# **SECTION 12.1**

# **SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

# **Contents**

ee
. 66
. 67
. 79
. 85
. 88
. 93
100
107
108
113
115
120
122
129
137
141
149
153
155
163
167
170
172
175
177
183
185
191
198
199
204
111 1111111111

### The Report of the Iraq Inquiry

	The "Transition to Self Reliance"	208
	2005 policing strategies	209
	Strategic Conflict Assessment and SSR project review	219
	The Iraqi Transitional Government	220
	Police and judicial reform	221
	Request for an "honest assessment"	223
	Corruption, infiltration and abuse	227
	The Jadiriyah bunker	229
	2006 as the "Year of the Police"	233
	The rise in sectarianism	236
SS	R in the South: summer 2004 to summer 2006	237
	A decline in security	240
	Prison Service support in southern Iraq	242
	UK equipment for the Iraqi Security Forces: Project OSIRIS	244
	Police reform	247
	Considering whether to embed personnel in Iraqi units	248
	Concerns about strategy	251
	Restructuring SSR	254
	Raising concerns with the Iraqis	257
	UK equipment for the Iraqi Security Forces: Project OSIRIS II	263
	Legacy in the South	265
	The impact of political and tribal matters on the police in the South	268
	The Jameat incident and subsequent developments	270
	The MOD takes the lead on policing	277
	Strategic considerations in late 2005	283
	Sir Ronnie Flanagan's review	285
	Reforming the Iraqi Police Service: Operation CORRODE	288
	Further reduction in troops	291
	Policing reviews: ACC Barton and a Strategic Task Force	293
SS	R across Iraq: summer 2006 to summer 2009	294
	Formation of the Iraqi Government	
	Improving Iraqi Security Force build-up	
	An Iraqi security strategy	
	Dealing with the militias	
	Transition to Iraqi control of security begins	
	Iraqi Security Force assessments in late 2006	
	Developing the Ministry of Interior and Iraqi Ministry of Defence	

R	Reform of the Facilities Protection Service	313
Ir	aq Forward Plan	314
F	ocus on the Iraqi Army	316
Е	xpansion of the Iraqi Security Forces	316
Т	he justice sector	320
M	lid-2007 assessments of the Iraqi Security Forces	324
U	IS views on the progress of Iraqi Security Forces	325
Ir	mprovements in Iraqi Security Force capability during 2007 and 2008	328
Т	he Sons of Iraq	329
Т	he UK's future bilateral relationship with Iraq	334
Т	he strategy for 2009	335
	SSR after the withdrawal of UK troops	337
SSR	in the South: summer 2006 to summer 2009	338
	tate of emergency	
	Delivering a Better Basra	
	Problems with the 10th Division – mutiny and looting	
	perations SALAMANCA and SINBAD	
	Civilian drawdown	351
	Tackling the Serious Crimes Unit: Operation THYME	352
	Early assessments of Iraqi Security Force performance in Op SINBAD	
Р	Planning to leave Basra City	361
	Continuing concerns with the Basra justice system and Iraqi Police Service .	368
	Updated Better Basra Plan	
	National Information and Investigation Agency raid	371
	Assessments of Iraqi Security Force readiness for Provincial Iraqi Control	374
	Transition in Basra	377
Т	he security situation after British withdrawal	382
	The absence of a criminal justice system in Basra	384
V	Vithdrawal and Provincial Iraqi Control for Basra	385
С	Charge of the Knights	388
В	asra 'Sons of Iraq' programme	393
Т	he UK starts embedding troops with the Iraqi Army	394
Т	he future of the Iraqi police in Basra	396
Т	he US takes over SSR tasks in the South	397
Т	raining of 14th Division completed	401
SSR	in Maysan province	401
UK n	olice officer numbers 2003 to 2009	408

### Introduction

#### 1. This Section addresses:

- the development of the UK's Security Sector Reform (SSR) strategies, policies and plans;
- the decision to disband the Iraqi Army;
- the UK contribution to US-led SSR strategy developed in Baghdad;
- the implementation of SSR in the South of Iraq; and
- the deployment of UK police officers to Iraq.

#### 2. This Section does not address:

- broader planning and preparation for the conflict in Iraq and its aftermath, which is described in Section 6.5;
- the decision to remove some members of the Ba'ath Party from public office after May 2003, a process known as de-Ba'athification, which is described in Section 11.1;
- the UK contribution to the reconstruction of Iraq, which is described in Sections 10.1 to 10.3; or
- the wider deployment of civilians to Iraq, which is described in Section 15.1.

### **Definition of terms**

#### **Security Sector Reform**

The term "Security Sector Reform" (SSR) is used in this report to refer to work to rebuild and reform Iraq's security and justice institutions. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) defines SSR as development work that helps societies to "escape from a downward spiral wherein insecurity, crime and underdevelopment are mutually reinforcing".

The OECD defines the security and justice sectors to include the following:

- core security actors (for example, armed forces, police, gendarmerie,² border guards, customs and immigration, and intelligence and security services);
- security management and oversight bodies (for example, ministries of defence and internal affairs);
- justice and law enforcement institutions (for example, the judiciary, prisons, prosecution services, traditional justice systems); and
- non-statutory security forces (for example, querrilla armies and private militias).

<sup>2</sup> A gendarmerie is a military force charged with policing duties in a civilian population.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OECD DAC, Handbook on Security System Reform, 2007.

The term "Security Sector Reform" is not used consistently, and is sometimes used interchangeably with phrases such as "security system reform" and "Rule of Law". The term "Rule of Law" is often used to refer specifically to the justice sector.

### Disarmament, Demobilisation and Reintegration

Disarmament, Demobilisation and Reintegration (DDR) programmes are designed to improve security and stability in post-conflict environments.<sup>3</sup> DDR aims to deal with the post-conflict security problem that arises when those who were fighting in a conflict (combatants such as soldiers or militia) are left without livelihoods or support networks.

DDR programmes usually include a process of removing weapons from combatants, taking combatants out of military structures and helping them to reintegrate into society, sometimes including integration into new security structures.

### Iraqi Security Forces and Iraqi Police Service

The Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) includes both the Iraqi Army and the Iraqi Police Service (IPS). However, these terms are not used consistently and the ISF is sometimes used to refer solely to the Iraqi Army.

#### **Police officers**

For the purposes of the Report, the Inquiry has used the terms "civilians" and "police officers" but not "civilian police officers". That adheres to the widespread distinction between police officers from the wide range of staff working within police forces who are civilians.

Some of the documents referenced in the Report refer to "civilian police officers" as a way of describing serving police officers seconded to Iraq. It appears that this description is to draw a distinction between the military police (Royal Military Police and Ministry of Defence police) and police officers from territorial forces in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. While the Inquiry may have reproduced the term "civilian police officers" (sometimes abbreviated to CivPol) in footnotes or in direct quotes, it has otherwise referred to "police officers" or "military police officers" in order to establish the same distinction.

### Pre-conflict consideration of SSR

**3.** Planning and preparation for the post-conflict period is described in detail in Section 6.5. One of the earliest references to SSR in Iraq identified by the Inquiry is in a paper prepared for the Chiefs of Staff Strategic Think Tank on Iraq on 18 June 2002.<sup>4</sup> The paper, by the Ministry of Defence (MOD) Strategic Planning Group (SPG), was circulated to a limited number of senior MOD addressees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> United Nations Disarmament, Demobilisation and Reintegration Resource Centre, 31 May 2005, *What is DDR?* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Minute Driver to PSO/CDS, 13 June 2002, 'Supporting Paper for COS Strategic Think Tank on Iraq – 18 June' attaching Paper [SPG], 12 June 2002, [untitled].

- **4.** The paper identified "post-conflict strategy" as one of eight components of a UK military strategy for Iraq, recognising the need to "acknowledge that there will be a post-conflict phase with an associated commitment, manpower and finance bill". Development of an SSR model, support for training and provision of equipment were identified as tasks to be undertaken in the "medium term (six months to two years)".
- **5.** From 20 September, the Cabinet Office-led Ad Hoc Group on Iraq (AHGI) co-ordinated all non-military cross-government work on post-conflict issues. The creation and role of the AHGI is addressed in Section 2.
- **6.** In preparation for the first meeting of the AHGI, Mr Jim Drummond, Assistant Head (Foreign Affairs) of the Cabinet Office Overseas and Defence Secretariat (OD Sec), wrote to Mr Desmond Bowen, Deputy Head of OD Sec, suggesting departmental responsibilities for different strands of post-conflict planning.<sup>5</sup> Mr Drummond proposed that reform of the security sector and civil service should be led by the MOD and the Department for International Development (DFID).
- **7.** On 27 September, the AHGI discussed a Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) paper on scenarios for the future of Iraq.<sup>6</sup> The paper stated:
  - "... we would not expect the armed forces and security services to switch allegiance to any new government en masse in the event of wholesale regime change. It is more likely that key tribal leaders would seek to establish tribal/regional power bases."
- **8.** The paper concluded that, in order to achieve its overarching priorities, the UK should "if possible avoid the root and branch dismantling of Iraq's governmental and security structures".
- 9. The AHGI called for the material on SSR in the paper to be expanded.<sup>7</sup>
- **10.** The following week, the FCO produced a paper entitled 'Models for Administering a Post-Saddam Iraq'. Early drafts described the military challenge of providing security, including starting a Disarmament, Demobilisation and Reintegration (DDR) programme (see Box, 'Definition of terms', earlier in this Section), but did not address comprehensive reform of the security sector.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Minute Drummond to Bowen, 19 September 2002, 'Ad Hoc Group on Iraq (AHGI)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Minute McDonald to Manning, 26 September 2002, 'Scenarios for the Future of Iraq after Saddam' attaching Paper, [undated], 'Scenarios for the Future of Iraq after Saddam'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Minute Dodd to Manning, 30 September 2002, 'Ad Hoc Group on Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Letter McDonald to Manning, 4 October 2002, 'Models for Administering a Post-Saddam Iraq' attaching Paper [draft] FCO, [undated], 'Models for Administering a Post-Saddam Iraq'.

- **11.** A later version of the paper, provided to the AHGI on 11 October, contained an additional recommendation that:
  - "... the US and Coalition partners would need to retain overall responsibility for Iraq's security for some time after the conflict. How the different security-related tasks (including Security Sector Reform) should be carried out and by whom needs further consideration."9
- **12.** The record of the 11 October AHGI meeting did not mention SSR.<sup>10</sup> A document describing "contingency planning work" circulated alongside it recorded that the FCO was drafting a paper on the topic.
- **13.** During October and November 2002, the FCO produced several drafts of a paper on SSR.<sup>11</sup> An early version, forwarded to the Cabinet Office on 18 October, listed a range of post-conflict security issues that would need to be addressed in Iraq, including:
  - "• What security structures would be appropriate for a post S[addam] H[ussein] Iraqi Government? How do we arrive at an answer? What are the threats, internal and external? Should we undertake a comprehensive review of the armed forces?
  - To what extent do the size, task and organisation of the new security structures depend on whether Iraq develops into a federation?
  - •
  - To what extent should the Kurds be integrated into the national structures? How might this be achieved?
  - How do we replace an excessively large security apparatus with something 'right sized'? Reform or abolition? Which parts of the security apparatus might be loyal to a new government and which not?
  - To what extent should we punish those members of the security apparatus who have committed crimes against the Iraqi people (eg torture)?
  - Are we obliged to work with the new Iraqi Government on SSR or can it be imposed?
  - How do we reform the working culture of the security sector so that it operates on the basis of humanitarian values in support of legitimate government?
  - How can we resettle or rehabilitate those pre-Saddam individuals removed from the security sector so that they do not work clandestinely for the re-establishment of a S[addam] H[ussein]-type regime?"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> FCO Paper, [undated version received at AHGI, 11 October 2002], 'Models for Administering a Post-Saddam Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Minute Dodd to Manning, 14 October 2002, 'Ad Hoc Group on Iraq' attaching Paper, 14 October 2002, 'Whitehall Iraq Contingency Planning'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Letter Gray to Drummond, 18 October 2002, 'Papers for the AHGI' attaching Paper, 17 October 2002, 'Iraq: Security Sector Reform'.

- **14.** The draft FCO paper on SSR informed a Cabinet Office paper of 1 November which explored what Iraq could look like after Saddam Hussein.<sup>12</sup> The Cabinet Office paper drew together strands of work from across Government and was written as a steering brief for talks on post-conflict issues in Washington with the US and Australia.
- **15.** The paper assumed that the international community and UN would be willing to assist with reconstruction. Following a period of transitional military government (up to six months), the UN was expected to "rule" Iraq for about three years. SSR planning was considered in the context of preparation for a UN administration.

### **16.** The paper stated:

"There will need to be a Security Sector Reform process ... Having dismantled Saddam's security apparatus, there will need to be a new one. This will require a comprehensive plan agreed with and led by the US. The judiciary will need a total rebuild as will the police. Decisions will need to be taken on the size and scope of the Army and intelligence services."

**17.** The first round of talks between the US and UK on post-conflict planning took place in Washington on 6 November.<sup>13</sup> Reporting on the talks to Sir David Manning, the Prime Minister's Foreign Policy Adviser and Head of the OD Sec, Mr Drummond wrote:

"We are agreed on the need for rapid and comprehensive reform of existing security structures. Very few of the many current structures can be allowed to remain. We can expect the US to maintain a tight grip on this, but urged them to think about the wider security sector including police and the need to arrive with a plan (ie not as in Afghanistan)."

- **18.** Mr Drummond chaired a meeting of the AHGI on 8 November.<sup>14</sup> The Washington talks were discussed in the meeting, but SSR was not.
- **19.** In mid-December, the FCO Middle East Department produced a paper describing different models of interim administration for Iraq.<sup>15</sup> That was shared with the US on 12 December. The FCO identified "initiating Security Sector Reform, especially the reform of the police" as a "key element" that any international administration would need to address in the short term.
- **20.** The FCO Middle East Department explored further the issue of SSR in a separate paper which was completed on 10 December.<sup>16</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Minute Drummond to Manning, 1 November 2002, 'Iraq: Post-Saddam' attaching Paper 'Iraq: Models and Some Questions for Post-Saddam Government'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Minute Drummond to Manning, 8 November 2002, 'Iraq: Day After'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Minute Dodd to Manning, 11 November 2002, 'Ad Hoc Group on Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Paper FCO Middle East Department, 12 December 2002, 'Interim Administrations in Iraq: Why a UN-led Interim Administration Would be in the US Interest'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Paper FCO Middle East Department, 10 December 2002, 'Irag: Security Sector Reform'.

- **21.** The final version of that SSR paper was produced in consultation with officials from the MOD and DFID.<sup>17</sup>
- **22.** As in earlier drafts, the paper did not propose how to conduct SSR, but instead sought to identify which issues would need to be addressed by an SSR strategy.<sup>18</sup> Building on the earlier paper, it listed the issues in six categories:
  - What security structures would be appropriate? That should be based on an assessment of the internal and external threats to Iraq, as well as consideration of its future constitutional shape and the relative affordability of its armed forces.
  - Who should be in charge? The organisation of the international body that would manage SSR activity should be given a high priority, "ideally before military action". That body would need to interact closely with the post-conflict interim administration.
  - Methodology. To what extent could reform be imposed by the US military or UN-led government, and how far should the exclusion of members of the Tikriti clan (Saddam Hussein's clan) be taken?
  - DDR. Reducing the "bloated security sector" raised questions about resettling those who had been removed and identifying mechanisms to bring perpetrators of crimes against humanity to justice.
  - Qualitative and quantitative change. How to reform the working culture of the security sector, "particularly the police and the courts, so that it operates on the basis of humanitarian values in support of a legitimate government"?
  - Accountability. The new SSR structures should "ideally" be accountable to civilian control. Enshrining the principle of civilian oversight would be "key to establishing a fully accountable security apparatus".
- **23.** The FCO offered some "provisional" conclusions, including:
  - From the outset, SSR should be at the centre of post-conflict work, rather than outside it as happened in Afghanistan ... we should begin discussing the mechanism for the international community's engagement in SSR before military action begins.
  - As any SSR plan will have to address a number of complicated issues, we should set up a UK working group now to start the detailed assessment to enable us to engage with the US (and the academic community in the UK) on SSR.
  - The new Iraqi administration should be involved as early as possible in the process so as to feel ownership of the new structures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Minute Dodd to Manning, 3 December 2002, 'Ad Hoc Group on Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Paper FCO Middle East Department, 10 December 2002, 'Irag: Security Sector Reform'.

- There are some security organisations, staffed with Tikritis and Saddam's kinsmen and with a record of oppression, which should have no further future in a post-S[addam] H[ussein] Iraq.
- We need to find out more about the civilian police and the judiciary.
- Ministers will need to decide the level of engagement of the UK in SSR, given our limited and stretched resources."
- **24.** The paper was tabled as a living document "open to comment and improvement" at the AHGI meeting on 13 December.<sup>19</sup> The AHGI was told that "a Whitehall working group on SSR in Iraq has now been established and can undertake further work". The SSR paper was one of four that the FCO had handed to Mr Zalmay Khalilzad, US National Security Council (NSC) Senior Director and Ambassador at Large to the Iraqi Opposition, "in an attempt to shape US thinking".
- **25.** The second series of meetings between the UK, US and Australia to discuss post-conflict planning took place on 22 January 2003.<sup>20</sup> In preparation, the FCO Middle East Department drafted an "Annotated Agenda/overarching paper". That was submitted to Mr Jack Straw, Foreign Secretary, for approval of the "general line" that the UK proposed to take in discussion.

### **26.** On SSR, the paper stated:

"Our handling of the defeated Iraqi forces will be critical. We shall need a DDR plan for them, consistent with our vision for the future of Iraq's armed forces. Experience in Sierra Leone and Afghanistan has shown that we need to ensure consistency between first steps and a longer-term vision on Security Sector Reform. As well as ensuring the efficient use of our own resources, we shall want to find a way to allow partners to join in SSR implementation. Does this work require new impetus?"

- **27.** Mr Straw approved the recommendations on 20 January. He reported that Mr Colin Powell, US Secretary of State, had told him the US working assumption was that the US and UK would be in Iraq for a long time after military action.<sup>21</sup>
- 28. The FCO paper was also shared with the US and Australia.<sup>22</sup>
- **29.** On 16 January, Mr Tony Brenton, Deputy Head of Mission at the British Embassy Washington, wrote to Mr Elliott Abrams, Senior Director for Near East and North African Affairs in the US NSC, to propose a draft agenda for the talks, attaching a copy of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Minute Dodd to Manning, 19 December 2002, 'Ad Hoc Group on Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Minute Chilcott to Chaplin and Private Secretary [FCO], 17 January 2003, 'Iraq: Day-after Issues'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Minute Private Secretary [FCO] to Chilcott, 20 January 2003, 'Iraq: Day-After Issues'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Letter Brenton to Abrams, 16 January 2003, 'US/UK/Australia Trilateral Talks on Irag: 22 January 2003'.

paper.<sup>23</sup> The first suggested agenda item was security. "Key issues" for discussion included:

- how to dismantle Iraq's secret security agencies, and to handle the defeated armed forces?
- how to provide legitimate and transparent law and order, and the necessary civil structures to deliver them?
- the co-operation of the Iraqi police ...
- longer-term Security Sector Reform and DDR planning."
- **30.** Reporting to Mr Straw after the talks, Mr Edward Chaplin, FCO Director Middle East and North Africa, said that the exchanges had gone "better than expected", but also explained that "as we suspected, apart from on humanitarian relief and immediate post-conflict reconstruction, the US have not yet made much progress on a lot of the day-after agenda".<sup>24</sup>
- **31.** No discussion of SSR was recorded in reports of the Washington talks to Ms Clare Short, International Development Secretary, or Mr Geoff Hoon, the Defence Secretary. <sup>25</sup> Neither was it referred to in the reporting telegram from the Embassy.
- **32.** An FCO official who attended the talks reported to Mr Dominick Chilcott in the Middle East Department that the "key message" was that Mr Donald Rumsfeld, US Secretary of Defense, had ordered his staff to plan both the military and civil administration of Iraq, and that this work was "going ahead fast, whether we like it or not". Plans were expected to be signed off in about a week's time. Once that had happened, the official judged that it would be "very difficult to reverse what had been decided".
- **33.** Following the talks, Mr Drummond proposed that six working groups should be established to "pursue issues which require further planning".<sup>27</sup> None of those groups were tasked to consider planning for SSR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Letter Brenton to Abrams, 16 January 2003, 'US/UK/Australia Trilateral Talks on Iraq: 22 January 2003'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Minute Chaplin to Secretary of State [FCO], 22 January 2003, 'Iraq: 'Day-After' Issues'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Minute Chaplin to Secretary of State [FCO], 22 January 2003, 'Iraq: 'Day-After' Issues'; Telegram 89 Washington to FCO London, 23 January 2003, 'Iraq: US/UK/Australia Consultations on Day After Issues: 22 January 2003'; Minute Lee to PS/Secretary of State [MOD], 23 January 2003, 'Aftermath: Visit to Washington'; Minute Miller to PS/Secretary of State [DFID], 23 January 2003, 'UK/US/Australia talks, Washington: 22 January 2003'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Minute Middle East Directorate [junior official] to Chilcott, 6 February 2003, 'Iraq: PJHQ Meeting on 'Aftermath'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Letter Drummond to Chaplin, 23 January 2003, 'Iraq: Working Groups'.

- **34.** On 20 January, the MOD Permanent Joint Headquarters (PJHQ) proposed the creation of a 'Common Document' to provide a framework for UK Phase IV<sup>28</sup> planning.<sup>29</sup> That was conceived as a "cross-Government agreed UK 'manifesto', from which we would be able to guide subsequent engagement with the US". It would also provide a "mechanism for systematically identifying issues that needed to be resolved".
- **35.** The draft described a number of elements of SSR work, including:
  - disarmament/demobilisation and the future shape of the military;
  - aspirations for the criminal justice system; and
  - consideration of the military role in police mentoring.
- **36.** The Chiefs of Staff discussed the Common Document at their meeting on 29 January and concluded that it "would establish a framework UK policy, which would ... provide guidance to the embedded UK staffs charged with influencing US thinking".<sup>30</sup>
- **37.** The same day, Mr Bowen shared a draft paper on UK campaign objectives for Iraq with Sir David Manning.<sup>31</sup> The paper identified a number of "immediate military priorities" for the Coalition in the aftermath of hostilities, including "lay plans for the reform of Iraq's security forces". Mr Bowen commented that "it will be important … that we share the same military objectives with the US, otherwise the strategic direction of the campaign risks falling apart". The objectives are addressed in further detail in Section 6.5.
- **38.** On 4 February, Mr Drummond proposed that a special meeting on "aftermath" should replace the AHGI scheduled for 7 February.<sup>32</sup> That meeting would be used to co-ordinate a response to two US planning papers on post-war reconstruction and would also cover the "state of preparedness" on a range of issues, including an agenda item on SSR.
- **39.** The AHGI appears to have used the meeting to focus on preparing key messages on post-conflict issues for Mr Hoon and Sir David Manning's visit to Washington the following week.<sup>33</sup>
- **40.** A meeting about the post-conflict period took place at PJHQ offices on 5 February.<sup>34</sup> To support the discussion, PJHQ tabled a paper entitled 'Iraq Phase IV Subjects'.<sup>35</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Phase IV is a military term that describes the time after combat operations, when activities are conducted to stabilise and reconstruct the area where combat took place. It can also be described as "Stage IV".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Minute PJHQ/Hd of J9 Pol/Ops to MA/DCJO(Ops), 20 January 2003, 'Op TELIC: Taking Forward Aftermath Planning' attaching Paper MOD, [undated], 'Phase IV Planning – Common Document'.

<sup>30</sup> Minutes, 29 January 2003, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Minute Bowen to Manning, 29 January 2003, 'Iraq: Military Campaign Objectives' attaching Paper [unattributed], [undated], 'Iraq: Military Campaign Objectives'.

<sup>32</sup> Letter Drummond to Chaplin, 4 February 2003, 'Iraq: Aftermath'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Letter Drummond to Chilcott, 10 February 2003, 'Iraq: Key Messages'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Minute FCO Middle East Directorate [junior official] to Chilcott, 6 February 2003, 'Iraq: PJHQ Meeting on 'Aftermath' attaching Paper [unattributed], 5 February 2003, 'Iraq – Phase IV Subjects'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Paper MOD [unattributed], 5 February 2003, 'Irag – Phase IV Subjects'.

- **41.** That document described for the first time some of the short and medium-term SSR objectives for the post-conflict management of Iraq. Following the US planning of the time, those were divided into a "stabilisation" phase covering the first six months and a "reconstruction" phase covering months six to 18.
- 42. The desired end state for the military and security forces was to have laid:
  - "... plans for the reform of Iraq's security forces ... Security Sector Reform (SSR) ... to include the restructuring of the intelligence agencies, armed forces, police and criminal justice system. All elements of the Security Sector to be affordable and accountable."
- **43.** The military and security objectives for the UK during the stabilisation phase were described as:
  - all units of Iraqi military have been accounted for and (if appropriate) disarmed;
  - stability, law and order in Iraq; and
  - inter-agency or international organisation efforts to reorganise and train Iraqi law enforcement agencies begun (supported by the UK).
- **44.** The military and security objectives for the UK during the reconstruction phase were described as:
  - reform of Iraqi Armed Forces under way, with a view to organisations able to defend Iraq without threatening Iraqi citizens or neighbours;
  - elimination of the Iraqi NSC and the Iraqi Special Security Organisations;
  - exploitation and subsequent disbandment of the Directorate of General Security, the Directorate of General Intelligence, the Miltary Security Service, the Special Republican Guard, and Saddam's Martyrs (Fedayeen Saddam); and
  - vetting and reintegration of acceptable elements of the Republican Guard Forces Command, regular army and police.
- **45.** The desired end state for law enforcement was to have: "Rule of Law established. Police reformed and conforming to human rights."
- **46.** The law enforcement objectives for the UK during the stabilisation phase were described as:
  - early implementation of a clear plan for development of Iraqi police;
  - framework to provide military provision of law enforcement within UK Area of Operations (see Box, 'Area of Operations and Area of Responsibility', later in this Section); and
  - police vetted and initial capability established.

- 47. The law enforcement objectives for the UK during the reconstruction phase were described as:
  - responsibility for law enforcement passed back to Iraqi police; and
  - joint police/military police, transitioning to police operating alone.
- 48. The desired end state for justice was to have a reformed legal system established under vetted judiciary, with unjustly jailed prisoners released.
- 49. The justice enforcement objectives for the UK during the stabilisation phase were described as:
  - martial law in place for minimum time possible;
  - new laws agreed and promulgated;
  - judges vetted, and unsuitable judges removed;
  - military management of prisons; and
  - unjustly jailed prisoners released.
- **50.** The law enforcement objectives for the UK during the reconstruction phase were described as:
  - Iraqi legal system up and running before transmission. International mentoring system provided to support judges.
  - If possible, management of prisons passed over to Iraqi citizens. If not possible, support programme to re-establish in UK AO.
- 51. On 7 February 2003, Mr Peter Ricketts, FCO Political Director, informed Mr Straw that there was inter-departmental agreement that "the FCO should lead policy work on planning for post-conflict Irag".36
- **52.** There were two sections in different directorates within the FCO that had a role in relation to SSR:
  - the Iraq Planning Unit (IPU); and
  - the United Nations Department (UND), which had previous experience recruiting and deploying UK police for UN missions.37
- **53.** Lord Jay, the FCO Permanent Under Secretary from 2002 to 2006, told the Inquiry:
  - "I cannot recollect any discussions specifically about policing, nor have I been able to come across any papers."38

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Minute Ricketts to Private Secretary [FCO], 7 February 2003, 'Iraq Strategy'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Letter Bowen to Ehrman, 5 February 2003, 'Iraq: Operational Policy Unit'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Public hearing, 30 June 2010, page 48.

### **Iraq Planning Unit**

The Iraq Planning Unit (IPU) was established on 10 February with Mr Dominick Chilcott, FCO Middle East Department as its head. Its remit was "to develop policy guidance to enable the administration of Iraq pending the appointment of a transitional civil administration, consistent as far as possible with the longer-term vision for the future of Iraq".<sup>39</sup> Mr Bowen, defining the purpose of the Unit, wrote:

"They would need to work their way, with the US, through issues as diverse as humanitarian relief, policing, administration of justice, local government and provision of utilities, environmental recovery and priorities for the return to normality."

The IPU was inter-departmental but based in the FCO.40

- **54.** On 12 February, responsibility for the 'Iraq Stage IV Subjects Document' was transferred to the newly formed inter-departmental IPU.<sup>41</sup>
- **55.** On 29 January, Mr Peter Gooderham, Political Counsellor at the British Embassy Washington, reported that the NSC had asked whether the UK, as one of the Occupying Powers, would be willing to take lead responsibility for reforming the Iraqi judicial system and Iraqi Police Service (IPS).<sup>42</sup> The NSC said that the justice sector would be run by the military Coalition in the immediate aftermath, but the Iraqis should "regain responsibility for law and order as quickly as possible". That was described as having "something up and running within 60 days". The UK would be "best suited" to take on this role because of its "wealth of experience and expertise".
- **56.** On 31 January, the UND submitted advice to Mr Straw, alerting him to the request and stating that "this would be a massive undertaking, with implications for the UK's role as an 'Occupying Power', that should more properly be an international effort mandated by the UN".<sup>43</sup>
- **57.** Mr Straw commented that the UK "should help the US on police and judicial matters as much as possible", but "this help has to be on the basis of what is practical".<sup>44</sup>
- **58.** On 4 February, Mr Drummond wrote to Mr Chilcott following a meeting between the Cabinet Office, the FCO, the MOD and DFID to consider the US request.<sup>45</sup> He observed

<sup>39</sup> Letter Bowen to Ehrman, 5 February 2003, 'Irag: Operational Policy Unit'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Minute Chorley to PS/Secretary of State [MOD], 12 February 2003, 'Iraq: Aftermath – Briefing for Meeting with OGD Ministers'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Minute Chorley to PS/Secretary of State [MOD], 12 February 2003, 'Iraq: Aftermath – Briefing for Meeting with OGD Ministers'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Letter Gooderham to Chaplin, 29 January 2003, 'Iraq: Day After: US Requests for Assistance on Judicial Issues'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Minute UND [junior official] to Private Secretary [FCO], 31 January 2003, 'Iraq the Day After – US Requests for Assistance on Judicial Issues'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Minute PS Foreign Secretary [FCO] to UND [junior official], 3 February 2003, 'Iraq the Day After – US Request for Assistance on Judicial Issues'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Minute Drummond to Chilcott, 4 February 2003, 'Iraq: Judicial Issues'.

that the management of the Iraqi police and judicial system in the first 60 days of Occupation would "condition the longer term". The UK would need to know more about:

- "• Whether the US envisaged dismantling the Ba'ath Party.<sup>46</sup> While this is probably justified it would leave big gaps in the apparatus of the State.
- How much of the Saddam Hussein security structure they [the US] plan to retain.
- Whether the US envisaged a Kosovo style pillar structure, with a Coalition member leading each part. If so, what were the other pillars and who had been invited to lead them.
- What would the UN role be? We would need the UN to legitimise Security Sector Reforms. We accept that the UN would not deliver in time to manage the initial 60 days, but could play a useful role in the medium term on all aspects of judicial reform."
- **59.** It was agreed at the meeting that Mr Chilcott would pursue those questions in Washington at the next round of talks on 5 February between the UK, US and Australia. The issue would then be considered at a meeting on 7 February. If it was decided to "proceed further", a scoping exercise would be undertaken by DFID, the MOD and "probably" the Home Office.
- **60.** The British Embassy Washington's report of the talks on 5 February did not mention the proposal of the US that the UK take lead responsibility in reform of the judicial system and the IPS.<sup>47</sup>
- **61.** On 10 February, Mr Drummond wrote to Mr Chilcott to share a draft of "key messages for the Defence Secretary and David Manning to put to Donald Rumsfeld and Condi Rice [Dr Condoleezza Rice, President Bush's National Security Advisor]". <sup>48</sup> The US request that the UK lead on the IPS and judiciary was not addressed in the paper.
- **62.** On 12 February, in a brief written to prepare Mr Straw for a meeting with Mr Blair on "Day After issues", the FCO advised that the UK was still considering whether it should accept the US request that it become "lead nation on justice throughout Iraq". <sup>49</sup> The FCO stated that "it would be very difficult to do this without a UNSC [UN Security Council] Resolution authorising a transitional administration".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> The Ba'ath Party, dominated by individuals linked to Saddam Hussein, were in power in Iraq at the time of the invasion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Telegram 167 Washington to FCO London, 6 February 2003, 'Iraq: US/UK/Australia Talks on "Day After" Issues, 5 February'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Letter Drummond to Chilcott, 10 February 2003, 'Iraq: Key Messages' attaching Paper Cabinet Office, 10 February 2003, 'Iraq Post Conflict: Key Messages'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Minute IPU [junior official] to Private Secretary [FCO], 12 February 2003, 'Meeting on Iraq Day After Issues before Cabinet, 13 Feb'.

**63.** Mr Drummond wrote to Sir David Manning on 14 February to outline key messages for the US on "winning the peace".<sup>50</sup> Addressing the US request that the UK "lead on reviving the Iraqi Justice system", Mr Drummond reported that:

"We have asked for clarification of whether they see this as a short term revival of existing structures minus the Ba'ath influence or a much longer term reform agenda."

**64.** The following week, Mr Chilcott informed Mr Straw that an assessment of UK capabilities in the field of police and judicial reform had been sent to the US, and that a minute on the subject was in preparation, but was awaiting "greater clarity on UK commitments".<sup>51</sup> In an accompanying document, Mr Chilcott described the UK position as:

"No commitment, but UK could consider providing support for UN-led justice sector reform, providing we had the right UN cover."

**65.** Mr Straw responded the following day without comment on the UK's role in judicial reform.<sup>52</sup> The Government has been unable to supply evidence of any further consideration of the US request.

### SSR planning during the build-up to invasion

- **66.** In February and early March 2003, the main effort within the FCO and No.10 was the pursuit of a further UN Security Council Resolution, as described in Section 3.7. During this period, much of the debate around post-conflict management of Iraq focused on the prospect of the UK taking responsibility for a geographical region following the invasion, as described in Section 6.5.
- **67.** The MOD Iraq Secretariat briefed Mr Hoon on 10 February ahead of a visit to Washington.<sup>53</sup> They advised that US aftermath planning was "impressive on details", but "riddled with holes at the political and strategic levels". The MOD identified a number of factors for consideration:

"SSR will be a huge issue, both in dismantling the current infrastructure and growing a new one. Will the US look to the current Iraqi police to maintain law and order, or will it train a new force? If US AID [Agency for International Development] are legally prevented from paying police or military salaries, how will SSR be funded prior to the utilisation of oil revenues? What will the new security apparatus look like, and how can it (particularly internal security organs) be made transparent and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Minute Drummond to Manning, 14 February 2003, 'Iraq: Winning the Peace'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Minute Chilcott to Private Secretary [FCO], 20 February 2003, 'Iraq: Day-After (Phase IV)' attaching 'Iraq Day After: Guidance for Officials at US Rock Drill'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Minute Owen to Chilcott, 21 February 2003, 'Iraq: Day-After (Phase IV)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Minute Johnson to PS/Secretary of State [MOD], 10 February 2003, 'Secretary of State's Visit to Washington: Iraq' attaching Briefing MOD Iraq Secretariat, [undated], 'Iraq Aftermath – Summary of Key Gaps/US-UK Policy Differences'.

- accountable? ... At a tactical level, UK forces will need guidance on how to treat various wings of the Iraqi security infrastructure as they are encountered in country."
- **68.** On 11 February, Mr Mike O'Brien, FCO Minister of State for the Middle East, was briefed on "Day After issues". That included detail on SSR preparations. On policing, Mr O'Brien was told that the UK's experience in other areas, such as Bosnia, "should mean we would be well placed to share our expertise with the US and help influence their thinking on the issue". A scoping paper "which could be shared with the US" on the establishment of an independent Iraqi judiciary was also commissioned.
- **69.** More broadly, the briefing stated:

"It was agreed that the US saw themselves as the lead nation. On Security Sector Reform, we should ensure that we feed in to their decision making process. We could suggest leading on those areas where we have expertise eg: good governance."

**70.** On 14 February, Mr Drummond produced a note for Sir David Manning on "key messages for the US" which outlined a number of decisions that needed to be taken.<sup>55</sup> On SSR he wrote:

"If we are not to replicate the problems seen in Afghanistan, we will also need the US to agree early to [sic] single holistic plan for Security Sector Reform. We have offered outline proposals on the security sector. We should offer a plan."

- **71.** The following week, the US hosted a Rock Drill: an inter-agency rehearsal for the post-conflict administration of Iraq. It was attended by a team of UK officials led by Mr Chilcott and is described in detail in Section 6.5.
- **72.** On 20 February, Mr Chilcott submitted advice to Mr Straw, including an IPU guidance note for officials participating in the Rock Drill.<sup>56</sup> There was a brief mention of SSR activities in the context of maximising "involvement of the Iraqis in most tasks, including: policing ... judiciary ... and some security forces".
- **73.** In an update to Mr Blair shortly after the Rock Drill, Mr Nicholas Cannon, Mr Blair's Assistant Private Secretary for Foreign Affairs, provided an overview of work undertaken by the IPU, including on humanitarian and economic issues.<sup>57</sup> Mr Cannon did not mention SSR.
- **74.** On 25 February, the IPU produced a 'UK Vision for Phase IV'.<sup>58</sup> The paper was sent to Sir David Manning the following day, copied to the offices of Mr Gordon Brown,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Minute APS/Mr O'Brien to Chilcott, 11 February 2003, 'Iraq: Day After Issues'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Minute Drummond to Manning, 14 February 2003, 'Irag: Winning the Peace'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Minute Chilcott to Private Secretary [FCO], 20 February 2003, 'Iraq: Day-After (Phase IV)' attaching Paper 'Iraq Day After: Guidance for Officials at US ROCK Drill'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Minute Cannon to Prime Minister, 24 February 2003, 'Southern Iraq: Aftermath Issues'.

<sup>58</sup> Paper IPU, 25 February 2002, 'UK Vision for Phase IV'.

the Chancellor of the Exchequer, Mr Hoon and Ms Short.<sup>59</sup> The vision was that the UK "should aim to leave Iraq radically changed for the better".<sup>60</sup>

- **75.** The paper listed a number of mission objectives, including the formation of an Iraq which "has appropriately sized, reformed armed forces and intelligence/security agencies" and "has a fair justice sector".
- **76.** The paper was structured to match the US organisation of Phase IV into three stages:
  - Alpha military administration while UN agencies and Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs) "tackle the humanitarian crisis".
  - Bravo an "international civil transitional administration", supported by UN-mandated Coalition military, which would "take forward the programme of ambitious reforms ... to transform Iraq along the lines of the vision".
  - Charlie the handover to a democratically elected Iraqi Government, during which the international community would continue to support the restructuring of Iraq's economy and public administration. "Training of the armed forces and of the police and judiciary may also continue in Phase IV Charlie."
- 77. The FCO sent a draft 'Vision for Iraq and the Iraqi People' to No.10 on 28 February.<sup>61</sup> That version made no reference to the security sector, but did state that the UK would "help" by "supporting institutional and administrative reform".
- **78.** The UK's objectives were described again in a paper prepared by the IPU for a meeting chaired by Mr Blair on 6 March.<sup>62</sup> The paper sought Ministerial agreement to a number of objectives for the UK's post-conflict Occupation of Iraq, including that Iraq:
  - "Has armed forces and intelligence services that are of an appropriate size (striking a balance between not threatening its neighbours and protecting the territorial integrity of Iraq) and are well on the way to being reformed"; and
  - "Respects human rights and has made significant progress towards a fair and effective justice sector."
- **79.** The IPU paper was not discussed at the meeting, so the draft objectives for post-conflict Iraq were incorporated into another IPU paper describing "the UK overall plan for Phase IV" and submitted to Mr Blair by Mr Matthew Rycroft, Mr Blair's Private Secretary for Foreign Affairs, on 7 March<sup>63</sup> and again by Mr Cannon on 12 March.<sup>64</sup>

<sup>59</sup> Letter Owen to Rycroft, 26 February 2002, 'Irag: Phase IV'.

<sup>60</sup> Paper IPU, 25 February 2002, 'UK Vision for Phase IV'.

<sup>61</sup> Minute Owen to Rycroft, 28 February 2003, 'A Vision for Iraq and the Iraqi People'.

<sup>62</sup> Paper IPU, 5 March 2003, 'Planning for the UK's Role in Irag after Saddam'.

<sup>63</sup> Minute Rycroft to Prime Minister, 7 March 2003, 'Iraq: Weekend Papers'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Minute Cannon to Prime Minister, 12 March 2003, 'Iraq: Post-Conflict Planning: Objectives and Principles'.

- **80.** The MOD produced a number of papers in March that discussed SSR.
- **81.** On 7 March, an MOD "Red Team", which had been established within the Defence Intelligence Staff (DIS) (as described in Section 6.2), produced a report seeking to identify "the optimum structure of the Immediate and Interim Administrations in Iraq and other measures most likely to obtain and retain the support of the Iraqi people". The report stated that "law and order, including the judicial process, will require special handling". It stated that:
  - ... once an assessment has been made of the effectiveness of local police forces it should be increasingly possible to include them in military-led law and order operations;
  - the judicial system is largely dysfunctional and ... some form of interim judicial system may be necessary;
  - the prison system is likely to require a complete overhaul and supervisory regime, although the infrastructure may be useable."

#### **82.** The Red Team advised that:

"Expectations that the Coalition Forces will be able to deliver these responsibilities [those of an Occupying Power under international law] are high; so if there is doubt over our ability to meet them in an 'exemplary' fashion we should take steps to lower expectations as early as possible."

**83.** On 11 March, the DIS issued a further assessment of the "political and security environment" that Coalition troops would encounter in Basra.<sup>66</sup> Though not specifically focused on SSR, some of the judgements in the document illustrate the UK's pre-invasion understanding of the Iraqi security sector in what would become its Area of Operations (AOR).

## **Area of Operations and Area of Responsibility**

Area of Operations (AO) refers to the UK military's area of combat operations during the invasion of Iraq (Phase III of operations).

Area of Responsibility (AOR) refers to the area for which the UK military was responsible during the post-conflict Occupation of Iraq (Phase IV of operations).

The two terms were often used interchangeably, sometimes in the same document.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Minute PS/CDI to PS/SofS [MOD], 7 March 2003, 'Iraq Red Team – Obtaining and Retaining the Support of the Iraqi People in the Aftermath of Conflict' attaching Paper DIS Red Team, 7 March 2003, 'Obtaining and Retaining the Support of the Iraqi People in the Aftermath of Conflict'.

<sup>66</sup> Report DIS, 11 March 2003, 'Basra: Post Saddam Governance'.

**84.** On the Iraqi police, the assessment stated:

"We have very little reporting on the organisation of Iraq's Civil Police. And we have no information specific to Basra ... We have little idea as to how the police will act during a Coalition attack or in the aftermath. Limited anecdotal reporting suggest [sic] that they are likely to disappear from the street."

- **85.** The establishment of law and order was described as an "important" factor in the reaction of the Basra populace to Coalition control. However, the DIS judged that "in the absence of a civil police force and other security forces this will prove difficult".
- **86.** The paper also addressed the dismissal of Ba'ath Party members from the military and civil administration. The DIS assessed that:

"Directorate of General Security (DGS), DGI (Directorate of General Intelligence), SSO (Special Security Organisations) elements and Ba'ath Party militia should be disbanded. Ba'ath leadership (*Udw Firqa*/Fara) might also need to be detained ...

- "... But within Basra City there seems to [sic] no organisation with a better understanding of tribal relationships, the civil populace, internal security matters and provision of public services than the Ba'ath Party. Many party members will not have been involved in repressive activity. We assess that Ba'ath Party members will have to be utilised by any military administration, at least in the early phases of control."
- **87.** On 18 March, the day before the invasion began, the MOD Defence Advisory Team (DAT) produced a paper on SSR and the future Iraqi armed forces.<sup>67</sup> The paper was designed "to inform UK policy making and assist in advancing US thinking on these topics". Much of its content revisited the themes discussed in the FCO paper of 10 December 2002, which had already been shared with the US.
- **88.** The MOD paper listed the range of SSR activities in which the UK could be expected to participate as follows:
  - DDR;
  - clearance of unexploded ordnance (de-mining);
  - reconstruction of the Iraqi armed forces;
  - non-military security forces and intelligence services;
  - police and law enforcement;
  - border control: and
  - judicial systems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Minute IPU [junior official] to IPU Members, 18 March 2003, 'Security Sector Reform: Future Iraqi Armed Forces' attaching Paper Defence Advisory Team, March 2003, 'Security Sector Reform: Future Iraqi Armed Forces'.

89. The first three were considered "defence/military elements" and potential areas for involvement by the MOD. The MOD's estimated total cost of those activities (for the whole of Iraq) is set out in Table 1.

Table 1: MOD estimate of costs for SSR, March 2003

Activity	Cost (£m)
DDR	400
De-mining	500
Reconstruction and reorientation of the Iraqi Ministry of Defence	50
Total	950

90. The DAT described a number of "high-level risks", including the potential for "a lack of coherence between primary Coalition partners over SSR Policy". The paper stated:

"Whilst the UK may try and influence the shape and content of such an SSR strategy, the reality is that it will have to accommodate to the plans of the senior Coalition partner/lead international body and their intentions for this area of activity."

- 91. The Cabinet Office circulated an agreed set of "Military Campaign Objectives" on 18 March.<sup>68</sup> The "immediate military priorities" included to:
  - "contribute to the creation of a secure environment so that normal life can be restored"; and
  - "lay plans for the reform of Iraq's security forces".
- 92. The military objectives were placed in the Library of the House of Commons by Mr Hoon on 20 March.69
- 93. On 19 March, Admiral Sir Michael Boyce, Chief of the Defence Staff (CDS), issued his Directive to Lieutenant General John Reith, Chief of Joint Operations (CJO) authorising the commencement of Operation TELIC (UK military action in Iraq).<sup>70</sup> The tasks of relevance to SSR were:
  - "Protect, and be prepared to secure, essential Iraqi political, administrative and economic infrastructure from unnecessary destruction in order to reassure the Iraqi people and facilitate rapid regeneration."
  - "Deter opportunistic inter-ethnic and inter-communal conflict."

<sup>68</sup> Minute Bowen to Manning, 18 March 2003, 'Irag: Military Campaign Objectives'.

<sup>69</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 20 March 2003, column 1087.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Minute CDS to CJO, 19 March 2003, 'Chief of the Defence Staff Executive Directive to the Joint Commander Operation TELIC Edition 2'.

- "As quickly as possible, contribute to a safe and secure environment within which humanitarian aid agencies are able to operate."
- "If directed, be prepared to contribute to the reform of Iraq's security forces."
- **94.** A later Directive, issued on 30 July, included a "key" priority:

"To support the Coalition wider SSR effort where this can be done within the appropriate UK scale of effort."<sup>71</sup>

**95.** This Directive included a further task:

"Maintain public order and safety using, where possible, local law enforcement organisations supervised by military and civil police in order to achieve Iraqi support for stability operations."

### Planning the deployment of police officers

**96.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Mr Stephen Pattison, Head of UND until June 2003, described UND's involvement in police matters as "essentially operational". Since 1997 UND had managed a Whitehall system to identify, train and deploy civilian police overseas. Mr Pattison said:

"Obtaining sufficient UK police officers to take part in international policing was always a struggle. We needed to get the co-operation of Chief Police Officers. And we needed to find ways of attracting volunteers ... We cast the net as wide as we could, including canvassing recently retired officers.

"In most cases the overseas requirement was for armed police, which rules out most UK officers. So we focused on getting UK officers into niche roles where their expertise would add to the international police force's skills, rather than into front line executive policing.

- "... And deploying UK police was not straightforward: all UK overseas police officers are volunteers, ACPO [Association of Chief Police Officers] and the Home Office would only agree to deployment when certain conditions were met (security, in mission support structure) and the funding had to be identified."
- **97.** Mr Pattison told the Inquiry that UND had not been tasked to undertake any preparatory work, but had identified a potential problem and acted to address it.<sup>73</sup> He said that there was no-one in Whitehall pulling together knowledge of policing to design the kind of police operation needed in Iraq. In his recollection, "awareness of our responsibilities under the Geneva Convention and Hague regulations did not inform our thinking about policing in the run-up to the war."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Minute CDS to CJO, 30 July 2003, 'Chief of the Defence Staff Executive Directive to the Joint Commander Operation TELIC Edition 3'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Statement, 6 January 2011, pages 12-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Public hearing, 31 January 2011, pages 5 and 9.

- 98. Responsibilities under the Geneva and Hague regulations included that, as an Occupying Force, the UK would assume responsibility for ensuring public order and safety within their AO.74
- 99. UND had assumed that the UK would not provide an "executive" police force ("a force to do actual policing") for Iraq, which would be a "massive undertaking". 75 Instead the focus would be on supplying a "small number" of UK police to provide training and advice on SSR, as had happened in other international policing missions. It was judged that those police would need to be armed.

### **100.** Mr Pattison told the Inquiry:

"We were aware of the constraints and we were aware of roughly how many police we could provide to do training and it wasn't very many. This would have to be a wholly voluntary force. Any police deployed would need the approval of their Chief Constable. There were duty of care issues.

"You know, this was not going to be one of those situations where you could simply turn on a tap of British police to go and help. It was going to be very difficult. We [UND] certainly understood that, but I'm not aware that there was ever a serious discussion of post-conflict police issues."76

- 101. On 23 January, a junior official wrote to the Head of the UND Peacekeeping Section to seek authorisation to proceed with "plans for the training of a small contingent (30 officers) of Ministry of Defence Police for immediate deployment should they be required".77 The Minute stated:
  - "... we are taking these steps mindful of our experience in Kosovo, where the Prime Minister committed us to deployment of UK civpol shortly after the liberation of Pristina ... In that exercise police were on the ground within a month but only following a great deal of effort."
- **102.** Following a Cabinet meeting on 10 April, Mr David Blunkett, the Home Secretary, told officials that he wanted to be in a position to offer, or react very quickly to a request for, assistance in re-establishing policing in Iraq. 78 He was reported to have been thinking more of offering specialist advice than substantial numbers of police officers.
- **103.** Home Office officials were told by Mr Pattison that the FCO's preferred approach was to proceed with the initial deployment of a small MOD police contingent before deciding whether to ask the Home Office for any assistance from other forces.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Paper MOD, 9 December 2009, 'Iraq Security Sector Reform'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Public hearing Pattison and Buck, 31 January 2011, pages 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Public hearing, 31 January 2011, page 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to UND [junior official], 23 January 2003, 'Post Saddam Iraq: UK Civilian Policing Contingency Planning'.

<sup>78</sup> Email Home Office [junior official] to Kernaghan, 14 April 2003, 'Potential Police Involvement in Iraq'.

- **104.** Mr Blunkett was said to be "disappointed" by that response and told Mr Blair that he was "very keen to make his own contribution". He instructed his officials to make contact with the Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO) to explore the possibilities, which they did on 14 April.
- **105.** Chief Constable Paul Kernaghan, the lead on international affairs for ACPO, responded:
  - "ACPO and I are very keen to play a full and appropriate part in supporting the UK's contribution to liberating the people of Iraq. The form of assistance we can provide depends on the mission envisaged and crucially clear political direction."<sup>79</sup>
- **106.** Mr Blunkett relayed CC Kernaghan's offer to Mr Straw, confirming his own "commitment to the rehabilitation of Iraq, and in particular to the principle of the provision of UK policing assistance as soon as practicable". <sup>80</sup> Mr Blunkett emphasised that both CC Kernaghan and he were "ready and willing to engage with you in working towards identifying civilian policing requirements in Iraq and how they might best be met".
- **107.** CC Kernaghan wrote to Mr Pattison, offering his services and suggesting that the FCO might wish to convene a meeting to bring together the key players from the FCO, Home Office and relevant policing interests to ensure a co-ordinated approach.<sup>81</sup> He had already discussed the matter with the Chief Constable of the MOD police and they were "agreed that an integrated response between all elements of the UK police service is the best approach".
- **108.** On 23 April, a meeting between the FCO, the Home Office, the MOD and ACPO agreed that the MOD police would deploy two officers at the rank of Superintendent and either Inspector or Chief Inspector "to enhance the police advice available to GOC [General Officer Commanding] 1 UK Armoured Division" and that the FCO would try to place a UK Chief Inspector inside the Office for Reconstruction and Humanitarian Assistance (ORHA).<sup>82</sup> It was confirmed that, in the meantime, CC Kernaghan should visit Iraq to gather information "to enable FCO/ACPO/Home Office to plan the UK's contribution".
- **109.** CC Kernaghan's record of the meeting concluded that "at this point in time there is no clear shared vision of the future but instead a strong determination by the agencies represented to provide meaningful support based on a professional assessment of the situation".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Email Kernaghan to Home Office [junior official], 15 April 2003, 'Potential UK Civil Police Involvement in Irao'

<sup>80</sup> Minute Blunkett to Straw, 16 April 2003, 'UK Police Assistance for Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Letter Kernaghan to Pattison, 17 April 2003, 'Iraq and the UK Police Service'.

<sup>82</sup> Email Kernaghan to Pattison, 23 April 2003, 'Iraq and the UK Police Service – Meeting 23/4/03'.

- **110.** The Ad Hoc Ministerial Group on Iraq Rehabilitation (AHMGIR see box later in this Section) discussed an IPU paper on SSR on 8 May. 83 No Home Office Minister was available to attend the meeting but Lord Goldsmith, the Attorney General, did attend. In advance of the meeting Mr Blunkett spoke to Lord Goldsmith and confirmed the Home Office's willingness to contribute resources and expertise to assist UK efforts to shape SSR work in Iraq. They agreed that it would be useful for their two departments to work together on the matter.
- **111.** The Home Office recognised that its potentially relevant expertise covered a range of areas, including terrorism and security, immigration and asylum, drugs, policing and prisons.
- 112. Lord Goldsmith reported to the AHMGIR that he and Mr Blunkett were willing to put more resources into helping the police and justice work in Irag.84
- 113. CC Kernaghan visited Iraq in late May.85 In his visit report he observed: "Effective policing in Irag requires operational officers to be armed." Given that, and the fact that the vast majority of police officers in the UK did not routinely carry firearms and so were not trained in their use, he did not believe that they would be effective in an operational role in Iraq.
- **114.** CC Kernaghan thought that UK involvement in police training would be more appropriate. He commented that the pressure to deploy police officers on operational duties was likely to be immense.
- 115. Following an agreement for the UK to provide a Chief Constable to be the senior policing adviser to the Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA) within the Iraqi Ministry of Interior (MOI), on 6 June 2003 ACPO issued a notice advertising a secondment opportunity for a senior UK police officer.86

# SSR across Iraq: after the invasion

- **116.** The progress of the Coalition invasion of Iraq is described in detail in Section 8, and the events that followed it in Section 9.1. The start of efforts to reconstruct Iraq is set out in Section 10.1.
- 117. Shortly after the start of Operation TELIC, 87 the IPU circulated a "core script" on Phase IV issues from which Ministers and officials could draw as Parliamentary and

<sup>83</sup> Minute Acton to Riley, 7 May 2003, 'Irag – Security Sector Reform'.

<sup>84</sup> Minutes, 8 May 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>85</sup> Letter Kernaghan to Blunkett, 23 May 2003, 'Irag – Visit by Chief Constable P R Kernaghan' attaching Report Kernaghan, 10 May 2003, 'Report on Visit to Iraq by Chief Constable Kernaghan [13-20 May 2003]'.

<sup>86</sup> Statement White, 20 June 2010, page 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Operation TELIC was the codename for the involvement of UK Armed Forces in the military campaign to remove the threat from Iraq's weapons of mass destruction.

media interest grew.<sup>88</sup> Until that point, the Government had been "reluctant" to discuss openly how post-conflict Iraq would be managed, but this was to be given greater prominence as military action began.

- **118.** The script was also circulated to all Embassies, High Commissions and Consulates to aid the briefing of "key contacts". 89
- **119.** Neither document made any reference to SSR.
- **120.** Lt Gen Reith updated the Chiefs of Staff on Phase IV planning on 21 March.<sup>90</sup> He warned that Phase IV delivery remained subject to "uncertain US dynamics at the pol/mil [politico-military] level" and identified a number of key issues that still required resolution (see Section 6.5), including how to approach SSR.
- **121.** Mr Bowen circulated a draft paper to senior officials in the FCO, MOD and DFID on 25 March that set out "British Post-Conflict Objectives" (see Section 9.1).<sup>91</sup> On SSR, the draft stated that the UK would, with others, assist reform in Iraq by:
  - supporting the observance of human rights, and legal and judicial reform; and
  - helping Iraq generate reformed and accountable security forces acting in accordance with human rights standards.
- **122.** Mr Bowen suggested that officials should show the draft paper to their Ministers, if they had not already done so: "We will then see the outcome of the Prime Ministerial visit to Camp David and consider formal submission early next week."
- **123.** The AHMGIR met on 10 April.<sup>92</sup> Ministers agreed that the UK should participate in work being done by the Office of Reconstruction and Humanitarian Affairs (ORHA), headed by retired US Lieutenant General Jay Garner. The UK should lead a group on security sector management and planning for SSR.

## The Ad Hoc Ministerial Group on Iraq Rehabilitation

The Ad Hoc Ministerial Group on Iraq Rehabilitation (AHMGIR) was a sub-committee of Cabinet with a particular focus on the reconstruction of Iraq. It was chaired by the Foreign Secretary and was attended by the Chancellor, Defence Secretary, Development Secretary and Trade and Industry Secretary. The AHMGIR is described in detail in Section 2.

<sup>88</sup> Minute Owen to Rycroft, 20 March 2003, 'Iraq: Phase IV: Core Script'.

<sup>89</sup> Telegram 150 FCO to Abidjan, 19 March 2003, 'Irag: Core Script - Phase IV'.

<sup>90</sup> Minute Reith to COSSEC, 21 March 2003, 'Phase IV Planning - Taking Stock'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Letter Bowen to Chaplin, 25 March 2003, 'Iraq: Post Conflict Objectives' attaching Paper [draft], 25 March 2003, 'Iraq: British Post-Conflict Objectives'.

<sup>92</sup> Minutes, 10 April 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

- **124.** On 14 April, Mr Blair told the House of Commons that:
  - "... around 2,000 police officers have reported for work, there are some joint patrols in being and the head of the civil police department, not to be confused with the special security forces, has ordered police to return to work." <sup>93</sup>
- **125.** In a conversation with President Bush on 14 April, Mr Blair stated that the Iraqi police clearly needed to be re-organised and deployed.<sup>94</sup> There could be a role for foreign police contingents.
- **126.** General Tommy Franks, Commander in Chief US Central Command (CENTCOM), issued his 'Freedom Message to the Iraqi People' on 16 April 2003.<sup>95</sup> It instructed the Iraqi armed forces and security organisations to:
  - "... lay down their arms ... and ... obey the orders of the nearest Coalition military commander. All other Iraqis should continue their normal daily activities; officials should report to their places of work until told otherwise."
- 127. On 21 April, OHRA entered Iraq.96
- **128.** In late April, the UK AO was declared "permissive", first by UK forces on 22 April<sup>97</sup> and a few days later by the UN Security Co-ordinator.<sup>98</sup> The Coalition defined "permissive" environments as ones to which humanitarian assistance organisations could have access, although they should use all precautionary measures and notify the Coalition Forces.<sup>99</sup>
- **129.** Section 8 describes the evolution of the boundaries of the UK AO. On 24 April, Ministers agreed that "the size of the UK military sector will depend on the permissiveness of the environment and the extent of other nations' contributions, but the current assumption was that it would comprise four, or possibly five provinces in the South". <sup>100</sup>
- **130.** As Occupying Power in those provinces, the UK had responsibility for the provision of public order and safety under international law and resolution 1483 (2003), as set out in Section 9.1.

<sup>93</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 14 April 2003, column 616.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Letter Cannon to McDonald, 14 April 2003, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Conversation with Bush, 14 April'.

<sup>95</sup> Statement General Tommy R Franks, 16 April 2003, 'Freedom Message to the Iragi People'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> Note MOD to No.10, 23 April 2003, 'Iraq: Update for the Number 10 Sitrep – As at 0630 on 23 April 2003'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> Written evidence to the Select Committee on Defence, 16 March 2004, 'Further Memorandum from the Ministry of Defence on Post Conflict Issues', February 2004', HC 57-III.

<sup>99</sup> Report of The Independent Panel on the Safety and Security of UN Personnel in Iraq, 20 October 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> Minutes, 24 April 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

### **Resolution 1483**

Resolution 1483 was adopted on 22 May 2003.<sup>101</sup> In relation to security and SSR, the Security Council called upon the Coalition to:

"... promote the welfare of the Iraqi people through the effective administration of the territory, including in particular working towards the restoration of conditions of security and stability and the creation of conditions in which the Iraqi people can freely determine their own political future."

Resolution 1483 also provided for a UN Special Representative who would, in co-ordination with the Coalition, encourage "international efforts to rebuild the capacity of the Iraqi civilian police force" and "promote legal and judicial reforms".

