‘summary of yellow card reporting’ - on the UK government’s website, scroll down to the bottom of annex 1 and click the print analysis for each ‘vaccine’ maker. Reports are made by patients or their doctors but it is estimated that only around 5-10% of all reactions are reported.

THIS IS A NATIONAL SCANDAL. COVID ‘VACCINES’ ARE KILLING AND INJURING PEOPLE, AND IT IS BEING SWEEPED UNDER THE CARPET BY GOVERNMENT AND MEDIA.

World cup still intoxicating without beer

by **RICHARD HOUSE**

WE'VE had the 2022 World Cup in Qatar, with its accompanying wall-to-wall footy mania.

So it is perhaps an apt moment to reflect on the place that sport - and its media depiction - occupies in modern culture.

It is revealing that independent media sources barely ever mention sport - except when a major sports 'star' expresses a critical view on the mainstream narrative - the likes of Matt Le Tissier, Pat Cash, Royce White and Novak Djokovic immediately come to mind. Or when one collapses dead on the pitch from 'Sudden Adult Death Syndrome'.

Applying psycho-social insight to the mass sport phenomenon reveals a complex picture, with participation and consumption as spectators both being important.

Karl Marx coined the term 'opium of the masses' to denote the impact and function of religion as a mass distraction in society. He was wrong, but as the influence of religion has declined historically, it was perhaps inevitable that other cultural phenomena would come to replace it. Enter professional, commodified sports.

There are arguably some positives to mass sport, one being the opportunity for escapism that it affords from the stresses of everyday life. But when does healthy escapism morph into pathologically-emasculating distraction?

While I gave up TV-viewing 30 years ago, until recently, I did often catch myself mindlessly (the term is crucial) tuning into live football and other sport commentaries on the radio, experiencing a kind of comforting escape into reverie



Professional sport: A sinister distraction or cultural benefit?

in the process.

Psychoanalysis also has something positive to say about sport and popular culture. In *Disciplines of Delight*, Barry Richards maintains that popular culture provides us with the opportunity to express and gratify 'primitive libidinal satisfactions' (excuse the psycho-babble) within secure, collectively sanctioned boundaries of the sport-consumption experience.

This then enables the working through of personal developmental difficulties, at the same time offering a means of expression in the wider community.

In his book, *Football Delirium*, Chris Oakley also argues that football helps us experience and work through mental paradoxes, the disillusionments of the emotions, and the ecstasies and agonies of life and death.

Richards agrees, saying football affords us the opportunity to re-enact adjustment to difficult social constraints - e.g. winning and the idealisation of the team enable fans to express aggression and partisanship; and losing calls forth the need to remaster the experience of loss.

But what of the negative side to mass sport? First, there's the corporatisation and crass commercialisation - with sport morphing from a game into big business, with the inevitable accompanying pandering to lowest-common denominator experience via melodramatic sensationalism (see David Conn's *The Football Business*).

There are at least three other issues that need addressing on the negative side of the balance sheet: the 'Bread and Circuses'

argument, the 'Spectacle' argument and the 'Amusing Ourselves to Death' argument.

The notion of Bread and Circuses refers to superficial appeasement, diversion and distraction of the populace, by satisfying their most base requirements - food, and, in the case of sport, entertainment. Indeed, proto-globalist H.G. Wells advocated building sports arenas across the world to create a sports culture for males, to encourage a kind of primitive tribalism.

The Spectacle is a term coined by critical theorist Guy Debord, referring to the penetration of the commodity form into the realm of mass communication, which he saw as resulting in the entrancing spectacle - of which big sporting events can be seen as one instance.

Amusing Ourselves to Death was a highly influential book written in

1985 by sociologist Neil Postman, in which he argues that TV has become the vehicle for the way in which entertainment saturates modern culture (sport now being a major branch of show business), and with crowd-pleasing via artifice being central to the experience.

Television was also a concern of the late cultural researcher, Alan Watt. For him, professional sports are deployed to distract the populace from the rulers' plans for mankind, with people being distracted and 'disengaged from their own destiny', through the superficial distractions of sport hysteria (*see tinyurl.com/2p82fafn; start at 12 minutes*).

I'll end with a few questions that will help us to begin to think more deeply about sport and its role, personally and culturally - not least in the face of big tournament hysteria that's saturating our culture.

Is there any addictive or self-distracting tinge to my engagement with sports? What's the nature of my subjective experience when I consume sport as a spectator? If sports consumption is displacing something else that I might otherwise be doing, what might that be? Perhaps more people can share their views on the mass-sport phenomenon that receives such limited coverage in the independent media, and yet which is so prominent in many people's everyday lives.

■ *Richard House is a retired psychotherapist and university lecturer, a chartered psychologist and an enthusiastic writer for The Light.*

■ <https://independentviewpoints.net>



Once enough people know, it ends.
Help us make it happen:

Subscribe, Order copies, Donate

<https://thelightpaper.co.uk>

You are not crazy, you are being perpetually gaslighted

by **ABIGAIL ROSENBERG**

As the light of truth dims across the world it is vital to trust yourself and listen to your heart

THE term 'gaslighting' originates in the systematic manipulation of a victim by her husband in Patrick Hamilton's 1938 stage play *Gas Light*, and the film adaptations released in 1940 and 1944.

In the story, the husband attempts to convince his wife and others that she is insane, by manipulating small elements of their environment and insisting that she is mistaken, remembering things incorrectly, or delusional when she points out these changes.

The play's title alludes to how the abusive husband slowly dims the gas lights in their home, while pretending nothing has changed in an effort to make his wife doubt her own perceptions. The wife repeatedly asks her husband to confirm her perceptions about the dimming lights, but in defiance of reality, he keeps insisting that the lights are the same as they've always been and, instead, it is she who is going insane!

Today, we are living in a perpetual state of gaslighting. The world that we are being shown by the media is at complete odds with what we are seeing with our own two eyes. And when we question the false reality that we are being presented, or we claim that what we see is the actual reality, we are vilified as racist or anti-science, or just plain crazy. You're not racist. You're not crazy. You're being gaslighted.

New York State had twice as many deaths from covid-19 than any other state, and New York accounted



for one fifth of all U.S. covid-19 deaths, but we are told that New York governor, Andrew Cuomo, handled the pandemic better than any other governor. But if we support policies of governors whose states had only a fraction of the infections and deaths as New York, we're called anti-science, and want people to die. So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you're being gaslighted.

We see mobs of people looting stores, smashing windows, setting cars on fire and burning down buildings, but we are told that these demonstrations are mostly peaceful protests. And when we call this destruction of our cities 'riots', we are called racists. So, you ask yourself, am I a racist? No, you're being gaslighted.

We see the major problem destroying many inner cities is crime; murder, gang violence, drug dealing, drive-by shootings, armed robbery; but we are told that it is not crime, but the police that are the problem in the inner-cities. We are

told we must defund the police and remove law enforcement from crime-riddled cities to make them safer. But if we advocate for more policing in cities overrun by crime, we are accused of being white supremacists and racists. So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you're being gaslighted.

The United States of America accepts more immigrants than any other country in the world. The vast majority of the immigrants are non-Europeans, and these immigrants are enjoying freedom and economic opportunity not available to them in their country of origin. But we are told that the United States is the most racist and oppressive country on Earth, and if we disagree, we are called racist and xenophobic. So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you're being gaslighted.

Capitalist countries are the most prosperous in the world. The standard of living is the highest in capitalist countries. We see more poor people move up the economic ladder to the middle and even the

wealthy class through their effort and ability in capitalist countries than any other economic system in the world; but we are told capitalism is an oppressive system designed to keep people down. So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you're being gaslighted.

Communist countries killed over 100 million people in the 20th century. Communist countries strip their citizens of basic human rights, dictate every aspect of their lives, treat their citizens as slaves, and drive their economies into the ground; but we are told that communism is the fairest, most equitable, most free, and most prosperous economic system in the world. So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you're being gaslighted.

Another egregious example of gaslighting is the concept of 'white fragility'. You spend your life trying to be a good person, trying to treat people fairly and with respect. You disavow racism and bigotry in all its forms. You judge people solely

on the content of their character and not by the colour of their skin. You don't discriminate based on race or ethnicity. But you are told you are a racist, not because of something you did or said, but solely because of the colour of your skin. You know instinctively that charging someone with racism because of their skin colour is itself racist. You know that you are not racist, so you defend yourself and your character, but you are told that even your defence of yourself is proof of your racism. So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you're being gaslighted.

Gaslighting has become one of the most pervasive and destructive tactics in politics and debate today. It is the exact opposite of what our political system was meant to be. It deals in lies and psychological coercion, not the discovery of truth or quality intellectual discourse. If you ever ask yourself if you're crazy, you are not. Crazy people aren't sane enough to ask themselves if they're crazy. So, trust yourself, believe what's in your heart and mind. Trust your eyes over what you are being told. Never listen to the people who tell you that you are crazy, because you are not; you're being gaslighted.

Sophocles said: 'What people believe prevails over the truth'. And that's what the media exploit every day. Hopefully you are smart enough to understand what is being done to you on a daily basis from many directions. Just think through what you are being told. Don't listen with a deaf ear, or see with a blind eye.

Question everything - even things from people who you think you can trust. Question why you are being told whatever, by whomever. Question their motives. Question who benefits. Question if there is a hidden agenda behind the propaganda. Question, question, question. Then do your own research, and use some of your own critical thinking skills to get to the truth. Listen with your heart and with your mind.

Sadly, 95% of the masses don't even know that they are being gaslighted.

■ <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/basics/gaslighting>

A brave new world?

War, holocaust and the 'climate crisis'...
rebranding eugenics over the years

by **NIAL McCRAE**

SURVIVAL of the fittest, a tenet of Charles Darwin's theory of evolution, relates to nature rather than nurture.

By the late 19th century, fearing the relentless growth of the uncouth and uneducated masses below them, the upper social class believed that society was doing the opposite, by propagating inferiority. Leading scholar Francis Galton, Darwin's cousin, established eugenics - 'the science of improving the human stock'.

The Eugenics Society drew membership throughout the intelligentsia. In 1910, playwright George Bernard Shaw considered how to dispose of large numbers of undesirable people efficiently: 'I appeal to the chemists to discover a human gas that will kill instantly and painlessly.' As well as the mentally and physically disabled, this 'lethal chamber' would also have use for antisocial characters.

The First World War, unsatisfactorily explained by official narrative, was effectively a cull of strong working-class men, who were gaining strength through the labour movement. The Accrington Pals were nothing but cannon fodder to the generals, in a war of attrition serving only the ruling class. Revolution was thwarted by slaughter and exhaustion.

Eugenics advanced in the 1920s, when California began forced sterilisation of the mentally ill and 'subnormal'. Following systematic euthanasia in mental hospitals, Nazi Germany's 'Aktion T4' programme ceased in 1940 after public outcry.

After such notoriety, eugenics faded, but it never went away. In 1952, John D Rockefeller III, whose family's foundation bankrolled the eugenics movement from the outset, established the Population Council, sharing offices with the American Eugenics Society, which it absorbed in 1972. Frederick Osborne, Population Council president, explained that: "eugenic goals are most likely to be attained under a name other than eugenics."

In *Pseudopandemic: New Normal Technocracy*, Iain Davis describes the clever marketing of the depopulation agenda. The contrived




Photo: Hulki Okan Tabak

coronavirus and climate crises are really eugenics rebranded.

