



Dan Burisch:

Stargate Secrets – Part 2

Interview transcript

[Home](#)
[Interviews](#)
[Our Goals](#)
[Round Table](#)
[In Tribute](#)
[The Big Picture](#)
[Shorts](#)
[About us](#)
[Get Involved](#)
[Questions](#)
[Contact](#)

Stargate Secrets : Dan Burisch revisited - Part 2

A video interview with Dan Burisch

Las Vegas, June 2007

Shot, edited and directed by Kerry Lynn Cassidy

Dan Burisch: ... No rotating ring-like devices where men with military equipment walk through and meet Ra on the other side and bring their nuclear weapons with them ... No.

...They were used for passing information and passing inhabitants *this* direction.

Kerry: Oh, by other cultures coming this way but not by us going ...

Dan: By P-45s and P-52s, both Orions and J-Rods.

...The treaties were basically inflicted on us by the Orions. As they were enforced upon us by the Orions that we needed to do what we needed to do when they figured out that we weren't able to handle the issue ourselves. They looked at their own history and said, "*Huh, look at the cave men and women.*"

...Then I found out that because he had carried the attitude, that the Magi were not closely watching anything, like they weren't watching NEOs, you know, the Near Earth Orbiting asteroids, or anything like that. They weren't concerned about it at all. Well then subsequently during a conversation I found out that in fact they *were*.

...The information from her relative was the fact that they were aware that there would be an Islamic attack upon the United States as early, meaning they were aware as early, as the mid 1990s and that it would be coming some time in the new millennium. Well, right on, smack on the beam.

...The Orions could trust us so much where they could hand us a Cube and we misused it to the state that we misused it, where they then had to inflict a treaty system upon us.

Kerry: But the Moon's not like, you know, the most inhabitable planet, I mean ...

Dan: No, but it's the place that we've allowed to be ... right now. Do I sound like I'm weighing my words?

...that our emotions affect our state, our physical state. That our orientation

to the energies which are available from the cosmos, if you will, affect the state of our DNA, affect the state of our health, and they are applying that as an experimental protocol, a rubric, if you will, to change the state of the people onboard so that they can sample them for biological material.



Start of interview

Bill Ryan: I have a question about the probabilities, Dan... the *low* probability.

Dan Burisch: When you ask a question, I really ... [laughs]

B: ...the low probability, according to what I understand what you said earlier, is 19%. Is that still valid? Because that's still playing Russian roulette with one bullet in a barrel of five.

D: Is it not. Actually it's slightly worse than that. In all honesty it's slightly worse than that, because there's only an 85% confidence level to that 19%.

B: So that doesn't quite sound like we can all relax.

D: I don't think it's ... Well no, I don't think it's a question. I think we need to do the right things. And there was a correlation to the successful and unsuccessful outcomes which involved the people of the world being united in purpose for survival and for care for our world. And that's the reason why we did this crazy thing.

And you know, it sounded crazy, it looked crazy, but we did it because it was the right thing to do and I ended up having to send a team of people out, canvassing the regular people of the world, and handing flyers out, stating that the time had arrived for us to pray for unity. And I would still encourage that seriously and sincerely. You know, I lost people. I was in charge of a team and some of them died as a result, well, a couple of them from accidents, and that, you know can happen anywhere, but a couple of them were put to death for proselytizing. And so I bear that on my soul now.

Kerry: Were put to death for proselytizing ...

D: In a country where ...

K: You mean China.

D: Ah ... there was in fact two deaths in China and we also lost some people in Saudi Arabia and a couple other places. I didn't make public how many-all we lost or how many-all we had but they did their jobs and the information was handed out and we did the best we could. Anyway, you were asking about the Stargate, the possible locations and all of that.

[reading from a document] On June 16th of 2003, in RV Number 0403, Deborah was requested to do a remote viewing session, a sole one, a series of them in fact that she conducted, and she found several locations, among them was in fact Volochanka and she even said "to the north by the Tundra" in Russia and in the southwest Tibetan Mountains ... Tibetan Mountains (I'm sorry, I'm still a little bit ... thinking about my men and women.) Uh, I don't know how to pronounce this. In ... M-o-s-j-o-e-n ... in Norway and that was a big, big hullabaloo. There was one actually ... The equipment was actually removed from there. Syria. Turkey.

K: You're saying she remote-viewed the locations of the Stargates.

D: In fact she did, and the reason why I reacted to pull this out. In your question #9 it says: "*How many LGs are/were there? How many man-made Stargates? Where are they?*" And you gave a list of possible countries. In that list you mentioned Bulgaria.

K: Right.

D: Well, on the second page of it she's got the Pirin Mountains in Bulgaria listed, so I just wanted to kind of let you know.

K: Thank you.

D: And a couple of points in Egypt, and she didn't in fact in this one mention Iraq because she was working separately for Iraq. On February the 4th of 2003 she did a special RV, says, "*I came up with the following in my session. I saw a place 10 miles south-southeast of Baghdad.*"

This, by the way, is the place where we ended up actually raiding and removing what they thought were rings for what they called "Weapons of Mass Destruction." Well, there were rings all right but it was a different kind of weapon of mass destruction. "*I pictured a big tree on the ground surface of this location.*" Now think about how Saddam was finally found.

K: Yes.

D: "*Behind the tree there was a hole in the ground and then a piece of wood over it. I saw a man looking and feeling like Saddam. A guard lifted the wood over the hole. Saddam then entered the hole adjusting the wood to look like a platform at the top of a set of stairs.*" In remote viewing (I don't remote view), but in remote viewing, from everything I understand from her time gets mixed up, overlaid, and sometimes off-shifted, and she clearly saw him in the spider hole. She also indicated that she found the work area down there and in fact drew the work area which they ended up finding, and finding the one Gate in Iraq.

