TOP SECRET

- 1. Attached is Annex A of the Top Secret study entitled, The Examination of the Bona Fides of a KGB

 Defector Yuriy I. NOSENKO dated February 1968 (copy 10).

 Copy 10 of this study had been forwarded to you earlier for your review.
- 2. Certain portions of Annex A of this study are deleted since it contains information which bears on the security of ongoing, viable CIA operations, or is related thereto.
- 3. We request return of the study (copy 10) and Annex A when your review has been completed.

Attachment: As Stated Above

WARNING NOTICE - SENSITIVE INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED

E2 IMPDET CL BY 011340

TOP SECRET

Annex A

Statements of Soviet Officials About NOSENKO

4 February 1964

NOSENKO defected in Geneva.

6 February 1964

The Chief of the Soviet Disarmament Delegation notified the Soviet Ambassador in Bern shortly before noon that NOSENKO had disappeared, correctly placing the date as 4 February. They speculated privately between themselves that he might have been "poisoned" or injured in an automobile accident.

8 February 1964

An official spokesman of the Soviet Mission in Geneva reported to the Swiss police that NOSENKO, an "expert temporarily assigned to Geneva", had been missing for four days.

9 February 1964

Evening news broadcasts in Geneva carried reports attributed to both Soviet and Swiss sources that NOSENKO had disappeared.

10 February 1964

The Swiss press quoted unidentified Soviet sources as saying it was presumed that NOSENKO had defected.

361

TS No. 197124

Top Secret

Copy 10

(Annex A)

10 February 1964 (continued)

A U.S. Department of State press release was issued identifying NOSENKO as KGB officer and acknowledging his request for political asylum in the United States.



According to a sensitive source,

Because of his long tenure in the KGB, NOSLNKO would have a great deal of important information which he could impart to intelligence agencies of other countries. Certainly, he would be acquainted with many KGB employees and could identify them. He also would be intimately acquainted with a large number of Soviet agents working inside the USSR against American and British nationals.

According to a sensitive source,

The bulk of NOSENKO's knowledge concerning KGB activities would revolve around the intelligence operations of the KGB in Moscow and also KGB personalities working in Headquarters. NOSENKO was also undoubtedly familiar with all KGB personalities in Geneva and certainly knew some KGB personalities in other countries.

Comment: NOSENKO identified a number of KGB officers in Geneva; he asserted that some others, known by CIA to be connected with the KGB, were not.

362

TS No. 197124

Top Secret

Coov

(Annex A)

10 February 1964 (continued)

According to a sensitive source

Minimum NOSENKO was affiliated with the KGB for approximately sixteen years, since about 1947, and was an employee of the Second Chief Directorate in Moscow. His father, now dead, was a Deputy to the Prime Minister of the Soviet Union and also Minister of the Shipbuilding Industry. There is a shippard named after NOSENKO's father in the Ukraine.

Comment: NOSERKO claims to have served in the GRU until 1953, when he entered the EGB.

According to a sensitive source,

The several KGS It appeared quite certain to the KGS and the KGS.

Comment: NOSENKO was at the time claiming to have been a KGs lieutenant colonel.

According to a sensitive source,

The photograph which rappeared in U.S. newspapers is not that of NOSENKO. One superstand worked with NOSENKO for several years in KGB Headquarters; he described NOSENKO as a person who likes to be fashionably dressed at all times and is fond of women, by nature a friendly individual and generally well-liked by his fellow workers. NOSENKO worked in the Second Chief Directorate.

363

a programme and some of

Top Secret

(Annex A)

10 February 1964 (continued)

Connent: The photograph accompanying American and Swiss press accounts of NOSENKO's defection was by error not that of NOSENRO but of

a sensitive source Vladimir SHUSTOV, whom soviet diplomat at the Geneva Disarmament Conference.

Noting the publicity accompanying the defection of KGB officer NOSENKO in Switzerland, GOLITSYN recalled that NOSENKO had been a Second Chief Directorate officer working against American citizens.

Routinely, GOLITSYN had reviewed in March 1962 Comment: (before NOSENKO contacted CIA) a list of Soviet delegates to the Disarmament Conference. NOSENKO's name, which was on the list, did not at that time prompt any comment from GOLITSYN.

11 February 1964

Ambassador DOBRYNIN informally notified the Department of State that a Soviet note would be delivered later to ask how NOSENKO had left Switzerland, to request his release. and to demand an immediate interview with him.

12 February 1964

S.K. TSARAPKIN, the head of the Soviet Delegation to the Disarmament Conference, read a statement at a press conference in which he strongly condemned the Swiss authorities for permitting NOSENKO's "kidnapping" and for hindering efforts to locate him. TSARAPKIN demanded that immediate steps be taken to return NOSENKO to Soviet custody.