He writes: 'In a never-ending succession of extraordinary coincidences, the people who are the architects of the new zero-carbon economy are also the same people who are devout eugenicists and population control enthusiasts. That many of the same are also the driving force behind the pseudopandemic, the economic outcome of which is almost indistinguishable from the proposed carbon-neutral economy, is just another unbelievable coincidence.'

You may have seen posters proclaiming climate change as a 'feminist issue'. Exploiting emancipatory campaigns is an effective tactic. In 1916, the birth control clinic Planned Parenthood was founded in New York by Margaret Sanger. In her book *Pivot of Civilization*, Sanger warned of 'weeds overrunning the human garden'; she urged segregation of 'morons, misfits and the maladjusted', and 'elimination of inferior races'.

Sanger's message was similar to Adolf Hitler's *Mein Kampf*, yet Planned Parenthood has continually received support from American politicians. Since the feminist wave of the 1960s, the slogan 'my body, my choice' has been a rallying cry. Abortion was thus cemented to the core of feminism, while feeding a greedy termination industry.

The climate cult began in the 1960s. *The Population Bomb* by Paul and Anne Ehrlich warned of inevitable famine, war and disease due to overpopulation. In the Ehrlichs' prophecy of doom, four billion would perish by the 1980s. This proved as badly wrong as later predictions of ecological disaster, but such scares get much attention.

In 1991, the Club of Rome think tank, founded in 1968 by the Rockefellers, asserted that global

warming is merely a symptom and that the 'real enemy is humanity itself'. Proclaiming the 'first global revolution', the Club of Rome was highly influential on the United Nations Agenda 2030 for sustainable development 'to protect the planet from degradation'. Human beings are cast as a cancerous tumour.

Computer salesman Bill Gates, whose father William H Gates was head of Planned Parenthood, exerts his wealth through supposedly philanthropic funding of worldwide health projects. Initially focusing on reproductive health (i.e. contraception and abortion), he then moved into vaccination.

Having captured universities, regulators and media by predatory funding, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation was at the forefront of the covid-19 scam. As a key player in the World Health Organisation, Gates promotes universal vaccination by novel mRNA technology, which has potential devastation far beyond fake immunology, including hormonal control and digital surveillance. Former Prime Minister Boris Johnson's father Stanley, who worked for the World Bank and the EU, suggested in a *Guardian* interview in 2012, an ideal UK population of 10-15 million.

Western countries are also nudging their citizens into accepting euthanasia, with the Huxleyian euphemism of 'assisted dying'. Dementia, for example, is portrayed in the media as a fate worse than death. Some jurisdictions now permit euthanasia for people with mental conditions, despite uncertain consent. U.S. President Joe Biden's bioethicist, Ezekiel Emanuel, proposes a 'death panel' to determine the value of life, denying treatment to anyone deemed unworthy. Emanuel wants to overturn the Hippocratic Oath.

The great reset, promoted by the technocratic World Economic Forum, is profoundly eugenicist. The motive, of course, is control, reducing people to a state of total dependency. The lives of those classed as 'useless eaters' will be at the whims of the controllers. To resist this misanthropic plot, we must awaken people to the real motives of their self-appointed masters.

How bots are used to change your behaviour

DO you sometimes turn on social media and wonder, how on earth can that message, or that individual be so incredibly popular?

As a case in point, Gary Lineker recently tweeted out the inane statement that 'Scotland is very beautiful', and that pearl of wisdom received an astounding 27,000 likes on Twitter. Did twenty-seven thousand people have nothing better to do than like this fatuous statement? In fact, almost everything that Gary Lineker writes achieves this outlandish level of praise.

In our research work, we have found that in many cases where the level of popularity doesn't seem credible, the answer is often because individuals and certain messages are amplified by bots.

There is a lot of debate over the size of the bot problem; Twitter only accepts that up to five per cent of its 229 million monetisable daily active users are spam accounts, but in data-science circles, many estimates are well over half (our own estimate is around 80 per cent of politics-related likes and retweets are automated or produced by activists).

Fake likes and retweets are generated by a range of tools, ranging from some bolt-on Twitter features for regular users (Hootsuite), to manufacturing completely fake accounts (TweetAttacksPro). In the former case, a single user can operate multiple accounts to amplify what they are doing; this is something that political activists often do. In the latter case, it is possible to programme tens of thousands of fake accounts to follow specified Twitter users, and provide likes and retweets. A simple scroll through the ludicrous 35.6 million followers that Joe Biden has on Twitter will show thousands of bot followers hidden below a number of legitimate followers.

Generally, left-of-centre political messages receive a lot of amplification; typical topics will include vaccine mandates and state intervention in the economy. Former 'Dragon', Deborah Meaden, is an example of an ardent EU Remainer whose Twitter account is boosted by bots.

The purpose of falsifying the

by **ALEX KRIEL**

popularity of a particular message (or individual) is to create the false impression that there is popular support for it.

In the language of nudging, this is called establishing norms, forming the N in the MINDSPACE acronym which the government's Behavioural Insights Team developed.

With this technique, the creation of norms is considered to be important because we are strongly influenced by what others do. Therefore, there is a behavioural science benefit to establishing something as normal and widely-supported.

In social media, there are more mundane business objectives, with a number of charlatans having their accounts boosted by bots to create a media presence. One classic case is James Melville, who spent a few months with *Byline Times*, yet his follower base on Twitter of 350,000 apparently dwarfs the readership of well-established journalists such as Peter Hitchens and Alison Pearson.

In addition to dishonestly promoting certain messages, the bots also put genuine content at a serious disadvantage, since in the absence of bot amplification, genuine traffic with relatively fewer likes can appear at the bottom of timelines, and will never feature in the trending section. This deliberate strategy was referred to in the Event 201 pandemic planning exercise carried out in October 2019 as 'flooding the zone'. This ensures that only the official state position is heard, both in broadcast and on social media.

So the next time that you see a strange idea, or unlikely individual basking in social media adulation, don't get confused, but do wonder instead whether this outcome was achieved using automated bot traffic.

For more information on this topic, please visit the social media section of our website, and watch our videos on the subject.

■ www.thinkingslow1.com

■ <https://rumble.com/v1go00v-your-way-out-of-the-matrix.html>

Why aren't we allowed to debate?

by LOUISE K FRYER

SINCE March 2020, a sea change has occurred in the UK: We don't debate anymore.

Subjects such as Brexit, to give one example, would be discussed and debated in the media and in the Houses of Parliament. Each side, eager to convince the opposition that they were right, would convey their message, and attempt to provide empirical data in order to strengthen their position.

On occasion, the debate would descend into argument - particularly if one side had a stronger case than the other. After all, it is said that attack is the best form of defence. Nevertheless, a healthy society is comprised of people with differing opinions, so it was right to discuss them even if it became fractious while doing so.

Debates show us that one of the best and most effective ways to expose a weak or fraudulent viewpoint is to air it in front of people, and then, systematically, using powers of reason, facts and rhetoric, expose its dishonesty for all to see.

Further to this, one must question why, for nearly three years, no coverage has been given in the mainstream media to challenge the damaging policies enacted by the UK Government.

We know that no cost/benefit analysis was ever carried out prior to ordering lockdowns. Why was this not done? For something that was bound to have such a far-reaching impact on people's physical and mental health, and on the economy, it seems criminal that this was ordered and orchestrated on the back of a computer model by a theoretical physicist. Professor Neil Ferguson then went on to tell *The Times* newspaper that, with regard to lockdown (which China had already implemented), "China is a communist one party state, we said. We couldn't get away with it in Europe, we thought... and then Italy did it. And we realised we could." Surely the decision to tell everyone to stay in their homes should have been allowed to be challenged at the time. Why was this a unilateral, unquestioned decision?

Take also the mask mandate which was inflicted on the public: where was the evidence that masks



Photo: Antenna CW

would stop transmission of the virus? Ordering people to cover their only sources of oxygen is not a trivial thing. No potential harms were ever spoken of. Hypoxia (reduced oxygen levels), hypercapnia (increased CO² levels within the body), and bacterial pneumonia (infection of the lungs due to said bacteria,) were real possibilities, but never mentioned.

Seeing masked people trudging round shops and supermarkets also made some people fearful; it

is an unnatural sight and a hugely symbolic sign of having no voice. Where also was the consideration for people who suffered real anxiety having to deal with that, as they simply tried to buy essential supplies or go about their business?

We absolutely should have been given the opportunity to question the government on the mass roll-out of the covid 'vaccines', a novel technology never before used in the general population. They were

rushed to market at warp speed - it is usual for companies to take 10 to 15 years to produce a vaccine, as opposed to around nine months for these particular products.

The long-term side effects were not, and indeed could not possibly have been known, even as people were being injected with these substances.

It could be argued that perhaps even the short-term repercussions were already determined, if the Pfizer trial data is studied carefully (the same data that Pfizer and the FDA in America wanted suppressed for 55 years, only to have this overturned by Mark Pittman, a judge in Fort Worth, Texas).

We have unfortunately been subjected to a mono-narrative since 2020. This needs to change, and people should question the official version of events. Do the majority want to, though? In fact, why are so many currently opposed to a good debate?

There is always more than one side of the story. Now would be a good time to resurrect the art of the debate; if we are sure of our message, let's keep sharing it and get the truth out there.

Heddwch Ross... your thirst for truth burned brightly

Tribute to historian renowned for his passion and knowledge

KELVYN Ross Broadstock was born on September 1 1966, to proud parents Denzil and Yvonne.

He graduated from the London School of Economics in 1990, and embarked on a successful career in London in marketing, pioneering many new advertising media, such as wrapping London taxis in advertisements, a common sight these days. Ross went on to create the first and most prestigious London Golf Show in 2005, a lasting testimony to his many skills.

Through the years, Ross had a passion for history and historical events, and was involved with



by NEIL BROADSTOCK

many war-gaming groups, re-enacting famous battles and employing the strategies of past generals. While devouring books on historical events, Ross became aware of many discrepancies, and began questioning the narrative of these events. He soon realised that there was a hidden history to all of our history; and the subsequent discovery of authors Wilson and Blackett brought the true researcher

out in Ross. Now he was on a quest, and Britain's Hidden History was created.

A thirst for the truth, and a passion for the works of Wilson and Blackett led to a working relationship and a friendship with both authors, bringing their research and books to a wider audience via BritainsHiddenHistory, Ross's YouTube channel which gained a substantial following presenting a live show every Sunday. Ross involved his children Arnie, Leon and Xavi, with production to weekly musical snippets; all a joy to watch.

Perhaps the most important breakthrough in his new career was his own book *Cymroglyphics*, in which he expanded upon Wilson & Blackett's momentous discovery that Egyptian hieroglyphics could be read using Welsh.

Ross will be missed by so many, with his natural approach to broadcasting, and his extensive knowledge of not only Wilson

and Blackett's works, several of which he re-edited, but also many historical writings and theories.

Alan Wilson's own words sum this up best: "At my time of life, names and faces often blur in my memory, but Ross was one that always burned brightly, and to whom I and my colleague are forever indebted, for helping to shine a light on our research and for the excellent work in promoting Britain's real, true and rich history.

"Events like this just go to show how important it is to seize the day, and Ross certainly approached his life and this subject with an enthusiasm and energy that I will miss, and I'm sure the wider community will as well. I think as we all try to digest and make sense of what has happened, the best thing we can do is carry on doing the research, keep reading and communicating ideas, and keep talking. It is what Ross would want."