- **131.** The report of a visit to Iraq by DFID officials described how the "justice and security teams" within ORHA had:
  - "... drawn up extensive plans covering law and order, transitional justice, longer term institutional reform in the justice sector and limited DDR. Justice sector plans have been developed over two years, are well thought through and, with the requisite UN authority, would provide an excellent basis for future work. The likely breakdown in public administration and the implications for law and order were identified before the Iraq conflict began. Unfortunately, this advice was disregarded by the US Administration and the Coalition military." 102
- **132.** The AHMGIR met on 24 April.<sup>103</sup> In discussion, it was stated that the UK had "plenty of good expertise on Security Sector Reform and should play a prominent role". Ministers agreed that the UK should lobby the US to create a "comprehensive strategy" and to involve UK personnel in ORHA scoping studies. There was no attendee from the Home Office.
- **133.** Ministers also agreed that UK forces should continue to exercise a policing function while attempting to revive the local police forces and courts.
- **134.** On 2 May, ORHA issued a call to all Baghdad employees of the MOI, the IPS, Civil Defence Force, Vital Institutions Protection Force and traffic police, summoning them back to work for 4 May.<sup>104</sup> Similar calls were also issued by individual Coalition Force Commanders "as their areas were secured".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> UN Security Council Resolution 1483 (2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> Minute PS [DFID] to Rycroft, 22 April 2003, 'Iraq: Engagement with ORHA'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> Minutes, 24 April 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Irag Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> Report CPA Interior Ministry, 30 May 2003, 'Iraq Police: An Assessment of the Present and Recommendations for the Future'.

- **135.** On 6 May, President Bush announced the appointment of Ambassador Paul Bremer as the Presidential Envoy to Iraq and head of the CPA (see Section 9.1). <sup>105</sup> The CPA quickly subsumed ORHA, retaining many of its staff. <sup>106</sup>
- **136.** Within the CPA, Ambassador Bremer's Senior Adviser for National Security and Defense was Mr Walt Slocombe.<sup>107</sup> A former New York City Police Commissioner, Mr Bernard Kerik, became the CPA's senior adviser to the MOI.
- **137.** On 6 May, Mr Straw announced to Parliament that Mr John Sawers had been appointed as the Prime Minister's Special Representative on Iraq:

"Mr Sawers will work alongside Chris Segar, head of the newly opened British office in Baghdad, particularly in relation to the political process and our work in the Office of Reconstruction and Humanitarian Assistance." 108

**138.** On 8 May the AHMGIR discussed a paper on SSR produced by the IPU.<sup>109</sup> The paper stated:

"Reform across the full range of security activities (armed forces, intelligence agencies, justice and law enforcement institutions) is an essential element of the overall Coalition strategy to establish a united and representative Iraqi Government and to create the conditions under which the Coalition can eventually disengage.

"The objective must be the transformation of Iraq's security institutions so that they play an effective, legitimate and democratically accountable role in providing external and internal security for Iraq's citizens ... UK experience suggests that a coherent strategy will need effective burden sharing and ... the extended involvement of NGOs and other SSR actors. Immediate decisions and urgent action is needed to deal with the potential problems of unemployed and disaffected military and security service personnel."

- **139.** The paper described the US approach as "embryonic" and assessed that it "tends to approach elements of the security sector separately". It also stated that "we must recognise that influencing US views may prove difficult, and will undoubtedly require considerable and sustained effort".
- **140.** The paper reported that Mr Slocombe was assembling a team to deploy to Iraq later that month to establish the "Office of the Senior Advisor for the Ministry of Defence Iraq and the Iraqi National Defence Force". He was reported to be "actively seeking

. .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 6 May 2003, column 515.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> Paper IPU, May 2003, 'Iraq – Security Sector Reform'; Minutes, 8 May 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

Coalition partners to join his team and a number of key posts ... have been identified for possible UK secondees".

- **141.** The paper concluded that "the UK will neither be required nor able to develop an independent policy on SSR in Iraq". The immediate UK priorities were therefore aimed at seeking to influence the development of US policy. Although the paper recommended that the UK should "contribute personnel and expertise", there was no mention of where those resources would come from or what particular role they might be expected to play.
- **142.** In discussion, the point was made that in Afghanistan, UK influence over the approach of the US to SSR had been limited.<sup>111</sup>

### **143.** Ministers agreed that:

- The UK should continue to encourage the US to adopt a broad concept of SSR, and "to address the employment of Iraqi defence and security personnel urgently through DDR processes".
- UK personnel should be deployed, including the creation of an SSR secretariat within ORHA, to advise on cross-cutting SSR issues.
- The UK should facilitate UN, international financial institutions and other donor engagement in SSR.

### **De-Ba'athification**

**144.** On 16 May, Ambassador Bremer issued CPA Order No.1 which eliminated all Ba'ath Party structures and banned "Senior Party Members" (the top four ranks of the Party) from serving in Iraq's public sector. It also placed individuals in senior management roles (the top three levels of management) under investigation. The impact of the de-Ba'athification process is described in more detail in Section 11.1.

**145.** Order No.1 had an immediate impact on the senior management of the security structures in Iraq, although Mr Slocombe observed in an interview in 2004 that: "Out of a Ba'ath Party membership of well over a million, maybe more, only about 40,000 people were in this category ... only about 10 percent of the brigadier generals were in these top four ranks."<sup>113</sup>

**146.** The CPA's records indicate that, of the 860 judges and prosecutors in post at the time of CPA Order No.1, 656 were reviewed under the de-Ba'athification scheme. <sup>114</sup> As a result 176 were removed from their positions, with 185 new judges and prosecutors being appointed to take their place.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> Paper IPU, May 2003, 'Iraq – Security Sector Reform'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> Minutes, 8 May 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Memorandum Number 1 – Implementation of De-Ba'athification Order No. 1 (CPA/ORD/16 May 2003/01), 3 June 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> PBS, 26 October 2004, Interview Walter Slocombe.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> Report Coalition Provisional Authority, [undated], 'An Historic Review of CPA Accomplishments'.

- **147.** In a meeting with Ambassador Bremer and Mr Sawers on 16 May, some of the Iraqi leaders present argued that the scope of the recently announced de-Ba'athification policy should be "broadened to include the security services and army, private companies set up under Saddam, and the media". <sup>115</sup> Bremer promised that there would be a further proclamation on the security services and army in the days ahead.
- **148.** On 23 May, CPA Order No.2 "Dissolution of Entities" "dissolved" (or disbanded) a number of military and other security entities that had operated as part of Saddam Hussein's regime. <sup>116</sup> The dissolved entities included:
  - the government ministries responsible for Defence, Information and Military Affairs:
  - the intelligence agencies;
  - the armed forces; and
  - the paramilitary forces which were closely associated with Saddam Hussein.

### 149. CPA Order No.2 also stated that:

- military ranks were cancelled;
- conscripts were released;
- a termination payment would be paid to those dismissed, except to senior party members; and
- pensions would continue to be paid, except to senior party members.
- **150.** Neither the IPS nor the MOI were dissolved. Reflecting on the Order several years later, Ambassador Bremer wrote in the *New York Times* that the "police force, which we did recall to duty, has proven unreliable and is mistrusted by the very Iraqi people it is supposed to protect".<sup>117</sup>
- **151.** In his book *State of Denial*, Mr Bob Woodward suggested that an early draft of the Order had proposed disbanding the MOI. 118 At Lt Gen Garner's suggestion, that had not been implemented, in order to preserve the IPS who were employed by the MOI.
- **152.** Existing members of the organisations listed above were dismissed from their former employment, with effect from 16 April (the date of Gen Franks' declaration). 119

### 153. Order No.2 also announced:

"The CPA plans to create in the near future a New Iraqi Corps, 120 as the first step in forming a national self-defense capability for a free Iraq. Under civilian control,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> Telegram 13 IraqRep to FCO London, 17 May 2003, 'Iraq: Bremer Meets Leadership Group'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Order Number 2, 23 May 2003, Section 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> New York Times, 6 September 2007, How I didn't dismantle Irag's army.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> Woodward B. State of Denial. Simon & Schuster UK Ltd, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Order Number 2, 23 May 2003, Section 3(1)-(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> The New Iraqi Corps later became the New Iraqi Army.

that Corps will be professional, non-political, militarily effective, and representative of all Iraqis. The CPA will promulgate procedures for participation in the New Iraqi Corps."<sup>121</sup>

- **154.** There was nothing in CPA Order No.2 that prevented former employees of the dissolved entities including the military from applying to join the New Iraqi Army (NIA), although the provisions of Order No.1 would apply.
- **155.** Hard Lessons, the account of US involvement in Iraq by the US Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, records that Order No.2 was drafted by Mr Slocombe. 122
- **156.** In a 2004 interview, Mr Slocombe observed that the reasons for disbanding the Iraqi Army were both political and practical:
  - The Army had effectively disappeared after the invasion and its barracks had been heavily looted: "We didn't disband the army. The army disbanded itself ... Furthermore, even if they had come back ... all the facilities were trashed."
  - The structure of the former Iraqi Army was such that it would have required substantial reform to be a suitable modern army: "... it was a conscript army with overwhelmingly Shia conscripts and overwhelmingly Sunni officers ... The Iraqi Army had 11,000 general officers. The American Army ... has 300 general officers." 123
- **157.** Lieutenant General Jonathon Riley, who served in Baghdad in 2003 as Deputy Head of the Coalition Military Assistance Training Team (CMATT), told the Inquiry that the CPA was left with no choice but to disband the army:

"He [Ambassador Bremer] is criticised for doing it, but I believe that by the time he made that decree, the army had disbanded itself and what was left of its infrastructure had been largely torn apart by the population, which had lost all respect for its own army. A very bad situation to be in."124

- **158.** According to the RAND Report, *After Saddam: Pre-war Planning and the Occupation of Iraq*:
  - "... the decision to disband the Iraqi armed forces was ... made in Washington ... in early May 2003, before the deployment of Ambassador L Paul Bremer to Baghdad." 125

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Order Number 2, 23 May 2003, Section 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> PBS, 26 October 2004, Interview Walter Slocombe.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> Public hearing, 14 December 2009, pages 31-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> Bensahel N, Oliker O, Crane K, Brennan RR Jr, Gregg HS, Sullivan T & Rathmell A. *After Saddam: Prewar Planning and the Occupation of Iraq.* RAND Corporation, 2008.

- 159. Mr Douglas Feith, the former US Under Secretary for Defense and Policy, recorded in his memoir that he had been briefed by Ambassador Bremer and Mr Slocombe on 9 May 2003 about "their plan to dissolve the Iraqi Army". 126
- **160.** According to *Hard Lessons*, the US Department of Defense (DoD) had not discussed the Order with senior officials from other US agencies before approving it. 127 Secretary Powell recalled that "There was no meeting on it; there was no, 'Gee, is this a good idea?' You couldn't even tell who had decided it."
- **161.** Major General Tim Cross, a senior secondee to ORHA, told the Inquiry that the decision to demobilise the army had been made "against all advice from Garner and myself". 128
- **162.** Sir Kevin Tebbit, MOD Permanent Under Secretary between 2001 and 2005, told the Inquiry:
  - "I was not aware of any discussion with us, with the UK, before those judgements were taken. After they were taken, the Americans said to us ... that it had to happen anyway, because the army had disappeared. Well, true, but not the 10,000 officers. So I didn't quite buy that."129
- **163.** Ambassador Bremer had specifically raised the issue of "dissolving the MOD and the security and intelligence organisations" and "establish[ing] a new national army" in his first meeting with Mr Sawers on 12 May. 130 Mr Sawers had not expressed any concerns and commented that Ambassador Bremer had made a "good dynamic start".
- 164. Mr Slocombe met Mr Hoon in London on 13 May. 131 Mr Slocombe produced a record of this meeting for Ambassador Bremer (a leaked copy of which has appeared on the internet), which stated:
  - "If some UK officers or officials think we should try to rebuild and reassemble the old RA [regular army] they did not give any hint of it ..."
- **165.** The MOD record of Mr Hoon's meeting with Mr Slocombe on 13 May stated that Mr Slocombe had:
  - "... outlined ... the plans for ... the new Iraqi Armed Force. He emphasised that this would be a new Army, rather than a reconstituted version of the old."132

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> Feith DJ. War and Decision: Inside the Pentagon at the Dawn of the War on Terrorism. HarperCollins, 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> Bowen SW Jr. Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> Statement, 2009, page 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> Private hearing, 6 May 2010, page 36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> Telegram 5 IraqRep to FCO London, 12 May 2003, 'Iraq: Bremer's First Moves'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> BBC News, 29 October 2007, In full: Memo from Walt Slocombe to Bremer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> Minute APS/Secretary of State [MOD] to Policy Director, 13 May 2003, 'Call on Defence Secretary by Walt Slocombe: 13 May 2003'.

- **166.** There is nothing in the record to suggest that Mr Hoon or those accompanying him raised any concerns.
- **167.** Mr Hoon offered UK support with the training of the NIA and stated that it was important that police reform took place in the context of a wider SSR process, "including lawyers, judges, prison officers".
- **168.** The Inquiry asked Mr Simon Webb, MOD Policy Director, about the meeting.<sup>133</sup> Mr Webb said that he had expected the Iraqi Army to be retained and reformed but observed:

"Of course, life then became particularly complicated when the army disappeared, because of course Saddam [Hussein] had himself ... dispersed the army so that it couldn't become a political threat to him. Under this ... strange command structure he had introduced in 2002, the army just ... gave up and went away. So when Walt Slocombe showed up ... it seemed a bit odd to ... summon the army back in again when you knew that actually you really wanted a rather different army from a democratisation point of view."

- **169.** Mr Ian Lee, MOD Director General Operational Policy, told the Inquiry that although he had met Mr Slocombe when the latter visited London in May 2003, he did not recall a discussion on the disbandment of the Iraqi military.<sup>134</sup>
- **170.** The Chiefs of Staff met on 14 May.<sup>135</sup> Minutes of the meeting recorded that "the de-Ba'athification process was anticipated to render all those officials senior to the rank of Lieutenant Colonel as 'out of play'". No concerns about that were recorded, nor do the minutes contain any mention of concern about Mr Slocombe's plan to rebuild the Iraqi Army from scratch.
- **171.** Adm Boyce told the Inquiry that he had "laid down ... that we should not ... go through de-Ba'athification or indeed disband the Iraqi Army. I saw that as being absolutely essential for the future." <sup>136</sup>
- **172.** Sir David Manning told the Inquiry about the impact of dissolving Iraqi military and security entities:
  - "... these were policies that added to the difficulties, because we might have addressed the security vacuum by trying to encourage Iraqi police, Iraqi military, to co-operate with us, instead of which, they are disbanded and then become natural dissidents and potential insurgents." <sup>137</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup> Private hearing, 23 June 2010, pages 66-68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> Private hearing, 22 June 2010, pages 55-56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> Minutes, 14 May 2003, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup> Public hearing, 27 January 2011, pages 94-95.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> Public hearing, 30 November 2009, page 91.

- **173.** That view was shared by Mr Hoon, who told the Inquiry that:
  - "... some of the security difficulties, particularly in and around Baghdad were the result of disaffected people, no longer receiving their salary, joining the insurgency and, indeed, putting their expertise to use in the sense that there was a clear suggestion to me that some of the attacks became more sophisticated as some [former] military people became involved ...
  - "... I think that it would have been better to have that stability in that immediate aftermath and I think that, to some extent, disbanding the army fuelled the insurgency in a way that made it much harder to contain." <sup>138</sup>

### **174.** Sir John Sawers told the Inquiry:

"I don't think it is credible to lay the insurgency, the roots of the insurgency, in the decision to disband the army ... The decision to formally disband the army was not something that inspired or triggered the insurgency. It may, in some areas, have compounded it, but it wasn't the fundamental reason behind it." 139

- **175.** The Order to disband the army also reduced the rate at which the security forces were later re-established. General Sir John Reith told the Inquiry that if the army had not been disbanded "there was still some structure there we could have built on, whereas, as it was, we really had to start from scratch". <sup>140</sup>
- **176.** Lieutenant General Sir John Kiszely, who became Senior British Military Representative Iraq (SBMR-I) in October 2004, described the impact on army capability:

"The Iraqi Army, of course, as a result of the Coalition Provisional Authority's decision to disband the army, had been starting from scratch in many areas. So the competence of commanders was in many cases way below that which you would expect of their rank." 141

- **177.** Lieutenant General Sir Robert Fry, Deputy Chief of Joint Operations from May 2003 to July 2003, told the Inquiry that:
  - "... one advantage that the Iraqi Army has had subsequently over the Iraqi police force is that it was created ab initio and thus did not contain some of the flaws that manifested themselves in the Iraqi police force in subsequent years." 142

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> Public hearing, 19 January 2010, page 161.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> Public hearing, 10 December 2009, page 78.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> Private hearing, 15 January 2010, page 61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> Public hearing, 14 December 2009, page 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> Public hearing, 16 December 2009, page 76.

- **178.** Mr Sawers had reported to the FCO in London on 20 May that the question of "what to do about military pay and pensions" was one of the "problems in the pipeline". <sup>143</sup> No further details were provided.
- **179.** Maj Gen Cross prepared a note for Mr Blair on 22 May setting out some points to make in a forthcoming discussion with President Bush. Those included a reference to the recent de-Ba'athification announcement having "created some inevitable difficulties".
- **180.** Maj Gen Cross also raised a concern about what he understood to be the emerging policy decision not to pay pensions to former military personnel. He commented that "there are dangers in excluding such a large and possibly well armed group" from arrangements that were to apply to other members of the public sector.
- **181.** Although no details of the amount of that payment and the arrangements for receiving it were given in the Order itself, those dismissed by CPA Order No.2 were entitled to a termination payment (unless they were a "Senior Party Member" within the terms of the de-Ba'athification Order). Those who had previously been receiving a pension from one of the dissolved organisations would continue to receive that pension (again, unless they were a "Senior Party Member").
- **182.** A telegram from FCO London to Washington, dated 30 May, identified the problem of large numbers of people (and in particular those with military training) out of work and without prospect of further employment. The FCO commented:
  - "... the Coalition needs a policy to reduce the perverse incentives for ... [that group] to drift towards the hard core actively opposing the Coalition ... one solution might be to create a workfare scheme a pool of labour drawn specifically from those left unemployed by the disbandment of the security apparatus, to meet urgent short term requirements ...
  - "In the medium term, Security Sector Reform (SSR) will make a significant contribution to resolving this issue."
- **183.** An alternative proposal for re-employing former army personnel was put forward by the Cabinet Office in the Annotated Agenda for the AHMGIR on 12 June. <sup>147</sup> Officials suggested "pioneering the re-employment of former Iraqi service personnel as static guards in the UK-led military sectors".
- **184.** The minutes of the meeting do not record a discussion of the proposal. 148

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> Telegram 18 IragRep to FCO London, 20 May 2003, 'Irag: Bremer's Impact'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> Letter Williams to Rycroft, 23 May 2003, 'Iraq Reconstruction' attaching Paper Cross, 22 May 2003,

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Iraq Reconstruction: Some Thoughts for the PM in his Discussions with President Bush'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>145</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Order Number 2, 23 May 2003, Section 3(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> Telegram 251 FCO London to Washington, 30 May 2003, 'Iraq: Dismantling the Ba'athist State'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> Annotated Agenda, 12 June 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> Minutes, 12 June 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

**185.** Mr Sawers reported on 20 June that plans were in train to pay more generous severance payments and a monthly stipend for those with at least 15 years' service who were not senior Ba'athists. <sup>149</sup> Mr Sawers' own view was that the sums being proposed "may not be enough to solve the problem", adding:

"Bremer has an open mind on this, though does not want to burden a future Iraqi Government more than necessary, nor be more generous to ex-soldiers than to civilians ... At a meeting with leading Iraqis today Bremer sought views on how to deal with the ex-military. The great majority of those present – including Shia Islamists – argued that regular payments should be made to ease the security threat that the ex-military would pose if they were marginalised."

**186.** Payments for ex-servicemen were announced on 23 June. 150

**187.** Ms Ann Clwyd, the Prime Minister's Special Envoy to Iraq on Human Rights from 2003 to 2009, told the Inquiry that "many people slipped through the net ... senior people, who could have been used in those early stages to help the Coalition". She recounted a meeting with a senior army officer who had queued for his stipend for two weeks without reaching the front of the queue. He had told her: "if they want to humiliate us, this is the way of doing it".

**188.** Major General Freddie Viggers, who arrived in Baghdad in May 2003 as SBMR-I, described similar scenes:

"I can remember going with Walt Slocombe in to see Paul Bremer and saying 'this has got to stop. The numbers at the gate now are over 1,000, 2,000, 3,000, and we have to start giving these people a little bit of respect and the means to live on'." 152

# Views on SSR: May 2003

**189.** Sir David Manning visited Baghdad and Basra in May. A substantial part of his report to Mr Blair (described in Section 9.2) dealt with policing and security, and the way in which the UK could contribute to restoring order in the Iraqi capital. He reported to Mr Blair that: "Baghdad remains key; and the key to Baghdad is security." Sir David's view was that:

"Police training could have a disproportionate impact. (Police are conspicuous by their absence). A quick win would be moving 16 Air Assault Brigade to Baghdad with the task of providing police training for six weeks."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> Telegram 46 IraqRep to FCO London, 20 June 2003, 'Iraq: Payments to Ex-Military, and Preparations for the New Army'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> CPA Press Notice, 23 June 2003, 'Good News for Iragi Soldiers'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> Public hearing, 3 February 2010, pages 26-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> Public hearing, 9 December 2009, page 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> Minute Manning to Prime Minister, 22 May 2003, 'Irag – Visit to Baghdad and Basra'.

- **190.** The proposal to deploy 16 Air Assault Brigade was supported by Major General David Richards, the Assistant Chief of the General Staff, who had been sent to Iraq by Mr Hoon and General Sir Michael Walker, CDS, to scope the potential for a UK role in improving SSR.<sup>154</sup>
- **191.** The deployment was also encouraged by Mr Sawers, who emphasised the strategic importance of taking action in the immediate future to avoid further deterioration in security.<sup>155</sup>
- **192.** On 23 May, Mr Peter Watkins, Mr Hoon's Private Secretary, wrote to Sir David Manning to explain that the MOD advised against the deployment to Baghdad, on the basis that it was "likely to have only a marginal effect" and might divert effort away from the South of Iraq, where the UK was seeking to implement an "exemplary approach". <sup>156</sup>
- **193.** The Chiefs of Staff discussed SSR on 29 May, when they considered a paper prepared by the SPG.<sup>157</sup> The paper had been circulated to the FCO and the Cabinet Office, though not to DFID, the Home Office or other departments with responsibility for wider security or justice issues.<sup>158</sup>
- **194.** The SPG defined SSR as addressing "all aspects of the security sector (police, judiciary, penal service, border security, intelligence services and armed forces) as part of a long term holistic programme of change". Although SSR was normally led by DFID, with the MOD, the FCO and the Home Office as stakeholders, for Iraq the IPU had been given the lead "for the development of UK strategy through the Cabinet Office".
- **195.** The SPG recognised that SSR in Iraq was part of both the security and reconstruction efforts, and defined the SSR goal for Phase IV as:
  - "To establish as soon as possible the core elements of a legitimate, accountable, sustainable Security Sector which safeguards the Rights of Citizens and provides adequate Defence of future Iraqi Sovereignty."
- **196.** The paper concluded with an assessment of the level of UK military resource that should be devoted to that task, commenting that "we may face a requirement to provide additional resources" and that there was "a choice about the degree of military involvement" which would "have implications for both the level [sic] resources and the length of time we are likely to be engaged".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> Minute ACGS to PSO/CDS, 20 May 2003, 'Op TELIC: ACGS' Trip to Iraq (17-21 May 03) – Initial Findings and Recommendations'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>155</sup> Minutes, 22 May 2003, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> Letter Watkins to Manning, 23 May 2003, 'Security in Baghdad'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> Minutes, 29 May 2003, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> Minute Williams to COSSEC, 15 May 2003, 'Iraq – Security Sector Reform' attaching Paper [SPG], 15 May 2003, 'Iraq – Security Sector Reform'.

**197.** In the area of Iraq under UK control, UK forces were "likely to be faced with a variety of low level military activities required of Coalition Forces by the US to enable SSR implementation (e.g. oversight of recruiting procedures, provision of training advice and resources)".

#### **198.** The SPG's recommendations included:

- "We should proceed on the basis of minimal engagement in SSR in Iraq coherent with current Ministerial intent."
- "We should seek clarification of where the funding for engagement in SSR will be forthcoming."
- That the UK should seek clarification from the US on "who will decide size and shape of internal security forces".

**199.** The minutes of the Chiefs of Staff meeting recorded that the MOD "should remain flexible on the degree to which the UK should be militarily involved, but be forward leaning in those areas where valuable assistance could be offered."<sup>159</sup>

# The police structure in the UK

In his statement to the Inquiry, Chief Constable Paul Kernaghan described the police structure in the UK during the Iraq conflict. There were 52 territorial forces: the Home Office was responsible for the 43 forces that cover England and Wales, the Scottish Executive for the eight forces in Scotland and the Northern Ireland Office for the Police Service in Northern Ireland (PSNI). Each force was headed by a Chief Constable (or Commissioner), who were members of the Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO). Within ACPO, discrete "business areas" were led by individual Chief Constables "in addition to other duties".

From 2000 to 2008, CC Kernaghan was the lead on international affairs for ACPO in addition to his role as Chief Constable of Hampshire Constabulary.

**200.** CC Kernaghan had first been informed of a potential requirement to deploy police officers to Iraq in an email from a junior Home Office official on 14 April. <sup>162</sup> That exchange is also referred to earlier in this Section. The junior official explained:

"As you know, we would expect the FCO, who lead on the UK contribution to the policing element of international peacekeeping/crisis management operations, to initiate any request for policing assistance in Iraq. No formal approach has yet been made. But in view of the Home Secretary's keen interest, I spoke to Stephen Pattison, Head of the UN Department at the FCO, to find out what their thinking was.

161 Chief Countables of female in Coults

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> Minutes, 29 May 2003, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>160</sup> Statement, 9 June 2010, pages 1-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>161</sup> Chief Constables of forces in Scotland were members of ACPO Scotland.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> Email Home Office [junior official] to Kernaghan, 14 April 2003, 'Potential police involvement in Irag'.

He considers that an initial, fairly small, deployment of armed police officers to Basra would be useful in the first instance. The idea being that they would seek out credible elements of the local police force and encourage them (e.g. to act against looters etc).

"To meet this requirement, the International Policing Unit was looking to recruit about 20 Ministry of Defence Police officers, after the MDP [Ministry of Defence Police] had made a short reconnaissance visit ... Stephen Pattison said that he would like to see how this initiative worked out, before deciding whether to ask the HO [Home Office] for any assistance from other (i.e. ACPO) forces."

**201.** In his response CC Kernaghan asked a number of questions about how the UK government envisaged any civilian policing assistance fitting in with the current military role and volunteered to visit Iraq "to consult with appropriate Coalition commanders/ administrators and assess the input the UK could make". 163

**202.** CC Kernaghan told the Inquiry that he was "quite clear" that he could not offer valid professional advice unless he had "first hand exposure to the realities of contemporary Iraq". 164

**203.** On 23 May, CC Kernaghan reported to Mr Blunkett and Mr Straw on his visit to Iraq the previous week, undertaken to assess the possibilities for a UK police contribution to the Coalition effort and the scale of the task involved. <sup>165</sup> CC Kernaghan identified a number of challenges that he judged the Coalition powers would need to overcome in order to deliver effective law and order within Iraq:

- The absence of strategic direction or professionally informed planning. As well as the disorganisation he encountered in ORHA (which he attributed in part to the transition to the CPA), CC Kernaghan highlighted the absence of a clear plan from either of the two Occupying Powers for maintaining law and order or operating an effective criminal justice system. He observed that, in the course of his visit, it had become apparent that the UK had been preparing for the potential Occupation for some time and stated that it was a matter of "regret" that professional police advice from the UK had not been sought until April 2003.
- Criminal justice infrastructure was "totally degraded with police stations, courthouses and prisons having been looted by the local population and in some cases their own staff". CC Kernaghan commented that: "Looting does not do justice to the level of destruction inflicted and I can best liken the outcome to the progress of locusts across a field of corn." He suggested that a prison facility "meeting minimum international standards was also a high priority" and that the old Iraqi prison facilities that had been discovered indicated that "humane"

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>163</sup> Email Kernaghan to Home Office [junior official], 14 April 2003, 'Potential UK civil police involvement in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> Statement, 9 June 2010, page 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>165</sup> Letter Kernaghan to Blunkett, 23 May 2003, 'Iraq – visit by Chief Constable P R Kernaghan' attaching Report Kernaghan, 10 May 2003, 'Report on Visit to Iraq by Chief Constable Kernaghan [13-20 May 2003]'.

- treatment of prisoners was an unknown concept." Prisoners were, at the time, being held in the theatre internment facility designed for prisoners of war.
- The difference between the social norms and attitudes of the Occupying Powers and the local people and their neighbours in the wider region. That divergence was going to be a significant issue: "If the [Coalition Powers] are going to ensure that their values and concept of human rights are respected in Iraq, excellent. Equally, if they feel that legally they cannot change Iraqi society on those lines, it would be helpful for that reality to be spelt out. This is particularly relevant to policing, as any international officer serving in Iraq could not be associated with operational policing which did not reflect the human rights position of their parent country. Ambiguity and obfuscation would not survive long in the glare of media and domestic political scrutiny."

**204.** After returning to the UK from a visit to Iraq, Mr Blair sent a personal note to President Bush. <sup>166</sup> Sir David Manning provided copies to Mr Straw, Mr Hoon, Mr Watkins and Mr Powell, but instructed "It must not go wider".

#### **205.** Mr Blair wrote that:

"... the task is absolutely awesome and I'm not at all sure we're geared for it. This is worse than re-building a country from scratch."

**206.** He went on to explain that security in Baghdad had to be dealt with at once; police training was vital and urgent.

**207.** During May, the CPA Interior Ministry's International Police Assistance Team (IPAT) – comprising 15 policing experts from the UK, US, Canada and Denmark – carried out an assessment of the Iraqi police, to inform the CPA's plans for reconstituting and developing policing in Iraq.<sup>167</sup> The Coalition had originally intended to conduct the policing assessment as part of a wider justice sector assessment; however, because "the law and order situation was in a critical state of disarray ... the ... priority was defined as establishing the police forces" and the work on policing was taken forward in advance of wider work on the justice sector. The team produced a 56-page assessment of the state and future of policing in Iraq on 30 May.

**208.** The IPAT assessed that the law and order situation varied across Iraq. It explained that the arrangements for recalling and reinstating the Iraqi police had varied geographically and that individual Coalition commanders had taken different approaches to the training and re-use of existing police:

"This effectively began the creation of four potentially different police forces in Iraq: North, Central, South Central and South."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> Letter Manning to McDonald, 2 June 2003, 'Irag: Prime Minister's Note' attaching Note, 'Note'.

Report CPA Interior Ministry, 30 May 2003, 'Iraq Police: An Assessment of the Present and Recommendations for the Future'.

#### **209.** The IPAT commented:

"Encouragingly, the Iraqi police are co-operating and demonstrating a willingness to work alongside the Coalition Forces in order to address the law and order situation."

## **210.** The IPAT explained that ORHA had:

- issued guidelines "to ensure that a standardised approach is utilised while re-organising the police within each military area of responsibility";
- established "criteria for vetting existing and screening newly recruited personnel";
- stated that programmes of instruction to re-train all existing personnel and new recruits would be complete by mid-June;
- increased salary levels; and
- begun vetting of senior police officers in response to the de-Ba'athification Order.

### **211.** The IPAT assessment concluded:

"The Iraqi Police, as currently constituted and trained, are unable to independently maintain law and order and need the assistance and guidance of Coalition Force assets (or some similar follow on force) to accomplish this task."

- **212.** The Iraqi police would need to be "redesigned and redeveloped" if they were to become capable of engendering public trust and confidence and being able to recover from the "years of neglect" and the "repressive command structure" that prohibited training, proactivity and initiative.
- **213.** The IPAT recommended demilitarising the structure and ethos of the police and, while it recommended that the new police force should be recruited primarily from those who served in the previous Iraqi police, there should be tight vetting arrangements, retraining and "the establishment of an aggressive Office of Professional Standards … that ferrets out corruption while immediately addressing unprofessional, unethical or criminal behaviour within the Service".
- **214.** The IPAT set out a summary of the principles to be applied to vetting. It explained that the purpose was both "to remove unacceptable personnel from the existing ... service" and to prevent unsuitable individuals joining the reconstituted police service. In addition to physical fitness and basic Arabic literacy, the criteria included:
  - "• No affiliation with the Ba'ath Party in accordance with ... CPA Order No.1;
  - No reported history of human rights violations or history of mistreatment or abuse of other persons;
  - No criminal history involving violence, theft or violating the public trust;
  - No reported history of a propensity to engage in violence or criminal acts;
  - No reported history of immoral or unethical activity."

- **215.** The IPAT explained that once applicants had met those minimum requirements, they would be investigated in line with the de-Ba'athification Order to check that they were not disqualified from employment. Absolute disqualifications would include:
  - "• Former regime security organisation affiliation (RG [Republican Guard] or worse);
  - Senior Ba'ath Party membership;
  - Terrorist organisation affiliation; or
  - Human rights violations and crimes against humanity."
- **216.** The IPAT did not provide any details of how the information on which to make judgements about individual cases was to be obtained and evaluated. Nor was there any information about who would make the judgements.
- **217.** Training programmes for existing police officers were to comprise an initial three-week transitional training programme followed by a longer-term capacity-building programme, to include monitoring and mentoring, "until an effective, locally acceptable police force is established that incorporates principles of community policing and full respect for the human rights of individuals".
- 218. The IPAT assessed that that could not be achieved "without significant international assistance" and estimated that "a requisite force" would comprise 6,633<sup>168</sup> international police advisers, an unspecified number of whom would have executive powers. Training sites should be opened in Baghdad, Basra, al-Anbar and Northern Iraq. Those whom the IPAT had spoken to as part of its research had "expressed a wish for US and UK police as trainers and supervisors", though the IPAT was of the opinion that "consideration should obviously be given to a wider pool than this and should also include consideration of other Arab police forces". There was no detail about how those advisers would be sourced.

## 219. The IPAT stated:

- "... a policy decision is needed as to the end state of the police ... so that there is an overarching vision and focus ... Two models are available. One is the classic single national police force with specialised units at the centre and decentralised administration. The second is a police force which reflects a federal government structure."
- **220.** Former Deputy Chief Constable (DCC) Douglas Brand, who served as the UK's senior policing representative in Baghdad from July 2003, told the Inquiry that he recalled seeing the IPAT assessment prior to his deployment and thought that it was "high on aspiration but very low on actual, practical capability ... not least, there wasn't a great deal of understanding of the local culture and context".<sup>169</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup> The report quotes two figures; 6,663 and 6,633. The Inquiry believes the latter is the correct figure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>169</sup> Public hearing, 29 June 2010, pages 11-13.

## SSR roles and responsibilities in Iraq

- **221.** On 3 June, a conference was held in Washington chaired by Mr Slocombe.<sup>170</sup> It was attended by personnel from the MOD, the FCO, the IPU and DFID, led by Brigadier John Rose, the Chief of Defence Staff's Liaison Officer. At the conference, Mr Slocombe proposed a new structure for the CPA's Office of the Director of Security Affairs.
- **222.** The "most significant" change to previous plans was the addition of the post of Deputy Director for SSR, which Mr Slocombe said would take on "the co-ordination role".<sup>171</sup> The British Embassy Washington commented that "this reflected a general willingness, by Slocombe at least, to view the management of the sector in the round". The UK delegation told Mr Slocombe that the UK "would be keen to provide someone" for the SSR role.
- **223.** The UK also undertook to provide a Chief Constable to advise on police support, a one or two-star civilian deputy director to work on reform of the Iraqi MOD (IMOD<sup>172</sup>), a deputy director for intelligence conversion and a one-star deputy commander of the Coalition Military Assistance<sup>173</sup> and Training Team (CMATT).<sup>174</sup> The UK also offered assistance with navy and air force reform.
- **224.** US Major General Paul Eaton arrived in Iraq on 13 June to take control of the CPA's CMATT, which was to be responsible for developing and training the NIA.<sup>175</sup>
- **225.** CMATT reported to Mr Slocombe's deputy, Lieutenant General Luis Feliu of the Spanish Army. <sup>176</sup> Maj Gen Eaton's Deputy Commander was from the UK Brigadier Jonathon Riley. There were a further eight UK officers seconded to CMATT. <sup>177</sup>
- **226.** CMATT was initially given a budget of US\$173m and directed to train three divisions of light or motorised infantry by September 2006.<sup>178</sup> It was also directed to form a small aviation element and a coastal defence force.
- **227.** In early July, responsibilities for SSR in the CPA were divided. Mr Kerik took on responsibility for the MOI including policing, fire, customs, border control, immigration,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>170</sup> Minutes, 4 June 2003, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> Telegram 780 Washington to FCO London, 3 June 2003, 'Iraq: Roundtable with Walt Slocombe, CPA Director'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>172</sup> The IMOD was also referred to as the 'Iraqi MOD' or simply the 'MOD'. The Inquiry will use the term 'IMOD' unless quoting from a document which uses an alternative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>173</sup> 'Advisory' is used instead of 'Assistance' in some papers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>174</sup> Minutes, 4 June 2003, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>175</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

Wright Dr DP & Reese Col TR. On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008.
 Paper MOD, 9 December 2009, 'Iraq Security Sector Reform'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup> Wright Dr DP & Reese Col TR. On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008.

passports, citizenship and disaster relief and Mr Slocombe focused on the development of the Iraqi Armed Forces.<sup>179</sup> Mr Kerik's team at this stage comprised 12 people with an additional five consultants on short-term assignments.

**228.** A record of the IPU's SSR team's visit to Iraq at the start of July stated:

"Although Kerik has a clear vision on his aspirations for a police force there currently appears to be a lack of strategic direction for the whole of Iraq with his focus firmly on Baghdad. That said it is understood that guidance has been given to the regions but they have largely been left to fend for themselves. It is expected that this will change as the international policing effort is established. Kerik offered much praise for the efforts and progress made in the Basra area by UK forces." 180

# **UK policing strategy: summer 2003**

**229.** On 5 June, Mr Straw sent a number of papers to Mr Blair including a three-page policing strategy produced by the UND.<sup>181</sup> It referenced the CPA's assessment of 30 May and commented that, in the FCO's view, the immediate objective was "to stabilise the security situation by creating an effective interim police force with international civilian police working alongside Iraqi police and Coalition military forces".

**230.** The strategy stated that the longer-term objective was "to establish an effective, viable and sustainable police force within a fully functioning security sector". The initial response would be deployment of "an armed International Police Monitoring Force … to Baghdad and Basra, to conduct joint patrols with the current Iraqi police force and Coalition military" requiring 3,000 armed police officers. Once the Iraqi police were considered to have received sufficient initial training, the international presence would have a longer-term training focus, eventually taking on a mentoring role.

## **231.** The UND paper suggested the following timetable:

- By 14 June: CPA/Coalition agree strategy for reforming Iraq Police Force [to include a decision on the model of police force required];
- By 21 June: Appointment of Police Commissioner to implement strategy;
- By 30 June: Police Contributors conference;
- By 14 August: Infrastructure in place for international police monitoring/ mentoring force (IPMF);
- By 31 August: Arrival of international police force (IPMF) personnel."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>179</sup> Minute Lowe [MOD], 9 July 2003, 'Visit Report – IPU Security Sector Reform Team Visit to Baghdad 4-7 July'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup> Minute Lowe [MOD], 9 July 2003, 'Visit Report – IPU Security Sector Reform Team Visit to Baghdad 4-7 July'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup> Minute Straw to Blair, 5 June 2003, 'Iraq: Winning the Peace' attaching Paper UND, 3 June 2003, 'Iraq: Security Sector Reform: Policing Strategy'.

- **232.** An FCO update to the MOD's Iraq Secretariat stated that the strategy was "broadly endorsed by the Prime Minister". 182
- **233.** On 6 June, the UND contacted the US State Department to share its draft policing strategy.<sup>183</sup>
- **234.** Sir Kevin Tebbit and Gen Walker visited Baghdad and Basra in early June. Sir Kevin reported that Ambassador Bremer had expressed a desire to draw on UK expertise from Northern Ireland and they had explored how the UK might offer assistance. Sir Kevin also recorded that Gen Walker thought that the MOD Operational Training and Advisory Group (OPTAG) should advise on the creation of a "Police and Security Sector Reform Assistance" team.
- **235.** The UND responded with a formal minute defending the UK's draft strategy. The minute stated:

"Since 1997 there has been a Whitehall system in place for the deployment of UK civilian police ... The International Policing Unit in UND, FCO is in the lead ... They ... have a large amount of experience and expertise to draw on. I do not see the need to ask OPTAG to advise on setting up a new team ... nor indeed do I see the need for a new team to be set up at all." 185

**236.** The MOD's Iraq Secretariat had also been in touch with Mr Kerik in Baghdad. 186 As a result, they considered that the FCO's policing strategy was "about three weeks behind the curve" as Mr Kerik "doesn't want an international force, he wants trainers (about 7,000 of them)."

#### **237.** The UND commented:

"Until we see a policing strategy from the Americans ... I suggest we continue to sell our proposal."

**238.** At the AHMGIR held on 12 June, the FCO's policing strategy was not discussed. <sup>187</sup> Instead discussion on SSR focused on the deployment of UK personnel.

#### **239.** The minutes also recorded:

"The US had asked for our advice on how to win hearts and minds and establish security based on our Northern Ireland experience. The MOD would send a team."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>182</sup> Letter UND [junior official] to MOD(Sec Iraq), 9 June 2003, 'Iraq: Policing Strategy'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>183</sup> Email UND [junior official] to [State Department], 6 June 2003, 'Iraq Policing'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>184</sup> Minute Tebbit to Secretary of State [MOD], 3 June 2003, 'Visit to Basra and Baghdad'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup> Minute UND [junior official] to MOD(Sec Irag), 9 June 2003, 'Irag: Policing Strategy'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>186</sup> Email UND [junior official] to Lowe [MOD], 9 June 2003, 'Policing Meeting – Tuesday 10 June'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup> Minutes, 12 June 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

- **240.** The MOD deployed OPTAG to train US military trainers and "pass on UK expertise in peace support operations" in June.<sup>188</sup>
- **241.** Mr Andy Bearpark became the CPA Director of Operations and Director of Operations and Infrastructure in June 2003. He told the Inquiry:
  - "The training of the new Iraqi police force was perceived of as an entirely civilian lead, even though military resources might be required." 189
- **242.** Mr Straw met Ambassador Bremer in Iraq on 2 July. <sup>190</sup> The record of the meeting stated that Mr Kerik had made a request for "experienced police officers to help with training and mentoring".
- **243.** The nature of this request was clarified in the record of the IPU's visit to Iraq in early July, which stated:
  - "... a specific request for 100 UK Police Officers in a mentoring/tutoring role for the next 18 months operating in Iraq was raised by Kerik." 191
- **244.** Police secondees were expected to contribute in a range of areas including training and mentoring, with some officers being expected to "have executive powers" (that is, to be operational police officers).
- 245. Acting DCC Brand deployed to Irag on 4 July. 192
- **246.** Before his deployment, the evidence seen by the Inquiry indicates that there was no clear understanding of what his role would be. There had "been a hint that the role will be to head up the international policing effort", but it was decided that he should accompany the FCO's SSR team on a visit to Baghdad and that "if there is a substantial role for him it is intended that he will stay on". <sup>193</sup>
- **247.** Former DCC Brand told the Inquiry that he had been "told that I should seek to negotiate my way in with Bernard Kerik, but if that failed to return to the UK". 194
- **248.** Once DCC Brand was in Iraq, agreement was reached that he would serve as Mr Kerik's chief adviser on policing. Shortly after arriving in Baghdad, DCC Brand

<sup>190</sup> Telegram 24 FCO London to IraqRep, 3 July 2003, 'Iraq: Foreign Secretary's Meeting with the Administrator of the Coalition Provisional Authority in Iraq, 2 July'.

Letter Williams to Rycroft, 1 July 2003, 'Iraq: Security and Troop Levels'; Letter Sinclair to Sheinwald, 17 October 2003, 'Iraq Security and Policing'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>189</sup> Public hearing, 6 July 2010, page 78.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>191</sup> Report Lowe [MOD], 9 July 2003, 'Visit Report – IPU Security Sector Reform Team Visit to Baghdad 4-7 July'.

<sup>192</sup> Statement Brand, 18 June 2010, page 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>193</sup> Minutes, 26 June 2003, 'Minutes of a Meeting to Discuss Security Sector Reform in Iraq Held at the IPU on Thursday 26 June 03'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>194</sup> Statement, 18 June 2010, page 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>195</sup> Minute Brand, 16 July 2003, 'Report from Iraq – Douglas Brand'.

requested "immediate augmentation of three staff" to support his work in Baghdad and drafted himself some more detailed Terms of Reference. Those included responsibility for:

- policing Baghdad (under Mr Kerik's policy direction) an operational function;
- developing an implementation strategy for policing in Baghdad, aimed at achieving transition from military primacy to civil police primacy;
- mentoring, coaching and training the Iraqi Chief of Police for Baghdad (once appointed) to a level that would allow the CPA to hand over authority and operational responsibility;
- advising the CPA on international support for policing in Iraq; and
- advising the CPA on the implementation of a strategy for policing in Iraq.
- **249.** Former DCC Brand told the Inquiry that the purpose of the last two objectives was to remind Mr Kerik of his "broader responsibility for the rest of Iraq". <sup>196</sup>
- **250.** Former DCC Brand later added that, "with the establishing of the second chief officer to go down there [Basra], I made an early decision, rightly or wrongly, that I wasn't going to trespass in his [Mr Kerik's] area, apart from the fact that I had enough to get on with in Baghdad and the rest of Iraq, but also there was a slightly different set-up". <sup>197</sup>
- **251.** After Mr Kerik's tenure in Iraq ended in early September 2003,<sup>198</sup> DCC Brand effectively acted as senior adviser to the MOI "for a couple of months"<sup>199</sup> until late October when a US replacement, Mr Steve Casteel, was brought in.<sup>200</sup>
- **252.** Former DCC Brand told the Inquiry that, during that period:
  - "... it was a busy time and, of course, what got neglected was the police part." 201

# The UK approach to judicial reform

By the end of June 2003, the CPA had completed a review of the Iraqi justice sector.<sup>202</sup>

DFID officials summarised the conclusions and recommendations of the report as follows:

- A holistic upgrade of legal competence was required. To practise under the current system, lawyers needed only to register and attend a one-hour interview.
- The roles of key actors in the court system needed clarification and re-definition: specifically, prosecutors and defence lawyers should be more active and judges should perform a less prosecutorial function.
- Steps needed to be taken to reduce the endemic corruption in the legal system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>196</sup> Public hearing, 29 June 2010, page 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup> Public hearing, 29 June 2010, page 89.

<sup>198</sup> Statement Brand, 28 June 2010, page 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>199</sup> Public hearing, 29 June 2010, page 92.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>200</sup> PBS, 30 December 2005, 'Training Iraqi Police Forces'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>201</sup> Public hearing, 29 June 2010, page 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>202</sup> Annotated Agenda, 26 June 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

- The legal code should be clarified; choices needed to be made about which statutes were valid and which were not.
- Judges should be screened for Ba'ath Party status.
- Legal associations needed to be strengthened to raise standards of professionalism and self-regulation.
- Discrimination against women in the legal system was overwhelming. They were not allowed to attend the judicial training course that was the basis for selection as judges and prosecutors.<sup>203</sup>

The AHMGIR was briefed that some of the recommendations were already being put into effect.<sup>204</sup> On 17 June, Ambassador Bremer had announced the opening of a new Iraqi Judicial College, the creation of a Judicial Review Committee (responsible for de-Ba'athification of the judiciary) and the establishment of a Central Criminal Court. The CPA also proposed to establish an Office of Human Rights and Transitional Justice, which would operate alongside the Ministry of Justice.

Cabinet Office officials briefed members of the AHMGIR that: "We are generally content with the direction of CPA policy, but believe that the UN and NGOs should be more involved in the next stages."

Ministers discussed the Judicial Review Team's report at the AHMGIR on 26 June and agreed that "subject to closer scrutiny" they should support the report's recommendations "including by providing specialist advice".<sup>205</sup>

A DFID-sponsored mission by the International Legal Assistance Consortium (ILAC) visited Iraq from 13 to 30 August to scope projects for the reconstruction of the judicial system.<sup>206</sup> Four of the projects recommended by the mission formed the basis of the £2.2m DFID-funded ILAC Justice Support Programme (see Box, 'International Legal Assistance Consortium').

On 10 July, the AHMGIR considered a paper on judicial reform.<sup>207</sup>

Lord Goldsmith said that corruption and intimidation had left the Iraqi judicial system in a "worse state than expected" and that it would take a "long term commitment from the international community and particularly the Arab world to rebuild". He highlighted that Iraqi people wanted a system that would deal with current crimes as well as legacy crimes.

Ministers agreed that the UK should:

- encourage qualified and vetted Iraqi legal experts to assist reconstruction of the iudicial sector:
- encourage participation of the UN, Arab and international experts;
- second suitable UK personnel where possible; and
- consider holding a conference on judicial issues in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>203</sup> Letter Glentworth to Kossoff, 22 August 2003, 'CPA Judicial Assessment Team ("the Williamson Report")'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>204</sup> Annotated Agenda, 26 June 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>205</sup> Minutes, 26 June 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>206</sup> Paper ILAC, 1 December 2003, 'ILAC Iraq Programme – Submission for DFID'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>207</sup> Minutes, 10 July 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

- **253.** The AHMGIR next discussed SSR on 17 July for which the IPU which had been renamed the 'Iraq Policy Unit' provided an update paper.<sup>208</sup> The paper stated that there had been considerable progress in various SSR areas but that there was still no cohesive strategy. That lack of strategy was described as "not necessarily ... a cause for current alarm" as it was a reflection of the rapidly moving situation in Iraq.
- **254.** The paper described the splitting of SSR responsibilities as a "set back" and informed Ministers that there were now four senior UK personnel in Baghdad working in each of the main SSR areas (policing, intelligence, army and the MOD). Agreement that DCC Brand would serve as Mr Kerik's chief adviser was "an important gain".
- **255.** The paper mentioned the requirement for approximately 7,000 international police officers. The US intended to provide 700 and the UK 100.
- **256.** At the meeting of the AHMGIR, the IPU described the 7,000 target as "ambitious" although they considered that the Organisation for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE) might provide support.<sup>209</sup> By that stage, a police donors' conference should have been held and international contributions established according to the original FCO timeline produced on 3 June. The fact that timelines were slipping was not mentioned at the meeting or in the update paper.
- **257.** On 1 August, the UND wrote to DCC Brand asking him to push for a policing strategy and stating:

"The UK and other states will be reluctant to engage in the reform of the Iraqi police service unless we are shown that there is a clear strategy ... If the CPA Interior Ministry is genuinely considering the deployment of a significant mentoring mission, it will urgently need to address these issues and make its case to a wider audience. We fully appreciate the constraints of the operating environment, but we are keen to keep up momentum and build on progress made." <sup>210</sup>

# Training of the Iraqi Police Service begins

- **258.** Formal police training began in late June.<sup>211</sup> On 16 July, the first 150 students graduated from the Transition Integration Programme a three-week course designed for existing police officers and run by the US Military Police in Baghdad.<sup>212</sup>
- **259.** The same month, Ambassador Bremer recommended that the training of police be accelerated and that additional international police be deployed to protect critical infrastructure.<sup>213</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>208</sup> Annotated Agenda, 17 July 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation attaching Paper IPU, 16 July 2003, 'Security Sector Reform the Next Steps'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>209</sup> Minutes, 17 July 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>210</sup> Minute UND [junior official] to Brand, 1 August 2003, 'Iraq Police Reform: UK Priorities'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>211</sup> Minute Brand, 16 July 2003, 'Report from Iraq – Douglas Brand'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>212</sup> The same programme is also sometimes referred to as Training in Post (TIP).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>213</sup> Email Rumsfeld to Feith, 8 July 2003, 'Police in Iraq'.

- **260.** On 8 July, Secretary Rumsfeld issued instructions to work up a plan and develop a list of requirements. He stated: "Prime Minister Blair said he agreed and would get some help."
- **261.** That may be a reference to a video conference held on 3 July in which both Secretary Rumsfeld and Mr Blair participated. Mr Blair was reported to have asked Ambassador Bremer and Mr Sawers to draw up a list of their requirements, and said that the UK would "do our level best to meet any demand for additional resources".<sup>214</sup> That discussion is described in more detail in Section 9.2.
- **262.** In early August, Mr Kerik briefed Ambassador Bremer on the requirement for police in Iraq.<sup>215</sup> He estimated that Iraq needed 65,000-75,000 police officers and said that it would take approximately six years to develop that size of force. Ambassador Bremer responded that it needed to be done in two years and allocated a US\$120m budget from Iraqi Government funds.
- **263.** On 10 August, DCC Brand reported that the CPA leadership had acknowledged that it would not be possible to attract the 6,600-strong International Police Training Force originally envisaged in the CPA's May assessment and that the aspiration was now "1,500 to 2,000".<sup>216</sup>
- **264.** *Hard Lessons* stated that the US NSC rejected the original recommendation for 6,000 international police officers:
  - "... viewing them as too ambitious and too expensive. Ultimately, Ambassador Bremer requested IRRF 2 [Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Fund] funding for 1,500 police advisors of whom 1,000 would be American."<sup>217</sup>

# Police training academies

One of the practical challenges facing those delivering the police training was where it should be carried out. Concerns about security within Iraq had led the CPA to consider the possibility of conducting the majority of the training in Hungary, though this plan failed to materialise.<sup>218</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>214</sup> Letter Cannon to McDonald, 3 July 2003, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Video-Conference with President Bush, 3 July'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup> Bremer LP III & McConnell M. *My Year in Iraq: The Struggle to Build a Future of Hope.* Threshold, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>216</sup> Minute Brand, 10 August 2003, 'Report from Irag – Douglas Brand'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>217</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>218</sup> Minute, 7 September 2003, 'Report from Irag – Douglas Brand'.

The next proposal, in September, was for a training college in Jordan which the CPA considered could be made ready by November 2003.<sup>219</sup> That facility would provide training for 35,000 Iraqi police recruits in a series of eight-week training courses, each taking 1,500 students.

Training of new recruits began in Jordan on 29 November with 36 UK police officers (a third of the training staff). A further 40 UK officers were "on standby to join the ... project as it expands". It was highlighted that: "Construction is behind schedule and conditions poor."

The first recruits to pass through the Jordan facility were existing recruits who had been going through police training at the point of the invasion.<sup>221</sup> Former DCC Brand described the course as "very, very basic".

Plans also progressed for police training inside Iraq. As mentioned in the CPA's May assessment of policing in Iraq, there were plans to open academies in Basra, Baghdad and the North of the country.

Plans for an academy near Basra were initiated by the military and then taken on by DCC Stephen White, Senior Police Adviser and Director of Law and Order for CPA(South). The academy was to be known as the Regional Police Training Academy (RPTA) and located at az-Zubayr, near Basra.

The Baghdad facility was run by a UK Chief Inspector and staffed by US military police. 222

# **New Iraqi security structures**

**265.** On 23 June, Mr Slocombe announced the formation of the New Iraqi Army (NIA).<sup>223</sup> The plans for the NIA included:

- To have a full division equivalent of 12,000 soldiers, who would be trained and operational in one year. By two years to have three divisions of 40,000 soldiers.
- To deploy battalions as they are trained, under the command of Iraqi officers.
- The military missions of the units will include protecting the nation's borders, provide military level security for certain routes and installations, help clear mines and UXO [unexploded ordnance]."

**266.** On 18 August, Ambassador Bremer signed CPA Order No.22, which set out the mission and role of the NIA.<sup>224</sup> It was to be responsible for:

"... the military defense of the nation, including defense of the national territory and the military protection of the security of critical installations, facilities, infrastructure, lines of communication and supply, and population."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>219</sup> Annotated Agenda, 18 September 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>220</sup> Letter Owen to Rycroft, 18 December 2003, 'UK Contribution to Iragi Police Training'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>221</sup> Public hearing Brand, 29 June 2010, pages 62-65.

Letter Sinclair to Sheinwald, 17 October 2003, 'Iraq: Security and Policing'; Letter Owen to Rycroft,

<sup>18</sup> December 2003, 'UK Contribution to Iraqi Police Training'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>223</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Press Release No. 006, 23 June 2003, *Good news for Iraqi soldiers*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>224</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Order Number 22: Creation of a New Iraqi Army.

**267.** Although the NIA would have a role in internal disaster relief operations, the Order was explicit that:

"The New Iraqi Army shall not have or exercise, domestic law enforcement functions, nor intervene in the domestic political affairs of the nation."

- **268.** Ambassador Bremer was established as Commander in Chief, pending transfer of the role to an "internationally recognised, representative government, established by the people of Iraq".
- **269.** Suitability for employment in the NIA would be judged on a number of criteria, including:
  - "the absence of evidence of human rights violations or war crimes";
  - "the absence of affiliation with the security and political control organs of the former regime"; and
  - "the absence of association with Extremist Organisations or other groups that use or advocate the use of violence for political purposes whether internal or international".
- **270.** In early August, the first 1,000 Iraqi Army recruits were sent by the CPA for basic training in Kirkush (north east of Baghdad) by CMATT.<sup>225</sup>
- **271.** On 5 September, Secretary Rumsfeld ordered an acceleration of the programme to train the Iraqi Army, stating that the three planned Divisions must be ready by September 2004.<sup>226</sup> The budget to achieve this was increased from US\$173m to US\$2.2bn.
- **272.** *Hard Lessons* records that Secretary Rumsfeld made the decision to halve training time to one year during a visit to Iraq in August 2003, as security was worsening.<sup>227</sup>

# **The Governing Council**

The Governing Council (GC) was an Iraqi group with powers set out in Authorities of the Governing Council, agreed between international forces and members of the GC. It met for the first time on 13 July 2003 and is explained in more detail in Section 9.2.<sup>228</sup>

The agreement enabled the GC to make policy in all areas, including "the rebuilding and/ or reform of Iraq's armed forces, police and justice sector ... [and] ensuring that Iraq's police and military are depoliticised".<sup>229</sup>

Wright Dr DP & Reese Col TR. On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008.
 Wright Dr DP & Reese Col TR. On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008.
 Bowen SW Jr. Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>228</sup> Bremer LP III & McConnell M. *My Year in Iraq: The Struggle to Build a Future of Hope.* Threshold, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>229</sup> Telegram 81 IraqRep to FCO London, 13 July 2003, 'Iraq: Governing Council: Authorities'.

The GC is sometimes referred to as the Iraq Governing Council (IGC). The two titles refer to the same body. The Inquiry has chosen to refer to the GC, for consistency, except where quoting others who have used IGC.

- **273.** In July 2003, a new temporary Iraqi security force was established by US military commanders in order to help fight the growing insurgency the Iraqi Civil Defence Corps (ICDC).<sup>230</sup> The concept was to:
  - give Coalition operations an Iraqi face;
  - keep unemployed young men out of the insurgency; and
  - increase the number of security forces available.<sup>231</sup>
- **274.** ICDC personnel were locally recruited and expected to live at home.
- **275.** By August 2003, there were 23,000 ICDC personnel serving as linguists, security personnel, drivers and humanitarian relief providers. They also participated in patrols, convoys, cordons and checkpoints.<sup>232</sup>
- **276.** The ICDC was formally established by CPA Order No.28, signed by Ambassador Bremer on 3 September 2003.<sup>233</sup> The Order explained that the ICDC was intended to be:
  - "... a security and emergency service agency for Iraq ... composed of Iraqis who will complement operations conducted by Coalition military forces in Iraq to counter organized groups and individuals employing violence against the people of Iraq and their national infrastructure."
- **277.** The Order authorised the ICDC to perform "constabulary duties" including:
  - patrolling urban and rural areas;
  - conducting operations to search for and seize illegal weapons and other contraband;
  - providing fixed site, check point, area, route and convoy security;
  - providing crowd and riot control;
  - disaster response services;
  - search and rescue services;
  - providing support to humanitarian missions and disaster recovery operations including transportation services;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>230</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>231</sup> Wright Dr DP & Reese Col TR. *On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005*. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008. <sup>232</sup> Wright Dr DP & Reese Col TR. *On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005*. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008. <sup>233</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Order Number 28 – Establishment of the Iraqi Civil Defense Corps, 3 September 2003.

- conducting joint patrols with Coalition Forces; and
- participating in other activities designed to build positive relationships between the Iraqi people and Coalition authorities including serving as community liaisons."
- **278.** The ICDC operated under the authority of the Administrator of the CPA but was subject to the supervision of Coalition Forces. *Hard Lessons* stated:
  - "... Because the ICDC was not part of the original CPA security sector plan, it posed significant co-ordination problems from its inception ... There was little coordination with the Iraqi police or army and no accountability to any Iraqi ministry or the CPA.
  - "Some in CMATT feared the ICDC could become a parallel security structure, competing with the police in local affairs and diluting the Iraqi Army's authority at the national level. But Coalition commanders valued the ICDC as a way to enable Iraqis to provide security for their own country, while supplementing CJTF-7's [Combined Joint Task Force 7] overstretched forces." 234
- **279.** However, Major General Andrew Stewart, General Officer Commanding (GOC) Multi-National Division South-East (MND(SE))<sup>235</sup> from December 2003 to July 2004, told the Inquiry that he believed the ICDC "was a success".<sup>236</sup> He described a visit by Lieutenant General David Petraeus, Commanding General, Office of Security Co-operation (the creation of the OSC is described later in this Section):

"He [Gen Petraeus] was responsible for the security sector, came down, saw the ICDC in Basra in particular and went away pretty impressed about it, and said 'I haven't seen anything approaching this'."