Top Secret

(Annex A)

12 February 1964 (continued)

At simultaneous press conferences in Bern and Geneva, the Swiss rejected these accusations of non-cooperation and noted the Soviet delay in advising the police of NOSENKO's disappearance and Soviet failure to cooperate with Swiss authorities in locating NOSENKO.

The Soviet note earlier promised by DOBRYNIN was delivered to the State Department. A noncommittal reply was given to Soviet queries concerning the requested interview and the means of NOSENKO's departure from Switzerland.

The Swiss Embassy asked for a meeting with NOSENKO in order to obtain assurance that the defection had been voluntary. Arrangements were made to have NOSENKO meet with Swiss and Soviet representatives in Washington as soon as possible.

NOSENKO arrived in Washington at 2130 hours.

12-13 February 1964

14 February 1964

In Moscow, Soviet Foreign Minister GROMYKO read to Ambassador KOHLER a statement deploring the "evasive" reply of the State Department to Soviet inquiries in Washington and terming the whole event of NOSENKO's disappearance a

365

Top Secret

(Annex'A)

14 February 1964 (continued)

"gross provocation by American Intelligence organs".
GROMYKO repeated the demand for NOSENKO's immediate release from American custody.

The Counselor of the Swiss Embassy interviewed NOSENKO at the Washington offices of the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service. The Soviet confrontation immediately afterwards was handled by Minister Counselor G.M. KORNIENKO, and Third Secretary V.F. ISAKOV, a recent arrival in Washington. NOSENKO told both the Swiss and the Soviets that he had defected of his own free will after careful consideration and that he had no desire to return to the Soviet Union. In response to KORNIENKO's questions, he specifically renounced his status and rights as a Soviet citizen.

18 February 1964

Feliks KOVALEV, a Soviet diplomat in Buenos Aires, told a CIA agent that he had attended the Institute of International Relations with NOSENKO. According to KOVALEV, NOSENKO had twice married, had a good family background, was the son of a Minister in the Government, was notorious for his "adventurous" nature, and "famous for his character".

and the second second

366

Top Secret

TS No. 197124

Copy

(Annex A)

TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF

367

ADDITION OF

- STATE OF THE STA

(SEMINATION PROPERTY OF THE PR

CALLET RECEIVE HATELER FOR SERVICE STRUCK AND THE SERVICE STRUCK S THE WALL LAND TO THE TAX THE T CHIEF THE TRANSPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO COMMENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF TH C. ST. THE PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH CONTROL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE CHE TENTON DESIGNATION DE L'ACTUALITATION DE L'ACTU ALL THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER ALLS THE STATE OF COMPANY AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY of his present the present the present of the prese CHEST THE THE TENTH OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO esta and the first to high a production of the angle of the articles of the angle o THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF

368

Top Secrot

According to a sensitive source,

وفريه ته روان در رو ووده فهدر در ويكل وتركيات فوتي الدرك سيدو وكرا مروان والمراجع المراجع المراجع الم

NOSEARO had been in the second Chief Directorate for about 14 years and was acquainted with almost all of the employees of this directorate. He was aware of the structure of the KGB and knows many personnel of the First Chief Directorate. Attack and the second se NOSENKO, as Deputy to the Chief of the Seventh Department, had in his possession a telephone directory which listed the names of some 10,000 KGB employees in Moscow. Only Chiefs and Deputy Chiefs of Departments had these phone books. Dexpressed the AKGB officer opinion that "NOSENKO is much more valuable to the FBI and CIA than was Oleg PENKOVSKIY because of the fact that he knows so much about the methods of work of the First and Second Directorates of the KGS and is familiar with so many individuals in the KGB both in Moscow and abroad. said that PLNEOVSKIY was able to furnish American and British Intelligence with a lot of information concerning defense secrets of the Soviet Union, but NOSENKO is much more knowledgeable in intelligence and counterintelligence operations of the KGB."

Comment: NOSENKO has never commented on the KGB telephone book referred to above.

369

Top Secret

रिस्थ वेश्वरास्थ

CHEMIN

370

Ton Socret

TS No. 197124

Par

According to a sensitive source, in a response to a question whether NOSENKO actually defected or whether the defection might be a KDB "trick", the source replied that from his own knowledge of this matter, he was convinced that NOSENKO's defection was not a "trick" by the KGB.

22 February 1964

According to a sensitive source from conversations with various unnamed KOB officers: NOSENKO worked against personnel

stationed at the American Pribassy in Novicew, and with his help agents were developed among these Americans. It is assumed by the KGD that he is familiar with the number and location of microphones in the Embassy.

Comment: Although NOSENKO knew that there were microphones in three general locations in the Embassy, his specific information regarding the number and location of microphones was limited to that contained in a written list brought to Geneva in 1964, a list which he claimed no one in the KGB knew he had.

24 February 1964

Women claiming to he the wife and mother of NOSENKO visited the American imbassy in Moscow for the first time, expressing disbelief that he had voluntarily betrayed his family and country and requesting a personal meeting with him.

371

Top Secret

n Socrat.

