

MUFON Special Investigation

**Drones and the CARET Documents –
claims of alien technology reverse engineering**

James Carrion

MUFON International Director

Mutual UFO Network, Inc.



MUFON at a Glance

Non Profit	Scientific Research Organization
Founded in 1969 after Project Bluebook was terminated	Doing the Air Force's job
2500 Members Worldwide	800 Certified Field Investigators
100 Plus PhD Consultants	13,000 Investigated Cases
Annual UFO Symposium	Monthly MUFON Journal

www.mufon.com

MUFON's Mission and Goals

Mission:

Scientific Investigation of UFOs for the benefit of humanity

Goals:

- **Investigate UFOs**
- **Research UFOs**
- **Educate the Public on UFOs**

MUFON Investigations

STAR Team – Strike Team for Area
Research – MUFON's rapid response team

STOV Team – Special Team Operations
Veritas – MUFON's special investigations unit

Spectrum of Belief

The Believer and Debunker have much in common – their minds are already made up!

Believer—

knows that UFOs are real, who controls them and what their purpose is:



Skeptic—

open minded but needs hard data to reach any conclusions:

MUFON


Debunker—

knows that UFOs can be prosaically explained. Unwilling to look at evidence that contradicts this view:



Drone Photos and Video

- April - May, 2007 – Bakersfield, California – Witness: Chad



Expert Opinion:CGI

- Kris Avery – CGI Expert – Kaptive Studios

“On the Chad photos, the Spires have a very jagged edge only observed as the result of 3D rendering, never on a photo ... it is a combination of high light levels, angle of light and very thin geometry.”

Expert Opinion:CGI

Marc D'Antonio– CGI Expert – FX Models

“Those of us in the (CGI) community can look at and immediately spot fakery. I have to say that this one is not actually even a GOOD fake.”

“In one of the images, you can see that the faker used something called ‘radiosity’ to render the images. The technique allows for more realistic images and makes things look very good, as if lit by the sun in this case. You can see classic radiosity render artifacts in the dark shadow areas of the CG craft. They show up as mottling in the shadows instead of smooth transitions.”

**May 5, 2007 – Lake Tahoe, California – Witness:
Husband/Wife reported to www.mufon.com**



Lake Tahoe-05-05-07-MUFON Submitter 7013

**May 16, 2007 – Capitola, California – Witness:
Rajinder Satyanarayana**



Expert Opinion: CGI

Kris Avery – CGI Expert – Kaptive Studios

“On the Rajman photos, the photo grain is identical through the different images. It is hard to spot because of the different content of each photo. But in areas where the sky is neutral through the set, clear grain repetition is clear. This isn't natural . It either points to a particular type of rendering, or it is a post effect added to give the images a photolike appearance. Either way, it doesn't bode well for their authenticity.”

June 5, 2007 – Big Basin, California – Witness: Stephen



June 5, 2007 – Big Basin, California – Witness: Ty



Isaac and the CARET Documents

- Posted to the Internet in June 2007

C ommercial
A pplications
R esearch for
E xtraterrestrial
T echnology

Q4-86 RESEARCH REPORT

DECEMBER 1986
PALO ALTO, CA

AND PAEL STAFF



PALO ALTO CARET LABORATORY

Isaac and the CARET Documents

- Allegations
 - Drone craft use invisibility technology
 - Invisibility was disrupted during the sightings by a non-human source
 - Similar past disruption has led to full-blown crashes
 - Isaac was recruited for the CARET program
 - Isaac is an engineer and computer scientist
 - CARET program would create commercial applications for reversed engineered alien technology and the funds funneled back into black programs.
 - Palo Alto CARET Laboratory was located in Palo Alto, California – Isaac worked there from 1984 - 1987

Isaac and the CARET Documents


- Allegations
 - Technology worked with was antigravity
 - Language/Symbols were 'software' programs that self executed as a 'functional blueprint'
 - Craft was made of holographic material
 - Three months prior to resigning Isaac smuggled out documents from PACL
 - Q3-85 Inventory Review
 - Q4-86 Research Report
 - Linguistics Analysis Primer
 - Photo scans
 - Worries about serious consequences if his identity is discovered
 - Claims no money motive
 - All future information release will be through Coast to Coast

Isaac and the CARET Documents

Q3-85 Inventory Review

PALO ALTO CARET LABORATORY

Q3-85 INVENTORY REVIEW



Isaac and the CARET Documents

Q4-86 Research Report

REPORT

PALO ALTO CARET LABORATORY

Q4-86 RESEARCH REPORT




Figure 4.1
The artifacts used by PACL during the antigravity research phase of Q4-86.

are directly and physically linked, and are completely inseparable by pulling or pushing them in opposing directions. Only when the effect of A1 is deactivated will they once again behave as separate objects.