We are planning to set up a

Centre for British Studies. This will be a research centre where we can come together and talk, discuss, research, read, and learn, in that same merry and civilised atmosphere that characterised Ross's Sunday evening programmes, and which also characterises this wonderful group of people that it is a privilege to be a part of.

Ultimately, we would like to see groups of people in all parts of the country contributing their unique talents to uncovering more and more of our hidden history, as a legacy to Ross and his quest for the truth.

Ross is now with the Land of our Fathers, and may he enjoy his many discussions of battles past with the kings of the past.

Heddwch, my friend.

- <https://www.britainshiddenhistory.co.uk>
- **GoFundMe link:** <https://www.gofundme.com/f/continue-finding-britainshiddenhistory>

We're still resisting the continuing covid tyranny

by **ANDY ROOTSEY**

Fighting for justice as lockdown prosecutions drag on

MARCUS Blackett and Richard House's arrest on the January 9 2021 was sandwiched somewhere between Rishi Sunak and Boris Johnson frolicking at parties in breach of coronavirus regulations, and the then Health Secretary Matt Hancock cheating on his wife, in breach of his own health guidance.

The Light originally covered their case in issue 20, April 2022, page 5 (see tinyurl.com/3cym2y2x).

Richard and Marcus, both trained psychological therapists, dismayed at the failings of their own government to address the tsunami of mental health and emotional problems being unleashed nationally as a result of draconian covid restrictions and lockdowns, set off into the cold morning air towards their local town High Street in Stroud, wearing sandwich boards bearing the words 'Citizens' Conversation'.

Their aim was to offer their expertise to the people of the town who wished to engage with them, to help those struggling mentally under the weight of the soul-crushing restrictions, to demonstrate and protest against the imposition of the covid regulations, and to highlight that this 'cure' was worse than the disease.

Ironically, whilst in conversation with a healthcare worker who had approached them, clearly in distress at the negative effects of lockdown, and who just wanted someone to talk to, to listen, and empathise with, police arrived on the scene,



The issues raised in the case with regards to the interference with human rights and civil liberties are worthy of the consideration of the Supreme Court

having been directed there by CCTV operators. They were there not to clap for our carers, but instead to interrogate and arrest the pair for 'breaching Coronavirus Regulations by being in a gathering in an outdoor place of two or more people whilst in a Tier 4 area'.

Marcus and Richard were taken into custody at a police station, and were later to receive a fixed penalty notice and a Summary Justice Procedure Notice. They decided to challenge the notices, and following months of correspondence being sent to the authorities, they discovered to their surprise, having not been notified of any trial date, that they had been convicted of the offence in their absence, saddled with criminal records, and sentenced to considerable fines. Their treatment may not have looked out of place in Stalinist Russia.

The pair were able to raise funds to have those convictions overturned, and were able to instruct Murray Hughman solicitors. On October 26, at Cheltenham Magistrates Court, having heard from their barrister, Hannah Thomas of 2 Hare Court, and having considered detailed legal submissions from the defence legal team, we succeeded in persuading the court to set aside their original convictions and fines, and the case was re-opened, with a fresh trial ordered. In so doing, the court commented that the important and novel issues raised in the case, with regards to the interference with human rights and civil liberties, are worthy of the consideration of the Supreme Court.

In light of the court's directions, police explained that they wished to review the case, to decide whether it remained in the public

interest to pursue a prosecution and convictions. Given what is now essentially universally accepted as the cost of lockdown, particularly in terms of the devastating impact upon the mental health of the most vulnerable in society, it was hoped a sensible decision would be reached by police. That was, however, not to be, and on November 9 2022, at a case management hearing, the court was informed that the prosecution would still go ahead, and a trial date was fixed for February 28 2023, at Cheltenham Magistrates Court. Clearly, a so-called pandemic amnesty only extends to some.

Despite the decision to continue with the prosecution of Richard and Marcus, we remain determined and resolute to obtain justice for them, at a trial that they can actually participate in.

The frequently shifting sands of

the coronavirus regulations created substantial confusion, and have saddled many well-intentioned citizens, not just Marcus and Richard, with criminal records and punitive fines.

The legislation was rushed through Parliament, poorly drafted and ill-thought-out. The regulations that were in place at the time were often inaccessible and opaque, and many, including the police and the courts, failed to understand or fully grasp them, leaving well-meaning people vulnerable to arbitrary and discriminatory decisions being made against them.

The continuing prosecution of Richard and Marcus is appalling, unsettling, and just plain wrong. The response - to attempt to criminalise two men with very real and reasonable concerns about the mental health implications upon the local community because of the draconian legislation passed - is completely disproportionate.

The unnecessary interference with their fundamental rights of freedom of expression and freedom of assembly, in the circumstances, cannot be said to be a proportionate response, given that both Marcus and Richard were engaging in legitimate protest, and submissions will be made that the court should acquit them as a result.

We have achieved successful outcomes in similar circumstances for others, including the well-known human rights campaigner Debbie Hicks, where we have twice successfully argued that protesting during the coronavirus pandemic was never illegal; and we hope to obtain a similar outcome for Richard and Marcus, which may in turn assist others who have been unfairly convicted.

■ ***In order to do so they need your help and they ask the public to please consider donating to their fundraiser here: tinyurl.com/buxu7rey***

■ ***Andy Rootsey is a criminal defence lawyer at Murray Hughman Solicitors***

Could cyber attack bring in digital slavery?

by **CAMEL FINANCE**

Cashless society threat is a nightmare we must wake up to

WILL a cyber attack lead to us waking up to a cashless society powered by Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) and a digital ID?

This might sound like the plot of a dystopian science fiction movie – but it could be our reality and the climax of ‘the great reset’.

Let’s back up a bit here and set the scene. Fiat currencies (government-issued currency that is not backed by a commodity such as gold) start life with a backing to give them value.


The dollar was backed by gold until President Richard Nixon took it off the gold standard in 1971. And the great British pound was originally backed by 11lb of sterling silver, hence pound sterling.

Fast forward to today, and these fiat currencies are backed by nothing more than a promise from the banks. They are designed to keep people poor via inflation. And they do so because central banks print money (create it out of thin air), slowly devaluing the currencies over time.

The primary reason they do this is to make their debt more serviceable. But what happens after years of monetary debasement? And what happens when central banks lose control of their 2% annual inflation target? The answer is simple: fiat currencies by design tend towards zero until they eventually reach zero (think Zimbabwe, Venezuela, and the Weimar Republic).

Today, we just so happen to be alive at the final stages of this fiat currency cycle. With the euro at 20-year lows, the yen at 24-year lows and the pound at 37-year lows, we are seeing the collapse of fiat before our eyes.

Worse still, with a backdrop of double-digit inflation, the Bank of England and the Bank of Japan continue to print exponentially more money to try to save their rapidly imploding bond markets, pensions and dying economies. History tells us that all currencies first collapse into the world reserve currency



By staging a cyber attack on the financial system, central banks can impoverish the masses, take down intermediary banks and replace the money system in one fell swoop

(that would be the dollar this time around), before the world reserve collapses and the whole system requires resetting.

Usually a new country claims the world reserve status, backing the new reserve against gold to ensure value, stability and public trust, before the whole pony show starts over again. But what if this time, central banks decided to utilise technology to control this reset and implement a totalitarian takeover? That’s where the CBDCs and digital IDs come in.

CBDCs are digital fiat currencies issued by central banks. In practice, the daily user experience would be very similar to using a debit card or paying contactless with a smartphone. Beep the merchant terminal to pay and off you go.

But CBDCs have a sinister iteration that should make you want to resist them at all costs. First, CBDCs are a direct line from central bank to the people, with no requirement for any intermediary banks. CBDCs operate on a blockchain which is an immutable ledger, meaning the record of transactions can never be changed. By using CBDCs, a record of everything you ever purchased will

be kept forever.

Everywhere you went, every prescription, everything you ate, wore, or watched will be on a public blockchain forever. Worse still, CBDCs are programmable money. This means CBDCs can come with stipulations, e.g. you can only spend money if you have met injection requirements. They can be product-restricted, so that they only allow you to purchase pre-approved items. And they can even come with expiry dates e.g. spend within two weeks or two years, or lose it.

These are not speculations; these are part of the design, and stated inside the white papers for each of the CBDCs. As if that’s not bad enough, expect to pay negative interest rates (yes, really, they want you to pay the bank to keep your money there).

So how exactly are these to be rolled out? No one will accept CBDCs unless there is no other choice. Central banks will continue to debase their currencies until the cost of living increases so much that the public will beg the government for a solution. That solution will be a monetary reset, with the roll-out of CBDCs, heading towards a cashless society and worst of all, digital ID

and social credit scores. But all of this will leave the people angry at the government and central banks. And the intermediary banks will still be operational. Hence, the World Economic Forum tells us to ‘prepare for a cyber-pandemic’.

CBDCs are being tested right now; countries including Australia, India, Hong Kong, China, Japan, Korea and even the UK all have their CBDCs developed, tested and ready to go. The U.S. Federal Reserve launched its CBDC for testing last month.

Digital IDs are closer than most realise too. The Prime Minister, Rishi Sunak, has ties to the WEF, and his father-in-law, Narayana Murthy, is the founder of software giant Infosys – which has developed a digital ID system similar to the one used in China.

Could a cyber-attack be used to bring in these new cashless systems? Could an internet blackout be the climax to this financial reset that sees us waking up to a new digital, cashless economy, full of totalitarian systems like CBDCs, digital IDs and social credit scores like they have in China?

Further information

- <https://www.infosys.com/industries/financial-services/insights/digital-identity.html>
- <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/10/protecting-critical-infrastructure-from-cyber-pandemic/>
- <https://www.reuters.com/markets/currencies/banking-giants-new-york-fed-start-12-week-digital-dollar-pilot-2022-11-15/>
- <https://www.rba.gov.au/payments-and-infrastructure/central-bank-digital-currency/pdf/australian-cbdc-pilot-for-digital-finance-innovation-white-paper.pdf>
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IIMEZNTCR2o&t=591s&ab_channel=CamelFinance
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MMwtIKvHQJ4&ab_channel=CamelFinance

Court victory in fight against sexualisation of our children

by **JOSH GREGOIRE-JONES**

Campaign against age-inappropriate sex education passes major hurdle

THEY said it would never happen, they said it couldn't happen, and then, it did.

November saw a remarkable two days in Wales for people and parents protecting their rights and standing up for their children.

On November 15 and 16, barrister Paul Diamond, representing five parent claimants, on behalf of Public Child Protection Wales, backed by 5,000 Welsh mothers and 400 passionate protestors, took the Welsh Government to court for a judicial review at Cardiff High Court, over the relationship and sexual education being forced into Welsh schools as mandatory across numerous curriculums - with no parental opt-out.

The teaching stems from the abhorrent and highly-sexualised, non age-appropriate system of frameworks and resources co-constructed by UNESCO, stemming from the research of Alfred Kinsey, a sadistic 'sexologist' who founded the Kinsey Institute for sex research.

The claimants' main argument was that until this can be stopped, parental opt-out is critical. This is something the Welsh Government have disagreed with, and claimed doesn't exist under the law.

Tuesday 15th was the key day. Mr Diamond gave the critical evidence needed to show parental opt-out does indeed exist, both in the 1944 & 1996 Education Acts, the 1988 Human Rights Act. And in Section 81 of the 2006 Welsh Ministers Act, it states that the Welsh Government does not have



Above: Kimberley Isherwood of PCPW and barrister Paul Diamond celebrate after the hearing. Below: Supporters outside BBC Wales asking why no coverage of this vital issue. Pictures courtesy of PCPW.