(Annex A)

24 February 196: (continued)

Connent: NOSENKO confirmed their identities as his wife and mother on the basis of physical descriptions. On only one previous occasion have members of a defector's family called on a foreign embassy in Moscow. Following the defection of Yuriy Vasilyevich KROTKOV in England in 1963, his wife appeared at the British Fobassy to make inquiries about him.

According to a sensitive source,

KUROCHKIN (fnu),

a KGB S&T officer scheduled for assignment to Washington,
will not be sent as he is "well-known" to NOSENKO. In the
course of his duties in Moscow, KUROCHKIN had very often
visited the department where NOSENKO worked and, as a
result, NOSENKO is "more than casually acquainted with him".

Comment: NOSENKO has never identified KUROCHKIN nor referred to the name.

372

Top Secret

TS No. 197124

erand tele

(Annex A)

February 1964

Nikolay ARTAMONOV, who defected from the Soviet Navy in the late 1950's, noted the publicity accompanying NOSENKO's defection. He volunteered to CIA that he attended a naval preparatory school with the son of Minister NOSENKO in 1944-1946.

Comment: ARTAMONOV's description of the school and of the dates involved differs from those claimed by NOSENKO.

KCR officer Viadimir TULAYEV mentioned NOSENKO to a TULAYEV said that NOSENKO, whom he knew well, came from a wonderful family, loved his wife and children, and earned a good salary. (TULAYEV later said on another occasion that he had friends who knew NOSENKO well.)

Comment: NOSENKO never identified TULAYEV by name or photograph. When asked, NOSENKO denied knowing him.

373

Top Secret

February-March 1964

According to a sensitive source:

A KGB commission

was in the process of studying "the scale of loss" [caused by NOSENKO's defection] to the USSR. The commission was very large, formed from different departments of the KGB. The study (sic) was made "because it is supposed that NOSENKO had access to many rocket matters, to many nuclear matters, to many strategical objects of the country...many details about life of the leaders of the country, in the government...that he knew very much shout internal relations between the people working in the KGB, that he had many close friends among them and [spent] days and nights together with them. The whole history of his life was studied step by step very carefully."

MANUAL PROPERTY.

374

Tag Secret

Water State of the State of the

DAY DO S. SOMEON DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF

According to a sensitive source,

officers of the KGB directorates and departments at KGB Head-quarters there are four separate dining rooms for personnel who work there; one such dining room is reserved for chiefs and deputies of departments. Because of this fact, NOSENKO has a vast knowledge of the hierarchy of the KGB.

Comment:

NOSENEO never referred to the dining rooms until the January-March 1965 interrogations, when he volunteered that he had eaten occasionally in the "chiefs' dining room".

375

Top Secret

(Annex A)

CIRLLED BOOK

-THE WINDS

CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE alter termenter de maletratere a la regelementale de la colte de l ALEXANDER VICTORIA DE LA COMPANIO DEL LA COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DELA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO D CHERTHY DELYTE BROKENSKY HAWKEN THEOLY (PRINCENCA VOLUMENTAL (* 1522-1624) TO MALE PRODUCTION OF THE PRODUCT OF TH CHARLESTANDER PER LEGISLE CONTRACTOR CONTRAC ORBITAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPER THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH

23 March 1964

The two women claiming to be the wife and mother of NOSENKO made the last of five visits to the Embassy in Moscow. NOSENKO's wife had explained that she required some definite statement in writing from her husband so that she could plan for her own future and that of the NOSENKO children.

376

Top Secret

(Annex A)

27 March 1964 According to a sensitive source

There seems to be unanimous opinion among KGB chiefs that NOSENKO...could do the KGB a tremendous amount of harm. NOSENKO in his position as a deputy chief in one of the departments of the Second Chief Directorate would have been entitled to have one personnel directory of approximately 30 pages setting for the identities of all of the supervisory officials in KGB Headquarters. MOSENKO would also have had a 100-page directory listing by name and telephone number all the rank-and-file employees working in Moscow. The opinion was expressed by some Charles and the second Chief were merely able to make these two directories available to American Intelligence, the KGB would be severely damaged for the present and for several years to come.

<u>Comment</u>: NOSENKO has never referred to the KGB directories described.

31 March 1964

KGB officers		nad	in
told their agen	t	to ceas	e all intel-
ligence activit	y for a year, t	to destroy any inc	riminating
materials in hi	s possession as	s well as to suppl	y the Soviets
with passport p	hotographs so t	that "escape" docu	ments could
be prepared for	himself and hi	is family.	asked
		nnection with NOSE	NKO's recent-
ly publicized d	efection, but t	the KGB officers d	lenied it,
asserting NOSEN	KO "was just a	secretary".	