As an example, imagine cutting a broomstick into two segments, each one foot in length. Once separated, each segment is its own object, capable of being moved or rotated independently of the other. Under the effect of an RSR, however, the segments might behave as if they were a three-foot rod consisting of both foot-long broomstick segments separated by an additional foot of empty space. While the two rod segments would still appear to be separate, to the point that an observer would be able to pass their hand through the space that separates them, they would be unable to move one of the rods without the other behaving as if it were directly attached.

4.2.4. OVERVIEW OF A1

A1 consists of a two-segment cylindrical core, 1 foot, 2.2 inches in length and 8.3 inches in diameter, with needle-like appendages extending from each end. The total length of the device, with needles included, is 2 feet, 2.4 inches. Both core segments feature a triangular array of three "arms", extending 7.6 inches from the center of the core,

5

Isaac and the CARET Documents

Linguistics Analysis Primer

PALO ALTO CARET LABORATORY

LINGUISTIC ANALYSIS PRIMER




Figure 14.12
Isolated view of a three-node AB-type semaphore cascade, extending from an exterior vertex of an octal junction.

Isaac and the CARET Documents

Linguistics Analysis Primer – Symbols Look Vaguely Familiar




Code from the movie
“The Matrix”

											
aurek	besh	cresh	dora	esk	forn	grek	herf	isk	jenth	krill	leth
											
a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l
											
mern	nern	osk	peth	qek	resh	senth	trill	usk	vev	wesk	
											
m	n	o	p	q	r	s	t	u	v	w	
											
xesh	yirt	zerek	cherk	enth	onith	krenth	nen	orenth	shen	thesh	
											
x	y	z	ch	ae	eo	kh	ng	oo	sh	th	
											
,	.	?	!	:	;	-	"	'	()	/
											
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		

Aurek-Besh alphabet appears in the film “Return of the Jedi” and in a number of Star Wars related publications.

Isaac and the CARET Documents

Photo scans



More Questions than Answers

- Why would the U.S. Government seek commercial applications for a technology that has national security implications?
- Why does Isaac express worry about his personal safety but then jokes about an IRS audit if found out?
- Why are all the photo witnesses anonymous and hide behind Internet email addresses?
- Why were no other corroborating reports filed with MUFON or NUFORC on the dates of the photo sightings?

Reading Between the Lines

- Possible Motives
 - Viral Marketing Campaign ?
 - Alienware Computers – spokesperson for alienware states that the Isaac/CARET documents are not their intellectual property but were used in a recent marketing campaign.
 - Area-51 m15x and m17x laptop computers released with embossed Drone language symbols



- Release of HALO3 – unsubstantiated rumors
- Drone Music Video
 - Kris Avery and Drone both state that they used the imagery for the music video but are not the originators
- Disinformation campaign?

Disinformation Campaign?

- A possible clue from the CARET documents:
 - **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF Q4-86**
 - Q4-86 focused on four key subjects, all of which were based on artifacts of extraterrestrial origin obtained from crash site recovery operations conducted during the last two decades within the continental United States.
 - This is the timeframe from 1965 – 1986.
 - Kecksburg Crash occurred in December 1965

Kecksburg – December 9, 1965

- Object crashed in the woods of Kecksburg, PA and was removed by the military on a flatbed truck.
- Witnesses reported an acorn shaped object that had strange symbols on the outside of the craft.

Greensburg, PA Tribune-Review Article

Unidentified Flying Object Report Touches Off Probe Near Kecksburg

By BOB GATTY

Tribune-Review Staff Writer

An eight-year-old boy, his mother, the Pennsylvania State Police and the military all added up to mystery Thursday night and early Friday morning in a wooded area near Kecksburg in Westmoreland County.

It all started when an unidentified flying object (UFO) was reported sighted by the son of Mr. and Mrs. Arnold Kalp of Acme RD 1.

"Nevin saw it first," Mrs. Kalp told me as we stood along a dirt road near the woods where State Police and Army officials apparently were searching for an "object."


Like Flaming Star

"He said it looked like a flaming star. It left a trail of flames behind it and fell in the woods," she added, pointing to the spot where her son said the UFO had fallen.

"Then I came out to look and I saw some smoke. Then it turned sort of cloudy, and went



Kecksburg – December 9, 1965




Kecksburg – December 9, 1965

figure 2



"At the bottom of the acorn shaped object were markings which looked like hyroglyphics. I've never seen these markings before or since."

figure 3





Egg
1987

Cosmos 96?

Cosmos 96

NSSDC ID: 1965-094A

From: <http://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/nmc/masterCatalog.do?sc=1965-094A>

Description


This mission was intended as a Venus lander, presumably similar in design to the Venera 3 which had launched a week earlier. The spacecraft attained Earth orbit and the main rocket body (65-094B) separated from the orbiting launch platform. It is believed an explosion (perhaps during ignition for insertion of the spacecraft into a Venus transfer orbit) damaged the platform, resulting in at least six additional fragments (designated 65-094C - H). The damaged spacecraft remained in orbit for 16 days and reentered the Earth's atmosphere on **9 December 1965**.

