```
From: jerrypippin@xxxxxxxxxxx
Sent: 25 April 2006 02:32
To: Victor Martinez
Cc: Larry Dicken
Subject: Re: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL
sizzle, NOsteak!"
So I wondeer what we are to make of this and what the hell can be so damaging to
Paul McGovern? This URL is registered to somebody. Larry and Victor do we want
to mention this on the air?
Jerry
---- Original Message ----
From: "Victor Martinez" <victorqm@xxxxxxxxxxx
Cc: {snip} deleted huge email list
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2006 7:55 PM
Subject: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL sizzle, NOsteak!"
> www.serpo.info/
> SPECIAL "Project SERPO" FLASH ALERT ANNOUNCEMENT
> UFO Thread List/Community:
> I've received a flurry of private e-mails today regarding this
> forthcoming "bombshell disclosure" to be made on or about Sunday,
> April 30, 2006 while I'm out all day at the L A Times "Festival of
> Books" at UCLA. Some who wrote asked me if I had written it myself
> because of the E-N-T-E-R-T-A-I-N-M-E-N-T-! disclaimer appearing at the bottom.
       I have reproduced the piece [below] verbatim that this mentally
> deranged and grammatically challenged
> individual(s) wrote for your review and consideration as well as the
> Web site links at the top and bottom.
> Not only did I NOT write this trash, but this is ALL bluff, bluster
> and posturing on their part ... there's NOTHING to their spurious claims.
> It's just a ruse for ANONYMOUS to resume the disclosure process or to
> be "outed."
> In others words, what they have to offer is: "It's ALL sizzle and NO
> steak!"
> While I share in this individual(s)'s frustration, writing and posting
> something like this is VERY harmful to the "Project SERPO" segmented
> disclosure process and to the UFO community in general in that it's
> very divisive and distracting from the real issues at hand and the
```

```
> intelligent public discourse which SHOULD be taking place instead.
> The proper forum for this individual(s) to vent their pent-up
> frustrations is on online forums, discussion boards and/or chat rooms
> ... no problem with that.
> Taking THIS tact will bring them NO closer to the FACTS behind
> "Project SERPO" and all of its attendant issues.
> My esteemed colleague and co-moderator, Bill Ryan, and myself have
> taken great pride in laying out the information for public digest,
> review, and have WELCOMED public criticism and discourse, and will
> continue to do so. We've acknowledged the numerous problems and have
> never denied them.
> However, neither one of us would ever be party to something like this
> and if any one of you ever questions the origination of something like
> this Web site, please feel free to write us both and we'll gladly
> answer your question and field all inquiries.
> BILL RYAN:
> Bill.Ryan@XXXXXXXXXXXX
> Contact@serpo.org
> VICTOR MARTINEZ
> VictorGM@xxxxxxxxxx
Attached msq:
> Coming soon: Project SERPOThe TRUTH
> My patience has run out. You have one last chance. If any of this is
> real and verifiable evidence exists release it now.
> If not.
> Evidence in my possession regarding Paul McGovern will be released.
> Evidence in my possession regarding the source of the information will
> be released.
> If Disclosure is real and evidence exists you do not want my
> information released.
> You have 7 days (Midnight April 30th). Make it happen. The games have
> finished.
```

```
> http://www.serpo.info/
```

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 03:35 To: jerrypippin@xxxxxxxxxx

Cc: Larry Dicken; Contact@serpo.org; RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxx

Subject: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL sizzle,

NOsteak!"

Attachments: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL sizzle, N... (8.38 KB)

NO! Don't mention it on the air; let's see what happens on Sunday. If nothing pans out for Sunday -- which is what I'm predicting -- then you drew MORE attention to something that should never have been more than a blip on the radar screen of disclosure!

PR 101A! Ask Bill Ryan! ñ

From: Parks, Brian [BParks@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 03:02 To: 'victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx'

Subject: RE: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL sizzle,

NOsteak!"

No, but I think you were right. Somebody was making threats trying to get at confidential information and to make the source take some action. Glad you caught it quickly.

Brian

----Original Message----

From: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Monday, April 24, 2006 6:10 PM

To: BParks@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Subject: RE: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL sizzle, NO steak!"

Thanx, Brian. ANY ideas on WHO is behind this? Thanx -

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 04:29 To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxx

Cc: CGreen@xxxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL sizzle,

NOsteak!"

Attachments: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL sizzle, ... (1.35 KB)

RICK:

Based on 1/2 dozen e-mails, I think the PR piece I sent out was effective and I hope the "people who are watching us" have received a copy or that you will FORWARD it to them to let them know that me/you/we/us HAVE THEIR BEST INTERESTS AT HEART and that future

disclosures should remain in our hands.

From: Victor Martinez [victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 04:36 To: Green, Christopher

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxx; Bill Ryan

Subject: Re: Voicemail: Veektah did NOT issue the 7-Day "Ultimatum!"

Dr G:

Thank for your comments. I'm getting five (5) e-mails per MINUTE right now over this! I've had several people now tell me that Shawnna, WJ and ATS are behind this, not Steve who just admitted to me he's been on an 8-day drinking binge, has \$4 to his name and simply doesn't have the faculties to stage something like this!

I'll let Rick work his "magic" and see WHO he comes up with as the culprits!

From: Mc [Figaro@xxxxxxxxx] Sent: 25 April 2006 04:06

Cc: caryn anscomb; Edgar Mitchell; Eric Davis; George Knapp; Hal Puthoff; Janet Smith; Jerry Pippin; Kit Green; Steve Hammons; TimCooperB2@xxxxxxxxx; Toppan;

Victor Martinez

Subject: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo?

I'm shaking in my boots. What's there to think? All the excitement over this, Bushit is more exciting.....Rmc

http://www.serpo.info/

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 06:40

To: LuciAnarchy@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Cc: Bren.Dan@nxxxxxxx; BParks@xxxxxxxxxx; CIversen@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx;

vortogon@xxxxxxxxxxx; GaryO1954@xxxxxxxxxx; Isaac.Ho@xxxxxxxxxx;

Jeff1557@xxxxxxxxxt; Easy@xxxxxxxxxx; JRae@xxxxxxxxxx;

JakeReason@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx;

UFOGirl@xxxxxxxxxx; SBridgen@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx; Singular@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx;

ankhinfi@xxxxxxxxxxxxx;

Subject: 1 of 2: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo?

Attachments: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? (10.1 KB)

1 of 2:

BRENDAN:

Permission to post is hereby granted. If you and/or any of your fine forum members have any leads on WHO is behind this, I hope you'll forward that info to Bill Ryan and/or myself. Thank ahead of time.

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 12:32

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: Bill Ryan; Hal Puthoff; RICK DOTY

Subject: RE: Question for the Team of Five from Dr Green: WHO did this

evilthing?!

Thanks.

What losers. Alcoholics, I bet or other forms of substance abuse...no day jobs, too much free time, a bit paranoid and/or messianic, and of all of us I should have a little in my heart to understand. Maybe I should answer back offering to give them free MRI brain scans.

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Monday, April 24, 2006 11:37 PM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: Bill Ryan; Hal Puthoff; RICK DOTY

Subject: Question for the Team of Five from Dr Green: WHO did this evilthing?!

Dr GREEN:

- 1) The things you state in your e-mail are NOT what they seem. Let me explain with NEW information.
- 2) It's true that Bill and myself were NOT on the original "outing," but what you DON'T KNOW is that key individuals on the pro-SERPO LuciAnarchy forum were ALSO omitted from the initial PR release. They were omitted because they:
- a) would have alerted Bill and me to what had come down, so we could have acted;
- b) they would have posted something on their forum trashing the release in its infancy before it got any legs.
- 3) NO: Bill and I have NEVER "outed" you, Doc P and Rick, but think back to that "goof" e-mail to where WJ -- The IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS -- somehow got wind of the "Team of Five -- and could very easily have shared that with Shawnna XXXXXXXXXX who IS a scorned, hurt woman right NOW.

Also, don't forget that "threatening tone" she used in that weird e-mail written to me on Easter Sunday -- DELETING Bill as a recipient -- but strangely including ONLY the three of you and a few other key individuals with a "special announcement" that would kill SERPO's

E-N-T-E-R-T-A-I-N-M-E-N-T

value ... remember it, Doc? That specific information was fed to her by WJ which she subsequently disclosed to Steve xxxxxxxx in a near hysterical state to Steve XXXXXXXXXX on Tuesday night, April 18, 2006. I recounted her conversation to Bill in great detail.

4) RICK: Steve and I traced that phone no. listed by Network Solutions to Newhall/Santa Clarita which is the Magic Mountain area of the Sa Fernando Valley.

The address listed on Sepulveda Blvd in Westchester is nothing more than a mail drop like a "Mail Boxes, Etc." so that apt/suite no. is nothing more than the box no. at that address.

That's all I can do with my very limited computer capabilities and my GUT impression right now is that Steve xxxxxxxxx is NOT behind this, but I'll let you -- Rick Doty -- follow the evidence and we'll defer to YOUR judgment on this matter.

I'm fine with that.

Sent: 25 April 2006 12:34

To: Victor Martinez; RickDoty166@xxxxxxx Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL

sizzle, NO steak!"

Thanks, Victor.

I poison-ally will let Rick handle!

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2006 12:04 AM

To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxxxx

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; Green, Christopher; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: Fwd: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL sizzle, NO

steak!"

RICK:

I'm doing my best at damage control something you excel in! I'll forward ALL pertinent e-mails to you guys of any import.

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 12:43

To: Victor Martinez; Bill Ryan

Cc: >Bill; >Hal Puthoff; >RickDoty

Subject: RE: Kerry Cassidy is OK, 10-4 in my book!

Great! I wanna believe... I wanna believe. Thanks.

But, at one time or another we have been told that there was "absolutely no problem with": Steve, Kelly, WJ, Shawanna, Zep Tepi, Val, Scott and several others...need I say more?

How much did you two guys tell this lady about Hal, Rick, Kit...use our names ever? Say what we were doing with the Team of Five? Give our backgrounds or credentials? Any of our emails?

I hope "10-4 in your book" is from a book that has a second chapter and an index, and that you have read it thoroughly before passing the book on.

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2006 12:12 AM

To: Bill Ryan

Cc: >Bill; Green, Christopher; >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty

Subject: Re: Kerry Cassidy is OK, 10-4 in my book!

DOC G:

I met Kerry with Bill for six hrs, 10 min last Wednesday; very nice lady and her insights during our marathon meeting were a valued contribution.

No problema here! -

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 15:32

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty; Contact@serpo.org
Subject: RE: Kerry Cassidy is OK, 10-4 in my book!

Attachments: Kerry Cassidy is OK, 10-4 in my book! (1.62 KB)

DOC G:

As to what Bill has told and not said to Kerry is something Bill will have to disclose to you. I found her pleasant, so that's all I can offer to you.

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 15:50 To: Green, Christopher

Cc: Bill Ryan; >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty

Subject: Wow! You dealt with Val Hall and her husband Mark XXXXXXXXXX?

Attachments: Question (8.10 KB)

Doc G:

Well, this comes as a surprise to me and I'm SURE it will to Bill, too! I had no idea you had such dealings with Val Hall and her husband Mark Springer ... well, you did, so OK.

Know this: They made Bill's life HELL while he was on ATS AND they put up that "The lies of Bill Ryan" AND their attorney "hijacked" SERPO for a while AND WJ used Shawnna to continue to extract information from the project, spat her out and threw her into a fit of depression.

TRUE: He might not have anything against YOU, but he has it "in" for SERPO -for whatever reason(s) -- and if you go "down" as a result of your connection to it, too bad in his view.

Why couldn't he find even ONE (1) hour to meet with you for lunch when you were in DC the last time? More than likely because he was wary of being grilled by you about his playing IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS by you.

You were there in DC for four (4) days = 96 hours. One (1) hour for lunch = .0104% of 96 hours. Pretty hard case to make that "I don't have the time to meet with you for lunch, Dr Green!"

From: Bill Ryan [bill.ryan@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 19:19

To: >Bill

Cc: >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty; >VictorMartinez; >Kit Green

Subject: Re: Question

To: Kit, Rick, Victor, Hal

Hi, Kit:

Not JERRY Springer!!! "Springer" is Mark xxxxxxxxx username on ATS. Mark xxxxxxxxx (Valhall's/xxxxxxxxx husband) is one of the "Three Amigos" who control ATS, the others being "Skeptic Overlord" (Bill xxxxxxxxxx) and Simon xxxxxxxx.