377

Top Secret

Annex A)			. :		-	• •	
						•	,
	•		• •				
1 Harch 1954	(continued)	• • •			٠.	· •
	•	•					
,						4	
	<i></i>			•		•	:
Commont	When	recont	acted		in Feb		
Comment:	L					•	•
	1965,		wledged				
-	in fact beer						
	had had some						
	MOSENKO may		wn somet	hing of	what	the	-
	KGB was doin						
	HOSENKO d	described	a KGB I	llegal,	, whose	name	
•	was unknown	to him,	who apps	rently	is-ide	entical.	
	to						
							:
				•			
arch 1964							·
	a sensitive so	uman ulu					:
According to	a sensitive so	urce who	eneurana.	Market and the	nzeroen e	200	
riefed	CALCEMATION ISOMUSINALI	AAMAANAS MRASHA 119	WY WITHOUT SHIP WAS THE		Second		
recturate (officer of t	He Americ	an vepar	tment,	rersc	nner	
i that Depai	rtment, in wi	nich MOSE	NKU nad	servea	unerr	ura	
						ld not	
		ed at the	event t	nat the	sy woul		•
		ed at the	e event t	nat the	sy wou.		
		ed at the	e event t	nat the	sy woul		:
		ed at the	e event t	nat the	sy wool	. ,	•
		ed at the	•	sensiti			:
ention it a		- ,		sensiti	ve sour	· . :e	•
ention it a	t all. In this and	other co	: a	sensiti	ve sourc	e s tated	
ention it a	In this and or implied	other co	ntexts@	sensiti od in	ve sourc h has : the Ame	ce stated srican	• • • •
ention it a	In this and or implied Department	other cothat NOSI	ontexts ENKO serv L964. NO	sensiti cd in SENKO	ve source. It has a the Ame	ce stated erican s he	•
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Am	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	entexts ENKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estatedericans he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	entexts ENKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estatedericans he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Am	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	entexts ENKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estatedericans he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	entexts ENKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estatedericans he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estatedericans he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estatedericans he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	to the second se
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSin 1963-lerican Dein the Se	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ertinuary	estated erican s he	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSH in 1963-1 erican De	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American transfer of the control	e stated erican s he 1962 il his	
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSin 1963-lerican Dein the Se	ontexts & a ontexts & E ONKO serv L964 - NO	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American transfer of the control	estated erican s he	124
ention it a	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSI in 1963-1 erican De in the Se	ontexts NKO serv 1964. BO epartment eventh De	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American has ert in the transfer of the tran	estated erican she light his	124
efection, we ention it a Comment:	In this and or implied Department left the Amand served	other cothat NOSin 1963-lerican Dein the Se	ontexts NKO serv 1964. BO epartment eventh De	sensiti ed in SENKO in Jen	ve source has the American transfer of the control	estated erican she light his	124

anie 2200 Programat da

で、ころうないないないのである

> > 379

Top Secret

(Annex A)

April-May 1964

KGB officer G.N. VLASOV, case officer of Robert Lee
JOHNSON in Paris, began to meet JOHNSON on the atreet rather
than in various restaurants as had been their habit. At one
of their final meetings before JOHNSON returned to the United
States, VLASOV told JOHNSON that a Soviet Intelligence officer
had defected to the Americans in Geneva, and that extreme
caution must therefore be exercised in JOHNSON's contacts
with the KGB. VLASOV said that the defector could not identify
JOHNSON but that JOHNSON should dispose of everything he had
which night link him to Soviet espionage activities. (See
p. 24 for a summary of the JOHNSON case.)

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O

May 1964: According to a sensitive source of the first (from unidentified sources, presumably from KGB officers (from unidentified sources, presumably from KGB officers (a) to been established by the CPSU for the purposes: (a) to determine why KGB employees such as DERYABIN, GOLITSYN, and NOSENKO defected while serving abroad, and (b) to attempt to eliminate the "weak" employees and improve the efficiency of the KGB.

380

Top Secret

TS No. 197124

. 5

(Annex A)

20 May 1994 According to a sensitive source from a KGB officer

The KGB was lucky that the Americans found only 40 nicrophones in the U.S. Embassy in Moscow. Actually, about 200 microphones were concealed by the Soviets in the Embassy. NOSLNKO was responsible for furnishing information to the Americans which resulted in the microphones being found. NOSENKO knew only the general location of the 40 microphones which were found and does not have any knowledge of the remaining ones.

12 June 1964.

reported at the American Embassy in Moscow that an unnamed Soviet official with whom he was acquainted told him that NOSENKO was a profligate with two wives and many debts and is considered in Moscow to be someone they are well rid of.

22 June 1964

According to a sensitive source

(from various unnamed KGB officers

: The consensus among KGB employees considered to the KGB will be feeling sharply the effects of NOSENKO's escape to American Intelligence.