Interesting stuff, huh?

K: Yeah, absolutely. So, we're kind of getting off the topic a little bit ...

D: That's good. [laughs]

K: ... of Stargates and all that.

D: Am I causing that?

K: I'm sorry?

D: I said, am I causing that? I hope not.

K: We know that Chi'el'ah escaped through a Stargate, with your assistance, because that was described in one of our last videos.

D: ET went home.

K: Yes, ET went home.

D: Yep.

K: And I ... The interesting thing about that is that you also had an experience in which you sort of fell into the Stargate and then kind of didn't go all the way, but stayed in this reality.

D: Well, kinda.

K: Kinda?

D: I was expelled several yards away onto a slab of ... it was either limestone or granite, I'm not really sure, sandstone. All I know is that it was hard [laughs] when I landed on it and it was on the other side of the tarpaulin over... The area was separated, human/ET side, for the actual staging around the Stargate. It was a military operation. And I ended up on the other side of the barrier, which were like raised curtains, so that the people around the area who were actual inhabitants of the area, couldn't see. And I landed on that and I moaned or groaned or was wondering where I was and was in fact then approached by men in guns who were very upset with me. But ...

K: OK, but so you saw the inside of a wormhole, right? Momentarily?

D: Oh ... I can't really say that. There was a gray curtain, almost a misty type curtain very similar to what I remember during the time that I was in a coma back in the '70s. And I saw certain things on the other side, but it was a flash, and that was about it, and nothing really remarkable to talk about.

K: OK. So you never went through, all the way through. I mean, I'm asking ... did you or have you.

D: No. I was just ... No. I did not end up ... I do not remember ending up anywhere else.

K: Have you ever gone through a Stargate?

D: No. no no. No. No. No. No, and there are no rotating ring-like devices where men with military equipment walk through and meet Ra on the other side and bring their nuclear weapons with them, no. No. There are ... yes, I'm being derogatory towards Serpo.

K: I thought we were talking about Stargates.

D: Well, that's what Serpo came from ... I mean, this list of, "We've got our nuclear weapon with us," and all this business. And they could have picked something better than the name Serpo if they were going to go with it, but ... Serpo is a reptile park in, what is it? Argentina? Or is it the Netherlands? It's the name of a reptile park.

K: Uh huh.

D: And I think it was picked more by the former ops who were actually putting the disinfo together and what they did is that they just simply took a name and turned it backward. It was OPRES; it's a K-4 code.

K: There are no Stargates in Serpo, in the Serpo story, as told to people.

D: OK.

K: OK. But ... [to off camera: That's what I said.] So what I'm asking is ... we have Stargates, OK, that have been decommissioned which access time travel technology.

D: Yeah.

K: OK. These Stargates, before they were decommissioned, we must assume were used.

D: They were used for passing information and passing inhabitants *this* direction.

K: Oh, by other cultures coming this way but not by us going ..

D: By ... by P-45s and P-52s, both Orions and J-Rods.

K: What about all the other...? I mean, I don't know if you're party to this, but how many other races are out there?

D: I am aware of one inter-dimensional species that won't speak with us directly and was communicating via the Orions, the P-52 Orions. That's it. You know I've been 20 years ... It's confusing to me because I've got so many people with such great certitude, and I've been 20 years around these people and either they were the greatest hidiers in the world, even while drunk, and some of them drunk and recreationally drunk. (And that's the way and ... best ... I should put that; comes with large amounts of money. Sometimes they pay for products to make them feel good, or whatever...)

I have never... It's been a joke. I hate to put it this way, but a lot of the UFO community, like, for them the stories have been a joke and a lot of them have been proffered by the folkloristic unit in Majestic. They actually promulgated a lot of these stories and now they hand me the bag to come out here and go, "Boo." You know, "Sorry. *The boogey man ain't real.*"

I guess the only thing that I'm aware of are the P-45s and the P-52s. The intergrades between them over the 7,000 years between them cannot be contacted, per treaty. The P-52s J-Rods and Orions I am aware of, and the P-45 J-Rods. At the time the P-45s were around, they were unaware that the P-52 Orions, which would then be P-45 Orions, even existed. They didn't even know that they still survived, at

the time. And they only found out as a consequence of coming here and the treaty negotiations.

K: OK, but what about the You're telling me that the Stargates were only used one way by ...

D: Used two ways, but one way by transport for delegates in.

K: OK. And that was ...

D: And that was only under extreme circumstances involving international uproar when there were problems with the treaties. Aside from that, products were exchanged back and forth and information was exchanged but it was deemed too dangerous to be handing people back and forth regularly. These things collapse, from what I understand, spontaneously. And if it collapses and you are not out one side or in the other, you're nowhere.

K: OK. So you're telling me that these people have such a conscience they were not sacrificing Americans or other, you know, or military people, to test the Stargate?

D: No. The treaties were basically inflicted on us by the Orions. As they were enforced upon us by the Orions that we needed to do what we needed to do when they figured out that we weren't able to handle the issue ourselves. They looked at their own history and said, "*Huh, look at the cave men and women.*" OK? After we acted the way that we acted involving the Cube and all of that business. They inflicted the treaty system on us and they said, "*You will behave this way.*" We don't have the ability to just take a Stargate and to step through onto the other side without violating the treaty. They don't want us out there. We are dangerous to ourselves, so why would we not be dangerous to another culture? They are sure as hell not gonna let us off this planet.

