1

45

7

6

8 9

10

11 12

> 13 14

15 16

> 17 18

19

2021

22

23

24252627

28

Lenden Webb, Esq. (CA SBN 236377) Christian B. Clark, Esq. (CA SBN 330380) WEBB LAW GROUP, APC

WEBB LAW GROUP, APC

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLORADO

JAMES COREY GOODE, an individual; GOODE ENTERPRISE SOLUTIONS, INC., a Texas Corporation;

Plaintiffs,

v.

ROGER RICHARDS RAMSAUR, an individual; LEON ISAAC KENNEDY, an individual; and ADRIENNE YOUNGBLOOD, an individual;

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:20-cv-00947-DDD-KLM

DECLARATION OF CHRISTIAN B. CLARK IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER

I, CHRISTIAN B. CLARK, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:

1. I am an attorney at aw licensed to practice before this Court and work under the law firm of Webb Law Group, APC, counsel for Defendants ROGER RICHARDS RAMSAUR, an individual, LEON ISAAC KENNEDY, an individual, and ADRIENNE YOUNGBLOOD, an individual, in the above-entitled matter. The facts contained within this declaration are true of my own knowledge and are such that I could, and would if called upon to do so, competently testify thereto.

| |///

DECLARATION OF CHRISTIAN B. CLARK IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 2. On October 8, 2020, the Honorable Judge Kristen L. Mix, United States Magistrate Judge for the District of Colorado entered a Scheduling Order in the instant matter [Docket #37]. The Scheduling Order set numerous deadlines for the instant case, including a deadline for designation of non-parties on December 1, 2020; a deadline to file motions for leave to join other parties and to amend the pleadings of December 1, 2020; a Discovery cutoff of July 30, 2021; a deadline to file dispositive motions of August 31, 2021; an initial expert designation deadline of June 1, 2021; and a supplemental expert designation deadline of July 1, 2021.
- 3. The Scheduling Order further indicated that future status conferences would be held in the matter as needed, and that a final pretrial conference would be held before the Hon. Judge Domenico on a date to be determined by the Hon. Judge Domenico.
- 4. Despite the diligence of the Parties, as a result of the ongoing COVID-19 crisis, ongoing law and motion work in the instant case, and the impacted schedules of the Parties, the Parties are not able to adequately complete fact discovery by July 30, 2021 as currently required by the Scheduling Order. The challenges the Parties have faced concerning the fact discovery deadline also problematizes each of the other deadlines set forth in the Scheduling Order.
- 5. For example, on October 2, 2020, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) [Doc. #34]. Plaintiffs filed an Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss on October 22, 2020 [Doc. #39], and Defendants filed a Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition on November 4, 2020 [Doc. #40]. However, an Order has not yet been entered on the Motion to Dismiss, and as a result, Defendants have not yet filed their Answer per Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4)(A). Further, Defendants' Answer will include Counterclaims against Plaintiffs which will be the subject of Discovery in the instant matter.
- 6. Additionally, between February 2021 and April, 2021 the Parties met and conferred via email correspondence regarding the possibility of filing a Joint Motion for a Protective Order in the instant case. My office initially approved of a draft Joint Motion for a Protective Order and related Protective Order on April 30, 2021. However, upon further review of the proposed Protective Order and documents produced in the matter, I notified Plaintiffs' counsel on May 14, 2021 that Defendants did not believe any of the documents to be properly marked as

1	confidential, and did not agree with the terms of the Protective Order. <u>Defendants contend that</u>
2	all documents produced in the instant matter should be publicly available, and that a Protective
3	Order is not warranted under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) nor Colorado Rule of Civil
4	Procedure 26(c).
5	7. I met and conferred with opposing counsel regarding the proposal to file the instant
6	Motion. The Parties are in agreement that the requested relief is necessary in the instant matter.
7	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true
8	and correct, and that this declaration was executed on this 25 day of May 2021 in San Diego
9	County, City of San Diego, California.
10	
11	/s/ Christian B. Clark
12	CHRISTIAN B. CLARK WEBB LAW GROUP, APC
13	WEBBEAW GROOT, ATC
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	DECLARATION OF CHRISTIAN B. CLARK IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION TO AMEND

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Christian B. Clark, am a citizen of the United States and am at least eighteen years of age. My business address is 4

I am not a party to the above-entitled action. I have caused service of:

- I. JOINT MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER
- II. JOINT MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER DECLARATION OF CHRISTIAN B. CLARK

along with all associated documents on all the counsel of record and interested parties by electronic email service and electronically filing the foregoing with the Clerk of the U.S. District Court using its ECF System.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Colorado that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: May 25, 2021

/s/ Christian B. Clark

Christian Clark WEBB LAW GROUP, APC

Attorney for Defendants Roger Richards Ramsaur, Leon Issac Kennedy, and Adrienne Youngblood