Mark xxxxxxxxx has in the past been arraigned for fraud, by the way. It's on the record.

WJ of course knows the team of five. He forwarded one of your messages to Valhall / XXXXXXXXX xxxxxxxx in early February. I outed him immediately and told you he had done that. His response, rather than being apologetic, was vitriolic — an immediate red flag.

At the time, in response to this, on Sunday 5 February you wrote (Subject: RE: Are Bill and I dealing with the same ANONYMOUS,... hell if I know!):

This is the most stupid and unethical situation with which I have ever been associated. I can not believe this. I do not know Val, I was told by WJ I could trust him. I did not see this, was not asked about it, did not give my permission. My emails were all marked PRIVATE.

"Goofed Badly" is the most trivial of definitions. WJ has no clue what he did. None. He may be an attorney, but he clearly doesn't practice any simple level of confidentiality...inferred or otherwise.

After that, for reasons I have never understood, the only person who seemed to continue to trust him was yourself.

In answer to your question, if WJ and "Valhall" know of the team of five and have done since early February, then so do Shawnna xxxxxxxxx and all the other ATS insiders. It's completely clear.

Best, Bill

From: "Green, Christopher" <cgreen@xxxxxxxxx>

Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:27:06 -0400 To: Bill Ryan

To: Bill Ryan

| To: Bill Ryan | To: Bill

Cc: ">Hal Puthoff" <Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx>, ">Rick Doty" <rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxx>,

">Victor Martinez" <victorgm@xxxxxxxxx

Subject: RE: Question

Is this reference to Jerry Springer's wife?; "...Springer"s wife) also know your involvement in the team of five. All three will be harboring grudges."

He has written me in the past, and we have exchanged two or three very pleasant emails, and nothing about SERPO. Recently. Why a grudge? WJ has no grudge against me, because he has no reason. I know at one point Victor apparently copied Collins a note with our five names...but why do you say so surely that "all three" know "the involvement"?

How? What do they "know? Who told them what? Why would Shawnna who we have never met nor communicated with have a grudge? And why would the wife of a guy with whom I have had exceptionally pleasant discussions with have a grudge? Springer wanted me for an interview, and I politely declined...the subject wasn't one I knew anything about, and he thanked me...we exchanges some professional credentials, and "parted" best email friends.

From: Bill Ryan [mailto:bill.ryan@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Monday, April 24, 2006 11:28 PM

To: >Bill

Cc: >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty; Green, Christopher; >Victor Martinez

Subject: Re: Question

To: Kit, Hal, Rick, Victor

Hi, Kit:

Victor and I also noted that we did not receive this (and neither did the moderators on the Lucianarchy "Open Minds" Forum).

Rick's working on IDing the author. At first Victor, Rick and I (conf call this pm) thought it was Steve xxxxxxxxx, who lives in LA, has met with and corresponded with Victor, has a grudge and told Kerry and myself (see next e-mail) on Saturday that he planned to "force the issue" and, referencing Flight 93, intended to "take the cockpit". We advised him that this would be pretty stupid in the extreme and ended the meeting early. He had been drinking, at 11.30 am. I advised Victor in strong terms that he was an extremely loose cannon and could not be trusted.

In a phone message to me this pm, Steve XXXXXXXXXX denied having anything to do with it, and sounded credible.

I did not give out your names.

Remember: WJ, Shawnna xxxxxxxx and "Valhall" (real name xxxxxxxxxxx, "Springer"s wife) also know your involvement in the team of five. All three will be harboring grudges.

Best, Bill

From: "Green, Christopher" <cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxx

Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 00:03:01 -0400

To: Victor Martinez <victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx>, Bill Ryan <bill.ryan@xxxxxxxxxx>

Cc: Hal Puthoff <Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx>, RICK DOTY <rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxx

Subject: Question

Victor and Bill:

I just got this. It is evil. I note that Hal, myself, and Rick got it. You two did not.

I don't know who besides the two of you know that Hal, myself, and Rick are working an issue together on Serpo (with the two of you...who are mysteriously missing from the addressee line.) No one else...ever in the entire period has ever sent a note like this linking specifically the three of us, and just the three of us. Not even Sarfatti knows, or Dan, or Collins, or WJ. Until now, maybe.

Do either of you know who the sick puppy is? Or, what he thinks he knows? Or whether he is going to do what he promises?

He (or she) is obviously delusional...as well as stupid and likely intoxicated...it has that form...because I can tell from the header information I could figure out who he is, by name, address, phone number, and more in 10 minutes if I were to ask my Internet Security Officer to find out...and I bet Rick has already so done!

But I give you two a chance: who is he/she, and did either of you give out our names?

That 'ole WW II saw was right: "Loose lips sink ships."

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 00:10

To: Bill Ryan

Cc: >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty; >Kit Green

Subject: Question: Why did Kit seem to trust WJ after his "outing" The

Tof5?

Attachments: Question (10.9 KB)

ANSWER for Bill:

Perhaps Dr G wanted to extract information from WJ and was following the old:

"Keep your friends close, BUT keep your enemies even CLOSER!"

From: Bill Ryan [bill.ryan@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 20:53

To: >Bill

Cc: >Kit Green; >Rick Doty; >VictorMartinez; >Hal Puthoff

Subject: Re: Kerry Cassidy is OK, 10-4 in my book!

To: Kit, Hal, Rick, Victor

Hi, Kit:

You wrote:

- > But, at one time or another we have been told that there was "absolutely no
- > problem with": Steve, Kelly, WJ, Shawanna, Zep Tepi, Val, Scott and
- > several others...need I say more?

Kit, this is an inaccurate and meaningless generalisation. To help us all, let's take it person by person:

Steve xxxxxxx: Victor — who can speak for himself — seemed to trust him. I never have. He is an impetuous wildcard and apparently a semi-alcoholic. However, as best as I know, he has never lied. He's as he describes himself: a straightforward cowboy.

Kelly: I did trust her and had absolutely no reason not to. She outed Rick when Victor inveigled his way into her confidence on WJ's instructions and asked her who had been sending me the information. She has never apologised and has always refused to talk about it... it was one of the reasons behind our break-up, as I cannot be in partnership with someone who breaks their word to me about such a serious matter.

WJ: You and I both corresponded with him before the 5 February event where he brought Valhall into the loop, who I knew beforehand could not be trusted. That was the betrayal. He is categorically the fake Anonymous and if this is not clear there is information which you have missed or not assimilated.

Shawnna (xxxxxxxxxx): I have never said she could be trusted. (And she cannot.)

Zep Tepi (Steve xxxxxxxx): He can be trusted and I have always stated this.

Valhall (xxxxxxxxxxx): No comment needed. She cannot be trusted under any circumstances and this has always been known to me.

Scott: I don't know him. I believe Victor when he says he can be trusted. (If we can't believe one another, there's no hope. Sometimes we may get things wrong, but this will be part of the learning process and through no ill-intention.)

ATS (Bill xxxxxxx, Mark xxxxxxxxx): You gotta be kidding.

Kerry: Come and meet her if you like... Rick will be meeting her soon. She's absolutely trustworthy and possesses a degree of integrity that in my experience is exceptionally rare. She knows what I do and has the same values, attitudes and purposes. We're here for exactly the same reasons.

It's becoming clear to me that we should all meet together sometime quite soon (although I acknowledge that Victor may prefer not to involve himself). Frustrations have been high, there have been misunderstandings, we each may still have unanswered questions to ask one another, and the team is in danger of fragmenting. We should work proactively to fix this: my personal position, which I'm sure we can all empathise with, is that the more we all know and understand the more supportive and synergistically aligned we can all be.

Best, to all Bill

From: "Green, Christopher" <cqreen@xxxxxxxxxxxx

Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:43:16 -0400

To: Victor Martinez <victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx, Bill Ryan <bill.ryan@xxxxxxxxx> Cc: ">Bill" <bill.ryan@xxxxxxxxx>, ">Hal Puthoff" <Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx, ">Rick Doty" <rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxxxx

Subject: RE: Kerry Cassidy is OK, 10-4 in my book!

Great! I wanna believe... I wanna believe. Thanks.

But, at one time or another we have been told that there was "absolutely no problem with": Steve, Kelly, WJ, Shawanna, Zep Tepi, Val, Scott and several others...need I say more?

How much did you two guys tell this lady about Hal, Rick, Kit...use our names ever? Say what we were doing with the Team of Five? Give our backgrounds or credentials? Any of our emails?

I hope "10-4 in your book" is from a book that has a second chapter and an index, and that you have read it thoroughly before passing the book

on.

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2006 12:12 AM

To: Bill Ryan

Cc: >Bill; Green, Christopher; >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty

Subject: Re: Kerry Cassidy is OK, 10-4 in my book!

DOC G:

I met Kerry with Bill for six hrs, 10 min last Wednesday; very nice lady and her insights during our marathon meeting were a valued contribution.

No problema here! -

From: Larry Dicken [dickenl@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 18:42

To: 'Victor Martinez'

Subject: RE: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL

sizzle, NOsteak!"

I haven't been monitoring the forums lately - too much real work to do. So what did WJ do to Shawnna? Threaten her with a law suit as well? How did she displease the ATS masters?

The writing style of that message on the serpro.info site does sound familiar to me. And, I can see where there is a connection possibly to WHIII and indirectly to the Burisch clan. But, the hiding of the ownership of the domain name for the site is very ATS-like behavior. So my bets are on them as the source. The only other people that do domain name hiding are spammers, hackers, porn site owners, and those committing crimes via the Internet. We shall see.

Larry Dicken
Web Site and Executive Producer
The Jerry Pippin Show
www.jerrypippin.com

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2006 1:13 PM

To: Larry Dicken

Subject: RE: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL sizzle, NOsteak!"

Wow! I appreciate all of the hard work. Shawnna got burned really bad by that ATS attorney WJ who recently spit her out like a used McDonald's hamburger wrapper. It'll be interesting to see what -- if anything -- they come up with this coming Sunday while I'm out all day.

I certainly wouldn't want to "out" a former USG insider especially one in the "black world!"

I'm erasing your e-mail right after replying to you.

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 00:40 To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxx

Cc: Contact@serpo.org

Subject: 2 of 2: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL

sizzle!"

Attachments: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL

sizzle, N... (1.93 KB)

2 of 2

From: Richard Davis [rickypalmerdavis@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 25 April 2006 21:26

To: Victor Martinez

Subject: re: mystery serpo site

Hi Victor,

Zeptepi has spoken to Bill and also did a little digging. Dialogue below. . . .

Hi quys,

According to Bill, the user "tacitusmonroe" alerted him to the www.serpo.info website. This particular persons email is tacitusmonroe@serpo.info (also in Bill's email) so it's a safe bet to assume he also created the site.

I checked the IP info that Bren kindly provided me with and came up with the following info:

172.200.46.110

Strangely, the IP location info is given as: United States [City: London, England]. The reverse DNS info is: ACC82E6E.ipt.xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Obviously, the above would suggest it is an AOL user but the location is very confusing and I've not seen that in a search before.

I have an idea what the revelations may be based on past research, but this site has come as a bit of a surprise - to say the least!

Bill did tell me who he thought was responsible, because they had an ip match, but to be honest what he said didn't make any sense. The site is hosted in Dallas, who do we know who lives in Dallas?

Curiouser and curiouser!

Regards, Zep

I wrote back to Zep the following:

Who did Bill say he thought it was?

Victor called me twice last night, we talked for over 90 minutes.

With regards to serpo.info . . .at first we both believed it was created by Steben. We then both talked to Steben separately. And then we had second thoughts. However, Steben could have got someone to do it for him. Also it is believed that Shawnna may have something to do with it too as she has said she has the nail that will seal the coffin of Serpo and is just waiting for the right time to play it. However we both doubt that she would stupe to this level.

Victor has received comments from over 6 people who have accused Steben of it. And Rick Doty wrote Steben a very nasty email over it. I tend to think that it is the work of a number of people.

Zep, I would like to pass on that info of yours above to Victor. Is there any objections to this?

No objections at all Rick. Bill also named Steben, he said his email address matched the IP address of the website. Like I said earlier; the site is hosted in Dallas, but isn't Steben in LA? Hence my confusion lol.

Is Steben capable of doing something like this? I would be surprised if Shawnna is involved. Again, she would have to have had someone doing it for her. To be perfectly honest though, this isn't her style. She comes straight out with what she's thinking and wouldn't mess about like this.

Cheers, Zep Victor: If you are wondering about who is "tacitusmonroe", he posted only four times. Here is his opening post.

Hello. My name is Tacitus Monroe. By way of introduction I make the following statement.