K: OK. Can I ask you ...

Bill [off-camera]: You should ask about Montauk and Henry's experience.

K: Well, yes.

D: I still, believe it or not, I still have not read about what Montauk is. Sitting here right now I have zero clue about what it even is.

K: OK. That's cool. What about the Philadelphia Experiment? Are you familiar with that?

D: I am familiar with the reports of the USS Eldridge.

K: OK.

D: I'm familiar with the unified field theory testing that went on. In fact the unified field theory testing did go on but it made something which was, from what I understand, radar-invisible but not involving everything that I've heard ... all of these other movies about people going back to 1941 and prior to Pearl Harbor and all of this business and walking the time tunnel, and all of this. The information that I've heard from the military sources – because I asked when I was in there because I knew about the Philadelphia Experiment from way back from hearing about it – that it was a legit experiment, that there was a legit

experiment that went on but it provided radar invisibility and was an early cloaking system. And it was electromagnetic cloaking.

K: OK. Now I'm wondering what ... Certainly Chi'el'ah gave you, sort of hidden cards in your hand that allowed you to get information from Majestic, or to trade information with Majestic such that you would learn what was going on in terms of the Stargates and everything.

D: Right. And if I tell you, that means that I'm telling that camera right there. [points into lens].

K: OK. And but if... Recently ... You know, I don't know if this is in the public domain, but recently you found out that MJ-1 had not told you everything about a certain incident.

D: Yes. True.

K: OK, which was a meteor, incoming meteors ...

D: Yes. It was Apophis and the big thing is the affidavit that I wrote. And the dustup that happened between he and myself was based on the fact that he said ...And I told him not to lie to me again, and the reason why I told him not to lie me again ... It involved the time period where I was told to keep my mouth totally shut because of the timelines.

Then I found out it was a bunch of garbage basically just to manipulate me to keep my mouth shut. And after that happened, then more votes were taken and then I was told to *talk*. I was given the orders to talk. Yo-yo. OK? When that happened I told him, "*Don't lie to me anymore. Tell me, 'It's none of your damn business,' if you have to. I can accept that better than being lied to.*" It's more honorable. He said fine.

Then I found out that because he had carried the attitude that the Magi were not closely watching anything like neodes, the near earth orbiting asteroids, or anything like that. They weren't concerned about it at all. Well then, subsequently during a conversation I found out that in fact they *were*. This caused a mild dustup that was then heightened because of information from her relative [indicating Marcia off camera]. The information from her relative was in fact that they were aware that there would be an Islamic attack upon the United States as early - meaning they were aware as early - as the mid 1990s that it would be coming sometime in the new millennium. Well, right on, smack on the beam.

That made it worse. I had told him that if he ever lied to me again I would make him pay a price for it. The simple price was naming him.

K: OK, but ...

D: And after that I, you know ... We sent ... There *has* been conversation with that particular individual since and everybody's OK with everybody. I mean, you know, 20 years, more than 20 years ... I first met the gentleman at the back of the LAMS, the museum, more than 20 years, since the 1970s. The relationship is not going to go by the wayside over a dustup.

Note to the transcript from Marci McDowell:

LAMS: Los Angeles Microscopical Society.

K: Sure. I understand. But what I'm ... The reason I'm alluding to that is that here's a case ...

D: I know what you're alluding to.

K: OK. Where you don't know everything ...

D: No. I don't.

K: ... about what's happening.

D: Thank God.

K: And you haven't necessarily been clued in to everything.

D: No, I haven't.

K: And so you are telling me that we don't go both ways in Stargates and this is what you've been told.

D: Uh huh.

K: OK? And you are telling me that there is only two races, maybe a third, but you know ...

D: Three races plus us, maybe a fourth.

K: OK. So all I can say here is ... This is interesting because we have gotten testimony from a lot of different witnesses, people who have different types of abilities, who have come from the inside, from Black Ops, like our Henry Deacon, telling us that we are accessing Stargates constantly and going in fact between the Earth and Mars and going between the Earth and the Moon and possibly other places as well. In other words, not just that we're staying out of space or out of the Stargates because the Orions tell us to.

D: They *were* used. They were used in timeline number two to gradually access Moon and Mars. Now, there is a possibility that the information that he has, or had, has to do with a future timeline. That would make sense to me on that level but not on the level of "*Hey honey, let's take the kids to Olympus Mons today.*"

K: No, but how about if the military is sending equipment back and forth, etc etc?

D: Well, OK. The Orions could trust us so much where they could hand us a Cube and we misused it to the state that we misused it. Where they then had to inflict a treaty system upon us.

K: Are they running our country? Are they running our world?

D: No. No. They're simply constraining what we're able to do. No. We're running our own show down here. Meaning, when I say *we*, I'm not talking about the common folks that are, you know, like being starved to death every day. We're running our own show politically down here. The statements about ... well, these are mind-controlled this or that, you know, automatons working for the ETs and the government ... No no no no no. These are good hearted and black hearted people - both - all fighting each other to run the geopolitical scenario. hat is an honest assessment from what I've seen was going on. But we are constrained as to what we are allowed to do. Meaning where we are allowed presently to off-world.

K: I understand.

D: You know ... very nice, very nice, we placed our hands on our hips and Underdog flew the American flag on the Moon and we showed ourselves so wonderful and all that over the Soviets. But that doesn't mean that we're quite able at this point to go and camp out for too long.

K: OK, so now you're telling me why we're not, theoretically ...or we haven't gone back to the Moon. At least we're ...