The sheer magnitude of what these parents have achieved should not be overlooked

the power to override conventional rights already standing.

Wednesday 16th saw the Welsh government give their defence. It was thread-thin and far-fetched from the subject itinerary of previous case laws; Mr Diamond took these to task in his stride.

The Welsh Government's argument consisted of there being no parental rights to opt-out of, and that this wasn't part of a worldwide rollout, and instead, was an education written by Welsh

practitioners - arguments that were obliterated in court. The Welsh Government's own documents reference the 2018 'UNESCO International Technical Guidance on Sexuality Education', showing what a worldwide roll-out this certainly is.

For a case so important, even with BBC Cymru and Media Wales just a stone's throw away from court, there was zero mainstream media representation in or outside the building. As expected, it is

again left to the people to publicise, through alternative media such as *The Light* paper and website *Liberty Tactics*, plus scores of social media live streams from supporters, and 200 people watching the proceedings online via video link. Still the fire was lit and the smoke billowed, the message was released: 'These are our children'.

With no reporters to be seen, supporters headed to the BBC buildings during the break, taking the story to them, parents and

children just want to be heard.

The close of Wednesday saw positivity oozing and passion for change flowing. The barristers for the Welsh Government left court to deafening boos and jeers. The difference couldn't have been greater for the exit of the brave claimants and barrister Paul Diamond, however. Rapturous applause, love and unity filled the air, with spine-tingling chants of 'hero!' There stood a man clearly overwhelmed by the love and support, but who could not be more deserving of it.

The verdict isn't expected until the end of the year, and regardless of what direction the verdict goes, appeals from either side are sure to follow. Foundations for change have been laid, and the positively infectious and diverse movement this has generated is sure to grow. The sheer magnitude of what these parents have achieved should not be overlooked.

This truly is momentous; it cannot be stated enough. This movement is as grassroots as it gets, and they have the Welsh Government in a corner with nowhere to run.

PCP Wales have generated a revolution of powerful courage, returning power back to people and parents. Numerous fundraisers from the entire community enabled this to happen, with over £50,000 raised. People really have rallied round for the cause, and the level of pride and passion for this case was evident outside court.

People are slowly taking back their power and PCP Wales are at the heart of it. United in the common cause of protecting children from inappropriate sex 'education', uniting people from all walks of life, of all faiths and religions, they have opened the door. Now we all need to continue to keep it open, dismantling and demolishing the rest.

■ **For more information or to support PCP Wales: www.publicchildprotectionwales.org**



Who are 'the community'?

...and why does the word hold such sway with us at all?

WE'VE been bludgeoned to death via schooling and other social engineering mechanisms to stop thinking at the utterance of the word 'community'.

- For the good of the community
- A benefit to the community
- A boon to the community
- To foster community
- A threat to the community
- For the community's safety

- and more recently:

- community standards
- strong community spirit
- resilient community
- sustainable community
- inclusive community
- diverse community
- equitable community

Of course, the question begged is: what is the community?

Sometimes it's explicit; 'the business community', which we in turn decipher as, 'business owners defined within proximal geographical parameters' - of

by **CINDY NILES**

our own imagining. For instance, the local high street or mall may be lined with mega commercial retailers, whose profits are shipped offshore. Thus 'community' loses its warm, local flavour, but only if you think it through.

'Farming community' is another prime example. What makes them a community? Do they coordinate with each other? Do lemon growers have dinner with cattle graziers? Why use the term community at all? It adds nothing to the meaning. Just call them farmers. Or does it make a difference?

It's a social engineering construct - propaganda. It's neurolinguistic programming designed to make people feel a sense of obligation to a group, to which they are ostensibly a member. Are you a valued member of the community?

Do you have evidence of reciprocity on a personal level? Reflect on your local municipality. Consider rubbish collection, parking, getting approval to add a bathroom to your house. The local council goes out of its way to make

you comfortable and to bring you prosperity, right?

Or perhaps we can talk about the schooling 'community', making life a breeze. How about the policing of 'your community', that devotes 99 per cent of its manpower to either collecting revenue, or intimidating people for precrime?

Let's talk about the 'health community'. They bombard you with messages to direct you to screening for whatever is in vogue for your age bracket; give you notice for another injection; or tell you how negligent you are because you haven't made an appointment to look at your teeth, for £300. Perhaps we can talk about the 'holistic health community', making bank for dealing with the fallout from the allopathic circuit. A hundred different modalities and methods to choose from and experiment with, until you run out of funds or find something that works after being a guinea pig with fewer side effects.

Why on earth does the word 'community' hold any sway with us at all, when most of our exchanges with strangers are commercial trade? 'Community' is a warm, fuzzy

and meaningless word, unless you start thinking 'communal'. Communal space? Better off calling it a public space, unless you're describing a property in which everyone who pays gets to use it.

Do yourself a favour and scroll up to the bulleted examples marked with the square ■.

Has your life been improved on any of those points, or are you always expected to oblige, give, compromise and sacrifice?

Which group or community did that for you without financial incentive?

Where's this diatribe heading? I would kindly like to ask each individual dissenter, every resister who reads this, to stop using a term we've been brainwashed to think means something it doesn't. The word you're looking for is a verb and noun, which makes it versatile. What the solutionists are describing we ought to do is network or create a network so we can trade our wares, produce and skills.

Most of us have never, ever been interested in sharing a bathroom or kitchen with strangers. That it may come to that for strictly survival

purposes is not in dispute. However, do not expect that there won't be a proprietor to whom you must answer. In plain English, communal settings aren't 'freedom'. There are other people's rules to follow; ones that will be said to cater to 'the community' (of random people residing there), but the truth is: he who owns sets his rules.

Community is a word form of common, and commune, from which communism is derived. 'Together', 'shared' and 'not owned' are synonyms. The new and improved version of slavery is called communitarianism, derived from community. The synonym is 'others'. You might ask, which 'others'? The answer is, 'Other people, you know, that group, but not you.'

Communitarianism is about owning nothing, sharing everything, abandoning individual rights and claims and handing them over to others.

We are a network of individuals, we ought to network if we wish to exchange or trade. We are not obligated; we are not looking for new masters.

If you want to help spread the uncensored truth



Why not pre-order advance copies of *The Light* each month for your group, town or community?

25 copies for £15

50 copies for £20


100 copies for £25

500 copies for £50


www.thelightpaper.co.uk/bulk-order

WE ♥ BO


'Amnesty'



"Fear not, my lady, I am signing another online petition"



**THIS WINTER,
KEEP WARM
BY BURNING
ALL THE PREVIOUS
ADVICE WE GAVE
YOU THAT TURNED
OUT TO BE BULLSHIT**




THIS IS A PUBLIC HEALTH MESSAGE FROM YOUR
TRUSTED FRIENDS, THE GOVERNMENT

B MORAN



Bob Moran
satirises the current insane goings-on in the world better than any other artist alive today, and we are proud to feature his insightful work in *The Light* as often as we can. You can buy the original paintings, or download digital copies, and many other things, on his website at:
bobmoran.co.uk



Brazilian leader stands firm amid voting controversy

by PAUL BENNETT

Supporters of Brazilian president, Jair Bolsonaro, are continuing to rise up against alleged election fraud, with large protests across the country - despite a recent court ruling dismissing the election results claims.

Convicted embezzler, Lula da Silva, won the Brazilian presidential election by a tight margin, despite serious doubts over the manner of his win. Former president Lula da Silva had 50.90% of the vote, compared to 49.10% for popular president, Jair Bolsonaro.

Bolsonaro's coalition party informed the electoral court that its audit of the October 30 second-round runoff presidential election had found 'signs of irreparable... malfunction' in many electronic voting machines across the country.

According to their internal audit: 'There were signs of serious failures that generate uncertainties and make it impossible to validate the results generated.'

American news site, *The Gateway Pundit*, reported: 'The head of Bolsonaro-allied Liberal Party (PL), Valdemar da Costa Neto, will hold a press conference to propose a review of all ballots used in this

Thousands protest over 'fraudulent' presidential election results

year's election.

'A study by the Instituto Voto Legal concluded that it was impossible to validate the results generated in all electronic voting machines of models 2009, 2010, 2011, 2013 and 2015.

"We don't want new elections, we are not going to propose that; we don't want to disturb the life of the country," Costa Neto said. "But there are some electronic voting machines that have to be reviewed and we are going to propose this to the Superior Electoral Court. From the study we did, there are several ballot boxes that cannot be considered valid. It's all over Brazil, from 2020 onwards. They all have the same number of ballots. There's no way to validate this. We have the proof, and we are going to show that these ballot boxes cannot be considered."

'According to Costa Neto, approximately 250,000 electronic voting machines had problems.'

In response to the dubious election results, massive protests have been seen across Brazil. Journalist, Matthew Tyrmand, told Steve Bannon on his show *War Room* that the protests in Brazil

were "the largest pro-democracy protests in history." He told Bannon: "Bolsonaro was winning the election up to a certain point and then every ballot drop was won by the socialist candidate. The math doesn't make sense at all."

Many observers have compared the Brazilian election results to the U.S. November 2020 presidential election results. Tyrmand said: "There's never been one like the American election in 2020 or the Brazilian election in 2022. Voters don't vote in those patterns, and data has never been tabulated in these patterns. Mass uniformity in ballot returns is statistically improbable."

Brazil expert, Fernando Teles, told German website *Free World*: "People are very angry. That isn't really like Brazilians at all, who are usually pretty laid back. I'm no expert on the history of protests but I think these may be the biggest protest the world has ever seen."

The Gateway Pundit claimed: 'Last week, Minister of Defense Paulo Sergio Nogueira de Oliveira sent his report on possible election fraud to the radical leftist Supreme Electoral Court (TSE), which



Photo: Matheus Camara da Silva

consists of diehard Lula supporters.

'The military's report spoke of "relevant security risks", adding: 'It is not possible to say the electronic voting system is free from the influence of malware that could affect its outcome.'

'The TSE will likely ignore the report. As the Brazilian people continue massive protests against the stolen elections, the pro-communist Supreme Court Judge, Alexandre de Moraes, has gone full authoritarian, moving to shut down the bank accounts of pro-democracy trucking companies, and arrest parents who bring their children to protests.'

At the time of writing, Brazil's electoral court has rejected Bolsonaro's election results claims. According to Reuters: 'The head of Brazil's electoral court, Alexandre de Moraes, rejected a complaint from

President Jair Bolsonaro's allies to challenge the presidential election, which the incumbent lost by a small margin, according to a court document.

'Moraes, who serves as a Supreme Court justice, also fined the parties in Bolsonaro's coalition 22.9m reais (£4m) for what the court described as bad faith litigation, the document showed.'

The more the media, judicial system, and government ignore pro-democracy protests, the more people will rise in large numbers. People across the world have had enough of government overreach, lies and corruption.

■ <https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2022/11/done-3-million-brazilians-protest-election-fraud-bolsonaro-annul-steal/>

Italian nurses and doctors who refused covid jab reinstated

Government U-Turn on health strategy

THOUSANDS of unvaccinated healthcare staff in Italy who courageously stood firm against government over-reach have had their jobs rightfully restored.

New Health Minister, Orazio Schillaci, announced that, due to a shortage in healthcare staff, suspended staff can now return to healthcare settings. He said:

by PAUL BENNETT

"A measure is being finalised that will allow the reintegration into service of health staff subject to suspension proceedings for non-compliance with compulsory vaccination, before the expiry date of the suspension."

