

Goode et al v. Gaia et al
U.S. District Court - District of Colorado
District of Colorado (Denver)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:20-cv-00742-DDD-KLM

Case Facts and Timeline:

- Case number: 1 = Division; 20 = 2020; cv = civil; 00742 = case number; DDD = Presiding Judge, Daniel D. Domenico, District Court Judge appointed by Donald J. Trump; KLM = Kristen L. Mix, Magistrate Judge ordered by Domenico to oversee pretrial administrative processes.
- Judge Domenico will preside over the trial and rule on all dispositive motions (motions that can put an end to a case) like a motion to dismiss, or a summary judgment (made by the judge prior to a jury verdict).
- Magistrate Judge Mix has been ordered to oversee certain pretrial processes and will most likely have input regarding the court's ruling on dispositive motions. Basically, she has been given the authority by Domenico to oversee the messy pretrial proceedings and motions, and possibly provide input on motions to dismiss, etc.
- The original claim was filed by Corey Goode/GES (Goode Enterprise Solutions) on March 17, 2020 and named Gaia Inc., Jay Weidner, Clif High, Benjamin Zavodnick (aka C.W. Chanter), and Alyssa Montalbano (aka Ari Stone).
- The second amended complaint, accepted as the operative complaint (the one that the defendants will answer to) on December 8, 2020 added 3 individual Gaia executive officers to the complaint including Jirka Rysavy, Kiersten Medvedich, and Brad Warkins.
- The nature of the claim is Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organization (RICO), alleging that the 8 defendants colluded and acted as a criminal enterprise to damage Goode and Goode Enterprise Solutions.
- Motions to dismiss have been filed by all 8 defendants and are pending before the court.
- This action has not proceeded past the pretrial phase and is not yet scheduled for trial. This means that no scheduling conference, depositions, or discovery have been completed as of June 2021.
- Fact: All pretrial discovery has been stayed (stopped) by the Court pending the Court's rulings on the motions to dismiss.
- There was a previous joint motion granted by the Court to file an anti-SLAPP motion to dismiss if the Gaia/Weidner pending motions to dismiss are denied. It is alleged by the defendants that Goode's claims are baseless and lack any factual evidence to support them.
- Fact: Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation are often employed by a plaintiff to intimidate and silence criticism. If the anti-SLAPP motion comes into play, Goode would incur the burden of providing evidence to the Court that he could obtain a favorable verdict at trial. The failure to meet this burden could undermine his claim and lead to a pretrial dismissal.

- There are currently 3 counterclaims (counter lawsuits) that have been filed against Goode/Goode Enterprise Solutions by defendants Gaia et al, Jay Weidner, and Alyssa Montalbano.
- Alyssa Montalbano's counterclaim names additional defendants including David Wilcock, Wilcock's foundation, The Light Warrior Legal Fund, Valerie Yanaros (Goode's lawyer), Liz Lorie (Goode's ex-lawyer/business associate), Brian James Flynn (Arizona judge from previous lawsuit against Goode), as well as Colorado Appellate Court officers William Campbell, Matthew Grove, Diana Terry, and Christina Gomez.
- The officers of the court that Montalbano is suing presided over a previous lawsuit where she was the plaintiff suing Goode. That case was dismissed with prejudice and subsequent appeals were dismissed. Like Goode, she is also alleging that a sophisticated RICO enterprise is acting against her.
- To date and contrary to public claims, this case is stalled in the pretrial phase. There are no orders of protection, no sanctions levied, and no pretrial conferences scheduled to clarify the veracity of Goode's allegations. The only movement seems to be the continued banter in the court of public opinion.
- It is possible that the Court will issue rulings on Benjamin Zavodnick's and Clif High's motions to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction by the beginning of August. This is because their motions were filed early after the operative complaint was filed. Other rulings may be months to a year off.
- Any claims by the parties and spectators are purely speculative at this point. We do know this, justice is expensive!