D: Right, we're busy throwing sticks and stones at each other. And another reason why we cannot go back there, is part of the treaty system allows us to have a certain repository set somewhere on the Moon and that repository is presently there.

K: OK.

D: And that is in case the T2 timeline should eventuate or there should be a geological or a global catastrophe of like size.

K: OK. Was this what you called the Ark?

D: Yes. Yeah.

K: OK. Which, from what I understand, used to exist here on the planet.

D: Well, in several pieces.

K: Right. OK. So they took it up to the Moon somehow.

D: Yeah. It was assembled and it ...

K: Did they use a Stargate?

D: No. No. That was going ... In fact they were building equipment up north from here and they ended up getting it about three-quarters of the way built. I actually told Bill Hamilton about this when we were walking on the Jeep Road out on Frenchman Mountain one night. "*Well, where's it gonna go?*" I looked like that [makes face] and kind of pointed up and there the orb was setting. And he says, "*Ah.*"

K: OK. But you call it an Ark. Is this something ...

D: Well, it's a nickname for it.

K: But it sounds like it's going to save creatures.

D: It's got genetic and tissue and other products.

K: From us?

D: Yes.

K: OK. Other creatures on the planet as ...?

D: Oh yes, yes. A very good biodiversity, yes.

K: I see. And it's sitting on the Moon in case there's something very bad that happens down here. Is that the idea?

D: Yes.

K: OK. From the Moon where does it go?

D: It doesn't.

K: Oh really? But the Moon's not like, you know, the most inhabitable planet ...

D: No, but it's the place that we're allowed to *be* ... Right now. Do I sound like I'm weighing my words?

K: [laughs] Yes. Yes. OK, well ... I mean ...

D: It's your job to ask the questions. It's my job to answer them as honestly and as truthfully as I am able while being honorable and beneficial.

K: OK. And we do thank you for that, you know, regardless of what other people think.

D: Well I mean, you know, I ... [big sigh]

K: There's a huge amount

D: I know the exact spot that the thing is setting there. All right? I know what it looks like, I know how many pieces there are. I was involved in looking at and assisting with the biospherics on it. I know exactly where it sets and what happens if I say exactly where it sets and something happens to it?

K: Sure.

D: I can't take that on my back.

K: I understand.

D: Go back to the '50s here for a second.

K: All right.

D: An interesting thing happened ... You know, we were talking about the Cube and all of that and the meetings with Eisenhower and the Orions, the delegates An interesting thing ... [picks up document] And I'm just referring to this, of course because it happens to reference from the intelligence community, the appropriate event, albeit it was being said at the time during a different context.

This is referencing a Hearing of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the nomination of Mike McConnell to be Director of National Intelligence. And this was at 2.30 pm eastern time on Thursday, February 1st, 2007. And Mr McConnell, the honorable vice-admiral, said the following:

Quote: "Senator, some years ago, I think '50s, '60s, there was a battle in the community with regard to authorities for signals intelligence," [of course ... signals intelligence ... communication, and ... people can read between the lines, I think] "...and decisions were taken finally to cause the Director of the National Security Agency to have responsibility for signals intelligence, or SIGINT, as we refer to it, with regard to establishing priorities overseeing the technology, insuring it's conducted in an appropriate way. The training standards are right and investments are correct, and so on."

I've got a little report here which was released on the 17th of February, 2003. This was actually sent to me by... Bill Hamilton! And it was written by a person named Matt Guza. I don't know who the person is aside from Director IC USUFOIC. And he reports the following:

Note to the transcript from Marci McDowell:

Matt Guza is/was Director I.C., USUFOIC. The file ID that Dan was referring to, coming from Bill Hamilton was AQPR17FEB0301-03.

"Aquarius background. Aquarius and other information." It says: *"Aquarius findings described briefly below."* Because it was being ... For some reason Project Aquarius was being looked at very closely at the time.

"Number 1: From what we have found, we believe that it started in 1953 alongside of the Project Blue Book or Deal."

Now ... '53 ... what happened in '53? This is pretty much around the same time ... general time frame as the Eisenhower thing... within a year? '53 was also the time when a certain craft dumped in Arizona, as I recall, right near Kingman, involving two P-45 JRods and a P-52. The P45 was the one met by Bill Uhouse and of course the P-45 was the P-52 ...I had the great honor.

But ... [continues reading] *"The theory on the drawing board at this time is that it started as an USAF black project and was overtaken by the intelligence community due to the content and the secrecy. From investigating the past interests of the USAF in UFOs, it seems that the USAF weighed their investigation too much on non-scientific data. This would explain the need for the intelligence community investigation."* (We can read between the lines here.) *"Herein, after finding, or seeing this, or due to other issues, the intelligence community took Aquarius out of the hands of the USAF."*

Isn't it funny how these two things co-ordinate with one another?

K: Well, what are you ... OK. What are you trying to say? Are you trying to say that ...

D: That there was an obvious allusion ... an obvious allusion here, in the testimony to the honorable vice admiral McConnell, two situations which were going on during the 1950s involving the present relationship between we and the extra terrestrial species. Yep.

K: OK.

D: There seems to be a rather direct correlation here. And then the fact that later on during the intelligence community interview of Mr. McConnell there was even an allusion to timeline changes.

[Continues reading] *"Senator Rockefeller:"* [smiles, gestures: Does my heart good to say his name...] *"I regret to say that my time has run out and I think somebody's manipulating this clock. Because that much time hasn't gone by. Oh my heavens."* And then a woman walked in who was from another committee and that would be Susan Collins, I believe. But, the fact that there was a manipulation of a clock comment going on and ... there are several ... well, I've got it tabbed

up [refers to paper in hand] as to the relationships here, but what I'm trying to, I guess, show the folks is how things are said publicly.