# An Iraqi intelligence service

In September 2003, Mr David Richmond, the Prime Minister's Special Representative on Iraq,<sup>237</sup> reported that the US was preparing to set up an internal Iraqi intelligence service linked to the police and the MOI. The interim Minister of the Interior told Mr Richmond that he was keen to have UK advice on setting up an investigative branch and a counter-terrorism branch.<sup>238</sup>

DCC Brand told the Inquiry:

"... an opportunity arose for us to influence the direction in which the development of the Iraqi Intelligence Service ... was going to go ... I argued over a series of meetings ... that, if we had a sort of special branch system ... where the intelligence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>234</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>235</sup> Multi-National Division South-East is described in Box, 'Multi-National Division (South-East)', later in this Section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>236</sup> Public hearing, 9 December 2009, pages 74-75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>237</sup> Mr David Richmond was temporarily the Prime Minister's Special Representative on Iraq. In September 2003 (on the arrival of Sir Jeremy Greenstock), Mr Richmond became the Deputy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>238</sup> Telegram 150 IraqRep to FCO London, 4 September 2003, 'Iraq: Briefing for Prime Minister'.

service does the intelligence work and the arresting and locking-up is done by a police agency, that gives a useful balance, allows people to actually focus on their main area of expertise and doesn't cause the problems of the intelligence people having the power to arrest and detain and do whatever else they need to do to get information. Eventually ... Ambassador Bremer was persuaded ... and made that as a decision. I could not get a special branch manager or somebody retired who had that skill of being able to take the concept into reality, and so we lost the opportunity and that disappeared."<sup>239</sup>

In April 2004, the Iraqi National Intelligence Service (INIS) was established. Its operational officers and support staff had been trained and vetted and were based in Baghdad, with a planned outstation in Basra.<sup>240</sup> It included some former intelligence officers.

INIS was initially headed by former Major General Mohammed al-Shehwani, who had been forced into exile by Saddam Hussein in 1984.<sup>241</sup>

In a paper by the MOD dated 6 June 2006, intelligence was one of the areas described as "immature", having been "placed deliberately at the back of the force generation process".<sup>242</sup>

Later, in spring 2008, a new intelligence structure was developed in Basra.<sup>243</sup> Lieutenent General Barney White-Spunner, GOC MND(SE) from February to August 2008, described that structure to the Inquiry:

"... at the end of the Charge of the Knights, General Mohammed and I put together, I hope, quite a sophisticated counter-terrorist structure in Basra with a co-ordination committee which brought all the Iraqi Security Forces together. We fused them into various intelligence agencies ... We were able to combine police and army posts across the city."

**280.** Two other security forces were created under the jurisdiction of the MOI in autumn 2003:

- CPA Order No.26, signed on 24 August 2003, created the Department of Border Enforcement (DBE).<sup>244</sup> Previous immigration officials were prevented from employment because of their connection to Saddam Hussein's secret police.<sup>245</sup>
- CPA Order No.27, signed on 4 September 2003, created the Facilities Protection Service (FPS).<sup>246</sup> The FPS was designed to provide site security for ministry

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>239</sup> Public hearing, 29 June 2010, pages 45-46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>240</sup> Minute Dodd to Quarrey, 30 April 2004, 'Iragi Security Force Capabilities'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup> Bremer LP III & McConnell M. *My Year in Iraq: The Struggle to Build a Future of Hope.* Threshold, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>242</sup> Minute DJC/Iraq to Cabinet Office [junior official], 7 June 2006, 'Iraq: Strategy Group Workstrands' attaching Paper, 'Update on Progress of the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>243</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, page 43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>244</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Order Number 26 – Establishment of the Department of Border Enforcement, 24 August 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>246</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Order Number 27 – Establishment of the Facilities Protection Service, 4 September 2003.

facilities and provincial government buildings. Each ministry or governorate administration could have its own unit. FPS training was a very basic three-day course.

# **Global Conflict Prevention Pool Strategy**

**281.** On 1 August, Ministers from the FCO, DFID and the MOD were asked to agree a joint FCO/DFID/MOD Iraq Strategy for the Global Conflict Prevention Pool (GCPP).<sup>247</sup> The Strategy aimed to provide a coherent framework for UK activities aimed at preventing conflict in Iraq. It defined its "initial focus of activity" as SSR. Work on that element of the Strategy was the most well developed, and Ministers were invited to agree that expenditure on SSR activities could start immediately while work continued to define the other elements of the Strategy.

### Global Conflict Prevention Pool

The Global Conflict Prevention Pool (GCPP) was a UK Government fund bringing together the work of the FCO, the MOD and DFID in conflict prevention.<sup>248</sup> The aim was a more strategic and cost-effective approach to conflict prevention and reduction. Activities included conflict assessments, supporting peace initiatives and DDR programmes.

282. The "second element" of the Strategy was assistance to "Iraqi governorates and local administrations within the British AO as they develop to ensure that policy decisions are made strategically and with an understanding of conflict prevention issues."<sup>249</sup> That included assistance to improve access to justice, encourage the involvement of women in local administrations, and develop a fair and equitable prison service. The third element was further studies and analyses to assist in the development of UK conflict prevention strategies. The geographical spread of the programmes had not yet been determined, although there were "good arguments" in favour of focusing in the South to deliver an "exemplar southern model".

**283.** The estimated cost of the Strategy was £7.5m per year for the UK financial years 2003/04 and 2004/05. Of the £15m total, £9.5m was allocated for SSR, £4m for local governance and £1.5m for further studies and analyses. The implementation plan for the Strategy listed a number of SSR activities, including:

- support for the police;
- support for customs reform including the deployment of nine HM Customs and Excise personnel starting on 18 August;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>247</sup> Minute, 1 August 2003, 'Global Conflict Prevention Pool – Iraq Strategy'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>248</sup> Paper DFID, FCO and MOD, 2003, 'The Global Conflict Prevention Pool: A Joint UK Government Approach to Reducing Conflict'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>249</sup> Minute, 1 August 2003, 'Global Conflict Prevention Pool – Iraq Strategy'.

- support to develop a comprehensive Borders, Customs and Immigration Policy by 2005, and for the deployment of a senior immigration representative on 18 August;
- support to develop the Iraqi armed forces, to be defined by 6 August; and
- support for intelligence reform and development, to be defined by 15 August.

**284.** In the last week of September, an official from UND estimated that UK spend to date on policing Iraq was almost £127,000.<sup>250</sup> Of that amount, around £25,000 had been spent on pre-deployment training; approximately £44,000 on equipment, travel and subsistence; around £43,000 on travel and difficult post allowances; and around £15.000 on officers' salaries.

**285.** An annex to the UND paper described the cost of deploying an officer to Iraq, by rank, as:

Constable: £68,670;Sergeant: £71,670;Inspector: £79,670;

Chief Inspector: £81,670;

Superintendent: £86,670; and
 Chief Constable: £141,670.<sup>251</sup>

# The departure of the UN

On 19 August 2003, a bomb exploded outside the UN headquarters at the Canal Hotel, Baghdad. It killed 22 UN staff and visitors, including Mr Sérgio Vieira de Mello, the UN Special Representative in Iraq.<sup>252</sup> A second bomb attack on the UN followed on 22 September. Sir John Sawers told the Inquiry that the attacks were subsequently attributed to Al Qaida (AQ).<sup>253</sup>

Tension in central Iraq increased after the UN bombing.<sup>254</sup> By 29 August, the World Bank and International Monetary Fund (IMF) missions had been withdrawn, a number of NGOs were withdrawing their international staff, and the UN had withdrawn some staff temporarily while reviewing its options.<sup>255</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup> Minute Khundker to Chatterton Dickson, 25 September 2003, 'Iraq Policing Costs'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>251</sup> The following ranks were omitted: Chief Superintendent, Assistant Chief Constable and Deputy Chief Constable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>252</sup> Report of the Independent Panel on the Safety and Security of UN Personnel in Iraq, 20 October 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>253</sup> Public hearing, 16 December 2009, page 36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>254</sup> Brief Cabinet Office, 20 August 2003, 'Iraq: Update for Ministers, 21 August 2003'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>255</sup> Minute Sheinwald to Prime Minister, 29 August 2003, 'Iraq' attaching Note Cabinet Office, 'Iraq: Update 29 August 2003'.

Sir David Richmond told the Inquiry:

"... the decision by the UN to withdraw from Iraq which they took around about the middle of September after a security review, I think ... was regrettable, and it meant for several months they were not really playing any sort of role in Iraq. It also meant when Lakhdar Brahimi [the UN's Special Envoy to Iraq] arrived, initially in the end of January 2004 and then again in April 2004, he was really working on his own." 256

# **Policing strategy: Iraqiisation**

**286.** By early September 2003, the concept of "Iraqiisation" had started being used in Whitehall (see Section 9.2). The term "Iraqiisation" did not have a common or precise definition, but was generally used to mean the ability of the ISF to maintain security independently, rather than under the leadership of the international forces.

**287.** On 2 September, Mr Blair held a meeting of Ministers and senior personnel.<sup>257</sup> They included Mr Straw, Mr Hoon, Mr Hilary Benn (the International Development Secretary), Gen Walker, Sir Richard Dearlove (Chief of the Secret Intelligence Service), Mr John Scarlett (Chairman, Joint Intelligence Committee), Sir Jeremy Greenstock (the Prime Minister's Special Representative on Iraq from September), Mr Sawers (from August the FCO Director General for Political Affairs) and No.10 officials.

**288.** The account of the meeting stated that "the Prime Minister wanted action on Iraq taken forward with a heightened sense of urgency" ahead of a planned telephone conversation with President Bush to review progress. In relation to internal security, the record stated:

"The Prime Minister believes that the key to the security situation in Iraq is the rapid mobilisation of an effective Iraqi police force. This should include:

- Police manpower up to 70,000 within three months, as a stage towards a full force;
- Adequate trainers and secure training facilities;
- Flexible handling of de-Ba'athification in order not to exclude recruits unnecessarily;
- Establishment of an internal intelligence service;
- Mobilisation of the Protection Force and Civil Defence Force to protect key installations."

**289.** The IPU was asked to provide a paper setting out further advice after consulting DFID, the MOD, the FCO and the Home Office, which it did on 3 September.<sup>258</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>256</sup> Public hearing, 26 January 2011, page 43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>257</sup> Letter Cannon to Adams, 2 September 2003, 'Iraq: Briefing for the Prime Minister'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>258</sup> Paper IPU, 3 September 2003, 'Iraq Security Plan'.

- **290.** The paper reiterated that the existing strategy was to have 70,000 Iraqi police in place by mid-2004 and a 40,000-strong Iraqi Army in place within a year. It stated that the UK's target was to have deployed 100 police trainers by the end of 2003, out of a planned CPA total of 600.
- **291.** The paper reiterated that the "training of the police should be accelerated and given a proper strategy (without which potential international contributors will not come forward)" but did not give details of how this might happen. The IPU considered that the key principles for what could be achieved were:
  - The Coalition should work through existing local leadership and customs and Iragis must take increasing security responsibility.
  - Intelligence on the threats should be improved.
  - De-Ba'athification principles should be applied flexibly.
  - Key programmes should be accelerated and made more effective, including by bringing police and army reform under a single head and providing more resources.
  - Coalition Forces should be released for counter-terrorism tasks by giving basic security tasks to Iraqi forces.

## **292.** In conclusion, the paper stated:

"While we develop Iraqi capability and broaden the international security presence, we must keep threats under control. This means more Coalition Forces are needed in the short term ..."

- **293.** There was no proposal for where this resource should come from, nor was there an assessment of whether it was realistic to train 70,000 police officers within a three-month period as suggested by Mr Blair.
- **294.** Another briefing paper, produced by Mr David Richmond,<sup>259</sup> stated that although Ambassador Bremer agreed with the importance of accelerating recruitment he did not:
  - "... think that a target of 70,000 within the next three months is achievable. The cost would be huge and, however successful we were in speeding up training, the overwhelming majority of new recruits would end up with no training at all. This would be counter-productive." <sup>260</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>259</sup> Mr David Richmond was temporarily the Prime Minister's Special Representative on Iraq. In September 2003 (on the arrival of Sir Jeremy Greenstock), Mr Richmond became the Deputy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>260</sup> Telegram 150 IraqRep to FCO London, 4 September 2003, 'Iraq: Briefing for Prime Minister'.

**295.** The IPU paper was considered by the AHMGIR on 4 September.<sup>261</sup> The minutes recorded that the IPU stated:

"The US had elaborate plans for Security Sector Reform, but faster action was needed. We should give priority to the rapid expansion of the Civil Defence Corps and the Facilities Protection Service ... We should consider encouraging neighbourhood security schemes and working with tribal leaders in rural areas."

- **296.** Sir Jeremy Greenstock was recorded as warning that the proposals "were not enough to bring the impact required in the short term".
- **297.** Ministers agreed that ideas in the paper were "useful but required further work which should be taken forward urgently".
- **298.** Ahead of a video conference with President Bush on 5 September (see Section 6.2), Mr Blair sent the President a Note which stated:

"Iraq has 37,000 police. We need to double that. Given the number of trainers and their facilities, that will take a year. We cannot wait that long. So: if we need to treble or quadruple the trainers and expand the numbers of Iraqi police even beyond that contemplated, we should do it. Some of the Governing Council believe that in certain areas, they should decide how far to take de-Ba'athification in order to speed up the process. There may be good constraints/reasons why these things should not happen or take time, but we have to be very clear that the priority is to get movement fast. There must also be a top quality intelligence capability given to the Iraqis to act on the threat. This is essential."

- **299.** The record of the video conference between Mr Blair and President Bush stated that Mr Blair said a "big push" was required in boosting numbers and speeding up training of Iraqi Security Forces.<sup>263</sup>
- **300.** The IPU provided a more detailed paper entitled 'Security Action Plan' for the inaugural meeting of the Iraq Senior Officials Group on 9 September (the creation of which is addressed in Section 9.2).<sup>264</sup> The IPU maintained that the focus should be on the ICDC and the police "as the most likely to produce quick results, while continuing to support the longer-term development of the New Iraqi Army". It did not address Mr Blair's concept of trebling the trainers available or expanding Iraqi police numbers.
- **301.** For ICDC development, the IPU said that more UK Short Term Training Teams were required and that national funding should be used to avoid "procedural delays".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>261</sup> Minutes, 4 September 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>262</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Rice, 5 September 2003 attaching 'Note on Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>263</sup> Letter Canon to Adams, 5 September 2003, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Video-Conference with Bush, 5 September'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>264</sup> Paper IPU, 8 September 2003, 'Irag: Security Action Plan'.

The MOD was also pursuing deployment of 12 non-commissioned officers to assist with training of the Iraqi Army.

- **302.** The IPU assessed that "the main problem in developing the Iraqi Police is the slowness with which CPA is developing its strategy, concept and timelines for reform" and that "in the absence of a central strategy, we are pursuing regional options".
- **303.** In the meeting, most of the actions in the paper were agreed although the minutes make no mention of the use of national resources to progress SSR.<sup>265</sup> It was also suggested that DCC Brand could potentially produce a policing strategy and that Sir Jeremy Greenstock should lobby Ambassador Bremer on the "necessity of having a strategy".
- **304.** On 14 September, Sir Jeremy Greenstock sent a teleletter to Sir Nigel Sheinwald (Prime Minister's Foreign Policy Adviser and Head of OD Sec), Mr Sawers, Mr Geoffrey Adams (Mr Straw's Principal Private Secretary), Mr John Buck (Mr Straw's Private Secretary) and Sir Hilary Synnott (Head of CPA(South)).<sup>266</sup> It stated:

"Security will colour everything. The right way forward is Iraqiisation, particularly in the police. Bremer and his advisers are clear on the principle. But there is as yet no central plan for police training, and no CPA focal point for driving it forward. I am going to have to expend ammunition on this soon. Meanwhile London (and Washington) should be maximising the input of resources into the police area, not just training but also the provision of cars, equipment, radios etc. All this should be prepared now, even if Ministers only sign it off when they see the planned requirement set out. It is truly urgent."

- **305.** The Annotated Agenda for the meeting of the AHMGIR on 18 September re-affirmed the requirement for a "coherent overall policing strategy". <sup>267</sup> Cabinet Office officials reported that the UK was lobbying Ambassador Bremer and Washington to expedite creation of a strategy and operational plan, and was offering the services of DCC Brand to write them. The paper did not reflect Mr Blair's desire to treble the number of police trainers.
- **306.** Ministers discussed policing at the AHMGIR on 18 September and "endorsed the plans for police training outlined in the Annotated Agenda and agreed that they should be implemented as swiftly as possible with whatever UK help was necessary".<sup>268</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>265</sup> Letter Dodd to Sheinwald, 10 September 2003, [untitled].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>266</sup> Teleletter Greenstock to Sheinwald, 14 September 2003, 'Irag/CPA: Early impressions'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>267</sup> Annotated Agenda, 18 September 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>268</sup> Minutes, 18 September 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

# A co-ordinated UK Government policy on SSR – but no mention of Iraq

In September 2003, a Whitehall policy seminar was held to look at best practice on SSR.<sup>269</sup> To coincide with that, officials within the FCO, the MOD and DFID had planned to publish a report on SSR best practice. The MOD minute to Ministers stated that "SSR is an area that necessitates high levels of co-operation and co-ordination between all three Departments" and that the Departments had, in the Global Conflict Prevention Pool SSR Strategy, a "common objective of helping governments of developing and transitional countries fulfil their legitimate security functions through reforms that will make the delivery of security more democratically accountable, as well as more effective and efficient". However, there was "currently no joint policy brief to guide practitioners" beyond a 1999 DFID statement on the link between poverty and security, which had become out of date.

There was no mention of Iraq in the SSR report or the Ministerial foreword.<sup>270</sup>

**307.** On 19 September, DCC Brand produced an "Info Memo" for Ambassador Bremer containing a plan for Iraqi police training and development.<sup>271</sup> DCC Brand wrote that the goal was to establish a 65,000-70,000 member Iraqi police force over 18 months to two years with an estimated annual cost of US\$970m. That would require 600 international trainers and 1,500 international police advisers and mentors with executive authority.

**308.** DCC Brand broke the plan down into four strands:

- Police recruitment and selection (US\$5m) to identify and initially screen at least 33,000 qualified candidates with a team of 25 police and 150 MOI staff.
- Police training (US\$150m) to be run in Iraq and Jordan. Existing police officers would receive a three-week Transitional Integration Programme and new recruits would receive an eight-week basic police skills recruit course.
- Police institutional reform and development (US\$800m) to create a
   "uniformed 1,500 member International Coalition Police Force (ICPF) which
   will have executive authority and authorised to be armed, and will implement
   new organisational structures, standard operating procedures, training and
   equipment guidelines for police throughout Iraq under command of a CPA
   appointed commissioner".
- Developing police operational capacities (US\$20m) to focus on developing specialised skills to deal with organised kidnapping, extortion and trafficking.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>269</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to PS/Min(AF), 11 September 2003, 'Security Sector Reform Policy Brief'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>270</sup> Report [DFID/MOD/FCO], November 2003, 'Security Sector Reform Policy Brief'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>271</sup> Minute Brand to Administrator [Bremer], 19 September 2003, 'Iraqi Police Training and Development – Short Summary Version'.

**309.** The only comment on the plan seen by the Inquiry came from CC Kernaghan who expressed concerns about the ICPF, writing:

"To avoid problems later on, this concept requires thought and staff work now." 272

- **310.** The Inquiry has not been able to establish what became of that plan: it has not seen any further reference to it in contemporary papers.
- **311.** At a video conference with President Bush on 13 October, Mr Blair stressed the importance he attached to Iraqiisation of the police and ICDC.<sup>273</sup>
- **312.** By mid-October no agreed CPA policing strategy had been produced. Despite that, on 17 October an update from Mr Straw's office to No.10 stated: "We judge that the Coalition now has a credible and deliverable strategy to train 30,000 Iraqi police over the next year." <sup>274</sup> By that stage around 40,000 police officers were considered to have been trained.
- **313.** Mr Straw told the Inquiry that he considered that judgement to be "reasonable" at the time, but that with hindsight he could see that it was not.<sup>275</sup>

# International contribution to police trainers

Following US/CPA/UK discussions in Amman and London on international police trainers, lobbying efforts were agreed and shared with Sir Jeremy Greenstock and DCC Brand on 3 October.<sup>276</sup> The UK was to act as a "clearing house" for offers of assistance from EU Member States plus Australia, Canada, New Zealand and South Africa. The US would be approaching other members of the international community.

The Police Contributors Conference, originally planned for 30 June, was held on 20 October in London and hosted by the FCO.<sup>277</sup> It was attended by representatives from EU Member and Accession States, the European Council, Canada, Australia, Jordan and Singapore. The focus of the event appears to have been to encourage countries to deploy police trainers to staff the training facility in Jordan (see Box, Police training academies'), rather than into Iraq. "Firm" pledges of support in the form of trainers for the Jordan facility were received from Canada (20), Poland (10) and the Czech Republic (10), with other countries reported to be likely to decide on contributions after the Madrid Donors' Conference (later that week).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>272</sup> Email Kernaghan to Home Office [junior official], 22 September 2003, 'Iraq – Recent Developments'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>273</sup> Letter Cannon to Adams, 13 October 2003, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Video-Conference with President Bush, 13 October'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>274</sup> Letter Sinclair to Sheinwald, 17 October 2003, 'Iraq: Security and Policing'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>275</sup> Public hearing, 2 February 2011, page 140.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>276</sup> Telegram 90 FCO London to UKRep Irag. 3 October 2003, 'Irag: Irag Police Training in Jordan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>277</sup> Telegram 101 FCO London to UKRep Iraq, 21 October 2003, 'Iraq: Iraq Police Contributors Conference: 20 October, London'.

By 24 November, further pledges of police trainers had been made by Finland (five), Slovenia (five), Austria (four) and Slovakia (two).<sup>278</sup>

By mid-2005, additional training staff were contributed by Jordan (66), Sweden (10), Singapore (six), Hungary (three), Belgium (two), Australia (two) and Estonia (one).<sup>279</sup>

**314.** On 24 October, Sir Jeremy Greenstock reported that General Ricardo Sanchez, Commander of the Coalition's military command – Combined Joint Taskforce 7 (CJTF-7), had ordered a "comprehensive internal review of the security sector". <sup>280</sup> Sir Jeremy reported:

"The up-to-date military assessment is that operations have now lost momentum ... [and that] ... despite plans to accelerate the generation of Iraqi Security Forces, progress may still be too slow ... The emerging view of the military is that this structure is stovepiped, lacks oversight and results in competing roles and responsibilities within the security sector."

- **315.** Sir Jeremy also highlighted that General John Abizaid, Commander US Central Command (CENTCOM), and Ambassador Bremer would attend discussions in Washington covering SSR later in the week.
- **316.** On 27 October, CJTF-7 issued an Order entitled "Acceleration of the Iraqi Police Services" which envisaged an enhanced support requirement from CJTF-7 for enlarged and accelerated police training programmes.<sup>281</sup>
- **317.** On 6 November, the AHMGIR was briefed that the new approach included:
  - "• accelerating recruitment, training and deployment of Iraqi security forces. The Iraqi Civil Defence Corps is now set to increase to 36 battalions by April 2004. The target for 70,000 police should be reached by August 2004 rather than March 2005. As a result training of the Iraqi Army will be slowed, but the Army will now be allowed to undertake internal as well as external security tasks. Once trained, total Iraqi forces will number 200,000;
  - changing tactics to put Iraqi forces in the front-line with Coalition Forces in support; and
  - recruiting more expert members of the former regime's security forces." 282

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>278</sup> Minute, 24 November 2003, 'Update on Iragi Police Coordination for Donors and Policy Guidance'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>279</sup> Paper Jordan International Police Training Center, [undated], 'Welcome to the Jordan International Police Training Center'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>280</sup> Telegram 230 IragRep to FCO London, 24 October 2003, 'Irag: Security Update'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>281</sup> Telegram 110 FCO London [on behalf of CPA Basra] to UKRep Iraq, 31 October 2003, 'Police Training in South Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>282</sup> Annotated Agenda, 6 November 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

## **318.** Former DCC Brand told the Inquiry:

"Trying to persuade my military colleagues at two-star and three-star level that this was a long-term investment of restructuring the police seemed to work against their sort of short-term mission goals, and I very vividly remember the presentation that was done to the Commanding General which was entitled '30,000 in 30 Days' ... I had to say 'Okay, in that case then, why don't you give me the military to train? I have read a few war books, I have seen a few war films, it can't be as difficult as that, or is that as ridiculous as what you are suggesting, which is we recruit 30,000 in 30 days, call them police, label them police, give them weapons and say 'You are now in the police' but actually have no capability to do the things that policemen should do at all?"<sup>283</sup>

## Concerns about strategy

**319.** CC Kernaghan visited Iraq for the second time in mid-November 2003.<sup>284</sup> His visit was affected by a "security 'lockdown'" which meant he was unable to travel into Basra and so met Sir Hilary Synnott in Basra Airport.<sup>285</sup>

**320.** In his report to the Home and Foreign Secretaries, CC Kernaghan commented:

"... the 'bad luck' factor has kicked in with a vengeance ... The security situation is not good and will become worse, but the answer to many aspects of the problem is an effective Iraqi security infrastructure ... It is quite clear that the current level of UK police assistance is unsustainable in that it is insufficient to deliver a coherent package of support and I suggest that the UK Government has to decide to either increase our assistance or withdraw our support altogether." 286

**321.** CC Kernaghan stated that he "detected the lack of a clear vision" for policing within Iraq and was concerned that a "hybrid US City department/UK police service" was being created. He commented that he was "unclear as to the overall CPA Iraq 'model' and whether or not a single tier national service is being created". CC Kernaghan also reported that senior officials were "reluctant to be definitive as to the CP[A]'s strategic plan" because "meetings in Washington (and London) might well totally change existing plans".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>283</sup> Public hearing, 29 June 2010, pages 24-25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>284</sup> Report Kernaghan to Straw, 18 November 2003, 'Report on Second Visit to Iraq by Chief Constable Kernaghan – 11/11/03 – 14/11/03'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>285</sup> Public hearing Synnott, Lamb and Stewart, 9 December 2009, page 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>286</sup> Report Kernaghan to Straw, 18 November 2003, 'Report on Second Visit to Iraq by Chief Constable Kernaghan – 11/11/03 – 14/11/03'.

**322.** A Cabinet Office Ministerial update on 19 November stated:

"The CPA has no current plans to draw up a formal policing strategy. Their view is that command, control and administrative arrangements for the police will depend heavily on unsettled constitutional issues and thus must await further political progress ... Partly in this context, Jim Daniel, a senior ex-HO [Home Office] adviser, sent by us to help generate a policing strategy has decided to resign." <sup>287</sup>

**323.** The update did not state the UK's view of the CPA position but said:

"In response, we are following up a new request from DCC Brand ... for additional UK officers to support him in implementing the existing training plan."

324. As early as May 2003, Sir David Manning and Ambassador Bremer recognised that:

"De-Ba'athification and the dissolution of security ministries would create a new reservoir of angry men. So there was a need to step up patrols and tighten up security." 288

- **325.** At the end of 2003, as security worsened, the debate around the extent to which de-Ba'athification should be applied to the Iraqi Security Forces was ongoing.
- **326.** Between October and December, the issue of re-employing Ba'athist security personnel was mentioned three times in communications between Mr Blair and President Bush.
- **327.** On 7 October, in a video conference with President Bush Mr Blair "urged sensitive handling of demobilised ex-Iraqi Army soldiers".<sup>289</sup>
- **328.** In a video conference on 27 October, Mr Blair highlighted the possibility of re-mobilising "former Ba'athist intelligence officers". <sup>290</sup>
- **329.** Before President Bush's visit to the UK in November 2003, Mr Rycroft gave Mr Blair a copy of a paper entitled 'Iraq: Security', for discussion with President Bush.<sup>291</sup> The document was described as "Jeremy's paper" and the Inquiry assumes that it was written by Sir Jeremy Greenstock. Under the heading "Iraqiisation" it said:

"Must accept previously Ba'athist elements in the security forces, provided not linked with former repression. Militias ... need to be brought in in an inclusive transparent way ... plans for this should be drawn up immediately with IGC."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>287</sup> Letter Dodd to Owen, 19 November 2003, 'Iraq: Update for Ministers'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>288</sup> Letter Cannon to Owen, 23 May 2003, 'Iraq: Meeting with Gerry Bremer'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>289</sup> Minute Cannon to Adams, 7 October 2003, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Video-Conference with President Bush, 7 October'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>290</sup> Letter Cannon to Adams, 27 October 2003, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Video-Conference with President Bush, 27 October'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>291</sup> Minute Rycroft to Prime Minister, 18 November 2003, 'Bush Visit – Private Talks'.

- **330.** Mr Blair gave President Bush a slightly revised version of Sir Jeremy's paper, in which this text had not been altered.<sup>292</sup>
- **331.** Mr Richmond visited Ramadi, the capital of Anbar province, in mid-November.<sup>293</sup> The dominant theme of his discussions was local concerns about unemployment as a result of the dismantling of Iraqi military structures.

## **332.** Mr Richmond reported:

"Unemployment had forced many to do illegal acts, including attacks on the Coalition. They wanted to help the Coalition and could do much to improve the security situation if they could be given back their jobs; they were also keen to serve their country. They complained about de-Ba'athification which made it impossible for most of them to be employed by the State. The governor said that 50 percent had joined the Ba'ath Party not out of conviction but because it was a condition of employment; 40 percent for material gain; and only some 10 percent because they supported Ba'athist ideology ...

"Jerry Thompson (CPA advisor for security affairs) explained the acceleration of recruitment to the ICDC, police and the New Iraqi Army [NIA], but he pointed out the different nature of the NIA and the fact that it would be much smaller than the old army. Recruiting in Ramadi would start in January. He encouraged them to participate."

- **333.** In a video conference with President Bush on 4 December, Mr Blair was recorded to have underlined the importance of Iraqiisation, including involving the Sunni community and ex-Ba'athists.<sup>294</sup>
- **334.** In mid-November a new political timetable for Iraq was announced, which brought forward the assumption of power by the Iraqi Transitional Government (ITG) to June 2004.<sup>295</sup>
- **335.** Former DCC Brand told the Inquiry that the change of timetable critically changed everyone's outlook: "all the focus was on 'Let's get this over to the Iraqis', and so our longer-term intentions were almost squashed from there on."<sup>296</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>292</sup> Paper Greenstock, 20 November 2003, 'Irag: Security'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>293</sup> Teleletter Richmond to FCO London, 23 November 2003, 'Irag: Sunni Outreach: Visit to Ar Ramadi'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>294</sup> Letter Cannon to Adams, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Video Conference with President Bush, 4 December'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>295</sup> Minute Cannon to Prime Minister, 18 November 2003, 'Irag: Political Timetable'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>296</sup> Public hearing, 29 June 2010, page 67.

- **336.** Also in November, Secretary Rumsfeld ordered Major General Karl Eikenberry, former US Security Co-ordinator and Chief of the Office of Military Co-operation in Afghanistan, to assess what reforms were necessary to produce enough capable Iraqi forces to take over security responsibilities.<sup>297</sup> His report, published in February 2004, is described later in this Section.
- **337.** In December 2003, the CPA produced a plan to achieve the accelerated timetable for transfer of authority by the end of June 2004.<sup>298</sup>
- **338.** The plan included a section on security which stated that to meet the accelerated timeline, the following changes were required:
  - an accelerated stand-up of the IMOD and the National Command Authority;
  - accelerated development of internal security capabilities in the MOI (Anti-Terrorist Branch, criminal intelligence);
  - a focus on anti-corruption capacity-building; and
  - accelerated recruitment, training and deployment of Iraqi Security Forces.
- **339.** The plan also described two key security policy decisions that were required, as identified by CPA staff:

"First, the development of a holistic approach to the Iraqi security sector, including clarification of ICDC missions and interface with other security elements. Second, decisions on the integration of former militias into security forces and on militia demobilization."

- **340.** On 4 December, the CPA Office of Policy Planning and Analysis produced a paper entitled 'Iraq: Integrated Security Sector Development'.<sup>299</sup> It was an assessment of the current situation and plans, the desired position and a consideration of what thinking and action was required by the CPA and CJTF-7 to achieve the desired position.
- **341.** It is the first assessment the Inquiry has seen that attempted to articulate all SSR plans in one paper and consider how they interacted. The executive summary explained that the paper benefited from detailed input by security sector experts in both CPA and CJTF-7.
- **342.** The paper described the CPA-defined end state for security in Iraq as:
  - there is a secure environment for people and property that enables citizens to participate fully in political and economic life;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>297</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>298</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority, 2 December 2003, 'Towards Transition in Iraq: Building Sustainability'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>299</sup> Paper CPA, 4 December 2003, 'Irag: Integrated Security Sector Development'.

- the Iraqi Government has the means, including its own defense and police forces, to assume its responsibility for external and internal security, including policing of its borders;
- •
- the roles and accountabilities of organizations providing security are clearly defined within a legal framework which governs, inter alia, the ownership of weapons."
- **343.** The paper stated that CPA and CJTF-7 activity had "often been driven by the short-term requirement to address pressing security needs" and highlighted weaknesses:
  - "• We have not designed our security sector development program based on a thorough conflict assessment;
  - There is no overarching security vision for Iraq that joins up short-term current activities with long-term goals;
  - We are focusing on building tactical and operational capabilities the building of Iraqi governance and oversight capacity is proceeding more slowly;
  - Local ownership of the Security Sector Reform process and policy is in its infancy;
  - We are focusing on 'hard' security force development with limited attention being paid to building 'soft' Iraqi capacity to prevent or manage conflicts."
- **344.** The paper assessed each element of the security sector before discussing its overall governance and accountability. Although the long-term aim for the NIA was a focus on external security, it was likely that it would be employed on internal security and so a legal framework for that was needed. The ICDC would become an army reserve. The paper also mentioned plans to develop an Iraqi Coastal Defence capability and an aviation element for transportation and medical evacuation by autumn 2004. All that would be organised by a Joint Forces Headquarters which would be established by June 2004.
- **345.** The desired end state for the IPS was a "single nation-wide police service that combines centralized standards and policies with local accountability ... Its 85,000 officers will be well-trained through a combination of basic mandate training, mentoring, and specialist and leadership training". The paper highlighted that the IPS was being developed whilst it was in the front line fighting the insurgency campaign.
- **346.** Problems with individual ministerial capacity to manage the FPS were also identified.

- **347.** The paper described some activity on intelligence services, including the IPS developing basic criminal intelligence capabilities in Baghdad and MOI plans for a national criminal intelligence unit. There was an absence of a suitable legal framework. The paper stated that oversight mechanisms which balanced effectiveness with accountability were required.
- **348.** On criminal justice, the paper stated that courts were "back up and running nationwide, albeit at below their pre-war capacity" and that prisons were being repaired or reconstructed. There were also fundamental revisions to the penal code and procedures. It described justice and policing systems as mutually reinforcing. It identified a number of issues, including the vulnerability of the judiciary to violence and prison capacity, which it recommended should be addressed.
- **349.** The paper also contained a section on militias, where it assessed that there were over 30 known militias with between 30,000 and 60,000 personnel. It explained that the Coalition's original intent for militia personnel had been DDR. However, that had not happened due to the violence it might provoke, the absence of police to "fill the vacuums" and the complexity of the task. The paper stated:

"Since the November 15 Agreement, there has been a tendency amongst the political parties to hold on to their militias to protect their interests ... The creation of an ICDC 'special battalion' and CT [counter-terrorism] company from G-5 militias is a departure from the CPA policy of seeking to disband militias but may provide an alternative means of integrating the party militias."

- **350.** The paper recommended that policy on militias should be clarified.
- **351.** The governance and accountability section of the paper emphasised the importance of civilian control, noting that the "institutionalisation of CPA/Iraqi civil governance mechanisms is falling behind the development of fielded forces". On police accountability the paper stated:

"The outstanding issue is the question of the structure of the national police service and the relationships of local police services with Governors and Provincial Councils. The model currently being proposed involves a higher degree of central control than initially envisaged; this has been deemed necessary in the emergency period."

**352.** The paper described a series of high-level actions required to develop governance further including building oversight mechanisms into the Fundamental Law<sup>300</sup> and creating security architecture to run a counter-insurgency campaign.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>300</sup> The 'Fundamental Law' is the law that determines the constitution of government.

- **353.** In summary, the paper stated that the CPA "still lacks an organisational mechanism for delivering joined-up policy direction on the security sector". It recommended that detailed planning was required to transfer security to Iraqi control.
- **354.** It is unclear what became of the paper there is no mention of it in contemporary papers that the Inquiry has seen.
- **355.** Towards the end of 2003, there was awareness in the UK system that assessments given by US commanders were "exaggerated" and there were doubts about Iraqi capacity.<sup>301</sup>
- **356.** By the middle of December, the FCO assessed that there were around 45,000 operational police throughout Iraq, all requiring some level of re-training.<sup>302</sup> The US assessment was that there were 63,000 operational police.
- **357.** The Annotated Agenda for the 18 December meeting of the AHMGIR stated:
  - "US military plans for Iraqiisation of security remain highly ambitious. [General] Abizaid foresees Coalition military withdrawal from cities and Iraqi police able to combat terrorists by April 2004. But this handover can only take place if targets for expanding Iraqi units are met." 303
- **358.** The minutes of the meeting recorded that Ministers "noted the security situation and that Iraqi security capacity was not being built up at a sufficient rate and quality to meet CPA aspirations" and "agreed that they and officials should lobby their US counterparts to improve training of Iraqi Security Forces, particularly the police".<sup>304</sup>

# **International Legal Assistance Consortium**

In January 2004, DFID approved £2m (later increased to £2.2m) for the International Legal Assistance Consortium (ILAC) justice support programme,<sup>305</sup> to provide training in international human rights law to Iraqi judges, lawyers and prosecutors.<sup>306</sup> The programme was expected to cover a two-year period.<sup>307</sup>

By February 2005, DFID's justice support programme had trained 218 Iraqi judges, lawyers and prosecutors in human rights, international humanitarian law and independence of the judiciary.<sup>308</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>301</sup> Minute Dodd to Sheinwald, 10 December 2003, 'Iraq: Strategy Group'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>302</sup> <u>Letter Owen to Rycroft, 18 December 2003, 'UK Contribution to Iraqi Police Training'</u>; Annotated Agenda, 18 December 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>303</sup> Annotated Agenda, 18 December 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>304</sup> Minutes, 18 December 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>305</sup> The "justice support programme" was sometimes referred to as the "justice sector programme".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>306</sup> Report DFID, 30 June 2006, 'Iraq International Legal Consortium Justice Sector'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>307</sup> Paper ILAC, 1 December 2003, 'ILAC Iraq Programme – Submission to DFID'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>308</sup> Letter Benn to Hoon, 23 February 2005.

An internal DFID review of the project in June 2006 concluded that although the training (held in Dubai for security reasons) was generally of a high quality and cost-effective, the project was unlikely to lead to sustainable improvements in professional practice in the absence of any continuing in-country support for the trainees or any links to broader institutional reform processes.<sup>309</sup> An in-country justice adviser had been recruited to mitigate these weaknesses, but she had been withdrawn from Iraq after 10 days for security reasons. The review commented:

"... the project should have been used as an entry point for DFID's work in this sector, been more flexible in the range of activities it could support, and been more closely linked to efforts at donor co-ordination ... The [review] team acknowledges that the project was put together under pressure rapidly to get programme activities started with some quick-win activities ... The pressure to move fast, however, may well have sown the seeds for the eventual, limited impact."

**359.** In late January 2004, Acting DCC Brand reported that it had been agreed at a meeting between the CPA and the US NSC to reduce the planned "Civpol police adviser pool" – those officers who would undertake monitoring/mentoring duties – from 1,500 to 500 and to use the savings to finance specialist facilities and trainers.<sup>310</sup> DCC Brand was unable to attend; it is unclear whether there was any other UK representation at the meeting. The advisers were to be provided by Dyncorps,<sup>311</sup> with 50 being earmarked for the South.<sup>312</sup>

**360.** Although training at the Jordan training facility was under way, an FCO update to No.10 on 18 February detailed "infrastructure and contract" problems.<sup>313</sup>

**361.** The response from No.10 stated:

"The Prime Minister was disappointed to read of continuing problems with the police training in Jordan. It is not good enough that the training school is running at only half its capacity and that at the current rate the police training programme will need to extend at least to the end of the year."<sup>314</sup>

**362.** Mr Blair requested a further update detailing the steps to be taken to "get this vital training programme back on track".

**363.** Mr Blair raised his concerns over delays in funding the police training camp in Jordan in a video conference with President Bush on 24 February, in which Vice President Cheney and Dr Rice also participated.<sup>315</sup> The White House team told Mr Blair

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>309</sup> Report DFID, 30 June 2006, 'Iraq International Legal Consortium Justice Sector'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>310</sup> Minute Brand, 1 February 2004, 'Report from Iraq – Douglas Brand'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>311</sup> Dyncorps is a US-based private military contractor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>312</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to Buck, 4 February 2004, 'Visit to Iraq 26-30 Jan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>313</sup> Letter Adams to Rycroft, 18 February 2004, 'Iraq: Security Sector Reform'.

<sup>314</sup> Letter Rycroft to Adams, 23 February 2004, 'Iraq: Security Sector Reform'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>315</sup> Letter Cannon to Adams, 24 February 2004, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Video-Conference with President Bush, 24 February'.

that funding was not an issue and that problems with equipment had been overcome. Dr Rice described police training as a "too many cooks" situation that would be improved by the new centralised military command.

**364.** In January 2004, the MOD deployed a Defence Advisory Team (DAT) to scope the requirements for the new Iraqi Ministry of Defence (IMOD).<sup>316</sup> UK military trainers were also working on the creation of the Iraqi Joint Forces HQ, to provide command and control of the Iraqi Armed Forces.

**365.** The DAT reported its findings to Mr Webb on 30 January.<sup>317</sup> It assessed that:

"Sufficient detailed progress has been made towards the achievement of an IMOD with an initial operating capacity available from 1 May 04. Additional UK staffing assistance is warranted in the context of both immediate needs and future mentoring and implementation requirements ... We find that a UK lead for an empowered mentoring implementation project, to deliver the institutional development of a fully functional MOD, would be appropriate."

**366.** The DAT recommended that the UK provide immediate assistance in the areas of vetting and public affairs, and consider a longer-term role mentoring key positions within the IMOD. The total mentoring requirement was expected to be around 17 staff, of whom five should be MOD UK-based civilians. The project was forecast to last two years at a cost of £1.9m, rising to £3.65m if suitable mentors could not be found from the public service.

## The military take control of police reform

**367.** In February 2004, Maj Gen Eikenberry produced an assessment of what reforms were necessary to deliver enough capable Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) to take over security responsibilities.<sup>318</sup>

**368.** The review team, which travelled to Iraq in January 2004, consisted of 22 members primarily from the US authorities and included a UK colonel.<sup>319</sup>

**369.** The key findings of the review team were:

- the need for unity of command across the security sector (the military were to assume overall command);
- the need to develop the capacity and capability of ISF (military and police) rapidly;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>316</sup> Annotated Agenda, 8 January 2004, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

Minute Fuller to PS/Policy Director [MOD], 30 January 2004, 'UK Support for Establishment of Iraqi Ministry of Defence (IMOD): Defence Advisory Team (DAT) Final Report and Recommendations'.
 Bowen SW Jr. Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>319</sup> Paper Clissitt, 1 February 2004, 'Iraq Security Force Assessment Team (ISFAT) Initial Findings: Brief for OPCOS'; Annotated Agenda, 7 January 2004, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

- the need for sustained monitoring and mentoring of the ISF beyond 1 July 2004;
   and
- the endorsement of the CENTCOM Strategic Concept to transfer security responsibilities to Iraqi forces that would permit a reduction in the numbers of Coalition Forces needed to perform internal security tasks. The timelines envisaged were:
  - local transfer by 1 July 2004;
  - regional transfer by 1 September 2004; and
  - o national transfer by September 2006.

#### **370.** The report recommended that:

- The training and equipping programmes for the IPS should be accelerated significantly, the numbers of police increased and the Coalition military given the task of training, equipping, mentoring and certifying them.
- The ICDC should be renamed the Iraqi Civil Guard, be recognised as an enduring organisation (possibly a gendarmerie-type force) under the control of the IMOD, have its strength increased from 36 to 43 battalions and be given professional development.
- The Iraqi Army's focus should remain on external threats but its training rate could be reduced (to allow more rapid development of other security forces).<sup>320</sup>

#### **371.** The summary of the report produced for the Chiefs of Staff stated:

"... the UK, through MND(SE), is regarded as the leader in 'best practice'; in particular the objective monitoring, mentoring and certification of Iraqi security forces. As such we will be asked to assist in developing this programme throughout Iraq."321

**372.** The Eikenberry Review was discussed by the Iraq Senior Officials Group on 3 February. The minutes described Maj Gen Eikenberry's recommendations for security structures as "acceptable" but said that proposals that IMOD and SSR structures should sit under the new Multi National Force (MNF) command were "less to our liking".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>320</sup> Paper Clissitt, 1 February 2004, 'Iraq Security Force Assessment Team (ISFAT) Initial Findings: Brief for OPCOS'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>321</sup> Paper Clissitt, 1 February 2004, 'Iraq Security Force Assessment Team (ISFAT) Initial Findings: Brief for OPCOS'.

<sup>322</sup> Minute Dodd to Buck, 5 February 2004, 'Irag: Senior Officials Group'.

- **373.** Sir Nigel Sheinwald chaired a meeting of the Iraq Strategy Group on 13 February. A record of the meeting by Mr Tom Dodd, OD Sec, stated that "Baghdad and Washington were still divided over the future of the ICDC": General Abizaid and Ambassador Bremer "preferred it becoming an army reserve" as opposed to Maj Gen Eikenberry's proposed gendarmerie.
- **374.** According to the RAND assessment of the Occupation of Iraq, Ambassador Bremer welcomed the proposal to put training of the Iraqi military under Coalition military control.<sup>324</sup> However, he was resistant to the proposal that police training should transfer to military responsibility, arguing strongly that policing was a civilian, not a military, profession.
- **375.** The briefing for the AHMGIR on 1 March stated that the Eikenberry Review had identified "significant shortcomings, particularly with the police". Delays with deploying mentors ("1,500 international civilian police mentors have not deployed as planned") and problems with the Jordan training school (which was running at half capacity) were assessed to be due to US funding issues. The UK contribution was described as "disproportionate" and the brief recorded that:

"MND(SE)'s approach to SSR is considered a model. The British Army is active in training ICDC battalions and the New Iraqi Army. We provide the largest contingent of police trainers in Jordan (72); the UK-run az-Zubayr police academy is now training 300 police every three weeks; and a group of PSNI [Police Service of Northern Ireland] superintendents are about to deploy to the South to mentor provincial police chiefs. We are also considering contracting around 40 police advisers as monitors, expanding the training programme at az-Zubayr, and offering police leadership training in the UK. The UK will also play a leading role in the formation of the new Iraqi MOD."

- **376.** At the meeting Ministers "noted the Coalition's work in standing up Iraqi security forces and the UK's disproportionate contribution to it". <sup>326</sup>
- **377.** Secretary Rumsfeld accepted Maj Gen Eikenberry's recommendation that the US military should manage the training of Iraq's army and police.<sup>327</sup>

<sup>323</sup> Minute Dodd to Sheinwald, 16 February 2004, 'Iraq: Strategy Group'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>324</sup> Dobbins J, Jones SG, Runkle B & Mohandas S. *Occupying Iraq: A History of the Coalition Provisional Authority.* RAND Corporation, 2009.

<sup>325</sup> Annotated Agenda, 1 March 2004, Ad Hoc Group on Irag Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>326</sup> Minutes, 1 March 2004, Ad Hoc Group on Irag Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>327</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

- **378.** On 8 March, DCC Brand's weekly report described the creation of a new "umbrella structure", the Office of Security Co-operation (OSC), commanded by Major General Paul Eaton, the former commander of CMATT. <sup>328</sup> CMATT and the newly named policing equivalent the Coalition Police Assistance Training Team (CPATT) would report to the OSC. A diagram of "Command and Control Relationships" showed the OSC reporting to CJTF-7.
- **379.** The creation of OSC resulted in a complex structure for police reform: CPATT became responsible for recruiting, training, equipping and mentoring the police but the CPA/MOI retained the operational and institution-building element of police reform.
- **380.** CMATT and CPATT were both led by UK officers: Brigadier Nigel Aylwin-Foster and Brigadier Andrew MacKay respectively.<sup>329</sup>
- **381.** Former DCC Brand told the Inquiry that the creation of OSC was:
  - "... quite a shock to both myself and the American director [Mr Casteel] because, overnight, the Secretary of Defense in the United States had basically taken away all responsibility for policing, including the training, equipping and recruiting, and given it to the military, and that included a budget that was US\$950m. We had had no indication of this and neither he nor I actually knew where it left us. So we went to see Ambassador Bremer to say, 'Can you give us some direction as to what our responsibilities will be?' and I don't think he was too clear either on what the impact of this was. The military were very clear that it was just theirs and this would tidy things up ... it was rather draconian in terms of its mood, and the consequences ... one was that, whilst they could do the volume stuff, they still didn't have the skill sets for basic training, and then the one thing they didn't have, which was just so essential, and which the military training side had, is that policy advice back in Washington on policing. They had none. So they were making it up, in that sense, from theatre, rather than back at the policy headquarters." 330
- **382.** On 2 April, the Cabinet Office sent an update for Ministers, in between two meetings of the AHMGIR, stating that police training was to be accelerated under the new structures with a target of completing in-service training of 43,000 officers by January 2005.<sup>331</sup> There were plans to expand the capacity of the Baghdad and Mosul training academies. The update also highlighted a "critical shortfall" in equipment for the police, the border police and the army. That was put down to issues with US contracting and funding.

<sup>328</sup> Minute Brand, 8 March 2004, 'Report from Irag - Douglas Brand'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>329</sup> BBC News, 11 January 2006, UK officer slams US Iraq tactics; Associated Press, 10 June 2004, Iraq Police Training A Flop.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>330</sup> Public hearing, 29 June 2010, pages 94-95.

<sup>331</sup> Letter Dodd to Owen, 2 April 2004, 'Iraq: Update'.

## Security worsens and Iraqi Security Force weaknesses are exposed

**383.** On 25 March, the FCO's Weekly Update on Iraq for No.10 stated that a CENTCOM review had concluded that transition to local control across Iraq was "likely to be delayed by up to eight months from their original over optimistic target of May 2004". That was due to delays in MOI programmes. The paper stated that that was "not a surprise":

"The Iraqi Security Forces do not just have to be hired; they must be vetted, trained, equipped, mentored and certified ie capability, not numbers, is the key ... Bremer has been pushing for quality for months, without the support in Washington, where the emphasis has been on numbers. On the positive side, a lesson has now been learned."

**384.** In March, Lt Gen Sanchez announced that once the ISF proved capable and credible enough to maintain local security, Coalition Forces would redeploy to bases outside major cities.<sup>333</sup> From there they would co-ordinate with the Iraqis and provide Quick Reaction Forces, but the ISF would have daily policing and patrolling duties.

**385.** Maj Gen Stewart in his evidence to the Inquiry reflected on the growing violence by February/March.<sup>334</sup> He said:

"... because we had made Security Sector Reform our main effort ... because it is our ticket out of there eventually – we have seen a fight against what we are starting to achieve in terms of Security Sector Reform.

"So there are people who are clearly unhappy at the police becoming even relatively effective, because they never became anything close to being effective."

**386.** In April, the security situation declined dramatically, with uprisings in Fallujah and Najaf, described in Section 9.2. Maj Gen Stewart told the Inquiry how "it was like a switch had been flicked" on 6 April, when there were "35 shooting incidents and attacks in Basra before 7.30 in the morning". <sup>335</sup>

**387.** Maj Gen Stewart described another incident on 21 April in which five simultaneous car bombs were detonated in Basra and az-Zubayr, killing around 70 people and injuring around 250. He said this was "all aimed at the Iraqi police because our SSR was working".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>332</sup> Minute Owen to Cannon, 25 March 2004, 'Iraq: Weekly Update' attaching paper FCO 'Iraq: No 10 Weekly Update'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>333</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>334</sup> Public hearing, 9 December 2009, page 67.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>335</sup> Public hearing, 9 December 2009, pages 67-68.

- **388.** Maj Gen Stewart explained that 50 percent of his force was assisting with SSR during that time. He said that SSR continued in April (although it reduced to 25 percent of his force's time) but that "one or two of the major incidents we had was people ... actually moving from location to location to try and help the SSR".
- **389.** Hard Lessons summarised ISF performance during the violence of April 2004:

"Many elements of the newly deployed Iraqi Security Forces proved unwilling or unable to fight. Some abandoned their posts and aided the insurgency. Others mutinied when they came under fire. Iraqi police units collapsed in Fallujah, Najaf, Karbala, and Kut, and the number of Iraqi police dropped by nearly 3,000 in one week in April 2004. The Iraq Civil Defense Corps fared worst of all. From April 2 to April 16, up to 12,000 ICDC members deserted; the rates reached up to 30 percent in northeastern Iraq, 49 percent in Baghdad, 30 percent in the South-Central region, and 82 percent in Western Iraq." 336

**390.** A summary produced for No.10 by the Cabinet Office in late April stated that the Iraqi police were fully recruited against a target of 75,000 but that ICDC numbers, having risen to 35,000 in early April, had subsequently fallen to 25,000.<sup>337</sup>

**391.** On 13 April, Mr Richmond reported that:

"The weaknesses in the new Iraqi Security Forces have been exposed by the events of the last week. Bremer and Sanchez are keen on the [Iraqi] Defence Minister's idea of establishing a 10,000 man task force which would draw on some of the 'non-tainted' elements of the former regime's special forces, the newly trained counter terrorism company and Iraqi Army and ICDC battalions. They also want to bring former military officers back into the security structures to create an Iraqi chain of command." 338

**392.** Mr Blair met President Bush on 16 April.<sup>339</sup> At the meeting Mr Blair stated that he was concerned by progress on recruiting, equipping and training the ICDC and the police.

**393.** In April 2004, Dominic Asquith, FCO Director Iraq, reported that consideration was being given to re-engaging dismissed military officers.<sup>340</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>336</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>337</sup> Minute Dodd to Quarrey, 30 April 2004, 'Iraqi Security Force Capabilities'.

<sup>338</sup> Telegram 153 IragRep to FCO London, 13 April 2004, 'Irag: Four day Easter Roundup'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>339</sup> Letter Rycroft to Adams, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Meeting with Bush'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>340</sup> Telegram 181 IraqRep to FCO London, 21 April 2004, 'Iraq: Sunni Politics'.

- **394.** On 23 April, Ambassador Bremer made a speech in Baghdad addressed to the Iraqi people.<sup>341</sup> He said:
  - "... many Iraqis have complained to me that de-Ba'athification policy has been applied unevenly and unjustly. I have looked into these complaints and they are legitimate. The de-Ba'athification policy was and is sound. It does not need to be changed. It is the right policy for Iraq. But it has been poorly implemented."
- **395.** On 26 April, Mr Blair sent a Note to President Bush.<sup>342</sup> In it he suggested a number of ways of improving the situation in Iraq. The first suggestion was:

"Do whatever it takes to get the Civil Defence and police in shape. The Coalition should hire who we need; pay what it takes; create an officer class that can lead and knows that it has a huge vested interest in success. Bremer's speech on de-Ba'athification etc was well received. But I'm not sure we really have our entire system focused on this; and it needs to be ..."

- **396.** Mr Blair also suggested a focus on courts. He wrote:
  - "... very few cases can be tried at present; judges are subject to real intimidation. We need to ensure that trials of criminals and sentencing begins again. An independent judiciary will be a big step forward."
- **397.** Those points were reiterated in a video conference on 27 April.<sup>343</sup>
- **398.** In early May, following a request from Mr Blair to "look again at progress with Iraqiisation, particularly training and equipment, and how it might be accelerated", the Cabinet Office produced a paper entitled 'Enhancing Iraqi Security Forces'. <sup>344</sup> The Cabinet Office gave a detailed assessment of the current situation and highlighted the following elements as the main points:
  - There were benefits in taking risks in handing over local control to Iraqi citizens.
  - More ex-Ba'athist army officers might be required (beyond the 4,000-5,000 there were already plans to recruit).
  - The Iraqi police were fully recruited, the focus now needed to shift to specialist and leadership training.
  - "10,000 Iragi police" were "duds" and needed to be pensioned out of the service.
  - More police mentors were required; "we could consider lobbying internationally for more".

<sup>341</sup> Speech L. Paul Bremer III, 23 April 2004, 'Turning the Page'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>342</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Rice, 26 April 2004 attaching Note from PM for President Bush.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>343</sup> Letter Quarrey to Owen, 27 April 2004, 'Prime Minister's VTC with Bush, 27 April: Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>344</sup> Minute Dodd to Rycroft, 7 May 2004, 'Enhancing Iragi Security Forces'.

- The disbursement of new equipment should be accelerated.
- There was a need to "get a wholesale grip of prisons policy and prisons management".

**399.** The paper also stated that in the lead-up to the transfer of sovereignty, Coalition control over the shape and form of the ISF would reduce. On incorporating militias. the paper said: "This process needs to be taken forward carefully and systematically with a view to the long-term cohesiveness of Iraq's security forces rather than on an ad hoc basis."

**400.** Mr Blair raised Iragiisation again in a video conference with President Bush on 11 May.<sup>345</sup> He questioned the current capability of the ISF, and concluded that a rolling programme for Iragiisation concentrating not just on numbers but on how to train, equip and command the Iraqi police and ICDC, with the Iraqi Army behind them, was required.

**401.** In a Note to President Bush on 18 May, Mr Blair described work on developing the ISF as "urgent" and said that nothing should stand in its way. 346 He provided a paper from the MOD and highlighted the need:

- for more international police advisers, stating that the current number was only 50 percent of that required;
- for rapid recruitment of more army battalions;
- to "fix pay, equipment and IT problems of the police and civil defence"; and
- to bring in "proper officers" even if they had a Ba'athist connection.

**402.** From 20 to 23 May, a team led by Major General Nicholas Houghton, Assistant Chief of Defence Staff (Operations), and accompanied by CC Kernaghan, visited Iraq.<sup>347</sup> The visit followed a request from Mr Blair for a UK team to visit Iraq and provide a report on what further action was required to accelerate Iraqiisation.<sup>348</sup>

**403.** Maj Gen Houghton's subsequent report noted the lack of strategy, "bureaucratic complexity" hindering access to funds and resources, "initiative overload" and a short-term focus.<sup>349</sup> However, he assessed that those mistakes were known in Iraq and action had been taken to address them. He further stated:

"The last thing the theatre needs at the moment is novel thinking imposed from outside. The concept of 'acceleration' is misplaced. 'Sustained Effort', with some change in emphasis, will produce the desired capability."

<sup>345</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Adams, 11 May 2004, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Video Conference with President Bush, 11 May'.

<sup>346</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Rice, 18 May 2004, [untitled] attaching Note [Blair to Bush], [undated], 'Note on Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>347</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Rycroft, 13 May 2004, 'Enhancing Iraqi Security Forces'; Minute ACDS(Ops) to Rycroft, 25 May 2004, 'How Best to Progress the Iragiisation of the Security Sector'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>348</sup> Letter Rycroft to Baker, 11 May 2004, 'Enhancing Iragi Security Forces'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>349</sup> Minute ACDS(Ops) to Rycroft, 25 May 2004, 'How Best to Progress the Iragiisation of the Security Sector'.

**404.** Maj Gen Houghton also highlighted the need for "honest acceptance" of the likely timescales, stating:

"The Iraqiisation of the Security Sector is not a deliverable on 01 July. It is a possibility that a largely Iraqiised Security Sector could deliver security for elections in Jan 05."

#### 405. Maj Gen Houghton assessed:

"The biggest single thing that will move the creation of capability forward is the increased use of military and police assets in mentoring roles. This should involve, for example, widening the concept of embedding troops within Iraqi Civil Defence Corps (ICDC) Units. Given available assets this will mean taking risk on maintaining security."

**406.** On developing a strategy, Maj Gen Houghton stated that it must be "authored, owned and executed" in Iraq, not in London. It should also deal with policy on militias and define an end-state as there was no agreement on the constitutional, legal and judicial framework within which the security sector should operate. He also highlighted the difficulties that the transfer of sovereignty and the associated drawdown of the CPA would have in terms of loss of control and discontinuity of personnel.

**407.** In a "follow-up piece" to the paper, Maj Gen Houghton provided more detail on how a strategy should be developed.<sup>350</sup> The strategy should include a "vision", such as: "A secure and stable Iraq maintained by credible, self-confident and capable security structures under Iraqi governance".