Serpo is a work of fiction with its base in existing UFO folklore.

My rationale for the above statement is based upon the following statements. Aspects of the story have been culled from existing stories. The quality of new information ranges from poor to worthless. The method of release is dubious. People involved in the release are of suspect background.

Too many people want to believe in the existence of extraterrestrial life regardless of known facts. Many people exploit this unfortunate fact for monetary reward.

Serpo will never attain the coverage true disclosure would achieve quite simply because the holes in this story can be seen from Zeta Reticuli using a cheap telescope.

Ab imo pectore Tacitus Monroe

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 00:42 To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxx

Cc: Contact@serpo.org

Subject: 1 of 2: The Mystery Project Serpo Web site ... who's behind

it?!

Attachments: mystery serpo site (7.71 KB)

1 of 2

RICK: Read ALL of this ñ

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 12:35

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: Bill Ryan; >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty

Subject: RE: Question: Why did Kit seem to trust WJ after his"outing" The Tof5?

No sweat. It may be that I just didn't get the definitive story, and don't have a need for it yet. I am very patient about this stuff: the one immutable truth I have learned is that things change with new incoming exculpatory data every data.

- 1. WJ isn't who he originally said he was, and isn't the nice guy several though he was.
- 2. For similar, although different in timing, reasons...you are no longer talking and sharing with him, nor is Bill, nor am I.
- 3. At a minimum, he plays silly games, at a maximum spends time doing venal things.

There are a lot of less than ethical humans in this story, and they fool us often for awhile.

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2006 10:26 PM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: Bill Ryan; >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty

Subject: RE: Question: Why did Kit seem to trust WJ after his"outing"

The Tof5?

Dr G:

Well, all I can say is that Rick, Bill and myself ALL believe 100% that WJ xxxxxxxxx was the IMPOSTER ANONMOUS at:

TheWizardOfZin@yahoo.com

where he still continues to p/u hs mail to this day. If you're not convinced, then Bill dropped the ball in presenting the evidence because it was VERY convincing and OVERwhelming for Rick and me.

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 12:40

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: Bill Ryan; >Rick Doty; >Hal Puthoff

Subject: RE: Kerry Cassidy is OK, 10-4 in my book!

That may be. But you essentially said...in an explicit way, that I had agreed to go to a sex club with you, and you named it, and you said specifically we had discussed it, and you announced this to Bill and Rick and Hal.

It didn't seem too funny to me. You might take a chill pill, too...and eat an apple to keep the Dr. away! You are wa-a-a-y too cavalier for me!

P.S. (if they have baby-backs and ribs, let's get take-out! I told you I may have Kristin with me...we'll wait in the car while you go in to get the order!)

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2006 10:29 PM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: Bill Ryan; >Rick Doty; >Hal Puthoff

Subject: RE: Kerry Cassidy is OK, 10-4 in my book!

Oh, Dr G: What if they have baby-back ribs AND beer ... then will you go?!

TAKE A CHILL PILL and call the doctor in the morning ... you're wa-a-a-y too serious for me! -

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 18:57

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxx; CGreen@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org
Subject: Re: Larger pictures of the Nashville UFO Photos

The truth shall let you free!

The truth. The Nashville UFO photos are real but the incident is a fake. No such incident occurred in Nashville. The photographs were taken from a real archive but Darrell Edmondson, a former member of the Crystal Knight Team. He sold the photographs in 1980 for \$15,000. Where they went from there, no one knows. But it looks like somehow they made it into the Nashville hoax.

---- Original Message ----

From: Victor Martinez

To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxxxm

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx ; CGreen@xxxxxxxxxx ; Contact@serpo.org

Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2006 10:25

Subject: Fwd: Larger pictures of the Nashville UFO Photos

FYI -

--

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 19:00

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: CGreen@xxxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: Re: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL sizzle!"

Victor:

Duh!!! Someone is just using the name L. Singleton. This Moore character has created an email but who knows, who he really is!

Rick

---- Original Message ----

From: Victor Martinez

To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxxx

Cc: CGreen@xxxxxxxxxx ; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx ; Contact@serpo.org

Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2006 21:50

Subject: RICK: Coming Soon... The Truth about Serpo? This is "ALL sizzle!"

RICK:

This just flashed across my screen: How does that Singleton guy fit in when this guy fingers Tacitus Monroe? -

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 19:01

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: Kit Green; Hal Puthoff; Bill Ryan

Subject: Re: Mr. Monroe is behind the spoofed SERPO.info Web site and threat! I'm working as hard as I can. I am corresponding with Mr. Moore. He is taking my bait and has run with it! Now, at just the right moment, I'll reel him in!!!

---- Original Message -----

From: Victor Martinez

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: Kit Green ; Hal Puthoff ; Bill Ryan

Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2006 21:35

Subject: Mr. Monroe is behind the spoofed SERPO.info Web site and threat!

RICK:

Great work! It'll be interesting to learn WHO his connections are and some of the people who have been offered up as suspects thus far: ATS, WJ, Bill Hamilton, Steve xxxxxxxxxxx, Shawnna xxxxxxxxxxx, etc.

Hopefully, you'll be able to "out" him BEFORE Sunday, April 31, 2006.

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 19:03

To: Victor Martinez; Green, Christopher

Cc: Hal Puthoff; Bill Ryan

Subject: Re: Mr. Monroe is behind the spoofed SERPO.info Web site and threat!

This guy, Monroe, might have have an operating computer by then!!!!

---- Original Message ----

From: Green, Christopher

To: Victor Martinez ; RICK DOTY

Cc: Hal Puthoff; Bill Ryan

Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2006 05:46

Subject: RE: Mr. Monroe is behind the spoofed SERPO.info Web site and threat!

He means what he says...who knows why. I suspect Rick can't convince him. He sounds like a person with Messianic Personality Disorder, and he will love the attention. He will even publish Rick's email traffic about the game.

It may not be too important...after all Victor, you have announced to all on your list that he has no data whatsoever, and that you are sure he has none. So, he must just be blowing smoke. Old stuff he will likely assemble in a package and try to make appear that it is new.

Heck, he sure couldn't know about the "Team of 5" now, could he? (Unless, of course, he has emails from Bill to WJ, You to WJ, you to Anonymous at Yahoo, or your recorded calls and private emails to WJ...or other same-such).

I guess we will find out on the 31st! I can hardly wait to see, in any event why he quoted your emails specifically...it is also very possible he actually thinks the SERPO is really true, and thinks he has a "duty" to "Out" us, because he actually thinks we know more than we do.

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2006 10:36 PM

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: Green, Christopher; Hal Puthoff; Bill Ryan

Subject: Mr. Monroe is behind the spoofed SERPO.info Web site and

threat!

RICK:

Great work! It'll be interesting to learn WHO his connections are and some of the people who have been offered up as suspects thus far: ATS, WJ, Bill xxxxxxx, Steve xxxxxxxx, Shawnna xxxxxxxxxx, etc.

Hopefully, you'll be able to "out" him BEFORE Sunday, April 31, 2006.

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 19:11

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: Kit Green; Hal Puthoff; Bill Ryan

Subject: Re: Mr. Monroe is behind the spoofed SERPO.info Web site andthreat! I'm sure if Mr. Monroe is blocked, he might get someone else to act. I can only fight one battle at a time.

---- Original Message ----

From: Victor Martinez

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: Kit Green; Hal Puthoff; Bill Ryan Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2006 12:04

Subject: Re: Mr. Monroe is behind the spoofed SERPO.info Web site andthreat!

AWESOME! This is as good as "Lost," my favorite TV show!

BOTTOM LINE: Just STOP him from doing whatever he was planning on doing on Sunday, 4-31-06 unless, of course, it was all a bluff -

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxx]

23

Sent: 26 April 2006 19:17

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxx; CGreen@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: Re: Larger pictures of the Nashville UFO Photos

Victor:

Yes, it was illegal, a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 797 and many other Federal laws. Unfortunately, the photographs were never known to be missing until they showed up in a magazine in 1987 and then in the Nashville hoax. I guess no one wanted to pursue it since trying to prosecute the guy would advertise the importance of the photographs.

Rick

---- Original Message ----

From: Victor Martinez

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx ; CGreen@xxxxxxxxx ; Contact@serpo.org

Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2006 12:10

Subject: Re: Larger pictures of the Nashville UFO Photos

Wow! RICK: From my years in govt service, woudn't that have been ILLEGAL for this guy to have personally profited from something that was obtained during his official duties and responsibiltes as an AFOSI employee?

It's called: CONFLICT OF INTEREST.

and

THEFT OF OF U S GOVERNMENT PROPERTY

and

CONVERSION

I'm surprised he was never prosecuted by the U S Attorney.

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 19:18

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: Kit Green; Hal Puthoff; Bill Ryan

Subject: Mr. Monroe is behind the spoofed SERPO.info Web site and

threat!

Attachments: Mr. Monroe is behind the spoofed SERPO.info Web site andthreat! (3.55 KB)

IF that happens, it'll show that he isn't acting alone and that others are colluding with him to do this. IF Steve xxxxxxxxxx is involved -- and neither Bill nor I think he is -- he WON'T act on this guy's behalf because he knows the spotlight's on him.

Maybe Shawnna xxxxxxxxxx will! ñ

х

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 19:23

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; CGreen@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org Subject: Re: Larger pictures of the Nashville UFO Photos

Attachments: Larger pictures of the Nashville UFO Photos (4.38 KB)

Ah! I called it correctly! "The importance of the photographs?!" Heck, it would have VALIDATED THE PHOTOS and all of the peripheral issues connected to them! ALL of the USG debunking stories would have been DEbunked themselves!

This is why Jesse Marcel, Sr, and others who spoke out on Roswell were never prosecuted for revealing state secrets: to have prosecuted them would only have VALIDATED their claims.

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 19:27

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; CGreen@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: Re: Larger pictures of the Nashville UFO Photos

Yep, you got it!

---- Original Message ----

From: Victor Martinez

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx ; CGreen@xxxxxxxxxx ; Contact@serpo.org
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2006 12:22
Subject: Re: Larger pictures of the Nashville UFO Photos

Ah! I called it correctly! "The importance of the photographs?!" Heck, it would have VALIDATED THE PHOTOS and all of the peripheral issues connected to them! ALL of the USG debunking stories would have been DEbunked themselves!

This is why Jesse Marcel, Sr, and others who spoke out on Roswell were never prosecuted for revealing state secrets: to have prosecuted them would only have VALIDATED their claims.

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 19:38

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; CGreen@xxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: Re: Check this out: More on the SERPO.info spoof Web site!

Great, I can use all the help I can get!

---- Original Message ----

From: Victor Martinez

To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxxx

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx ; CGreen@xxxxxxxxxx ; Contact@serpo.org

Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2006 12:35

Subject: RICK: Check this out: More on the SERPO.info spoof Web site!

RICK:

I have all of my contacts working overtime on this, too! Here's what they have. So far, the name "Victor Martinez" hasn't come up as a principal player! HA! -

--

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 26 April 2006 23:32

To: Bill Ryan; Victor Martinez Subject: Fw: The Game Has Begun

---- Original Message ----

From: Tacitus Monroe

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: CGreen@xxxxxxxxxx ; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxx

Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2006 14:02

Subject: Re: The Game Has Begun

Congratulations. I hold no fear of public scrutiny. Your games are irrelevant. You may try to dismantle the website. You are wasting valuable time. The clock is ticking. Four days left.

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 27 April 2006 01:41

To: RICK DOTY Cc: Bill Ryan

Subject: Re: The "Project SERPO" Game Has Begun With Mr Monroe

Attachments: The Game Has Begun (2.91 KB)

RICK:

- 1) Wow! First thing I noticed is that Kit and Hal were cc'd, but Bill and myself were NOT just as in the original stream. This leads me to believe that WJ is ULTIMATELY behind this as only he knows of our small group and is directing this guy to omit us from any e-mails.
- 2) What REALLY CONCERNS me is that IF this is WJ orchestrating this, then this guy REALLY does have some GREAT information to reveal on Sunday.

I called Bill to discuss it and from a PR standpoint and probably the best thing would be to say is ... NOTHING IF THE INFORMATION HE DISCLOSES IS TRUE.

I'd feel REALLY bad if Gannon was named -- and he wasn't ANONYMOUS! -- but to DENY his truthful claims and then later on for those claims to be proven TRUE would ruin either my credibility or Bill's if we came out and stated on the record that Monroe's claims were false when in fact they weren't. Also, Mr Hero's existence REALLY concerns me.

IF they are false, then either Bill or myself can make a public statement as such, but NOT state what the facts really are.