NOSENKO is considered to be vastly more important than either GOLITSYN or DERYABIN. This opinion appears to be based on several factors: First, NOSENKO worked against personnel stationed at the American Embassy in Moscow and with his help agents were developed among these Americans. Second, it is assumed by KGB personnel that because of his closeness to

381

Top Secret

22 June 1964 (continued)

the American Embassy in the past, NOSENKO would also be familiar with the number of microphones which had been installed in the Embassy by the KGB and the locations of these microphones. Third, as a Deputy Chief of a department, NOSENKO would normally have had access to a telephone directory listing all personnel in all directorates of the KGB in Moscow. Another factor, which is a formidable one in the minds of other KGB employees, is that NOSENKO travelled in a rather influential circle of friends in Moscow who were high in the Soviet Government. All these comments were cited as reasons for NOSENKO being an "important catch" for American Intelligence, but no one in the KGB really knows exactly how much information NOSENKO had concerning the KGB.

29 June 1964

GOLITSYN (from personal acquaintance): NOSENKO, the son of the former Minister of Shipbuilding, was a KGB officer who had worked in the American Department and the Seventh Department of the Second Chief Directorate. GOLITSYN, while on his own First Chief Directorate business, met NOSENKO two. or three times in the American Embassy Section in 1953, and had seen him occasionally at work during 1958 and 1959. When GOLITSYN asked him where he was working in 1959, NOSENKO replied that he was assigned to the Seventh Department. NOSENKO served in the American Embassy Section from 1953 until 1957 or 1958, and was specifically responsible for KGB coverage of American military personnel in Moscow during 1953. For the remainder of his service in the Embassy Section until 1957 or 1958, NOSENKO may have had the same responsibilities or may have been working against other Embassy personnel or correspondents, but he was definitely in the American Department during the entire period. In 1957 or 1958 NOSENKO was transferred to the Seventh Department, and was a senior case officer there as of 1959. NOSENKO did not work in the American Department of the Second Chief Directorate American Department at any time during 1960; GOLITSYN visited the Embassy Section on at least three occasions from early 1960 to early 1961, and would have known if NOSENKO had been in the Section, particularly if NOSENKO had been Deputy Chief. G.I. GRYAZNOV was acting as assistant of the Chief of the Section during this period.

382

Top Secret

TS No. 197124

Copy

(Annex A)

29 June 1964 (continued)

Comment: NOSENKO claims he left the Embassy Section in 1955 and was assigned to the Seventh Department until 1960, when he returned to the Embassy Section as Deputy Chief. NOSENKO did not ·identify COLITSYN's photograph and had denied ${}^{+\chi}$ since defection that he has ever met or aven seen him.

1954

According to a sensitive source

"Just after" NOSENKO'a defection V.S. MEDVEDEV from the Exits Commission of the CPSU Central Committee travelled to Geneva to speak to the Soviets stationed there and to Soviet delegates to the Disaraament Conference. MEDVEDEV underscored the seriousness of the defection and urged greater vigilance against such acts. The defection caused the recall of Nina Ivanovna YEREMEYEVA, a KGB secretary in Geneva. It was rumored that some staty Soviet officials stationed abroad would be transferred from their assignment as a consequence of the NOSENKO affair. V.A. POCHANKIN, a KGB officer with the permanent Soviet Mission in Geneva, speculated that he had been completely exposed by NOSENKO and would therefore have to return to Moscow. Yu. I. GUK who was known to Source had been discharged from the KCD because he had recommended NOSENKO's travel to Geneva. According to one rumor, the chief of the Department in which NOSENKO had been employed, would also lose his job.

by a sensitive source

Comment: MEDVEDEV, a KGB officer formerly/stationed in New York City, was said by to be one : of those from the CPSU Central Committee who conducted interviews with KGB personnel going abroad. dentified MEDVEDEV.

Another sensitive source

Top Secret

T\$ No. 197124

(Annex A)

June 1964 (continued)

Comment: (Continued)

United Nations, as the Communist Party organizer and possibly a member of the KGB, but said that MEDVEDEV's role is not important and that he worked mainly with the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

POCHANKIN, who NOSENKO had identified as a KGB officer, was still in Geneva in December 1966.

29 July 1964

According to a sensitive source, (from unnamed sources, presumably KCB officers and investigating commission of the CPSU Central Committee checking into the circumstances surrounding NOSINKO's defection has thus far been responsible for the expulsion from the KGB of 15 Second Chief Directorate employees. These include GRIBANOV, who was also expelled from the CPSU and was stripped of his rank of lieutenant general. GRIBANOV has been given a very small pension, like an ordinary Soviet citizen. This drastic action was taken since the primary responsibility for the defection was placed on GRIBANOV. It was realized that, in addition to being Chief of the Second Chief Directorate at the time of the defection, GRIBANOV was a personal friend of NOSENKO and had more or less treated NOSENKO as a protege and had taken many steps to further NOSENKO's career within the KGB. It was felt that GRIBANOV should have been aware of NOSENKO's plans to defect. Three of CRIBANOV's deputies were also expelled from the KGB, one of whom was a Major General BANNIK [BANNIKOV]. Of the 11 other Second Chief Directorate employe expelled, some were found to have been personal friends of NOSENKO and some of them were found to have confided to NOSENKO details of operations in which they were working. S.M. GOLUBEV, a KGB officer stationed in Washington, would be leaving for Moscow because the investigating compission had determined that Yu. I. GUK, a mutual friend of NOSENKO and GOLUBEY told NOSENKO that GOLUBEV had been assigned to the Washington Legal Residency. GOLUBEV had himself worked with NOSENKO in KGB

384

TS No. 197124

Top Secret

(Annex A)

29 July 1964 (continued)

Headquarters sometime in the past, but subsequently NOSENKO and GOLUBLY were given different assignments within the KGB and thereafter did not associate with one another in the course of their daily activities.

