

**Subject:** Re: Christoph Then  
**From:** Fred Gould <fgould@ncsu.edu>  
**Date:** 7/31/2017 8:04 PM  
**To:** "Friedman, Robert" <rfriedman@jcv.org>

Very interesting. I didn't know who he was but it was a good opportunity to give the committee more published information about out NASEM report.  
Thanks for the information.

On Jul 31, 2017, at 7:56 PM, Friedman, Robert <[rfriedman@jcv.org](mailto:rfriedman@jcv.org)> wrote:

Hi Fred—

Glad you were able to respond as quickly as you did! If you do not know Christoph, he is among the worst of his ilk. Much nastier than, for example, Jim Thomas, but nowhere near as clever.

If you have not come across his organization: <https://www.testbiotech.org/gentechnik-grenzen>

Regards,  
Bob

Robert M. Friedman  
Vice President for Policy and University Relations  
J. Craig Venter Institute  
4120 Capricorn Lane, La Jolla, CA 92037  
phone: 858-200-1810  
cell phone: 240.888.9801

**From:** [bch@cbd.int](mailto:bch@cbd.int) [<mailto:bch@cbd.int>]  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 30, 2017 4:46 PM  
**To:** Friedman, Robert <[rfriedman@jcv.org](mailto:rfriedman@jcv.org)>  
**Subject:** Synbio Forum Topic 2: Further analysis of evidence of benefits and adverse effects of organisms, components and products of synthetic biology - A new message has been posted to the forum

Dear Mr. Robert Friedman,

**The following message has been posted by Mr. Fred Gould, North Carolina State University on 2017-07-30 15:41.**

**RE: Midpoint of our discussion Christoph Then** [#8705]

I thank Christoph Then for bringing up a PLoS article by Krinsky & Schwab (2017) that criticized the US National Academies report, "Genetically Engineered Crops: Experiences and Prospects" as being unreliable because of committee member conflicts of interest. This post by Christoph

reminds me that it is important to provide more detail on criticism of the report from those who are advocates for less regulation of engineered crops as well as those who feel that the US National Academies reports are biased by alliances with corporations.

As chair of the National Academies' committee on GE Crops, I would like to bring to your attention a set of published papers about this issue (attached). Most importantly, a commentary on the report titled "National Academies report has broad support" that was authored by leaders from 15 diverse academic societies.

Also, please read the following two articles in the Chronicle of Higher Education.

<http://www.chronicle.com/article/Under-Fire-National-Academies/239885>

<http://www.chronicle.com/blogs/letters/national-academies-report-on-genetically-engineered-crops-guarded-against-bias/>

[See this post in the online forum](#) | [Reply](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

## **FURTHER ASSISTANCE**

If you have any questions, suggestions or problems with the use of this service, please contact the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity at: [bch@cbd.int](mailto:bch@cbd.int)

[22 May 2017-International Day for Biological Diversity:Biodiversity and Sustainable Tourism](#)

[22 May 2017-International Day for Biological Diversity:  
Biodiversity and Sustainable Tourism](#)