The Italian Health Ministry added in a statement: "Six months after the suspension of the state of emergency and given the level of covid-19 contagion, health minister Orazio Schillaci considers it necessary to initiate a progressive return to normality in activity and behaviour, based on criteria of

responsibility and respect for the law in force."

New Italian Prime Minister, Giorgia Meloni, accused her predecessors Mario Draghi and Giuseppe Conte of taking an 'ideological' approach to handling covid. She said: "The previous governments took a host of measures that had no scientific evidence."

According to an *Epoch Times* report, under previous Prime Minister, Mario Draghi, whose government imploded in the midst of an energy crisis and a worsening economic outlook, all public and private sector workers had to get

a covid 'green pass' before they could go to work.

The report continued: 'Italians who ignore the order and go to work without proof of vaccination or a negative test or recovery from the virus are suspended on no pay and fined up to 1,500 euros.'

Earlier this year, former Italian health minister, Roberto Speranza, is said to have told reporters:

"We are making these choices in order to restrict the unvaccinated as much as possible, as this is what is causing the burden on our hospital system."

On October 25, in her first speech at parliament, new Italian

leader Meloni said: "Something clearly didn't work there. That's why I want to say that in no way will we imitate this model."

Reinstating their jobs is a good first step, but does not go far enough. Compensation and grovelling apologies are also required, and questions need to be answered by authorities that promoted medical apartheid.

■ https://www.theepochtimes.com/italy-plans-to-allow-unvaccinated-health-care-workers-to-return-to-work_4827661.html

Holocaust survivor calls out modern day fascism

by JERRY ROBERTS

Hate-filled propaganda emerging again

VERA Sharav was three-and-a-half years old in 1941, when Romanian collaborators sent her family away to a concentration camp in the Ukraine.

Her Nuremberg speech on August 20 this year may well become the battle hymn of the worldwide resistance to today's Nazi goons.

In an interview following the speech, she urged us to forget our differences as we face a common enemy. In my interpretation, those differences include ancient hang-ups of race and religion, as well as contemporary distractions, such as gender and net zero carbon.

We need to be clear about what we are fighting against and what we are fighting for. We are fighting against the authoritarian surveillance state predicted by George Orwell in the novel 1984, perfected by today's Chinese Communist Party, and now being implemented throughout the world under the guidance of the World Economic Forum.

We are fighting for freedom. We are fighting for sovereignty over our own bodies and over our own countries. After we have reclaimed these fundamental liberties, we can argue the toss about boys wearing dresses, farting cows and melting icebergs.

Speaking to the German Corona Committee, Sharav warned: "Those of us who lived through the Holocaust were rescued. There will be no rescuers now. There are no armed forces who would rescue. We have to do it ourselves or it is over."

Here are some excerpts from her speech on the 75th anniversary of the publication of the Nuremberg Code:

"The Holocaust did not begin in the gas chambers of Auschwitz and Treblinka. The Holocaust was preceded by nine years of incremental restrictions of personal freedom, and the suspension of legal rights, civil rights and - eventually - human rights. The stage was set by fear-mongering and hate-mongering



Today there will be no rescuers. Unless all of us resist, never again is now

propaganda. A series of humiliating government edicts demonised Jews as spreaders of disease. We were compared to lice.

"The real viral disease that infected Nazi Germany was eugenics. Eugenics is the elitist ideology at the heart of all genocides. Medicine was perverted from its healing mission and was weaponised."

Sharav's comment on education should speak to our high school teachers and university lecturers: "If we are to prevent another Holocaust, we must identify ominous current parallels before they poison the fabric of society."

"Since the Nazi era, the study of history and most of the humanities including philosophy, religion and ethics has been overshadowed by an emphasis on utilitarian science and technology. As a result, few people recognise foreboding similarities between current policies and those under the Nazi regime."

"The Nuremberg Code unquestionably asserts the primacy of the individual as opposed to the 'greater good' of the state or society. The Nuremberg Code defines foundational, universal moral and legal standards affirming fundamental human rights. The human rights apply to every human being - every race, creed and colour. It makes no difference. We are one human family."

"By declaring a state of

emergency in 1933 and 2020, constitutionally-protected personal freedom, legal rights and civil rights were swept aside. Repressive discriminatory decrees followed. In 1933, the primary target of discrimination were Jews. Today, the target is people who refuse to be injected with experimental, genetically engineered so-called vaccines.

"The powerful billionaire technocrats who gather at Davos, Big Tech, Big Pharma, the financial oligarchs, academics, government leaders and the military complex - these megalomaniacs have paved the road to another Holocaust. This time, the threat of genocide is global in scale. Instead of Zyklon B gas, the weapons of mass destruction are genetically-engineered, injectable bioweapons masquerading as vaccines."

"Today there will be no rescuers. Unless all of us resist, never again is now."

Sharav's speech at Nuremberg can be seen in full on several sites on the internet, including on Rumble by searching 'Holocaust Survivor, Vera Sharav Speech at Nuremberg 75'.

■ *Jerry Roberts is a Western Australian journalist who has reported on politics, manufacturing and Aboriginal affairs.*

■ *This article first appeared in The Light Australia.*

G20 commit to vaccine passports and Agenda 2030 in Bali declaration

by DARREN SMITH

Representatives of the Group of Twenty (G20) countries met in Bali on 15-16 November 2022, and issued a declaration that again exposes collective intent to control all the world's people, at the expense of our health, autonomy, and fundamental freedoms.

These are not empty words; these are the leaders of the world's major countries, and they are going to act upon their statements. Paragraph 5 of the declaration reads: 'It is essential that the G20 undertakes tangible, precise, swift and necessary actions, using all available policy tools, to address common challenges, including through international macro policy cooperation and concrete collaborations.'

It is alarming by itself, of course, but these intended policies are an exact match to the stated agenda of the World Economic Forum, a private body no one has elected to govern.

When the people find out their freedoms are being removed, they will not want it, which is why corporate media have been complicitly silent about this declaration.

The G20 are still committed to the fake war on weather, de-industrialisation and creating energy crises: paragraph 17 reiterates the intention to implement COP26 commitments, the Paris Agreement and the push for 'net zero' by any means available, phasing out traditional fuels.

Perhaps the most alarming is the commitment to a world health dictatorship and towards an international pandemic treaty, as stated in paragraph 19.

Ignoring vaccine damage and continuing to make sure they are pushed to everyone, paragraph 22 declares: 'covid-19 immunisation is a global public good and we will advance our effort to ensure timely, equitable and universal access to safe, affordable, quality and effective vaccines, therapeutics and diagnostics

(VTDs). We remain committed to enhancing global surveillance, including genomic surveillance, in order to detect pathogens and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) that may threaten human health.'

World Council of Health's Tess Lawrie, wrote in response: 'Mounting evidence of regulatory body corruption, and real-world data of harms associated with the covid-19 injection program do not support this statement. The World Council for Health invites members of the G20 to take responsibility for the serious failings of the covid-19 injection program and stand accountable for the extensive harm wreaked on men, women, and children during the covid-19 crisis.'

Vaccine passports are also back on the menu, despite being soundly rejected by even the vaccinated in most countries. The Health Minister of Indonesia, Budi Gunadi Sadikin, advocated for them, saying, "Let's have a digital health certificate acknowledged by WHO. If you have been vaccinated or tested properly, then you can move around."

This is reflected in paragraph 23: 'recognising digital solutions and non-digital solutions, including proof of vaccinations.'

Continuing to move life online where it can be completely controlled and censored, the G20 want 'a resilient, safe and secure online environment to enhance confidence and trust in the digital economy. We acknowledge the importance to counter disinformation campaigns, cyber threats, online abuse, and ensuring security in connectivity infrastructure.'

Serious questions as to the nature of the problems they are trying to solve should arise from this G20 declaration, especially as it has been unreported in the world's media.

■ <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2022/11/16/g20-bali-leaders-declaration>

■ <https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/resources/covid-19-vaccine-pharmacovigilance-report/>

Deadly fire sparks widespread protests across China

by TYLER DURDEN

Social media reports show increasing unrest against restrictions

PROTESTS in China against President Xi Jinping's zero-tolerance covid-19 measures intensified last month - expanding from Beijing and the far western Xinjiang region to several other major cities, including Wuhan, Shanghai, and the eastern city of Nanjing - according to video and photos circulated on social media.

Demonstrations started on November 25 in Urumqi, the capital of Xinjiang, after a deadly fire killed residents who had been locked inside their apartments for more than three months. According to the authorities, ten people were killed and nine injured, but residents claimed around forty people lost their lives, including some children.

The protests were a rare display from a typically compliant citizenry, who know that crackdowns on dissent have intensified over the past decade. As the *Wall Street Journal* notes: 'Having protests over the same issue break out in multiple Chinese cities is almost unheard of, outside of nationalist outpourings, such as anti-Japanese protests.'

Since the Tiananmen Square protests in 1989, the ruling party has allowed some local demonstrations, but made it a priority to prevent nationwide protests.

On Saturday November 26, videos circulating on social media showed crowds gathered on a street in central Shanghai calling for a lifting of lockdowns. The videos were verified by Storyful, a social-media research company owned by News Corp, parent company of the Wall Street Journal.

On Saturday evening



demonstrations in Shanghai - the largest city in the country - people were openly heard shouting anti-government slogans such as: "Xi Jinping, step down!" and "Communist party, step down!"

Demonstrators held blank white banners and lit candles to honour the victims in the Urumqi fire.

There was a large security presence around Urumqi Road, where people attempted to lay floral tributes for fire victims in Urumqi.

One Shanghai protester told the BBC that he felt "shocked and a bit excited" that so many people were out on the streets - adding it was the first such large-scale demonstration of dissent he'd witnessed. A woman told the BBC that police said they feel "the same as you" about the protests, but "they wear their uniforms so they're doing their job."

As the *Epoch Times* noted: 'At Beijing's prestigious Tsinghua University, dozens of people held

a peaceful protest against covid-19 restrictions, according to images and videos posted on social media.'

In one video, a Tsinghua university student called on a cheering crowd to speak out: "If we don't dare to speak out because we are scared of being smeared, our people will be disappointed in us. As a Tsinghua university student, I will regret it for all my life."

According to one report: 'Analysts say the government appears to have drastically underestimated growing discontent towards the zero-covid approach, a policy inextricably linked to Xi Jinping, who recently pledged there would be no swerving from it.'

In other areas of the country, witnesses gave accounts of police violence, with one protester telling AP News Agency that one of his friends had been beaten by police, while two others were pepper sprayed.

The BBC saw police officers, private security guards and plain-clothed police officers on the streets, confronting protesters who had assembled for a second day.

Demonstrators who led anti-government chants were taken away, and punched or pushed up against a police car in some cases.

BBC journalist, Edward Lawrence, was arrested and beaten by police, prompting a demand by the Foreign Office to the Chinese ambassador for an explanation.

■ <https://zerohedge.com>

News agency allegedly fires its reporter over fake Russia article

by MARK MONEYCIRCUS

Intrigue over article laying blame for Poland missile attack



THE news agency, Associated Press, which provides stories to thousands of newspaper, television and online outlets, has fired a reporter who claimed an anonymous U.S. intelligence official confirmed that Russia had attacked Poland in November.