- 3) RICK: NOT knowing really anything about computers, what if:
- a) you sent him a "bug" late Saturday night completely disabling his computer come Sunday when he gets ready to send out his stream, and;
- b) hack into and crash his Web site also for Sunday.

NO, it won't stop the eventual disclosure, BUT it will have a strong psychological impact on him/them AND make him appear as a flake in front of all those people he promised a "big announcement" to. Lots of sizzle, no steak or as Walter Mondale had put it, "Where's the beef?!"

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 01 May 2006 17:20

To: Victor Martinez; RickDoty166@xxxxxxxx

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; Bill Ryan

Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum I agree with Victor.

It is very likely the evidence is what we have all as a Team of Five been stewing over for some time...not a thing that is new.

- 1. The person (WJ or minions, who know how to "ROOTKIT" our computers and read our emails know us), and if not, mistakes in sending WJ and others (Collins, Scott, Dr. Ghost, several girlfriends at one time or another) "memos" that we have been "Certain" were to "Real" and "Safe" people that we now know were not...or passed them on, there is plenty of odds and ends of data to be assembled and make it seem we are a Band of Brothers doing the hoax...so we need to be prepared to be "OUTED"...and it is our fault at being very careless. The fact we are not the hoaxers may tough to prove...like trying to prove a negative is always tough.
- 2. And, we have heard from day one that Paul McGovern (and Herb, too) are dead, and that someone has death certificates for them. The dead Paul and Herb, while having common names...do seem to "fit" the locations (i.e. Northern Virginia, and Western Maryland) they were said to live, at one time. Tough to prove a negative here, too.
- 3. 90% of all emails from the early stage releases of Anonymous I, Herb, Paul (but curiously not Gene), did resolve to Rick's computer(s) including at one point his wife's and one at his State Police workplace, and were all "public" in that it is not a crime to look for root IP addresses. Of course, it is near impossible without a lot of time and money and official capability to show the IP's of Rick were cloned, and from the beginning Anonymous I and Anonymous III (Anonymous II was clearly Rick, as he has said) used him, so defense of saying "I was cloned" is weak to the extreme. No sense in putting out a weak, although true, excuse before we know it is necessary...and besides...only Rick knows the

truth of this. Saying he was "cloned" would be a decision Rick and only Rick should make.

- 4. It is also known that one of the team mailed fake materials to himself, and another used a fake screen name (actually several) to engage anonymously in chat rooms, and another sent packages to the wrong people, and emails to the wrong people...so the "Oh what tangled webs we weave when 'ere we attempt to deceive" seems to apply here. So we have been complicit...not in a hoax, but in what will surely look like a hoax: these silly attempts (some of us loudly screamed from day one to NOT play these games) are just that...silly and sophomoric, if not sophmor-onic, but certainly not venal or even unethical. They were always meant to protect someone, or gain public information to check out what WE thought might be a nasty hoax.
- 5. Finally...if Tacitus is WJ...or is working with WJ...we KNOW he and three of his friends HOLD THE FIRST PATENTS on email chat-room software, licensed them to YAHOO, have perfect capability to read our emails (remember, WJ disclosed this and even sent me (us) the software to do that VERY early on...a warning perhaps?) and belong to the set of attorneys who know EXACTLY what is legal and what is not...are specialists in Internet Intellectual Property Law at one of the finest and most respected Virginia, Maryland, D.C. Law firms...with 400 lawyers...and believe they have the right to stop hoaxes. From Day One they have said this smells like a hoax...and they may even believe the little tid-bits I have mentioned above constitute circumstantial evidence that Rick, and Victor, and Bill...and likely others (!) are behind the "Hoax."
- 6. And, to the extent this is a true story (SERPO) that is, and that at LEAST 50% is true...mixed with 50% untrue (to allow plausible deniability, as is done officially all the time) and that there is a "battle" going on with some of the insiders now being in power to stop the SERPO release officially...saying "We told you so...those clowns can't manage the release with their boy-spy games..."
 ...then THEY may be now in POWER and are using sophisticated means to discredit the FIRST Anonymous' release.

Summary: I still think Tacitus is WJ, that he has actual "evidence" (although in some cases wrong, and some cases highly incomplete) that much of the original "real data" came from Rick's computers, that he has been aided in release of the data, that the folks helping him (us) could reasonably though to have been in on the "hoax" and therein has created an artificial reality (as in the ARG hypothesis) that can no longer be distinguished from the truth...which is that Rick was used from the beginning officially, there was an official release at the beginning, there was no hoax (only some silly minor mistakes like mailing fake stuff to ourselves and the wrong folks) and we are just as much confused about who/what/why as anyone.

Anyway...all the above is true, and whoever is reading this...I am happy for it. It is all old news, and it is all the absolute truth, and none of the five of us are wittingly involved in any hoax that I know of.

For the nth time, and for the nth time on record...my summary remains the same: SERPO is not true...it is a hoax because it looks like a hoax, smells like a hoax, feels like a hoax. But it doesn't WALK like a hoax; it "walks" like someone is in or has access to official capability, or knows very advanced IT technology to legally appear they do...and may be engaged in something we simply do not understand. An Alternative Reality Game....purposely inserting memes and engrams in the collective consciousness by using a viral marketing model...fits 100% of the data I have seen. It may even be legal..and it may only be us who ends up thinking that the hurt it causes people is unethical. Tacitus - WJs of the world would simply quote: "Caveat Emptor."

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Monday, May 01, 2006 10:20 AM

To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxx

Cc: Green, Christopher; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

RICK:

I called Bill right after I spoke with you this morning and we both agreed that YOU should take the lead on this after consulting w/ your people. Now, if you want to write some kind of release, have me REword it and then stream it with MY name on it, that's OK.

Taking the "lead" does NOT mean that you should do a "Reply All!"
Personally, I think we should wait until May 4 when they come up with their
"proof" of McGovern's non-existence which could ONLY be a certified death
certificate; anything short of that is mere specualtion.

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 01 May 2006 18:55 To: Green, Christopher

Cc: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; Bill Ryan

Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

Attachments: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum (11.6 KB)

DOC G:

In your analysis of Point #1:

1) Well, what might be revealed is "old news" to US, but not to the public. There's a lot of material only known to us, but which was outed during that time period when WJ was playing IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS where I shared much information w/h him in good faith.

Point #5:

2) Isn't that ILlegal to be reading other people's e-mails; I'm aware that it can be done w/ special software, but the issue is, is it legal?

Point #2:

3)	Only	months	after	he	"died,"	did	someone	point	out	that	Herb	Milton	was	on	my

Point #1:

4) Bill told me the same thing this morning: He thinks that YOU and Dr P are going to be "outed" as part of the group releasing the information though, as we all know, that isn't true.

I'm NOT looking forward to what Thursday, May 4 may bring! ñ

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 03:43

To: cgreen@xxxxxxxxx; victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxxx Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

Kit:

Bill did meet Paul. Paul didn't identify himself as Paul McGovern but Bill met him.