**408.** Derived from that vision should be an outline of the security sector architecture. Maj Gen Houghton highlighted some "key policy decisions" that would need to be made in each area:

- "(1) <u>Iraqi Police Service (IPS)</u>. There needs to be agreement on the principle of Police Primacy (or not) in International Security (IS) issues. Will the Police Service be controlled nationally or regionally? ... Will it have a special weapons and tactics capability; if so how much and under whose control? ...
- "(2) <u>Iraqi Civil Defence Corps (ICDC)</u>. Is the ICDC a temporary or a permanent creation? ...
- "(3) <u>Iragi Army</u>. What is the role of the Iragi Army in IS? …"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>350</sup> Minute ACDS(Ops) to Rycroft, June 2004, 'How Best to Progress the Iraqiisation of the Security Sector'.

- **409.** A series of "transition plans" would be required "to get us from where we are now to where we want to be". Those would include:
  - "(1) Capacity-building. Capacity-building plans for the elements of the Security Sector are well advanced but they need greater refinement to reflect the need for capability rather than just quantity ...

. . .

"(3) Militias. There are assessed to be 52 militias ranging in size from 12 to 31,000. They have represented useful short-term expedients, and some may need to feature as part of the longer term accepted Security Architecture. A policy for militias is starting to emerge.

. . .

- "(6) Information Operations/Strategic Communications ... extant plans have: focused too much on 30 Jun as a watershed; ... have dealt too much in promises and have not focused enough on achievements and tangible successes."
- **410.** Maj Gen Houghton judged that: "The time has already passed when a strategy could have been imposed on the Iraqis and there is a danger that we may now be trying to develop one too late."
- 411. On the most effective method of training, Maj Gen Houghton advised that:
  - "Evidence from within theatre indicates that the best way to grow genuine capability within the ISF is to embed coalition troops and International Police Advisors (IPA) inside Iraqi units."
- **412.** Maj Gen Houghton provided some suggested points to stress in public statements, including:
  - "(1) Significant progress already made in capability/capacity-building within ISF.
  - "(2) ISF already achieving local control in some areas ...
  - "(3) Need to maintain a sensible balance of risk in progressing Iraqiisation with the operation realities of the security situation. The relevant timescale is spring 06 for us to assume strategic stand-off."
- **413.** In an annex, Maj Gen Houghton described the status of SSR in Iraq as of 25 May:
  - Over 80,000 police officers were operational with approximately 20,000 having received training. An accelerated training programme was now being put in place by CPATT.
  - The DBE had been "successfully ... reconstituted" (see Box, 'The Iraqi border police', later in this Section).

- On the FPS, the "manpower ceiling" of 73,992 had been achieved.
- The Ministry of Justice had come under full Iraqi control on 30 May. The Higher Judicial Council had been established in Baghdad and was processing up to 3,000 cases a week. The courts were functioning "reasonably well".
- The Iraqi Correctional Service employed 3,269 officers and was operating 18 prison facilities, with a capacity of 5,500.
- The ICDC had 32,484 personnel, against a target of 40,680. Specialist training had been hampered by a lack of equipment, such as communications equipment.
- The Iraqi Armed Forces had originally been established for external security only. Four battalions had been trained for the Army, with additional personnel in the Air Force and Coastal Defence Force.

### **414.** On resourcing, Maj Gen Houghton commented:

"The SSR process to date has been stifled by bureaucratic rules of the release of funds. If we are to be serious about sustaining the process of Iraqiisation of the Security Sector we need to take a pragmatic and flexible approach to the allocation of a combined UK source of some £37 million."

- **415.** Mr Blair held a meeting on 3 June at which a paper from the MOD was discussed.<sup>351</sup> It is not clear from the record which MOD paper was considered. Mr Blair agreed that a UK team should deploy to Iraq to act "in effect as the embryonic secretariat of the MCNS [Ministerial Committee for National Security, described later in this Section] and draft the equivalent of a white paper<sup>352</sup> on defence and security".
- **416.** Mr Blair asked to be informed of "any obstacles or log jams" which he might need to raise with President Bush and commissioned a round-up on Iraqiisation every two weeks. Increased mentoring was not mentioned in the record of the discussion.
- **417.** Mr Blair also commissioned an update "illustrating what is actually happening in MND(SE) in terms of handing over responsibility for security to the Iraqis". The response from the MOD is discussed later in this Section.
- **418.** Two weeks later Mr Blair again discussed Iraqiisation and again requested to be informed of any issues automatically rather than having to ask.<sup>353</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>351</sup> Letter Rycroft to Baker, 3 June 2004, 'Irag: Prime Minister's Meeting, 3 June'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>352</sup> A 'white paper' is an authoritative report that informs readers concisely about a complex issue and sets out proposals for future action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>353</sup> Letter Rycroft to Baker, 15 June 2004, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's meeting, 15 June'.

**419.** In five meetings and conversations with President Bush in May and June, Mr Blair raised Iraqiisation; emphasising the importance he attached to the approach and his hope that Lt Gen Petraeus, now Commanding General, Multi-National Force – Iraq (MNF-I subsumed OSC in June 2004), and Prime Minister Designate Ayad Allawi could agree a joint plan for publication.<sup>354</sup>

**420.** On 16 June, Sir Nigel Sheinwald sent Dr Rice a Note written by Mr Blair for President Bush.<sup>355</sup> Mr Blair envisaged that the timetable and strategy in relation to Iraq would include the Iraqi Interim Government publishing an "action plan on Iraqiisation of Iraq's security" in the week before handover and an international conference in early September. Mr Blair wrote that the problem on Iraqiisation was "obvious":

"The numbers in the police are there. But not the quality or equipment, e.g. only 7,000 of the 80,000 police are Academy trained: 62,000 have no training; only nine percent have proper body armour; only 30 percent of the required vehicles are in place. Apparently the logjam on resources and equipment is now broken. But it will take time. And the Iraqi Army isn't really started yet.

"All of this is now urgent."

**421.** According to *Hard Lessons*, at the end of June 2004 only half of Iraq's army and two-thirds of its police forces had received any training at all, and the quality of that training "varied wildly".<sup>356</sup>

# **Reintegrating militias**

In May 2004, Mr Richmond reported that the CPA had begun to implement a "pragmatic" strategy to reintegrate the militias into Iraqi society.<sup>357</sup> The plan was to recruit militia personnel into the ISF, to retire them with a pension or to reintegrate them through a training and job placement scheme.

The largest militia groups were the two Kurdish Peshmerga (the Kurdistan Democratic Party had an estimated strength of 41,000 and the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan 31,000) and the Badr Corps (16,000). Other smaller militia, such as the Dawa, the Iraqi National Accord and the Iraqi National Congress, tended to consist largely of security personnel protecting their respective political leaders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>354</sup> Letter Quarrey to Owen, 20 May 2004, 'Prime Minister's VTC with Bush, 20 May: Iraq'; Letter Quarrey to Owen, 26 May 2004, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's VTC with Bush, 26 May'; Letter Rycroft to Adams, 30 May 2004, 'Prime Minister's Conversation with Bush, 30 May'; Letter Rycroft to Adams, 9 June 2004, 'Prime Minister's Meeting with President Bush 9 June 2004: Iraq and European Issues'; Letter Quarrey to Owen, 22 June 2004, 'Prime Minister's VTC with Bush, 22 June: Iraq'.

Letter Sheinwald to Rice, 16 June 2004, [untitled] attaching Note Blair [to Bush], [undated], 'Note'.
 Bowen SW Jr. Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>357</sup> Telegram 263 IraqRep to FCO London, 27 May 2004, 'Iraq: Militia Strategy'.

There were also "unofficial" militias which were meant to be dismantled. Jaysh al-Mahdi (JAM) was judged to be "the most dangerous" of those. Mr Richmond commented that dismantling militias was "Not an easy task as we have discovered". He concluded his report to London:

"It is easy to be sceptical about how quickly and effectively the militias will be dismantled. There is an element of re-badging in the agreed approach but it is probably the only realistic way forward. A system which brings militia members within the ambit of the state, if only nominally, is better than leaving the problem unresolved. It is also an important confidence building measure. Ultimately, militias will only disappear when the economy is strong enough to offer people higher paid jobs elsewhere and the political system is sufficiently stable to remove their raison d'etre."

On 7 June, Ambassador Bremer signed CPA Order No.91, entitled "Regulation of Armed Forces and Militias within Iraq". 358 It prohibited the existence of armed forces and militias other than those created by the CPA. Existing militia could remain only if they had a "Transition and Reintegration" plan which included timescales for their disbandment and a full list of members. Article 27 of the Transitional Administrative Law (TAL) and subsequently Article 9 of the Iraqi Constitution prohibited armed forces or militias that were not part of the Iraqi Armed Forces. 359

An update to No.10 from the MOD in August 2004 stated: "The success of this initiative will not only help generate stability in Iraq, but may serve as an example of de-militarisation in future conflicts." 360

# Restructuring in advance of transfer of sovereignty

**422.** CPA Order No.68, in early April 2004, established the Ministerial Committee for National Security (MCNS) and the position of National Security Adviser.<sup>361</sup>

**423.** The role of the MCNS was to facilitate and co-ordinate national security policy among the ministries and agencies of the Iraqi Government tasked with national security decisions. It was to be the primary forum for ministerial-level decision-making on national security issues and would comprise:

- Ambassador Bremer (Chair) until transfer of sovereignty, after which the Chair would be the Prime Minister;
- Minister of Defence:
- Minister of the Interior;
- Minister for Foreign Affairs;
- Minister of Justice;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>358</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Order 91, 7 June 2004, 'Regulation of Armed Forces and Militias within Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>359</sup> Report to Congress, 13 October 2005, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>360</sup> Minute Naworynsky to Phillipson, 20 August 2004, 'Report from Lt Gen Petraeus, Multi-National Security Transition Command – Iraq (MNSTC-I) on developing the Iraqi Security Forces'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>361</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Order 68, 4 April 2004, 'Ministerial Committee for National Security'.

- Minister of Finance;
- National Security Adviser (in an advisory capacity);
- Director of the Iraqi National Intelligence Service (in an advisory capacity);
- Senior Military Adviser (in an advisory capacity);
- MNF Commander or his representative (by invitation); and
- other appropriate individuals (by invitation).
- **424.** The first meeting of the MCNS took place on 21 March under the chairmanship of Ambassador Bremer.<sup>362</sup> He later described the committee as "effectively an Iraqi version of our [the US] National Security Council".<sup>363</sup>
- **425.** The MCNS met regularly from this point onwards and its meetings were often attended by the British Ambassador and the Senior British Military Representative in Iraq.
- **426.** The role of National Security Adviser was to act as the primary adviser on national security matters and to manage the National Security Advisory Staff. Dr Mowaffak al-Rubaie was appointed to that role in April 2004 and served until April 2009.
- **427.** A briefing from the MOD for No.10 in May described the following structures beneath the MCNS:
  - A Commander's Council and a Contact Group.
  - Provincial and local structures, such as provincial security committees to discuss "security issues in the broadest sense" (these became known as Provincial Joint Co-ordination Centres – PJCCs) and local co-ordination structures known as Joint Operating Centres.
  - The Iraqi Army and the ICDC would be under the command and control of the IMOD through the Joint Headquarters. However, in the short term they would need to be under the operational command and control of the MNF.
  - There was uncertainty around police command and control but it was thought that they would report through local police chiefs to the MOI. Ideally the police force should be the lead for all internal security but this might not be possible straight away.
  - That transition to local control would occur at different speeds in different areas. The CJTF-7 target for local control across Iraq had slipped from June to December – "a target which not only will be met but which will be bettered by the four provinces of MND(SE)".<sup>364</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>362</sup> Telegram No 102 IraqRep to FCO, 22 March 2004, 'Iraq: First Meeting of the National Security Committee'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>363</sup> Bremer LP III & McConnell M. *My Year in Iraq: The Struggle to Build a Future of Hope.* Threshold, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>364</sup> Minute Naworynsky to Quarrey, 11 May 2004, 'Iraq: Security Structures After 30 June'.

- **428.** On 22 April, CPA Order No.73 put the ICDC under the control of the IMOD.<sup>365</sup> The ICDC was renamed the Iraqi National Guard (ING) on 20 June 2004.
- **429.** In response to the violence in Fallujah, Najaf and other locations in April, a number of different "elite" forces were created in an attempt to raise the capability of some of the ISF above that of the insurgents. Table 2 provides a summary of those.<sup>366</sup>

Table 2: Iraqi Security Institutions

Name	Department	Date created	Detail
Emergency Response Units	MOI	CPA era	A small, elite, national unit trained for high-risk search, arrest, hostage rescue, crisis response, and explosive ordnance disposal (EOD) missions. <sup>367</sup> By July 2004 only 40 officers were operational; the target was 750 officers by November 2005.
Iraqi Intervention Force	IMOD	June 2004	6,000 strong, announced by Prime Minister Allawi before he took office. <sup>368</sup>
Iraqi Special Operations Force:  - Commando Battalion  - Iraqi Counter-Terrorism Force	IMOD	July 2004	An elite force which operated outside the Iraqi Armed Forces chain of command. Trained by US Special Forces.
Civil Intervention Force – Special Police Commandos	MOI (all MOI forces here were collectively known as Special Police Forces)	September 2004	An elite paramilitary force for counter-insurgency support created by Mr Bayan Jabr, Minister of the Interior.  The Commandos received no traditional police training. <sup>369</sup>
Civil Intervention Force – Mechanised Police	MOI	November 2004	Conducted vehicle-mounted operations to secure high-value routes, such as Route Irish (the route from the airport to the Green Zone in Baghdad).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>365</sup> Coalition Provisional Authority Order Number 28 – Establishment of the Iraqi Civil Defense Corps, 3 September 2003; Wright Dr DP & Reese Col TR. On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign.

The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005, Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>366</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>367</sup> Report to Congress, 13 October 2005, *Measuring Stability and Security in Irag*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>368</sup> Letter Cabinet Office [junior official] to Sheinwald, 29 June 2004, 'Iraq: Strategy Group'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>369</sup> Report to Congress, July 2005, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

Public Order Battalions	MOI	September 2004	A lighter force which conducted counter-insurgency operations but primarily performed a traditional police function in very hostile environments.
			The Public Order Battalions were recruited almost entirely from Shia neighbourhoods around Baghdad and locations in southern Iraq and were not under Multi-National Security Transition Command – Iraq (MNSTC-I) supervision. They were later regarded by Sunnis as evidence of Shia abuse of their power as head of the MOI. <sup>370</sup>

**430.** The creation of "elite" forces within the MOI led to two types of police – national (although not formally badged as the "National Police" until April 2006; see Box, 'The National Police', later in this Section) and local. The national-type police forces (elite forces) reported directly to the Minister of Interior, while the local forces reported through a Provincial Director of Police to a Deputy Minister in the MOI responsible for policing.

**431.** Coalition military structures were also re-organised in preparation for the transfer of sovereignty. Part of that re-organisation subsumed the Office of Security Co-operation (OSC) into the Multi-National Security Transition Command – Iraq (MNSTC-I).<sup>371</sup>

## Multi-National Security Transition Command – Iraq

The Multi-National Security Transition Command – Iraq (MNSTC-I) came into existence on 6 June 2004 and was led by Lt Gen Petraeus.<sup>372</sup>

MNSTC-I was organised into three training teams:

- the Coalition Military Assistance Training Team (CMATT) to organise, train and equip the Iraqi Army;
- the Joint Headquarters Advisory Support Team (JHQ-ST) to assist the Iraqi Army command and control system; and
- the Civilian Police Assistance Training Team (CPATT) to organise, train and equip the Iraqi Police.

The UK also provided a training team of approximately 10 personnel to MNSTC-I to carry out Basic Officer Training.<sup>373</sup> The UK training team became part of the NATO mission in 2005.

Wright DP & Reese TR. On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008.
 Wright Dr DP & Reese Col TR. On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008.
 Wright Dr DP & Reese Col TR. On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008.
 Minute Vincent to Naworynsky, 21 February 2005, 'The NATO Training Mission Iraq (NTM-I); Minute Naworynsky to Vincent, 22 February 2005, 'The NATO Training Mission Iraq (NTM-I)'.

### SSR in the South: after the invasion

## **Multi-National Division (South-East)**

Multi-National Division (South-East) (MND(SE)) was established on 12 July.<sup>374</sup> That formalised the UK's responsibility for maintaining security in the provinces of Basra, Muthanna, Dhi Qar and Maysan, initially as an Occupying Power and, from June 2004, in support of the Iraqi Government. That area of Iraq is often referred to as 'the South'.

**432.** On 14 April, Mr Blair told the House of Commons that the South of Iraq was "largely under British control". <sup>375</sup> In Basra:

"About 200 policemen have reported for work. Joint patrols started on 13 April. In surrounding towns, looting has either ceased or is declining, local patrols are being re-established and co-operation with city councils is going well."

**433.** In response to a question from Mr Iain Duncan Smith, the Leader of the Opposition, Mr Blair told Members of Parliament (MPs):

"Of course the British forces will stay until there is proper security in the country, although obviously we hope to ensure that some of the policing is done by local people as soon as possible. That is why it is encouraging that joint patrols are already taking place. Although people may find this strange, much of the problem for Iraqi citizens came from the special security forces, not the ordinary civil police, if I may put it like that. Many of those people could perform an adequate and good task for the future of Iraq. Other countries are already offering help in relation to policing and security." 376

**434.** Responding to a proposal by Mr Jeffrey M Donaldson that the UK should draw on the experience of retired Royal Ulster Constabulary (RUC) officers to police Iraq, Mr Blair said:

"We should look at using retired RUC officers. Indeed, the Defence Secretary tells me that representatives of our UK police have gone out to Iraq to see what assistance we can give." 377

**435.** Pressed by Mr Nicholas Soames to "take seriously" Mr Donaldson's point, Mr Blair added that deploying former officers was "a priority for us, because the better we can maintain order, the better it is for the people of Iraq and the less is the pressure on our soldiers".<sup>378</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>374</sup> Report Lamb, 30 January 2004, 'Post Operational Tour Report – Version 1 Operation Telic 2/3 11 July to 28 December 2003'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>375</sup> House of Commons, *Official Report*, 14 April 2003, columns 615-616.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>376</sup> House of Commons, *Official Report*, 14 April 2003, column 619.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>377</sup> House of Commons, *Official Report*, 14 April 2003, column 625.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>378</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 14 April 2003, columns 628-629.

- **436.** From early April, policing functions in MND(SE) were undertaken by the military, under a Royal Military Police (RMP) lead.<sup>379</sup> Following his visit to Iraq in May, CC Kernaghan observed that "local volunteers had been recruited as auxiliaries and that they, together with elements of the Saddam Hussein era police, were being trained by the RMP in the basics of police work". Plans were in place to refurbish police stations, courts and prisons; to provide basic training to officers who had reported back or had been recruited since the invasion; to select and issue new uniforms; and to re-establish a viable local criminal justice system.
- **437.** CC Kernaghan judged that "the British effort was focused on providing a visible police presence on the streets to reassure the wider population".
- **438.** By early July, the military had appointed a Chief of Police and outlined plans to develop a training academy in az-Zubayr, near Basra.<sup>380</sup>
- **439.** The Dutch Marechaussee (Royal Military Constabulary) and Italian Carabinieri (National Military Police) were also deployed in Muthanna and Dhi Qar provinces respectively. They undertook basic training and mentoring of Iraqi police in their areas. Maj Gen Stewart told the Inquiry that the Carabinieri were "just the right sort of troops to help train [the Iraqis]".<sup>381</sup>
- **440.** A record of a meeting on 25 June between the FCO, ACPO and the Home Office stated:

"The Prime Minister was authoritatively quoted as wishing to see the southern AO ... develop as an exemplar for the whole of Iraq. The Iraq Policy Unit (IPU) made it clear that HMG [Her Majesty's Government] recognised the need to make progress in advance of any agreed CPA strategy [on SSR]. Specifically, in respect of the Iraqi Armed Forces and the police." 382

#### The Basra River Service

By June, British commanders had instigated the creation of the "Basra River Service" to employ ex-naval personnel. Major General Adrian Bradshaw, Commander 7 Armoured Brigade in 2003, told the Inquiry:

"In the weeks after our arrival, we became very aware that the ex-naval and military personnel in the province, of whom there were 10,000 or 12,000, had also not been paid for several months and were facing a desperate situation and ... they came to us asking for us to do something about their people ...

-

Letter Kernaghan to Blunkett, 23 May 2003, 'Iraq – Visit by Chief Constable P R Kernaghan' attaching Report Kernaghan, 10 May 2003, 'Report on Visit to Iraq by Chief Constable Kernaghan [13-20 May 2003]'.

<sup>380</sup> Statement White, 20 June 2010, pages 19-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>381</sup> Public hearing, 9 December 2009, page 75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>382</sup> Minute Kernaghan to UND [junior official], 26 June 2003, 'Iraq and the UK Police Service – meeting 25/6/03'.

"It was clear to me that what was at that stage developing into quite a sort of robust peaceful protest had the potential to go a lot further ... So I proposed ... that we should effectively demobilise these people with a demobilisation payment and then take them on ... as 10,000 more on the 180,000 civil sector workers with a marker against their name to be part of the future security structure and almost immediately then we started employing them in a force that we created called the Basra River Service which was designed to promote security on the waterway, along which a vast amount of looted copper and brass ingots were being spirited away into a sort of open black market in the Gulf and tons of steel from cables and all manner of material."383

**441.** July saw a substantial reduction of military personnel within South-East Iraq, including a reduction in RMP platoons from eight to two (approximately 800 to 200 personnel).<sup>384</sup>

## **Problems deploying police officers**

- **442.** Following the agreement for the UK to provide a Chief Constable to be the senior policing adviser to the CPA within the MOI, officials in the FCO continued to recommend the deployment of around 20 MOD police officers.<sup>385</sup>
- **443.** It appears that that deployment was first mentioned to CPA(South) on 7 June when an email was sent from the UND to a junior official who was seconded to CPA(South). The official's response was that it would not be feasible for the MOD police officers to come under CPA(South) as they had no authority to manage the responsibility, and no ability to provide security.
- **444.** The IPU was concerned that that might prove a stumbling block: they were keen to ensure that the MOD police officers had some responsibility to the CPA's policing team in Baghdad.<sup>387</sup>
- **445.** As a result, they began to consider providing CPA(South) with "a secondee with specific responsibility for policing/SSR".<sup>388</sup> That idea was strongly welcomed by CPA(South).
- **446.** In June, the FCO agreed to appoint ACC Douglas Brand from the South Yorkshire Constabulary to the post of Senior Police Adviser to the CPA in Baghdad (as described

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>383</sup> Private hearing, 2 June 2010, pages 9-10.

<sup>384</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to PS/Foreign Secretary, 8 July 2003, 'Iraq: Police Reform'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>385</sup> Minute UND [junior official] to MOD(Sec Iraq), 9 June 2003, 'Iraq: Policing Strategy'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>386</sup> Email MOD [junior official] to FCO [junior official], 7 June 2003, 'Basra Police Training Team: Possible Deployment'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>387</sup> Email Lowe [MOD] to FCO [junior official], 9 June 2003, 'Basra Police Training Team: Possible Deployment'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>388</sup> Email Home Office [junior official] to FCO [junior official], 10 June 2003, 'Basra Police Training Team: Possible Deployment'.

earlier in this Section), and ACC Stephen White from the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI) to what became the post of Senior Police Adviser and Director of Law and Order for CPA(South).<sup>389</sup> Both individuals deployed as Acting Deputy Chief Constables.<sup>390</sup>

- **447.** The Annotated Agenda for the AHMGIR stated that the UK was "pursuing plans to send MOD Police to begin basic training for police in Basra", adding "this ... will subsequently be subsumed into an international policing team working in accordance with an agreed coalition policing strategy". It highlighted that the UK had offered two Assistant Chief Constables to help develop the policing strategy, one in Baghdad, the other in Basra.<sup>391</sup>
- **448.** The AHMGIR met on 12 June and Ministers agreed that the MOD police contingent should deploy to Basra as soon as practicable.<sup>392</sup>
- **449.** The UND asked the MOD's Iraq Secretariat to action this, stating that it would be for the RMP's Provost Marshal<sup>393</sup> to judge the capacity of the military to accommodate that contingent of police officers, who would be "reliant on military support for board, accommodation, transport and security".<sup>394</sup>
- **450.** The FCO convened a meeting to discuss arrangements on 25 June. The only record of the meeting identified by the Government was taken by CC Kernaghan.<sup>395</sup> In the meeting it was agreed that, instead of deploying the full contingent of 21 MOD officers, DCC White would deploy with three or four MOD police/PSNI officers and conduct a training needs analysis.
- **451.** CC Kernaghan stated that the only request for UK civilian police secondees that had been relayed to ACPO at that time was for the two ACCs (ACC White and ACC Brand). He highlighted the pressures on the domestic police service and the limitations on its ability to provide large numbers of armed officers, noting that "any request would require clear political approval and endorsement" before ACPO could consider it, but added that ACPO "would seek to respond positively to UK Government requests and had specialist capabilities, which might play a constructive role in police reform generally".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>389</sup> Email Kernaghan to Fox, 20 June 2003, 'Assistant Chief Constables Selected by FCO for Secondment to Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>390</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to Kernaghan, 2 July 2003, 'ACPO Secondments to Iraq: Initial Terms of Reference'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>391</sup> Annotated Agenda, 12 June 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>392</sup> Minutes, 12 June 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>393</sup> Provost Marshal is the title given to a person in charge of a group of military police.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>394</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to MOD [junior official], 13 June 2003, 'Iraq Police Reform – MDP Trainers for Basra'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>395</sup> Minute Kernaghan to FCO [junior official], 26 June 2003, 'Iraq and the UK Police Service – Meeting 25/6/03'.

- **452.** On 8 July, officials in the FCO advised Mr Straw that he should write to Mr Blunkett to seek nominations of up to 200 police officers for firearms training with a view to drawing on this pool for future deployments to Iraq when conditions were judged by DCCs White and Brand to be right.<sup>396</sup>
- **453.** On 18 July, Mr Straw wrote to Mr Blunkett requesting the nomination of a pool of officers for firearms training in Iraq to meet the request from the CPA.<sup>397</sup> The letter made clear that a further assessment would be needed, prior to deployment, of the security situation in Iraq and the appropriateness of deploying UK police officers.
- **454.** The original minute to the Foreign Secretary did not propose that he should write to Ministers in the Scottish Government or to the Northern Ireland Secretary, responsible respectively for police forces in Scotland and Northern Ireland. ACPO Scotland was instead approached at official level. 399
- **455.** ACPO issued a letter to police forces in England and Wales on 31 July informing them of a trawl notice to be issued by the FCO seeking volunteers to form a pool of officers for potential deployment to Iraq.<sup>400</sup>
- **456.** By September 2003, 260 police officers had applied to the pool.<sup>401</sup>
- **457.** On 10 August, DCC Brand asked for the deployment of four police officers ideally with a background in intelligence and operational planning to staff a Joint Command Centre (JCC) in Baghdad designed to prevent friendly fire incidents.<sup>402</sup>
- **458.** Former DCC Brand told the Inquiry how his request for support staff had eventually been met:

"[Lt] General Viggers [the Senior British Military Representative – Iraq] ... loaned me a captain ... so that I had somebody who could answer my phone and make appointments whilst I was in other meetings. It wasn't until the end of September that I had an administrative assistant, and then, sometime in October, when the Ministry of Defence police contingent came out and I was able to take somebody as a sort of ... staff officer.

"It was ... quite challenging to ... operate at a two-star level, engage at the highest level diplomatically and militarily – when one is answering one's own phone and trying to do all of the administration that supports that type of activity."<sup>403</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>396</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to Private Secretary [FCO], 8 July 2003, 'Iraq: Police Reform'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>397</sup> Letter Straw to Blunkett, 18 July 2003, 'Iraq: Police Reform'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>398</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to Private Secretary [FCO], 8 July 2003, 'Iraq: Police Reform'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>399</sup> Minute UND [junior official] to Buck, 18 September 2003, 'Iraq Police Reform: UK Contribution'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>400</sup> Letter Kernaghan to President of ACPO, 31 July 2003, 'Creation of a Pool of Police Officers for Possible Service in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>401</sup> Annotated Agenda, 18 September 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Irag Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>402</sup> Minute Brand, 10 August 2003, 'Report from Iraq – Douglas Brand'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>403</sup> Public hearing, 29 June 2010, pages 40-41.

**459.** An IPU update for Mr Blair on 3 September stated:

"In addition to the senior UK police officers already in Iraq, 15 UK trainers can be deployed within two weeks and another 60 by the end of October. Our target is 100 UK trainers deployed by end 2003 (of a planned CPA total of 600)."404

- **460.** CC Kernaghan stated in an email to the Home Office that neither ACPO nor the Chief Constable of the MOD police had been approached to deploy the 15 "trainers" mentioned.<sup>405</sup> He also stated that the FCO had not at that point conducted the risk assessment it had promised nor agreed with ACPO that conditions were right to permit UK police officers to be deployed in Iraq.
- **461.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lieutenant General Sir Graeme Lamb, GOC MND(SE) from July 2003 to December 2003, described needing "about 90 policemen" in July, but six months later he had only two.<sup>406</sup> He stated that "... people talked a lot, they promised a great deal ... in fact delivery was always the problem".
- **462.** Lt Gen Lamb said that his "sense was there was no shortage of individuals who were prepared to volunteer" but Chief Constables were "reluctant" and "did not allow them to come forward" because they felt it would be a breach of their duty of care given the difficult security situation.
- **463.** Sir Hilary Synnott agreed that he perceived a "tremendous reluctance" from ACPO to supply police officers.<sup>407</sup>
- **464.** In late September 2003, both DCC Brand and DCC White expressed their frustration about the lack of additional UK police officers in Iraq.<sup>408</sup> On 21 September, in response to being informed that the FCO was considering asking the PSNI to provide the four staff he requested in August, DCC Brand wrote:
  - "... I don't mind where they come from as long as they get here ASAP. The JCC [Joint Command Centre] is now being seen by the military as the only current solution to the problem of soldiers shooting Iraqi police officers because of a lack of awareness of deployments ... They [the military] have everything in place ... I made my original request ... 6 weeks ago ... If we are only just thinking about approaching PSNI it may be weeks or months before the officers are able to travel and we would lose all credibility with the American military ... To remind you, this was our idea ... I urge you to act swiftly and not delay any longer."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>404</sup> Paper IPU, 3 September 2003, 'Iraq Security Plan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>405</sup> Email Kernaghan to Home Office [junior official], 4 September 2003, 'Iraq – Iraq Rehabilitation Group Briefing Papers – 4/9/03'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>406</sup> Public hearing, 9 December 2009, pages 19-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>407</sup> Public hearing, 9 December 2009, page 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>408</sup> Email Brand to FCO [junior official], 21 September 2003, 'Re: Police Training plan'; Email White to UND [junior official], 21 September 2003, 'Re: Police Training plan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>409</sup> Email Brand to FCO [junior official], 21 September 2003, 'Re: Police Training plan'.

**465.** The following day, DCC White wrote to a junior official in the FCO's Iraq Security Sector Unit, to summarise his understanding of the position in MND(SE) ahead of a briefing with Sir Jeremy Greenstock and Sir Hilary Synnott:

"... I would like to be able to tell them that I have been updated by FCO in relation to: my resource bids other than training staff (I am assuming they are not being addressed); MDP [MOD police] deployments to Basra (I am assuming they are not being deployed); training staff requests for mid October (I am certain they are not being met); and training staff requests for Nov/Dec (it appears they are not likely to be met)." 410

#### **466.** He summed up by saying:

"Those of us on the ground are finding it difficult to fully understand what the main issues of the debate are regarding the deployment or otherwise of UK civpol."

**467.** Upon receiving those emails, CC Kernaghan wrote to the Home Office to clarify the ACPO position.<sup>411</sup> He made clear that there were "no outstanding requests with ACPO, nor have any been refused to date". He stated that current delays were due to a lack of firearms training and that deployment without such training would require a "clear statement from the Home Office that such a move was seen as desirable". He also said that he had "no doubt" that the FCO's International Policing Unit could expedite selection and training if directed. He concluded by saying:

"In essence, the FCO, with the support of allied departments and agencies, needs to provide quicker and more authoritative feedback to DB & SW [DCCs Brand and White]."

**468.** On 4 October, Ms Clwyd asked Mr Straw in a House of Commons debate when he intended to "strengthen the number of British advisers and support staff". Mr Straw responded, saying that he intended to investigate the matter and that it was his understanding that "from this week, DCC White will be supported in his role by six Ministry of Defence police officers".<sup>412</sup>

**469.** Six MOD police officers were deployed to Baghdad in October. 413

**470.** The potential availability of PSNI officers for deployment was discussed in a meeting between DCC White and Mr Bill Rammell, FCO Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State, based upon informal discussions between DCC White and Mr Hugh Orde, Chief Constable of the PSNI.<sup>414</sup> The Iraq Security Sector Unit (ISSU) note stated that their decision not to approach the Northern Ireland Office had been based on a letter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>410</sup> Email White to UND [junior official], 21 September 2003, 'Re: Police Training plan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>411</sup> Email Kernaghan to Home Office [junior official], 22 September 2003, 'Irag – Recent Developments'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>412</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 4 October 2003, column 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>413</sup> Letter Lee to Clarke, 18 February 2004, 'Deployment of MDP Officers to Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>414</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to PS/SofS [FCO], 4 November 2003, 'Iraq Policing'.

from 2002. The letter said that for domestic reasons the PSNI would no longer be in a position to contribute to overseas police missions.

- **471.** On 6 November, Ms Jane Kennedy, Minister of State for Northern Ireland, wrote to Mr Straw stating that Mr Orde had agreed to identify at least six and up to 10 "PSNI officers of Inspector to Superintendent ranks with operational experience in working with the military willing to undertake a secondment to Basra". Ms Kennedy stated that Mr Orde had also indicated that he would consider further deployments under the "auspices of ACPO".
- **472.** In a visit report dated 18 November (described earlier in this Section), CC Kernaghan recommended an increase in UK police officers deployed to both Baghdad and Basra. He highlighted that only 27 of the 43 police forces in England and Wales and six of the eight in Scotland had nominated officers to serve in Iraq. He welcomed the willingness of the Northern Ireland Office/PSNI to deploy police officers to Iraq but cautioned that the PSNI should not be seen as a separate entity. In particular he was concerned that they should not be expected to take greater risks than other UK police officers.
- **473.** The development of police training across Iraq is discussed in Box, 'Police training academies', earlier in this Section.
- **474.** Plans for the Jordan academy were discussed at the Iraq Senior Officials Group on 9 September.<sup>417</sup> The IPU briefing for the meeting stated that the 21 UK officers could be deployed by the end of December and that other troop-contributing nations should be encouraged to send trainers.<sup>418</sup>
- **475.** The minutes of the meeting stated:

"The Home Secretary and ACPO were content in principle with the deployment of UK police trainers ... This could happen ... before the end of October. However ... [they] would need to see a plan for the school including a security assessment, and a more defined strategy for policing than existed at present."

**476.** At that stage, deployment of UK police officers for the facility was being delayed by lack of firearms training or adequate security provisions for them to deploy without such training.<sup>420</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>415</sup> Letter Kennedy to Straw, 6 November 2003, 'UK Policing Assistance – Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>416</sup> Report Kernaghan to Straw, 18 November 2003, 'Report on Second Visit to Iraq by Chief Constable Kernaghan – 11/11/03-14/11/03'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>417</sup> Letter Dodd to Sheinwald, 10 September 2003, [untitled].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>418</sup> Paper IPU, 8 September 2003, 'Irag: Security Action Plan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>419</sup> Letter Dodd to Sheinwald, 10 September 2003, [untitled].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>420</sup> Minute FCO, 18 September 2003, 'Iraq: Police Training: Update: 18 September'; Email White to UND [junior official], 21 September 2003, 'Re: Police Training plan'.

- **477.** The Iraq Senior Officials Group met on 23 September and stated that the FCO was sifting applications and hoped to supply 80 trainers for the Jordan school and 20 for training in az-Zubayr; no timelines for deployment were provided.<sup>421</sup>
- **478.** At an Iraq Policing Meeting on 24 October, it was agreed that FCO officials should advise Mr Straw to ask Mr Blunkett to authorise the deployment of police to Iraq. 422
- **479.** By 18 November, CC Kernaghan noted that the deployment to Basra had still "not yet been formally requested" and was "subject to an appropriate security assessment".<sup>423</sup>
- **480.** Mr Straw visited Iraq in late November and met DCC White. In his statement to the Inquiry, Former ACC White said:

"I was shocked when he [Mr Straw] told me that he was being told that; there were no delays in getting police out to Basra (in fact none had arrived since my advance party came to the place in July, despite requests and reports); that there was no sleeping accommodation for UK police in CPA South (as there were many Portakabins available such as the one I lived in); and that the police academy was not ready (as it had been open and functioning since mid October and all I needed were some more police to help us open and use the many other empty classrooms). This final point was frustrating to hear, because to my memory only one person (a military officer attached to an FCO Iraq unit) had visited it and, in what was a classic 'Catch 22' situation, it appeared that (in UK) some were saying it was not open to justify not deploying trainers – yet the reason why it was not open was because no trainers were deployed to it."424

**481.** Following his visit, Mr Straw wrote to Mr John Sawers, Political Director in the FCO, the next day saying:

"I would be grateful if you would personally grip this issue and ensure that the key action points arising from CC Kernaghan's report of his Iraq trip are dealt with as soon as possible. A combination of the Byzantine bureaucracy of ACPO and a lack of understanding in the FCO about police issues and practice ... threaten further delays and a sub-optimal delivery in an area where the UK has a serious contribution to make."425

**482.** On the same day, Mr Straw told the AHMGIR that the "UK contribution to policing was inadequate" and that he would be writing to Mr Blunkett to encourage more police forces to release personnel.<sup>426</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>421</sup> Minute, 25 September 2003, 'Iraq Senior Officials Group meeting [23 September]'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>422</sup> Minutes Hayward, 24 October 2003, 'Iraq Policing Meeting – 24 Oct 03'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>423</sup> Report Kernaghan to Straw, 18 November 2003, 'Report on Second Visit to Iraq by Chief Constable Kernaghan – 11/11/03-14/11/03'.

<sup>424</sup> Statement, 20 June 2010, page 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>425</sup> Minute Straw to Sawers, 27 November 2003, 'UK Police Assistance to Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>426</sup> Minutes, 27 November 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

- **483.** On 28 November, more than two months after applications were sifted, Mr Straw wrote to Mr Blunkett formally requesting the deployment of 24 officers to work at the az-Zubayr training academy, noting that ACPO was content with the risk assessment.<sup>427</sup>
- 484. Mr Blunkett agreed to that request on 3 December. 428
- **485.** In a letter to No.10 in mid-December, Mr Straw's Private Secretary reported that the 24 additional British officers would arrive at az-Zubayr that week.<sup>429</sup> He also reported that 36 officers had deployed to Jordan and a further 40 were on standby to join once capacity had been increased. In addition:

"The UK's senior input to Iraq policing remains strong. DCC Douglas Brand, senior police adviser in the CPA is responsible for overall policing strategy and implementation, and will be in place for a further 6 months. In the South, ACC Stephen White will be replaced from end January. Both officers' teams are currently being strengthened."

**486.** The UK police trainers arrived and training began on 27 December. The military also supplied two lieutenant colonels as members of the project team and 20 trainers (10 Royal Military Police (RMP) and 10 Carabinieri). Following discussions with the military and the senior adviser to MOI, Mr Casteel, it was agreed that the military and UK police officers would share responsibility for training at the az-Zubayr facility.

487. By March 2004 there were over 100 UK police officers working on SSR:

- two senior police advisers (DCC Brand in Baghdad and Acting ACC Philip Read in Basra);
- their support staff of 11, including staff manning the Baghdad joint civil-military co-ordination cell;
- 73 officers in Jordan (eight of whom were retired officers);
- 24 officers at the az-Zubayr facility;
- the commander of the Baghdad police academy; and
- five PSNI officers in the South.<sup>431</sup>

**488.** Acting Commander Kevin Hurley took over from Acting ACC Read in June 2004 as senior police adviser in Basra and served a seven-month tour.<sup>432</sup> He described the UK police contingent as "tiny" – about 20 constables and sergeants "conducting very rudimentary recruit training … in a derelict old barracks near az-Zubayr" and, based at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>427</sup> Letter Straw to Blunkett, 28 November 2003, 'Iraq: Police Reform: Deployment of UK Police Officers'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>428</sup> Letter Blunkett to Straw, 3 December 2003, 'Iragi Police Reform: Deployment of UK Police Officers'.

<sup>429</sup> Letter Owen to Rycroft, 18 December 2003, 'UK Contribution to Iragi Police Training'.

<sup>430</sup> Statement White, 20 June 2010, page 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>431</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to Buck, PS/Foreign Secretary, 3 March 2004, 'Iraq – Contracting of Police Monitors'.

<sup>432</sup> Statement, 17 June 2010, pages 3-4.

Basra Palace, six officers (at Inspector to Chief Superintendent rank) whose role it was to mentor the police commanders in Maysan province, Nasiriyah and Basra. There were further police officers in Baghdad working on future planning for the police service in Iraq but "they had been all but marginalised by our US allies and were effectively ignored". He likened the arrangements for SSR in Iraq to "being in a rowing boat being towed behind a massive troop ship going somewhere, the trouble was we had most of the charts and plans in the police rowing boat".

#### THE DEPLOYMENT OF DCC WHITE

- **489.** DCC White had deployed to Basra on 14 July 2003. He described the security situation upon arrival as:
  - "... bad and ... getting worse. There had been tragedies ... there was no accurate data of how many people were being killed, but there were many people being killed. Old scores were being settled. Bodies were being found in the Shatt-Al-Arab River and in the parks and so on. So within the community, there was a lot of violence. In terms of the threat against the Coalition Forces, it was also rising ... but, having come from working in Northern Ireland for 30 years, it was not, in my opinion, any worse."
- **490.** DCC White was accompanied by two MOD Police officers who would be his only UK police resources for most of the next five months.<sup>434</sup> He also worked with Danish police officers, initially a contingent of three which grew to a maximum of 15 by the end of 2003.<sup>435</sup> He told the Inquiry that:
  - "... you had the ridiculous situation where, as a very senior chief police officer, I was flying on my own to the scene of murders ... If you have only got one British police officer and two Ministry of Defence police officers, for five months, what does that say about the priority being given to the situation? Despite the fact the rhetoric was: the South must not fail, the South must be a success." 436
- **491.** DCC White's lines of reporting were multiple to Mr Kerik in Baghdad, to Sir Hilary Synnott in Basra and to a junior official in the FCO's UND.
- **492.** Despite DCC White initially being offered an operational role, he was subsequently briefed in London that his role was to be "primarily as policing adviser" but eventually he might become police commander before handing over to the Iraqi police.<sup>437</sup> His first task was to conduct a training needs analysis for MND(SE).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>433</sup> Public hearing, 21 July 2010, pages 17-18.

<sup>434</sup> Statement White, 20 June 2010, page 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>435</sup> Public hearing, 21 July 2010, page 24.

<sup>436</sup> Public hearing, 21 July 2010, pages 32-33.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>437</sup> Statement, 20 June 2010, page 5.

- **493.** DCC White's role changed again on arrival in Basra where he found himself expected to assume the role of CPA Director of Security (Law and Order), encompassing not just security and policing but all other aspects of criminal justice. That was a much broader role than anticipated. He had one lawyer to support the work on judicial reform.<sup>438</sup>
- **494.** Describing how he was briefed by the military on their future plans, Former ACC White told the Inquiry:
  - "[I] was impressed with the comprehensiveness of the plan but somewhat surprised that [I] had not been told of it back in the UK."439
- **495.** Former ACC White told the Inquiry that he was viewed by the military in MND(SE) as "their relief from all law and order reform duties not just police reform. This expectation was ... the cause of much tension throughout my tour of duty".
- **496.** Former ACC White explained: "The army were trying to move away from policing duties. They wanted us [police secondees] to move in and we weren't there."
- **497.** Former ACC White described a confused strategic picture:

"I was being told that a strategy was still being written and therefore not promulgated. However, I was also told there were advanced plans – e.g. for training in Hungary with agreed curricula. Later in Baghdad I saw a Bosnia police training curricula with the word Bosnia struck out and Iraq written on it. I was being told at one time the IPTF [International Police Training Force] for which I had been recruited as commander would be unlikely, yet the man in charge of MOI and policing in Iraq was telling me directly I would have 1,500 officers, with executive authority, to command in the South."

**498.** In August, DCC White produced a 'Strategic Report on the Police Reform Programme in Southern Iraq' in which he assessed that 91 international police officers would be required to support the policing mission within MND(SE), of whom 70 would work with the Iraqi police and 21 would operate within the training school. <sup>442</sup> In addition to those, following the UK military decision to withdraw the four RMP personnel who had been working as part of DCC White's team and providing force protection for the UK police, he estimated that a team of 48 would be required to provide force protection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>438</sup> Public hearing, 21 July 2010, page 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>439</sup> Statement, 20 June 2010, page 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>440</sup> Public hearing, 21 July 2010, page 23.

<sup>441</sup> Statement, 20 June 2010, page 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>442</sup> Report Elder for White to MacIntosh, 26 August 2003, 'Report on-UK CIVPOL Support to CPA South'; Statement White, 20 June 2010, pages 44-46.

- **499.** Former ACC White told the Inquiry that that report and request caused some controversy when they were briefed to CPA and IPU staff in Baghdad, as they were considered to be inconsistent with the new 'MOI 60/90 day Strategic Plan' of which DCC White had had no sight.<sup>443</sup> The request for 91 police officers was subsequently withdrawn pending further direction from CPA Baghdad.
- **500.** On 4 September, DCC White submitted a reduced bid for 43 UK police officers to support his work in MND(SE).
- **501.** The Iraq Security Action Plan, produced by the IPU for the Iraq Senior Officials Group in September 2003, recorded that "in the absence of a central [policing] strategy, we are pursuing regional options".<sup>444</sup>
- **502.** DCC White had submitted a proposal to re-establish the Regional Police Training Academy (RPTA) at az-Zubayr to the south of Basra, to be staffed by 21 international staff (see Box, 'Police training academies', earlier in this Section). Plans to train Iraqi officers in Hungary had fallen through, but three potential training sites had been identified in Jordan, with facilities for up to 3,000 recruits.
- **503.** The IPU recommended that DCC Brand should clear the UK's concept for the RPTA with the CPA and MOI; that it should be funded; and that its timetable should be accelerated. Twenty-one UK officers were expected to be deployed to the Academy by the end of December. The IPU was confident that it could offer 100 officers to work in a training facility in a third country by the end of October, but needed to lobby the Jordanians for access to such facilities.
- **504.** Ministers discussed policing at the AHMGIR on 18 September.<sup>445</sup> The Annotated Agenda for the meeting described plans to develop the RPTA, stating that "a separate deployment of 20 UK police trainers, who will require firearms training, will be required". That deployment would require the formal approval of ACPO and the Home Office.<sup>446</sup>
- **505.** Ministers "endorsed the plans for police training outlined in the Annotated Agenda and agreed that they should be implemented as swiftly as possible with whatever UK help was necessary".<sup>447</sup>
- **506.** In September, a cross-Whitehall project team was created to oversee the development of the Basra and Jordan training academies.<sup>448</sup> The Iraq Police Training Project Team was based in the FCO and was led by Mr Neil Crompton, Head of the IPU.

<sup>443</sup> Statement, 20 June 2010, pages 22-24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>444</sup> Paper IPU, 8 September 2003, 'Irag: Security Action Plan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>445</sup> Minutes, 18 September 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>446</sup> Annotated Agenda, 18 September 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>447</sup> Minutes, 18 September 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>448</sup> Minute FCO, 12 September 2003, 'Iraq: Third Country Training Plan'; Minute FCO, 18 September 2003, 'Iraq: Police Training: Update: 18 September'.

The team, which included seconded police officers as well as officials from the Home Office and MOD, was set up to co-ordinate the work of a virtual team of advisers from ACPO, the Home Office, the MOD police and Bramshill College of Policing. It reported to the Iraq Senior Officials Group.

**507.** A briefing by the Iraq Police Training Project Team on 18 September stated that the first course at az-Zubayr was due to begin on 15 October, with specialised training courses being carried out by Danish police officers.<sup>449</sup> At the same time, the facility was being expanded so that it could accommodate larger courses and begin three-week 'Transition Integration Programme' training. The expansion was due to be completed in December.

## Training the ICDC in MND(SE)

In July 2003, military commanders from each of the areas in Iraq were instructed to stand up ICDC battalions. In response to that, in early September an MOD force level review concluded that a further two UK battalions should be sent to Iraq, one of which would begin training of the ICDC in MND(SE) alongside force protection and intelligence-gathering/surveillance duties.<sup>450</sup> The review did not make clear what proportion of the battalion (around 600 strong in total) would be involved in training the ICDC.

The UK ICDC training team arrived in theatre in late September with the aim of training 1,200 troops by mid-October, and 5,000 in total, for deployment in MND(SE).<sup>451</sup>

In the Security Action Plan written in September 2003, the IPU recorded that UK forces were training 160 members of the ICDC, and that would increase to 1,700 by early November. 452 ICDC battalions would be "fully operational" by the end of the year in all four provinces of MND(SE), carrying out basic guarding and infantry tasks.

The IPU identified four actions required:

- provision of additional UK Short Term Training Teams;
- allocation of a delegated budget to MND(SE) to support the training;
- provision of CJTF and CPA central support; and
- agreement that ICDC development costs should be underwritten nationally in order to avoid "procedural delays in CPA".

**508.** In October, Sir Hilary Synnott reported that CJTF-7 had issued an unexpected instruction entitled 'Acceleration of the Iraqi Police Services' which gave the military a greater role in accelerated police training programmes. That is described earlier in this Section.<sup>453</sup>

in South Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>449</sup> Minute FCO, 18 September 2003, 'Iraq: Police Training: Update: 18 September'; Email White to UND [junior official], 21 September 2003, 'Re: Police Training Plan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>450</sup> Letter Williams to Rycroft, 4 September 2003, 'Irag: UK Forces and Resources Review'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>451</sup> Paper MOD, 9 December 2009, 'Iraq Security Sector Reform'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>452</sup> Paper IPU, 8 September 2003, 'Iraq: Security Action Plan'.

<sup>453</sup> Telegram 110 FCO London [on behalf of CPA Basra] to UKRep Iraq, 31 October 2003, 'Police Training

#### **509.** Sir Hilary wrote:

"In the South, this has considerable implications for military resources to be devoted to police training; for our current plans, including the recently inaugurated Basra Regional Police Academy; and for the significant Danish effort at present and in future. We had no warning of this from CPA Baghdad (beyond a slight reference to such a possibility), no subsequent information from them and no consultation."

**510.** Sir Hilary explained that he had come up with an action plan to adapt the approach being taken in the South in such a way as to be consistent with the instruction, cautioning:

"It will require an acceleration of the current building programme for the Police Academy and enhanced and extended engagement by the RMP. It will not negate the need for UK civil police involvement and, to enhance the civil nature of policing, it would be desirable to extend this further as soon as practicable. But the nature of the training programmes envisaged for the Academy will have to be adapted."

## SSR progress by October 2003

**511.** At the Chiefs of Staff meeting on 1 October, Lt Gen Reith was asked to provide an out-of-committee brief on SSR, which he did the same day. 454

**512.** Lt Gen Reith described the purpose of his paper as "to summarise SSR progress to date, against CPA/CJTF-7 targets for MND(SE), and estimate the potential development in ISF over time". 455 He informed readers that:

"The CPA and CJTF-7 tightly control SSR policy, although currently medium to long term plans lack definition, an overarching SSR strategy, resources and funding."

**513.** Lt Gen Reith described eight separate Iraqi security organisations, including the NIA and the IPS. He summarised the situation in MND(SE) as:

- 8,367 police officers had been recruited, out of a total of 11,800 planned by December 2005.
- 400 members of the NIA had been recruited, out of a total of 7,855 planned by the end of 2005.
- 840 members of the ICDC had been recruited, out of a total of 6,720 planned by April 2004.
- 2,500 FPS (for critical infrastructure) had been recruited, out of a planned total of 4,200 by February 2004.
- All 4,000 planned members of local militia, to perform a "Neighbourhood Watch" role, had been recruited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>454</sup> Minutes, 1 October 2003, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>455</sup> Minute Reith, 1 October 2003, 'Iragi Security Sector Reform – MND(SE)'.

- 282 Border Police and Customs officials had been recruited, out of a total of 1,500 planned by February 2004.
- 180 members of the Iraqi Riverine Patrol Service (IRPS), out of the 380 planned by February 2004, had been recruited.
- Recruitment for the 392-strong Iraqi Coastal Defence Force, which would be responsible for security in Iraqi territorial waters and anti-smuggling activity, would begin in two weeks.
- **514.** Lt Gen Reith wrote that over the following 12 months only four elements of the ISF would relieve Coalition Forces of tasks: the IPS, ICDC, IRPS and FPS. It was "too early to judge the effectiveness or quality of these units, but the 'Iraqiisation factor' alone is expected to gain popular support". Although other elements of the ISF would help to improve the security situation, Lt Gen Reith felt there was "little likelihood that their partial or full operational capability will permit any meaningful reduction in troop numbers".
- **515.** On 14 October, a documentary entitled 'Basra Beat' which followed DCC White's deployment in Iraq was broadcast by BBC Northern Ireland's *Spotlight* programme. <sup>456</sup> The programme exposed DCC White's concerns about the SSR programme in Iraq, and the UK's resourcing of it.
- **516.** In his statement to the Inquiry, Former ACC White explained that, in particular, a remark he made about his frustration with the delays in deploying officers caused controversy back in the UK.<sup>457</sup> He told the Inquiry that as a result many "negative opinions were offered" about him and that he was left "feeling unsupported and isolated" but for the support of Sir Hilary Synnott and Ms Kennedy.
- **517.** An update from Mr Straw's Private Secretary to Sir Nigel Sheinwald on 17 October did not refer to the issues raised by DCC White's documentary. The Private Secretary reported that "good progress" was being made in developing the Iraqi police and that there was a "credible and deliverable strategy to train 30,000 Iraqi police over the next year".
- 518. Mr Straw's Private Secretary reported that efforts in Basra were focused on developing the Regional Police Training Academy (RPTA) and that an "initial retraining programme for serving Iraqi police officers began on 12 October under UK management". He reported that 24 UK police officers were about to start pre-deployment training and would deploy as soon as the RPTA facilities were ready to receive them. That would bring the total deployment of UK officers in Basra and Jordan to 100.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>456</sup> BBC News, 14 October 2003, UK 'failing to police Basra'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>457</sup> Statement, 20 June 2010, pages 30-31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>458</sup> Minute Sinclair to Sheinwald, 17 October 2003. 'Iraq: Security and Policing'.

**519.** DCC White met Mr Rammell, Mr Adam Ingram, Minister for the Armed Forces, and Ms Kennedy on 3 November. <sup>459</sup> In the meeting, DCC White stated that his alleged criticisms of government strategy were taken out of context but he expressed concerns about lack of progress, the lack of UK police officers on the ground (due in part he felt to Chief Constables blocking deployment for security reasons), differences between the UK and the US approach and the absence of a delegated budget. Mr Rammell stated:

"My view, having listened to him, is that he raises serious concerns which are at odds with our statements as to how the situation is improving."

- **520.** The Home Office record of the Iraq Senior Officials Group of 4 November recorded that Mr Straw's initial reaction to DCC White's documentary was to call a "special meeting of Ministers to discuss what more could be done" but FCO officials had advised that that was not necessary.<sup>460</sup>
- **521.** An update produced for Mr Straw by the Iraq Security Sector Unit (ISSU) on 5 November acknowledged that "there had been delays in late summer" but said that these had now been resolved.<sup>461</sup> On the specific issues about which DCC White had expressed concerned, the ISSU observed:
  - risk assessments "ACPO require very strict assurances";
  - budget that was "a matter for CPA South and Baghdad"; and
  - the new accelerated programme for training "this is far from ideal ... the risks that flow from putting large numbers of insufficiently trained police on the streets are clear".

# Security incidents involving UK SSR staff

UK personnel involved in SSR were the subject of a number of security incidents between May 2003 and June 2004:

 On 24 June 2003, six members of the RMP<sup>462</sup> who had been engaged in the training of local Iraqi police forces were killed at Majarr al Kabir, near Basra (see Sections 9.2 and 16.3).<sup>463</sup> An MOD Board of Inquiry later concluded that that had been "a surprise attack, which could not reasonably have been predicted".<sup>464</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>459</sup> Letter Rammell to Symons, 4 November 2003, 'Irag – Stephen White'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>460</sup> Minute Storr to Acton, 5 November 2003, 'Iraq: Senior Officials Meeting on 4 November'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>461</sup> Minute ISSU [junior official], to PS/SofS [FCO], 4 November 2003, 'Iraq Policing'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>462</sup> Sergeant Simon Hamilton-Jewell, Corporal Russell Aston, Corporal Paul Long, Corporal Simon Miller, Lance Corporal Benjamin Hyde and Lance Corporal Thomas Keys.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>463</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 24 June 2003, column 996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>464</sup> House of Commons, *Official Report*, 17 November 2004, columns 90-91WS.

- On 23 August, three members of the RMP were killed<sup>465</sup> and another seriously injured in an attack in central Basra (see Section 9.2).<sup>466</sup> Up to five Iraqis were also understood to have been killed or seriously injured.
- On 19 November, DCC White's convoy was subject to an Improvised Explosive Device (IED) attack outside the Basra courthouse.<sup>467</sup> One of his protection officers was seriously injured.
- On 22 April 2004, the police training academy at az-Zubayr was attacked by suicide bombers. Although no UK police were injured in the attack, it led to a review of security at the facility. A subsequent Cabinet Office paper described the site as "isolated and exposed" but said that a more permanent and secure site would take time to construct, and would cost between £5m and £10m, for which there was no provision.

The facility at az-Zubayr remained and in June 2004 Mr Hoon stated:

"The attack on the facility in April had strengthened the determination of the Iraqi recruits (and their instructors) to deliver an effective police force. An impressive set up." 470

#### **Prison reform**

**522.** The FCO identified the development of the Iraqi prison service as a "Priority One" area for UK support to ORHA in April 2003.<sup>471</sup>

**523.** Mr Martin Narey, the Home Office Commissioner for Correctional Services, wrote to Sir Michael Jay, the Permanent Under Secretary to the FCO, on 24 April advising that he was seeking potential secondees from the Prison Service to support the UK effort.<sup>472</sup>

**524.** Prison reform was also included in the Annotated Agenda for the AHMGIR on 17 July.<sup>473</sup> The Agenda described the Government's "continued support to the Iraq prison reform programme" and stated that the IPU considered it "likely ... that UK support [would] be requested specifically in training and general prison management".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>465</sup> Major Matthew Titchener, Company Sergeant Major Colin Wall and Corporal Dewi Pritchard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>466</sup> Ministry of Defence, 23 August 2003, 'Major Matthew Titchener, Sergeant Major Colin Wall and Corporal Dewi Pritchard Killed in Iraq'.

<sup>467</sup> Statement White, 20 June 2010, pages 33-34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>468</sup> Note ISSU, 23 April 2004, 'Security Sector Reform Meeting – Thursday 22 April 2004'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>469</sup> Minute Dodd to Rycroft, 7 May 2004, 'Enhancing Iraqi Security Forces'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>470</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Owen, 15 June 2004, 'Secretary of State for Defence Visit to Iraq – 14 June 2004'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>471</sup> Letter Jay to Turnbull, 22 April 2003, 'Iraq: UK Support for the Office for Reconstruction and Humanitarian Assistance (ORHA)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>472</sup> Letter Narey to Jay, 24 April 2003, 'Iraq: UK Support for the Office for Reconstruction and Humanitarian Assistance (ORHA)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>473</sup> Annotated Agenda, 17 July 2003, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

- **525.** The minutes of the Security Sector Reform Meeting<sup>474</sup> of 4 December recorded that "not much work had been done in this area" and that "there still did not appear to be a definitive US policy position".<sup>475</sup>
- **526.** On 25 February 2004, minutes of the Security Sector Reform Meeting recorded that Mr Gareth Davies, Senior Prisons Adviser to CPA(South), was arranging training for Iraqi Correctional Service officers.<sup>476</sup> Canada had also "hinted" that it might support training. Two prisons in MND(SE) were being "refurbished/rebuilt".
- **527.** Canada's offer to deliver training was confirmed at the Security Sector Reform Meeting on 5 April.<sup>477</sup>
- **528.** For the same meeting, Mr Davies had prepared a paper (dated 29 March) on the progress of the Prisons Project in CPA(South). He noted that:
  - The "clear separation of function" between the police, courts and prisons had been "more difficult practically than envisaged" but was now "largely complete".
  - Of the five facilities within the UK's AOR, one was functioning (al-Maqil) and the other four (al-Minah, Basra Central, al-Amarah and Nasiriyah) were under development or construction.
  - The recruitment and training of managers and staff for the increase in establishments should not be difficult, but funding "Pay Running Costs" remained a problem.
  - On safeguards for the treatment of prisoners there was "little or no National Correctional Policy emanating from Baghdad", resulting in "serious" policy gaps, such as disciplinary codes for both prisoners and staff.
- **529.** Mr Davies described al-Maqil as being "in a very poor state of repair" and "close to collapse". Problems with sewage had been exacerbated by severe overcrowding: in January the prison's population reached 478 against a capacity of 230. Part of the reason for that rise had been a "weakness in the due process" where prisoners were kept on remand without judicial review. A system of referral to judges had helped alleviate the problem.
- **530.** Mr Davies submitted a report in April 2004 with recommendations for staffing levels after 30 June.<sup>478</sup> A joint bid from the FCO and DFID to the Global Conflict Prevention Pool (GCPP) fund for nine prison officers to be both monitors and advisers was planned. The Government has been unable to supply a copy of Mr Davies' report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>474</sup> The Security Sector Reform Meeting was a regular meeting of policy officers that discussed a range of SSR matters, including police training, military reform, prisons and the judiciary. It was chaired by the FCO and attended by the MOD, DFID, the Home Office and (occasionally) HM Customs and Excise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>475</sup> Minutes, 4 December 2003, Security Sector Reform Meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>476</sup> Minutes, 25 February 2004, Security Sector Reform Meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>477</sup> Minutes, 5 April 2004, Security Sector Reform Meeting attaching Report Davies, 29 March 2004,

<sup>&#</sup>x27;A Summary Against Scope of Progress in the Prisons Project in CPA(S)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>478</sup> Minutes, 22 April 2004, Security Sector Reform meeting.