K: Yes. Absolutely. So, but what is interesting is how many in Congress know what is really being said?

D: Well, the head of the committee here ... This committee was chaired by [referring to the document] John D. Rockefeller IV, Democrat, of West Virginia. And there is a ...

K: I have no doubt that Rockefeller is in the loop.

D: Well I have, I have little doubt that he is in the loop as well and may have been in the larger loop as opposed to not the Majestic-12 loop... Anyway ...

K: He's a member of the Illuminati?

D: Oh, No. No no no no no. There was a larger group that existed between '63 ... '67 properly, and approximately 2002 called the Committee of the Majority.

K: Oh, OK, well then ...

D: I mean, you've got people like Rockefeller that can call the war that happened in 1991 as the Persian Gulf Procedure. [smiles] That's right, he actually called it the Persian Gulf Procedure.

K: Fascinating.

D: It wasn't a procedure that I was in, but anyway ...

K: Well, OK. But we've heard that since then it's now called ... There are 40 members that it's called ... isn't it the PI-40?

D: That's an old name.

K: That's an old name?

D: That's an old name for it.

K: So what you're saying or what is being said here is that there is some catastrophic event that has been mitigated to some degree, hopefully, by a certain amount of unity on the planet and a certain amount of effort towards the positive. And I'm assuming the ...

D: And removal of equipment, yeah.

K: OK but they removed the equipment because the equipment told them to remove it? In other words ...

D: They removed the equipment because the history indicated that the equipment was operating during the time of the catastrophe and was a proximate cause to the catastrophe by increasing the amount of energy into our asthenosphere and causing a global catastrophe of geo...

K: Well, that, in a sense the equipment was *telling* ... You know, it's almost like ...

D: Well it was the equipment and it was the delegates. It was also the delegates to the treaties and the representatives, including Chi'el'ah.

K: The Orions were saying, "Hey. Hey guys, it's the equipment. Shut it down and you'll have better luck"?

D: They were ... they were the leading force in that, yes.

K: Really. OK. Now I'm gonna ask you something that may come across a little controversial, but ...

D: [jokingly] Noo! We have been talking about such prosaic items all evening ... I'm not certain I could ...

K: What makes you think that they have our best futures in mind when they told us to turn that equipment off? In other words, why should we believe them?

D: Well, we should believe them simply because of the possibility and because we are talking about four and a half billion, up to five 5 billion people here, all right? Now let's think about this for a moment. If we leave the equipment in place and nothing happens. So what? What is the harm in removing it?

K: Right.

D: Now that would be the corollary question to the question that you just asked. If they don't have our best interests at heart, how would our removing that equipment prevent the catastrophe that we're hearing about from three different cultures? ... Meaning the Orions, the J-Rods and the J-Rods from an earlier time. How would removing that equipment proximate the catastrophe? Only leaving the equipment in place would be the dangerous move. So there's no harm in turning .. in unloading a gun, but there is a possible harm in having it loaded.

K: Sure. It's like the joke of believing in God. It's like the '60s joke about whether to believe in God or not. If you believe in God and there is no God, there's no harm. But if you do believe in God and there is a God, it's going to be a good thing in the end, right?

D: Well, it may take you a few more trips back, but ...

K: [laughs] OK. So ...

D: I don't know. That's up to God. [laughs]

K: Well, in a sense that's what you're saying.

D: You know this is an issue of practicality. You know, if we have a piece of equipment there that could possibly cause us harm. Let's say they're wrong and it doesn't. You better pull it apart anyway. We're talking about, you know, the consequence of nearly five billion people. We would be stupid not to, in other words.

K: OK. What about the natural Stargates that still exist on the planet?

D: What has been heard from my background concerning the natural Stargate issues is what was going on at Frenchman Mountain and to access that they were using one of the Stargate devices. They had it hauled out there.

K: OK. In mean, for example, Sedona has several vortexes.

D: Oh sure, they're all over the place.

K: Yes. And there are several natural vortexes, you know ... even a cyclone or a hurricane creates a sort of a vortex.

D: Sure.

K: OK. Through which certain races can travel in their ships, it is said. OK?

D: OK.

K: Do you have anything to say about that?

D: No ...

K: Those are natural Stargates ...

D: Meaning a portal which does not require hardware?

K: Sure.

D: Well. I don't know.

K: OK.

D: I don't know. Well, I guess I could probably make some sort of a comment relative to Lotus. There is a possibility that the portals that we're seeing during Project Lotus may be micro-wormholes. And certainly at least information is being carried through those portals from *somewhere* to here and it's causing an effect in the environment.

Now we're assessing presently to see whether or not they're naturally produced. And in August, according to certain circumstances, we're planning on running tests out at Frenchman Mountain to determine whether or not it is a natural phenomenon. *Possibly*.

K: OK.

D: I mean, you know, you could say that a travel in time could be a warp produced by a gravimetric device of a craft and could move large distances in little to no time. So I'm not certain what the difference is physically or mathematically between that and what is being called an Einstein-Rosen Bridge. You would need a physicist for that. I'm used to working on cells and ...

K: Right. But. You know ... and in a sense if Lotus *is* creating some kind of Stargate effect ...

D: That's wonderful.

K: ... or micro-wormhole ...

D: That's wonderful if it is.

K: It is wonderful, but in a sense you might also have to let go of Lotus in that sense if it's going to impact ...