Rick

```
>From: "Green, Christopher" <cgreen@xxxxxxxxx>
>To: "RICK DOTY" <rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxxx,<victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx>
>CC: <Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxx, <Contact@serpo.org>
>Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum
>Date: Tue, 2 May 2006 15:45:04 -0400
>
>I agree to wait (and so stated in earlier emails).
>
>Bill: I am concerned about something. You have now gone on record
>publically, and it has been widely reported as you intended on huge
>lists...such as Dicken's...that you met with the Real Paul McGovern.
>
>I don't think you did, and have said so. Why did you ignore my
>advice/opinion? I presume it is because you know something I do not,
>and which Rick does not. Or, Rick has lied, as has Victor and as Hal.
>Can you tell the Team of Five why you are certain now (after telling
>the four of you learned it wasn't Paul...) what has changed your mind?)
>
>If you are still convinced of what you told the four of us, then your
```

```
>email today to the public is disinformation. If you have new
>information we don't have, cool...and if you just want to say you want
>to hold the information of how you have learned you met with the real
>Paul McGovern (the one who Rick spoke with, wrote to, and with whom I
>corresponded with and told you about) then that is fine with me. I just
>want to know what the backstory is...if needed, without the details.
>
>----Original Message----
>From: RICK DOTY [mailto:rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxxx]
>Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2006 5:43 AM
>To: victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx
>Cc: Green, Christopher; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org
>Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum
>Does everyone feel the same way? Maybe its a good idea to wait and see
>what is posted. I just thought getting a first jump would be a great
>offense for a defense! I'll take the majorities advice.
> >From: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx (Victor Martinez)
> >To: rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxxx (RICK DOTY)
> >CC: cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxx, Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx, Contact@serpo.org
> >Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum
> >Date: Mon, 1 May 2006 19:37:39 -0700
> >
> >RICK:
> >
>>1) OK, 10-4. What weighs heavily on my mind is what Dr P wrote and
> >took me to task on after I sent out that "mini" hit piece on ATS and
> >Dr
> >Puthoff quickly fired back, "I thought WE [Team of 5] weren't going
> >to engage in THIS type of behavior? We can't control what others do
> >and how they react, but we can control how WE react." [Paraphrasing]
> >
> >What I think he was suggesting is that we shouldn't lower ourselves
> >to their level AND the people watching us behind the scenes may
> >think, "Hey, these guys can no longer be our trusted messengers of
> >this project ... let's find someone else to do this for us!"
> >2) Just as WJ -- when he was IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS -- really prodded
> >me
>
> >to send out that "hit piece " on YOU which I killed for lack of
> >evidence
> >-- my SUGGESTION is that we wait and see what develops on Thursday,
```

```
> >May
>
> >4.
> >
> >Who knows, maybe their "evidence" on Paul McGovern will be very weak
> >and THEIR credibility will then be trashed as they're trying to do to
> >I'll say this: Anything less than a CERTIFIED DEATH CERTIFICATE will
> >NOT suffice. Also, it MUST be demonstrated that the "Paul McGovern"
> >death certificate they post -- if in fact they have that in mind --
> >is the SAME PERSON everyone has been referencing.
> >3) I called a PI friend of mine, Alex R, today whom I used to work
> >with
> >in Criminal Investigations back at the old INS in the '80s and asked
> >him for a "favor" on a Paul McGovern from one of his cop friends in
> > "his pocket. The III [Interstate Identification Index] lists 11
> >(eleven) "Paul McGoverns" presently living in the State of Virginia
> >ranging in ages 41 - 106! WOW 106! He's been eating his Wheaties!
> >
> >RICK: This is what I'm saying: That death certificate will have to
> >be connected with "our" Paul McGovern.
> >
> >4) I still have that 10-page, single-spaced "hit piece" on WJ
> >which ONLY YOU have a copy of and could be readied in less than 45
> >seconds, but why use it if I can destroy their claims on Thursday
> >with some simple facts they overlooked?
> >No use "wasting it" on a situation where another response would
>suffice.
> >Let's see what unfolds on Thursday, May 4. Just as I took a cautious
> >approach on your "hit piece" -- which I'm forever grateful I did in
> >killing it! -- let's do the same in this case and let the events for
> >Thursday, May 4 dictate my/our actions.
> >
>
>
> >CC: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx, Contact@serpo.org
> >Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum
> >Date: Mon, 01 May 2006 20:01:07 -0600 I am 100% convinced that WJ
> >is behind this. Victor, I think you should send out that piece on
> >WJ and him posing at Mr. Anonymous.
> >Besides, how can any intelligence person believe the Serpo.Info web
```

```
> > site when no one knows who is behind it?
> >
> >
>>>From: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx (Victor Martinez)
> >>To: cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx (Green, Christopher)
> >>CC: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxx, Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxm,Contact@serpo.org (Bill
> >>Rvan)
> >>Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
> >>Forum
> >>Date: Mon, 1 May 2006 15:29:47 -0700
> >>Dr G:
> >>
>>>1) Correction: NEVER said WJ was a USG attorney ... find the
> >>e-mail
> >>where I ALLEGEDLY stated that; you won't because I've never said
>>>that ... I said, "DC-based defense attorney," which suggests
> >>private, not government practice.
>>>2) Well, Rick and Bill are 100% convinced that the IMPOSTER
> >>ANONYMOUS was in fact WJ xxxxxxxxxxx, so you should call/write to
> >>Rick privately and ask him. The evidence they both presented to me
> >>was overwhelming AND two (2) of his co-conspirators "outed" him:
>> Steve xxxxxxxxxx and Ryan (?). What more do you need?
> >>
> >> 3) Injunction against me?! How how funny! When WJ was writing
> >>me,
>
> >>he would place his law office information at the bottom of each
> >>e-mail
>> as a "signature" is what it's called I think. He threatened a TRO
> >>against me if I forwarded his e-mails to anyone with his business
> >>contact info attached, but I noted to him that that was PUBLIC
> >>INFORMATION.
> >>
>>NOTE: I NEVER had any of his PERSONAL contact info, e.g., home
> >>phone,
>
> >>address, kids, ex-wife, etc.
> >>Michael Horn also told me that WJ had threatened him with
> >>something
>
> >>similar UNTIL Horn called his bluff and phoned his supervisor in his
> >>Maryland office about his stupid threat. Horn NEVER heard from
> >>"tough
```

```
> >>quy" WJ after that!
> >>
> >>ALL sizzle, NO steak! Pass me the A-1 steak sauce, Dr G! -
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >>From: "Green, Christopher" <cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx
> >>To: "Victor Martinez" <victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx
> >>CC: <RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxx>, <Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxxx, "Bill Ryan"
> >><Contact@serpo.org>
> >>Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
> >>Forum
> >>Date: Mon, 1 May 2006 16:16:30 -0400 Hi Buddy:
> >>Here are a few comments about the comments!
>> #1. You are absolutely right. I am assuming that WJ is taking
> >>what Bill did by sending the message to him, what you did with your
> >>many calls with WJ that week, what I did by telling WJ I knew
> >>you and
> >>Bill (and liked you and trusted you both), what Rick did TO as well
> >>WITH WJ...and assuming that WJ has a) the data, he is b) smart
> >>as a 150 IQ would be, is c) reading our mail as someone did
> >>his...and in short...I am conferring on WJ / Tacitus / WJ's
>>>World no more and no less that what we as a Team of Five have.
> >>
> >>And, remember: despite your and Bill's protestations otherwise: Hal
> >> and I have not seen a scintilla of data showing that WJ was
> >>Anonymous III, and Rick hasn't told us he is convinced,
> >>either...after
> >>all the data was looked at. One long email with a PDF modified by
> >>Bill
>
> >>is not proof. That is all I have seen, and Hal also. All the rest is
> >>so circumstantial as to be easily dealt with by a clever
> >>lawyer...like
> >>WJ...who denied being Victor's ANONYMOUS III. If he is telling a
> >>lie, I don't have the evidence to call him on it.
> >>
> >>
```

```
> >>#2. It is legal under these circumstances. Incidentally...I repeat:
> >>WJ is a US Government AWARD-winning attorney (look him up
> >>yourself)
> >>who is a member of the Maryland Bar (NOT D.C. and NOT VIRGINIA as
> >>you inexplicably said, and NOT a US GOVERNMENT attorney as you
> >>mistakenly said...there is an important difference) and his special
> >>practice area
>>>is Internet Intellectual software patent law. He OWNS a share of the
> >>patents that DO WHAT WE ARE discussing...it is something between
> >>slim and none as a chance he would commit an open felony for gosh
> >> sakes in his OWN legal specialty...lose his license, AND go to jail
> >>for 10
>years.
> >>Conclusion: do homework first, make pronouncements later...if you
> >>have
> >>ever said anything about WJ publically, that is the result of not
> >>doing homework, you may be sued. WJ says he won't ever sue
> >>you...that you even got THAT wrong when you called him last
> >>time...but
> >>he said he might have to get an injunction against you if you put
> >>out any more personal data...like office phone, home phone, and
> >>addresses of his kids and estranged wife, or himself.
>> # 2. Thanks. I wonder if Herb and Paul are dead. I know I got emails
> >>from someone claiming to be them, if they were dead!
> >>
> >>#4. Well, if Hal and myself are "OUTED" we sure know who caused
> >>that, don't we?
> >>
> >>
> >>Finally...I can't IMAGINE what you are worried about: it's
>>>E-N-T-E-R-T-A-I-N-M-E-N-T RIGHT? Hey, read the HEADLINES of your
> >>own
> >>tabloid news letter on SERPO...you are clear! No one will blame you
> >> for a thing! You have ALWAYS treated this as I have come to believe
> >>it
> >>SHOULD be...you are RIGHT and I was WRONG WRONG WRONG. This has
> >>ALWAYS
> >>been about entertainment and viral marketing, and putting memes and
> >>engrams "out" there to proliferate FOR FUN! I SEE IT NOW!
> >>writing
```

```
> >>has been on the wall...and you and Bill have been honest and clear
> >>all
> >>along in trying to lead us to this conclusion.
> >>I can not speak for Hal...but I deserve whatever I get. I was duped
> >>and made a fool of, and should have tumbled to that very early
> >>on...as
> >>soon as I saw that my very serious advice, counsel, recommendations,
> >> suggestion, tactics, and strategic roadmaps were being
> >>systematically
> >>a) ignored, or b) processed "oops...too late...the email just went
>out!"
> >>too soon, or worse...sent to others NOT on the team of Five! Shame,
> >>shame on me! Even Dr. GHOST was confused. (By the way...did you say
> >>Scott sent him a note once, and even worked in DHS? Hmmmm. Dr. Ghost
> >>never heard of him.)
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> I am having a BLAST, now that I finally figured it out!
> >>
> > K.
> >>
>>>----Original Message----
> >>From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx]
> >>Sent: Monday, May 01, 2006 12:55 PM
> >>To: Green, Christopher
> >>Cc: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxxx; Bill Ryan
> >>Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
> >>Forum
> >>
> >>DOC G:
> >>
> >>In your analysis of Point #1:
>>>1) Well, what might be revealed is "old news" to US, but not to the
> >>public. There's a lot of material only known to us, but which was
> >>outed during that time period when WJ was playing IMPOSTER
> >>ANONYMOUS where I shared much information w/ him in good faith.
> >>
> >>Point #5:
>>>2) Isn't that Illegal to be reading other people's e-mails; I'm
> >>aware that it can be done w/ special software, but the issue is, is
```

```
> >>it
>legal?
> >>
> >>
> >>Point #2:
> >>3) Only months after he "died," did someone point out that Herb
> >>Milton
> >>was on my list. I only dropped him when all of his e-mails started
> >>bouncing.
> >>
> >>Point #1:
> >>4) Bill told me the same thing this morning: He thinks that YOU and
> >>Dr
> >>P are going to be "outed" as part of the group releasing the
> >>information though, as we all know, that isn't true.
>>>I'm NOT looking forward to what Thursday, May 4 may bring! -
> >>
> >
> >
>
```

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 03:45

To: cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx; victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

I agree. Lets wait and see what is published on May 4th. Then we'll go from there.

>From: "Green, Christopher" <cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxx>
>To: "Victor Martinez" <victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx,"RICK DOTY"
><rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxx>
>CC: <Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxxx,<Contact@serpo.org>
>Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum
>Date: Tue, 2 May 2006 15:28:32 -0400

```
>I agree with you, Victor.
>----Original Message----
>From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx]
>Sent: Monday, May 01, 2006 9:38 PM
>To: RICK DOTY
>Cc: Green, Christopher; Puthoff@xxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org
>Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum
>RICK:
>1) OK, 10-4. What weighs heavily on my mind is what Dr P wrote and took
>me to task on after I sent out that "mini" hit piece on ATS and Dr
>Puthoff quickly fired back, "I thought WE [Team of 5] weren't going to
>engage in THIS type of behavior? We can't control what others do and how
>they react, but we can control how WE react." [Paraphrasing]
>What I think he was suggesting is that we shouldn't lower ourselves to
>their level AND the people watching us behind the scenes may think,
>"Hey, these guys can no longer be our trusted messengers of this project
>... let's find someone else to do this for us!"
>2) Just as WJ -- when he was IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS -- really prodded me
>to send out that "hit piece " on YOU which I killed for lack of evidence
>-- my SUGGESTION is that we wait and see what develops on Thursday, May
>4.
>Who knows, maybe their "evidence" on Paul McGovern will be very weak and
>THEIR credibility will then be trashed as they're trying to do to us.
>I'll say this: Anything less than a CERTIFIED DEATH CERTIFICATE will NOT
>suffice. Also, it MUST be demonstrated that the "Paul McGovern" death
>certificate they post -- if in fact they have that in mind -- is the
>SAME PERSON everyone has been referencing.
>3) I called a PI friend of mine, Alex R, today whom I used to work with
>in Criminal Investigations back at the old INS in the '80s and asked him
>for a "favor" on a Paul McGovern from one of his cop friends in "his
         The III [Interstate Identification Index] lists 11 (eleven)
>"Paul McGoverns" presently living in the State of Virginia ranging in
>ages 41 - 106! WOW 106! He's been eating his Wheaties!
>RICK: This is what I'm saying: That death certificate will have to be
>connected with "our" Paul McGovern.
>4) I still have that 10-page, single-spaced "hit piece" on WJ which
>ONLY YOU have a copy of and could be readied in less than 45 seconds,
>but why use it if I can destroy their claims on Thursday with some
```

```
>simple facts they overlooked?
>No use "wasting it" on a situation where another response would suffice.
>Let's see what unfolds on Thursday, May 4. Just as I took a cautious
>approach on your "hit piece" -- which \bar{\text{I}}'m forever grateful \bar{\text{I}} did in
>killing it! -- let's do the same in this case and let the events for
>Thursday, May 4 dictate my/our actions.
From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxx]
Sent: 03 May 2006 03:45
Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org
Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
Forum
Victor:
Send Kit the stream.
Rick
>From: "Green, Christopher" <cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxxxx
>CC: <Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx>, <Contact@serpo.org>
>Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
>Forum
>Date: Tue, 2 May 2006 15:27:23 -0400
>I can't agree unless/until I see what the "trash" email on WJ is.
>----Original Message----
>From: RICK DOTY [mailto:rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxxx]
>Sent: Monday, May 01, 2006 9:15 PM
>To: victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx
>Cc: Green, Christopher; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org
>Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
>Forum
>Victor:
```

>Someone must have gained access to SERVER sites and not PCs. I never

43

```
>sent anything from my state police computer. I never used my wife's
>computer. I have two lap tops and one PC. Since I didn't originate
>any Mr. Anonymous'
>emails, someone must have gained access to SERVER data, not IP
>addresses.
>There are many ways that can be done. Like I told Hal, somebody within
>AOL is working for Monroe. They have gained access codes.
>WJ is behind this. I suggest Victor send out the stream on WJ,
>trashing him. Let's act first.
>How can any intelligent person believe a web site that was set up by a
>unknown, using stolen data or illegally obtained data, if, in fact,
>that is what they have.
>Rick
> >From: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx (Victor Martinez)
> >To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxx
> >CC: CGreen@xxxxxxxxxxx, Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx, Contact@serpo.org
> >Subject: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
> >Forum
> >Date: Mon, 1 May 2006 08:20:26 -0700
> >
> >RICK:
> >I called Bill right after I spoke with you this morning and we both
> >agreed that YOU should take the lead on this after consulting w/ your
> >people. Now, if you want to write some kind of release, have me
> >REword
> >it and then stream it with MY name on it, that's OK.
> >Taking the "lead" does NOT mean that you should do a "Reply All!"
> >Personally, I think we should wait until May 4 when they come up with
> >their "proof" of McGovern's non-existence which could ONLY be a
> >certified death certificate; anything short of that is mere
>specualtion.
> >
>
>
> >From: Abductions@xxxxxxxx
> >To: victorqm@xxxxxxxxxxx
> >Subject: "Project SERPO"
> >Date: Mon, 1 May 2006 07:14:09 EDT
> >After midnite, the <A HREF="http://www.serpo.info">www.serpo.info</A>
```

> >home page was changed to reflect WHO was the real culprit in
> >spreading the disinfo Serpo story and it now says Rick Doty and that
> >the Paul McGovern who verified the story does not exist, with more
> >information to follow in 3 days.
>

From: Victor Martinez [victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 03:51

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: cgreen@mxxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

Attachments: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum (10.3 KB)

Wow! Great! Looks like I'm going to be writing to Tacitus Monroe and asking him: "Where's the beef?!" as Walter Mondale did of Gary Hart in the presidential primary (referencing Hart's claims of "new ideas.")

Let's see that death certificate!

DOC G: I think an apology on your part is in order, don't you think for doubting that Bill met Paul? Be a man, take the high road and do it \dots NOW! \tilde{n}

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 03:53

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: cgreen@xxxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

OK, 10-4, Rick, we'll wait for 5-4-06.