Ukraine's authorities, including president Volodymyr Zelenskiy, falsely stated on three occasions that Russia had struck a NATO member when it was, in fact, a Ukrainian missile. Indeed, the anti-aircraft missile flew in the opposite direction of any incoming Russian threat - by 100 kilometers.

See Moneycircus, November 17, 2022 - *Fabled Attack On Poland Risks Escalation*

Even the *New York Times* has turned a critical eye on Ukraine, after videos emerged of ten surrendering Russian soldiers forced to lie on the ground before being shot in the head.

Strangely, only one AP reporter, James LaPorta, was fired, while co-author of the report, John Leichester, has so far kept his job. There is no word of the editor who checked the story, nor whomever approved it for publication - where the buck stops.

The company's explanation is that if a report relies on anonymous sources, there must be at least two such sources.

The 51 intelligence officials who signed a letter claiming the Hunter Biden laptop story was Russian disinformation should discredit any kind of anonymous verification - allegation, perhaps; verification, no.

It is simply impossible for any story to be published on the say-so of a reporter. This story should have been examined by lawyers if applicable, and it would have required approval by the head of news. But before that, it would have gone through a subeditor before a chief editor - executive producer in television - took the decision to publish.

I spent the best part of a decade at Reuters news agency, and also worked for several newspapers and television outlets in each of these roles - and this stinks.

A story with such significance would have gone higher - probably to the top: at Sky or Fox that would be Mr Murdoch himself, but since Associated Press is a cooperative, that would be chairman, Steven R. Swartz.

I'd go further: given that this is a national security issue, and we have seen (during the three years of covid,) how the press 'takes the knee' to military and intelligence - then this may be the result of official guidance, and in that case, it's hard to see how it wasn't an order.

The motive is another matter. Testing the water... fear mongering... measuring public psychology. Do you remember the 2018 Hawaii alert, stating that there was an incoming ballistic missile threat, telling residents to seek shelter, before it concluded: 'This is not a drill'? That wasn't a mistake, either.

■ <https://moneycircus.substack.com/p/crisis-update-rule-by-mind-war-and>

Rite of passage so wrong for many

by **MATT SINGLE**

Alcohol has killed more than all the wars in history

THE word alcohol comes from the Arabic 'al-kuhl', which was the name of what was believed to be a body-eating spirit.

The English 'ghoul' comes from the same word, or to be under the control of a demon or 'spirit', which is where the word to describe strong liquor is derived from.

Growing up in the 70s and 80s, I can clearly remember a culture of alcohol consumption that seemed to pervade every social occasion. As a child, no party big or small seemed complete without a teetering table heaving with an array of various cans and bottles waiting to be consumed. I'd look at these mysterious bottles and would wonder, could I too one day become a living legend for my drunken hijinks, just like the inebriated uncle, air-guitaring to Dire Straits with a tie wrapped around his head?

Both of my parents rarely ever drank at all, however the general attitude towards the occasional recreational alcohol binge was purported widely as one of harmless fun, and even a 'rite of passage' for all young men.

In that regard, nothing has changed at all in the last forty years. And neither have the disastrous consequences of such indifference to, and acceptance of, a substance that has killed and maimed more people than every war humanity has ever fought combined, at an average of three million people every single year. It is a shocking and sobering figure.

It is also estimated that 237 million men, and 46 million women worldwide suffer from various alcohol abuse disorders, with the highest concentration being in Europe.

In 2019-20 in England alone, there were over 600,000 recognised alcoholics, with only a fraction of them receiving any treatment at all - many of them being homeless and living on the streets. There were just shy of one million hospital admissions directly related to



No longer should we accept that it is normal for our youth to be drawn into the lie that drinking alcohol is an appropriate and necessary part of growing up

alcohol consumption within that same period. In Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, the figures are even more depressing.

Unsurprisingly, the lockdowns and the continuing psychological attacks on us all have exacerbated this situation further. Remember also, that while the state forced schools and businesses to close, prohibited the purchase of 'non-essential' items such as shoes and clothing, locked our parks, playgrounds and gyms, and arrested and fined us for walking down the street, the off-licences remained open and well-supplied.

Supermarkets likewise had large sections sealed off, but those long shelves of alcoholic

drinks remained fully stocked and available for purchase. It seems that those behind the lockdowns considered inebriation to be a helpful tool in creating a fearful, despairing and subjugated population.

A great many of us have known people that have slowly been destroyed by alcohol abuse, or indeed been the direct victim of it ourselves. I use the term victim because that is exactly what it is - to have fallen foul of that culture of accepting and excusing its abuse as normal, when any other type of substance abuse would have been met with outrage and rang alarm-bells with family and friends.

I can remember in the early

90s, during my time in the services when consuming enormous quantities of beer in the NAAFI or local town was almost openly encouraged. And those rejecting it were seen as outsiders or somehow strange. I could never understand why this was allowed to continue unchecked, even though it was well known that many young people would leave the services with alcohol issues, which would go on to wreak havoc in their civilian lives.

Today, the UK holds the title for having the greatest binge-drinking problem in the world, and we do not have to look far for evidence of it. Yet still, so little is done to recognise and tackle the issue of

alcohol abuse in the UK, even though the problem is often right in front of us.

Alcohol dependents will very often refuse to acknowledge the issue at all, but will instead manufacture excuses for their often extremely destructive behaviours, quickly blaming others around them without missing a beat. This pattern of behaviour can be seen repeated with nearly all people afflicted with alcoholism. It is, by its very nature, a demonic possession - the true light of that person inside has been smothered while the demon is busy destroying everything they are, were, or could possibly be in the very cruellest of ways. I personally have watched this illness peel away the layers of a person one by one like an onion, until there was little left at all.

It's time to step up and fight back. Our children are everything, and to our community our very essence and future. We must educate them and ensure they all understand fully the very real dangers that alcohol abuse represents to them.

No longer should we accept that it is normal for our youth to be drawn into the lie that drinking alcohol is an appropriate and necessary part of growing up. We must expose and critically challenge alcohol peer pressures, advertisements and their mechanisms within our society, and the pervasive alcohol culture as a whole, which is always very happy to welcome new recruits.

Do not forget that the alcohol industry in the UK alone is worth a staggering £46 billion a year, which is around 2.5% of total GDP. The state will simply never directly threaten such a generous cash-cow; it will at best continue to pay lip-service to addressing the devastation it causes, just like it always has.

We must find the personal courage within ourselves to recognise if we have an issue with alcohol. Likewise, we must ask the ones we love how we may help them if we see there is a clear problem, without judging or being condescending.

We need to be there for one another, because as a society, this is all we have.

■ www.alcoholics-anonymous.org.uk - 0800 9177 650



Study finds higher miscarriage rate in vaccinated - but pretends it doesn't

by **DR RICHARD ENNOS**

New research on pregnancy and covid vaccine ignores crucial data

RECENTLY, I came across Dr Viki Male, qualified with a science PhD in pathology, rather than a medical degree, who lectures in reproductive immunology at Imperial College London.

Cocooned in a white coat to provide the necessary medical gravitas, she was the star of an NHS video promoting covid-19 'vaccinations' (more properly, experimental gene-based therapies) to pregnant mothers.

Now it is a fact that pregnant mothers were deliberately excluded from the clinical trials of all the covid-19 vaccines. It follows that we have no data on the safety of these experimental medications from properly designed trials in which outcomes for treated and untreated pregnant women are compared. Neither do we have any long-term data on the effects of these experimental medical treatments on mothers and their unborn children.

Without this evidence to support her case, Dr Male's strident promotion of the covid-19 vaccines to pregnant mothers could only have been based on a belief that they do no harm, rather than on any scientific proof.

However, Dr Male did seem very sure of herself, despite her exposed position. I therefore determined to look at the quality of the data that she used to shore up her belief system.

Like Dr Male, I am qualified with a Ph.D. in biology, and throughout my career I have been involved in the peer-review process, assessing the scientific quality of papers to determine whether they meet the standards required for publication. Dr Male, in response to criticism of the NHS video on her Twitter feed,



The only significant result that comes from the study suggests that at least one of the vaccines increases the risk of early miscarriage

gave great prominence to a recently published paper from a group led by Professor Sarah Stock at Edinburgh University, entitled: *Early pregnancy outcomes following covid-19 vaccination and SARS-CoV-2 infection: a national population-based matched cohort study*. I therefore determined to review this paper, and assess whether the analyses that it presented supported the conclusions it drew, and whether the manuscript was worthy of publication.

My verdict is that if I were a reviewer of this paper, I would unequivocally reject it, on the grounds that the data on which it is based are unsound, that it fails to analyse the crucial interaction between vaccination status and SARS-CoV-2 infection, and that the conclusions drawn are not supported by the results presented.

Indeed, the only significant result to emerge from the study is that there were higher odds of early miscarriage among women receiving the AstraZeneca vaccine when compared to historical controls. I outline the full reasons for my verdict below.

The paper retrospectively compares matched cohorts of vaccinated and unvaccinated women, to assess whether they differed in the frequency of either pregnancy loss in the first 20 weeks, or ectopic pregnancy. Comparisons in the frequency of these deleterious outcomes were also made between cohorts of pregnant women who did, or did not, test positive for the

SARS-CoV-2 virus.

In order to assess the overall quality of the research, it is first important to determine the quality of the data on which it is based.

The research is critically dependent on unambiguous classification of individuals into the categories vaccinated or unvaccinated, and infected or uninfected. The authors concede that there may be significant problems here: 'We had to rely on imputed gestation at end of pregnancy for a high proportion of pregnancies ending in early loss, which may have led to misclassification of vaccination or infection status.'

Specifically, if the total length of the pregnancy was not known, it was assumed to be uniformly 10 weeks for miscarriages, or eight weeks for ectopic pregnancies. Making these assumptions could lead to misclassification of the vaccination or infection status of individuals.

Second, the research depends on accurate recording of rates of miscarriage. Again, there are problems with these data: 'We could not include early miscarriages where the woman did not seek healthcare advice.'

Finally, the distinctive point of this study, that it involves matched cohorts that do not differ for confounding factors, is thrown into doubt by the admission that three key factors, well known to influence pregnancy outcomes, were not matched: 'We were not able to adjust for body mass index (BMI) or smoking; or include diabetes in

clinical vulnerability scores.'

The next area for scrutiny is how these compromised datasets were analysed, and two features of the treatment of the data are concerning.

First, before comparison of the vaccinated and unvaccinated cohort was performed, all women with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test during the study period were excluded.

Second, before pregnant women with and without a SARS-CoV-2 positive test were compared, all vaccinated individuals were excluded.

The effect of these exclusions is that the interaction between vaccination status and presence or absence of SARS-CoV-2 on pregnancy outcomes was ignored.

This is a serious omission, because vaccination takes place in the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in the community (the whole reason that vaccination is being promoted). Therefore, we need to study the effect of vaccination in the presence of SARS-CoV-2 infections, not in their complete absence. A major reason for doing this is that recent data suggests that not only that vaccination does not prevent infection by SARS-CoV-2, but that vaccine recipients may have a higher chance than unvaccinated of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, and suffering from covid-19.

If we ignore this effect by excluding individuals who are SARS-CoV-2 positive, we are not obtaining a true comparison of the real-world effects of vaccination on pregnancy outcomes.

Notwithstanding these serious flaws in the analysis, we can now look at the results that were obtained, and the conclusions that were drawn from them by the authors.