- **531.** A funding bid for £5.53m for a project to support the prison service in Southern Iraq from summer 2004 onwards stated that prisons strategy in MND(SE) was overseen by the Director of Law and Order, who had been seconded from the UK Prison Service.<sup>479</sup> He was supported by a Senior Prisons Adviser and an administrator. Prison monitoring was undertaken by three members of the Military Prison Service plus two members of the Territorial Army who were UK prison officers in their civilian careers. The bid sought to increase staffing numbers to 15. All other staff working in the Correctional Service were Iraqi locals.
- **532.** The bid stated that there were approximately 800 prisoners in MND(SE). Three new prisons were in development, with a total capacity of 1,650 places. All prisons within the CPA(South) boundary run by the Iraqi Prison Service were overseen and maintained by the UK.
- **533.** A letter dated 2 July confirmed that £1.7m had been agreed for that project by the Iraqi GCPP Strategy Committee.<sup>480</sup>

## The position in the South leading up to the transfer of sovereignty

- **534.** A record of the Iraq Senior Officials Group on 12 December 2003 stated that the MOD intended to deploy an additional infantry battalion to assist ICDC training and more RMP to assist police training and mentoring.<sup>481</sup> The record stated: "The importance of close co-ordination between departments on police initiatives was stressed".
- **535.** On 19 December, Mr Lee wrote to Mr Bowen, stating there had been "some surprise" expressed at the forthcoming deployment of additional RMP to MND(SE) and "concern about MOD's alleged lack of consultation over this deployment". 482

#### **536.** Mr Lee wrote:

"For months now the MOD has been assured by the FCO, and MND(SE) has been assured by the CPA, that civil police were in the pipeline to provide training and mentoring ... All accepted the crucial importance of standing up the IPS as rapidly as possible and thus the importance of providing proper civil police trainers to train civil police. HMG [Her Majesty's Government] and the CPA have not ... delivered ... we have repeatedly stressed that we see police training as important and that it is best delivered by civil police."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>479</sup> Paper Global Conflict Prevention Pool Project Bid Form, [undated, early 2004], 'Prison Service Support in Southern Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>480</sup> Letter Carlin to Hayward, 2 July 2004, 'Iraq Global Conflict Prevention Pool'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>481</sup> Minute Cabinet Office [junior official] to Sheinwald, 15 December 2003, 'Iraq: Senior Officials Group [12 December]'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>482</sup> Letter Lee to Bowen, 19 December 2003, 'Irag: Police Support for MND(SE)'.

- **537.** In his response, Mr Bowen welcomed the deployment but said:
  - "... I find it odd that when we have discussed police training and the like at meetings of officials and had been reassured that all is on track, this has not been challenged at the time. It is not just the MOD who see the importance of police training, so do we all. We must ensure that the information flow between departments is such that messages we need to send to Washington or the CPA (or each other) are registered before we reach crisis point ... there is a clear role for senior officials to intervene if programmes are going off the rails."483
- **538.** A further MOD force level review at the end of January 2004 considered SSR to be "on track in MND(SE)", although it did register problems with resourcing police training and mentoring.<sup>484</sup> It concluded that "given the right conditions" there would be no need to replace the SSR battalion who were conducting ICDC training in July 2004 and added that "assuming the security situation continues to stabilise" further reductions in force levels might be possible by November 2004. Those recommendations were based on assumptions that "consent of the population" would be "maintained" and that SSR would continue "to deliver evermore capable and credible ISF".
- **539.** In early 2004, the focus in policing shifted from training to providing officers to act as monitors/mentors, terms that appear to have been used interchangeably at the time. Given the deteriorating security situation and the need for mentors to be out on the ground, deploying police officers was problematic.<sup>485</sup> As a consequence, that role had been undertaken by the RMP.
- **540.** Following a request from PJHQ to relieve the RMP, the FCO began considering contracting around 40 UK retired officers. At the same time the US was developing plans to recruit around 500 police advisers from Dyncorps to act as monitors/mentors, with 50 being earmarked for the South. The UK's seemingly unilateral approach was met with some consternation by Mr Casteel, who reportedly said: "This isn't two countries, you know."
- **541.** Sir Nigel Sheinwald chaired a meeting of the Iraq Strategy Group on 13 February. Mr Dodd's record of the meeting stated that the recent attacks in Erbil showed that "terrorists felt threatened" by SSR. The US was focused on building the ISF's capacity, "with [General] Abizaid stressing quality over quantity". While the US planned to hand over security responsibility to Iraqi forces at a local level in July, US Commanders "were not entirely confident they would have sufficient Iraqi forces ... but five months remained" to meet that deadline.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>483</sup> Letter Bowen to Lee, 22 December 2003, 'Irag: Police Support for MND(SE)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>484</sup> Paper CJO to PSO/CDS, 29 January 2004, 'Op TELIC Force Level Review – Jan 04'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>485</sup> Minute ISSU [junior official] to Buck and PS/Foreign Secretary, 3 March 2004, 'Iraq – Contracting of Police Monitors'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>486</sup> Minute ISSU [junior official] to Buck, 4 February 2004, 'Visit to Iraq 26-30 Jan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>487</sup> Minute Dodd to Sheinwald, 16 February 2004, 'Iraq: Strategy Group'.

- **542.** On 8 March, Mr Straw gave permission for ISSU to proceed with contracting 40 police monitors/mentors. Those officers would work directly to Coalition Forces but would co-ordinate closely with CPA(South). It was estimated that the cost for six months would be around £3-4m. In a minute from ISSU to Mr Straw, the reason given for the unilateral approach was that the numbers of international police advisers had been "slow to build". There was no mention of the US Dyncorps contract.
- **543.** DCC Brand had recommended that some of those officers should be offered to the CPA as part of a Coalition-wide effort. However, the assessment from ISSU was that, in the present security environment, it would be too great a risk to deploy FCO-contracted British personnel to police station monitoring outside the UK AOR.
- **544.** The minutes of the working level 'Security Sector Reform Group' of 22 April mentioned US plans to deploy Dyncorps contractors to MND(SE) but stated that "it is still unclear as to the exact deployment dates and numbers". <sup>490</sup> They also referred to finalising the role for the UK contractors and efforts to ensure they "complement, not compete" with the Dyncorps contractors.
- **545.** ACC Read told the Inquiry that "it was made quite clear" that the Dyncorps contractors would not answer to him and that "co-ordinating these resources and agreeing a common approach to police reform including the style of policing we wanted to introduce was going to be an issue". <sup>491</sup>
- **546.** The separate UK contract for 40 police monitors/mentors was let to ArmorGroup<sup>492</sup> for £5m for six months, with the intention of deploying them in early June.<sup>493</sup> However, in light of a further decline in security and the assessment that the type of monitoring they would do would be "of little value until the Iraqi police [in MND(SE)] have undergone more specialist skills training", the deployment was put on hold by the FCO until September 2004.
- **547.** On 26 April, Mr Rycroft wrote to Mr Straw's Private Secretary, copying his letter to DFID, the MOD, the Cabinet Office and UK officials in Iraq and the US (see Section 6.2).<sup>494</sup> He reported that Mr Blair thought improvements to existing activities must be made, including on:
  - "(a) <u>Iraqiisation</u>. We must do whatever it takes to get the ICDC and Iraqi police in shape ..."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>488</sup> Minute Owen to ISSU [junior official], 8 March 2004, 'Iraq: Contracting of Police Monitors'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>489</sup> Minute ISSU [junior official] to Buck and PS/Foreign Secretary [FCO], 3 March 2004, 'Iraq – Contracting of Police Monitors'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>490</sup> Minutes ISSU, 23 April 2004, 'Security Sector Reform Meeting – Thursday 22 April 2004'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>491</sup> Statement, 23 June 2010, page 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>492</sup> ArmorGroup is a UK-based private security contractor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>493</sup> Minute ISSU [junior official] to PS/Foreign Secretary, 11 June 2004, 'Iraq – Deployment of Police Monitors'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>494</sup> Letter Rycroft to Owen, 26 April 2004, 'Irag: 15 Reports for the Prime Minister'.

- **548.** On 30 April, the Cabinet Office assessed that in MND(SE) most ICDC battalions and the police should be able to operate without a full-time MNF presence by 30 June, although it conceded that the ISF in MND(SE) had not faced the level of challenge that many others faced in the April violence.<sup>495</sup>
- **549.** Similarly, the MOD assessed that following the transfer, "by 1 July, the ISF will have the lead for security and the MNF will be operating in support of them". <sup>496</sup> The MOD assessed the position in Muthanna, Dhi Qar and Basra provinces positively: in some areas the ISF would "operate without any assistance at all except a standby Quick Reaction Force". The MOD plan was to minimise its "overt presence" by, for example, relocating from Basra Palace (inside the city) to Basra Airport (outside the city). In Maysan province, the security situation was considered to be more challenging (as described later in this Section).

# SSR across Iraq: summer 2004 to summer 2006

- **550.** On 28 June 2004, the Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA) formally handed over to a sovereign Iraqi Government, the Iraqi Interim Government (IIG), and Dr Allawi became the Iraqi Prime Minister. The process of establishing the IIG is described in Section 9.2.
- **551.** On 20 June, Prime Minister Designate Allawi set out his military capacity-building strategy in a televised press statement.<sup>497</sup>
- **552.** Dr Allawi's strategy included a 6,000-strong intervention force with both police and army components, the creation of a Special Forces Organisation and continued ING and army training.<sup>498</sup>
- **553.** Mr Falah Haasan al-Naqib was appointed as the Minister of Interior and Mr Hazem Shalan al-Khuzaei was appointed as the Minister for Defence. Defence Continued to serve as the National Security Adviser. Mr Barham Saleh became Deputy Prime Minister for National Security.
- **554.** During the CPA era, the metric used to measure progress with the ISF was the number of personnel on duty.<sup>500</sup> Immediately after the transition, that metric was replaced by "trained and equipped" personnel. The net result was a 75 percent drop in recorded MOI force totals, from 181,297 "on duty" personnel on 15 June 2004, to 47,255 "trained and equipped" personnel on 25 August 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>495</sup> Minute Dodd to Quarrey, 30 April 2004, 'Iragi Security Force Capabilities'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>496</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Rycroft, 10 June 2004, 'MND(SE): Handing over Responsibility for Security to the Iraqis'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>497</sup> Telegram 337 Iraqrep to FCO London, 20 June 2004, 'Iraq: Statement of Prime Minister Allawi on Iraqi Security'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>498</sup> Letter Cabinet Office [junior official] to Sheinwald, 29 June 2004, 'Iraq: Strategy Group'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>499</sup> BBC News, 1 June 2004, Interim Iragi Government.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>500</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

**555.** When the IIG was constituted, the UK and the US ceased to be Occupying Powers and instead were operating under resolution 1546 (2004) and the annexed letters from Dr Allawi and Mr Powell (see Section 9.2).<sup>501</sup> The points of relevance for SSR were that the Security Council:

- welcomed ongoing efforts by the incoming IIG to develop the ISF, operating under the authority of the IIG and its successors, "which will progressively play a greater role and ultimately assume full responsibility for the maintenance of security and stability in Iraq";
- recognised that the MNF would assist in building the capability of the ISF, through a programme of recruitment, training, equipping, mentoring and monitoring;
- emphasised the importance of developing effective Iraqi police and border enforcement, under the control of the MOI, and the FPS, under the MOI and other ministries, for the maintenance of law, order and security; it requested Member States and international organisations to assist the IIG in building the capability of those institutions;
- attributed a number of roles to the Special Representative to the Secretary General and the UN Assistance Mission for Iraq including promoting the protection of human rights, reconciliation and judicial and legal reform;
- welcomed the fact that arrangements were being put in place to establish a security partnership between the IIG and MNF;
- noted that appropriate Iraqi Ministers were responsible for the ISF, that the IIG
  had authority to commit the ISF to the MNF to engage in operations, and that
  the security structures planned would serve as fora for the IIG and the MNF
  to reach agreement on security and policy issues, and ensure full partnership
  between Iraqi security forces and the MNF, through close co-ordination and
  consultation; and
- called on Member States and international organisations to respond to Iraqi requests to assist Iraqi efforts to integrate Iraqi veterans and former militia members into Iraqi society.

**556.** Dr Allawi's letter annexed to the resolution asked for the support of the Security Council and the international community in providing security and stability until Iraq was able to provide security for itself.

**557.** Resolution 1546 (2004) expired in November 2005. It was replaced by resolution 1637 (2005),<sup>502</sup> and subsequently resolutions 1723 (2006)<sup>503</sup> and 1790 (2007).<sup>504</sup> Those resolutions extended the authorisation for the MNF in Iraq until the end of 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>501</sup> United Nations Security Council resolution 1546 (2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>502</sup> United Nations Security Council resolution 1637 (2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>503</sup> United Nations Security Council resolution 1723 (2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>504</sup> United Nations Security Council resolution 1790 (2007).

## UK, US and Iraqi plans for SSR

**558.** On 15 July 2004, the Defence and Overseas Policy Committee (DOP) considered a paper by Mr Straw entitled 'Iraq: the Next Six Months'. <sup>505</sup> The paper outlined the desired position for Iraq by January 2005. For the ISF, the objective was:

"Significantly increased Iraqi security capacity and capability with Iraqi forces in local control around much of the country and a reduced reliance on MNF-I, paving the way for foreign troop reductions in 2005."

- **559.** The priority was identified as continued support to Iraqiisation through training, equipping and mentoring of ISF, with a focus on command and civilian oversight (particularly of the army and the Iraqi National Intelligence Service).
- **560.** The priorities identified in Mr Straw's paper were agreed by DOP. 506
- **561.** On 21 July, the Annotated Agenda for the AHMGIR reported that recruitment to the ISF was "buoyant" and equipment was being delivered.<sup>507</sup> The ISF were:
  - "... increasingly taking the lead in patrolling and on specific operations. Their credibility with the Iraqi people seems to be increasing ... and local control has been achieved in some areas, particularly MND(SE)."
- **562.** The Annotated Agenda noted that "despite the growth of capacity, significant capability gaps remain" and both the Joint Headquarters and proposed National Joint Operations Centre would "require further advice, supervision and equipment before Iraqi command is satisfactory". ISF units would "require careful command and control training and mentoring once formed".
- **563.** An annex to the Annotated Agenda stated that overall numbers were already close to target (a total of 233,000 ISF personnel against a planned total of "around 260,000" by January 2005). It stated that the IPS had "already hit its full manning levels", would "be 100 percent equipped by end 04", and with the completion of current training programmes be "fully operational" by June 2005.
- **564.** The IPS was also focused on the need "to slim" the police force "by up to 30,000 men". The annex stated that "just fewer than 3,000" Iraqi Army personnel had been trained and the rate of recruitment and training was "set to go up to end 2004".
- **565.** Lt Gen Petraeus carried out a "Troops To Task" assessment in July and August 2004 with General George Casey, who replaced Lt Gen Sanchez as Commander of the Coalition's military command in June 2004. <sup>508</sup> That was to identify what the size

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>505</sup> Paper FCO, 13 July 2004, 'Iraq: the Next Six Months'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>506</sup> Minutes, 15 July 2004, DOP meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>507</sup> Annotated Agenda, 21 July 2004, Ad Hoc Group on Irag Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>508</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

and shape of Iraq's security forces should be. They concluded that Iraqi forces were "not prepared" to combat the violent insurgency and that more forces, both police and military, were urgently required. Their recommendations for increases in the number of police, border patrol officers and Iraqi National Guard (ING) (shown below) brought the proposed total number of ISF to around 271,000, all of whom would receive counter-insurgency training. It would later become known as the "Petraeus Plan".

	Authorised numbers pre-Aug 2004 <sup>509</sup>	Aug 2004 stated requirement	Percentage increase
Local police	90,000	135,000	50%
Border enforcement (inc. border police, customs police and immigration officers)	16,276	32,000	97%
Iraqi National Guard (ING) (formerly ICDC)	45 battalions 6 brigade HQs	65 battalions 21 brigade HQs 6 division HQs	Approximately 50%

Table 3: Summary of "Troops to Task" assessment

566. Mr Mike Naworynsky, Mr Hoon's Private Secretary, summarised the plan produced by Lt Gen Petraeus in a minute to Mr Antony Phillipson, Private Secretary to Mr Blair, on 20 August.<sup>510</sup> Mr Naworynsky reported that it would take until mid-2006 to achieve the proposed staffing levels for the ISF and that further (financial) resources would be needed. The development of the Iraqi police was identified as the "main effort".

#### **567.** Mr Naworynsky wrote:

"The report shows that US thinking in this area remains very similar to our own with the key theme of Iraqiisation running through the brief. Timelines given within the briefing are broadly as we would expect across Iraq, and should be bettered in the MND(SE) area."

**568.** Mr Edward Chaplin, British Ambassador to Iraq from July 2004 to June 2005, reported that a draft National Security Strategy for Iraq was discussed on 24 July by deputies to members of MCNS.<sup>511</sup> The Strategy was described as "a wide-ranging document, which aims to underpin for the next one to five years the development of

Minute Naworynsky to Phillipson, 20 August 2004, 'Report from Lt Gen Petraeus, Multi-National Security Transition Command – Iraq (MNSTC-I) on Developing the Iraqi Security Forces'.
 Minute Naworynsky to Phillipson, 20 August 2004, 'Report from Lt Gen Petraeus, Multi-National

Security Transition Command – Iraq (MNSTC-I) on Developing the Iraqi Security Forces'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>511</sup> Telegram 61 Baghdad to FCO London, 26 July 2004, 'Iraq: National Security Strategy'.

Iraq's security structures and policy, diplomatic and international relations, economic policy and investments in infrastructure". It had been drafted by an Iraqi and MNF working group that included UK advisers. Section 9.3 details the political elements of the Strategy.

**569.** The Strategy was approved with minor amendments. It was agreed that it should proceed to a Ministerial discussion on 29 July and to Prime Minister Allawi on 5 August. The intention was that "the Strategy should be published shortly afterwards" but the timetable might slip given Dr Allawi's overseas commitments.

570. Mr Chaplin wrote that "detailed work" on the chapter regarding national security institutions was ongoing and, in particular, a "troops to task study" led by MNF had "concluded that a significant increase in the planned number of conventional police, Border Police and National Guard is required". He confirmed that Prime Minister Allawi, Defence Minister Hazem Shalan al-Khuzaei and Interior Minister Falah Haasan al-Naqib had been told about that but he did not know their reaction. Mr Chaplin understood that the revised ISF structure, including the additional numbers of troops and police recommended, would create a US\$2.8bn shortfall and that Lt Gen Petraeus had approached Ambassador John Negroponte (who had replaced Ambassador Bremer as US Ambassador to Iraq) on 25 July to ask that these funds were found.

## **US and Iraqi SSR funding**

The US funded SSR tasks through two funding streams:

- The Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Fund (IRRF) was the largest source of US reconstruction funding, comprising US\$20.86bn made available through two appropriations, IRRF1 and IRRF2.<sup>512</sup> US\$4.94bn of IRRF2 funds were allocated to security and law enforcement. In addition to that, US\$2.31 billion was allocated to "justice, public safety infrastructure, and civil society".
- The Iraq Security Forces Fund (ISFF) was created in May 2005 and ran until June 2009.<sup>513</sup> It made available US\$18.04bn to "train, equip, and maintain all elements of the Iraqi Security Forces, including the Iraqi Army, Navy, Air Force, Special Operations Forces; Iraqi police forces; special task forces; the Iraqi Intelligence Agency; and border security forces." That fund was administered by the DoD through MNSTC-I.<sup>514</sup> ISFF funding is shown in Table 4.<sup>515</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>512</sup> Office of the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, 30 July 2009, 'Report to Congress'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>513</sup> Letter SIGIR to Commanding General, US Forces-Iraq, 23 April 2010, 'Most Iraq Security Forces Fund Appropriations have been Obligated'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>514</sup> Office of the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, 30 July 2009, 'Report to Congress'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>515</sup> Letter SIGIR to Commanding General, US Forces-Iraq, 23 April 2010, 'Most Iraq Security Forces Fund Appropriations have been Obligated'.

Table 4: Iraq Security Forces Fund

	Amount allocated (US\$bn)	Amount spent (US\$bn)
IRRF2	4.94	4.89
ISFF Fiscal Year		
2005	5.49	5.34
2006	3.01	2.73
2007	5.54	5.00
2008	3.00	1.92
2009	1.00	0.1 (in year figure)
Total	22.98	19.98

The Iraqi Government funded SSR predominantly through budgetary allocations to the Ministries of Defence and Interior.<sup>516</sup> The annual allocations and spends between 2005 and 2009 are shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Iraqi Government SSR funding

	IMOD		MOI	
	Amount allocated (US\$bn)	Amount spent (US\$bn)	Amount allocated (US\$bn)	Amount spent (US\$bn)
2005	1.3	1.1	1.1	0.9
2006	3.4	1.3	2.0	1.6
2007	4.1	2.3	3.2	3.1
2008	5.3	3.4	5.7	4.2
2009	3.9	3.7	5.5	5.0
Total	18.0	11.8	17.5	14.8
Total allocation across ministries 2005 - 2009		35.5		
Total spend across ministries 2005 - 2009		26.6		

In addition, the Iraqi Government between 2006 and 2009 set aside US\$5.5bn to purchase equipment, training and services through the US' Foreign Military Sales<sup>517</sup> programme.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>516</sup> Report to Congress US Government Accountability Office, September 2010, 'Iraqi-US Cost-Sharing'. <sup>517</sup> The US Foreign Military Sales programme involved the Iraqi Government identifying what needed to be procured, and transferring appropriate funds to the Federal Reserve Bank. The US would then oversee the spending.

- **571.** On 29 July, Mr Chaplin wrote to the FCO in London advising that the UK should resist making substantive changes to the draft Strategy which risked delaying publication and "unravelling what we have achieved so far". <sup>518</sup> He explained that the Strategy had been through "a laborious drafting process" for the past seven weeks and the "latest version represents a fine balance between the IIG and US/UK representatives".
- **572.** Mr Chaplin explained that some "confusion has crept into the Whitehall debate about different elements of the overall strategy required to deal with the insurgency". Seeking to clarify matters, he wrote that the Strategy was "a conceptual document describing the Government's overall approach". The operational work "falling out" of it included:
  - the MNF-I strategic campaign plan to develop a self-reliant ISF by January 2006;
  - an operational plan to man, train, equip and assist the ISF, currently being "masterminded by Gen Petraeus";
  - a baseline "troops to task" reassessment; and
  - work on the new intelligence architecture.
- **573.** By early August, Mr Blair was concerned that neither an Iraqi security strategy nor an MNF internal review of Iraqiisation had yet appeared. The security strategy was particularly important for demonstrating publicly that the IIG had a plan to deal with the security situation. The FCO was instructed to press Prime Minister Allawi on the importance of issuing a public statement soon.
- **574.** Mr Dominic Asquith, a Deputy Commissioner in the CPA, reported on 9 August that Dr Allawi understood the need to explain the IIG strategy on security, "but does not think the National Security Strategy document fits the bill".<sup>520</sup> Dr Allawi had, however, agreed the need to sort out the details quickly with the MNF.
- **575.** On 14 August, Mr Asquith reported that the launch of the National Security Strategy had been the subject of a "confused discussion" at the MCNS on 12 August.<sup>521</sup> Dr Allawi had said that the Strategy would need to be revised but it was unclear how that would be done.
- **576.** By the time Mr Blair visited Iraq and met Dr Allawi in Baghdad on 21 December, a new plan had been drafted. They discussed Iraqiisation. Mr Blair stated that he had seen a draft of Dr Allawi's new security plan which he thought was "along the right lines" and that he had agreed to review the Iraqiisation strategy with President Bush in January.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>518</sup> Telegram 78 Baghdad to FCO London, 29 July 2004, 'Iraq: National Security Strategy'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>519</sup> Minute Cabinet Office [junior official] to Sawers, 6 August 2004, 'Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>520</sup> Telegram 107 Baghdad to FCO London, 9 August 2004, 'Iraq: Security: Prime Minister's Views'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>521</sup> Telegram 128 Baghdad to London, 14 August 2004, 'Iraq: Ministerial Committee on National Security (MCNS), 12 August'.

Letter Quarrey to Adams, 21 December 2004, 'Prime Minister's Visit to Baghdad, 21 December: Meeting with Allawi'.

- **577.** Dr Allawi suggested that the Petraeus Plan could be accelerated by more training for the ISF command structure and more recruitment of untainted figures from the old army.
- **578.** On 23 December, No. 10 reported that Mr Blair was "encouraged that Allawi is now working on a security strategy which he intends to publish". <sup>523</sup>
- **579.** On 3 January 2005, Prime Minister Allawi wrote to Mr Blair, enclosing an updated draft of the security plan.<sup>524</sup> He had also sent the paper to President Bush that day and shared the covering letter with Mr Blair. The letter to President Bush highlighted the mounting security challenges facing Iraq, the lack of resources and the importance of accelerating the recruitment, training and deployment of Iraqi forces.
- **580.** The paper was six pages long and briefly outlined the problems with the ISF and potential solutions. The solutions included: merging the ING with the Iraqi Army to fill gaps caused by current depletions; a rapid extension of forces; additional training; and giving the army responsibility for border security. It stated that a request would be made to the IMF and World Bank to cover a "\$1.9bn (or officially \$2.25bn)" shortfall in year one.
- **581.** On 6 January, Mr Martin Howard, MOD Director General Operational Policy, sent a draft note about the Strategy to Ms Margaret Aldred, Deputy Head OD Sec, Cabinet Office. Mr Howard wrote that the letter was to go to Mr Nick Beadle, Coalition Senior Adviser to the IMOD, and Mr Charles Heatly, Adviser to Prime Minister Allawi in Baghdad and reflected what he and Ms Aldred had agreed the previous day. The note was broadly supportive of the Strategy but observed that the US could be sensitive to some of the content, including references to the slow pace of army training ("as a criticism of the Petraeus Plan") and to recruiting members of the former Iraqi armed forces.
- **582.** The draft note stated that reference to "coalition embedded troops" should be removed from the Strategy document because it had not yet been endorsed in London and said "it would be better from Allawi's perspective to minimise any impression that the Iraqi security forces will remain over-dependent on the coalition".
- **583.** The National Security Strategy was eventually issued on 15 January 2005.<sup>526</sup> In it, Dr Allawi was reported to have amended the goal of training 100,000 Iraqi soldiers by July to 150,000 "fully qualified" soldiers by the end of the year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>523</sup> Letter Quarrey to Owen, 23 December 2004, 'Prime Minister's Visit to Iraq: Follow-up'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>524</sup> Letter Allawi to Blair, 3 January 2005, [untitled] attaching Paper, 'Iraqi Security Forces – Revised Recruitment and Training Strategy: 2005'.

<sup>525</sup> Letter Howard to Aldred, 6 January 2005, 'Iraqi National Security Strategy'.

<sup>526</sup> New York Times, 24 February 2005, Iraqi Army Is About to Add National Guard to Its Ranks.

## **Training the Iraqi Army**

Training of individual army recruits followed one of two patterns:

- Recruits with former military experience went into a Direct Recruit Replacement programme. They received three weeks' standardised training delivered by the Major Subordinate Commands at Regional Training Centres.
- Recruits without military experience were sent for training at the Iraqi Training Brigade in Kirkush. All new recruits undertook a five-week programme followed by an additional three to seven weeks of specialist skills training.<sup>527</sup> The five-week programme was increased to 13 weeks in early 2007.<sup>528</sup>

In 2006, a system of six Regional Training Centres was established to develop a non-commissioned officer corps and a year-long Basic Officers Commissioning Course, based on a Sandhurst curriculum, was established at three Military Academies. 529

By early 2007, a National Defence University had been established and was beginning to run institutions of professional development: Iraqi Staff Colleges, a National Defence College and a Strategic Studies Institute.<sup>530</sup>

In May 2007, the Iraqi Training and Doctrine Command, part of the Joint Headquarters assumed responsibility from MNSTC-I for training and equipping the Iraqi military.<sup>531</sup>

### UK assessments of the Iraqi Security Forces in late 2004

**584.** On 23 September, Lieutenant General John McColl, SBMR-I, sent Lt Gen Fry, Deputy Chief of the Defence Staff (Commitments) from July 2003 to March 2006, a paper on the UK's options for withdrawing or reducing the number of troops in Iraq "up to and beyond January 2006" (see Section 9.3).<sup>532</sup> He stated that the IPS would have manned, trained and equipped "77 percent" of the target 135,000 officers by the end of July 2005 and the ING numbers were also "on course" to be achieved by that date. Lt Gen McColl wrote that "these encouraging projections have, in part, prompted MNF-I's aspiration to establish Iraqi regional ... control across all 18 provinces by 31 July 2005".

**585.** Lt Gen McColl considered the goal "challenging" because of "significant shortfalls in logistics capability" of the ISF. He highlighted a lack of trained staff, a "serious rift" between the MOI and IMOD and "the need for a proper national security headquarters".

<sup>527</sup> Report to Congress, 13 October 2005, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>528</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>529</sup> Report to Congress, 17 February 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>530</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>531</sup> Report to Congress, 14 September 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>532</sup> Minute McColl to DCDS(C), 23 September 2004, Iraq up to and Beyond January 2006 – Defining a UK Position'.

**586.** On 20 October, Lt Gen McColl sent the Hauldown Report of his tour in Iraq.<sup>533</sup> He wrote:

"Despite their limited numbers and state of training, ISF are even now capable of strategically significant impact. Their deployment has an effect that coalition troops cannot achieve ... They are therefore in great demand and, there is a risk ... that their premature committal to operations, which are still beyond their capacity, could irreparably dent their confidence. The risk is exacerbated by the understandable impatience of the IIG, supported to some extent in both Washington and London ...

"The critical path for the IPS will be meeting the sheer scale of the equipping and training requirement, as well as the continued identification of tough committed police chiefs. There are grounds for optimism, but no police force could operate in the current levels of violence without relying on the support of the Army, including the ING, to provide a secure framework and surge capability ...

"The frustration that the UK has felt at the slow and chequered progress of ISF generation has been understandable. Our contribution outside MND(SE) has, however been limited (aside from helpful training team activity) to advice to US colleagues who are themselves frustrated and doing all they can to translate the \$5 billion they are investing into security capability, whilst reconciling the somewhat turbulent aspirations of the IIG. Within MND(SE) the flow of equipment to ING and IPS from US suppliers has been painfully slow, a problem compounded by the prioritisation of issue based on the prevailing security situation across the country. There has recently been an initiative, which has yet to deliver effect that would allow UK resources to support the equipping of the ISF within the UK area; this is to be welcomed and is perhaps overdue."

**587.** On putting the right structures in place, Lt Gen McColl referenced the need to put particular emphasis on developing the MOI "staff planning capability", which remained "the most serious concern".

**588.** On 27 October, a Joint Intelligence Committee (JIC) Assessment reported that the capability of the ISF was "growing" but that their effectiveness remained "patchy".<sup>534</sup> It stated:

"The more reliable and better trained elements are in short supply and limit the current ability of the IIG to conduct more than one or two concurrent operations. The ISF will improve slowly up to the elections and their capability is planned to build significantly in the early part of 2005. But we judge that they will not be able to handle significant security responsibilities unaided until the middle of 2005 at the earliest. The nascent Iraqi intelligence service (INIS) is also under severe pressure and continues to suffer from assassinations and penetration."

<sup>533</sup> Report McColl to CDS and CJO, 20 October 2004, 'SBMR-I Hauldown report – Lt Gen McColl'.

**589.** A JIC Assessment on 11 November reported that the ISF had been attacked by insurgent groups "since their creation" and cited "one of the most serious" attacks on 23 October where a convoy of ING forces was ambushed and 49 unarmed recruits were shot.<sup>535</sup> In describing the risk of infiltration, it stated:

"We judge that all the Iraqi official institutions, including the security forces ... employ individuals who give information to the insurgents, whether willingly or under threat. We estimate that nearly a third of current police officers will in time have to be dismissed due to their unsuitability, many of whom are believed to co-operate with insurgents. In Basra the police chief has been sacked ... Some attacks, such as the murders of high profile officials, suggest insider knowledge. But insurgents are also able to intimidate or attack the security forces simply because they live in and are known among the local community."

**590.** On 26 November, MOD officials advised Mr Hoon that the ISF had performed well during operations in Fallujah (see Section 9.3) and that the development of the ISF was "on track". <sup>536</sup> Many ISF elements were "above the predicted capability" for 1 December. Although absenteeism remained a problem, it was being addressed, including through "greater use of posting away from home areas to avoid intimidation". The minute asked Mr Hoon to note that:

"ISF tasks during [the Fallujah operation] were deliberately selected so as not to be too demanding. That most of Fallujah was virtually deserted made ISF follow up operations easier; and the insurgents made little concerted attempt to disrupt them. It is not surprising therefore that [the Fallujah operation] did not reveal any significant gaps in ISF capability, training or equipment. It was never intended so to do."

#### FURTHER CONCERNS ABOUT IRAQIISATION

**591.** On 20 August 2004, Mr Phillipson wrote to Mr George Fergusson, OD Sec, commissioning a paper that set out the full picture in Iraq.<sup>537</sup> It was to cover how Iraq could progress to successful elections in January 2005, the challenges faced and "initial thoughts" on how those challenges could be addressed.

**592.** The IPU produced the paper, which concluded that the strategy agreed by the DOP in July remained the right one but would need "regular fine tuning". <sup>538</sup> On SSR, the IPU suggested that timescales for Iraqiisation could "be compressed … but only with increased resources and at risk to quality". Equipment supply had started "to flow" but momentum would need to be maintained and in-country distribution improved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>535</sup> JIC Assessment, 11 November 2004, 'Iraq Security – Current Concerns'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>536</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to APS/SofS [MOD], 26 November 2004, 'Post Fallujah – an Assessment of ISF Performance'.

<sup>537</sup> Letter Phillipson to Fergusson, 20 August 2004, 'Iraq: Next Steps'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>538</sup> Minute Crompton to Private Secretary [FCO], 27 August 2004, 'Iraq: Next Steps' attaching Paper Iraq Policy Unit, 27 August 2004, 'Iraq: Next Steps: Action Points'.

The paper also highlighted that the Petraeus Plan would strain budgets and require "sustained high level lobbying" of key allies to extend their deployment as many MNF contributors expected deployment to cease in 2005, earlier than required by the Plan.

**593.** Mr Phillipson, in summarising the paper to Mr Blair, described it as "too vague, and does not tally with loss of control compared to the situation on the ground in early July, not least in Basra". <sup>539</sup> On Iraqiisation, Mr Phillipson suggested:

"The paper says that Iraqiisation is on track. We should ask for a more explicit assessment. What were the original timelines and benchmarks? What is our performance against them? How and where can they be tightened up and accelerated? There is a tendency to fear that this will increase costs – we should make clear that this should not be a bar to the necessary policy judgements. On timelines we also need to challenge the assertion that MNF forces will now need to be in Iraq at present levels until 2006 – we should be looking to move as quickly as possible to a shift from offensive MNF forces to a smaller training and advisory deployment."

**594.** On 29 August, Mr Blair produced a minute in response which expressed that although the rationale behind Iraqiisation was "fine", the "urgency of the situation may overwhelm us and make our timescales ... naive". Mr Blair stressed the need for immediate action:

"Allawi has to be given, by hook or by crook, immediate strong, well-armed brigades who can move into any trouble-spot and clean up. This has got to take precedence over the General Petraeus plan. But we cannot have a row over equipment. If he needs the stuff, he has got to have it."

**595.** On 9 September, Sir Nigel Sheinwald and Mr David Quarrey, a Private Secretary in No.10, sent Mr Blair a minute reporting their recent visit to Iraq, for use in Mr Blair's planned video conference with President Bush.<sup>541</sup> They reported:

"Iraqiisation is on a trajectory which will take us well into 2005, and maybe into 2006, before they can stand on their own. The police are doing better than the Army. There are real capacity issues in the key ministries, though throwing more advisers at them might not help. We seriously need to make a UK national contribution to speeding up equipment supply."

**596.** The minute stated that the US NSC and No.10 would need to "be all over" the issue in coming months to ensure further progress and the need to maintain pressure for delivery on Iraqiisation should be one of the key messages for Mr Blair's conversation with President Bush.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>539</sup> Minute Phillipson to Prime Minister, 27 August 2004, 'Iraq: Next Steps'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>540</sup> Minute Prime Minister to Sheinwald, Powell and Phillipson, 29 August 2004, 'Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>541</sup> Minute Sheinwald and Quarrey to Prime Minister, 9 September 2004, 'Iraq'.

- **597.** On 16 September, Mr Blair chaired a meeting of the Ad Hoc Ministerial Group on Iraq (AHMGI see Section 2), called "to ensure the UK Government approach to Iraq was fully co-ordinated in the period up to Iraqi elections in January 2005". <sup>542</sup> He intended that the Group should meet regularly.
- **598.** Given an insurgency that appeared to be increasingly co-ordinated, Mr Blair told the Group that he was "concerned that the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) did not have sufficient capability to take on the insurgents."
- **599.** Gen Walker reported that plans were in place for the ISF to be fully equipped and trained by mid-2005, but that their capabilities would remain limited, especially compared with the MNF. There was little scope for accelerating the plans.
- **600.** The Group agreed that the MOD would "make recommendations on how ISF capacity will develop and what more we can do to accelerate or refine the delivery to allow the ISF to tackle the current insurgency campaign".
- **601.** General Sir Mike Jackson, Chief of the General Staff, visited Iraq from 12 to 15 September.<sup>543</sup> In his report, he observed:
  - "... the generation of trained and equipped ISF and IPS is taking considerably more time than expected ... Although General Petraeus was buoyant about the progress in ISF and the recent performance of two of their battalions in Najaf, there is an underlying reservation highlighted by many, including Gen Casey, that improvement is slow the faster release of allocated funds will help."
- **602.** On 19 September, Mr Blair met Prime Minister Allawi and Iraqi Ministers at No.10.<sup>544</sup> In Mr Quarrey's note of the meeting to Mr Straw's Private Secretary, he wrote that Dr Allawi had expressed frustration at the pace of Iraqiisation which had followed "the slow route of General Petraeus" and "had told Bush that he needed security capacity now, not next year".
- **603.** Mr Blair said that it was important that the long-term work continued but that there was also a need rapidly to increase the capacity of Iraqi intelligence and the ISF. Prime Minister Allawi requested a meeting with senior defence and intelligence officials from the US, UK and Iraq "to discuss problems with Iraqiisation". When Iraqi Ministers suggested a halt to de-Ba'athification, Mr Blair and Dr Allawi agreed that the IIG needed "a practical approach".
- **604.** In a private meeting with Mr Blair afterwards, Dr Allawi said security was his personal focus, but was part of wider work on an overall strategy addressing national reconciliation and Sunni outreach, building the economy and building up the institutions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>542</sup> Minutes, 16 September 2004, Ad Hoc Ministerial Group on Irag meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>543</sup> Minute CGS to CDS, 17 September 2004, 'CGS Visit to OP TELIC 12-15 Sep 04'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>544</sup> Minute Quarrey to Owen, 19 September 2004, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Lunch with Allawi, 19 September'.

of government and the state.<sup>545</sup> Dr Allawi made clear that tackling the security situation was his top priority, but he lacked effective resources to achieve that. He "needed: the two mechanised divisions, a Rapid Deployment Force,<sup>546</sup> an effective anti-terrorist capability in the police, and a more rapid build up of intelligence capability". Mr Blair commissioned advice from Lt Gen McColl on how best to meet that requirement.

**605.** On 20 September, Mr Naworynsky provided two papers to No.10: "one on the current status of the ISF, including an analysis of the Petraeus Plan and recommendations for further work"; and a speaking note for Mr Blair's next conversation with President Bush.<sup>547</sup>

**606.** The first paper listed the current capability of the ISF as 91,000 recruited and trained personnel, comprising:

- 34,500 police;
- 34,200 members of the National Guard;
- 14,300 border officers;
- 4,800 regular army;
- 1,900 Army Intervention Force; and
- 600 Special Forces.

**607.** Those forces were "totally reliant on the Multi-National Force (MNF) for support" and "turning quantity into quality – the key to our withdrawal" would "take time".

**608.** The Petraeus Plan had forecast that by the end of 2005, total ISF strength would be 234,000 and it would be "able to tackle the majority of threats currently present in Iraq". The paper noted that that was ambitious but would in time deliver security forces to meet Iraq's predicted needs. It could be accelerated to deliver more forces with counter-insurgency capability sooner, but only by taking resources from long-term development, and so ultimately extending the length of the programme and the presence of UK forces in Iraq. The paper stated: "This would not be desirable."

**609.** The paper stated that the UK could complement the Petraeus Plan by: continuing to support the MOI and IMOD; procuring equipment for the ISF in MND(SE); continuing training and mentoring the ISF; supporting NATO training of the middle and senior ranks and "consider whether the ISF require a heavy force".

610. Sir Nigel Sheinwald annotated the covering letter: "This is v. feeble". 548

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>545</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Adams, 19 September 2004, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Meeting with Prime Minister Allawi, Sunday 19 September'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>546</sup> A Rapid Deployment Force is a military formation typically consisting of elite military units and usually trained at a higher intensity than the rest of their country's military.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>547</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Quarrey, 20 September 2004, 'Advice for the Prime Minister's Next VTC with President Bush'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>548</sup> Manuscript comment Sheinwald on Letter Naworynsky to Quarrey, 20 September 2004, 'Advice for the Prime Minister's Next VTC with President Bush'.

- **611.** On 21 September, Maj Gen Houghton produced a paper for the Chiefs of Staff on "the prospects for developing capable and effective Iraqi Security Forces". <sup>549</sup> He described the development of the ISF as "not pretty". The reasons given for that included de-Ba'athification, the decision to disband the Iraqi armed forces and the absence of a strategy for Iraq's security sector architecture. The last had now largely been addressed by the National Security Strategy, although it was not "officially signed off".
- **612.** Maj Gen Houghton wrote that there had been "an understandable tendency by some to 'talk-up' the timescales" for delivering manpower, equipment and training. Progress had been made but "must be set in the context of significant political frustration at the highest levels of Iraq, US and UK government". He offered that one conclusion was that:
  - "... the current political frustration at the lack of progress in ISF development may be mis-directed. It is focusing too much on short-term, physical and to an extent symbolic representations of capability; it is not focusing enough on the capabilities which will actually allow Iraq to fight its own campaign. The need to meet this latter requirement may be brought closer in time as a result of the elections in the new year."
- **613.** On 22 September, Mr Hoon wrote to Mr Blair with advice on how the ISF would develop. <sup>550</sup> He stated:

"It is clear that Allawi feels frustrated with progress on Iraqiisation ... Our current assessment is that the Petraeus Plan will deliver ISF scaled to meet Iraq's needs, including a limited offensive capability in time for the elections in January 2005. Allawi's concerns are undoubtedly genuine."

- **614.** No.10 "pressed MOD for a full and imaginative response to Allawi's request".<sup>551</sup> Mr Hoon was "asked for more detail and a better focus" by No.10 after writing to Mr Blair on 27 September.<sup>552</sup>
- **615.** Mr Naworynsky addressed that request in a letter to Mr Quarrey on 30 September after receiving advice from Lt Gen Fry.<sup>553</sup> While the MOD accepted that the pace of progress "could have been more rapid", its judgement was:
  - "... that the Petraeus plan will deliver the required capability and does not need a radical overhaul. Indeed, to do so would stall momentum and delay the progress which Allawi desires. Indeed, we run the risk of a 'new plan' causing confusion with the existing plan."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>549</sup> Minute ACDS(Ops) to COSSEC, 21 September 2004, 'Developing Capable and Effective Iraqi Security Forces'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>550</sup> Letter Hoon to Blair, 22 September 2004, 'Iraq: Petreus Plan [sic]'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>551</sup> Minute Quarrey to Blair, 1 October 2004, 'Iraqi-isation'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>552</sup> The Inquiry has not seen the 27 September letter from Mr Hoon to Mr Blair.

<sup>553</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Quarrey, 30 September 2004, [untitled].

- **616.** Despite that judgement, Lt Gen Fry had identified that the ING could be provided with more firepower, mobility and logistic support. To do that, Mr Naworynsky listed six proposals at an estimated total cost of US\$107m. He wrote that those proposals would "accelerate the development of capable ISF" but were "costly and unfunded" with no provision for meeting them within the MOD's budget. He concluded by pointing out that "even if all this is done, the impact on Iraqi capability for high end counter-insurgency operations before elections will remain very limited". It would, however, "give a highly visible Iraqi face to such operations which would be at least as important in perception terms as the military effect achieved".
- **617.** Mr Quarrey summarised the MOD's proposals to Mr Blair as "effectively brush[ing] aside Allawi's demands". <sup>554</sup> He accepted that there was logic in the proposals but the focus on ING instead of the main counter-insurgency forces would not deliver what Allawi "really wants". He advised Mr Blair to speak to Mr Hoon, "emphasising the need for him to take a personal interest in the issue".
- **618.** Referring to the MOD's proposals, Mr Blair responded: "It may be right but it's definitely <u>not</u> a response to Allawi." <sup>555</sup>
- **619.** On 5 October, Dr Allawi wrote a letter to President Bush and Mr Blair. <sup>556</sup> The Inquiry has not seen this letter.
- **620.** On the same day, Mr Blair and President Bush spoke by video conference. Mr Blair's brief for the conversation suggested that he should ask the President if there had been progress on accelerating Iraqiisation; Secretary Rumsfeld was believed to be resisting such a move. 558
- **621.** In discussion, Mr Blair said that Prime Minister Allawi was pushing ahead with Iraqiisation as fast as he could. Mr Blair suggested that Dr Allawi's "twin track of political outreach and increased military capability" plus a statement from the US and UK that they were "in this until the job was done", was "key". 559
- **622.** Mr Blair and Prime Minister Allawi spoke on 22 October.<sup>560</sup> Mr Quarrey recorded that Dr Allawi said that Iraqiisation was "finally moving" and that "people even including Rumsfeld now accepted that Allawi was right about the need to accelerate this". Dr Allawi was expecting a detailed plan the following week.

555 Manuscript comment Blair on Minute Quarrey to Blair, 1 October 2004, 'Iragi-isation'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>554</sup> Minute Quarrey to Blair, 1 October 2004, 'Iragi-isation'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>556</sup> Letter Adams to Quarrey, 22 October 2004, 'Prime Minister Allawi's Letter on Developing ISF Capability'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>557</sup> Letter Phillipson to Adams, 5 October 2004, 'Prime Minister's VTC with President Bush, 5 October: US Elections, Iraq, Iran, MEPP'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>558</sup> Minute Phillipson to Prime Minister, 4 October 2004, 'VTC with President Bush, 5 October'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>559</sup> Letter Phillipson to Adams, 5 October 2004, 'Prime Minister's VTC with President Bush, 5 October: US elections, Iraq, Iran, MEPP'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>560</sup> Letter Quarrey to Wilson, 22 October 2004, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Conversation with Allawi, 22 October'.

## **Global Conflict Prevention Pool strategy update**

On 29 September 2004, Mr Stuart Jack, FCO Director Iraq, provided an update on a revised Global Conflict Prevention Pool (GCPP) Strategy to Mr Straw's Private Secretary.<sup>561</sup> The Strategy had three objectives:

- building the capacity of the Iraqi Government and civil society to carry out conflict prevention and resolution;
- preventing further polarisation and reducing underlying tensions between different elements of Iraqi society; and
- building the capacity of the security sector, with special emphasis on the police and prisons.

On funding, Mr Jack wrote that Mr Blair had asked the GCPP to fund up to £2m for a project supporting the MOI. That was in addition to a £2.5m MOD bid approved by AHMGI on 16 September to purchase equipment for the ISF.<sup>562</sup> Both projects were considered to serve "our broader Iraq objectives", although the ISF project only "just about" met published eligibility criteria for the supply of military equipment.

Mr Straw wrote to Mr Hoon and Mr Benn on 4 October with the revised Strategy, recommending that the FCO, the MOD and DFID endorse it.<sup>563</sup> Mr Straw flagged that funding was "over-committed" that financial year and warned that future project proposals for Iraq would need to be carefully considered in that light.

There is no record that Mr Benn or Mr Hoon formally endorsed the Strategy.

# Suggestions for improvements in SSR

**623.** Mr Robert Davies, Chief Police Adviser to the MOI, produced a briefing on the IPS for Mr Straw on 6 October.<sup>564</sup> He wrote:

"The impatient focus on increasing its size (aspiration force of 130K – there are 130K on current payroll but only 90K accounted for!) has led to a large number of police joining the service as a result of General Petraeus' drive for '30K in 30 days', who have not received any training and who are of questionable integrity and quality."

**624.** Mr Davies summarised the IPS as "... brave but subject to intimidation, in part poorly led, weak in structures according to western standards, and in need of further equipment".

**625.** Mr Davies also noted that there was no forensic examination of serious crime, intelligence gathering was weak and "the inability of the IPS to plan operations is causing all sorts of serious problems in combined operations".

Minute Jack to Private Secretary [FCO], 29 September 2004, 'Global Conflict Prevention Pool – Iraq Strategy'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>562</sup> Minutes, 16 September 2004, Ad Hoc Ministerial Group on Irag meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>563</sup> Letter Straw to Benn, 4 October 2004, 'Global Conflict Prevention Pool – Irag Strategy'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>564</sup> Minute Owen to Crompton, 12 October 2004, 'Iraq: Police Service' attaching Email Davies to Owen and Hurley, 6 October 2004, 'The Iraqi Police Service' and Paper, [undated], 'The Iraq Police Service [IPS]'.

**626.** Mr Davies stated that two regiments of police were being formed from soldiers who were "simply changing the colour of their shirts" to form assault brigades. He also said: "The poor quality of leadership is being buttressed by Generals with a military background being transferred to the police."

**627.** Mr Davies suggested that the UK could offer further assistance through:

- developing a professional facility to deal with kidnapping and hostage taking;
- continued support for "operational planning training";
- doubling UK advisers to bolster support to senior IPS officers and cover other UK officers' leave periods; and
- supporting the development of the IPS intelligence strategy.

**628.** Following Mr Davies' report, Mr Straw requested advice from the IPU.<sup>565</sup> A junior official responded on 5 November, indicating that Mr Davies was in "daily contact" with the IPU on policing in Iraq and that the emphasis was "now on quality not quantity". The two most significant problems were identified as "the dysfunctional MOI and equipment shortages" and IPU highlighted the two recent GCPP funded initiatives to address those (see Box, 'Global Conflict Prevention Pool strategy update', earlier in this Section).

**629.** In November, DCC Brand produced a report highlighting a range of issues from his time in Baghdad and recommendations to address them. <sup>566</sup> Those included that:

- police involvement in pre-conflict planning could have helped to ensure the IPS were better prepared for their new role;
- the FCO providing terms of reference for seconded senior police officers would help to manage expectations for each mission; and
- a "fundamental shift should occur in HMG's [Her Majesty's Government's] policy
  on the raising of police officers to support international missions" to create a
  standing reserve of officers that are able to be deployed quickly.

**630.** In his conclusion, DCC Brand observed that many of his suggestions echoed earlier reports (including the Brahimi Report<sup>567</sup>) whose recommendations had been "largely ignored". He commented that he had "called this report 'lessons identified', as only time will tell whether any lessons have been learned".

**631.** The Inquiry has seen no acknowledgement of or response to DCC Brand's report by the Government.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>565</sup> Minute IPU [junior official] to PS [FCO], 5 November 2004, 'Iraq: Advice to FS Following Robert Davies Paper on IPS'.

Report Brand, November 2004, 'Iraq 2003-2004 Domestic Lessons Identified for Police Deployments'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>567</sup> UN Report, 21 August 2000, 'Report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations'.

## **NATO** training mission - Iraq

On 22 June 2004, NATO received a request from Dr Allawi seeking support to Iraq through "training and other forms of technical assistance". 568

On the same day, in a video conference with President Bush, Mr Blair suggested using the NATO conference in Istanbul, scheduled for later in the month, to secure agreement to Dr Allawi's request for NATO help with training.<sup>569</sup>

The matter was discussed at the Istanbul conference on 28 June and all Heads of State and Government in attendance agreed to offer assistance to the IIG with the training of its security forces.<sup>570</sup> A training mission was deployed in August to conduct training of Iraqi Headquarters personnel.

NATO's previous role had been limited to logistical support of the multinational division led by the Poles in MND(CS).<sup>571</sup> Personnel from Canada, Hungary, Norway, the Netherlands and Italy were all involved.

On 8 October, the North Atlantic Council agreed a Concept of Operations for enhancing NATO's assistance to the IIG by taking a role in training of the security forces.<sup>572</sup> Lt Gen Petraeus was given the additional role of the head of the NATO training mission.

On 21 February 2005, Mr Hoon was briefed that NATO was encountering problems fully manning its training mission in Iraq, with a shortfall of 25 posts (around a quarter of the total). At that stage, the UK had 11 personnel working in the NATO training mission.<sup>573</sup> To address pressure from NATO for a greater UK role Mr Hoon agreed that the UK could rebadge 11 personnel from MNSTC-I involved in Basic Officer Training as NATO personnel. Mr Hoon agreed with advice from officials that the UK should resist requests to take the lead on the Junior Officer Leadership Training Module.<sup>574</sup>

On 2 June 2005, Mr Roger Cornish, MOD Deputy Director Iraq, recommended the UK take leadership of the Basic Officer training module when it transferred to NATO.<sup>575</sup> That did not take effect until 1 July 2006, and then only after agreeing a Memorandum of Understanding that stipulated the UK would not be expected to make up any personnel or funding shortfalls.<sup>576</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>568</sup> NATO website, 'NATO in Iraq: the Evolution of NATO's Training Effort in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>569</sup> Letter Quarrey to Owen, 22 June 2004, 'Prime Minister's VTC with Bush, 22 June: Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>570</sup> NATO Press Release (2004) 098, 28 June 2004, 'Statement on Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>571</sup> Wright DP & Reese TR. *On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005*. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008; NATO Press Release (2003) 059, 3 June 2003, 'Final Communiqué: Ministerial Meeting of the North Atlantic Council held in Madrid on 3 June 2003'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>572</sup> NATO Press Release (224) 134, 8 October 2004, 'NATO Nations Agree Next Step in Implementing Training in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>573</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to PS/Secretary of State [MOD], 21 February 2005, 'The NATO Training Mission Iraq (NTM-I)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>574</sup> Minute Naworynsky to MOD [junior official], 22 February 2005, 'The NATO Training Mission Iraq (NTM-I)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>575</sup> Minute Cornish to PS/Secretary of State [MOD], 2 June 2005, 'Iraq: UK Short-Term Commitment to Bilateral Defence Relations'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>576</sup> Minute DCDS(C)/Policy Director to PS/SofS [MOD], 2 June 2006, 'NATO Training Missions – Iraq (NTM-I) Memorandum Of Understanding (MoU) for Basic Officer Training'.

By July 2007, the UK contribution to the NATO training mission had reduced to 18 personnel, and was expected to decrease further to 14.577

The UK provided personnel to the NATO training mission until its withdrawal from Iraq on 31 December 2011.<sup>578</sup> The mission's mandate was not extended, as agreement could not be reached on the legal status of NATO troops operating in country. Over the seven-year period, the mission trained over 5,000 military personnel and over 10,000 police personnel at a cost of over €17.5m.

- **632.** On 18 August 2004, Mr Hoon wrote to Mr Blunkett about the importance of offering training and mentoring to the IPS.<sup>579</sup> He wrote that the MOI lacked "the ability to conduct even rudimentary operational planning" which restricted both "their ability to plan and execute police operations" and "the ability of the Iraqi police to assume greater responsibility from the Multi-National Force in Iraq".
- **633.** The MOD planned to run a training course "in September/October for some 50-60 senior Iraqi police officers". Nine UK military personnel would be deployed to work with UK police officers in Iraq "to ensure that a coherent approach is maintained".
- **634.** Mr Hoon wrote that the MOD's experience suggested "that the benefits of such training initiatives will fade quickly unless they are followed up with longer-term support and underpinned by mentoring". He had asked officials in the MOD to continue working with officials from the Home Office and the FCO to consider who should provide this support and how.
- **635.** On 13 September, Mr Chaplin sought the FCO's views about a "high priority" programme of assistance to the MOI.<sup>580</sup> He described the MOI as:
  - "... highly dysfunctional ... and in need of significant assistance in a range of areas, from operational planning to the basics like recording minutes of meetings and following up action points."
- **636.** Mr Chaplin reported that, while the UK and US had resources allocated to operational advice and supporting the IPS's development, nothing "adequately addresses the need for capacity-building" within the MOI. A meeting with the MOD, DFID and UK Police Advisers had concluded that a programme of assistance was needed to address this gap, and that the GCPP "would be the most appropriate source" of funding. The key elements of the programme were:
  - operational planning;
  - basic capacity-building "getting effective structures and working practices in place":

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>577</sup> Report MOD, 5 July 2007, 'PJHQ Manning Tables: MNSTC-I, NTM-I and NaTT'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>578</sup> NATO website, 'NATO in Iraq: the Evolution of NATO's Training Effort in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>579</sup> Letter Hoon to Blunkett, 18 August 2004, 'Iraq: Training and Mentoring Senior Iraqi Police Officers'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>580</sup> Telegram 203 Baghdad to London, 13 September 2004, 'Iraq: Ideas for Further Help to the Ministry of Interior'.

- communications strategy;
- mentoring; and
- a training needs assessment.

**637.** In mid-October, the UK agreed to provide funds from the GCPP to build the capacity of the MOI, which was described as "a weak link in efforts to make the Iraqi police effective enough to operate without MNF support". <sup>581</sup>

**638.** At the SSR meeting on 7 October, it was reported that £3.5m of GCPP funding had been agreed for the MOI project over the next 12 to 18 months.<sup>582</sup> The first phase would be a scoping visit. The second phase would include two advisers until January 2005 and then four advisers for a further year. There was potential to use civil servants from the Home Office, such as a senior policy maker.

**639.** On 19 October, a group comprising Defence Advisory Team (DAT) personnel and a consultant were deployed to Iraq to do the initial scoping for the MOI project. It reported that the MOI was "unable to carry out basic management functions". Basic management information, including the number of police, remained unavailable. Decision-making at the top of the Ministry was improving, helped significantly by US mentors, but it was very difficult to translate decisions into action:

"Iraqi politicians currently find it hard to work with their official colleagues and deputies, who have often been selected to achieve balance as part of a political settlement rather than on merit or because they share a political programme. In an unstable political and security environment, politicians are understandably reluctant to trust people whom they do not know, and prefer to work with trustworthy family and tribal members, regardless of formal structures or job titles."

**640.** The DAT also reported that the MOI was located outside the Green Zone<sup>585</sup> and that visits were currently limited to three two-hour slots per week.

**641.** The team recommended that UK support should focus on strengthening the capabilities of a small, permanent cadre of Iraqi officials who could provide a policy implementation capacity to any Minister within any overall structure. They also reported that in the absence of an agreed constitution they could not make recommendations to increase political accountability, and that they had been unable to consult civil society on their recommendations due to the security situation and because few representative

Minute Jack to Private Secretary [FCO], 29 September 2004, 'Global Conflict Prevention Pool – Iraq Strategy'; Minutes, 13 October 2004, 'Record of Strategy Managers Meeting, 13 October 2004'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>582</sup> Minutes, 7 October 2004, Security Sector Reform meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>583</sup> Minute IPU [junior official] to PS [FCO], 5 November 2004, 'Iraq: Advice to FS following Robert Davies Paper on IPS'.

<sup>584</sup> Report, October 2004, 'GCPP Proposal, Iraq: Interim Security Sector Reform, Phase One Report'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>585</sup> The 'Green Zone' is also described as the 'International Zone' and refers to the centre of the international presence in Baghdad.

bodies yet existed. Capacity would be built through a series of events focused on particular issues facing the Ministry, supplemented by a team of long-term advisers.