D: Oh, I have. I have, in effect. But for more tangible reasons. We brought the fine art of producing these portals for demonstration up to a science. We knew exactly what to do ... know exactly what to do ... to propagate them with nearly 100% efficiency.

They range in size, thus far that we've seen, between about .02mm or

about 20 microns, up to a millimeter. When we produce them now in the field they are approximately a millimeter in diameter and that's putting a heck of a lot of energy in, 50 times more energy than what we would do through the microscope. But we brought it to the point where it was a beautiful thing, where I could hook the right optics train on the scope, propagate with the use of a laser, and electricity ... and turn around immediately on the surface of silicate.

And then we started getting things coming through on this end, or at least results in the medium around the crystal or around the silicate material that we were using, which were not normal. Cells of anomalous origin. Self-organizing cells which appeared to be organizing. In one case we did a variant of the ancient Lazaro Spallanzani experiment - historic experiment - concerning beef broth, to rule out spontaneous generation.

And we had a very nice AB/AB neuronal type pattern formed by cells that were self-organizing and there were clearly ... Morphologically they appeared like neurons in the soup that we had. And so we had some real problems developing. We had two other consequences where we had what were produced were anomalous cells of unknown quality and ...

K: ... coming through ...

D: Well, what we had is we had an organization, I think, going on, on this end. Now we're coming very close and more is going to be said in about a year about this, but we're coming close to determining the mechanism and what it appears thus far is that there is a ... an opening to a *somewhere* which is then placed in communication with our environment acoustically and then there is a series of reactions with micro-shards of silicate material or micro-shards of quartz in our environment which then become actually spontaneously, or nearly so, enclosed with material from our environment. And they move off to various locations and actually have effects on target cells in our environment. And so, it's apparently based acoustically.

K: Well that's understandable, although it's complex and I'm not a biologist so I don't pretend that I understand all of it. But I understand where you're going with this. But you still ... In essence, to get back to Stargates, because that's the subject of this ...

D: Really! Am I still ...

K: No, you're there, but the thing is that what I'm curious about is ...

D: I'm loosening the lock on the camera so it drags ... [laughs]

K: ... is ... I'm actually curious whether or not these natural Stargates that do occur in nature are not being accessed by beings, whether they be the Orions or whoever, at will, into this dimension.

D: Well, I've got no information that the P-52 Orions or P-52 J-Rods or the P-45 J-Rods are accessing such a system save the use of time travel technology, gates that move one spot to another and than the use of craft for moving from there to here ... meaning to ... from a spot in Reticulum, for instance, to a place in the Aquarius system, Gliese ... or however it's properly said ... and then, which is the origin, from what I understand, of Project Aquarius itself because they were

known to be coming from that direction. And that is, I believe, in the Aquarius constellation. But ...

K: Because they're not ...

D: They use craft, then, to move from there to here but they're using a gravimetric technology to warp time-space, so I suppose that could be the same use. But I don't think it's a situation kind of like, you know, you walk out into a wilderness and see a whole parade of people coming through a Stargate with, you know, 4 heads and 16 eyes, and things like that.

K: Well what about a parade of craft?

D: I don't know. That's the best answer I can give. It's the most honest one I can give. I don't know. I have not in 20 years of history ... of history with Majestic, heard of anything like that from them, meaning as part of either a treaty system or an ongoing operation. However, I've also heard reports of craft being seen in the sky. I've seen craft in the sky which I was not directly involved like the Mae Boyar Park incident in '73. I've seen what I believe ... Well I will have to say *lights* in the sky, not craft.

K: We've seen lights

D: She [indicating Marci] has seen craft, and, you know, she's not feeding me full of a line of bull.

K: Many nights you can stare at the sky and see craft zipping around.

D: Well there are meteors too, and there are also experimental aircraft of various types. There also back-engineered aircraft which are being spotted too.

K: OK, but according to what you're saying there is a treaty in which we have Stargates or access to wormholes that we mechanically opened and closed ...

D: Yes.

K: ... based on a treaty system in which we were allowed to get information, and send information back and forth but that we didn't use to go out but they used to come in ...

D: Substantially, yes.

K: OK, but we closed all those down, according to what you're telling us ...

D: Yes.

K: OK. So now I'm asking ...

D: Where's the Yellow Book? [laughs]

K: No. No. But if there are natural Stargates out there that they can use any time they want. So they no longer have use of *our* Stargates. So in the sense of a treaty, they have access anywhere, any time ...

D: OK, if they do, let's posit if they do for a moment. The ones that we have to be concerned about would be the P-45s because they are looking to justify their own history. They would be very happy, aside

from being paid off constantly, they would be very happy to see their own history justified.

K: How are they paid off?

D: They're paid off with technology, with assistance. In the past they were paid off with a certain number of abductions per year.

K: What would they do with those people?

D: Aside from a longitudinal genetic drift study? That's enough. You know, people were, some of them were literally handed over and I consider it illegal and I consider it a violation of international law. I consider it a crime against humanity and that's why I did what I did to stand up against it as best as I could. And to get it off the books.

K: What about the idea that some P-45s have shape-shifted, you know, (excuse the terminology) but, into human form *are* here working in the government under a human form furthering their own, you know ...

D: It is a substantial probability that they have the ability because I've interacted with ones that would ostensibly look human and I really don't like talking about the so-called Men in Black, or Men in Black phenomenon.

K: But when you talk the Men in Black, those were people that, or beings, that ... and you went in, in our last discussion into quite a description of that kind of thing. But I'm talking about somebody who is acting like you and me, looking like you and me, absolutely undetectable ...