Looking across all the data presented, no significant effect of SARS-CoV-2 infection on early pregnancy outcomes was detected in this study. The only significant result to arise from the study was that there were higher odds of early miscarriage among women receiving the AstraZeneca vaccine when compared to historical controls (17% higher: aOR 1.17; 95% CI 1.03-1.34). Therefore, the conclusion that should be drawn from this study is that there is no evidence that SARS-CoV-2 infection poses a risk to early pregnancy outcomes, but that vaccination with the AstraZeneca vaccine increases the risk of early miscarriage.

Curiously, this is the inverse of what the authors actually concluded in their manuscript: 'Overall, our analyses found no evidence of an increased risk for miscarriage or ectopic pregnancy after covid-19 vaccination, supporting current recommendations that vaccination remains the safest way for pregnant women to protect themselves and their babies from covid-19.'

So, Dr Male, this is the standard of scientific evidence upon which you base your advocacy of the covid-19 vaccines for pregnant women.

The only significant result that comes from the study suggests that at least one of the vaccines increases the risk of early miscarriage. I believe the only honourable thing for you to do is forthwith to withdraw from further promotions of the covid-19 vaccines for pregnant women.

There is more than your scientific reputation at stake. There is the future happiness of all pregnant women who place their trust in you, because you have been put forward as a scientist and individual of integrity.

■ **Dr RICHARD ENNOS is a retired professor of evolutionary biology at Edinburgh University**

Circle of life trumps pyramid of control

by BEN HUNT

We need rules,
not rulers, to
live in harmony

IN the game of cosmic rock, paper, scissors, the circle beats the pyramid. This is true law. Because nature is modelled on cycles and man's System is modelled on the pyramid, we know that nature will endure long after the World of Men has blown away in the wind.

As long as we choose to identify with the System, its fall into degeneration and ultimate demise may seem a tragedy. Its failure to maintain dominance could be perceived as the ultimate defeat.

But, if we choose to be truthful and to identify instead with the natural world, owning our place in a magnificent, unbearably beautiful, awe-inspiring world, there is no failure, there is really no death, because we are everything and everything is us.

For this reason, we can be at peace in the certain knowledge that we win, if only for the simple truth that what we truly are cannot ever be destroyed.

So let's turn our vision and our imagination to what will come next.

We cannot overestimate the degree and the depths to which hierarchy has been inculcated into every level of our thinking. From preschool age, we have been reminded of the importance of obeying authority, which was of course necessary to mould us into good wage slaves.

So what does it mean to reject hierarchy, I mean really, radically throw it out?

Wait, is there a risk that society will descend into anarchy?

Oops, sorry, that's the System hierarchy talking again! See how easy it is?

No, we will certainly not descend into anarchy! But - if we manage the transition in a spirit of love and truth and equity - we may ascend into anarchy.

Anarchy is not represented by the petrol bomb; a better symbol for it could be a circle of people holding hands. (There's that shape again.)



Photo: Hempen Grow

Remember, anarchy is not the absence of rules, but the absence of rulers.

We don't need rulers. We never did. The only reason we believe we must have them is because our rulers, who own the main channels of education and communication, have kept telling us we do. What a paradox! What a tragedy!

So if you're concerned that we will end up coming through all this tumult, only to replace one set of rules with another... well, actually, you're right. That is what must happen.

But please, dear friend, do not worry! It's all good. Because they won't be a new load of man-made rules. They will simply be expressions of the old ones - the really old ones, the most ancient laws.

The System's rules are entirely artificial, stemming back to the first time people were told they had to believe something that wasn't actually real. What was this original dark magic? It was a deed that declared that, rather than belonging on the land as we had done since the dawn of time, the land now belonged to us.

Actually, let's qualify that. Human society has never claimed that the land belonged to us! Since the invention of perverted, man-made law (only around five thousand years ago,) it has always belonged to some humans.

In fact, that's not even correct. It didn't even belong to some of us... it belonged to Them, the most ruthless of our kind; the minority, the

so-called 'elite', the Owners, or - to apply the most accurate description - the psychopaths.

They invented a new kind of law, which appeared to echo natural law, but it was perverted. These rules did not come from us, but were imposed from a top minority (whom they benefit) onto the less powerful majority (who suffer their consequences).

Our rules, however, are eternal, divine, intrinsic to life itself. Natural law is literally written in the movements of the heavens, in the DNA within the nucleus of every cell in your body, and in every raindrop.

The true law cannot be destroyed, cannot be overcome, can never be altered.

The web of life is immortal for the same reason that the internet was supposedly conceived as a decentralised system with no control centre that might be taken out, meaning it could survive total devastation.

Life is an immensely adaptable system, where it instantly fills gaps and restores balance with every ebb and flow of its unceasing tide; a truly decentralised system.

Their System, on the other hand, is extremely fragile. It really doesn't matter where characters like Sunak, Gates, Schwab, or Rothschild may sit in the hierarchy, they each occupy a place, and hierarchies can be dismantled.

Every hierarchical structure has a head, and heads can be removed. Just ask the French.

A further fundamental weakness in any artificial hierarchy is that

power, resources and benefits are distributed inequitably. That means the hierarchy cannot hold itself together using Natural Law, but requires mechanisms of control.

Leaders must restrict the actions and privileges of those beneath them, keeping them in their place through restraint, coercion, and fear.

A further observation is that, because those in control are in fact a tiny minority, they must persuade the masses to accept their servitude voluntarily, as reflected in these quotes by Alan Moore's fictional character 'V' in his speech in *V for Vendetta*:

"Cruelty and injustice, intolerance and oppression. And where once you had the freedom to object, to think and speak as you saw fit, you now have censors and systems of surveillance coercing your conformity and soliciting your submission.

"How did this happen? Who's to blame? Well certainly there are those who are more responsible than others, and they will be held accountable, but again truth be told, if you're looking for the guilty, you need only look into a mirror."

We, the 99%, must admit that we did it all to ourselves through our compliance, by choosing the comfortable path, by listening to the puppets on the TV, by stepping up and presenting our children's arms for the shots and their minds to the education system.

Friend, I am certain that you and I can stand shoulder to shoulder with all of our sisters and brothers, and declare our words in a loud

voice: 'I'd rather be a human than a slave!' Of course, 'We The People' have been complicit in our own enslavement - that's the only way it could have worked. And the good news is that it ends when we say it ends. No more rulers, no more hierarchy.

'I was free once I realised the cage was made of thoughts.'

And let's be gentle with each other. The vast majority of us have spent our whole lives being told that we must respect and obey authority and that will take some unlearning.

So what takes its place? What does anarchy mean, and is it something we want?

Well, the word anarchy simply means 'without rulers'. In other words, nobody gets to tell anyone else what they must do.

When we replace man's law with Natural Law, we don't look for leaders, and we get to be leaders. We can inspire each other to be the best we can be.

We get to experience what it really means to be free. Yes, you really will be free to do what you want, provided you stand in virtue and follow your own natural moral compass: do no injury to another; make amends if you do; take what you need; be kind.

It really isn't difficult, it's the natural instinct that every healthy-thinking individual is born with. And no, the world is not basically evil and selfish... that's another vile myth put about by those few scumbags who seek to profit from their own evil and selfish natures.

It only takes one key step and this war is over. When enough of us admit that trusting authority was a mistake and turn back to the way of truth, nature, divine law... it is finished.

We have to do it, we will do it, and we are doing it. But not 'we' as individuals and in isolation - all of us, together, helping each other to break the spell.

Authority is the problem; it can never be the solution. Our solution will not come from above, but from within. Nobody is coming to save us right now. We're already here. You are here.

Tell everyone.

■ <https://theredpillrevolution.com>

Letters to The Light

Got something to say? Outraged that this paper exists? Want to praise us for being the only widely circulated newspaper giving a voice to the alternative, the censored, the cancelled and the forgotten?

Love the paper but have to disagree with one article? *Email: letters@thelightpaper.co.uk*

Dear The Light,

I was handed a copy of your paper when watching a protest march in Oxford Street, on Saturday November 19. The protest was the first time I had ever seen others with the same views as me - they were all so brave to be marching through central London, with some onlookers no doubt regarding them as 'nutters' and 'conspiracy theorists'. It impacted me more than just reading comments and posts on the internet, as I have until now. Seeing these people in the flesh, in their thousands, made me feel hopeful.

Along with many other leaflets I collected, I have read through issue 27 of *The Light*, and it is so refreshing and relieving to know

there are others out there who believe in the uncensored truth. A family member of mine had the vaccine and, shortly after, died; other people I know were admitted to hospital.

I just wanted to say: please, please keep doing what you do - spreading the word. I will support the paper as much as I can.

Thank you, Peter Strep

Dear The Light,

This week I went to the Hippodrome in Birmingham. I was going to buy a programme but hadn't got my card with me and couldn't pay with cash. Nor could I have a coffee or an ice cream - buy an ice cream with a card?!

The next day, I was in a

queue at a bookshop because the machine was having a problem accepting a person's card. I was paying my £3.99 with cash - no problem.

Yesterday, I spent 45 minutes online booking tickets for concerts at the Symphony Hall, only to get to the checkout and find it could not accept two of the cards I tried. Today, the card was accepted on the phone at the box office after I went through the process again.

Even the local chemist shop only accepts card payments!

We need to think carefully about this digital revolution - we can't argue with a card, a machine or a robot. Using a card can be very convenient for both parties, but do we really want to be taken over like this, and what about the

people who don't have or want a bank account?

I am beginning to feel more rebellious every day!

Clare Sandercock (Harborne)

Dear The Light,

As a keen and elderly person, I seek to know truth, and find *The Light* paper very heartening and enlightening for the light it sheds. It's so sad that many people still believe the lies and corruption being spread to cover up the truth.

There cannot be anyone now who is not aware of the weather conditions all over the world - like prolonged flooding, droughts, extreme temperatures and frequent fires, inevitably affecting things like farming, produce,

manufacturing, employment, pay, etc. And the spiral goes on. Where does this begin, I wonder!

Recently, my attention was drawn to a YouTube video interview of a remarkable lady called Devra Lee Davis PhD. She said that the 20,000-plus satellites above the Earth 'interfere with weather and aviation'.

She also talks a lot about the science on 5G and Wireless Radiation. I wonder how many people know that no suitable checks have been made on humans regarding their safety from these? I might add that this particular lady won a Nobel Prize. I'm sure that someone, maybe you, will find her tapes and books of interest.

Isabel, 84

Dear Karen...

Dear Karen...

I seem to be enjoying a terrible image problem of late, due to pre-ordering excess doses of midazolam used in care homes during the orchestrated 'pandemic'. Personally, I can't see any correlation between significantly more deaths of those in care homes during that time, and those who had the drug administered in high doses just before death. And annoyingly, I don't seem able to shake the name 'Midazolam Matt'. Any advice would be very welcome!

M. H. Ancock, The Jungle

Dear Mr Ancock,

It is indeed a pesky problem - all those old folks coincidentally dying like that. My advice would be to ask Boris's dad, Stanley, as he has written several books on eugenics, and seems to have publicly promoted population reduction without issue.

Dear Karen...

As a former internationally-

recognised virologist, I am having trouble 'trusting the science' these days, as many of my colleagues in the field refuse to acknowledge that no virus has actually ever been found, other than in a computer model. We have been able to prove this scientifically, but apparently it doesn't count as 'valid science' if Big Pharma doesn't like it. I would welcome your advice as I need this truth to become, well, viral!

Dr. L. Anka

Dear Dr. Anka,

Your problem lies in that you believed Science was science. Is there any way you can turn your research into a multi-billion dollar business, with new tests and toxic remedies that further create new conditions, with even greater profit potentials?