- **642.** On 14 January 2005, a commercial proposal was submitted to DFID by Crown Agents, the company responsible for delivering the MOI project. That was a revision of two earlier proposals (in December and January), following on from the project design team's visit in October. The project was scheduled to run for 15 months at a cost of £2.3m, with a provisional start date of January 2005. The proposal stated that two international advisers and two Iraqi advisers seconded from the MOI would be based in the Minister of the Interior's office to ensure continuity and a link to wider Ministerial, governmental and donor concerns.
- **643.** Mr Howard visited Baghdad from 13 to 15 January.<sup>587</sup> He noted that increased UK assistance to the IMOD and the MOI ("by stepping up efforts ... to fill key advisory posts") was important in helping Iraqis achieve effective leadership of their security forces. He encouraged UK support in developing an Iraqi tactical intelligence capability by setting up a "Special Branch" function.<sup>588</sup> He described the relationship between the MOI and the police as "very tenuous".
- **644.** The MOD had been providing a team of civilian personnel to advise and assist with the development of the IMOD since January 2004.<sup>589</sup> UK military trainers were also working on the creation of the Iraqi Joint Forces HQ, to provide command and control of the Iraqi Armed Forces.
- **645.** On 4 March, Mr Howard wrote to Mr Hoon about future UK support to the IMOD.<sup>590</sup> He stated that there was:
  - "... definite value in increasing UK efforts in this area by proactively identifying posts where we think we can provide targeted expertise ... and by finding the right people to fill them early on."

#### **646.** He also reported:

"We are currently looking at the possibility of increasing UK civilian support to the MOI with FCO and DFID ..."

**647.** Minutes from the GCPP Strategy Meeting on 8 March recorded that two consultants had withdrawn from the MOI project.<sup>591</sup> Three new candidates had been identified and a decision would be taken later that week to confirm the appointments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>586</sup> Paper Crown Agents, 14 January 2005, 'Interim Iraq Security Sector Support'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>587</sup> Minute Howard to DCDS(C), 19 January 2005, 'DG Op Pol visit to Baghdad 10-13 January 2005'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>588</sup> 'Special Branch' is normally used to identify police units responsible for national security.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>589</sup> Annotated Agenda, 8 January 2004, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>590</sup> Minute Howard to Hoon, 4 March 2005, 'UK Support to the Iraqi Ministry of Defence'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>591</sup> Minutes, 8 March 2005, Iraq GCPP Strategy meeting.

The meeting heard that that could delay the project until early April, but that it could "prove beneficial, as the project will require the new Minister's backing if it is to be effective".

- **648.** At the next Iraq GCPP Strategy Meeting on 16 March, the meeting was told that two new consultants had been appointed and would be deployed to Iraq mid-April.<sup>592</sup>
- **649.** In his May 2005 review of policing priorities and resources, Acting Deputy Chief Constable Colin Smith, Chief Police Adviser Iraq, identified the need for a senior UK civil servant (from the Home Office) with experience in police strategic development and police structure to assist the MOI.<sup>593</sup>
- **650.** That request was picked up by Mr Michael Gillespie, Home Office Head of the Public Order and Police Co-Operation Unit.<sup>594</sup> He advised Mr Peter Storr, Home Office International Director, that there were financial implications to the request, as the FCO would not reimburse salary or the additional costs of deployment. Aside from the financial implications, Mr Gillespie raised "the issue of whether this is a good use of Home Office resources".
- **651.** On 27 November 2009, a draft review of the support provided to the MOI and IPS was circulated.<sup>595</sup> It stated that the MOI project had been merged with the FCO-led IPS training programme in 2007 following the last external review, to create "greater co-ordination and a more cross-sectoral approach to Security Sector Reform". Responsibility for the MOI element was transferred to the US in June 2009.

## Fraud and assassinations in the Iraqi MOD

In his book *The Occupation of Iraq*, Mr Ali A Allawi, former IGC Defence Minister gave details of a major corruption scandal in the Iraqi IMOD (IMOD).<sup>596</sup> He stated that the Ministry of Finance was instructed to appropriate US\$1.7bn in one lump sum, and put it at the disposal of the IMOD. The money was to be used for the formation of two rapid deployment divisions but no justification was given for the amount required and limits on spending were removed.

On 16 May 2005, the Iraqi Bureau of Supreme Audit<sup>597</sup> presented a "damning report" to the incoming Prime Minister. Later in 2005, the Director General of Finance at the IMOD was arrested and helped in exposing the involvement of senior IMOD officials. Two of her colleagues, the Director General of Planning and the Inspector General, were subsequently murdered.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>592</sup> Minutes, 16 March 2005, Iraq GCPP Strategy meeting.

<sup>593</sup> Report Smith, 15 May 2005, 'Next Steps on Policing - Review'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>594</sup> Minute Gillespie to Storr, 26 May 2005, 'Iraq: Request for a UK Civil Servant (Home Office) to act as Ministry of Interior Civilian Police Adviser: Baghdad'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>595</sup> Paper Stabilisation Unit [junior official] and Howlett-Bolton, 27 November 2009, 'Review of the support to the Ministry of Interior and Iraqi Police Service Programme'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>596</sup> Allawi AA. The Occupation of Iraq: Winning the War, Losing the Peace. Yale University Press, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>597</sup> The Iraqi Bureau of Supreme Audit was responsible for anti-corruption.

#### JUSTICE SECTOR CONCERNS

**652.** The Justice Sector Adviser for the International Legal Assistance Consortium (ILAC) and DFID arrived at the British Embassy Baghdad on 22 September. <sup>598</sup> Her report described the positive reception of training programmes, but noted that that was "accompanied by clear statements of need for more". Reform of the Iraqi Bar Association would continue to be "complex and difficult due to the factional and political in-fighting"; it was seen as a "long-term project".

653. The consultant identified factors which should inform future training and strategy of the justice sector, including the importance of increasing the number of jurists being trained, to involving women and the regions, and for all training sessions to include the basic requirements of a fair trial (civil and criminal). She also recommended establishing a donor co-ordination mechanism under Iraqi leadership which would help to provide a clear picture of all assistance being provided and planned and identify outstanding areas of need.

**654.** At the AHMGIR on 9 December the point was made in discussion that "there was a demand in Iraq for more judicial assistance". <sup>599</sup> The minutes recorded that Lord Goldsmith was "exploring what more help we could offer".

**655.** On 15 December, a junior DFID official advised Mr Benn to write to Lord Goldsmith explaining DFID's work in the justice sector. The official wrote that, following the AHMGIR on 9 December, Lord Goldsmith had "made clear his frustration" to officials that "more was not being done and that he [was] not being kept sufficiently informed".

**656.** Mr Benn wrote to Lord Goldsmith on 13 January 2005.<sup>601</sup> Mr Benn wrote that "DFID's bilateral assistance" had focused on the ILAC project and that, to date:

- 93 judges had received training on the independence of the judiciary;
- 263 judges, prosecutors and lawyers had received training by the International Bar Association in International Human Rights Law; and
- 13 trainers had been trained with "cascade training" reported for between 100 and 200 lawyers in Iraq.

**657.** The letter also highlighted two programmes being funded by the GCPP: the Southern Iraq Prison Programme (to ensure accordance with international minimum standards for the treatment of prisoners and monitoring capacity, and the MOI capacity-building programme. Mr Benn wrote that the work was undertaken "against the

600 Minute DFID [junior official] to Private Secretary [DFID], 15 December 2004, 'Iraq: Letter to the Attorney General on Work in the Justice Sector'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>598</sup> Email Hoddinott to [Consultant], 10 October 2004, 'Olivia's Initial Report' attaching Report Holdsworth, 9 October 2004, 'Initial Report – Justice Sector Adviser, Baghdad'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>599</sup> Minutes, 9 December 2004, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>601</sup> Letter Benn to Goldsmith, 13 January 2005, [untitled] attaching Paper, 'Iraq: Update on Donor Support to the Justice Sector'.

backdrop of the very difficult security environment in Iraq" and that "security concerns have inevitably delayed some of ILAC's work".

- **658.** An update on donor support to the Iraqi justice sector was attached to Mr Benn's letter. This identified a number of constraints that were limiting access to justice, including the lack of scrutiny over court procedures, irregular sentencing, and weak integration between the police, courts and prisons. The update stated that "donor activity would benefit from a more co-ordinated and strategic approach, under the guidance of the Iraqi Minister of Justice and Chief Justice".
- **659.** On 12 January, ILAC submitted their annual report on the Justice Sector Support programme to DFID.<sup>602</sup> Security was described as "the major constraint faced by legal professionals" and was listed as a risk to delivery against all programme outputs. ILAC warned that costs would be £182,000 higher than their grant proposal because the assumption had been that in 2005 training would be delivered inside Iraq; the security situation dictated that training would have to continue outside.
- **660.** ILAC reported "no substantial progress" with regard to strengthening the admission requirements or disciplinary procedures of the Iraqi Bar Association (IBA). It wrote that "politicking" by the IBA leadership would continue to be a risk to the selection of delegation members. ILAC plans for 2005 included "ways of ameliorating this". The Judicial Training Institute remained closed with "no immediate plans to reopen". It was "disappointing" that it had "not been possible to engage that important institution".
- **661.** A draft version of a Strategic Conflict Assessment (SCA) dated 27 December 2004 was circulated amongst officials on 2 March 2005 (it is described in more detail later in this Section). The report recommended "the continuation of training of judiciary and linking aid to independence of judiciary".
- **662.** In the Global Conflict Prevention Pool (GCPP) Strategy meeting on 7 April, it was reported that the SCA recommended "supporting the justice sector". <sup>604</sup> However, while there were "clear links" to the IMOD, MOI and SSR activities, "it was decided that the GCPP could not fund any sustainable development in the area with funds available".

# **Delays in transferring security**

**663.** In Mr Blair's weekly Iraq update on 9 December 2004, Mr Quarrey reported that an upcoming JIC Assessment on Iraqiisation was likely to conclude that "progress remains slow" and that "we will not hit a key target for handing over 'provincial control' to Iraqi security forces across the country by mid-2005". 605

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>602</sup> Letter Hoddinott to [Consultant], 12 January 2005, 'ILAC Iraq Justice Sector Support – Annual Report 2004, Grant AG3737'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>603</sup> Email DFID [junior official] to DFID [junior official], 2 March 2005, 'Review of policing work' attaching Paper 'Strategic Conflict Assessment – Irag'.

<sup>604</sup> Minutes, 7 April 2005, Iraq GCPP Strategy meeting.

<sup>605</sup> Minute Quarrey to Blair, 9 December 2004, 'Iraq Update'.

664. The update also included the "latest version" of a paper on handling Iraq in 2005 sent by Ms Kara Owen, Private Secretary to Mr Straw, to Mr Quarrey on 9 December. Mr Quarrey wrote that Mr Blair had seen earlier versions of the paper and that it was "mostly fine, but it remains very much work in progress and will need to be revisited depending on progress with elections and Iraqiisation".

665. The FCO paper, described as an agenda for discussion with the US, considered that continued progress on Iraqiisation would allow MNF to change during 2005 from primary responsibility for security in all areas to a supportive role, either in support of ISF operationally or as trainers or mentors. A transfer of security lead to the ISF could occur in summer 2005.

**666.** In a note dated 12 December to his No.10 staff, Mr Blair commented that the situation in Iraq was "worrying". He gave six reasons for that assessment (see Section 9.3), one of which was that the Petraeus Plan did "not seem to be yielding the looked-for progress". His note called for a "proper strategy based on a hard-headed reality check"; the FCO paper was deemed "inadequate".

**667.** In a discussion with President Bush on 14 December, Mr Blair said that training issues needed careful consideration but he was not clear whether the problem was the strategy, or simply that it needed time. He wondered "were we missing something that was holding back the reconstruction and Iraqiisation programmes?"

668. A JIC Assessment produced on 15 December considering the ISF found:

- On force levels; that ISF figures did "not provide a guide to real capability and quality", an example being that only "some 50 percent" of the 87,000 police "on duty" were classified as trained and equipped.
- On effectiveness; that while "some progress" had been made among elements
  of the ISF, the overall performance of the ISF continued to be "inadequate".
  There was a lack of "equipment, training, leadership, command and control, and,
  in many cases, determination to oppose insurgents, particularly when they feel
  vulnerable with little or no MNF support close by".
- On the environment; that the ISF had been "under sustained attack" and was "being undermined by increasing penetration and intimidation by insurgents".
   Over 300 ISF members had been killed since August.<sup>609</sup>

<sup>606</sup> Letter Owen to Quarrey, 9 December 2004, 'Iraq: 2005' attaching Paper 'Iraq: 2005: Forward Look'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>607</sup> Note Blair, 12 December 2004, 'Iraq'.

<sup>608</sup> Letter Phillipson to Adams, 14 December 2004, 'Prime Minister's VTC with President Bush,

<sup>14</sup> December: Iraq and the MEPP'.

<sup>609</sup> JIC Assessment, 15 December 2004, 'Iraq: the Iraqi Security Forces'.

#### **669.** The JIC described the plan to address those issues:

"Prime Minister Allawi wants more capable Iraqi forces to take on the hard-line elements of the insurgency and reduce his reliance on the MNF. More army units are coming on line: elements of a mechanised brigade (including some tanks and armoured personnel carriers) and two new army brigades are deploying this month, one to Mosul and one to Baghdad. Three more police special commando battalions are planned. But these units have limited operational capability (the new brigade in Mosul is doing badly and is being replaced). This will restrict their employability in the short term and may jeopardise longer-term ISF aspirations. A plan has been put forward to address some of the command and control problems: by the end of January 2005 a national command and control system will be in place linking the Prime Minister, MOD and MOI to the national Joint Co-ordination Centre (JCC) and provincial JCCs. This will help, but better political leadership and overall management by the MOI and MOD is required."

### **670.** On prospects, JIC stated:

"Through 2005 ISF capability will grow incrementally and significant units, up to brigade size should be deployable by the summer. The ISF should benefit from the planned improved training and equipment procurement programmes. After significant delays these are now reported to be on track, however the planned expansion of trained and equipped police from some 47,000 to 135,000 and the army from some 3,000 to 27,000 between now and July 2005 seems very ambitious. The more modest increases planned for specialist units may be more achievable. The NATO mission to assist leadership training is stalled. The ISF will remain reliant on support from the MNF in many areas, in particular heavy fire support and logistics. There is little sign of the development of a coherent intelligence capability, which is critical to success. Leadership and discipline will remain chronic problems affecting capability; ... Attacks and intimidation will also continue to undermine effectiveness. Policing crime will have to remain a second priority in many areas.

"According to the MNF, 15 out of 18 provinces will be under local control – requiring only limited support by the MNF – by the end of the year. But we judge local control, even in some Shia and Kurdish areas, will remain fragile. We assessed in October that the ISF would not be able to handle significant security responsibilities unaided until the middle of 2005 at the earliest. We have also previously judged that the MNF are constraining, not containing, the insurgency. We now judge that, if the Iraqi Government and the MNF are unable to improve the security situation significantly, particularly in and around Baghdad, a credible ISF capable of managing the insurgency unaided will not emerge until 2006 at the earliest."

**671.** The JIC advised that plans for Iraqi military forces had grown from three infantry divisions to two infantry divisions, six ING divisions, an Iraqi Intervention Force division and a mechanised brigade.

- **672.** The JIC Assessment was discussed at the AHMGI on 16 December, where the consequences of slow ISF development on MNF drawdown were reiterated. Ministers agreed that a number of papers should be prepared, including practical suggestions to adjust the Petraeus Plan, ways of improving the IMOD and MOI, a list of security and funding issues for Mr Blair to raise with President Bush and a list of useful activities that other countries could be asked to undertake.
- 673. In a Note to President Bush on 10 January 2005, Mr Blair described the Iraqiisation of security forces as critical but said that he was not convinced that the plan to deliver it was robust enough. He identified work on ISF leadership both Ministerial and operational as necessary as well as the provision of "the equipment they need to be a match for the insurgents". Mr Blair suggested that the objective should be "more and tougher quality, while building quantity" and confirmed that he had authorised "an extra \$78m from our MOD for the Iraqi Forces in the South". Although he could not be sure that funding was essential, Mr Blair wrote "I'll take the risk rather than find six months later it was".
- **674.** When Mr Blair spoke to President Bush by video conference the following day, he suggested that the "Luck Review" (described later in this Section) would "give us a better idea of what was required on Iraqiisation".<sup>612</sup>
- **675.** On 16 January, Mr Phillipson sent a note to Mr Geoffrey Adams, Mr Straw's Principal Private Secretary, with details of Mr Sheinwald's visit to Washington a couple of days earlier. When he met Dr Rice, Mr Sheinwald raised the "gloomy assessment" that "only a few thousand of Iraqi Security Forces personnel were properly trained and led".
- **676.** Mr Sheinwald said: "This showed the scale of the problem, as the official numbers for those trained and equipped was 120,000." Dr Rice was recorded as saying that "the problem with the police was simple. They were trained to walk a beat but were now facing terrorists with RPGs [Rocket Propelled Grenades]. They were either fleeing or dying, but not fighting."
- 677. On 21 January, Mr Phillipson wrote to Mr Chris Baker, Principal Private Secretary to Mr Hoon, with a summary of a meeting held between Mr Blair and Mr Hoon that morning. Gen Walker, Ms Aldred, Mr Phillipson and Mr Jonathan Powell, Mr Blair's Chief of Staff, were also present. Mr Blair said that his primary concern remained that the Iraqiisation programme was not working. Gen Walker conceded that it certainly was not happening as fast as we had hoped and that all of Gen Petraeus timelines had been missed. He said that there remained a crucial need to accelerate the Iraqiisation programme to advance the date when ISF could take over security.

202

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>610</sup> Minutes, 16 December 2004, Ad Hoc Ministerial Group on Iraq meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>611</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Hadley, 10 January 2005, [untitled] attaching <u>Prime Minister to President Bush</u>, <u>10 January 2005, 'Note'.</u>

<sup>612</sup> Letter Quarrey to Owen, 11 January 2005, 'Prime Minister's VTC with President Bush, 11 January'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>613</sup> Letter Phillipson to Adams, 16 January 2005, 'Nigel Sheinwald's Visit to Washington: Iraq'.

<sup>614</sup> Minute Phillipson to Baker, 21 January 2005, 'Iraq: Future Strategy'.

## Allegations of abuse by Iraqi police

On 25 January 2005, Human Rights Watch (HRW) published a report which alleged that the abuse of detainees by Iraqi police and intelligence forces had become "routine and commonplace". 615 The report was based on interviews with 90 detainees in Iraq between July and October 2004 and described "serious and widespread human rights violations". It alleged "the systematic use of arbitrary arrest, prolonged pre-trial detention without judicial review, torture and ill-treatment of detainees, denial of access by families and lawyers to detainees, improper treatment of detained children, and abysmal conditions in pre-trial detention facilities".

The report made a number of recommendations to MNF governments, including the immediate prioritisation of an investigation into allegations of torture or ill-treatment of detainees by the IPS, establishing new mechanisms to investigate allegations of abuse and an increase in the number of advisers deployed in detention facilities.

The press release issued by HRW on the same day stated:

"International police advisers, primarily US citizens funded through the United States government, have turned a blind eye to these rampant abuses." 616

A note highlighting the publication of the report was sent from a junior official in IPU to the Private Secretary of Mr Bill Rammell, FCO Parliamentary Under-Secretary, on 24 January.<sup>617</sup> It stated that the report had been expected for "some time" and that "Ministers were aware it was pending". The junior official wrote:

"A preliminary reading would suggest that it is well-researched, although it appears to be biased towards conditions in central Iraq with relatively limited coverage of southern Iraq where the UK has a more direct influence on conditions."

The junior official outlined the support provided to the Iraqi police and prison services, and the procedures in place to ensure compliance with international law. The official wrote: "We will have to review our assistance in the light of this report."

The Inquiry has seen no reporting of this review in contemporaneous documents.

A telegram from Baghdad on 6 February stated that Mr Andrew Hood, Legal Adviser, had met Mr Bakhtiar Amin, Iraqi Minister of Human Rights, on 3 February to establish Mr Amin's response to the HRW report. Mr Amin was "critical of HRW for issuing a report without bothering to check with him what corrective action was in hand". He explained his Ministry's team of prison inspectors had already raised the concerns highlighted in the report to the MOI and those responsible for individual facilities. He did, however, recognise that work was ad hoc and needed to be better established.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>615</sup> Report Human Rights Watch, 25 January 2005, 'The New Iraq? Torture and III-Treatment of Detainees in Iraqi Custody'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>616</sup> Press Release Human Rights Watch, 25 January 2005, 'Iraq: Torture continues at hands of new government'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>617</sup> Minute IPU [junior official] to PS/PUS [FCO], 24 January 2005, 'Human Rights Watch Report Alleging Abuse by Iraqi Police'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>618</sup> Telegram 90 Baghdad to FCO, 6 February 2005, 'Iraq: Call on Minister of Human Rights'.

Mr Amin thanked the UK for its continued support of his Ministry, especially the training for prison inspectors in Basra, and asked Mr Hood to investigate whether additional funding might be available to extend the training to all inspectors.

The telegram reported that security concerns had prevented the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) carrying out "all but a few" inspections of detention facilities and the Minister of Justice was reticent to appoint an independent prison ombudsman. That meant there was "an even greater need" to support the training of Iraqi officials to carry out inspections.

# The "Luck Review" and strategy for 2005

**678.** A telegram from Mr Chaplin on 21 January 2005 reported that the retired US General Gary Luck had left Iraq on 20 January after a week-long assessment of the ISF's development. Gen Luck had been appointed by Secretary Rumsfeld to head a 20-strong team "asked to validate" the Petraeus Plan and "examine whether anything could be done to speed up the delivery of capable Iraqi forces".

**679.** Gen Luck would not be producing a written report but would brief Secretary Rumsfeld and the President on his findings. Mr Chaplin understood that Gen Luck would "broadly endorse the emerging MNF-I plan for ISF development" and:

- suggest departments other than Defense play a bigger role;
- "rubber-stamp the MNF-I's intention to switch its main effort from operations to training and mentoring" since that had worked well in MND(SE);
- recommend additional advisers in the Ministries to coach and mentor Iragis; and
- recommend a fundamental overhaul of intelligence structures.

680. Mr Chaplin also referred to the Police Advisory Teams (PATs) concept, whereby a small number of MNF-I soldiers and police advisers would be placed in IPS stations. He wrote that there were different views on its viability. Iraqi advice was that this would "be a backward step politically", be resented by the IPS and "raise serious force protection issues" for those involved. Gen Luck had indicated that he did not intend to reflect any of those concerns to Secretary Rumsfeld, "presumably because [Gen] Casey was dead set on PATs, which he sold heavily in Washington". Mr Chaplin thought that PATs had "now got so much momentum that it will go ahead in some format" but that the UK "should influence the eventual shape it takes by encouraging MNF-I to engage seriously with the Iraqi concerns".

**681.** Mr Chaplin wrote that Gen Luck was "particularly impressed" by the UK's policing work in the South and that he was "seriously thinking of recommending that the UK should take the lead for all police work in Iraq". Mr Chaplin thought that "this would probably be a step too far for us, and possibly for the Americans as well", but that the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>619</sup> Telegram 58 Baghdad to FCO London, 21 January 2005, 'Iraq: ISF Assessment Team Visit'.

UK should consider how to use that opportunity to influence the strategic direction of policing in Iraq.

**682.** Mr Chaplin also reported that the importance of human rights had been raised with Gen Luck: "These were not nice to have add-ons but were fundamental to our chances of defeating the insurgency and sustaining democracy in Iraq." Mr Chaplin said that Gen Luck "agreed entirely" but "did not say whether he intended to flag this up".

683. On 23 January 2005, DCC Smith wrote a report about his role in the "Luck Review". 620 DCC Smith wrote that the review's "key recommendation" would be PATs, and to embed these "to a far greater degree than current International Police Advisors (IPAs)". He noted that the concept was not "universally supported" and cited concerns raised by US advisers, existing IPAs and the Minister of the Interior. DCC Smith did suggest that PATs could address other police issues such as leadership training and the "post initial training, quality and morale issues". DCC Smith later became the UK Chief Police Adviser in Iraq (in May 2005), a role that combined the two previous Senior Police Adviser positions in Baghdad and Basra. His reports feature extensively in this Section.

**684.** During a video conference on 17 January, Mr Blair told President Bush that they had to give a sense that Iraqiisation was "going somewhere" and that things would change after the elections. He suggested that the Luck Review should feed quickly into a new, public, security plan. In Mr Blair's view the weakness of Iraqi structures remained "a real problem".

**685.** Ms Aldred and her team in the Cabinet Office co-ordinated a strategy paper for the 9 February AHMGI, which focused on how to achieve coalition objectives in post-election Iraq (see Section 9.3).<sup>622</sup> The draft 'Iraq: Strategy for 2005', sent to Mr Quarrey on 8 February, summarised General Luck's key recommendations:

- improve ISF capacity to conduct independent counter-insurgency operations as well as to maintain domestic order;
- establish partnerships between Iraqi and coalition units and develop military, special police, border force, and PATs from the coalition and embed them within Iraqi forces;
- build the institutional capabilities of the Government (MOD and MOI) to plan and direct counter-insurgency operations; and
- develop the concept of bureaucratic assistance teams to help Iraqi ministries establish a Government that functions across all the 'lines of operation' needed for the campaign.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>620</sup> Report Smith, 23 January 2005, 'Iraq Security Assessment Team' attaching Paper Smith, [undated], 'Iraq Security Assessment'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>621</sup> Letter Quarrey to Owen, 17 January 2005, 'Prime Minister's VTC with President Bush, 17 January: Iraq and MEPP'.

<sup>622</sup> Paper Cabinet Office, 7 February 2005, 'Iraq: Strategy for 2005'.

**686.** One of the key elements of the "Strategy for 2005" was "building up the capability of the Iraqi Security Forces". It predicted that the incoming Iraqi Transitional Government (ITG) would regard security as a top priority and that they should be "encouraged to build on Allawi's new security plan" of which key elements were likely to include:

- an overarching and visible Iraqi structure responsible for security, under a single, senior minister;
- effective governance at provincial level to provide a political framework for ISF control;
- developing proposals on how to make SSR work and secure Iraqi ownership of the plan;
- adequate top end capability, including agreement on the size of the Iraqi
   Intervention Force (IIF) and Police Commandos and plans to deliver them;
- development of a "policing plan" setting out the role of the police, including self-protection, development of a paramilitary capability, its relationship with the military, and areas of police primacy; and
- resolving the de-Ba'athification dilemma to allow SSR to work.

**687.** The Strategy proposed offering further UK assistance with:

- continued training effort in MND(SE);
- development of a strategy for the IPS (deployment of experienced, senior officers to both the MOI and MNF could achieve considerable impact);
- provision of suitably qualified and experienced advisers to mentor senior Iraqi officials and support to build institutional capacity;
- development of Iraqi intelligence capability;
- building on MND(SE) practices to provide the conditions for handover to Iraqi regional control as soon as practicable;
- helping the Iragis to co-ordinate international assistance; and
- encouraging other international forces (such as the Dutch and Portuguese) to keep at least some forces in Iraq in a training role, to mitigate the impact of their withdrawal from military operations.

**688.** The paper noted that there was no "silver bullet" for reforming the ISF.

**689.** The AHMGI approved the paper on 9 February, subject to various points including the need to cover judicial issues, which had not been addressed in the Strategy. 623

<sup>623</sup> Minutes, 9 February 2005, Ad Hoc Ministerial Group on Iraq meeting.

690. A revised version of the Strategy was shared with the US on 11 February. 624 That version had removed references to the current security situation and to Military Assistance Teams (MATs) and Police Advisory Teams (PATs). However, on training the ISF, it did state the need to embed "military teams to mentor Iraqi forces in operational roles". Also added was the need to introduce a criminal justice system, Iraqi criminal tribunal and "improved regimes for detention facilities".

## Military Assistance Teams and Police Advisory Teams

From November 2003 to November 2004, the number of US soldiers whose primary mission was to advise Iraqi units grew from 350 to 1,200.<sup>625</sup> Gen Luck advocated doubling or tripling the number of advisers partnering Iraqi units and MNSTC-I expanded the programme in response.

The 'Iraq: Strategy for 2005' paper considered by AHMGI on 9 February 2005 highlighted that the idea of Military Assistance Teams (MATs) was supported (as this followed practice in MND(SE)), but did "not favour" Police Advisory Teams (PATs). 626 It stated: "It is not yet clear whether the US will adopt the latter proposal, which carries a high risk for those embedded at local level."

On MATs, the paper said that the UK "would not anticipate providing personnel for Military Assistance Teams ... outside our current area of operations". 627

On 18 February, Mr Cornish advised Mr Hoon on an MOD review of the Iraq campaign, looking at why the plan "was not delivering the required results". 628 In considering the UK's involvement in "campaign enhancement", Mr Cornish wrote:

"The US has accepted that the Security Sector Reform (SSR) models which the UK are developing in MND(SE) to train and mentor ISF are likely to be different from those that they might use elsewhere in Iraq."

Delivering MATs was listed as one of the possible UK military initiatives. A footnote explained:

"The MATs concept will be implemented using the UK model, which is based on developing partner arrangements between UK and Iraq units, rather than embedding individuals in Iraqi units (the US approach) ... Because of Iraqi Ministerial objections and force protection concerns, the PATs concept, as originally conceived (including with MNF-I embedded in local police stations), is now all but dead."

<sup>624</sup> Minute Sheinwald to Hadley, 11 February 2005, 'Irag' attaching 'Irag: Strategy for 2005'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>625</sup> Bowen SW Jr. *Hard Lessons: The Iraq Reconstruction Experience*. U.S. Government Printing Office, 2009.

<sup>626</sup> Minute Quarrey to Prime Minister, 8 February 2005, 'Irag: 2005 Strategy'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>627</sup> Paper Cabinet Office, 7 February 2005, 'Iraq: Strategy for 2005'.

<sup>628 &</sup>lt;u>Minute Cornish to Private Secretary [MOD]</u>, 18 February 2005, 'Iraq – Key findings of the <u>Iraq Stocktake'</u>.

Major General Peter Wall, Deputy Chief of Joint Operations, attended a CENTCOM conference on the post-election strategy for Iraq on 21 to 23 February.<sup>629</sup> He reported:

"MNF-I have walked back from their original PATs concept, though remained adamant that the principle of hands-on help to the police would still be required in areas where the insurgency was at its strongest ... We are making progress in MND(SE). But we also need to find a way of forcing this issue in Baghdad."

#### THE "TRANSITION TO SELF RELIANCE"

**691.** On 22 April 2005, Gen Casey circulated a paper entitled 'MNF-I Campaign Action Plan for 2005 – Transition to Self-Reliance'. The paper stated that the Iraqi Armed Forces would be "largely trained and equipped" by mid-2005 and that training of the police and Border Police would extend into 2006. Gen Casey wrote:

"Generally, while Iraqi forces still lack the capacity to conduct and sustain independent counter-insurgency operations, they now have the capacity to begin assuming the lead in counter-insurgency operations across the country, and the coalition can begin to progressively transition that responsibility to them."

### **692.** That would be "executed in four phases":

- Phase I, Implement the Transition Team Concept MNF-I would "establish partnerships between Iraqi and coalition units" and embed Transition Teams in designated ISF units by 15 June 2005. Concurrently MNF-I with IRMO [Iraq Reconstruction and Management Office] advisers would "build capacity to continue the development of ISF leaders, organizations, supporting institutions and Iraqi security Ministries".
- Phase II, Transition to Provincial Iraqi Security Control coalition forces
  would "pass the lead for conducting counter-insurgency operations to capable
  ISF as conditions warrant". The goal was to have the ISF in all provinces
  "well-postured" to provide security for the elections in December, with the
  coalition's visibility reduced.
- Phase III, Transition to National Iraqi Security Control coalition forces to "progressively reduce their levels of support and presence" as the ISF became "increasingly capable". Given the training timescales, it was "unlikely" that that would occur "before mid-2006".
- Phase IV, Iraqi Security Self-Reliance coalition forces would be "postured in strategic overwatch outside of Iraq with training, security co-operation and advisory presence remaining", with the "exact nature" of support being "determined in close co-ordination with the Government of Iraq".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>629</sup> Minute DCJO(Ops) to CJO, 28 February 2005, 'CENTCOM Post Iraqi Election coalition Conference, Bucharest 21-23 Feb 05'.

<sup>630</sup> Paper Casey, 22 April 2005, 'MNF-I Campaign Action Plan for 2005 – Transition to Self-Reliance'.

**693.** Gen Casey stated that implementation of the strategy would "vary across Iraq based on the security situation and the readiness of Iraqi security forces and Ministries".

## ISF performance during the January elections

Beginning on 28 January 2005, two days before the election, Iraqi authorities implemented curfews, imposed severe restrictions on traffic, closed Iraq's borders and banned the carrying of weapons by civilians.<sup>631</sup> Approximately 130,000 ISF personnel secured over 5,000 polling sites throughout the country.

Reflecting on the election in a telephone call with President Bush on 31 January, Mr Blair said it was encouraging that so many ISF had reported for duty over the weekend, but the problem remained that they were not able to cope with "big battle situations" against a well-armed and motivated enemy. They lacked the necessary training and leadership. The key question remained whether they could "take over, hold and run a major city".

On 3 February, the JIC assessed:

"On election day, the Iraqi security forces reportedly performed effectively at static guarding duties. But overall, their operational performance continues to be inadequate, particularly in Sunni Arab areas." 633

The Iraqi elections passed smoothly in MND(SE) with the GOC commenting that "the ISF needed our help but their momentum gathered. They had the courage to stand up and be counted." For the elections, Provincial Joint Operations Centres were established in MND(SE) to improve co-ordination between different security elements. Maj Gen Riley described them as "a crucial element in the security system that managed election-day security".

# 2005 policing strategies

**694.** On 21 February 2005, the FCO produced a paper for the AHMGIR (on 24 February) on UK support to civil policing in Iraq.<sup>635</sup> Drawing on the "Strategy for 2005" and the Luck Review, it contained proposals for a greater focus at the national level where there was "an urgent need for an Iraqi national policing strategy, supported by an appropriate training syllabus to address established weaknesses". There were 56,900 IPS officers now trained and equipped but there was still a need for the development of leadership, technical capabilities, forensics, crime scene management and investigative techniques.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>631</sup> Wright DP & Reese TR. On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>632</sup> Letter Phillipson to Adams, 31 January 2005, 'Prime Minister's discussion with President Bush, 31 January: Iraq and MEPP'.

<sup>633</sup> JIC Assessment, 3 February 2005, 'Iraq: Insurgency and Counter-Insurgency'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>634</sup> Report Farquhar, 2 February 2005, 'CG MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 2 February 2005'.

<sup>635</sup> Note FCO, 21 February 2005, 'Irag – UK Support to Civil Policing in Irag – 2005'.

### 695. Current UK commitments were summarised as:

- support for basic training 86 officers in Jordan, az-Zubayr and Baghdad; including a combination of serving and retired police officers and MOD police officers, at a cost of £7.3m per annum from the GCPP International Peacekeeping Fund;
- enhancement of capabilities in MND(SE) six senior and middle-ranking officers mentoring Iraqi Provincial Chiefs of Police at a cost of £500,000 from the GCPP International Peacekeeping Fund; 40 IPAs providing mentoring in the South (through ArmorGroup), costing £4.8m for six months; and
- enhancement of national capabilities Mr Davies' role as Senior Civilian Adviser, reporting to the Minister of Interior and supported by 12 officers in advisory roles at a cost of £500,000 from the GCPP International Peacekeeping Fund.
- **696.** Two new priorities were identified arising from the 2005 Strategy: the development of national strategies and the development of Iraqi intelligence capability. Developing a Special Branch capability was still a UK priority but the Metropolitan Police would currently not deploy staff to Baghdad on security grounds.
- 697. The GCPP International Peacekeeping Fund could cover existing commitments but was not able to meet new ones. New expenditure would therefore fall to the GCPP (Iraq) fund. SSR so far amounted to £5.5m for 2005/06, not including the project to support the MOI (£2.57m) or the human rights programme (£950,000). While funding was "available for all planned police activity for the next six months", an extension of the ArmorGroup contract for a further six months would create an overspend. That would leave no funding for renewing other contracts, such as the Prison Mentoring Contract, or for new projects such as additional support for the Iraqi Special Tribunal (IST– for trying crimes committed under the Saddam Hussein regime).
- **698.** At the AHMGIR, the FCO said the "key message" from the paper was that funding beyond September was "extremely tight". <sup>636</sup> One of the points made in discussion was:

"The Government would need to be prepared to make tough decisions and prioritise carefully on funding for activity in Iraq. There should be no expectation of drawing down on the Treasury Reserve."

**699.** Officials were tasked with taking forward funding issues, with the close involvement of the Home Office and ACPO.

\_

<sup>636</sup> Minutes, 24 February 2005, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting.

**700.** On 25 February, Mr Blair wrote a note to his Private Secretary, instructing that Mr Straw be "put in charge" of the AHMGI and that each week a report is provided containing actions on "e.g. reconstruction in the South; Sunni outreach; progress on security plan". 637

**701.** On 10 March, at the first meeting of the AHMGI under his chairmanship, Mr Straw explained that Mr Blair "had asked a core group of ministers to meet on a weekly basis to focus more closely on the delivery of policy in Iraq". <sup>638</sup>

**702.** One point made in discussion was that there was pressure within Iraq for some unofficial militia to be incorporated into the ISF: "The benefits of bringing them under greater control needed to be balanced against risk to ISF cohesion and political complications".

## EU integrated police and Rule of Law mission for Iraq

On 21 February 2005, the EU announced that it had decided to establish an integrated police and Rule of Law mission for Iraq, known as 'EU JustLex'. 639

Mr Straw's Private Secretary outlined the main elements of that mission in a letter to No.10 on 10 March:

- a planning office in Brussels to arrange senior management and specialist technical training for 520 judges and criminal justice officials and 250 senior police officers;
- a five-man liaison office in Baghdad to identify specific projects where EU member states could provide assistance;
- training that would take place in Member States or the region, but not in Iraq until the security situation had improved.<sup>640</sup>

Mr Straw's Private Secretary wrote that the 21 February package had "followed months of internal deliberations and a tough final negotiation". While there was a "more positive political mood, opposition to any association with MNF programmes or training in Iraq remains strong". He stated:

"... the package as it stands ... is modest given the scale of the task and the immediacy of the needs. If all goes to plan over the next year, the EU will provide training for some 250 police officers. During this time, we [MNF] plan to train over 40,000 policemen through the academies in Baghdad, Basra and Amman."

<sup>637</sup> Manuscript comment Blair on minute Quarrey to Prime Minister, 25 February 2005, 'Iraq Update'.

<sup>638</sup> Minutes, 10 March 2005, Ad Hoc Ministerial Group on Iraq meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>639</sup> Official Journal of the European Union, 9 March 2005, Council Joint Action 2005/190/CFSP of 7 March 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>640</sup> Letter Siddig to Quarrey, 10 March 2005, 'Irag: Policing'.

The operational phase of the mission began in July 2005 and was initially mandated to run until 2006. Between 2005 and the end of 2009 it was headed by Former ACC Stephen White, who had served as the UK's Senior Police Adviser in Basra in 2003.<sup>641</sup> The mission comprised around 40 staff and by June 2009 had spent €30m. Former ACC White described the purpose of the mission as to:

"... focus ... on the most senior members of the Iraqi police, judiciary and penitentiary services ... [to] create a critical mass of credible, influential leaders who are properly equipped to make plans and decisions relevant to their responsibilities ... in Iraq."642

In 2009, the mission began to scope the provision of training and advice in Iraq and then to progressively shift its focus to in-country work, opening additional offices in Erbil and Basra.<sup>643</sup> By July 2010, the mission had trained, advised and mentored:

- 805 judges (over 60 percent of the Iraqi judiciary);
- 1702 senior police officers (around four percent of senior police officers); and
- 903 prison officers (nearly 80 percent of senior prisons staff).

**703.** On 14 March 2005, Mr Quarrey asked the MOD for an update on progress against the Petraeus Plan.<sup>644</sup> Mr Naworynsky replied on 17 March and reported that ISF development was:

"... largely on track, meeting the demands of a well-entrenched counter insurgency campaign and the evolving expectation of the Iraqi leadership ... From January 2006 the ISF should be approaching full strength and the transfer of regional control will be under way. Over the next six months of 2006, the generation of ISF units should be complete, the Multi National Force (MNF) training and mentoring commitment is expected to reduce, and in all but the most volatile provinces, Iraqi-led security operations should become the norm.

"Trained and equipped MOI forces currently number almost 82,000 personnel, but this includes a large number of absentees due to intimidation, injury, and corruption, varying dramatically in proportion across the country ... The largest component (135,000) will be Iraqi Police Service (IPS), which remains an area for improvement. Conceived for peace time constabulary duties, the rate of IPS development continues to lag, as standards of equipment, personnel and training are reviewed to answer the demands of the insurgency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>641</sup> Council of the European Union Press Release, 30 June 2009, 'Javier Solana, EU High Representative for the CFSP, welcomes the extension of the EU Integrated Rule of Law Mission for Iraq (EUJUST LEX)'; enclosing Factsheet, June 2009, 'EU Rule of Law Mission for Iraq (EUJUST LEX)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>642</sup> European Security and Defence Policy, July 2007, 'EUJUST LEX The European Union's Integrated Rule of Law Mission for Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>643</sup> EU JUSTLEX Press Release, 22 July 2010, 'EU JUST LEX – Iraq, more than 3,400 officials trained'.

<sup>644</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Quarrey, 17 March 2005, 'Petraeus Plan Update'.

**704.** The covering note for Mr Blair from Mr Quarrey described the MOD's paper as "rather insubstantial, and almost certainly too optimistic in its assessment of the quality of much of the ISF".<sup>645</sup>

**705.** Lieutenent General Sir Graeme Lamb, General Officer Commanding (GOC) MND(SE) from July to December 2003, reflected on the quality of the ISF in his evidence to the Inquiry.<sup>646</sup> He said:

"The point of corruption in this part of the world is with the police and at the lowest level and yet we somehow were looking to try and make them into state troopers. We were never going to achieve that. It was an aspect of us approaching in many ways the problem seen through a Westminster or Washington perspective rather than one that was very much more locally focused ..."

**706.** In a telephone call with President Bush on 22 April, Mr Blair commented that "the Iraqiisation plan did seem to be going well". However, the insurgency remained well armed and well financed. Mr Blair felt that there would be a greater sense of momentum after the formation of the ITG.

**707.** Lieutenant General John Kiszely served as the SBMR-I from October 2004 to April 2005. His Hauldown Report contained an assessment of the ISF.<sup>648</sup> He described the leadership of the IMOD as "completely out of their depth" and the MOI as "dysfunctional", with the Minister bringing in his uncle to set up and run the Special Police.

**708.** In relation to the IPS, Lt Gen Kiszely assessed:

"... the selection process for these policemen ... is rudimentary; they undertake only eight weeks training; they are paid a very low wage; leadership at all levels is generally poor; corruption is high. To expect such a force, mostly under-strength and poorly equipped, to perform well in the face of a ruthless insurgency is unrealistic, and there have been occasions (for example in Mosul in November) when the whole of a city's police force has deserted their police stations in the face of attack or the threat of it."

**709.** Lt Gen Kiszely expressed concern about the Iraqi Special Police Commandos. He considered that they had been "highly effective in tackling the insurgency" but he stated that they had "no police training and are more akin to Black-and-Tans; many are former members of the Republican Guard. Their methods are robust, and there have been several cases of serious abuse, duly investigated, and no doubt many more that have not been."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>645</sup> Minute Quarrey to Prime Minister, 18 March 2005, 'Iraq Update'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>646</sup> Public hearing, 9 December 2009, page 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>647</sup> Letter Quarrey to Siddiq, 22 April 2005, 'Prime Minister's Phone Call with President Bush'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>648</sup> Minute Kiszely to CDS, 16 April 2005, 'SBMR-I's Hauldown Report'.

### **710.** On the insurgency, Lt Gen Kiszely assessed:

"The high level of intimidation has been the insurgency's biggest gain of the past six months and, helped by a weak, incompetent and corrupt police force, has led in many Sunni areas to a complete absence of law and order – in effect, anarchy. Initially intimidation was used by the insurgency to gain control of cities and towns, the favoured method being to capture and execute (blindfold, hands tied behind back) anyone who stood in the way of the insurgents or who was associated with the coalition or the government. In one city (Mosul) in one five week-period (from 12 November to 19 December) the bodies of 220 victims executed in this way were found, and in one single incident (Baquba, 23 October) a busload of 50 army trainees were similarly murdered."

#### **711.** On INIS he wrote:

"In the past six months, INIS has been somewhat discredited in the eyes of both the IIG and the coalition due to evidence of incompetence, corruption and penetration by hostile agencies, both Iraqi and external ... this is an area ripe for UK advice and input."

**712.** On 15 May 2005, DCC Smith produced a report of his review of UK policing support to the development of the IPS.<sup>649</sup> His report described UK efforts in both Baghdad and Basra; those recommendations relating to policing specifically in MND(SE) are described later in this Section.

**713.** DCC Smith observed a weakening of UK influence in Baghdad. Following the Luck Review, the US was increasing resource for police training at a rate that the UK was unable to match. He also reported that the "UK inability to 'walk the talk' and tendency to write long, strategic doctrinal papers ... has been interpreted by the US as typical British procrastination".

**714.** DCC Smith recommended targeting UK resources on a number of priority areas to increase influence at a strategic level in Baghdad. They included:

- the strategic development of the IPS "there are currently no resources, except myself, dedicated to this key activity";
- intelligence and crime investigation, including forensics, identified as "one of the few areas where the UK still has a foothold", thanks, in part to the introduction of the TIPS scheme (described below); and
- continuing the Police Centre of Excellence staffed primarily by Canadians, this was described as "a small resource but a disproportionate influence [which] helps to 'fly the flag' for policing in a land dominated by the Military".

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>649</sup> Paper Smith, 15 May 2005, 'Next Steps on Policing – Review'.

### **TIPS** hotline

The 'Police Forward Look' paper produced in November 2006 described TIPS as:

"... the UK's biggest success story, and one where we have delivered more than we promised. The scheme has developed beyond the original plan, a 'Crimestoppers'-style hotline, into a sophisticated intelligence system, including source cultivation."650

#### Former DCC Brand told the Inquiry:

"Because we had British policemen in there, there was a huge uptake of people calling in, telling us about weapons and missiles and all sorts of things like that ... It was so successful that one of the last things that Mr Kerik did before he left was to announce: 'It was so successful we are now handing it over to the Iraqis', and all the calls stopped. So we had to reinstitute, because we understood after a while that it was ... the fact that people knew there were British police at the other end of the phone made for the calls to happen, and that was a really successful part of our small contribution." 651

In his statement to the Inquiry, Former ACC Smith stated:

"TIPS in Baghdad generated increasing 'actionable intelligence' for coalition and Iraqi Security Forces with reports averaging from 150 per week in early 2005 to over 400 per week by the end of that year ... TIPS was one of the real successes of the UK work which ... brought in a large volume of intelligence that undoubtedly saved many Iraqi and coalition lives." 652

In his report dated August 2006, Former Chief Superintendent Barton stated that there were 14 ArmorGroup contractors working on the TIPS hotline.<sup>653</sup> He added:

"The day-to-day successes (terrorist related arms finds, source development, target development) is extremely successful [sic] and receives accolades from coalition partners.

"However, to date, whilst Iraqi staff man the telephones there is no Iraqi 'lead' and no Iraqi trainers."

A recently developed Basra TIPS line was described by Former Chief Superintendent Barton as "new and slow to start".

#### **715.** Five key areas were prioritised in DCC Smith's report:

- <u>Training:</u> Basic, Leadership and Developmental areas to be prioritised. Courses and regional, provincial or local training arranged;
  - <u>Police Support Infrastructure:</u> at Police Headquarters level Human Resources, Finance (and contracts), Communications, Logistics;

<sup>650</sup> Paper BE Baghdad, November 2006, 'Police Forward Look'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>651</sup> Public hearing, 29 June 2010, pages 98-99.

<sup>652</sup> Statement, 25 June 2010, page 10.

<sup>653</sup> Report Barton, August 2006, 'The window of opportunity'.

- <u>Intelligence Capability:</u> the Collections, Collation, Analysis, Action and Dissemination of Intelligence – criminal, terrorist and counter-insurgency;
- Operational Capability: Police to have effective Command and Control Systems with aim of Policy Primacy; Co-Ordination; Specialist Support SWAT [Special Weapons and Tactics] and TSU [Tactical Support Unit]; Criminal Investigation (inc. Forensic); and
- <u>Public Support:</u> Development of Police interaction with the Criminal Justice System (Courts, Prisons etc), Media and Public; Development of Public and Civil Accountability; Ability to remove corrupt and inefficient police officers through a robust, transparent and accountable Complaints System (Professional Standards)."654
- **716.** The report also analysed the UK resource requirement to meet those priorities and sought to identify any gaps. DCC Smith commented that, while he knew how many UK personnel were currently working on policing in Iraq, he was:
  - "... unsighted on ... the overall 'staffing' allocation or budget for Iraq. There is no clear indication of the number of posts that are budgeted by the FCO either in Baghdad or Basra ... This is not a personal failing on any department but reflects a sometimes unstructured approach."
- **717.** In his statement to the Inquiry, Former ACC Smith wrote that, although those five areas became "the focus of successive plans", the strategy itself "did not become, in the long run, the driver in MND(SE)". 655 He wrote:

"Why? Perhaps lack of adequate consultation and explanation with the military, possibly the changing situation on the ground or the military expectation that as the main provider of resources etc they had the better understanding of the issues. Attempts to support a strategic aim of 'developing an efficient, effective, credible and community-based accountable police service' rapidly became subsumed within military operational and logistical plans ...

"In the absence of an agreed strategy, plans were driven on the ground by successive six month military and staff rotations and changes in security and political expectations."

**718.** On 10 June, DFID commissioned a consultant to "assist the FCO in drawing together a cross-Whitehall strategy for UK support to the development of Iraqi policing capacity". The Terms of Reference for the strategy stated that UK support needed "a more strategic focus" and that FCO's draft strategy now needed to be "expanded and amended by inputs from the various department specialists". The strategy should be completed by 17 June.

<sup>654</sup> Report Barton, August 2006, 'The window of opportunity'.

<sup>655</sup> Statement, 14 June 2010, pages 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>656</sup> Letter DFID [junior official] to [Consultant], 10 June 2005, 'Cross-Whitehall Strategy for UK support to Iraqi Policing'.

- **719.** A junior DFID official emailed the FCO on 14 July to say that DFID felt that the strategy could not be agreed across Whitehall.<sup>657</sup> He wrote: "We see your paper as something that we have tried very hard to inform and influence, but have failed. It's way off something that we would wish to put our name to." He suggested that it was instead presented as an FCO-led document.
- **720.** On the same day, Commander Simon Huntingdon, MOD, emailed the FCO to say that the MOD also did not feel the strategy represented an agreed FCO, DFID and MOD position on policing in Iraq.<sup>658</sup>
- **721.** On 18 July, MOD, DFID and FCO officials met to discuss policing in Iraq.<sup>659</sup> They agreed that the strategy could not be viewed as "complete and usable" until additional information was sent by the British Embassy. The minutes recorded:

"In the meantime, we should avoid giving the impression that policing was on track when the reality was that we did not know."

**722.** The group agreed that a background paper on the strategy should be submitted to senior officials on 22 July and possibly to Ministers afterwards. A second cross-departmental paper would be submitted by the end of August outlining the intended "end state" for the IPS, including "a route map of how to get there", an analysis of the risks (such as the sustainability of policing) and "an indication of the resources required". While the FCO accepted that it led policing activity in Iraq, it "stressed" the need for all relevant departments to agree the papers; there was a "shared responsibility for delivering policing".

**723.** Commenting on the draft background paper, an FCO junior official described policing as "the Cinderella of SSR". 660 The "reasons/factors" for that included:

- "The insurgency broke the original plan, but no-one was prepared to admit it."
- "The international policing community has not responded adequately to Iraq" even the reduced figure of 1,500 (from 6,000) International Police Liaison Officers (IPLOs) had not been achieved.
- As the US was "the monopoly supplier of assets and people", its "military vision [had] prevailed".
- "The original vision of the Iraqi police force as a community based service is (and was) idealistic pie-in-the-sky. It does not fit with the culture or environment."
- The Iraqis were seeking to balance the police against the army to prevent a coup.

<sup>657</sup> Email DFID [junior official] to FCO [junior official], 14 July 2005, 'Cover note to Strategy Group'.

<sup>658</sup> Email Huntingdon to FCO [junior official], 14 July 2005, 'Police Cross-Departmental Strategy'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>659</sup> Minutes FCO, 18 July 2005, 'Cross-Departmental Meeting on Policing in Iraq – 18 July 2005'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>660</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to FCO [junior official], 21 July 2005, 'Background paper on police'.

### **724.** The official wrote that the UK had:

- "over-promised and under-delivered";
- "sent the wrong people and not enough of them"; and
- "fixated on strategies that gather dust", gaining a reputation with the US "for procrastination rather than delivery".
- **725.** The official wrote that there were "a lot of lessons to be learnt" and that the absence of Home Office officials from the addressee list "tells its own story".
- **726.** On 7 August, a junior official from the British Embassy Office Basra circulated a draft of a policing transition paper.<sup>661</sup> He wrote that "the level of micro-management" had "at times almost beggared belief" but that the police team in Basra had remained committed to making it work "if only so the police here can get on with implementing it, rather than sitting around re-editing it all day!"
- **727.** The final version of the document was circulated on 7 September and is discussed later in this Section.<sup>662</sup>

## **Training the IPS**

Training for IPS officers took two forms:

- Basic Recruit Training was for personnel with no previous police or military experience. That took eight weeks, increasing to ten weeks in mid-2005.
- Transition Integration Programme was a three-week programme for personnel with previous police or military experience.<sup>663</sup> In July 2006, TIP training was offered to serving officers who had not been trained but had been serving for over a year.<sup>664</sup>

Training took place at the Jordan International Police Training College (JIPTC), the Baghdad Police College and seven smaller regional academies; including az-Zubayr near Basra. 665 By the end of 2006 all regional Iraqi Police academies had transitioned to Iraqi control. 666 Basic recruit training ceased at JIPTC at the end of February 2007. 667

Leadership training began in 2006 with the Bagdad Police College running police officer commissioning courses.<sup>668</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>661</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to FCO [junior official], 7 August 2005, 'Policing Transition Paper: final draft?'.

<sup>662</sup> Letter FCO [junior official] to OD Sec, Cabinet Office [junior official], 7 September 2005, 'Iraqi Police Service Transition Plan for Southern Iraq attaching Paper Consulate Basra, 7 September 2005, 'Southern Iraq: Iraqi Police Service – Transitional Plan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>663</sup> Report to Congress, 13 October 2005, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>664</sup> Report to Congress, 29 August 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>665</sup> Report to Congress, 13 October 2005, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>666</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>667</sup> Report to Congress, 7 June 2007, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>668</sup> Report to Congress, 17 February 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

By September 2008 there were 18 MOI training establishments and plans for another 12, to include a training centre in every province. Only Camp Dublin was still supported by MNSTC-I. MNSTC-I.

#### STRATEGIC CONFLICT ASSESSMENT AND SSR PROJECT REVIEW

- **728.** In October 2004, DFID commissioned a Strategic Conflict Assessment (SCA), an analysis of conflict drivers in Iraq to help the UK Government identify conflict prevention and reduction opportunities.<sup>672</sup>
- **729.** A draft version of the SCA (dated 27 December 2004) was circulated amongst officials on 2 March 2005.<sup>673</sup> The official circulating the document raised the need for an objective assessment of the SSR work being done to "ensure we are on track, are getting value for money, achieving aims" and making improvements where necessary. An email from a DFID junior official in response said that Mr Benn was in support of such a review.
- **730.** At the Iraq GCPP Strategy meeting on 7 April, it was reported that the final SCA would be produced within a week and would feed into a revised GCPP Strategy for 2005/06.<sup>674</sup> In addition, the team conducting the SSR review would depart for Basra on 14 April and report in May. It would only focus on GCPP-funded activity.
- **731.** The SCA's findings were discussed at the Iraq GCPP Strategy meeting on 28 April. <sup>675</sup> Concerns were raised about the quality of the report; amendments were necessary before it could be disseminated more widely. While the GCPP Strategy could draw on the SCA, it was not to be the only source used.
- **732.** Minutes from a meeting about Iraq policing and SSR on 28 April reported that an SSR review team would be giving feedback on their findings at DFID on 9 May.<sup>676</sup> It would have "both positive and negative aspects".
- **733.** The Government has been unable to provide any record of the SSR review team mission or of its conclusions, but understands that the views of the review team were expected to be incorporated into a report by DCC Smith examining the UK effort on policing in Iraq (described earlier in this Section).<sup>677</sup> The review also informed the revised GCPP Strategy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>669</sup> Report to Congress, 26 September 2008, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>670</sup> Camp Dublin was part of a US military installation near Baghdad.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>671</sup> Report to Congress, 26 September 2008, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>672</sup> Paper GCPP bid, [undated], 'Strategic Conflict Analysis (SCA)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>673</sup> Email DFID [junior official] to DFID [junior official], 2 March 2005, 'Review of policing work' attaching Paper 'Strategic Conflict Assessment – Iraq, Draft Report 27 December 2004'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>674</sup> Minutes, 7 April 2005, Iraq GCPP Strategy meeting.

<sup>675</sup> Minutes, 28 April 2005, Irag GCPP Strategy meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>676</sup> Minutes, 9 May 2005, 'Iraq Policing and Security Sector Reform – 28 April 2005'.

<sup>677</sup> eGram 4472/05, 13 May 2005, IPU to Baghdad, 'Iraq: Police Training'.

**734.** Minutes of the Iraq GCPP Strategy meeting on 3 August recorded that a draft of the GCPP Strategy had been circulated but the final version still needed to be "drawn together".<sup>678</sup>

**735.** The objectives outlined in the GCPP Strategy for 2005/06 remained similar to those in 2004/05 but were re-ordered and re-worded to reflect their "condensed scope" and the shift in prioritisation.<sup>679</sup> They were:

- Build the capacity of the security sector to prevent and manage conflict, with special emphasis on police and prisons.
- Support government and civil society institutions in preventing and resolving conflict.
- Promote good relations between groups inside Iraq."

**736.** It was identified that the 2004/05 Strategy had "proved too ambitious in the worsening security context" and therefore 2005/06 objectives had been "narrowed down" to reflect the difficulties surrounding implementation. Some of the SCA's recommendations would not be taken forward at that time, such as the recommendation "for more support to the ISF in favour of the IPS". The Strategy stated that the MOD had a "large budget" for that purpose. The recommendations of the SSR review had been accepted in their entirety.

### The Iraqi Transitional Government

**737.** On 24 March, Mr Straw sent his first report to Mr Blair on the AHMGI, which dealt with the first three meetings of the Group (described in more detail in Section 9.3). 680 On the political process Mr Straw wrote that messages to Iraqi contacts had emphasised "the importance of getting good people into the key security related Ministerial positions (Defence and Interior)" and of the "enormous damage that could be done to efforts at outreach by a significant renewal of the de-Ba'athification drive".

**738.** On 28 April, Prime Minister Designate Ibrahim al-Ja'afari presented the majority of his Cabinet to the Transitional National Assembly for ratification.<sup>681</sup> The new Minister of Interior was Mr Bayan Jabr and the new post of Minister of State for National Security was given to Mr Abdul Kareem Al-Anizi. Dr Sadoun Dulaimi was confirmed as the new Minister of Defence some days later.<sup>682</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>678</sup> Minutes, 3 August 2005, Iraq GCPP Strategy meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>679</sup> Paper, [undated], 'Iraq GCPP Strategy 05/06 Update'.

<sup>680</sup> Minute Straw to Prime Minister, 24 March 2005, 'Irag: Ad Hoc Ministerial Meetings'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>681</sup> eGram 3590/05 Baghdad to FCO London, 28 April 2005, 'Iraq: New Cabinet Ratified by the TNA'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>682</sup> Telegram 4430 Baghdad to FCO London, 12 May 2005, 'Iraq: Call on Minister of Defence, 12 May 2005'.

**739.** On 11 May, a JIC Assessment on the ISF stated:

"There is no coherent Iraqi counter-insurgency strategy and the balance of responsibility between the MOD and MOI and other government departments is undefined."683

**740.** Mr Blair spoke to Prime Minister Ja'afari for the first time on 26 May and said that "we stood ready to help in any way we could", in particular on developing the ISF.<sup>684</sup>

**741.** On 3 August, a junior official in Baghdad emailed FCO officials and No.10 to inform them that Prime Minister Ja'afari would announce a 12-point security plan the following day. The official described the intended announcement as "nothing particularly new", with the exception of a plan to co-ordinate intelligence, "neighbourhood watch", and a possible amnesty for political groups. The purpose of the plan was to structure activities that the government and MNF-I had been taking into measurable objectives with actions assigned to specific Ministers.

**742.** Following the announcement, the official told Mr Asquith that Prime Minister Ja'afari had been "ultra-cautious" and omitted "many of the details that were most interesting". He reported that the possible amnesty had been toned down to "national dialogue with those with whom a dialogue is possible".