NOSENKO retracted in 1965 his earlier claims Corrent: that GRIBANOV had been instrumental in his advancement and assignments. NOSENKO identified by name and photograph GOLUBEV, whom he had known personally since 1959, but NOSENKO said he had never worked with him at KGB Headquarters since (COLUBEV had, always been in the First Chief Directorate. GOLUBEV left for the USSR on 28 August 1964.

July-August 1964

According to a sensitive source: information (about about NOSENKO was from KGB Headquarters which reported the conclusions of the commission which had been appointed to prepare the damage report on NOSENKO's defection. The letter, which was very brief, said that "the loss was very great and some new forms of work should be created to beefficient in the future". The Legal Residency had not before received any "reports or commentaries on NGSENKO's

CHARLE AS BUT AND AS AN INCHES AN INCHES AND ASSESSMENT AS

Teo Secret

(Annex A)

November 1964

Asked while visiting the United States if the Russian people had been told of the ABEL-POWERS exchange, Soviet mathematician R.V. GAMKRELIDZE replied to an American acquaintance that they had not been told officially but they knew about it since there was "quite a grapevine" in Moscow. It was in this way, GAMKRELIDZE stated, that he learned of the NOSENKO defection and of its significance. According to the "grapevine", the defection was very damaging to Soviet Intelligence in that NOSENKO was the Chief of the American Section, and he knew the identities of all Soviet agents in the United States.

386

Top Secret

TS No. 197124

Copy

(Annex A)

November 1964 (continued)

Comment: GAMKRELIDZE is suspected of having connections with Soviet Intelligence because of his statements, actions, and unusual freedom of solitary movement while on visits to the United States. GAMKRELIDZE rebuffed a CIA recruitment attempt in 1964, but added that he "welcomed the opportunity to meet with an American Intelligence officer ... to compare the Soviet Intelligence officers he had met with their American counterparts'. During that 1964 meeting GAMKRELIDZE again raised the subject of NOSENKO, describing him as "obviously a traitor to his country".

TANK BUSINESS P

OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT

THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF

December 1964

a source

Nikolay RESHETNYAK, NOSENKO's/roommate in Geneva at the time of his defection, told that he had been interrogated by the KGB in connection with NOSENKO's defection, and that he had later attended the Moscow trial in which NOSENKO was sentenced to death in absentia. RESHETNYAK source reported to the dismissal of large numbers of KGB officers, including GRIBANOV and GUK. From what RESHETNYAK said, thought the KGB might go so far source

Top Secret

(Annex A)

December 1964 (continued)

AS to send someone to the United States to locate and kill NOSENKO. (later identified RESHETNYAK as a RGB officer.)

Comment: NOSENKO identified RESHETNYAK as a Ukrainian Ministry of Foreign Affairs officer on the staff of the Disarmament Delegation.

29 January 1965

According to a sensitive source

(from conversations with unrecalled KGB officers): It is common knowledge among LGB employees that GRIBANOV was expelled from the KGB and CPSU and is now on pension, partial rather than full, as a result of the NOSENKO defection. When NOSENKO was being considered for assignment to Geneva (in 1964), a summary statement of his activities was prepared in the Second Chief Directorate and sent to GRIBANOV. This summary contained considerable "compromising information" concerning NOSENKO; if acted upon properly, it would have removed him from consideration for this trip. GRIBANOV read the summary material, ran a line through all of it, and added the notation: "Send him to Geneva." The general feeling is that GRIBANOV was willing to overlook a lot of NOSENKO's deficiencies because of GRIBANOV's long-time friendship with NOSENKO's father.

Comment:

NOSENKO originally asserted that GRIBANOV was responsible for sending him to Geneva in 1964, but after retracting his claim that GRIBANOV had played any special role in his assignments, he asserted that BANNIKOV approved the 1964 trip. NOSENKO denies that his father and GRIBANOV were acquainted.

381

Top Secret

TS No. 197124

Cégy

(Annex A)

8 February 1965
According to a sensitive source from a KGB officer

defection he was beputy to the Chief of a department in the Second Chief Directorate. While working in the Seventh (Surveillance) Directorate in Moscow, which on three separate occasions participated in conferences between "important people" of the Second Chief Directorate and the Seventh Directorate. NOSENKO was present at all of these. Although NOSENKO was a Deputy Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief Directorate which captain in the KGB. The second Chief beld only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB. The second Chief he held only the rank of captain in the KGB.

the KGB officer

Comment: NOSENKO has never identified NOSENKO always claimed that he had been a lieutenant colonel in the KCB until October 1966, when he retracted that claim and asserted that he had been only a captain.