Dear Karen...

Having voted for Biden in the 2020 elections, as did many of my friends and family, we now have second thoughts. Is there a

legitimate way for us to change our votes, especially as we have long been dead, and cannot complete a ballot without the assistance of paid helpers?

Mr. J. Doe

Dear Mr Doe,

This may be the most asked question of me in 2020/21. All I can say is: better dead than red!

Dear Karen...

I am a little worried that masks are going out of fashion, since more and more people are noticing that they were never effective, and that we made up the 'virus'. Do you have any advice on keeping everyone muzzled this winter so I can save face?

Mr. F. Auci. Guantanamo.

Dear Mr. Auci...

Never fear! We still have a few labs in Ukraine working around the clock on CGI images of the next imaginary virus, and believe me, these are going to be big boys that

won't fit through any mesh. We'll even have those tin-foil-hatters quaking in their home-knitted muesli sandals, and spending all their money on festive muzzles. You'll be forgiven and released in no time, and you'll be able to treat the missus to a long relaxing break on some island somewhere with accommodating young people.

Dear Karen...

Since our visit to Ukraine earlier in the year, people are forgetting how pious we are, and we were thinking of a return visit to gee up some album sales. One of the main problems was the thin sound we got, not being able to take the full band setup. Since you have contacts in Ukraine, do you have any suggestions for musicians who might be able to join us in an impromptu performance?

Mr. B. Ono. Weldersgogs, Ireland.

Dear Mr. Ono...

I did make enquiries for you

through my good friend Volodymyr, but he seems to have taken the idea and run with it, nominating himself only, so I'm afraid it's out of my hands now.

In his favour, Volodymyr can play the piano, albeit monophonically due to his unique playing style... but on the upside, it does leave his hands free to point at demolished buildings from other wars on green screen, wave flags, and pocket fat brown envelopes.

Do let me know what you decide, and I will convey your answer. He did say that he's very much looking forward to playing with you, but if you decide against, no problem, but you will be put on the hit list.

**KAREN
THE
VOICE OF
REASON**

NATIONAL EMPLOYEES



UNION

PROBLEMS

Attacks on worker's rights
Forced role changes
Unfair hearings

What's your problem?

SOLUTIONS

Get help
Get advice
Get represented

Know your rights

"Many thanks for all you do, you have no idea how grateful I am for your work and support over the last few months. It meant so much knowing there is a Union who truly supports its members!" - Emma

"If you haven't yet decided to join NEU, I highly recommend that you do. NEU has restored my faith in unions, without a doubt." - Kristian

"I couldn't have done this without you all! You have all been amazing, thank you so, so much." - Chelise



Enquiries: info@n-e-u.co.uk
Join: www.n-e-u.co.uk

*Covid Crisis
Climate Crisis
USA Crisis
Great Reset
5G etc . . .*

Stay 
informed
with us!

<https://www.freecitizen.uk/>

Free Citizen UK 



Contributors & Content Providers Required

Written authors, Film Makers, Podcasters
please get in touch
Education, Survival Skills, Fact vs Fiction & More
email: contribute@actuality.tv

Please help us to wake the masses.

Are You Interested in Learning Acupuncture?

Do you think you could heal people better than doctors?

Then the SSOMA acupuncture course is for you.
A one-year course with dedicated online lectures, freeing up
clinical time for small tutorial groups and hands on teaching
to make you a successful acupuncturist.

Contact today:

Email: ssoma@drdankeown.com

 @drdankeown

Find out more at:

www.theacupuncturehospital.com

www.drdankeown.com/ssoma

S.S.O.M.A.

School of Scientific Oriental Medicine and Acupuncture



For spiritual seekers expecting answers, not platitudes.

In the 480-page **Many Voices, One Mission**, twenty highly-evolved spirit guides deliver wisdom, detailed insights and practical advice in contemporary, no-nonsense language.

Their communications will comfort, inform and inspire in equal measure, encouraging you to approach this life and the next from a totally different, liberating perspective.

Themed sections examine: *Life's Journey; Aspects of the Afterlife; The Sacred Earth; Spiritual Science; Co-Creation; Connections; Spiritual Living; Health and Healing* and more.

Many Voices, One Mission is bold enough to ask the big spiritual questions...

...And daring enough to answer them.

Paperback available from:
thejosephcommunications.co.uk
or amazon.co.uk

or send cheque for £18.95 (inc. p&p)
made payable to:
Band of Light Media Ltd.
to:
10 Sparrable Row,
Briercliffe, Burnley,
Lancashire, BB10 3QW.

Paperbacks—ebooks—audiobooks
thejosephcommunications.co.uk
amazon.co.uk

The JOSEPH
Communications

THE LIGHT RECRUIT

Sales and Customer Service Representative

KNIGHT'S FINE
JEWELLERY

Full Time
Permanent
Stratford-upon-Avon

Ref: KFJ001

The ideal candidate will have previous experience in a similar customer facing position, ideally within jewellery sales, with knowledge of hallmarks, coloured stones and diamonds. They will have excellent customer service skills, be energetic, presentable, honest and reliable as well as a flexible team player. They will have an overall positive and confident attitude and the ability to meet and exceed sales targets.

The Light Recruit : Courses - launching in January 2023



OCEAN
FOOTPRINT

ADMIN
ASSISTANT
Part Time
Permanent
Remote

Ref: OFP001

The ideal candidate will have previous administration experience, be organised, able to work independently using their own initiative, be task driven and have an excellent working knowledge of MS Office, Outlook and Xero. Flexible working hours, salary negotiable.

To apply, please email your CV, quoting the reference of the position you are applying for. For more information or to see the full job specification, please visit our website.

www.thelightrecruit.co.uk info@thelightrecruit.co.uk @thelightrecruit

You can't predict the future.

But you can prepare for it.

History has shown us that buying gold is a great way to protect your wealth in uncertain times.



Open Your Account Today
WWW.IMGOLD.COM
+44 (0)1624 888 888

IMGold™

Grander Water Revitalisation

Secure Water for the Whole Family



For over 40 years Grander has provided bespoke whole house water revitalisation systems delivering healthy, refreshing filtered water on tap to homes worldwide, everyday.

Easy installation, low maintenance,
NO chemicals or electricity.

Grander supports your conscious lifestyle choices in harmony with nature and the human body.

Whole house systems from £3,525
Finance available.



granderwater.co.uk 0333 390 9479

waterinfo@granderwater.co.uk

SOCIAL REFORMATION

... is now vital, to which end, for fairer,
better, more progressive methods of...

Government,

Electoral representation,

Money creation,

Education,

Law & law enforcement

...etcetera, please go to www.theprospectofbabel.com



Note to reader: The Prospect of Babel outlines a concept-polity, the purpose of which is to act as an index for social transition. As such, it is open to revision, modification, moderation and so on (welcoming correction on every level, while inviting others to refine it – which renders the reader, in part, the writer, or leastwise the righter via critical reading).

In respect of the text, there's three errors a reader, however clever, may make, to wit: to mistake the narrator for a dictator, and see what's propositional as prescriptive; to read what's stylised as literal; to perceive as radical what's actually pragmatic (by virtue of timescale, analysis, and open-minded trial) – to iterate, the document is not a blueprint for a utopia (more of an ideal that invites dialogue).

Please note also that it's written in blank verse – so blank in fact that you may not at first discern it (its form not affecting its legibility, though a reader may note an apparent over-use of commas – this seeming error stemming from the investment of metre [viz. if spoken, it has rhythms]). To this end most of the commas are optional (being formal more than grammatical devices).

Are you uncomfortable having money in the bank?

Silver Britannia Coins are a great hedge in these troubled times and are a great way to protect your wealth for the future.

We are the most competitive market makers in the U.K.

All coins physically in stock and delivered the next day by 'Signed For' post.

All profits from Britannias are free of Capital Gains Tax.

Please request a copy of our brand new colour brochure.




Web: philatelicheritage.co.uk Email: philatelicheritage@gmx.com Telephone: 01488 684008



There are easier ways to escape the bad frequencies of everyday life!

3 in 1 Large Healing blanket, Earthing Blanket and EMF Protection Blanket



Keeps your body's natural healing energy encapsulated within your body. Works as a powerful Earthing Blanket and the inner layer is pure silver EMF fabric for full protection from external radiation frequencies!

Read about it on our website!



SCAN ME

www.lifestyle4health.co.uk/light

(Use discount code: LIGHT for free delivery in the UK during December!)



The Trust Works

All Rights Reserved in Trust Under God

Settle Your Property into Your Own Private Trust. That way You will own Nothing, Control Everything and be Very Happy about it! What You don't Own, Can't be taken away from You.

www.thetrustworks.co.uk

WELCOME TO THE SKINCARE REVOLUTION

100% NATURAL. NON-STERIOD SKINCARE. HANDMADE WITH A POTENT DOSE OF OUR HOMEGROWN, ORGANIC HERBS AT OUR FARM IN SOMERSET. BY WORKERS EARNING ABOVE THE LIVING WAGE.



NOT JUST FOR THE BOURGEOISIE

WE ARE NOT A PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY

AWARD-WINNING, FIVE STAR RATED SKINCARE AND BEAUTY FOR ALL THE FAMILY AND ALL SKIN TYPES, INCLUDING SENSITIVE SKIN.

USE DISCOUNT CODE AWAKE FOR 20% OFF STOREWIDE.

WWW.LYONSLEAF.CO.UK

AWAKE AND
STANDING FOR FREEDOM

LYONSLEAF



REPUBLIC OF
NATURAL SKINCARE

Public notice

NEW KING CHARLES' PROCLAMATION TURNS SOUR, ACCORDING TO POLL

An independent opinion poll on Charles Windsor's proclamation that he will act as our monarch demonstrates that a significant number of people within the 'awake community' rebut his proclamation of sovereignty over them.

Over 1000 people participated in the paper and online poll

Results of the poll show people's overwhelming objection and non-consent for Charles Windsor's reign over them.

It must be noted that peaceful non-consent is at the heart of the freedom community.

The specific question asked in the opinion poll was:

DO YOU CONSENT TO BEING THE SUBJECT* OF KING CHARLES III ?

NO 98%

I do not consent

YES 2%

I do consent

*Defined as: a subject is bound to obey the king's laws and is under the king's rule

Several grievances of the awake community have been noted. The opinion poll was the idea of Andrew Bagshaw from Derbyshire, who collected the paper poll results via the freedom community. The online poll was run by Rachel Elnaugh via her 'Rachel Speaks Out' Telegram channel: <https://t.me/rachelspeaksout/5260>

KNIGHTS BULLION

Why do you see us advertising with The Light newspaper?

We are part of your community. We have marched, we have stood next to many of you in the parks.
We have seen the misinformation from the mainstream media and how it has affected and damaged people.
They have divided families and pushed many to financial breaking point through fear.

Our journey has taken us from a business which was closed unlawfully, to an 'essential service'
bullion merchant, with accounts that include some of the largest names in precious metals.

We are very proud to be serving our community.

Our aim is to guide buyers to the correct precious metal products to suit their needs and circumstances.

Our team will give you honest and professional advice on how to safeguard your wealth,
and help direct you to the correct solution tailored to your requirements.

Bullion bars, Sovereign coins and Britannia coins available



Please call us on

01789-266595

info@knightsfinejewellery.co.uk

to discuss your investment requirements

Open monday to saturday. 10am - 4:30pm

www.knightsfinejewellery.co.uk