D: No.

K: ... and still could be furthering OR could even be ...

D: If they are, they're not J-Rods. If they are, they're not J-Rods. Orions? Possible. Possible. They are very, very brilliant people. The short interactions, the very short interactions that I had with them I was very impressed... Whereas I would not want to be spending that much time around P-45s at all, I would have enjoyed, in fact I would have felt privileged, speaking with them further.

K: Are you talking about ... When you say Orions, are you talking about the Nordics?

D: I think that's how they're usually ... They've been called *Talls*. They're essentially, you know, the anthropomorphic very tall human beings with blond hair, very large eyes, very blue, pretty eyes. Larger than our eyes, as in ratio to cranium size. The orbits are larger, etc.

They're just brilliant, just absolutely brilliant people. And what I found most intriguing about them was how they modulated what I consider their brilliance through emotion. The emotions coming from them were so less rudimentary, they were so more complex than what even I experienced with Chi'el'ah. I would have really enjoyed spending some more time with them.

K: OK, but they're here on this planet interacting with us, isn't that so?

D: Well they *interacted* with us. I'm not certain if there are presently any on Earth or not right now. I would say that, that, you know, they're 50,000 years or a little more than that ahead of us, but given the similarity between body structure, between size, even, and body structure, except for thoracic ratio ... similar enough.

I think they could probably, if their eyes didn't look the way their eyes look, they could probably get away with walking around. But their eyes are way larger than ours. And they look essentially like these Bratz dolls that the little tween girls are going after nowadays. You know, the eyes are too large. You see these eyes, these hypersized eyes. I mean, it's fine on a Bratz doll but if you walk this thing out on to the street, it's going to get picked out very quickly.

K: OK.

D: I just don't think so. Now, at the same time I have to say ... are they smart enough to probably get away with it, figure out how? Maybe. Maybe. I doubt it personally, because, you know I've met a lot of very interesting, but a lot of ... a few strange people in the last four and a half years talking with the public, who believe that there are literally reptilians walking around that are wearing masks and things like that. No.

You know, I'm a little more rooted in reality. I hate to put it that way but, no. I joked with her [gesturing to Marci off camera] that I wanted to do something over at the first place where we were at for my debriefing. I said when the debriefing gets done what I wanted to do is I wanted to hire some special effects people for real [Kerry is laughing]. We would end the debriefing then we would stop and then we would begin filming with another camera. I was gonna ... I was just suggesting a joke. It never got pulled off. Somebody ... [Marci says, off-camera: 'No way.' Dan laughs.] What I was going to do was have a special effects person work up ... work me up with my face over the top of a reptilian face. And I was going to rip it off and go, "God, I'm tired of these human masks!" And just have this little segment put out there as a joke. But she told me that people would take it seriously.

[Marci, off-camera]: You can't do that... people would believe it!

Kerry: It's true.

D: I don't know. I've got a strange sense of humor, I guess.

K: OK. But you have been dealing with P-45s and P-52s and these beings are ... their treaty system is being adhered to because they are *here* in underground bases monitoring us at all times because otherwise how do they know that we are abiding by the Tau treaty? Because supposedly we *broke* treaties. Isn't this right?

D: Well, we have ... we have, ah, *strained* ... Oh-ho, I've gotta be ... We have strained the treaty system more than once. So have the P-45s.

K: Right, so ...

D: We have in fact come into conflict with one another at certain times. These conflicts have been amicably resolved and we are presently in an amicable state with one another. We're not the only ones with the Looking Glass technology that can look in to other

timelines. In other words, they have the equipment too. And so it's not that difficult for those who might be wishing to enforce the treaty on their great-great-ancestors to look in to the present time as an expression of them looking into their own past and watching it change.

K: OK, so in a sense you're saying they know what we're going to do before we do it.

D: They know the probabilities and so they are substantially capable of interacting with us if they feel that those probabilities are moving to eventuate their own history as they have it written for them.

K: OK.

D: The P-52s define that as an unacceptable outcome.

K: So they're pushing their advantage whenever they can?

D: They're pushing the advantage of not justifying their own past so that they may either split off on their own timeline, but that their own history would not eventuate a catastrophe. Now if you consider that pushing their own advantage, sure. Now the P-45s ... The characterization that you place - pushing their own advantage - I would say that that was an appropriate characterization.

K: Well, I was ...

D: Yeah. Yeah, the P-52s are much more amicable and a little further along. I'll just say that they are a little more spiritually adept, the P-52 Orions, certainly, more than the P-45 J-Rods. They are extremely mechanical, very very logical, yet ruthless. Extremely ...

K: You're speaking of the P-45s?

D: Yeah, they have no problem picking up somebody like me out of the middle of a park and shoving a probe up his wazoo to test him, yeah. And they've got no problem with that at all. I have, however, a substantial problem with that and I think other folks who have been largely abducted, not everybody, but, you know, a fair number of them also have a problem with that.

K: OK. What about the idea that ... We have somebody, Jim Sparks. I don't know if you know who he is. He's written a book called *The Keepers*.

D: I'm aware of Jim Sparks as he is the personal friend of a couple of folks with whom we became close acquaintances, and ... OK.

K: OK. So he's telling us that he has conscious recall of his abduction experiences.

D: Uh huh.

K: And that groups of people were abducted by what sounds like P-45s because they have real issues with control, and put in ...

D: Boy, that was said nicely.

K: [laughs]... and put in front of computer screens and shown scenes of the Earth... first a beautiful Earth, and potentially fantastic futures, and then shown the opposite of that, so that what would be generated

in these people is a love of the planet and a desire to make it a better place.