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx] Sent: 03 May 2006 15:05 To: RICK DOTY; victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum Tacitus is not associated with WJ or anyone who works or knows WJ (he says). ----Original Message----From: RICK DOTY [mailto:rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxx] Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2006 9:45 PM To: Green, Christopher; victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum Victor: Send Kit the stream. Rick >From: "Green, Christopher" <cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx >To: "RICK DOTY" <rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxx>,<victorqm@xxxxxxxxxxx> >CC: <Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx, <Contact@serpo.org> >Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS >Forum >Date: Tue, 2 May 2006 15:27:23 -0400 >I can't agree unless/until I see what the "trash" email on WJ is. >----Original Message---->From: RICK DOTY [mailto:rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx] >Sent: Monday, May 01, 2006 9:15 PM >To: victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx >Cc: Green, Christopher; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org >Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS >Forum >Victor: >Someone must have gained access to SERVER sites and not PCs. I never >sent anything from my state police computer. I never used my wife's >computer. I have two lap tops and one PC. Since I didn't originate

```
>any Mr. Anonymous'
>emails, someone must have gained access to SERVER data, not IP
>addresses.
>There are many ways that can be done. Like I told Hal, somebody within
>AOL is working for Monroe. They have gained access codes.
>WJ is behind this. I suggest Victor send out the stream on WJ,
>trashing him. Let's act first.
>How can any intelligent person believe a web site that was set up by a
>unknown, using stolen data or illegally obtained data, if, in fact,
>that is what they have.
>Rick
> >From: victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx (Victor Martinez)
> >To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxx
> >CC: CGreen@xxxxxxxxxx, Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx, Contact@serpo.org
> >Subject: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
> >Forum
> >Date: Mon, 1 May 2006 08:20:26 -0700
> >
> >RICK:
> >
> >I called Bill right after I spoke with you this morning and we both
> >agreed that YOU should take the lead on this after consulting w/ your
> >people.
           Now, if you want to write some kind of release, have me
> >REword
> >it and then stream it with MY name on it, that's OK.
> >Taking the "lead" does NOT mean that you should do a "Reply All!"
> >Personally, I think we should wait until May 4 when they come up with
> >their "proof" of McGovern's non-existence which could ONLY be a
> certified death certificate; anything short of that is mere
>specualtion.
> >
>
> >From: Abductions@xxxxxxxxxx
> >To: victorqm@xxxxxxxxxxx
> >Subject: "Project SERPO"
> >Date: Mon, 1 May 2006 07:14:09 EDT
```

> >After midnite, the www.serpo.info
> >home page was changed to reflect WHO was the real culprit in
> >spreading the disinfo Serpo story and it now says Rick Doty and that
> >the Paul McGovern who verified the story does not exist, with more
> >information to follow in 3 days.

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 12:46

To: Puthoff@xxxxxxxx; RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxx; Victor Martinez; BillRyan

Subject: Tacitus Monroe

Tacitus Monroe is not WJ.

k.

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 15:08 To: Green, Christopher

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxx; RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: Re: Tacitus Monroe

Attachments: Tacitus Monroe (1.49 KB)

He might not be, but he's probably BEHIND all of this and him! \tilde{n}

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 15:18

To: Victor Martinez; RICK DOTY

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

Don't be ridiculous. I owe no apology for saying that the person Bill met with who he (BILL) insists was PAUL was the Paul I have two pictures of (a different man) from a primary source, who also said that wasn't the man he saw Bill meeting with, and when the man Bill met with never showed ID, or even said he was Paul.

I doubt that Bill met the real Paul:

- 1. Wrong guy in picture...different guy and too young. I have pictures of a different Paul from three sources that ARE all the same, and all different than the guy in the picture...could my three sources be lying to me? Sure. Over 20 years, not likely. Pre-Serpo? Less likely.
- Possible, sure. Worthy of doubt...you better believe it.
- 2. Paul's friend says it wasn't the real Paul.
- 3. Bill admits he didn't actually admit or identify himself as Paul.
- 4. Bill admits the identification came from a third party.
- 5. The third party says Bill misunderstood...Rick ID'd a "Paul" sitting/standing near Bill, but not the one Bill was talking to at that moment who Bill later said he was CERTAIN was Paul.

Apologize for doubting?

I take note that I am not certain. I take further note that is what I have said. I take note Bill has made categorical statements that are contradicted by the above at least despositive circumstantial evidence.

Could Bill be right, and he met with a real Paul, somewhere, sometime? Absolutely. But not absolutely clear from enigmatic and categorical statements of fact.

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2006 9:51 PM

TO: RICK DOTY

Cc: Green, Christopher; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

Wow! Great! Looks like I'm going to be writing to Tacitus Monroe and asking him: "Where's the beef?!" as Walter Mondale did of Gary Hart in the presidential primary (referencing Hart's claims of "new ideas.")

Let's see that death certificate!

DOC G: I think an apology on your part is in order, don't you think for doubting that Bill met Paul? Be a man, take the high road and do it ... NOW! -

.....

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 15:22

To: Victor Martinez; Bill Ryan Cc: >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty Subject: A small question of fact

Why did you say Bill met Paul at Maggiano's Little Italy?

That is new news!

Bill insisted to me he met him in Nevada! At the Laughlin conference, and the picture was taken in the hallway.

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 15:37 To: Green, Christopher

Cc: Bill Ryan; >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty
Subject: Re: A small question of fact

Oh, what a riot! I NEVER said that Bill met Paul M at Maggiano's ... I suggested that as a meeting place for us all! As far as I know, Bill met Paul at the Laughlin Conf and that was it.

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 15:48 To: Green, Christopher

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

Attachments: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum (2.45 KB)

DOC G:

Well, Rick could not have been more clear to us when he said: "Kit: Bill met Paul McGovern."

Rick did NOT say that "there was a Paul stanfding/sitting near Bill." He said: "Bill met Paul McGovern."

Now, that's pretty clear \dots where's that apology to Bill?! \tilde{n}

-

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 15:58 To: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx Cc: Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: RICK: For Your Eyes Only / I would love to talk to you

I have no control over Paul. I hope he takes Jerry up on it. That would settle a lot of things.

```
>From: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx (Victor Martinez)
>To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxx
>CC: Contact@serpo.org
>Subject: RICK: For Your Eyes Only / I would love to talk to you
>Date: Tue, 2 May 2006 22:09:14 -0700
>
>RICK: I think this would be a GREAT thing for Paul to do as you and
>Capt Collins just did. Jerry and Larry have proven themselves to be
>above-board in how they treat their guests and don't "ambush" them ...
>look at your own experience.
>
>Hope you agree and egg Paul on ñ
>
```

```
>From: <jerrypippin@xxxxxxxxxx>
>To: "Victor Martinez" <victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx>
>Subject: Fw: I would love to talk to you
>Date: Tue, 2 May 2006 23:32:03 -0500
>FOR YOUR EYES ONLY DO NOT DISTRIBUTE ....
>
>
```

>Victor thanks for the call at the motel. I will get over there to see you, >I promise. Looking forward to a long relationship with you. I wrote Paul

>McGovern to try to get him on the air before Thursday at midnight. Lets see >what happens.

>Jerry

```
---- Original Message ----
>From: jerrypippin@xxxxxxxxxxxxx
>To: Paul McGovern
>Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2006 11:27 PM
>Subject: I would love to talk to you
>
>Paul,
>Hello, I host an internet radio show and major web site. We have about
>150,000 listeners a week, and all our programs on on demand, 24/7 and
>available for podcast downloads making us very compettive with broadcast
>sydnciation programing. You are the man of the hour, you know, with the
>Area 51, Serpo connections brewing. Rick Doty told me in Laughlin this year
>at the UFO Congress that you were there. I missed you somehow. I wanted to
>introduce myself to you.
>Would you consider doing a phone interview with me in the next day or two,
>the quicker the better. I want to get it posted and on air by Thursday
>midnight if possible. We want to make this new Serpo disinformation website
>look a little bad since they say you don't exist. It will be a friendly
```

>interview, no GOTCHA moments even though I would love to have the real

> Thanks >Jerry Pippin

>cc: Staff and consultants

>story from the man who was there...

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 16:00

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org
Subject: "Project SERPO" imposters are referred to the FBI for

investigation

RICK:

Awesome! If any legal matters/felony information filings from the US Attorney result from this, get the mug shots to me (public record) and I'll stream them! \tilde{n}

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 16:30

To: RICK DOTY; victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: Tacitus Monroe

I do not know a THING about Tacitus for 100% concerning his identity, or exactly what he has. I shouldn't write declarative statements, but I am too busy to put in qualifiers.

Here is my deal: 100% of what I say about Tacitus I have reason to believe, but I am far from certain.

I will say I am certain when I am...and promise to use the word "certain" to convey to the Team of Five that I have veridical evidence.

I will NOT use the word "certain" for just my opinion...but I may say I am "sure." But I won't say sure and certain without veridical data at my hand.

I will not share the data. I expect strongly you know why.

Here is what I am CERTAIN about:

- 1. He is great writer: clear, unambiguous, uses spell-check and grammar-check, and writes LIKE a very few persons with whom we have corresponded. He does NOT use any OUR stylistic cutsey-tootsie affectations in writing. He writes better than Rick, Kit, Hal, and
- (usually) Victor. But Victor and Bill could be Tacitus, but I don't think so. My IT people also think he is MUCH more proficient in IT than any of us in the past...including Rick. That originally led me to think it was WJ or one of WJ's World. FWIW...Tacitus abjectly denies he is WJ, or connected to WJ, or connected to anyone who is connected to WJ.
- 2. Nothing he has said is not openly available on the SERPO for a or web sites...yet. He is sure and certain Bill did not meet Paul, or at least the real Paul. He seems to know everything...and I mean everything...that Bill has ever claimed about Paul, INCLUDING his original and later many changes in his story and the details of the meeting. He attributes the waffling to Rick as the PupetMaster.
- 3. He professes to be insulted at the hoax.

```
----Original Message----
From: RICK DOTY [mailto:rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxx]
Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2006 9:50 AM
To: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxxx; Green, Christopher
Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org
Subject: Re: Tacitus Monroe
Do we know this 100%?
>From: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx (Victor Martinez)
>To: cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx (Green, Christopher)
>CC: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx, RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxxx, Contact@serpo.org
>Subject: Re: Tacitus Monroe
>Date: Wed, 3 May 2006 07:08:10 -0700
>He might not be, but he's probably BEHIND all of this and him! -
>From: "Green, Christopher" <cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxx
>To: <Puthoff@xxxxxxxxm>, <RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxx>, "Victor Martinez"
><victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx>, "BillRyan" <bill.ryan@xxxxxxxxxxxx
>Subject: Tacitus Monroe
>Date: Wed, 3 May 2006 07:46:25 -0400
>Tacitus Monroe is not WJ.
>k.
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.2/329 - Release Date: 02/05/2006
From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx]
Sent: 03 May 2006 18:56
Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org
Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum
Bill doesn't need one.
```

Rick's sentence was ambiguous.

You don't have the whole story.

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2006 9:48 AM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

DOC G:

Well, Rick could not have been more clear to us when he said: "Kit: Bill met Paul McGovern."

Rick did NOT say that "there was a Paul stanfding/sitting near Bill." He said: "Bill met Paul McGovern."

Now, that's pretty clear ... where's that apology to Bill?! -

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 19:09 To: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx

Cc: CGreen@xxxxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: Alien Autopsy: Maurizio Baiata speaks out on the infamous

aliencorpse

Victor:

There are several things wrong with this story. First of all, the second crash site was about 65 miles southwest of Socorro. The cameraman's recollection of events are just not right. There were decayed bodies at the second crash site, which wasn't found until 1949. Those bodies wouldn't be in any condition to autospy, as displayed in the Santilli film. The recovery team did conduct autopies on the aliens but from the Roswell crash site, not the Socorro crash site.

I never knew any surveillance operations conducted against Wendell Stevens. Maybe there was and I just never had access to that operation.

The Santilli film is extremely questionable. I saw a similar film, although I cannot say it was the Santilli exact film, back in 1982. But that film came from South America and was a fake.

I've heard many stories since 1997 regarding the Santilli film. But I haven't seen or heard anything from "insiders" who would verify the film as authentic.