The Great Lakes Fireball and Kecksburg Incident

There is some speculation that the reentry of the Cosmos 96/Venera-type spacecraft was responsible for a fireball which was seen over southwestern Ontario, Canada and at least eight states from Michigan to New York at 4:43 p.m. EST (21:43 UT) on 9 December 1965.

Investigations of photographs and sightings of the fireball indicated its path through the atmosphere was probably too steep to be consistent with a spacecraft re-entering from Earth orbit and was more likely a meteor in a prograde orbit from the vicinity of the asteroid belt, and probably ended its flight over western Lake Erie. U.S. Air Force tracking data on Cosmos 96 also indicate the spacecraft orbit decayed earlier than 21:43 UT on 9 December. Other unconfirmed reports state the fireball subsequently landed in Pennsylvania southeast of Pittsburgh near the town of Kecksburg (40.2 N, 79.5 W) at 4:46 p.m. EST (although it should be noted that estimating the impact point of fireballs from eyewitness accounts is notoriously inaccurate). **Uncertainties in the orbital information and reentry coordinates and time make it difficult to determine definitively if the fireball could have been the Cosmos 96 spacecraft.**

Cosmos 96?



Evidence of a Crashed Object

Three new breakthroughs in the case investigation support the position that something highly unusual came down in Kecksburg.

- Dr. Ray Hicks, a Forestry expert from West Virginia University, discovered evidence in damaged trees showing that something physical landed at the location designated by witnesses in the year 1965;
- Archaeologist J. Steven Kite determined that the object did not make a direct, high speed impact when it landed, for his team found no signs of a crater or other impact damage that would have occurred. This supports witness reports of a slow, gradual descent; and
- Chief Scientist for Orbital Debris at the NASA Johnson Space Center, Nicholas L. Johnson, a recognized international authority, eliminated the possibility of the object's being a Russian satellite (Cosmos 96) or any man made object from any country, through his examination of orbital coordinates and other internal records.

NASA changes their story

- In December 2005, just before the Kecksburg crash 40th anniversary, a NASA spokesman finally admitted NASA had examined metallic fragments from the object and now claimed it was from a re-entering "Russian satellite." Furthermore, the spokesman claimed all records were lost. According to an Associated Press story:
 - The object appeared to be a Russian satellite that re-entered the atmosphere and broke up. NASA experts studied fragments from the object, but records of what they found were lost in the 1990s, Steitz said.
 - "As a rule, we don't track UFOs. What we could do, and what we apparently did as experts in spacecraft in the 1960s, was to take a look at whatever it was and give our expert opinion," Steitz said. "We did that, we boxed (the case) up and that was the end of it. Unfortunately, the documents supporting those findings were misplaced." (AP story)
 - This new claimed explanation from NASA contradicts the official Air Force explanation in 1965 of the fireball being from a meteor and of nothing being found.

CFI and NASA Lawsuit

- CFI – Coalition for Freedom of Information – Washington DC Advocacy Group
 - CFI filed lawsuit in 2003 due to NASA's limited response to FOIA requests for documents on the Kecksburg case.
 - Landmark Settlement in the Case in 2007
 - Presiding U.S. District Judge Emmett G. Sullivan approved a settlement that requires NASA to comb through hundreds of documents in specified locations and provide copies to Leslie Kean, investigative journalist with CFI and plaintiff in the lawsuit, under the watchful eye of the courts. NASA is required to declassify relevant documents, explain any redactions, and to pay Kean's legal fees as part of the settlement.

CFI and NASA Lawsuit



Stan Gordon, original Kecksburg investigator and Leslie Kean, CFI Director of Investigations.

CARET similarities to MJ-12

- Initial release of documentation triggers further release of information by whistleblowers
- Gatekeepers –
 - MJ-12 – William Moore
 - CARET – Coast to Coast
- Reference is made in MJ-12 EBD to other appendix documents by title. Similarly, CARET documents reference a PACL-D0006 'PACL Extraction Procedure Guide'
- MJ-12 confirms Alien Roswell
- CARET confirms Alien Kecksburg?

Down the Rabbit Hole Again

- Who's behind these photos and documents?
 - A lot of time and effort was spent to produce the documents for no obvious monetary gain?
 - The Indisputable Truth as MUFON knows it
 - Roswell happened
 - Kecksburg happened
 - Active campaigns to disinform researchers and the public surround both of these landmark UFO events.
 - **What secret is really being hidden? Extraterrestrial Life? Secret weapon? The search for the real truth goes on...**

For Further Information



Mutual UFO Network, Inc.
155 E. Boardwalk Dr., Suite 300
Bellvue, CO 80512-0279

www.mufon.com
hq@mufon.com
970.232.3110
FAX 866.466.9173



James Carrion