


Home > COVID-19 > Why are we being lied to about Covid? There's no good reason

Why are we being lied to about Covid? There's no good reason

By [Dr Mike Yeadon](#) May 10, 2021



THE pressure that has been brought to bear on Dr Joseph Mercola's long-established science site, which you can read about [here](#), should give pause for thought to all those believing in their right to question and challenge the official narrative. Over the last year the site has focused on scientific research that can help individuals take control of their own health in face of media and corrupt politicians' Covid-19 fearmongering and exploitation. If you are in doubt about the forces determined to demonise and silence critics of the official Covid narrative, Dr Mercola's article may open your eyes.

To date, despite the brains, expertise and stature of those alarmed by the disproportionate and destructive response of agencies and governments around the world to Covid-19, as a group they have patently been ineffective. Unwittingly, dissenters have been playing the parts intended by those, including our own Government and their advisers, who control the global Covid narrative.

They judged correctly that we polite Brits wouldn't accuse them of outright lying, even though they often do exactly that. Boris Johnson's recent piece to camera, telling us that it was lockdown and not vaccination which reduced cases and deaths, is a case in point.

Yet it's certain this isn't true, and also certain he and his advisers know it isn't true.

The government's advisers are not fools. Some may be, but the upper echelons are very smart. They believe polite people won't say 'not only are you lying but you're doing it in concert with other, non-democratic actors', because that's conspiracy theory stuff, right? Powerful people never use their influence to benefit their interests, do they? Hmm. The only thing that's different is scale and the power their public positions give them. Other than that, they're just another bunch of grubby criminals, ripping off unsuspecting people.



Truth is our most powerful tool. And that truth is that we're being lied to.

The truth also, however hard it is to believe it, is that there is unequivocal and clear evidence of planning and co-ordination. Not to face this fact is to have your head in the sand. Where it's leading is easy to discern, once people are willing to lift their internal censoring and look objectively at the evidence.

First, though, the lies. It's abundantly clear now that pretty much everything that the public has been told and continues to be told is between untrue and downright lies.

I offer as a shortlist that:

-PCR mass testing reasonably reliably distinguishes infected and infectious people from others;

-that masks reduce transmission of respiratory viruses;

-that transmission of infection in the absence of symptoms is an important contribution to epidemic spreading;

-that lockdowns as executed reduce hospitalisation and deaths;

-that no matter how small the remaining susceptible population and no matter that virtually no people who, if infected, might die remain unvaccinated, the position is perilous;

-that no pharmaceutical treatments are available;

-that variants are different enough to warrant border closures and require new vaccines;

-that the gene-based vaccines are safe and effective;

-that 'vaccine passports' will increase safety while having no material impacts on freedom of choice in a liberal democracy.

It is impossible to believe that intelligent, well-connected and well-briefed senior advisers to governments don't know that almost all, if not all, of the above are simply not true.

It is not a matter of opinion in almost all cases. These statements, which have been explicitly stated and used in justification for the extraordinary interferences in the lives of citizens in democratic countries, are mostly demonstrably wrong, as defined by there being multiple well-conducted, peer-reviewed studies showing the contrary.

To continue with the pretence that there's scientific uncertainty, and it's therefore understandable that an adviser might offer nuanced advice, is wrong and misleading. This perhaps is where the mainstream media has been most culpable.

It is not reasonable to expect typical viewers and readers of speeches, articles and editorials – whether by scientist sceptics or by critical commentators – to appreciate that, when we point out that what's happening doesn't make sense, we mean 'the executive is knowingly and deliberately harming the country and its



citizens'. We are mostly not saying this, leaving it to the audience to sum up for themselves. But in my view the audience are reluctant to do this. They want to believe in government and perhaps above all they want a quiet life. To disbelieve is so much harder than to believe.

So in recent weeks I've made a clear decision no longer merely to point out what it is that governments and their advisers and spokespersons around the world are doing is wrong, scientifically unjustified and harmful, but to join the dots in an attempt to provide potential explanations of why they're doing these things.

It is time for all Doubting Thomases to take a lead and state unambiguously that 'government and its advisers are telling us things that are manifestly untrue and maintaining restrictive, damaging measures for which there's no justification'. By not doing so they are playing into the hands of those who I firmly believe are engaged in a determined series of crimes against humanity.

Why do I say this? Simply because there is no benign interpretation of the acts of commission and omission consistently imposed upon us and no explanation of the statements which are flatly wrong other than an intention to deceive the population.

Looking around us now, we see that the prevalence of the virus in the community is effectively zero. Note that the authorities have never conceded and determined the operational false positive rate of PCR mass testing. Subtracting any reasonable estimate of oFPR and we observe no cases at all. This was true for months as indicated by the positive rate in lateral flow tests.