Rick

```
>From: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx (Victor Martinez)
>To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxx
>CC: CGreen@xxxxxxxxx, Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx, Contact@serpo.org
>Subject: Alien Autopsy: Maurizio Baiata speaks out on the infamous
>aliencorpse
>Date: Wed, 3 May 2006 10:44:12 -0700
>
>RICK:
>
>In your view, is the following account true? Did AFOSI have Wendelle
>Stevens under surveillance? Did you ever meet or know the camerman? Was
>Ray Santilli silenced or paid off by the USG? ñ
>
```

>From: S18195A@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>To: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx

>Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy: Maurizio Baiata speaks out on the

>infamousalien corpse

>Date: Wed, 3 May 2006 13:33:20 EDT

>Dear Victor:

- > I respect Maurizio Baietta's statements as I know him quite well >as an objective researcher and analist.
- > I personally disagree with him that the AA film even "could" be a >good hoax.
- > Santilli put Michael Hesemann, also well known to Maurizio, in >direct contact with the original cameraman in Florida, and that man >provided other important information to Michael, which he then >proceeded to verify for himself.
- > He called me, along with others, and my daughter Cece and I and >the others, including Ted and Brenda Loman, Peggy Kane and Nick Wyatt, >met at Socorro, New Mexico, to verify the information that that >cameraman had given to Michael.
- > Michael was carrying a map of the actual crash site where many >photographs were taken, which showed the landmarks around that site, >the access route, the view of that site from the road and railroad and >also the view of that road from that site showing a peculiar cleft in a

>low mountain between the site and the road. The encampment area was >also shown and the place where the recovery vehicles had been parked >was indicated.

- > The description said that the site was 7.2 miles west of Socorro, >just south of the highway there, and that the pictures were actually >made on 1 and 2 June of 1947, just one month BEFORE Roswell (which may >account for the cameraman's confusion with Roswell, where he and his >team landed before being driven to the Socorro site).
- > According to that cameraman, He had just returned home from >shooting a new airplane, when he found a message waiting for him to >take a case of film and proceed innediately to Andrews AFB to join a >new team being flown to New Mexico for another job.
- > He said that the team landed at Roswell, another good reason for >the cameraman's confusion at first, and were driven to the Socorro site.
- > He said that when they arrived at the site, they found an Army >unit had cordoned off the area, closed all access roads and surrounded >the landed vehicle. The Army unit had been instructed to hold the site >at bay until the Washington team arrived, which they were doing.
- > Upon Arrival, the army unit commander briefed the General from >Washington, telling him that the four live occupants hovering under the >overturned craft seemed fearful of them, and that they never let go of >the black boxes they all held to their breasts.
- > That General, whose name appears on one of the film cannisters, >turned to a sentry there and ordered him to "Bring me one of those >boxes." which the sentry then proceeded to do. When that creature >would not let go of the box, that sentry struck it a heavy blow on the >head with his rifle butt and took the box away by force. That was the >only one that showed any injury at that time. That was the first one >to die, and the first one autopsied. A second one died a few weeks >later and that resulted in the second autopsy, which was shown to only >a very few individuals. Michael Hesseman showed it to me and what I >saw agrees exactly with what Maurizio describes having seen. That body >was identical with the first in all respects except that it was not >injured on the Right thigh and wrist.
- > A peculiar situation was discovered when we went to the newspaper >morgues and the local libraries to find a copy of the paper put out >that week, with an article saying that a meteorite came down at that >spot and was believed to contain dangerous radiation and that it was >successfully recovered by the Army. ALL of those weekly newspapers had >disappeared from all files and we were never able to find even one of >them to verify that report, but it was common knowledge to the local >residents.
- > Also we discovered that we were under very close surveillance the >entire time we were at Socorro, WHY?
- > I for one believe that camera man's story as I have seen much >verification with my own eyes, and I was in the Air Force at the time >that film had to have been made, and I find no exception to what I >experienced in Air Force procXXXXXXXXXXXXX of the time.

All of this may be verified by Michael Hesemann if he so chooses. >I do not accept Santilli's change of story.

Respectfully,

Wendelle C. Stevens

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 19:14 To: Victor Martinez

Cc: Bill Ryan; >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty

Subject: VICTOR MAKES ODD MISTAKE FOR THE FIRST TIME EVAH!

VICTAH ZEEBA NEIGHBOR!

LOOKIE LOOKIE! Yoy say: "I NEVAH SAY BILLBERT MET PAULBERT AT Maggiano's..."

LOOKIE LOOKIE LOOKIE...whata yah say were:

"No, you're NOT the only person wondering the same thing: When I read Bill's streamed piece, I had the same question as to how Bill had concluded the picture he e-mailed you and Hal and showed me in the outside dining area of Maggiano's Little Italy WAS Paul McGovern and not "Mr Hero." "

I FIXATED ON: "...the picture he e-mailed you and Hal and showed me in the outside dining area of Maggiano's Little Italy WAS Paul McGovern and not "Mr Hero." "

That is...I actually read the words you wrote: "...the picture...he e-mailed you and Hal...in the outside dining area of Maggiano's Little Italy WAS Paul McGovern..."

ACTUALLY MEANT: "The picture showed the outside dining area of Maggiano's ... WAS Paul McGovern."

I ACTUALLY BELIEVED YOU WERE SERIOUS! Sorry. I need to do a better job of understanding your wonderful acerbic humor and irony!

Or, when you say things like "the picture in the outside dining area Maggiano's little Italy WAS Paul McGovern..." that you DIDN'T MEAN IT!

Jeez. I must be losing it! I have actually convinced myself SERPO IS NOT E-N-T-E-R-T-A-I-N-M-E-N-T ... And now I have to go back and re-evaluate EVERYTHING and I need to NOT BE SO SERIOUS!

I need to take a chill pill!

AND a 300 Mgm tablet of SERPOVALIUM!

I need to take this not so seriously!

I keep forgetting this is FUN!

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2006 9:37 AM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: Bill Ryan; >Hal Puthoff; >Rick Doty
Subject: Re: A small question of fact

Oh, what a riot! I NEVER said that Bill met Paul M at Maggiano's ... I suggested that as a meeting place for us all! As far as I know, Bill met Paul at the Laughlin Conf and that was it.

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 19:14

To: RICK DOTY; victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS

Forum

GREAT!

----Original Message----

From: RICK DOTY [mailto:rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2006 9:53 AM

To: Green, Christopher; victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

I don't believe him. I have turned three matters over to the FBI regarding the illegal use of the AOL servers. I'll let them take the case and run with it.

Everyone involved, will be identified. I'm tired of playing games with these idiots. I think there is a legitimate security problem associated with someone who uses AOL security codes to send out phone information. How, knows, Tacitus Monroe could be a terrorist or a child molester. I'll let the buruear find the truth.

```
>From: "Green, Christopher" <cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx
>CC: <Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx,<Contact@serpo.org>
>Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
>Date: Wed, 3 May 2006 10:04:54 -0400
>Tacitus is not associated with WJ or anyone who works or knows WJ
>(he says).
>----Original Message----
>From: RICK DOTY [mailto:rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxx]
>Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2006 9:45 PM
>To: Green, Christopher; victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx
>Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org
>Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
>Forum
>Victor:
>Send Kit the stream.
>Rick
> >From: "Green, Christopher" <cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx
> >CC: <Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx,<Contact@serpo.org>
> >Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
> >Forum
> >Date: Tue, 2 May 2006 15:27:23 -0400
> >I can't agree unless/until I see what the "trash" email on WJ is.
>>----Original Message----
> >From: RICK DOTY [mailto:rickdoty166@xxxxxxxxxxx]
> >Sent: Monday, May 01, 2006 9:15 PM
> >To: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx
> >Cc: Green, Christopher; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org
> >Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
```

```
> >Forum
> >
> > Victor:
> >
> >Someone must have gained access to SERVER sites and not PCs. I never
> >sent anything from my state police computer. I never used my wife's
              I have two lap tops and one PC. Since I didn't originate
> >computer.
> >any Mr. Anonymous'
> >emails, someone must have gained access to SERVER data, not IP
> >addresses.
> >There are many ways that can be done. Like I told Hal, somebody
> >within
> >AOL is working for Monroe. They have gained access codes.
> >WJ is behind this. I suggest Victor send out the stream on WJ,
> >trashing him. Let's act first.
> >How can any intelligent person believe a web site that was set up by
> >a unknown, using stolen data or illegally obtained data, if, in fact,
> >that is what they have.
> >
> >Rick
> >
> >
> > From: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx (Victor Martinez)
> > To: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxx
> > CC: CGreen@xxxxxxxxx, Puthoff@xxxxxxxx, Contact@serpo.org
> > Subject: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS
> > Forum
> > Date: Mon, 1 May 2006 08:20:26 -0700
> > >
> > RICK:
> > >
> > I called Bill right after I spoke with you this morning and we both
> > agreed that YOU should take the lead on this after consulting w/
> > >your
> > >people.
             Now, if you want to write some kind of release, have me
> > >REword
> > >it and then stream it with MY name on it, that's OK.
> > Taking the "lead" does NOT mean that you should do a "Reply All!"
```

```
>> Personally, I think we should wait until May 4 when they come up
> > >with
> > > their "proof" of McGovern's non-existence which could ONLY be a
> > certified death certificate; anything short of that is mere
> >specualtion.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > >From: Abductions@xxxxxxxx
> > >To: victorqm@xxxxxxxxxxx
> > Subject: "Project SERPO"
> > > Date: Mon, 1 May 2006 07:14:09 EDT
> > >After midnite, the <A
> > HREF="http://www.serpo.info">www.serpo.info</A>
> > >home page was changed to reflect WHO was the real culprit in
> > spreading the disinfo Serpo story and it now says Rick Doty and
> > > that the Paul McGovern who verified the story does not exist, with
> > >more information to follow in 3 days.
> >
> >
>
```

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 19:17

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS

Forum

Good points, and I agree with you totally that to this extent...if your hypothesis is true (and I also agree it is perfectly reasonable and has some data already to support it) then he is a guilty, and that if your "beyond a reasonable doubt" is correct...you are also right about that.

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2006 9:53 AM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

DOC G:

And aren't you guilty here too, of stating something as a "concrete fact," only to back pedal (possibly) on this proclamaiton of fact? As far as I'm concerned, if it turns out that WJ set this whole thing up behind the scenes, then he's just as guilty as Tacitus Monroe and as he actually did it himself.

Also, let's not forget that he denied being IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS and he is, in my view, beyond a reasonable doubt IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS.

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 19:21 To: Victor Martinez

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS

Forum

I want to repeat myself also...I am guilty of inappropriately using declarative language when I do not have declarative facts...and that is sloppy. I should say ALL quick emails are to the TEAM OF FIVE to be considered HYPOTHETICAL when I say I believe something like "Tacitus is not WJ..." or such-like UNLESS I specifically say I AM CERTAIN.

I am certainly NOT certain!

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2006 9:53 AM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: Fwd: "Project SERPO" is outed by the misfits at the ATS Forum

DOC G:

And aren't you guilty here too, of stating something as a "concrete fact," only to back pedal (possibly) on this proclamaiton of fact? As far as I'm concerned, if it turns out that WJ set this whole thing up behind the scenes, then he's just as guilty as Tacitus Monroe and as he actually did it himself.

Also, let's not forget that he denied being IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS and he is, in my view, beyond a reasonable doubt IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS.

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 03 May 2006 19:38

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: CRAZY! Tacitus Monroe might be Victor Martinez as a "double

agent!"

Well, I haven't seen more than 8-10 pages from Tacitus...but none of us (including you, Victor) have ever gone that far with-out a spelling or grammar error. Your last email included, for example, this construction and misspellings:

"And aren't you guilty here too, of stating something as a "concrete fact," only to back pedal (possibly) on this proclamaiton of fact? As far as I'm concerned, if it turns out that WJ set this whole thing up behind the scenes, then he's just as guilty as Tacitus Monroe and as he actually did it himself."

I can say with CERTAINTY that I am NOT speaking of un-original copy jobs...but I agree his web site is a little weird, and it DOES contain a grammatical error. But not the prose I have.

I wonder...Tacitus could be ValHall? Tacitus has never said he/she is NOT an ATS person...only that he/she is not a "WJ" person.

Your suggestion that Tacitus was at Laughlin is very prescient. I bet you are right. Bill...anyone fit the description that you remember...affecting perhaps an erudite pseudo-hostile demeanor?

Sorry, but I can't share samples of his writing with anyone.

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2006 10:43 AM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxm; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: CRAZY! Tacitus Monroe might be Victor Martinez as a "double agent!"

1) Victor Martinez + Tacitus Monroe = I PLEAD GUILTY, YOUR HONOR! I'm him!

Now, THAT IS hilarious!

- 2) Rick knows Russian well.
- 3) Bill knows Latin and Greek well.
- 4) Tacitus writes better than ME?! Please share those samples of his writings with me/us ... I'd love to see them! The sentences on his Web site are taken from at least three (3) people's writings: me, Bill Hamilton and ValHall at ATS.