**743.** The JIC reported on 12 October that:

"The Iraqi Transitional Government (ITG) has failed to develop a coherent national security strategy. This will not change in the short time remaining before the December election. Nor will there be a significant increase of capacity in the security ministries or development of intelligence capability. The need to establish a new Iraqi administration following the elections means that we are likely to see little momentum in these critical areas over much of the next year." 686

# Police and judicial reform

**744.** At the Ministerial Committee on Defence and Overseas Policy - Iraq (DOP(I)) on 16 June, there was a discussion of progress on police and judicial reform within Iraq.<sup>687</sup> The following points were raised:

"Having effective police would be one condition for achieving the successful drawdown of the coalition's military forces in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>683</sup> JIC Assessment, 11 May 2005, 'The Iragi Security Forces'.

<sup>684</sup> Letter Quarrey to Siddig, 26 May 2005, 'Irag: Prime Minister's Conversation with Ja'afari'.

<sup>685</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to various, 3 August 2005, 'Iraq Media Grp Mtg 3.00pm 3 August'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>686</sup> JIC Assessment, 12 October 2005, 'The Iraqi Security Forces: Very Slow Progress'.

<sup>687</sup> Minutes, 16 June 2005, DOP(I) meeting.

"The requirement in Iraq was for paramilitary style policing. A plan was now in place but it would take time to deliver. There was also a funding gap in the Global Conflict Prevention Pool that would need to be addressed."

**745.** On judicial reform, the minutes indicated that the discussion focused on the arrangements for the Iraqi Special Tribunal (IST) rather than on the wider criminal court system. The Committee agreed that the UK "needed to concentrate on seeing progress on the judicial process including the IST, and the police".

**746.** Mr Blair wrote to President Bush on 27 June, to share concerns raised with him by Ms Clwyd during her recent visit to Iraq.<sup>688</sup> On the IST, Mr Blair wrote:

"Our people are already working together on plans to help build the capacity of the Iraq Special Tribunal. We are making some progress, but there is much still to be done. A credible IST process which delivers – and is seen to deliver – justice for the appalling crimes of the previous regime will have major political impact ... We may need to make sure, however, that they do not rush to try the most serious cases before they are ready."

**747.** The discussion at DOP(I) on 7 July under the item "Progress on the Iraqi Special Tribunal (IST) and judicial issues" focused exclusively on the IST.<sup>689</sup>

### **Developing Iraq's intelligence organisations**

In April 2004, the Iraqi National Intelligence Service (INIS) had been established (see Box, 'An Iraqi intelligence service', earlier in this Section).<sup>690</sup>

On 15 July 2004, Prime Minister Allawi announced the creation of a new intelligence organisation – the General Security Directorate – that reported to the IMOD.<sup>691</sup>

General Luck's Review in January 2005 assessed the intelligence structures as very weak and in need of a fundamental overhaul. 692

In May 2005, the Criminal Intelligence Unit was established in Basra as part of an MOI project. It reported directly to the MOI and was structured similarly to the US FBI.<sup>693</sup>

The National Information and Intelligence Agency (NIIA) was re-named the National Information and Investigations Agency in September 2005.<sup>694</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>688</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Hadley, 27 June 2005, 'Iraq' attaching Note Blair to Bush, [undated], 'Note from the Prime Minister to President Bush'.

<sup>689</sup> Minutes, 8 July 2005, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>690</sup> Minute Dodd to Quarrey, 30 April 2004, 'Iraqi Security Force Capabilities'.

<sup>691</sup> BBC News, 15 July 2004, Iraqi PM vows to crush insurgents.

<sup>692</sup> Telegram 58 Baghdad to FCO London, 21 January 2005, 'Iraq: ISF Assessment Team Visit'.

<sup>693</sup> Email Innes to FCO [junior official], 4 July 2005, 'Basra: Police'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>694</sup> Minute Smith, 11 September 2005, 'Weekly Report – Week Ending Sunday 11th September 2005'.

#### On 11 May 2005, the JIC assessed:

"Iraqi intelligence organisations will be critical for success: they are developing but are still largely unproductive and unco-ordinated ... Provincial and local structures are also emerging. A number of these are under the control of rival militias and political groups; some are Iranian financed. The degree to which these organisations are able – or willing – to be absorbed into a national structure is unclear. The tensions between the Ministers of Defence and Interior, and the addition of a new Ministry of State for National Security, will complicate the issue." 695

#### On 12 October, the JIC assessed:

"Some progress has been made, including establishing central co-ordinating mechanisms ... There is some co-ordination between INIS and DGIS, but overall co-ordination remains poor. INIS is perceived by local politicians as run by the CIA; DGIS is making some progress but is undeveloped and under-resourced; and the MOI's relationship with other agencies remains difficult ..."696

On 6 September 2007, a report from the Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq stated:

"The level of information sharing and cooperation between the Iraqi intelligence community and the Iraqi Security Forces is not satisfactory – a problem exacerbated by bureaucratic competition and distrust among duplicative intelligence organisations." <sup>697</sup>

The report advocated low technology solutions, describing Iraq as "principally a human intelligence theatre of operations" and commended the TIPS hotline set up by UK police officers (see Box, 'TIPS hotline', earlier in this Section).

### Request for an "honest assessment"

**748.** On 21 July 2005, Mr Naworynsky forwarded to Mr Quarrey an update from Lieutenant General Robin Brims, now SBMR-I, on the ISF's progress.<sup>698</sup> Lt Gen Brims wrote:

- The Iraqi Army was "steadily building in confidence and competence" though units "were not yet able to conduct complex operations".
- The IPS was "lagging the Iraqi Army". Personnel were "of a doubtful quality" but plans were being implemented "to address these shortcomings". The "broad judgement" was that the IPS would "not fail when Multinational Forces step back, but we may be uneasy about their methods".
- The IMOD was "immature and struggling with implementation of its policies".

<sup>695</sup> JIC Assessment, 11 May 2005, 'The Iragi Security Forces'.

<sup>696</sup> JIC Assessment, 12 October 2005, 'The Iragi Security Forces: Very Slow Progress'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>697</sup> Report, 6 September 2007, 'Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>698</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Quarrey, 21 July 2005, 'Update on Progress of Iraqi Security Forces' attaching Paper 20 July 2005, 'Update on Progress of Iraqi Security Forces'.

**749.** Mr Roger Cornish, MOD Deputy Director Iraq, wrote to Mr Ingram's Private Secretary on 10 August with a draft note on ISF capacity-building. He wrote that, having read Lt Gen Brims' report, Mr Blair had asked for further advice, giving "greater clarity on ISF capacity-building. Specifically: exploring the detail beneath headline numbers; discussing the delivery of equipment and training; assessing the Iraqi command structure; and an honest assessment of the progress of Iraqiisation."

**750.** Dr John Reid became Defence Secretary in May 2005. Dr Reid sent Mr Cornish's note to Mr Blair on 28 August, advising that "numerically, generation of ISF remains on track, but significant development in key capability areas is still needed". The problem areas were:

- the ability of IMOD forces to direct and sustain independent operations;
- equipment maintenance and effective command and control;
- IMOD's financial management, acquisition and logistics; and
- IPS's progress its capability thought to be 12 months behind the Iraqi Army.

**751.** Dr Reid wrote that those issues were being addressed but that "with the focus on quantity rather than quality, this inevitably will take time". On the political control of the ISF by the Iraqi Government, he stated:

"... the succession of short term 'power sharing' governments has not created the conditions for the generation of coherent policies ... Armed militias are a reality and cannot be ignored; both the Kurds and Shia have them and their presence is implicit in the form of local militias to protect businesses mentioned in PM Ja'afari's twelve-point security statement. We must beware that the ISF we are creating does not migrate into yet more locally owned militias."

**752.** The IPS remained "riven with bribery, corruption, intimidation and politicisation" and Special Police Commandos had been "linked to human rights abuses and extra judicial killings". Dr Reid wrote:

"Across Iraq, the Rule of Law is hampered by institutional fragility in the police and criminal justice system. Shortcomings in basic infrastructure, equipment, training and specialist capabilities such as forensics continue to limit IPS performance."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>699</sup> Minute Cornish to PS/Minister (AF), 10 August 2005, 'Update on the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) and the Process of Iraqiisation'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>700</sup> Minute Reid to Blair, 28 August 2005, 'Update on Progress of the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF)'.

## **Progress on disbanding militias**

A report to Congress in July 2005 stated that, under CPA Order No.91, nine militias were to be integrated into the ISF.<sup>701</sup> Of those nine, only the Kurdistan Democratic Party, the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan Peshmergas and the Badr Organisation remained as "significant entities". The other six organisations had either disbanded or been "assigned to personal security details". JAM was not part of the integration process as it was viewed as a potential insurgent organisation rather than a militia. The report assessed:

"The ITG and its predecessor have had some success in integrating militias into the ISF, but militia elements integrated into the ISF typically remain within pre-existing organisational structures and retain their original loyalties or affiliations."

The nature of the insurgency was discussed at the DOP(I) on 26 May.<sup>702</sup> Mr William Ehrman, Chairman of the JIC, said that it was looking likely that elements of JAM would be absorbed into the ISF. In discussion, concerns were raised about the Minister and the Ministry of Interior, with rumours that the MOI was sanctioning sectarian attacks.

A JIC Assessment on 12 October stated:

"The issue of militias and their incorporation into the ISF has still not been resolved ... In the absence of an effective local ISF, the MOD with MNF support has begun to recruit a Sunni tribal militia in Anbar province to help deal with AQ. In both Shia and Sunni areas of Baghdad there have been calls for local militias to be raised to improve security. We judge the perpetuation of militia forces, on ethnic, tribal, or political lines, carries significant risks for the future."

**753.** Dr Reid's letter to the Prime Minister on 28 August 2005 made clear that the original timescale for the completion of the Petraeus Plan (mid-2006) was not achievable. The number of trained and equipped IMOD forces was "just below 80,000" and would "reach full authorised strength (currently 106,000) in November 2006". MOI force numbers were "just over 95,000" and "should reach full strength (193,500) in 2007".

**754.** A JIC Assessment about the ISF on 12 October reported that the forces had "again expanded rapidly": the Iraqi Armed Forces stood at 91,000 personnel and MOI forces 106,000 personnel.<sup>705</sup> The JIC cautioned that those figures did not take account of absenteeism or "provide an indication of true capability". MNF planners foresaw a continued need for substantial MNF forces, capable of conducting combat operations,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>701</sup> Report to Congress, July 2005, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>702</sup> Minutes, 26 May 2005, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>703</sup> JIC Assessment, 12 October 2005, 'The Iraqi Security Forces: Very Slow Progress'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>704</sup> Minute Reid to Blair, 28 August 2005, 'Update on Progress of the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>705</sup> JIC Assessment, 12 October 2005, 'The Iragi Security Forces: Very Slow Progress'.

to support the ISF until the end of 2007, and for advisory teams at least until 2009. Assessing those targets, the JIC judged:

"... the ISF and MNF together have been unable to contain the level of violence, which continues to grow. If the insurgency persists at anywhere near current levels, these timeframes will be unachievable, at least in Baghdad and the Sunni heartlands."

**755.** A report to Congress on 13 October stated that IMOD force generation was due to be complete by late 2006 and MOI force generation complete by August 2007.<sup>706</sup>

## **Assessing readiness for Provincial Iraqi Control**

On 27 September 2005, an IPU official wrote to Mr Straw with details of the Joint Committee to Transfer Security Responsibility (JCTSR). The JCTSR had been established in July and tasked with establishing the conditions to permit transfer of security responsibility to the Iraqi civilian authorities. Membership included the Iraqi Interior and Defence Ministers, the National Security Adviser, the UK and the US Ambassadors and the Commander and Deputy Commander of MNF-I.

The Committee published its conditions for transferring security responsibility to an Iraqi civilian authority on 10 October 2005.<sup>708</sup> Those fell into four categories for both urban and provincial areas:

- Threat assessment: MOI, IMOD, MNF-I and the National Intelligence
  Coordination Council (NICC) assess the threat from terrorist/insurgents as
  low, and steady or on a downward trend determined by the IMOD, MOI and
  MNF-I. For provincial areas, the threat to critical infrastructure and lines of
  communication should also be assessed as low.
- Iraqi Security Forces readiness: The IPS has capacity (at TRA level 2 [TRA levels are explained in Box, 'Provincial Iraqi Control']) to maintain domestic order and prevent the resurgence of terrorism. The Iraqi Army are able to respond to requests for assistance from the city and able to contain the insurgency in the provinces with appropriate support.
- Governance: The Governor must be capable of overseeing security operations
  in the urban area and province, as assessed by the IMOD, MOI, Ministry of
  Justice, the Ministry of Human Rights, the US Embassy and MNF-I. The PJCC
  must be operational and co-ordinating operations and there must be systems in
  place for detention, trial and incarceration under Iraqi law.
- Coalition forces: must maintain the capability to reinforce if ISF capabilities
  are exceeded; co-ordinate civil construction activities; provide support and
  force protection for Transition Teams; and retain freedom of movement and the
  capability to conduct counter-terrorism operations.

<sup>707</sup> Minute IPU [junior official] to Straw, 27 September 2005, 'Iraq: Transition: The Joint Committee to Transfer Security Responsibility'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>706</sup> Report to Congress, 13 October 2005, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>708</sup> Paper Republic of Iraq National Security Council, 10 October 2005, 'Joint Committee to Transfer Security Responsibility'.

### Corruption, infiltration and abuse

**756.** Despite improvements in size and performance of the ISF, concerns about corruption, infiltration and abuse continued to grow during 2005. The incident on 19 September at the Jameat Police Station in Basra is described later in this Section.

**757.** In its 12 October Assessment, the JIC reported that both the IMOD and the MOI were "dysfunctional, with their capacities developing very slowly if at all" and that neither could "administer their forces effectively". <sup>709</sup> Units were unpaid and unsupplied for significant periods and nepotism was ingrained.

**758.** The JIC repeated its warnings about the IPS, judging:

"Elements of the ISF, primarily those under Ministry of Interior (MOI) control, are involved in sectarian violence. This is fuelling broader tensions across Iraq.

"The Iraqi police are a particular concern. They often suffer from divided loyalties and a significant number are involved in criminality for financial gain. Their command and control mechanisms remain confused, as does the exact relationship between local police and the MOI in Baghdad."

**759.** The JIC reported that some senior Iraqi politicians viewed MOI paramilitary units as "a particular problem: they are seen as a Shia force and as perpetrating a campaign of violence against Sunnis".

**760.** On 25 October, Mr Blair and President Bush held a video conference between London, Washington and Baghdad. Mr Straw and a number of officials and military officers were in attendance. They discussed Iraqiisation. Mr Blair said that the development of the police seemed to be lagging behind that of the army and asked what more could be done. He also asked how important were the Ministries of Interior and Defence. He was told that a major effort was required with the police in 2006 and that the Ministries were crucial. Lieutenant General Nicholas Houghton, SBMR-I from October 2005 to March 2006, said that the problem with the police was not limited to their quantity and quality; there was also an issue with the commitment to national goals. Strong national leadership was required at the political level.

**761.** In response to a question from President Bush about the situation in the South, Sir William Patey, British Ambassador to Iraq, stated that the political process had exposed deep divisions within the Shia and that those had impacted on local government. He warned of "local turf wars", declining consent for the MNF, and Iranian interference. He stated that the police were key and training efforts needed to be stepped up. Lt Gen Houghton said that the situation in the South remained much calmer than in other parts of the country. Progress had been made on SSR and the South might well be able to lead the process of security transition.

<sup>709</sup> JIC Assessment, 12 October 2005, 'The Iraqi Security Forces: Very Slow Progress'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>710</sup> Letter Quarrey to Siddiq, 25 October 2005, 'Iraq: London/Washington/Baghdad VTC'.

### Responsibility for the security ministries moves to MNSTC-I

A report to Congress on 13 October 2005 stated that the US Embassy Iraq and MNF-I had "recently agreed" to assign responsibility for the Iraqi security ministries' development to MNSTC-I with effect from 1 October 2005.<sup>711</sup>

A paper produced by the MOD for DOP(I) on 15 November stated:

"MNSTC-I has overall responsibility for providing assistance ... to the IG [Iraqi Government] in the development of the MOD and MOI. This helps to generate some short-term capacity assistance; however, the UK must use its senior representation within this Command to help the Iraqis build indigenous capacity within the security sector. This can be achieved through full manning of agreed liaison posts throughout the IG and recognising the need for local participation and ownership at all stages of the capacity-building project."

An eGram from Baghdad on 2 November reported that merging efforts on MOI reform "should combine IRMO civilian expertise with MNSTC-I military resources and manpower". The "bedding-in process [was] still under way" and "some tension between the civilian element and the military" remained.

In his weekly report of 1 January 2006, DCC Smith stated that military personnel were gradually replacing police officers in key CPATT roles.<sup>714</sup> He cited the upcoming vacancy for a Senior IPLO Advisor post at CPATT as "a further opportunity for Senior UK influence" and that if the UK was to embed officers into CPATT, it was "essential" that it include a senior strategic position or the UK would "simply be providing more 'indians'". DCC Smith was "not convinced" that the US would allow the post to be taken by a "non-American". He described "a weakening morale among IPLO colleagues and … increased military encroachment".

A report to Congress on 26 May reported that MNSTC-I had awarded a contract to provide civilian experts to help build organisational capacity by working alongside Iraqi officials in the IMOD and MOI in February that year.<sup>715</sup>

An eGram was sent from Baghdad on 8 September about the development of the MOI and progress of the GCPP-funded project (as described earlier in this Section).<sup>716</sup> It said that a new structure for the MOI was "now more or less in place", although there were still insufficient systems in place to reduce corruption and staff had difficulties delegating tasks because they lacked the understanding about how responsibility should be matched with accountability and authority.

The eGram acknowledged that capacity-building was a long-term process but that "measurable progress" had been made. Collaboration with MNSTC-I had been "very good" and represented "an example of how civilian (DFID) and military (MNSTC-I) efforts can effectively complement each other".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>711</sup> Report to Congress, 13 October 2005, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>712</sup> Paper MOD, 11 November 2005, 'Strategy for the UK's Contribution to Iraq Security'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>713</sup> eGram 17261/05 Baghdad to FCO, 2 November 2005, 'Irag: Ministry of the Interior and Policing'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>714</sup> Minute Smith, 1 January 2006, 'UK Chief Police Advisor – Iraq: Weekly Report: Week Ending Sunday 1<sup>st</sup> Jan 2006'.

<sup>715</sup> Report to Congress, 26 May 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Irag.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>716</sup> eGram 39420/06 Baghdad to FCO, 8 September 2006, 'Iraq: Institutional Development in the Ministry of Interior'.

### THE JADIRIYAH BUNKER

- **762.** On 13 November, the US military visited an MOI-controlled detention facility in Baghdad, known as the Jadiriyah bunker, to facilitate the release of a detainee. Upon entering the facility they discovered "around 170 detainees" in an emaciated state. Instruments of torture, including belts, rubber hoses, electrical cable and truncheons were recovered and there was evidence of links to the Badr Corps militia.
- **763.** The following day, Gen Casey and Mr David Satterfield, the US Deputy Chief of Mission, made a strong demarche<sup>718</sup> to Mr Jabr, and demanded both a full criminal investigation and a nationwide audit and inspection of MOI facilities conducted by Iraqi and US officials.
- **764.** In the Chairman's brief for DOP(I) to be held on 15 November, Mr Blair was advised that Mr Patey should raise the issue "in the strongest terms" with Prime Minister Ja'afari and Mr Jabr.<sup>719</sup>
- **765.** A note from an IPU official to Mr Straw on 22 November stated that Prime Minister Ja'afari had announced on 15 November that a full investigation into the matter would be held.<sup>720</sup>
- **766.** The official advised Mr Straw that the Embassy had "first picked up suspicions about maltreatment" at the facility on 4 and 5 September in "an uncorroborated informant report to a police adviser". The Embassy's Senior Police Adviser had accompanied the US military to the location on 24 October but saw no evidence of abuse in the areas where he was allowed access. The official wrote that before follow-up action could be taken, the US had "stumbled" upon the mis-treated detainees.
- **767.** There were indications that Mr Jabr had "been in direct contact with MOI operatives at the Bunker" and that there were "suspicions of other illegal detention centres". The media had reported Mr Jabr was "playing down the incident significantly".
- **768.** The IPU would "instruct Baghdad to maintain pressure" on Prime Minister Ja'afari to address the issues.
- **769.** Mr Straw issued a statement the same day, welcoming this decision and condemning illegal detention and torture.

<sup>717</sup> Telegram 18170 Baghdad to FCO London, 14 November 2005, 'Iraq: Detainees Found in Bunker'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>718</sup> Demarche is the term used to describe a protest by diplomats.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>719</sup> Letter Cabinet Office [junior official] to Prime Minister, 14 November 2005, 'DOP(I) – Chairman's Brief'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>720</sup> Minute IPU [junior official] to Foreign Secretary, 22 November 2005, 'Iraq: Abuse at Ministry of Interior Detention Facility – follow up'.

**770.** On 27 November, *The Observer* published an article on human rights abuses in Iraq, based on an interview with Former Prime Minister Ayad Allawi.<sup>721</sup> It quoted him as saying:

"The Ministry of the Interior is at the heart of the matter. I am not blaming the Minister himself, but the rank and file are behind the secret dungeons and some of the executions that are taking place."

- 771. The IPU was concerned that the investigation would slip in the run-up to elections, and advised Mr Straw on 2 December to reiterate the importance of its progress during a telephone call with Prime Minister Ja'afari. The IPU had "received indications" that a representative on the investigation committee and an Iraqi Minister were "trying to whitewash the report". Concurrently, the IPU was considering with the US and the MOD "the possibility of taking direct MNF-I action" in other suspected locations of abuse.
- 772. The IPU raised concerns about the delay in the investigation again with Mr Straw on 7 December. A note by a junior official advised Mr Straw to raise the matter with Dr Rice during their bilateral meeting that day. The note said that no action had been taken, other than two meetings of Deputy Prime Minister Rosch Shaway's committee.
- **773.** As well as continuing to apply pressure for a report to be delivered, the official advised Mr Straw to suggest "snap inspections" of other locations, which ideally would be Iraqi-led, but could be led by MNF-I if necessary.
- 774. An eGram sent from the British Embassy on 17 January 2006 stated that there was "still no sign of any report". The Shaway had spoken to the US on 16 January and had blamed the delay on Shia and Badr members appointed to the Commission by Prime Minister Ja'afari and difficulties in working around the election and local holidays. He had told the US that he intended to report in between 10 and 14 days.

#### **775.** The eGram also stated that:

- There had been three unannounced inspections of detention facilities by joint Iraqi/MNF-I forces. The US/MNF-I selected the sites but Iraqi officials led the investigations.
- Details had been provided about two of the inspections, one facility had 234 detainees claiming abuse (though mostly from previous facilities and not within the last two months), and the other held 13 detainees and was "of a fairly good standard".

<sup>721</sup> The Observer, 27 November 2005, Abuse worse than under Saddam, says Iraqi leader.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>722</sup> Minute IPU [junior official] to Foreign Secretary, 2 December 2005, 'Iraq: Secretary of State's Telephone Conversation with Iraqi Prime Minister Ibrahim Ja'afari'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>723</sup> Minute Paterson to Foreign Secretary, 7 December 2005, 'Iraq: Foreign Secretary – Secretary Rice Speaking Note'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>724</sup> eGram 978/06, Baghdad to FCO, 17 January 2006, 'Iraq: Detainee Abuse Update'.

- The US planned to conduct one unannounced search per week, starting the following week.
- The Judicial Commission was carrying out a separate investigation, and was working through the case files of every detainee in Jadiriyah.
- Supreme Council for Islamic Revolution in Iraq (SCIRI)/Badr had begun to "push back" against accusations of detainee abuse.

**776.** In early 2006, the MOI began establishing an abuse complaint process system involving the Inspector General, Internal Affairs and a Public Affairs Office. <sup>725</sup> Detention was a particular cause of concern. The DoD's May 2006 Report to Congress stated:

"Many human rights violations occur at detention centres because the centres have inadequate facilities. The centres have no places to shower, pray, or prepare food; plumbing and electrical systems are substandard. Furthermore, the police are not trained as jailers ... To date the Joint Iraqi Inspection Committee, consisting of Iraqi Inspectors General from various ministries, supported by the US Embassy and MNF-I, has inspected seven facilities."

777. A junior official at the British Embassy Washington emailed IPU on 17 March with a summary of a meeting with US officials.<sup>726</sup> She noted that it had been agreed that the UK and US should confront Prime Minister Ja'afari about any efforts to suppress evidence from the Jadiriyah bunker report.

778. Dr Reid visited Iraq from 17 to 20 March.<sup>727</sup> A report of his visit from his Private Office on 21 March referred to a meeting with Mr Zalmay Khalilzad, the US Ambassador to Iraq, in which Dr Reid was told that the US investigation into the Jadiriyah facility had "concluded terrible abuses had taken place and that senior figures were likely to have been aware of them". A copy of the US investigation report had gone to Prime Minister Ja'afari but "nothing seemed to have come of it", although they said they had not "pushed that hard".

**779.** The minutes of DOP(I) on 30 March recorded that Dr Kim Howells, FCO Minister, had stated:

"... the UK and the US had to press Ja'afari to publish an unexpurgated version of the report into abuse by the Ministry of Interior at the Jadiriyah bunker, and be ready to press the new Iraqi Government to take action against those responsible ... Our legacy could not be to construct an edifice in Iraq based on human rights abuses." <sup>728</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>725</sup> Report to Congress, 26 May 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>726</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to FCO [junior official], 17 March 2006, 'Briefing for DCDS(C) – Iraq – Detainees and Abuse'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>727</sup> Minute APS/SoS [MOD] to PS/Policy Director [MOD], 21 March 2006, 'Secretary of State's visit to Iraq'.

<sup>728</sup> Minutes, 30 March 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

**780.** Dr Reid, who chaired the meeting, concluded that it was "critical" to the UK's objectives that the Iraqi security forces were non-sectarian; officials "should work urgently on an action plan and messages for use with the US ... and an incoming Iraqi Government".

**781.** On 20 April, an email from Mr Straw's Private Secretary to a junior FCO official stated that Ms Clwyd had raised the delayed publication of the Iraqi investigation's report with Mr Straw that morning: "She asked what we could do to force the publication of the report."

**782.** A junior official in Baghdad relayed a telephone conversation between Ms Clwyd and Mr Patey.<sup>730</sup> Mr Patey said:

- Mr Shaways had passed Mr Patey a copy of the report on 19 April.
- The report was consistent with the US report but was "not as hard-hitting in implicating those involved".
- It had been sent to Prime Minister Ja'afari but was only signed by Mr Shaways (and not other members of the committee).
- There was no indication that it would be released or acted upon until a government was formed, after which recommendations could be made public.
- The report would not damage Mr Ja'afari but "would be seen as an attack on SCIRI".

**783.** Mr Patey also said that other work was in hand on detainee abuse: the bunker was closed, spot-inspections continued, and the UK was working to remove SCIRI's influence on the MOI. It wanted to stop the MOI running detention facilities in the longer term. Ms Clwyd "seemed broadly content with this explanation".

**784.** On 5 May 2006, Mrs Margaret Beckett became Foreign Secretary. On 9 June, an IPU official advised her that "serious abuse and torture", including of juveniles, had been discovered at another detention facility ("Site 4") on 30 May.<sup>731</sup> Mr Khalilzad had taken "swift action" with the newly elected Prime Minister, Mr Nuri al-Maliki, "pressing him to take public action" and to revisit the Jadiriyah bunker incident. Mr Al-Mailki's response was "positive"; he committed to establishing a committee to investigate the Site 4 incident.

**785.** On 19 September, an eGram from Mr Dominic Asquith, now British Ambassador to Iraq, reported that investigations into Jadiriyah and Site 4 abuse were still ongoing.<sup>732</sup> He had met Mr Hashim Al-Shibli, Iraqi Minister of Justice, the previous day, who had

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>729</sup> Email Private Secretary/SofS [FCO] to FCO [junior official], 20 April 2006, 'Clwyd: Mol Bunker'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>730</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to FCO [junior official], 20 April 2006, 'Clwyd: Mol Bunker'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>731</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to Foreign Secretary, 9 June 2006, 'Torture at an Iraqi Ministry of Interior Detention Facility'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>732</sup> eGram 40974/06, Baghdad to FCO, 19 September 2006, 'Iraq: Investigations in HR abuses at Site 4 and Jadriyah'.

been "evasive about when prosecutions would happen". Mr Asquith had encouraged Mr Al-Shibli to push for unannounced inspections to be resumed, despite the Minister's resistance on the basis that security conditions made it difficult.

**786.** Mr Asquith considered Mr Al-Shibli "honest and aware of the problems", but that he had a "weak political base" and an "inability to confront effectively the powerful vested interests behind the MOI".

### 2006 as the "Year of the Police"

**787.** An eGram from an FCO official in Baghdad on 2 November 2005 reported that Gen Casey had designated 2006 as the "Year of the Police", recognising that "a national police force that can help enforce the Rule of Law [was] vital to any exit strategy". <sup>733</sup>

**788.** The official wrote that UK police officers were embedded within CPATT and providing a mentor to the Minister of Policing. The UK military had influential positions in CPATT and MNSTC-I. The official wrote that the US had indicated they wanted the UK to "do more" in discrete areas, such as forensics. They stated that another possibility was to embed a high-ranking police officer in CPATT to help direct strategic development but "the key remains the quality as well as the quantity of civilian staff we are able to deploy to Iraq".

**789.** Gen Walker visited Iraq from 22 to 23 November 2005.<sup>734</sup> He stated:

"ISF development across Iraq is seen to be on track. For most, this includes the IPS (by design the IPS plan delivers more slowly than that for the Army)."

**790.** The MOD produced two papers for DOP(I) on 20 December.<sup>735</sup> The first was an update on progress of Iraqiisation. It stated:

- development of the Iraqi Army remained "on track" for the fully funded and trained figure of 130,000 by December 2006;
- the Iraqi Police were making an "increasingly significant contribution" but were behind the Iraqi Army in development terms;
- malign militia influence, incompetent personnel and weak national control were issues that needed to be addressed by the new government;
- the Department of Border Enforcement (DBE) was due to reach full strength by May 2006; and
- the Iraqi Navy was a "success story" that risked being undermined by the failure of IMOD to provide a suitable acquisition programme.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>733</sup> eGram 17261/05 Baghdad to FCO, 2 November 2005, 'Iraq: Ministry of the Interior and Policing'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>734</sup> Minute CDS to SofS [MOD], 25 November 2005, 'CDS's Visit to Iraq 22-23 Nov 05'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>735</sup> Agenda, 19 December 2005, DOP(I) meeting attaching Paper MOD, [undated], 'Update on Progress on Iraqiisation' and Paper MOD, [undated], 'Ensuring the Iraqis are Ready for a Handover of Security Responsibility'.

- **791.** The second paper was entitled "Ensuring the Iraqis are Ready for a Handover of Security Responsibility". It highlighted two high level areas where there was cause for concern:
  - the output of the Ministries their ability to command, control and sustain their security forces, with control of the Chiefs of Police being a "major issue"; and
  - the proper government control of the Ministries.
- **792.** The paper stated that the coalition had "got what we resourced": an increasing number of officers on the ground but no overarching leadership and control from the Ministries to which they were responsible. Action in the first 100 days of government was seen as "essential". MNSTC-I was developing a plan on that basis, with a surge in resources:
  - "... MOI advisers up from 76 to 113; MOD advisers up from 45 ... to 103. It is envisaged that military, civilian and contractors will be used to fill civilian slots."
- **793.** The paper made a number of recommendations, including that consideration should be given to increasing the number of UK security sector advisers (to "include some 'doers' in IMOD") and measures to strengthen government control including bolstering the MCNS.
- **794.** The minutes of the meeting indicated that those recommendations were not explicitly addressed.<sup>736</sup>
- **795.** On 23 December, Mr Blair sent a Note to President Bush. 737 On Iragiisation, he wrote:

"I was surprised people were more upbeat than I expected (and most important the ordinary soldiers working alongside Iraqis). The two clear messages were: the vital nature of leadership of the MOI and MOD; and 2006 being the year of the police. There may also be equipment issues with the military and the police."

**796.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Gen Sir Nicholas Houghton said:

"It is quite difficult to compartmentalise the aspiration and the optimism of late 2005 ... the realities of the true state of the police were more dawning realities, as we moved into 2006, where some of the ... problems about death squads, torture dens, the degree of militia infiltration of police, both nationally and locally in Basra, became more evident, and I think that probably it was the policing issue that lay on the critical path to most of the conditionality for effective transition.

"... 2006 was to be the year of the police, so it is not as if we were not aware of the fact that this was the critical problem.

<sup>736</sup> Minutes, 20 December 2005, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>737</sup> Letter Quarrey to O'Sullivan, 23 December 2005, 'Iraq' attaching <u>'Note Prime Minister to President Bush'</u>.

"But I think that the degree of the problem, just recalling another sense of the time, is that we could pour significant resource into ... training the police and in the quantity of their generation but we never had the ability to command their loyalty at a local level or a national level.

"This is where you probably get into the dark business of the degree to which police loyalties were affected by political loyalties, links to criminality and corruption, and I don't think that we had a full understanding of that at the back end of 2005. That was more revealed to us incrementally, as 2006 ensued."<sup>738</sup>

**797.** In his weekly report of 1 January 2006, DCC Smith wrote about the "Year of the Police": "... the strap line that 'just enough is good enough' is, whilst probably realistic, not particularly encouraging". He wrote that it could be "a defining factor in the development of an effective Iraqi Police Service". <sup>739</sup>

## The Iraqi border police

The Department of Border Enforcement (DBE) was established in 2003.<sup>740</sup> A report to Congress on 7 March 2008 described the DBE as having two key missions:

- Ports of Entry (POE) policing to ensure the smooth transition of legal goods and persons; and
- to interdict illegal traffic including smuggling and movement of terrorists and foreign fighters within and between POE.

Securing Iraq's border was a considerable task – in total Iraq has 2,268 miles of land border and 36 miles of coastline.<sup>741</sup>

In June 2004, Maj Gen Houghton described the DBE as "successfully ... reconstituted with over 19,000 Iraqis now in roles as border police, customs officers, immigration officers and nationality and passport officers".<sup>742</sup>

The first border police graduated in September 2004, after receiving training in Jordan. 743

AM Torpy visited Iraq from 13 to 19 February 2005.<sup>744</sup> On DBE, he commented:

"The DBE in MND(SE) has advanced considerably since my last visit and are now an effective force, with a good system of refurbished forts along the Iranian, Kuwaiti and Saudi borders."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>738</sup> Public hearing, 5 January 2010, pages 12-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>739</sup> Minute Smith, 1 January 2006, 'UK Chief Police Advisor – Iraq: Weekly Report: Week Ending Sunday 1<sup>st</sup> Jan 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>740</sup> Report to Congress, 7 March 2008, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>741</sup> Report, 6 September 2007, 'Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>742</sup> Minute ACDS(Ops) to Rycroft, June 2004, 'How Best to Progress the Iraqiisation of the Security Sector'.

Wright DP & Reese TR. On Point II: Transition to the New Campaign – The United States Army in Operation IRAQI FREEDOM May 2003 – January 2005. Combined Studies Institute Press, June 2008.
 Minute CJO to CDS, 22 February 2005, 'CJO Visit Report – Iraq – 13 to 19 Feb 05'.

In a report to Congress on 13 October 2005, the DoD assessed:

"The decentralised and dispersed nature of this force has fostered an environment in which corruption, "ghost" employees [employees on the payroll but not presenting for duty], and absent without leave rates remain a significant concern."<sup>745</sup>

**798.** DCC Smith completed his tour of Iraq in April 2006.<sup>746</sup> He described a number of difficulties experienced during his tour in his end of mission report, including:

- lack of an agreed, resourced strategy: "There was not a shortage of ... plans ...
  What has been lacking for three years is a will and an organisational capability
  to develop, and act upon, such a strategy";
- confusion over command of various personnel involved in policing: "Even the Review conducted by Sir Ronnie Flanagan [HM Chief Inspector of Constabulary; the Review is described later in this Section] ... failed to provide a clear statement", with the security situation making a military lead inevitable in 2006; and
- prioritisation of scarce resources: he highlighted a number of areas where the UK could have played a significant role but were unable to resource initiatives either through funding or appropriate personnel.

### The rise in sectarianism

**799.** Elections were held in December 2005 but it was not until May 2006 that the Iraqi Government was formed (see Section 9.4). As the period of time taken to form a new government extended, British military commanders in Iraq observed an increase in violence.<sup>747</sup>

**800.** A report to Congress on 30 November 2006 stated that Sunni and Shia "death squads" were responsible for the significant increase in sectarian violence in Iraq.<sup>748</sup> Those were defined as "armed groups that conduct extra-judicial killings; formed from terrorists, militias, illegal armed groups, and – in some cases – elements of the ISF".

**801.** During his visit to Baghdad in March 2006, Dr Reid had raised the role of the militia with a number of interlocutors. Mr Sa'adoun al-Dulaimi, Iraqi Minister for Defence, reported that he felt under pressure to incorporate sectarian militias into the IMOD, noting that "the Ministry of the Interior seemed completely open to the integration of militias". Mr Jabr commented that "too many old regime staff had been brought back in" and "could not simply be removed".

<sup>747</sup> Public hearing Houghton and Style, 5 January 2010, pages 8-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>745</sup> Report to Congress, 13 October 2005, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>746</sup> Report Smith, May 2006, 'End of Mission Report'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>748</sup> Report to Congress, 30 November 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>749</sup> Minute APS/Secretary of State [MOD] to PS/Policy Director [MOD], 21 March 2006, 'Secretary of State's visit to Iraq'.

### 802. A JIC Assessment on sectarianism in Iraq on 5 April stated:

"The MNF and Iraqi security forces (ISF) have been able to constrain only some of the violence. After the Samarra mosque bombing, MNF reporting indicated the Iraqi Army proved effective in many areas, deploying in numbers to protect both Sunni and Shia mosques. Some local police units also performed well, although the Ministry of the Interior (MOI) itself was sluggish in its response. The imposition of a curfew and a large security force presence probably deterred some violence. But the picture is patchy: in some Shia areas of Baghdad, militias were allowed free rein.

"The most focused and disciplined anti-Sunni campaign is being run by SCIRI's Badr organisation. Since the formation of the interim government, SCIRI has systematically embedded Badr members and units into the state security structures, particularly the MOI where they control intelligence and some paramilitary units. Forces under the control of the Ministry of Defence, with its close ties to MNF, have proved less penetrable ..." <sup>750</sup>

**803.** On 20 April, Lt Gen Fry, now SBMR-I, was asked by Mr Blair to give DOP(I) his assessment of the capacity of the Iraqi Army and police, and what impact the new government would have.<sup>751</sup> He stated that progress with the army was relatively positive, but progress with the police was less good; the "weakness and sectarian bias of the Ministry of Interior" had "exacerbated" problems. He judged that "the key challenge … remained to bring in the Sunnis".

**804.** In discussion at DOP(I) the following points were raised:

- the UK should not underestimate the sectarian character of the ISF, the new government would need to tackle this issue actively; and
- the UK had to be realistic about how possible it would be to develop non-sectarian forces at that stage in Iraq's development.

### SSR in the South: summer 2004 to summer 2006

**805.** On 15 July 2004, the FCO produced a paper considering the options for the commitment of UK police officers in southern Iraq after handover of sovereignty to the IIG.<sup>752</sup> The FCO recommended maintaining current staffing levels until mid-2005, which could mean extending ArmorGroup's contract and a further roulement<sup>753</sup> of UK police trainers. That would recognise "that SSR remains a main effort for the UK" and mirrored current MNSTC-I and CPATT projections on the completion of IPS training. The paper noted that "the UK would have a diminishing influence if we were to withdraw before IPS training was complete".

<sup>750</sup> JIC Assessment, 5 April 2006, 'Iraq: Sectarianism'.

<sup>751</sup> Minutes, 20 April 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>752</sup> Paper FCO, 15 July 2004, 'Iraq Southern Provinces – Future UK Civilian Police Commitment'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>753</sup> A roulement is the deployment of forces, especially for short periods of duty.

806. On 29 August, Lt Gen McColl reported that Prime Minister Allawi had decided to appoint an Iraqi Military Commander for southern Iraq – initially for Basra and Maysan.<sup>754</sup> The Military Commander would have command of all the ISF in the area and be answerable to a committee in Baghdad, made up of the Prime Minister and the Ministers of the Interior and Defence. Lt Gen McColl's view was that there was "plenty of scope for friction" between the local Military Commander's HQ and those of the GOC MND(SE), now Major General William Rollo, who was reported to be concerned about the introduction of "another layer of approval and consultation before forces can be deployed".

807. On 2 September, Maj Gen Rollo reported that Prime Minister Allawi was considering the appointment of General Rachash as Military Commander of the South-East. Maj Gen Rollo had concerns about Gen Rachash's views on the need to consult provincial Governors and the lack of legal basis for his appointment. 755

## Security restrictions on UK police officers

On 26 September 2004, a report from Mr Robert Davies, Chief Police Adviser to the MOI, stated that the FCO's Overseas Security Adviser had directed UK police staff not to travel in Snatch Land Rovers because of inadequate armour (see Section 14.1).756 Mr Davies wrote:

"This direction places a significant limitation on the deployment of our staff. The role of mentors requires them to be able to travel frequently to see their chiefs of police. The essence of their role and indeed, the role of the International Police Advisers, needs them to go to the stations. The appropriate protection could be provided by a team from the Control Risk Group, but there are insufficient numbers to meet our requirement."

In March 2005, DCC Smith wrote to Mr Stuart Innes, British Consul General Basra, about travel and movement protection in Basra.757 He raised concerns that UK police officers were subject to an "inflexible and restrictive" policy that was "likely to hamper the conduct of work in the next 12 months". In particular, UK police officers could only travel overland by vehicle if accompanied by a team of bodyguards. That was during daylight hours only and with 24 hours' notice. However, ArmorGroup and Dyncorp officers were able to travel by military vehicles, unaccompanied and without such tight restrictions.

DCC Smith stated that, as the policing effort moved from classroom-based training to mentoring and monitoring at IPS stations, officers would need more flexible travel arrangements. He recommended that UK police officers should have the option, with additional training if required, to operate on the same basis as the International Police Advisers (IPAs). He also suggested supplying UK police officer secondees with enhanced weapons, also with additional training.

<sup>754</sup> Minute McColl to CDS and CJO, 29 August 2004, 'Report 126 of 29 August 2004'.

<sup>755</sup> Report Rollo to CJO, 2 September 2004, 'GOC MND(SE) – Iraq Update – 02 September 2004'.

<sup>756</sup> Minute Davies, 26 September 2004, 'Weekly Report Number: 46'.

<sup>757</sup> Minute Smith to Innes, March 2005, 'Travel and Movement Protection – CivPol3'.

CC Kernaghan visited Iraq from 12 to 17 May 2005 and wrote a report of his findings on 25 May to Mr Charles Clarke, the Home Secretary, and Mr Chris Fox, President of ACPO.<sup>758</sup> The first part of this visit was spent investigating the role of UK police officers with Sir Ronnie Flanagan, HM Chief Inspector of Constabulary, CC Hugh Orde, Chief Constable of PSNI, and Mr Colin Cramphorn, Chief Constable of West Yorkshire.

In his report, CC Kernaghan endorsed the use of armoured vehicles within military convoys for police officer secondees, but considered enhanced weaponry "a step too far". He cautioned against treating police officers who had served in Northern Ireland differently from those without this background, noting that this "could be interpreted as ... placing a lesser value on their safety and treating them as de facto cannon fodder".

On 24 October, Major General James Dutton, GOC MND(SE) from June 2005 until December 2005, wrote that "clarity" in the contractual obligations of UK police officers and ArmorGroup personnel was needed.<sup>759</sup> In addition:

"...we will need to resolve the issue of movement restrictions on the CivPol and ArmorGroup personnel. There appear to be different transport restrictions placed upon civil servants employed by MOD to those working for the FCO and their contractors, CivPol and ArmorGroup (although there are even differences between them). The principal problem is that the FCO and their contractors are not permitted to travel in military vehicles."

On 11 November, CC Kernaghan emailed a junior official in the Home Office following a report from DCC Smith that stated Maj Gen Dutton wished to assume control of police and ArmorGroup assets, and see police personnel travel in Snatch vehicles.<sup>760</sup> Maj Gen Dutton had reportedly suggested he would review the relevant contracts of employment to enable the latter.

CC Kernaghan wrote that it was important that the arrangements for police officers were not considered in isolation from those of other deployed civilians and emphasised his expectation that he should be consulted on any move to change the command and control arrangements for deployed police officers, not presented with a "fait accompli".

Acting Commander Kevin Hurley, Chief Police Adviser in Basra, June 2004 to December 2004, told the Inquiry of the challenges of trying to effect police training and mentoring while being unable to travel:

"Security conditions made road travel almost impossible ... We were all but ineffective for most of our time. Ultimately ... we reached a stage whereby if we could not get a helicopter ride we did not move." <sup>761</sup>

In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lieutenant General Jonathan Riley, GOC MND(SE) from November 2004 to June 2005, said that the "chief difficulty" of the FCO rather than the military leading police work was the restrictions placed on civilian personnel meant that he

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>758</sup> Report Kernaghan to Clarke and Fox, 25 May 2005, 'Report on 4<sup>th</sup> Visit to Iraq by Chief Constable Kernaghan – 12/16 May 2005'.

<sup>759</sup> Letter Dutton to Wall, 24 October 2005, 'Policing SE Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>760</sup> Email Kernaghan to Home Office [junior official], 11 November 2005, 'UK civil police assistance effort in Iraq – command & control issues – request for clarity'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>761</sup> Statement, 17 June 2010, page 3.

could not guarantee that the mentoring of police was done "to the depth, to the degree, to the duration that it had to be". That meant that he had to "fill that gap using soldiers and military police so that instead of partnering my military forces with Iraqi military forces only, which would have been the ideal situation, I also had to partner them closely in many cases with the Iraqi police to fill that void".

Lt Gen Riley said that he had discussed that difficulty with colleagues many times but the rules for civilian personnel were fixed; "So it became a given".

The arrangements for civilian personnel are described in Section 15.1.

## A decline in security

808. On 18 August 2004, a Current Intelligence Group (CIG) Assessment stated:

"Little is being done in Basra by the security forces to stop a minority of Mahdi Army militants causing disruption. The police chief is in league with the militants and elements of the Iraqi police were involved in the kidnapping of the British journalist [Mr James Brandon, subsequently released – see Section 9.3]. A report suggests the Amara police chief has agreed not to interfere in the activities of the Mahdi Army in the city, but this is due to police concern at their own vulnerability rather than support for al-Sadr." <sup>763</sup>

**809.** In his letter to Mr Fergusson on 20 August (as detailed earlier in this Section), Mr Phillipson wrote that the Prime Minister considered "problems with the police chief in Basra" as one of the "real risk[s] to our objectives".<sup>764</sup>

- **810.** The IPU's paper entitled "Iraq: Next Steps", produced on 27 August, stated that the Chief of Police in Basra was "co-operating with the Sadrists" but did not suggest a way of addressing that, or of addressing the issue of divided loyalties more widely.<sup>765</sup>
- **811.** Two days later Mr Blair produced a minute to No.10 staff which stated:
  - "... we cannot have a situation as in Basra where the police chief is working with Sadr's people." 766
- **812.** On 3 September, the CIG reported that a senior Badr organisation official had been appointed as Basra Governor and that he intended to remove the Basra Police Chief.<sup>767</sup>
- 813. On 11 November, the JIC reported that the Police Chief had been "sacked". 768

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>762</sup> Public hearing, 14 December 2009, pages 25-26.

<sup>763</sup> CIG Assessment, 18 August 2004, 'Iraq Security'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>764</sup> Letter Phillipson to Fergusson, 20 August 2004, 'Iraq: Next Steps'.

Minute Crompton to Private Secretary [FCO], 27 August 2004, 'Iraq: Next Steps' attaching Paper Iraq Policy Unit, 27 August 2004, 'Iraq: Next Steps: Action Points'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>766</sup> Minute Prime Minister to Sheinwald, Powell and Phillipson, 29 August 2004, 'Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>767</sup> CIG Assessment, 3 September 2004, 'Iraq Security: Shia Violence in Multi-National Division (South East)'.

<sup>768</sup> JIC Assessment, 11 November 2004, 'Iraq Security – Current Concerns'.

- **814.** On 26 September, Mr Davies reported that 38 ArmorGroup mentors had deployed to Basra. Their roles included: three personnel in Maysan developing a criminal intelligence database and mentoring the Tactical Support Unit (TSU); five personnel based at the az-Zubayr Police Academy providing training for the TSU; and the bulk of the remaining staff engaged in mentoring and developing the investigative capability of the Basra investigators.
- **815.** That deployment had been planned for June 2004, but on 11 June Mr Straw was advised by a junior official that the deployment should be delayed from June to September because of a decline in security in southern Iraq. An additional factor was that the type of mentoring they were contracted for would be "of little value until the Iraqi police ha[d] undergone more specialist skills training".
- **816.** Minutes from an SSR meeting on 7 October reported that ArmorGroup had all police mentors operating in various locations across MND(SE).<sup>771</sup> The contract was due for renewal at the end of November 2004 but it was likely that a proposal for an extension would be submitted based on the positive feedback received.
- **817.** A six-month extension of the contract was agreed at the Iraq GCPP Strategy meeting on 7 January 2005.<sup>772</sup> The Committee agreed that the ArmorGroup contract for 68 mentors costing £4.8m should have "specific reporting procedures, including monitoring and evaluation" with a "clearer work plan". The project would be reviewed again after six months.
- **818.** On 12 October, an email from a junior FCO official confirmed that 12 US IPAs had been deployed to MND(SE) in late September: six to the Sector and District Command, two to the traffic unit, two to the forensic unit and two to the TSU.<sup>773</sup>
- **819.** On 14 October, Mr Simon Collis, British Consul General in Basra, wrote to the FCO in London stating: "we need help in the form of more senior police officers, flexible security rules for their deployment, less nationalist policies on behalf of MNF contributors and more specialist trainers and equipment." He also highlighted limited co-ordination between civilian and military structures in MND(SE).
- 820. Lt Gen Rollo, who left MND(SE) in November, told the Inquiry that:

"Not all the Iraqis were ineffective. We focused on getting relatively small numbers of them to a relatively good standard."

775

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>769</sup> Minute Davies, 26 September 2004, 'Weekly Report Number: 46'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>770</sup> Minute ISSU [junior official] to PS/SofS [FCO], 11 June 2004, 'Iraq – Deployment of Police Monitors'.

<sup>771</sup> Minutes, 7 October 2004, Security Sector Reform meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>772</sup> Minutes, 7 January 2005, Iraq GCPP Strategy meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>773</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to FCO [junior official], 12 October 2004, 'Basra Update'.

<sup>774</sup> Telegram 169 Basra to FCO London, 14 October 2004, 'Southern Irag: Putting Civilian Police First'.

<sup>775</sup> Public hearing, 15 December 2009, page 12.

**821.** Commenting on how to raise effective forces, Lt Gen Rollo said:

"... the answer to more forces ultimately was more Iraqis, and the real trick was to raise effective Iraqi forces, and that the way to do that was to take relatively small numbers and to try to instil into them a sense of loyalty to the state, which was really quite difficult to achieve ... So loyalties were fragile and depended on human contact."

### The Iraqi Navy

A US report from the Independent Commission on the ISF, published on 6 September 2007, explained that Iraq's coastline was very small but strategically significant. It included the al-Basra and the Khor al-Amaya oil terminals (responsible for 90 percent of Iraq's revenue) and Iraq's only deep water port, Umm Qasr. The maritime borders with Iran and Kuwait were contested and not clearly demarcated.

The Iraqi Navy reported through the Joint Headquarters to the IMOD.778

A paper produced by the MOD on 9 December 2009 stated that "the notable UK contribution" during Phase IV was the development of the New Iraqi Navy, led by the Royal Navy at Umm Qasr.<sup>779</sup> The Royal Navy also trained the Iraqi Coast Guard, which operated in close proximity to the Iraqi Navy but reported to the MOI.<sup>780</sup>

On 1 February 2005, a junior MOD official advised Mr Hoon that 49 personnel were deployed in Navy Advisory Support Teams (ASTs), including force protection.<sup>781</sup>

The Chief of the Naval Staff visited Iraq in July and observed that recruiting and training of the Marine force was almost complete and subject to the procurement of suitable support vessels they should be able to resume responsibility for the security of oil platforms later in the year. He noted problems with funding but stated: "our AST and the Iraqi Navy have done well ... I am content that we have reached the stage where the AST can start to draw down provided it is adequately supported by MND(SE)".

In the same month Lt Gen Brims described the development of the Iraqi Navy as "a significant UK success".  $^{783}$ 

# Prison Service support in southern Iraq

**822.** At the SSR meeting on 7 October 2004, it was reported that there were two senior prisons advisers and four prisons mentors in theatre.<sup>784</sup> The minutes recorded that a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>776</sup> Public hearing, 15 December 2009, page 14.

<sup>777</sup> Report, 6 September 2007, 'Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>778</sup> Report to Congress, 17 February 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>779</sup> Paper MOD, 9 December 2009, 'Iraq Security Sector Reform'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>780</sup> Report, 6 September 2007, 'Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>781</sup> Minute Chaudhry to APS/SofS [MOD], 1 February 2005, 'Manning and Development of the Iraqi Navy Advisory Support Teams'.

<sup>782</sup> Minute CNS to CDS, 28 July 2005, 'Visit to the Northern Gulf and Iraq 25-26 Jul 05'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>783</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Quarrey, 21 July 2005, 'Update on Progress of Iraqi Security Forces'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>784</sup> Minutes, 7 October 2004, Security Sector Reform meeting.

recent assessment of all UK government staff in Iraq had determined that "the prisons contribution" was vital. Risk assessments were being conducted at military locations to enable the deployment of prisons mentors to the provinces. Support to the prisons effort was being maintained by the RMP.

- **823.** On 20 January 2005, minutes of the SSR meeting recorded that the prisons programme had been extended for a further six months and the Prison Service had confirmed they would provide officers for phase two. A UK criminal justice adviser had also been deployed.<sup>785</sup>
- **824.** On 9 August, the IPU submitted an initial bid for the prison programme to receive funding until 31 March 2006.<sup>786</sup> The bid stated that since it had begun in May 2004, the programme had:
  - trained every prison officer in MND(SE);
  - established a training school for the Iraqi Correctional Service (ICS);
  - developed a corps of Iraqi trainers;
  - built and established a new prison in Basra that would "become a model prison for Iraq"; and
  - "substantially improved conditions and treatment of prisoners" in each other prison in the region.
- **825.** The bid was for funding to continue supporting prisons advisers, to help to increase the capability of the ICS and to complete the ongoing infrastructure projects.
- **826.** In describing the effects of the programme, the IPU cited Iraq's "dreadful human rights record" in prisons and stated that the first two phases of the programme had "already dramatically transformed the functioning of the prisons in MND(SE) both by improving the physical conditions in which prisoners are kept, and changing attitudes amongst staff". Continuing work would build on that, particularly in respect of female and juvenile prisoners.
- **827.** On 15 August, Mr Collis sent a telegram to the FCO reporting allegations of "systematic abuse" at al-Maqil prison in Basra. The allegations, made by an Iraqi prison officer, included the sexual abuse of a female prisoner and the payment of bribes to avoid beatings and facilitate visits by relatives. The prison officer claimed that one-quarter of the prison staff was involved, including at a senior level.
- **828.** Mr Stephen Fradley, the British Senior Prison Adviser, had raised the concerns with the Regional Director of the Iraqi Correctional Service, who subsequently spoke to the Prison Governor. The Governor said that an investigation had been undertaken and that

<sup>785</sup> Minutes, 20 January 2005, Security Sector Reform meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>786</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to FCO [junior official], 17 August 2005, 'FW: GCPP Prisons Bid' attaching Project Bid Form, 9 August 2005, 'Prison Service Support in Southern Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>787</sup> Telegram 113 Basra to FCO, 15 August 2004, 'Iraq: Allegations of Abuse in Iraqi Run Prison'.

he was satisfied there had been no abuse. The Regional Director had concluded that no further action was necessary.

- **829.** Mr Collis was considering how to ensure that a proper investigation was carried out. He had referred the matter to the ICRC and waited to hear whether they would investigate. Plans were in place on how to press the issue if the ICRC were denied access.
- **830.** On 26 October, Baroness Symons, Minister of State for the Middle East, International Security, Consular and Personal Affairs at the Foreign Office, wrote to Mr Straw about a meeting she had held with Mr Bakhtiar Amin, the Iraqi Minister of Human Rights, the previous evening.<sup>788</sup> Mr Amin had expressed concerns over the current conditions in Iraqi prisons and said that he would be "most interested" in help on rehabilitation programmes and prison monitoring.
- **831.** On 6 February 2005, a telegram from Baghdad sought an indication of whether further funding would be available to develop the prison inspectors' training programme in Basra. Reporting on a meeting between Mr Andrew Hood, Legal Adviser, and Mr Amin, it stated that Mr Amin was positive about the training prison inspectors had received and that he would like all this to be available to all inspectors. Speaking to Mr Hood, Mr Amin requested further assistance: "he had sufficient funds to employ more prison inspectors if there was sufficient capacity to train them".
- **832.** A bid for additional funding to extend the prisons programme was submitted on 17 August.<sup>790</sup> The bid mentioned co-ordinating MND(SE) activity with the US programmes elsewhere in Iraq, but did not specify supporting the extension of the Basra training programme outside southern Iraq.

# **UK equipment for the Iraqi Security Forces: Project OSIRIS**

**833.** At the AHMGI on 28 October, Mr Paul Boateng, the Chief Secretary to the Treasury informed Mr Blair that, of the US\$107m worth of equipment requested by the MOD to speed up Iraqiisation in MND(SE), US\$29m would be funded by the US, the remaining US\$78m/£40.6m could be funded by the Treasury from the Reserve on a "one-off" basis.<sup>791</sup> That was in addition to the US\$4.5m/£2.5m GCPP-funded ISF equipment purchase agreed in September.

**834.** On 24 November, a junior official in the MOD submitted a draft departmental minute to Mr Hoon to be laid before Parliament for the first tranche of ISF equipment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>788</sup> Minute Symons to Straw, 26 October 2004, 'Iraq: Human Rights Assistance'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>789</sup> Telegram 90 Baghdad to FCO, 6 February 2005, 'Iraq: Call on Minister of Human Rights'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>790</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to FCO [junior official], 17 August 2005, 'FW: GCPP Prisons Bid' attaching Project Bid Form, 9 August 2005, 'Prison Service Support in Southern Iraq'.

<sup>791</sup> Minutes, 28 October 2004, Ad Hoc Ministerial Group on Iraq meeting.

(detailed further below).<sup>792</sup> The press lines annexed to the document explained that Prime Minister Allawi had "made a direct call for assistance to No.10":

"The Prime Minister is keen to assist, not least as the speedy Iraqiisation of the security sector is a key strategic aim of the UK and will ultimately facilitate our own withdrawal."

**835.** Between November 2004 and July 2005, equipment was delivered to the ISF in four tranches through "Project OSIRIS". Over that period, minutes from MOD officials to the Defence Secretary detailed what would be provided in each tranche:

- Tranche one for £15m was approved in early December and focused on items that could be procured quickly through existing contracts, such as small arms, ammunition, public order and urban operations equipment as well as seven infrastructure projects.<sup>793</sup>
- Tranche two for £3.6m was approved in mid-December and comprised grenade launchers, pistols, radios and ammunition. That was to be procured through both new and existing contracts.<sup>794</sup>
- Tranche three for £6.2m was approved in late January and consisted of three batches of equipment covering protective vehicles, search equipment for DBE and machine guns.<sup>795</sup>
- Approval for tranche four covering the remaining £15.6m was not sought until 18 July "because of the need to align expenditure plans with the planning for operational transition" and "changing US expenditure plans". It included infrastructure projects, vehicles and communications equipment.<sup>796</sup>

**836.** Parliamentary approval was sought for the first three tranches but the obligation to give Parliament 14 days to raise any objections to gifting<sup>797</sup> the items was reduced to two days with Treasury approval. Tranche four was approved by the Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee (PAC), who had authority to grant approval, given the imminence of Parliamentary recess.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>792</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to APS/SofS [MOD], 24 November 2004, 'Parliamentary Clearances for Gifting of the First Phase of the £40.6 million (\$73m) Worth of Equipment for Iraqi Security Forces.'
<sup>793</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to APS/SofS [MOD], 24 November 2004, 'Parliamentary Clearances for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>793</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to APS/SofS [MOD], 24 November 2004, 'Parliamentary Clearances for Gifting of the First Phase of the £40.6 million (\$73m) Worth of Equipment for Iraqi Security Forces'; Minute Johnson to DJC Sec 1, 2 December, 'Iraq: Gifting of Military Equipment to the Iraqi Interim Government'. <sup>794</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to PS/SofS [MOD], 13 December 2004, 'Iraq: 2<sup>nd</sup> phase of £40.6m Gifting of Military Equipment to the Iraqi Interim Government: Project OSIRIS'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>795</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to PS/SofS [MOD], 19 January 2005, 'Iraq: 3<sup>rd</sup> Phase of £40.6m Gifting of Military Equipment to the Iraqi Interim Government: Project OSIRIS'; Minute Naworynsky to Deputy Command Secretary PJHQ [MOD], 24 January 2005, 'Iraq: 3<sup>rd</sup> Phase of £40.6m Gifting of Military Equipment to the Iraqi Interim Government: Project OSIRIS'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>796</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to APS/SofS [MOD], 18 July 2005, 'Iraq: Funding for Security Sector Reform and a Civil Effects Fund for MND(SE)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>797</sup> 'Gifting' is a technical term that usually describes a government giving equipment to another government.