No variant of the virus differs by more than 0.3 per cent from the original sequence, and numerous academic immunologists have stated strongly that there is no possibility that booster/top-up/variant vaccines are required. Yet we get daily 'fear porn' on this topic. The European Parliament just voted through the basic outlines of a vaccine passport system. It's a racing certainty that the UK will soon follow.

Mask regulations continue in force and many psychologists believe some people are so traumatised that they will continue to wear them indefinitely, even though they are useless.

The economy and currency may already be damaged beyond repair. Yet there's another six weeks minimum until the last restrictions are scheduled to be lifted.

Almost no one is dying 'with' Covid-19 now, and the attribution methodology overestimates this anyway. Yet hospitals and primary health care remain far less accessible than they should be, inevitably resulting in causing or storing up avoidable non-Covid-19 deaths, to say nothing of the suffering and misery of the millions awaiting treatments for painful and worrying illnesses.

Most terrifyingly, it appears we will soon be required to possess VaxPass apps if we wish to continue to access our lives.

This system can run effectively only if everyone is vaccinated. This is a monstrous concept, because it is known that all four vaccines in use in Europe contain a fatal design flaw: they cause the fusogenic, pro coagulation spike protein to be expressed wherever the vaccine is taken up. In some people, especially those so young that they're at no measurable risk of death if infected by the virus, vaccination results in their deaths from thromboembolic events. Permitting the



inexpert population to walk into this trap is unconscionable: there will be thousands of further vaccine-induced deaths of young people.

I invite thoughtful people to ask that difficult question: 'Why are they doing this?'

It is my deduction and conclusion that the only motivation that fits all the observations is the intention to 'herd' every citizen into a VaxPass system. This is a completely novel system. Never before have all individuals been represented in a single, interoperable database as a unique digital ID, accompanied by an editable health-related field. Whoever controls that database, and the algorithms which govern what it permits and denies, has literally totalitarian control of the entire population. There is no personal threshold crossing or transaction which doesn't fall to those operating that system.

At the very least, the public deserves to be warned that this is coming. I do not expect conventional judicial processes to protect us in any way. Every institution has already failed the people of the UK.

Given that numerous government decisions (as instructed by Sage) have arguably already led to many avoidable deaths, I think it's only reasonable to consider what the prize is that leads intelligent people to do the things they've done and continue to peddle.

The possible answers to this question are all bad. I cannot conceive of a situation where we will shortly be permitted to resume our normal lives. There is not the slightest hint of that in any case.

I have found it impossible to come up with a benign interpretation of the events. No one works as carefully and for so long as evidently has been done, across the world, only suddenly to stop. Why? I've asked hundreds of people and not a single one has (a) pointed out where my logic fails or (b) come up with a benign interpretation.

My own conviction is that the purpose is, at minimum, to establish a system of totalitarian control which will mean the extinguishing of liberal democracy.

It almost doesn't matter what the next steps might be, but they could, for example, have been sold to numerous people as the only solution to 'anthropogenic global warming': the amount of resources we'll be permitted to produce and consume will be set by some unseen controllers. It is possible they could go a step further than this, and see reducing population or depopulation as another route to solving the perceived problem of AGW.

Consider the elimination of the class of the inquiring journalist, the censorship of all mass media. The relentless smearing and exclusion of those who ask too many awkward questions. The astonishing waste of public money, which apparently the foreign exchange markets are unperturbed about. The destruction of SMEs which provide a third of all jobs and a substantial proportion of tax revenues. The relentless lying. The misinformation. The use of psychological operations to frighten and subdue. The utter disregard for those vaccinated with 'vaccines' that are way too unsafe for their role. The bending past illegality of the use of incorrect information to persuade pregnant women to get vaccinated. The numerous breaches of the Nuremberg Code, since no one is being explicitly told that these vaccines are experimental and so recipients are being unwittingly enrolled in an unprecedentedly large and unmonitored Phase 3 clinical trial. The announcement that, soon, our minor children are to be vaccinated.



Add in the 'top-up vaccines'. They're not vaccines. Whoever has been vaccinated has no need of further vaccination. Immunology is perhaps my strongest suit, so I am certain of this. Is it impossible that in those one billion vials which pharma has already told us its manufacturing, there is some gene sequence which will instigate one of a few dozen pathologies, with onset times ranging from near-immediate to a short number of years? I assure you, biotechnology has awesome power, and it can be used for good or ill.

I think I've made a decent case that what governments and their advisers have done easily amounts to conspiracy. The same 'mistakes' have been made everywhere. The same tricks and manipulation. Those who claim this is all coincidence are *coincidence* theorists.

I argue that unless this is pointed out to the public before any possible 'vaccine passports' system is established, we've all collectively failed to discharge our duties to be courageous, to take chances, to risk looking foolish: I am absolutely committed to continuing to speak out for as long as I have breath in my body.