According to a sensitive source from a KGB officer

GRIBANOV has been dismissed from the KGB, expelled from the CPSU, and is presently living on a small pension. His dismissal occurred immediately after NOSENKO's defection. In addition, not less than 50 other people were dismissed, many of whom were close friends of GRIBANOV. Most of these were from the First and Second Chief Directorates, with the majority from the Second Chief Directorate. The present Acting Chief of the Second Chief Directorate is a Major General BANNIK, whose appointment has not yet been approved by the Central Committee of the CPSU. One of his deputies is a Major General (7.A.) SHCHERBAK.

- a

(Annex A)

Miles to the second second

8 February 1965 (continued)

Cornent: GRIBANOV was reported in operational contact with a senior Western diplomat until late autumn 1964 (almost a year after NOSENKO's defection), when he turned over that contact to another KGB officer.

9 February 1965

According to a sensitive source from a KGB officer

The abount of damage caused by NOSENEO's defection is "unpredictable". NOSENKO knew few employees of the First Chief Directorate working abroad, but knew many such employees serving in KGB Headquarters by virtue of seeing them in the dining room which is reserved for chiefs and deputy chiefs of KGB departments.

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

THE THE TRANSPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

Early June 1965

According to a sensitive source ron conversations with KG3 officers : Major General BANNIKOV is currently temporary Chief of the Second Chief Directorate, having replaced GRIBANOV who was expelled from the KGB because he supported NOSENKO in his career. GRIBANOV is working in a small city outside Moscow as the chief of security at an unimportant military plant and is now a "nothing'

(Annex A)

15 July 1965 According to a sensitive source from a KGB officer

who attended the Hoscow meeting described): A meeting of all First Chief Directorate personnel at KGB Headquarters was held on 15 July 1965. The meeting was devoted to the circumstances of the expulsion of the Chief of the Second (British) Department of the First Chief Directorate, Ye. A. TARABRIN, about three and a half months earlier. I.A. BELOV and other officers also attended the meeting. According to official statements made at the meeting, after the defection of NOSENKO the KGB conducted an extensive investigation to determine which employees knew him and the nature of their relationship. During this TARABRIN was questioned; he said he knew MOSENKO, but only casually and only because of limited contacts within the KGB. The investigation determined, however, that TARABRIN attended several parties at which NOSENKO was present. Girls invited by NOSENKO were also there. a sen- described one such party. Thereafter, TARABRIN was afforded a hearing and was accussed of willfully concealing vital information. As a result he was expelled from the KGB and the CPSU and was deprived of all pension rights.

Comment:

NOSENKO had most recently asserted that he met GRIBANOV socially only three times during his KGB service, and on each occasion TARABRIN was present. NOSENKO said he twice provided girls for GR!BANOV and TARABRIN, once in October or November 1963 in circumstances similar to those referred to. NOSENKO said that TARABRIN was Chief of the British Department from 1958 to 1963, when he became Deputy Chief of "Service No. 2", the reorganized Counterintelligence Department of the First Chief Directorate.

ALEXA ROLL STATE

391

Top Secret

(Annex A)

Summer 1965 According to a sensitive source

Kind the same of the same state of MOSERKO's defection, many Kind officers were confident, expelled from the Party, and iron the Kill. These confluded kill Chairman SERIV, and Second Chief Directorate Chief GRIBANOV had been expelled from the Party and from the KGB. GRIBANOV had been especially friendly with MOSERKO, had given him many privileges, and they were "buddles and night buddles".

<u>Comment</u>: SEMICHASTMYY, not SEROV, was KGB Chairman in 1965. SEROV became head of the GRU in 1958.

Winter 1965-1966 Source, a

Chief Directorate agent (from KCB officer V.G. SVIRIN.

ATTENDED Sald Source

that SVIRIN was later head of a KGB unit conducting operations against the American Embassy in Moscow):

NOSENKO's father was a Minister or General and his mother was Jewish and "always involved in some blackmarketeering". NOSENKO was not a KGB officer but a "civilian" connected somehow with the KGB. When NOSENKO defected, everyone said he was detestable. NOSENKO told the Americans about the microphones and things (sic) in the Embassy.

392

a many and they be a manager property of the contract of the contract of

Top Secret

TS No. 197124

opy

(Annex A)

Winter 1965-1966 (continued).

Source's

Corment: allegation is the single report from any source describing NOSENKO as other than a KGB officer.