That's a copy job, NOT original work. Many people have copied MY style (e.g., C2CAM), not the reverse.

5) I'm probably wrong, but it almost seems, based on your attached comments, that this guy was at the Laughlin Conf "stalking" Bill and the people whom he met in order for him to know so much.

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxx]

Sent: 04 May 2006 01:54

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: CRAZY! Tacitus Monroe might be Victor Martinez as a double

agent!"

Read what I said, Victor, I said I had writings. I didn't say emails.

Besides, I have lost a great deal of trust in the ability of the team to either keep secrets, do what we say, and more.

Finally...were you to write me a personal letter, politely ask I not share it, would you expect me to send it four people?

And, I can't begin to even COUNT the things you kept from us: phone calls with WJ, sending private emails to Collins and Scott, back-channel musings galore with ATS folks...something about a Pot CXXXXXXXXXX the Kettle Black here? It is one thing to pass on my private emails...and Rick's, and Bills, and Hals...it is quite another to hold in confidence what a person requests a priori.

There is also a need to know issue.

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2006 5:23 PM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: CRAZY! Tacitus Monroe might be Victor Martinez as a "double agent!"

DOC G:

What?! Why not?! Why can't you share samples of Tacitus Monroe's e-mails with the rest of us? Aren't five heads better than one? Were you to do so, it would enable all of us to detect key phrases, [misspelled] words that might make any one of the four of us to think of OTHERS who have committed the same errors.

I shared the original ANONYMOUS and IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS e-mails (whom I thought was legit until outed by Rick), so I'm REALLY surprised that not only have you been communicating with s/he/them (Tacitus Monroe), but that you won't share those e-mails with the rest of us.

How can we determine who it is if you're holding back those e-mails? Hope you have a good reason; best thing for you to do is come clean and cough 'em up NOW!

From: Victor Martinez [victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 04 May 2006 02:01 To: Green, Christopher

Cc: RICK DOTY; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: CRAZY! Tacitus Monroe might be Victor Martinez asa "double

agent!"

Hold on, Doc G:

1) All of that stuff was B-4 we signed onto that agreement; since then, I've been a pretty good li'l boy.

At one time or another, we've all had "loose lips sink ships" syndrome.

2) I do have "a need to know," so let's have whatever you've got!

From: Abductions@xxxxxxx Sent: 04 May 2006 12:26 To: victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx

Cc: Figaro@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: Re: SUSPECT Named! Dr. Michael Salla: Author of new serpo.info

Web site?

Hi Victor,

I hope you realize I am looking at the serpo.info to see how the forensic clues come together. I am not saying I condone any of the tactics at all, but this is how the physical eveidence led me to consider Dr. Michael Salla as possibly the driving force or author of serpo.info.

For different reasons, I felt the word "renounce" was a word more likely to be used by an author over the age of 50. Some words age and are less used

The use of the spelling out of E-N-T-E-R-T-A-I-N-M-E-N-T-! from the e-mail snippets below:

```
> > Dr MICHAEL SALLA's STUDY PAPERS:
> >
> > www.exopolitics.org/Study-Papers.htm
> >
> E-N-T-E-R-T-A-I-N-M-E-N-T-! --
```

. . . also match the last line of the serpo.info home page as shown below:

[Unable to display image]

A big clue which tied it together for me, which I did not mention before, was the registration of the owner of the serpo.info website. Each time I looked him up, the search took me to the Australian registrations, then the block would come up because the Australian website's owner paid to keep his registration information private. Since Dr. Salla got his degrees from Australian universities, and probably still lives there, and since his exopolitics had a Feb. 18 article questioning Doty and Serpo, Salla seemed the most likely "force" behind the serpo.info website.

Marilyn

In a message dated 5/4/2006 12:17:11 AM Eastern Standard Time, victorqm@xxxxxxxxxx writes:

> teacher/maven such as myself; it's suggests a degree of

```
> Subj: SUSPECT Named! Dr. Michael Salla: Author of new serpo.info Web site?
  Date:
            5/4/2006 12:17:11 AM Eastern Standard Time
           victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx (Victor Martinez)
  From:
  To: Abductions@xxxxxxxx (Marilyn J XXXXXXXXXX)
  CC: Figaro@dxxxxxxxxx, Contact@serpo.org
> MARILYN:
   1) Yes, his writing, punctuation and grammar may all be in order, but
> his style and USAGE are not, so I fail to see how and why you're so
> impressed with this idiot.
   2) MOST people think it's the freaks over at ATS behind this ... time
> will tell. You're the odd-man out!
   3) I first noticed his INappropriate use of the word "renounce," but
> Bill beat me to it in correctly pointing out that he should have used
> the word "repudiate."
> For most people, this would be trivial, but NOT to an English
```

```
4) "Renounce" means to give up a claim, a right, a belief, a
> practice, a way of life, etc., that one once held. "Abjure" is also
> used for renounce, but is more defined for legal proceedings as in
> renouncing one's allegiance or rights under oath to a jury as in a public
   recantation.
  "Repudiate" means to refuse to have anything to do with, disown or
> cast off publicly.
  "Refute" and "rebut" are terms more reserved for court/the legal system.
> For "refute," charges/allegations are countered by offering EVIDENCE;
> to "rebut" something means only to DENY an allegation, but without
> offering any proof.
  EXAMPLES:
> "After 10 years of membership in the KKK, Sen Byrd RENOUNCED his
> membership in the Klan and everything it stands for."
>
   "The lobbyist REPUDIATED all of the claimed benefits of the proposed
> legislation regarding the longer school year."
  "Criminal defense attorney Rick Doty REBUTTED the prosecution's
> claims that his client was even near the liquor store when the
> robbery occurred." [DENY with no evidence presented]
>
  "The prosecution REFUTED all of Doty's arguments made during his
> closing statements in the case." [EVIDENCE offered]
> 5) Still impressed with "Tacitus Monroe?" I'm not, BUT thanx for your
> shared insight and input! Let's see what Thursday brings! ñ
```

> UNsophistication and XXXXXXXXXXXxation as well.

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxx]

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Sent: 04 May 2006 15:35 To: Victor Martinez

71

Subject: RE: SUSPECT Named! Dr. Michael Salla: Author of new serpo.info Website?

I understand and agree with your comments Victor, about why it may NOT be Michael Salla...but Marilyn's comments are worthy of us to put Michael on the short list for being Tacitus Monroe:

- 1. Marilyn's analysis is good (although as you point out, not perfect).
- 2. His long letters and writings, and those of Tacitus are identical in lexical frame...careful attention to scholarly Latin, Greek, and Russian...and a lot more. It is obvious Tacitus can't be you, Rick, or me...but Michael can't be ruled out...and so far...no one has given me anyone else as a candidate... except ValHall and WJ...who both should remain on the short list, although Tacitus says he isn't WJ.
- 3. In 2002...Dr. Salla wrote a book entitled: "A Hero's Journey"
- 4. Salla claims EXACTLY the same mission and goals as Tacitus: putting an end to hoaxes about the very serious subject that demonstrates the possibility that there are alien races with whom we have been in contact, and the "dumbing down" of the subject on the internet to the point where only folks with no day jobs, and like to drink coffee all day in their PJs and slippers pay attention.

It is quite interesting to actually read Salla's recent material.

I don't know...actually, I may even put him at or at least near the top of the short list of Tacitus' candidates.

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2006 11:42 PM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: SUSPECT Named! Dr. Michael Salla: Author of new serpo.info Website?

DOC G:

Well now, this is a surprise! Long-time list member Marilyn XXXXXXXXX has named one Dr Michael Salla as the driving force and prime/sole suspect in the

www.serpo.info/

Web site. Read her comments at the VERY bottom, then mine which appear first in the attachment. I never considered this angle, but IF true, I sure am glad I did NOT send out that "hit piece" on WJ ... huge mistake and potentially legal \$\$\$ expenses! Cooler heads prevailed....

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 04 May 2006 15:42

To: Victor Martinez

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org; RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxxx

Subject: RE: 2 of 2: WJ J zeros in on Rick Doty immediately!

How do we know this is from WJ?

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 1:07 AM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org; RickDoty166@xxxxxxxx

Subject: 2 of 2: WJ J zeros in on Rick Doty immediately!

2 of 2

From WJ J when he was playing IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS! -

From: RICK DOTY [rickdoty166@xxxxxxxx]

Sent: 04 May 2006 15:48

Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: 2 of 2: WJ J zeros in on Rick Doty immediately!

I don't know.

>From: "Green, Christopher" <cgreen@xxxxxxxx>

>To: "Victor Martinez" <victorqm@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

```
>Subject: RE: 2 of 2: WJ J zeros in on Rick Doty immediately!
>Date: Thu, 4 May 2006 10:41:51 -0400
>
>How do we know this is from WJ?
>
>----Original Message----
>From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxxx]
>Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 1:07 AM
>To: Green, Christopher
>Cc: Puthoff@xxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org; RickDoty166@xxxxxxxx
>Subject: 2 of 2: WJ J zeros in on Rick Doty immediately!
>
>2 of 2
>
>From WJ J when he was playing IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS! -
```

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 04 May 2006 15:51 To: Abductions@xxxxxxxx

Cc: Figaro@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: SUSPECT Named! Dr. Michael Salla: Author of new serpo.info

Website?

Attachments: Author of new serpo.info Website? (3.78 KB)

MARILYN:

Again, thank for all of your work and attention done on this matter.

One other problem I have with this being Salla is his use of the word "idiot" which comes across as middle-school speak.

Would an erudite person with his level of XXXXXXXXXXXXation write something like that and use such choppy sentences and be careless about word USAGE [renounce vs repudiate] with a PhD appended to his name?

From: Green, Christopher [cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 04 May 2006 15:53 To: Victor Martinez

Cc: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Zin is nowposting!

Victor:

Who has said that Salla is "IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS?" We are talking about Tacitus.

The Second paragraph says nothing about "The Team of Five" or "how we are all in touch"

Did you attach the wrong email from the one you wanted to attached? This one doesn't relate to anything you are saying. Are you also saying you have other information that the attached email from Caspar was one of the ones from WJ? How did you find that out?

----Original Message----

From: Victor Martinez [mailto:victorgm@xxxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 1:24 AM

To: Green, Christopher

Cc: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxxm; Contact@serpo.org
Subject: {Spam?} 1 of 2: URGENT FRAUD ALERT! The IMPOSTER Wizard of Zin is
nowposting!

DOC G:

I know this and the "SUSPECT Named" e-mail seem as if I'm sending conflicting signals ... I'm NOT: I'm trying to provide as much information so we can narrow down the suspect list. I'm doing my best TO HELP THE TEAM.

One HUGE red flag which STRONGLY suggests WJ and NOT Salla is IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS, is that Salla does NOT know of the "Team of 5" but WJ does and has for a very long time now.

Note the phrase "Richard C Doty is the SOLE source of all SERPO releases" at:

www.serpo.info/

and then note what WJ writes about Rick in the SECOND PARAGRAPH in the attached e-mail and how he knows we're all in touch ... Salla was NEVER privy to this information and I don't maintain any one-on-one contact with him.

Your thoughts, DOC G? -

-

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 04 May 2006 15:56

To: RICK DOTY

Cc: cgreen@xxxxxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org
Subject: RE: 2 of 2: WJ J zeros in on Rick Doty immediately!

Attachments: WJ J zeros in on Rick Doty immediately! (1.38 KB)

RICK:

Reminder: YOU and Bill determined that:

TheWizardOfZin@yahoo.com

was in fact WJ. Check your e-mail that you wrote only me on 3-12-06 at 1:05 a.m. titled "WJ xxxxxxxxxxxxx"

That's why I stated that both "1 of 2" and "2 of 2" originated with WJ.

From: Victor Martinez [victorgm@xxxxxxxxxx]

Sent: 04 May 2006 16:00 To: Green, Christopher

Cc: RickDoty166@xxxxxxxxx; Puthoff@xxxxxxxxxx; Contact@serpo.org

Subject: RE: {Spam?} 1 of 2: URGENT FRAUD ALERT! The IMPOSTER Wizard of

Zinis nowposting!

Attachments: URGENT FRAUD ALERT! The IMPOSTER Wizard of Zinis

nowposting! (2.02 KB)

NO! Nothing suggests that Salla was ever IMPOSTER ANONYMOUS. What I was saying in the attached is that WJ/TheWizardofZin@yahoo.com said that Rick was the only conduit for all of the SERPO information AND the current Web site

www.serpo.info/

says that Rick is the "sole source." Think about it: Both Tacitus and WJ pounce on that point.