D: Uh huh. And also there is an aim to this. They are aware that our emotions affect our state, our physical state. That our orientation to the energies which are available from the cosmos, if you will, affect the state of our DNA, affect the state of our health and they are applying that as an experimental protocol, a rubric, if you will, to change the state of the people onboard so that they can sample them for biological material.

The fact of what we take away from it is beside the point. That is just whatever we take away and it's our take on it and a person, an experimenter, if you will, then goes off into our world and says how he or she feels about the experiment or about what they were subjected to or about what they were shown. But there is a cold hard cruel reality involved here that we are being picked up for biological material as an experimental protocol.

K: OK, meaning, what these people that are being abducted are doing is, providing DNA, providing eggs and sperm so that they can, what? Experiment or create their own future humans?

D: What they're trying to do is that they're trying to ameliorate their own neuropathology which is already starting, and is becoming an issue within their populace at their timeline, concerning reproduction.

K: So how many people do they need to figure that out?

D: Well, as many as what they wanted to take until they get the answer figured out, and they really don't care because it's the old proposition of the anthill along the side of the road in Africa. You know, we are to them, from their perspective, the ants on the anthill, and how would we feel about stepping on one or two ants or a few million ants out of an anthill? It may cause a few people with I consider higher intelligence, some moral problems just stepping on them, but you know, how much would we really care about that? They feel that way about us. They want to use us as biological material, watch us get destroyed to justify their own background, their own history, and at the same time take the biological material from us and solve their own personal present problems.

K: OK, but how are we fighting them?

D: What do you mean?

K: In other words, you've just told me they have a tremendous amount of control, they're abducting a huge number of people, and they're continuing their experiments.

D: Well, they *have*. The numbers who are being abducted now are not treaty related. In other words, there is nobody being taken presently ... zero ... authorized by treaty. I can't stop them from taking people out of their beds at night but I did influence the other.

To Kerry: Is it rolling?

K: I'm rolling ... [mumbled voices]

D: H.G. Wells ... The epilogue in his *Time Machine* ... *The Time Machine*, by H. G. Wells ... I keep this on my desk.

K: OK.

D: It says [reading]: *"One cannot choose but to wonder will he ever return? It may be that he swept back into the past and fell among the blood-drinking, hairy savages of the age of unpolished stone, into the abyss of the Cretaceous sea, where among the grotesque saurians, the huge reptilian brutes of the Jurassic times, he may even now, if I may use the phrase, be wandering on some plesiosaurus-haunted oolitic coral reef or beside the lonely saline lakes of the Triassic age. Or did he go forward into one of the nearer ages in which men are still men, but with the riddles of our own time answered and its wearisome problems solved, into the manhood of the race? For I, for my own part, cannot think that these latter days of weak experiment, fragmentary theory, and mutual discord are indeed man's culminating time. I say for my own part. He, I know, for the question had been discussed among us long before the Time Machine was made, thought but churlishly of the advancement of mankind and saw in the growing pile of civilization only a foolish heaping that must inevitably fall back upon and destroy its makers in the end. If that is so, it remains for us to live as though it were not so. But to me the future is still black and blank as a vast ignorance, lit in a few casual places by the memory of this story. And I have by me for my comfort two strange white flowers, shriveled now, and brown and flat and brittle to witness that even when mind and strength have gone, gratitude and a mutual tenderness still lived on in the heart of man."*

That means a lot to me. I actually hold on to that. I hold on to that so much, as such a prescient bit of thought, that I actually keep the flowers from my great-grandmother's grave in there with it.

You know, I don't know what's gonna happen in the future, and all I can do is hope like everybody else and pray like everybody else.

K: OK. Well, thank you, Dan. I understand that we've actually over-taxed you and asked you, you know, an incredible amount of information here, and you've been very generous. And I really want to thank you for ...

D: Well, it's my responsibility to say truth.

K: OK. Well, you definitely do that and what I would like to also say is ... How do we fight them? For the people that are out there, and what we know is, unity is one way in which we are progressing out of a certain timeline into another. Right?

D: Yes.

K: OK, but if you have anything else to add to that for people ...

[Marcia, off-screen]: I'd like to add something.

D: To me it's unity ...

[Marcia, off-screen]: Constant vigilance.

D: Yes. That's good, very good. Constant vigilance. Also, there are many operatives, not just like Marcia and myself, but many operatives that are coming out of the old Majestic, who have had experiences like this. Most of those operatives are not willing to say anything. However, that doesn't mean that they're not *doing* things. We are acting in concert with some of those operatives and with other groups to try to

motivate the information from the inside which could be used for the beneficial application for humanity. We're doing our very best to motivate that through and some of it is being seen, very little snippets of it ... the projects that we're proposing that are going to be coming in the future, like AARGO and ISIS.

I guess the only thing I can really say, aside from the constant vigilance, *is to act to the right*. When a wrong needs to be righted or there needs to be a stand taken for what is right versus wrong, that our present time doesn't mean that we have to ... that we're so modern we have to get rid of the ideal for the real. We create our own reality, and so we should, in my view, act toward the ideal to create a better real for ourselves.

(For Part 1 - please [click here](#))

The wormhole graphic in the top right corner of the photo collage is by kind courtesy of [William Henry](#).

Support Project Camelot - make a donation:

Make A Donation

Donations are not tax deductible for U.S. citizens.

*Thank you for your help.
Your generosity enables us to continue our work.*

**Bill Ryan and Kerry
Cassidy**

kerry@projectcamelot.org

bill@projectcamelot.org