March 1966
According to a sensitive source,

(from unidentified sources in Moscow): NOSENKO, who was not personally known to was an important Source boss in the KGBP ... When MOSENKO was a young man, he was in the GRU Military Academy and was then sent to the GRU Information Department for a short time; in all, perhaps for a year. NOSENKO had been a very undisciplined person while in the GRU and "not very good". He was to have been discharged from the GRU; however, his father, who was a very influential person in the Ministry of Shipbuilding, was able to get NOSENKO transforred to the KGB. It was the opinion of persons to whom talked that NOSENKO had given very, very good information to the U.S. after his defection and that NOSENKO had had great access to KGB information which included all means of KGB coverage of people in Moscow, microphone systems in the embassies, etc. Based on NOSENKO's information the American Embassy found many of the microphones.


393

Top Secret


March 1966 (continued)

Connent: NOSENEO has claimed that he rejected an offer to attend the Hilitary Diplomatic Academ.

(which he, like the called the GRU Source Military Academy), by choice accepting assignment to the Far East on entry to the GRU in 1951. At the time, the Hilitary Diplomatic Academy was a four-year course; if NOSENKO did attends the school, he could not have serve! Author claimed in the GRU. Source the sole source (Lesides NOSENKO) who alleged NOSENKO served in the GRU.







394

Top Secret

TS No. 197124

Сору —


(Arnex A)

ACID IN

395

Top Secret

(Annex A)



Mid-1966

Yuriy Dmitriyevich KOROLEV, a Soviet journalist, visited Paris and spoke with representatives of the French magazine Paris Match. KOROLEV indicated that he would like to serve as a stringer for the French journal and proposed that he begin with a story on the life of the family of a "Soviet secret agent". As KOROLEV spoke only broken French and English, the magazine staff did not seriously pursue the matter at that time.

Comment: KOROLEV is believed identical with Yuriy KOROLEV who, as of 1964, was employed part-time for the United Press International

ROROLEV has travelled previously to Brussels as a correspondent for the Soviet publication Sputnik, and to Japan and the United States as a correspondent for Novosti, the Soviet news Agency. The offer by an official Soviet press representative of information on a "Soviet secret agent", which turned out to refer to NOSENKO, is without precedent.

October 1956

Soviet journalist Yu. D. KOROLEV returned to Paris with an interpreter to renew his offer to Paris Match editors to provide a story on the life of the family of a "Soviet secret agent". The story was to concern NOSENKO's family and their

396

Top Secret

TS No. 197124

Copy

(Annex A)

October 1966 (continued)

life in Moscow since NOSENKO's defection. A short background statement KOROLEV provided Paris Match editors stated: "NOSENKO, about 36-38, an officer of the Soviet Secret Police organization, defected to the USA without his family about two years ago and asked for political asylum in the U.S.: it was granted to him. This was the most serious defeat of the Soviet Security organs as NOSENKO occupied important positions in aspionage and counterespionage departments and also was clonely acquainted with the country's leading families and homes. NOSENKO's family consists of a wife, 35, two daughters, 10 and 12, a mother and a younger brother. The family is not prosecuted (sic) but feel very badly about the incident (the defection). Very soon the wife will apply to the International Lawyers Organization for a divorce and compensation. It is possible that this case will be givenmuch publicity. His wife has not heard from him since he defected, but it is obvious that he is still in the USA. KUROLEV displayed a photo spread showing NOSENKO's wife and family going about their daily affairs in Moscow, and indicated that additional photographs of NOSENKO's family could be obtained and that interviews with them and with others knowing NOSENKO could be arranged for a Paris Match correspondent.

A divorce from a defector from the Soviet Union, who is legally considered to be an enemy of the ntate, is granted automatically upon the wife's request.

28 January 1967 According to a sensitive source

(from personal knowledge): Referring to his own knowledge of KGB organization, established said if NOSENKO heard his explanation, he would call knew these matters better than because he had served at KGB Headquarters longer. Source

(Annex A)

February 1967

According to a sensitive source (from unidentified GRU sources in Moscow): NOSENKO worked only in RU Information Department of the GRU, and only for about five or six months, after which he went to the KCB.

May 1967
According to a sensitive source

The KCB assumes that NOSENKO divulged the whole system of surveillance of Americans. He worked on it, knew all about it and exposed the whole system of work. He knew all the posts, the sources (few words unclear) he knew all the surveillance groups and knew surveillants by sight.

According to a sensitive source

When NOSENKO worked in the GAU Information Department he worked only in the Center (Headquarters) in Moscow. He worked badly there, and received bad efficiency reports, as a result of which he had arguments. Then with his father's help he went to the KGB.

5 June 1967.
According to a sensitive source

There had been some KGB suspicions of Pavel Fedorovich SHAKHOV while he was in Geneva, because he had allegedly had many American contacts "which he had not reported, and NOSENKO was sent to Genevalto investigate him. Rezident KULEBYAKIN is still suspicious of SHAKHOV.

Comment: NOSENKO claims he was sent to Geneva in 1962 to investigate SHAKHOV.

Top Secret