**837.** On 18 July, a junior MOD official wrote to Dr Reid, stating that the Chairman of the PAC had "expressed dissatisfaction with the shortcuts we took to gaining parliamentary approval" for the first three tranches and that "a couple of backbench MPs" had objected.<sup>798</sup> The official considered the final tranche "less likely" to generate objections because it did "not contain any weapons" but:

"We will need to explain why we have again been unable to allow Parliament to consider this gifting as fully as some MPs might wish."

**838.** The official wrote that an additional £58m was likely to be needed for the year ahead: £38m for OSIRIS II (protected and other mobility, infrastructure construction for forces training, communications, logistics and command and control functions) and £20m for a Civil Effects Fund. He recommended that Dr Reid propose that expenditure to the Chief Secretary to the Treasury, now Mr Des Browne. He also noted that Project OSIRIS had "been an understated success story" that should be highlighted in the presentation plan for operational transition.

**839.** As advised, Dr Reid wrote to Mr Browne on 19 July stating that the additional £58m was "central to the success of our plans". <sup>799</sup>

#### **November Force Level Review**

Air Marshal Glenn Torpy, Chief of Joint Operations, wrote to General Sir Michael Walker, CDS, on 10 November 2004 about the interim Force Level Review conducted for Operation TELIC (see Section 14.1).800 AM Torpy stated that the training, mentoring and monitoring of the NIA and ING was one of three emerging tasks from the review. All three tasks were discretionary for the UK but not for MNF-I and if other Troop Contributing Nations did not undertake them, they could fall to the UK.

AM Torpy wrote that the new tasks could be conducted by a battalion plus senior mentors, "possibly of one-star rank", and work was under way to confirm the requirement. He had agreed with Lt Gen Rollo that the work should be delayed until after the election. AM Torpy advised that "for force generation purposes we should assume that this is a new – potentially enduring" task.

Mr Roger Cornish, MOD Deputy Director Iraq, wrote to Mr Hoon about the review on 19 November.<sup>801</sup> He reiterated AM Torpy's assessment of the training task, assessing that the force package needed to undertake it would be 650 personnel in total.

Mr Naworynsky replied to Mr Cornish on 24 November, stating that Mr Hoon had noted the emerging tasks.<sup>802</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>798</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to APS/SofS [MOD], 18 July 2005, 'Iraq: Funding for Security Sector Reform and a Civil Effects Fund for MND(SE)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>799</sup> Letter Reid to Browne, 19 July 2005, 'Iraq: Funding for Security Sector Reform and for a Civil Effects Fund for MND(SE)'.

<sup>800</sup> Minute CJO to CDS, 10 November 2004, 'Irag – Interim Force Level Review'.

<sup>801</sup> Minute Cornish to APS/SofS [MOD], 19 November 2004, 'Iraq: Interim Force Level Review'.

<sup>802</sup> Minute Naworynsky to Cornish, 24 November 2004, 'Iraq: Interim Force Level Review'.

The uplift in personnel took place as part of the roulement of forces in late April 2005 (described later in this Section).

#### Police reform

**840.** On 5 November 2004, Mr David Hayward, FCO Military Liaison Officer, sent a teleletter to Mr Tom Dodd, Deputy Consul General in Basra, in reply to "a number of problems" Mr Dodd had raised about policing in the South.<sup>803</sup> On the provision of UK police officers, Mr Hayward wrote that:

- Mr Hugh Orde, Chief Constable of PSNI, had confirmed his commitment of six Chief Inspectors for Iraq.
- CC Orde would extend the current PSNI officer deployments until replacements were available.
- Nineteen junior officers in az-Zubayr would end their tour in December but 14 officers were trained and ready to replace them. They were looking to recruit others and had a small reserve capacity of trained officers that could be deployed if necessary.
- GCPP's funding for 40 IPAs had been extended by one month and a bid to extend it for a further six months would be submitted.

**841.** A second phase of the ArmorGroup contract was agreed in early 2005. It extended and expanded the deployment to 68 personnel, including five forensic experts.<sup>804</sup>

**842.** On 12 January 2005, Major General Jonathon Riley, GOC MND(SE) from November 2004 until June 2005, reported:

"Although the work of the International Police Advisers is much trumpeted, the reality falls well short of perception. Responsibilities for various areas of police reform are unclear, and as a result, progress is lagging behind that of the military." 805

**843.** Acting DCC Colin Smith arrived in Iraq in January 2005 as part of General Luck's Review team. In his statement to the Inquiry, ACC Smith wrote:

"On arrival ... there appeared to be a number of competing plans including SSR with police training at az-Zubayr and in Basra, Maysan and Al Muthanna and that ubiquitous term 'mentoring'. Civilian contractors, funded by the UK, largely worked under their own direction and command structure. The only apparent link to any pan-Iraq coalition Strategy was through the US International Police Liaison Officers."

<sup>803</sup> Teleletter 161 Hayward to Dodd, 5 November 2004, 'Southern Iraq: Civilian Policing'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>804</sup> Project Bid Form, [undated], 'International Police Advisors: Training, Mentoring and Monitoring of the Newly Trained Iragi Police Service Officers in MND SE'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>805</sup> Report Riley, 12 January 2005, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 12 January 2005'.

<sup>806</sup> Statement, 14 June 2010, page 2.

**844.** DCC Smith wrote that "an attempt was made to develop ... an integrated '12 month IPS Development Strategy' ... 12 months being seen ... as the likely duration of UK training in Iraq". The plan acknowledged that the military should play a key role in 'generic' policing areas such as infrastructure, equipment and non-specialist training. Police officers would be left to concentrate on enhancing specialist capability.

#### **New Chief of Police for Basra**

In his update on 12 January, Maj Gen Riley reported that a new Chief of Police for Basra, Major General al-Saad Hassan, had been appointed by the MOI.<sup>807</sup>

A telegram from Mr Collis on 21 January reported that (now General) Hassan had removed a Badr officer from his post as Head of Police Intelligence, and whilst allowing him to remain Head of Internal Affairs, ordered Internal Affairs to stop carrying out arrests or search operations following a number of suspicious deaths involving the unit.<sup>808</sup> Mr Collis saw this as "a welcome example" of the new Chief of Police "making his mark".

## Considering whether to embed personnel in Iraqi units

845. The US began embedding MNF personnel in Iraqi units in January 2005.809

**846.** In his 19 January update, Maj Gen Riley reported on a conference he had attended in Baghdad about the future of the MNF's mission.<sup>810</sup> Referring to the emphasis on Military Assistance Teams (MATs) and Civil Police Assistance Teams (CPATs),<sup>811</sup> he wrote:

"... this is the direction we have taken in MND(SE). The MNF leadership will not impose a template, but ... [allow] local conditions to determine how the assistance teams are to operate. This suits us very well."

**847.** On 21 January, Mr Phillipson sent a letter to Mr Hoon's Principal Private Secretary about a meeting between Mr Blair, Mr Hoon and Gen Walker on Iraq strategy that morning.<sup>812</sup> In discussing Iraqiisation and delays to the Petraeus Plan, Gen Walker referred to MATs and CPATs as the "latest US plan" but added "this was not the answer".

**848.** At the Chiefs of Staff meeting on 2 February, it was observed that there were differences between the UK and US implementation of MATs, but they "were unlikely to be an issue". <sup>813</sup> The CPAT concept was "not favoured by the UK". There is no record of the rationale for that view in the minutes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>807</sup> Report Riley, 12 January 2005, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 12 January 2005'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>808</sup> Telegram 7, Basra to FCO London, 21 January 2005, 'Southern Iraq: Security and Political Round Up 13 January'.

<sup>809</sup> Letter Phillipson to Baker, 21 January 2005, 'Irag: Future Strategy'.

<sup>810</sup> Report Riley, 19 January 2005, 'GOC MND(SE) Southern Iraq Update – 19 January 2005'.

<sup>811 &#</sup>x27;CPATS' are also sometimes referred to as 'PATS'.

<sup>812</sup> Letter Phillipson to Baker, 21 January 2005, 'Iraq: Future Strategy'.

<sup>813</sup> Minutes, 2 February 2005, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

**849.** On 11 February, AM Torpy produced a paper on SSR for Gen Walker ahead of the latter's visit to Washington on 15 February.<sup>814</sup> In the paper, AM Torpy outlined two options being considered to support MNF-I's focus on assistance teams:

- Full support ("the MNF-I default"): MATs placed with every ISF unit in MND(SE) (excluding the police), and at training schools, requiring 324 personnel.
- Targeted support: "continuation of current partnering arrangements with ISF units" targeted at "areas of weakness, such as formation headquarters, training schools and logistic bases", requiring 110 personnel. MATs would not be involved with the Public Order Battalions or the DBE units.

**850.** AM Torpy wrote that the UK's emphasis had been on "partnering" and there were currently no UK personnel embedded within Iraqi units. In introducing the options, he stated:

"Given MOD guidance to avoid a significant increase in commitment, our scope to implement this SSR strategy will be constrained by our ability to free up and refocus manpower."

**851.** The resource implications of both options were to be assessed by a US Joint Force Headquarter team deploying to Iraq the following week. It was anticipated that "coalition partners" would offer "significant contributions" once briefed by the US at a Bucharest Conference in February. That briefing would also "provide further clarity, thereby allowing the UK to refine its potential contribution".

**852.** AM Torpy visited Iraq from 13 to 19 February.<sup>815</sup> He reported:

"[Gen] Casey is entirely comfortable with the UK's approach in MND(SE); indeed, he has told his commanders to visit MND(SE) to see how we handle the task."

**853.** Major General Peter Wall, Deputy Chief of Joint Operations, attended the CENTCOM conference on 28 February. He reported that the US approach to embed trainers at division, brigade and battalion level (and also with Special Police and Border Enforcement units) would have "implications" for the UK's "current policy". <sup>816</sup> He would discuss with Maj Gen Houghton and Mr Howard.

**854.** On 11 March, AM Torpy produced a paper for the Chiefs of Staff on delivering SSR in MND(SE).<sup>817</sup> There was no specific reference to his 11 February paper, but the plan he set out for MTTs<sup>818</sup> was consistent with the "Targeted Support" option proposed in that earlier paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>814</sup> Minute CJO to PSO/CDS, 11 February 2005, 'Op TELIC: Security Sector Reform'.

<sup>815</sup> Minute CJO to CDS, 22 February 2005, 'CJO Visit Report – Iraq – 13 to 19 Feb 05'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>816</sup> Minute DCJO(Ops) to CJO, 28 February 2005, 'CENTCOM Post Iraqi Election Coalition Conference, Bucharest 21-23 Feb 05'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>817</sup> Minute CJO to COS, 11 March 2005, 'Op TELIC – Supporting Security Sector Reform in MND(SE)'.

<sup>818 &#</sup>x27;MTTs' were formerly referred to as 'MATs'.

**855.** The Chiefs discussed AM Torpy's SSR paper on 16 March.<sup>819</sup> The minutes record:

"The continuous burden of manning MTTs and STTTs [Short Term Training Teams], and its potential impact on the requirement for augmentees was highlighted. DCJO(Ops) [Maj Gen Wall] indicated that the majority of posts would be filled from current force levels and that the requirement for UK augmentees was not expected to exceed 20."

**856.** On 17 March, Mr Hoon's Private Secretary wrote to No.10 to provide an update on SSR progress.<sup>820</sup> On the creation of MTTs he stated:

"MNF-I plan to have Military Transition Teams (MTTs) established across Iraq and working with Iraqi units by June. In MND(SE) we expect to have MTTs established by May. The MTTs will train and mentor their affiliated Iraqi units, remaining with them both in barracks and on security duties. In MND(SE) the MTT organisation will be developed from the existing partnership arrangements between coalition and ISF units, which first highlighted the benefits of this approach."

**857.** The MOD's Directorate of Operational Capability considered the differing approaches to mentoring the ISF undertaken by the UK and US militaries in 2010.821 It commented:

"The UK and US approaches were fundamentally at odds; this was identified by those in theatre at the time and reported back ... The decision not to embed mentors ... may seem perplexing, particularly considering UK troops in Afghanistan were embedding in this manner at that time ...

"We have pondered the reasons for this approach, without reaching an entirely satisfactory conclusion. During interview a number of people have suggested that this very different approach to embedding mentors between two UK theatres of operation, as well as the difference between the UK and US approaches in Iraq, was that senior politicians (or perhaps military leaders) in the UK were risk-, and in particular, casualty-averse. Whether this is true, and if so whether it can be seen as a result of the different way in which the two theatres were viewed by the British public – Iraq as an unpopular "war of choice", with Afghanistan a "war of necessity" – is unclear. What is certain is that, at the time, the total number and rate of casualties being experienced in Afghanistan were both far lower than had been suffered in Iraq. We might reasonably conclude that this would have had an effect on the political-military discussions and decisions regarding embedding and force protection. This seems especially likely as the focus in Iraq became predominantly on reducing troop numbers in order to hand over to the Iraqi Security Forces, allowing the UK forces to withdraw and focus on [Afghanistan]."

820 Letter Naworynsky to Quarrey, 17 March 2005, 'Petraeus Plan Update'.

<sup>819</sup> Minutes, 16 March 2005, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>821</sup> Report Directorate of Operational Capability, 17 March 2010, 'Operation TELIC Lessons Study Vol. 3'.

## The US approach to Transition Teams

A Report to Congress on 29 August 2006 stated that "more than 160" Police Transition Teams (PTTs) were helping to develop the IPS. 822 International Police Liaison Officers (IPLOs) were integrated into PTTs, providing expertise in all technical aspects of criminal investigation and police station management. The Report stated that an additional five (US) Military Police companies were deployed in July 2006 to bolster the PTT programme.

The next Report on 30 November stated there were 177 PTTs, each team with 11-15 members: three or four IPLOs, hired as contractors from US State Department and the rest typically military personnel (often Military Police). 823

A Report to Congress on 2 March 2007 stated that there were a total of around 6,000 international advisers in more than 450 Transition Teams.<sup>824</sup> The Transition Teams were established in the following proportions:

- 200 Police Transition Teams;
- 40 National Police Transition Teams;
- 30 Border Transition Teams;
- 170 Military Transition Teams; and
- Transition Teams in various ministries and command establishments including the MOI, IMOD and the JHQ.<sup>825</sup>

Even with the extra personnel, shortages of PTTs were limiting observation of the IPS in 13 of the 18 provinces, including Basra and Maysan.<sup>826</sup> The DoD's Report to Congress in March 2007 cited cost and risk to personnel as the reasons for limited coverage.<sup>827</sup>

# **Concerns about strategy**

**858.** In his weekly update on 2 February 2005, Maj Gen Riley wrote:

"IPS reform is a problem wider and deeper than the Army, and the incoming Chief Police Adviser will be key to turning them into an effective counter insurgency force ... there is a proposal to send the new Chief Police Adviser to Baghdad instead of here ... I would advise against this in the strongest possible terms: this will lead to a delay of months (probably) in police reform here, months that we do not need. UK can have the greatest effect here on the ground ..."828

**859.** On 17 March, Lt Gen Fry produced a paper for the Chiefs of Staff examining the consequences of "the UK's MND(SE)-centric strategy" and the likelihood that ISF development in MND(SE) would progress faster than elsewhere in Iraq. 829 The Chiefs

<sup>822</sup> Report to Congress, 29 August 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>823</sup> Report to Congress, 30 November 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>824</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>825</sup> These are approximate figures, estimated by the Inquiry based on available data.

<sup>826</sup> Report to Congress, 29 August 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>827</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>828</sup> Report Riley, 2 February 2005, 'CG MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 2 February 2005'.

<sup>829</sup> Minute DCDS(C) to COS, 17 March 2005, 'Iraq – Strategic Consequences for UK of Iraqi Self Reliance'.

were briefed that the eventual move to provincial control would be based on "complex, inter-dependent conditions" that were not fully defined and would depend upon the development of a national security framework and that, although military SSR activity in MND(SE) was progressing well, the scope for significant military disengagement in 2005 would be limited. Military SSR was expected to be completed in MND(SE) in March 2006.

**860.** Lt Gen Fry highlighted that non-military Iraqi capabilities, especially the IPS, were lagging behind the Iraqi Army and stated:

"This imbalance must be redressed not only to ward against an overly dominant IA [Iraqi Army], but also to allow us to realise the potential military dividend of our efforts with the IA."

**861.** Lt Gen Fry suggested that the UK needed to:

- influence the development of a national policing strategy;
- encourage greater international involvement, particularly for gendarmerie-type training;
- develop IPS leadership and niche capabilities; and
- develop an effective judiciary and prison system to support IPS activity.

**862.** Lt Gen Fry stated that the FCO was planning to address some of those shortfalls but that those plans required "significant extra funding and depend largely on the availability of suitable senior UK policemen". MOD activity to support IPS development at that stage comprised:

- basic skills training;
- training of TSUs (to focus on public order, dangerous criminality and low level insurgent action); and
- the provision of military officers to support planning and co-ordination at the Provincial Joint Operations Centres (PJOCs).

**863.** Lt Gen Fry identified three risks to ISF development in MND(SE) progressing ahead of national development:

- The ISF in MND(SE) would not mesh into national Iraqi security structures.
- The ISF would become autonomous and "prone to tribal, political and confessional influences rather than national control".
- There may be a lack of national logistical, equipment, procurement and maintenance structures for them to access.

**864.** Lt Gen Fry suggested that those risks could be mitigated, to some extent, by UK advisers in Iraq's security Ministries seeking to accelerate the development on coherent national policies and structures and that where possible the UK "should seek to achieve a demonstrable vanguard effect by spreading UK 'best practice' in the development of ISF to other areas in Iraq".

**865.** On 24 March, Dr Roger Hutton, MOD Director Joint Commitments, provided an update to Mr Hoon on discussion of Lt Gen Fry's paper by the Chiefs of Staff.<sup>830</sup> The update gave further detail on how the UK military would support that new approach in MND(SE), to include:

- the provision of MTTs at divisional and brigade level (there were four Iraqi Army brigades in MND(SE); it was envisaged that the UK would provide MTTs for two of them and the Australians and Italians would provide one MTT each for the other two brigades);
- two Short Term Training Team deployments, one in June and one in December to look at the effectiveness of training; and
- continued "partnership" with the UK brigade partnering the divisional headquarters of 10th Division and a coalition battlegroup working with each of the four brigades in the 10th Division.

**866.** Dr Hutton advised that there would be a requirement of only 25 extra troops to implement this approach.

#### 10th Division

The 10th Division was the Iraqi Army division in MND(SE) that had been trained by UK personnel. It was established by Maj Gen Riley as reported in his update on Southern Iraq on 12 January 2005. He wrote that he had established the 10th Division alongside HQ MND(SE) at the Basra Air Station.<sup>831</sup>

**867.** Gen Jackson visited Iraq from 17 to 20 April 2005.<sup>832</sup> On his return he reported:

"... military SSR continues to progress well and the provision of Military Transition Teams (MiTTs) should provide a qualitative boost to ISF performance ... Overall, GOC MND(SE) remains confident that his military SSR efforts will be complete in Maysan and Al Muthanna circa October 2005; and in Basra and Dhi Qar circa March 2006."

868. On the IPS, Gen Jackson wrote:

"In contrast to the satisfactory progress with the development of the Iraqi Army, the lack of discernable progress with the IPS is alarming ... It could become our Achilles heel because without an effective IPS, not to mention a criminal justice system – there can be no Rule of Law, a pre-requisite for our eventual military disengagement. The scale and quality of International Police Adviser effort is woeful and the arrival of the new Senior Police Adviser has failed to re-invigorate IPS development. Although theoretically the military is acting in support of the IPA, we find ourselves de facto

<sup>830</sup> Minute Hutton to APS/SofS [MOD], 24 March 2005, 'Iragi Self-Reliance and Strategic Intent'.

<sup>831</sup> Report Riley, 12 January 2005, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq update – 12 January 2005'.

<sup>832</sup> Minute CGS to CDS, 25 April 2005, 'CGS visit to Op Telic 17-20 Apr 05'.

in the lead without appropriate funding and resources ... We must be prepared, however, to make some difficult decisions across Whitehall including, perhaps, transferring leadership for IPS reform from the FCO to the MOD and subsequently restricting IPA effort to developing certain IPS specialist functions. There is further concern, which I share, that the UK model of policing is not necessarily the most appropriate for the Iraqis. A gendarmerie model might be more suitable."

## **Restructuring SSR**

**869.** Mr Stuart Innes, British Consul General Basra, sent an eGram on 3 May 2005 reporting a meeting he had held with Maj Gen Riley and DCC Smith a day earlier.<sup>833</sup> Mr Innes stated that Maj Gen Riley had "said that SSR was now the main focus of the UK's military efforts in MND(SE)" and that the IPS programme required greater attention if responsibility for security was to be handed to the ISF by October.

**870.** On 5 May 2005, Maj Gen Wall wrote to Lt Gen Fry about the 2 May meeting, stating that the FCO's eGram provided "a partial explanation of the proposed way ahead" which was "open to misinterpretation".<sup>834</sup> On the increase of military support he wrote:

"The lead for police reform remains with the FCO. The military continue to fulfil a supporting and co-ordination role with greater planning responsibility.

"No additional UK military resources are required, and none will be 'fixed' if there is an opportunity to reduce force levels ... Nor does it require resources being redirected from existing tasks.

"Military assistance to the Iraqi Army will remain the Division's main effort; support to the IPS is a lower priority."

**871.** DCC Smith became the UK Chief Police Adviser in Iraq in May 2005, a role that combined the two previous Senior Police Adviser positions in Baghdad and Basra. He told the Inquiry:

"... part of my remit to go to Baghdad was to increase UK influence at a strategic level, which had for different reasons ... dropped off since the time that [DCC] Doug Brand was there."835

**872.** On 15 May, DCC Smith produced a report of his review of UK policing support to the development of the IPS.<sup>836</sup> His report described UK efforts in both Baghdad and Basra; those recommendations relating to policing in Baghdad are discussed earlier in

<sup>833</sup> eGram 3797/05 Basra to FCO, 3 May 2005, 'Irag: SSR: Police in the South'.

<sup>834</sup> Minute DCJO(Ops) to DCDS(C), 5 May 2005, 'Military Support to Iraqi Police Service Training'.

<sup>835</sup> Public hearing, 21 July 2010, page 20.

<sup>836</sup> Paper Smith, 15 May 2005, 'Next Steps on Policing – Review' attaching Smith, [undated], 'Iraqi Police Service – Development Strategy'.

this Section. DCC Smith wrote that he had appointed two Deputies at the rank of Chief Superintendent; one based in Baghdad, the other in Basra. The team in Baghdad was also strengthened from around 10 to just under 20.

**873.** The review included a 12-month policing strategy, which DCC Smith identified as a priority for the three provinces to implement. DCC Smith wrote that it had received wide circulation and consultation "with all stakeholders" and particularly with Iraqi Chiefs of Police. The paper stated:

"It will concentrate on areas that have 'Iraqi buy in', are achievable within 12 months and importantly, sustainable (by the Iraqis) beyond 12 months."

**874.** He described the operational implementation priorities in MND(SE) as focusing on two key areas:

- implementation of the "12 month IPS Development Strategy and Plan"; and
- further strengthening the Regional Police Training Academy at az-Zubayr to enable it to train the Iraqi trainers who would, in due course, be responsible for police training.
- **875.** DCC Smith stated that Gen Petraeus had taken the decision to put the 20 CPATT International Police Liaison Officers in MND(SE) under the command of Maj Gen Riley, a move which DCC Smith described as:
  - "... at best misguided and at worst a major difficulty. GOC MND(SE) now has his own private US Police Advisers!! It is clear that at the senior level in Baghdad the US Authorities have not been made aware that we have done things more professionally in MND(SE) with senior serving CivPol Officers leading on IPS Development."
- **876.** DCC Smith stressed the importance of extending the ArmorGroup contract, noting that police officers "cannot meet the major priorities without ArmorGroup support". He identified a number of other opportunities to increase available resources, including:
  - seeking input from the Commonwealth and EU;
  - identifying police officers currently serving in the Territorial Army in MND(SE) and attaching them to joint military/police teams; and
  - better recruitment of recently retired police officers, particularly from Northern Ireland.

#### 877. DCC Smith concluded:

"We have failed in the past through lack of appropriate succession planning ... Substantial effort has been put into driving UK effort forward in both Basra and, more recently, Baghdad ... We must not allow ... poor communication with, and within, the UK to diminish this drive. [The police] have many critics in Iraq in the ... military. We must not fail."

#### 878. CC Kernaghan's visit report on 25 May stated:

"... progress has been made in training Iraqi Police Service personnel. However, with the exception of groups such as the Tactical Support Unit they remain of questionable quality. The initial concept of creating a community policing force on the classic Anglo-American model appears to have been overtaken by a more realistic recognition, that first and foremost a police force must be effective if it is to secure public support. Thus in the face of an insurgency, it is essential that the force can defend itself and its police stations. This has improved but philosophical confusion still appears to bedevil the project ... I am still of the view that there is a disconnect between CPATT [Baghdad] and the British led effort within MND(SE)."837

## Should the UK focus be on Baghdad or the South?

On 4 July 2005, the record of the Iraq Senior Officials Group stated:

"The work of our international policing adviser in Baghdad was being hampered by the scale of the US presence and the difficulty of securing buy-in from the Minister of the Interior. An emerging conclusion was that we should concentrate our effort on MND(SE), moving our adviser there and away from the national policing strategy. This would be consonant with our broader policy, but could risk sending the signal that we were concerned only with the South-East."

**879.** On 19 May, Dr Reid briefed Cabinet that he had "been encouraged" by the progress in building up the ISF and that he hoped it would be possible for the ISF to take over from UK forces in MND(SE) in "the course of the next year". But He stated that progress with the Iraqi police was "less advanced" and that the border forces were the "least capable".

880. On 5 June, Maj Gen Riley produced a post-tour report.<sup>840</sup> On SSR he wrote:

"A good plan is now in place for the expansion of the Iraqi Army ... The barrack building plan is properly resourced and is delivering. The training and recruiting plans are in place ... Equipment is going well ... I remain concerned about the ability of the IA chain of command to issue orders and ensure compliance with those orders.

"You know my views on police reform. I have now formed teams to take on those areas in which we have taken the lead from the FCO/Civil Police: organisation, management, control systems, administration, leadership, paramilitary training,

Report Kernaghan to Clarke and Fox, 25 May 2005, 'Report on 4th Visit to Iraq by Chief Constable Kernaghan – 12/16 May 2005'.

<sup>838</sup> Letter Cabinet Office [junior official] to Asquith, 4 July 2005, 'Iraq: Senior Officials Group'.

<sup>839</sup> Cabinet Conclusions, 19 May 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>840</sup> Report Riley to CJO, 5 June 2005, 'Commanding General's Overview Multinational Division (South East) and British Forces Iraq'.

and equipment husbandry ... This leaves the Civil Police and IPAs with: criminal intelligence ... serious crime investigation ... forensic investigation, [and] tactical support units and SWAT teams.

"Looking to the future, the original model, which failed in Bosnia and Kosovo, and was failing here, must never be used again. Great Britain must only step forward to take the lead on police reform if our policing model is appropriate to the problem ... Beat Bobbies from Hampshire, and even RUC men, concerned with human rights and traffic violations, are of limited use to a paramilitary police force fighting an insurgency ... In the future, we should have the courage to decline the lead where it is inappropriate for us ... Only professionals – whether soldiers or policemen – can produce professionals."

881. In DCC Smith's six-month update on 20 November, he wrote:

"My greatest concern for the future is co-ordination with the military ... Senior UK Military have almost totally failed to acknowledge the equivalent seniority of their Civilian colleagues. In the UK ... we are used to working as part of multi-discipline teams comprising civil servants, military, professionals from private and public sector. That is what we have become used to. I think the UK Police dot [sic] it well with style and expertise.

"I sincerely hope that will develop in MND(SE). We must move away from comments made by ... [Maj Gen Riley] ... to a true partnership."841

# Raising concerns with the Iraqis

**882.** On 28 April 2005, a junior IPU official sent a note to Mr Asquith and Baroness Symons highlighting serious concerns about the links between the Iraqi police and the Shia militia, particularly in southern Iraq. <sup>842</sup> There was an increasing picture of "systematic collusion between the Basra Police Intelligence Unit (within the IPS) and Shiite militias to interrogate, torture and murder Sunni prisoners, particularly suspected Ba'athists". Specifically, the Police Intelligence Unit (PIU)<sup>843</sup> based at the Jameat police station in Basra was suspected of abusing and killing an Iraqi criminal detainee, Mr Abbas Allawi.

**883.** The junior official sent advice to Dr Kim Howells, FCO Minister, on 18 May, recommending that the UK continued providing assistance to the IPS but "at the same

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>841</sup> Paper Smith, 20 November 2005, 'Next Steps on Policing – Review'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>842</sup> Minute IPU [junior official] to Asquith and PS/Symons, 28 April 2005, 'Iraq: Murder and Abuse of Detainees in Iraqi Detention Facilities'.

<sup>843</sup> Sometimes referred to as the Police Investigation Unit.

time taking strong and urgent action" with the IPS and MOI to stop abuse and torture.<sup>844</sup> They would recommend a partial or complete withdrawal of support if the Iraqis were not co-operative.

**884.** The advice said that the "Iraqis have promised action". The Basra Governor had agreed to establish an investigation committee following "strong pressure" from Mr Chaplin and Mr Innes. It was not clear whether the Governor and Maj Gen Hassan were willing to prosecute any officers if found guilty. The official added:

"It is even less clear that they will take firm action on the wider issues of abuse that appear to be inherent in some Iraqi police detention facilities, notably that of the PIU."

- **885.** Dr Howells' Assistant Private Secretary responded to IPU on 19 May.<sup>845</sup> He agreed the recommendation and asked for "firm, decisive and urgent action". He also requested that IPU raise the issue with the US administration (to "exert pressure on the Iraqis"), and brief Ms Clwyd (to raise it "at a senior level on her visit to Iraq next week").
- **886.** Dr Howells subsequently visited Baghdad from 13 to 15 September. He met Mr Jabr and raised concerns about human rights abuses by the ISF, pressing for independent investigations.<sup>846</sup> Mr Patey handed Mr Jabr a dossier on three members of the ISF in Basra who were implicated in abuses and requested their dismissal.
- **887.** In his weekly report on 22 May, DCC Smith wrote that he had attended a "police to police meeting" on 18 May with Maj Gen Hassan, senior CPATT IPLOs and senior ArmorGroup Advisers.<sup>847</sup> Maj Gen Hassan was "pushed very firmly" to take action against the PIU and "a range of options were put to him to assist in rooting out the problem". It was still hoped that he, with the backing of MOI, would "take action".
- **888.** On 23 May, a junior MOD official briefed Dr Reid that FCO and MOD officials had made "strong representations" to Iraqi authorities in Baghdad and Basra for thorough investigations. <sup>848</sup> UK forces had "minimised" their contact with the PIU and reduced joint detention operations with the Iraqi police to those deemed essential. The official wrote that, while the recent reports were "serious and disturbing", they were "largely limited to a small element of the IPS in one province, Basra".

889. Dr Reid noted this briefing on 24 May.849

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>844</sup> Minute IPU [junior official] to PS/Howells, 18 May 2005 'Iraq: Murder and Abuse of Detainees in Iraqi Detention Facilities'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>845</sup> Minute APS/Howells to IPU [junior official], 19 May 2005, 'Iraq: Murder and Abuse of Detainees in Iraqi Detention Facilities'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>846</sup> eGram 13565/05 Baghdad to FCO London, 18 September 2005, 'Iraq: Visit by Dr Howells, 13-15 September'.

<sup>847</sup> Minute Smith, 'Weekly Report – Week Ending Sunday 22 May 2005'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>848</sup> Minute MOD [DJC-SEC4] to APS/SofS [MOD], 23 May 2005, 'Iraq: Reports of Abuse of Criminal Detainees by Elements of the Iraqi Police Service'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>849</sup> Minute Naworynsky to DJC-SEC4, 24 May 2005, 'Iraq: Reports of Abuse of Criminal Detainees by Elements of the Iraqi Police Service'.

- **890.** Major General James Dutton was GOC MND(SE) from June 2005 until December 2005. In his first report on 15 June he noted:
  - "... political pressure to clean up the Police Intelligence Unit (PIU) in Basra is having some impact. For example, moves seem to be afoot to reform practices in the Jameat [the police station where the PIU was based] through a new overseeing judge and a new head of internal affairs. We also hear rumours that the PIU may be subsumed into a larger MOI intelligence organisation."850
- **891.** A junior official in Baghdad emailed the IPU on 14 June to report that Mr Patey had met Mr Jabr that day and raised Mr Abbas Allawi's case.<sup>851</sup> He had "stressed that support from the top was needed to ensure that there was meaningful investigation it was necessary to embolden General Hassan". Mr Jabr was supportive of action being taken against the suspects and had established the investigation commission but pointed out that it was the British who had chosen the police in the South.
- **892.** Mr Fraser Wheeler, Deputy Consul General in Basra, emailed FCO officials on 15 June to report a mix-up over who was the investigating judge on Mr Abbas Allawi's case. 852 He wrote:
  - "I do not put this down to incompetence (though there is clearly some of that too) but to deliberate obfuscation. We are being passed from pillar to post, and the Allawi case is not receiving the treatment and attention a case of this nature deserves."
- **893.** On 16 June, Mr Wheeler and the Justice Sector Adviser met a senior judge in Basra to discuss Mr Abbas Allawi's case. <sup>853</sup> In his record of the meeting, Mr Wheeler reported that the case had been passed between four judges so far. It was important that a police station without Jameat links conduct the investigation, but the branch had officers in many stations. The Basra Judicial Committee would appoint an investigating judge on 19 June.
- **894.** Mr Wheeler wrote that, although there had been an autopsy and arrest warrants had been issued for four suspects, none had been arrested because "no-one dare arrest them". The judge had "commented that the judiciary is not afraid but is hampered by a lack of support from the police who do not effect judicial orders". Mr Wheeler wrote that this was "hard to accept" given the reluctance to deal with the case.
- **895.** An email from a junior official in IPU on 17 June reported that General Hassan had "been fired". 854 There was concern over the impact that could have on progressing Mr Abbas Allawi's case and that those responsible may view General Hassan's removal as "proof that they are untouchable".

<sup>850</sup> Report Dutton, 15 June 2005, 'CG MND(SE) - Southern Iraq Update - 15 June 2005'.

<sup>851</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to IPU [junior official], 14 June 2005, 'Allawi Case – Minister of the Interior'.

<sup>852</sup> Email Wheeler to FCO [junior officials], 15 June 2005, 'Re: Allawi Case – Minister of the Interior'.

<sup>853</sup> Email Wheeler to FCO [junior officials], 17 June 2005, 'Allewi Case Update'.

<sup>854</sup> Email IPU [junior official] to Patey, 17 June 2005, 'Re: Allewi Case Update'.

**896.** Mr Straw raised the issue with Mr Jabr in the margins of the Iraq Conference in Brussels on 22 June.<sup>855</sup> Mr Jabr agreed with the importance of investigating the case and said that a team had already travelled to Basra to do so. He said that "the problem was that the policemen responsible had been appointed well before he assumed office".

**897.** On 4 July, Mr Innes emailed Mr Paul Fox, Head of IPU, to report that the PIU had been disbanded and the Department of Internal Affairs (DIA) purged. Around 290 of the 560 officers from the PIU and the DIA had been transferred to the new Criminal Intelligence Unit (CIU), a unit established a few weeks earlier as part of an MOI project to establish an "FBI style organisation" with branches around the country.

**898.** Mr Innes raised further concerns that the former head of the DIA, who had been sacked in January, had been appointed by the MOI to command the CIU.<sup>856</sup> Mr Innes summarised:

"So, where does all that leave us? I think we can take a good deal of credit for provoking the dismemberment of the PIU and the DIA. The old rings have been broken, and the practice of systemic abuse has been disrupted. There has been a real shake-up in personnel and command. According to intelligence and eye-witness reports, the Jameat has indeed turned over a new leaf ... The fact remains however that bad men are still at large; of the three officers we named in our earlier lobbying of MOI, two are now in the new CIU and the third ... is still in the DIA. We will continue to urge their arrest, investigation, and prosecution – though we need to accept that the political and tribal constraints on this actually happening are considerable. Their sacking from the IPS would at least send an important signal, and we may have to settle for that."

**899.** On 17 July, DCC Smith reported that the PIU's closure had left 100 incomplete cases that were now being reviewed by the Serious Crimes Unit (SCU).<sup>857</sup> There was also the release of a number of detainees because there was "no evidence against them in the case files". A Ministry of Health team had visited the Jameat on 13 July to carry out medical checks on all detainees and a routine of daily visits had been put in place.

**900.** DCC Smith wrote that details about the CIU's structure were "conflicting" but it appeared to have "approximately 350 staff". It was directed from Baghdad to act as an intelligence-gathering agency without powers to make arrests, though DCC Smith observed that this direction was unlikely to be followed. He commented that "the Governor and Chief of Police currently refuse to work with the CIU and their remit and responsibilities are unclear". A former head of the CIU had made "currently unsubstantiated allegations" that the CIU was being funded by officers taking

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>855</sup> Email Siddiq to Patey, 22 June 2005, 'The Foreign Secretary's Discussion with Iraqi Interior Minister, 22 June 2005'.

<sup>856</sup> Email Innes to FCO [junior official], 4 July 2005, 'Basra: Police'.

<sup>857</sup> Minute Smith, 'Weekly Report – Week Ending Sunday 17th July 2005'.

bribes to release detainees and that the CIU were using force to beat confessions out of prisoners.

- **901.** On Mr Abbas Allawi's case, DCC Smith reported that a second meeting of the investigation team had been held and one of the suspects had been arrested. Two new suspects had been identified but it was "unlikely" they would be arrested without MOI support because of the "instability" it would cause. A representative from the UN would be taking the matter to the Minister for Human Rights and Baghdad to "add more pressure for action to be taken".
- **902.** Mr Fox visited Iraq from 17 to 21 July. 858 He reported that progress was being made on policing, stating he "left Iraq believing the overall picture to be positive". He explained that DCC Smith was creating a link between the strategic and operational levels and recommended he took on the role of adviser to the Deputy Minister of the Interior responsible for policing.
- **903.** When in Basra, Mr Fox had requested details from personnel on achievements against the 12-month plan and on the next steps. Mr Fox's report made no mention of concern about corruption, infiltration or abuse.
- **904.** Mr Fox described the GCPP-funded prisons project in MND(SE), where eight UK trainers had trained 250 prison staff, as having "established an independent detention monitoring team" and worked closely with the US "to spread best practice elsewhere" as a "good example of a small-scale, low cost (£1.9m) project delivering outcomes far greater than the inputs".
- **905.** On 22 July, a junior IPU official briefed Dr Howells that reports suggested that abuse by the Iraqi police was much more widespread. Pressure should be maintained on the Iraqi authorities to tackle the issue.<sup>859</sup>
- **906.** The official wrote that Mr Abbas Allawi's case had "shown that our policy of engagement and strong lobbying can work" and "the alternative to disengage and cease co-operation would only give abusers a free rein to continue abuse unmonitored".
- **907.** The official advised that the UK should continue to lobby both in Iraq and internationally, should ensure that abuse was on the agenda at weekly meetings in Baghdad, and should push for a strong Iraqi Human Rights Minister. He also stated that there was a need to increase police human rights awareness to overcome an "inherited ethos of abuse and stifle any tendency towards sectarian victimisation". UK forces had reviewed their procedures for transferring detainees to Iraqi custody and were in the process of introducing further guidance on protecting detainees' human rights but there was no specific evidence that the police with whom MNF-I in MND(SE) engaged were abusing detainees.

<sup>858</sup> Minute Fox to Sawers, 27 July 2005, 'Iraq: Visit: 17-21 July'.

<sup>859</sup> Minute IPU [junior official] to Asquith and Howells, 22 July 2005, 'Iraq – Abuse by Iraqi Police'.

**908.** On 29 July, a junior official from the MOD submitted a similar briefing to the Private Office of a Parliamentary Under Secretary for Defence.<sup>860</sup> The official wrote:

"It has become increasingly clear ... that abuse in the Iraqi Security Forces may be far more widespread than the specific incidents of which we are aware suggest, with reports now beginning to reach the media. This raises two issues: what should be done to address human rights abuses in Iraq – FCO are leading on this area; and the nature of our wider involvement with the IPS?"

909. The official described work under way on tackling abuse and added:

"Although instances of abuse by the IPS are ultimately a matter for the Iraqi Government, our involvement in the training of the IPS ... means that we may be judged by the media and others to be culpable. Legally, we may also be at risk if our involvement could be judged as directly assisting units that systematically torture detainees."

**910.** In his weekly report on 28 August, DCC Smith highlighted that the CIU's name was likely to be changed to the National Information and Investigation Agency: "The Iraqis are not 'keen' on either 'intelligence' or 'criminal' in the name." He wrote that a number of officers previously involved in corrupt or criminal behaviour remained members of the CIU and that the unit's training could not begin until they were removed by the MOI. There had been no progress in investigating Mr Abbas Allawi's case.

# Continued plans for a UK troop drawdown

On 16 June 2005, Dr Reid advised his colleagues in DOP(I) that:

"... considerable progress had been made on the development of the Iraqi Security Forces. 165,000 were now trained. There could be a drawdown in British troop numbers starting at the beginning of 2006 ... culminating in the middle of that year."

On 20 July, Dr Reid presented a paper to DOP(I) on transition in Iraq. 863 The paper suggested that handover in Maysan and Muthanna would occur from March 2006, with Basra slightly later in July 2006 (no specific date was given for Dhi Qar). "Handover" would see Iraqis assuming security control of their province. The paper described the ISF in MND(SE) as "fragile and untested" but made no reference to issues of loyalty, corruption or abuse by ISF personnel. The failure to deliver an effective ISF and wider criminal justice capability was seen as one of two key risks to transition (the other being a deterioration in the security situation).

DOP(I) agreed Dr Reid's proposed approach on 21 July.864

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>860</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to PS/USofS [MOD], 29 July 2005, 'Iraq: Alleged Abuse of Detainees by the Iraqi Police Service'.

<sup>861</sup> Minute Smith, 28 August 2005, 'Weekly Report - Week Ending Sunday 28th August 2005'.

<sup>862</sup> Minutes, 16 June 2005, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>863</sup> Paper MOD, 20 July 2005, 'Operational Transition in Iraq'.

<sup>864</sup> Minutes, 21 July 2005, DOP(I) meeting.

## UK equipment for the Iraqi Security Forces: Project OSIRIS II

**911.** In Dr Hutton's update to Mr Hoon of 24 March (described earlier in this Section), Dr Hutton warned of risks that other aspects of SSR were lagging behind the Iraqi Army and of a requirement for further funding since there were "constraints on GCPP funding for existing policing projects beyond the next six months, and no identified resources for additional policing effort". 865

**912.** Dr Hutton advised that SSR required the "sufficient and appropriate investment of UK financial resources". Work was under way to develop a coherent plan for the financial year 2005/06 which would form the basis of a request to Treasury for support from the Reserve. The plan was to be aligned with other government departments and sources of funding from others, including the US.

**913.** On 29 April, a Force Level Review by the MOD recommended an increase of 535 personnel to "resource properly the Security Sector Reform (SSR) task" which was where the "main effort" lay. 866 Of the 27 military sub-units (each of around 100 personnel) that would be in MND(SE) following the troop rotation, only four were to be fully dedicated to SSR and a further 12 available would provide some input when their primary tasks allowed.

**914.** On 15 June, an official in PJHQ wrote to Dr Reid to highlight ongoing discussions with Treasury for an additional £38m<sup>867</sup> to fund a successor programme to Project OSIRIS.<sup>868</sup> The bulk of expenditure would be spent on mobility and the remainder on ISF training infrastructure, communications, logistics and command and control functions. The Treasury had taken "a close interest" and was keen to ensure that there was "no duplication" with funding allocated to the FCO and DFID.

**915.** The official in PJHQ wrote that Project OSIRIS had been "a success". The 10th Division could "deploy battalions with all four of their rifle companies correctly armed and equipped" and had improved communications equipment. The IPS, who "bear the brunt of the violence in the region", were correctly armed, wore body armour and were able to communicate at a local level when on duty. The border police were also armed correctly and had basic communications equipment.

**916.** Dr Reid responded on 23 June, acknowledging that expenditure on SSR and military-led reconstruction had been judged as a success and confirming that he was content for negotiations with the Treasury for the additional funding to be pursued. 869

<sup>865</sup> Minute Hutton to APS/SofS [MOD], 24 March 2005, 'Iraqi Self-Reliance and Strategic Intent'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>866</sup> DG Op Pol to PS/Secretary of State [MOD], 29 April 2005, 'Iraq: UK Roulement and Force Level Review'.

<sup>867</sup> The full request was for £58m, £20m of which was requested for the Civil Effects Fund.

<sup>868</sup> Minute Scholefieldt to PS/Secretary of State [MOD], 15 June 2005, 'Funding for a Further Programme of Security Sector Reform and a Civil Effects Fund for MND(SE)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>869</sup> Minute APS/SofS [MOD] to Command Secretary PJHQ, 23 June 2005, 'Iraq: Funding for a Further Programme of Security Sector Reform and a Civil Effects Fund for MND(SE)'.

**917.** Mr Des Browne, the Chief Secretary to the Treasury, wrote to Dr Reid on 23 August, approving £16m from the Reserve for the package of vehicles, infrastructure and communications equipment that had been presented by MOD officials.<sup>870</sup> Of the future, he wrote:

"Looking ahead, I hope that it will be possible for you to find other means of funding the remaining elements – either by negotiating with Baghdad, for a larger share of what is available (it is striking that MND(SE) provinces are right at the bottom of the priority list for ISF funding from Baghdad despite being among the best candidates for early transition to ISF control), or by encouraging our allies – most of whom are spending far less than we are in maintaining forces on the ground – to play a bigger role."

**918.** On 30 November, Dr Reid wrote to Mr Browne again, explaining that the MOD had secured funding from MNSTC-I for infrastructure projects valued at £15m and from Australia, Italy and Japan for other projects.<sup>871</sup> The MOD reduced its request to £19.6m for 734 IPS vehicles and for 11 ISF infrastructure projects. Dr Reid stated that the additional funds he was seeking were "on the critical path to enable the transfer of lead responsibility for security to the ISF within the timescales we have been discussing in DOP-I".

**919.** Mr Browne wrote to Dr Reid on 20 December, approving an additional £19.6m from the Reserve for 734 patrol vehicles and 11 major infrastructure projects.<sup>872</sup> That was "on the basis that they continue to meet the ISF's highest priority needs and that funding from alternative sources does not become available".

**920.** Mr Browne added that the delay to commencing withdrawal from Muthanna and Maysan was "regrettable, particularly when the military advice is that there is no overwhelming security need to postpone our withdrawal". He asked to be kept informed of the resource implications.

**921.** On 21 December, AM Torpy wrote to Lt Gen Fry to highlight key issues for 2006 (as described earlier in this Section).<sup>873</sup> On SSR resourcing, AM Torpy stated:

"The best efforts of our staff are being hampered by the speed of decisions that were designed for a different era."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>870</sup> Letter Browne to Reid, 23 August 2005, 'Iraq: Funding for Security Sector Reform and for a Civil Effects Fund for MND(SE)'.

<sup>871</sup> Letter Reid to Browne, 30 November 2005, [untitled].

<sup>872</sup> Letter Browne to Reid, 20 December 2005, 'Security Sector Reform'.

<sup>873</sup> Minute CJO to DCDS(C), 21 December 2005, 'Key Operational Issues for Early 2006'.

## **Legacy in the South**

**922.** Lt Gen Dutton told the Inquiry that, on his arrival in June, "the priority was definitely Security Sector Reform".<sup>874</sup> He said that the UK was optimistic about progress in the South-East:

"I can remember being told actually, in my briefings in the MOD, that my job was to go there for six months and make sure nothing went wrong because things were going right, you know, just keep the thing ticking over and we will be okay."

- **923.** He said that the priority of SSR was skewed "massively" by the increased security threat shortly after he arrived which meant that more resource had to be devoted to force protection.
- **924.** At the Chiefs of Staff meeting on 13 July, CJO briefed that, of the planned total of IPS officers, 58 percent had now been recruited and trained.<sup>875</sup> Reports suggested that Maj Gen Dutton was encouraged by the progress made.
- **925.** On 26 August 2005, the IPU produced a discussion paper on what MND(SE) should look like in autumn 2006.<sup>876</sup> The objective for "security/Rule of Law" was:

"Security forces (including police) which can provide sufficient law and order to avert descent into full-blown criminality and chaos, and which owe their allegiance to the state and local administrations, with tribal and militia allegiances managed."

- **926.** The IPU noted that local political parties were inserting their followers into the IPS and that there were reports of assassinations, abductions, torture, intimidation and corruption.
- **927.** The IPU then posed a number of suggestions and questions that needed to be addressed. Alongside lobbying various politicians and securing convictions of corrupt police officers, the IPU asked:
  - "• How far do we go in reaching out to Shia militiamen … Is it fruitless to give support to a Chief of Police who has no militia links?
  - Working a dialogue on 'transfer of responsibility, not irresponsibility', into the
    work of the Committee to Transfer Security Responsibility in Baghdad without
    inadvertently including a nebulous target and further conditions the Iraqis cannot
    hope to meet.
  - A cultural shift (on, eg, abuse, corruption) will take years. Are we prepared to commit mentors and advisers for years to come?"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>874</sup> Public hearing, 12 July 2010, page 17.

<sup>875</sup> Minutes, 13 July 2005, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>876</sup> Paper IPU, 26 August 2005, 'MND (South-East) in Autumn 2006: Discussion Paper' attaching eGram 12326/05 [Basra] to Iraq Directorate, 1 September 2005, 'Southern Iraq: the Legacy'.

**928.** The Iraq Strategy Group considered the IPU paper on 2 September.<sup>877</sup> The lack of progress on the police and a gap in funding for the Iraqi Armed Forces were highlighted.

## Crime and power in Basra

Mr James Tansley took over as Consul General in Basra in September and sent a telegram to FCO colleagues with his first impressions on 31 October.<sup>878</sup> He described Basra as "a city whose social, political and economic structures had largely decayed". The political scene had been "dominated by rivalry between Sadrists and Baristas" and differences between the two groups had grown in the last two years, flaring up into "open confrontation".

Mr Tansley wrote that the city was "a less liberal place than it was a year ago, with gangs enforcing clampdowns on the sale of DVDs, musical instruments, alcohol, women's attire and behaviour and gambling through intimidation. Both Sunnis and Christians ha[d] been targeted by Shia extremists."

On crime, Mr Tansley wrote:

"The Iraqi Police do not compile crime statistics. But over the summer, our police advisers have recorded an average of 65 murders a month in the province. Kidnapping and extortion are rife. Basra has long been known for smuggling and prostitution ... [and] in recent years, the province has become an increasingly important conduit for illegal drugs from Afghanistan via Iran.

"But the serious money today comes from oil smuggling ... estimates from both the US IRMO [Iraq Reconstruction and Management Office] and MND(SE) suggest that possibly as much as 30 percent of the South's oil production is appropriated illegally ...

"Corruption may be endemic throughout Iraq. But in Basra, where the opportunities for illicit gain are greater, the stakes are higher ... Links between crime and politics abound. No prominent politician seems untainted, and all the main players have their own militias with links to the various law enforcement agencies ...

"Compared to Central and Northern Iraq, the number of coalition casualties in Basra has been low. But the threat of kidnapping and EFP IED [Explosively Formed Projective Improvised Explosive Device] attacks by anti-coalition groups remains high, and disrupts both our and MND(SE)'s work. In addition, the levels of criminal, political and sectarian violence are high and rising. This lawlessness overshadows all life in Basra, and acts as a major obstacle to development ...

"The police (IPS) are currently unable to address Basra's security problems. Minimal screening of entrants to the IPS after the fall of Saddam [Hussein], poor leadership and the connections between politicians and crime in Basra have resulted in a force riven with factions, many of whom are as likely to be involved in criminal activity as helping to prevent it ..."

<sup>877</sup> Letter Cabinet Office [junior official] to Sheinwald, 6 September 2005, 'Iraq Strategy Group'.

<sup>878</sup> Telegram 16985/05 Basra to FCO London, 31 October 2005, 'Basra: First Impressions'.

**929.** On 7 September, the FCO circulated a Transition Plan for the IPS in southern Iraq, which had been produced by the Consulate in Basra in consultation with UK police and military in theatre and agreed with DFID, the MOD and the Home Office.<sup>879</sup> There was recognition that the Iraqi police had been limited in what they could achieve due to a lack of trained personnel, shortages of equipment and inadequate facilities. The plan aimed to address those factors by achieving a set of quantitative and qualitative targets in the areas of training, police support infrastructure, intelligence capability, operational capability and public support. The timetable for those targets was driven by the established plans for military withdrawal.

#### **930.** The plan stated:

"The IPS runs its own operations in Southern Iraq. Standards across the South vary, but generally speaking the IPS has a growing capacity to perform policing functions from community patrolling to counter-terrorism. It has enough training and equipment to allow it to patrol 24 hours a day. It has the capability to respond to calls for assistance from the public and co-ordinate with other agencies in an emergency. It has the resources to tackle public disorder and is capable of gathering intelligence and detecting crime. It knows how to manage a crime scene and exploit forensic evidence."

**931.** The more detailed figures on police training provided in the FCO plan, when compared with earlier MOD papers, made clear that the overall figure of 55 percent of police trained masked considerable variations across MND(SE) – whereas 90 percent of personnel in Dhi Qar province had received training, the figures for Muthanna and Basra were considerably lower (40 percent and 42 percent respectively). The plan noted:

"Police reform in Basra is the most complex task facing us. Far more police need training than in the other provinces [in MND(SE)] combined; and the culture of corruption and abuse is deeply ingrained. Militia infiltration threatens our efforts to encourage an independent apolitical police force."

**932.** The plan stated that the ability to solve those problems lay with the Iraqi authorities and that there were no effective levers within the UK's control. The FCO concluded:

"The IPS in Southern Iraq is functioning, with minimal supervision. We could leave today and it would continue to function. There would, however, remain serious question marks about the destabilising activities of the militias, corruption, lack of public accountability and human rights abuse within the IPS. We are addressing these problems but they will not disappear overnight ... We know where we want to be at transition ... We must be realistic about what we can achieve here: our

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>879</sup> Letter FCO [junior official] to Cabinet Office [junior official], 7 September 2005, 'Iraqi Police Service Transition Plan for Southern Iraq' attaching Paper Consulate Basra, 7 September 2005, 'Southern Iraq: Iraqi Police service – Transitional Plan'.

aim should be to leave an IPS comparable to other competent police forces in the region."

**933.** On 9 September, Mr Quarrey provided Mr Blair with a number of weekly reports. 880 On the covering note he listed a number of causes for concern including: the "apparent involvement of members of Basra Police in attacks against the MNF, and a claim from the Basra Chief of Police that only 500 out of 12,000 Basra Police are loyal to him".

#### 934. Mr Quarrey concluded by saying:

"We still do not have the comprehensive picture that we need of what is going on in Basra. Kim Howells visits next week. I have spoken to his office today and emphasised that you are personally very concerned about the situation and that we need a serious report from him on this."

**935.** Mr Blair's manuscript comments said: "This is v.worrying. It all depends on the ISF being built up credibly."881

# THE IMPACT OF POLITICAL AND TRIBAL MATTERS ON THE POLICE IN THE SOUTH

**936.** On 22 August 2005, Chief Inspector Barry Pollin, the Senior Police Adviser in MND(SE), produced a report on the impact of political parties and tribes on the IPS in MND(SE). That paper was circulated to Iraq Senior Official Group members on 14 September, along with the Transitional Plan (as described earlier in this Section) which was to be considered out of committee. 883

# **Tribal justice**

The paper produced by Chief Inspector Barry Pollin, the Senior Police Adviser in MND(SE), on 22 August 2005, included an annex on extra-judicial justice, which stated that it was important for that issue to be "thoroughly addressed" given those influences were "notoriously strong" in the South-East.<sup>884</sup>

The annex stated that a void within the Iraqi Law on criminal proceedings allowed for the use of tribal justice through agreement between the tribes of the aggrieved party and the perpetrator. In the majority of cases, that involved payment of financial compensation, although other resolutions, such as arranged marriages, were also known.

<sup>880</sup> Minute Quarrey to Prime Minister, 9 September 2005, 'Iraq Update'.

<sup>881</sup> Manuscript comment Blair on Minute Quarrey to Prime Minister, 9 September 2005, 'Iraq Update'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>882</sup> Paper Pollin, 22 August 2005, 'The Impact of Political and Tribal Matters on the Iraq Police Service in South-East Iraq'.

<sup>883</sup> Letter Cabinet Office [junior official] to Asquith, 14 September 2005, 'Irag: Senior Officials'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>884</sup> Report, 'Annex B – The Judiciary and Extra-Judicial "Justice" attached to Paper, 'The Impact of Political and Tribal Matters on the Iraqi Police Service in South-East Iraq'.

It found that the "post-war instability of the Iraqi society appears to have increased reliance on tribal justice even in the urban areas". In some cases offences were not reported to the police at all, but simply dealt with through the tribal justice system. One example provided was alleged rape victims being placed in prison custody "to protect them from honour-related violence from their tribe".

The annex recommended that, in the longer term, the Iraqi Government should engage the public in a general debate on tribal justice, including "its reasoning and justification". A comprehensive study of tribal justice was needed to understand its impact on the criminal justice system. Efforts should also be made to raise awareness in criminal justice institutions. Police training should include understanding which offences could legally be resolved through the tribal system, and which must be referred to an investigating magistrate. A dialogue should be commenced with tribal leaders to improve compliance with Iraqi law.

#### 937. CI Pollin wrote:

"Immediately post-April 2003, the relationship between the IPS and the political parties and their militia was largely opportunistic: it was based on the affiliation and sympathies of individual members who were joining. (This is not the case in other parts of the security forces.) However, more recently, political parties and militia have been exploiting the lack of transparent recruitment, vetting and central oversight to deliberately place their supporters within the IPS.

"It is assessed that the majority of IPS officers are associated with a political party and/or tribe with whom their allegiance is stronger than their allegiance to the IPS. The extent to these ties and the degree to which they undermine the efficiency of the police to support the Rule of Law is significant. It is now likely that if called upon to take action against them, the IPS would support their party's militia or tribe. The larger parties have well-armed and well-organised militias, but the paramilitary capabilities of the tribes vary.

"Often, political party and tribal allegiances of one or both heavily influence the dynamics of the relations between the Chiefs of Police and Provincial Governors. The potential of the parties to use the IPS to effect political, social and religious influence is a serious concern. By using affiliated IPS officers to carry out 'de-Ba'athification', the political parties are able to create vacancies in influential positions within the IPS for their own members. There are also signs that Islamic fundamentalism is increasing within IPS ranks.

"It is clear that the judiciary in the South is subject to interference either direct or indirect from tribes, political parties, militia and IPS intimidation. Subsequently, they are not the Rule of Law bulwark that they should be or that they need to be in order to address tribal, religious or political party influence."

#### The Jameat incident and subsequent developments

**938.** On 17 September, UK forces detained two leading members of a JAM splinter group.<sup>885</sup> On 19 September, two UK soldiers were arrested by the IPS in Basra and taken to the Jameat Police Station. Negotiations to release the soldiers failed, and a rescue was mounted by MND(SE). That became known as 'the Jameat incident' and is described in detail in the Box below.

#### The Jameat incident

On 19 September, two UK soldiers were arrested by the IPS.<sup>886</sup> According to the account provided to No.10 by Dr Reid's office, the two soldiers had been working under cover following up the operation against JAM the previous weekend. They had been parked at the side of a road when an unmarked vehicle with four men in plain clothes pulled up behind them. Two people got out of the car and walked towards the soldiers' vehicle, with weapons cocked. The UK soldiers, believing they were "facing death or serious injury", opened fire killing one of the men and wounding the other. Not realising the men they had shot were police, the soldiers tried to escape but were blocked by police in several marked vehicles who opened fire. At that point, the two soldiers put down their weapons and produced their identification.

Although the uniformed police initially appeared willing to talk constructively with the soldiers, "the atmosphere changed significantly" when Iraqi police arrived in plain clothes. The two UK soldiers were reported to have been beaten and then taken to the Jameat Police Station, which was known to MND(SE) as a "notorious detention facility" and home of the Serious Crimes Unit (SCU), "which had been infiltrated by militant elements, especially the Jaysh al Mahdi and (by his own admission) were outside the control of the Chief of Police".

Negotiations to hand over the arrested soldiers to MNF, in line with agreed practice where MNF personnel were arrested by ISF, failed and the negotiators themselves were unable to leave the Jameat Police Station. The Governor and Chief of Police had made it clear that they were not in a position to offer any assistance and, despite explicit directions by the Chief of Police to release the two soldiers, the IPS refused to comply. Orders from the MOI in Baghdad were similarly disregarded. The General commanding the 10th Division of the Iraqi Army also "refused to get involved in the incident".

A rescue operation was successfully mounted by MND(SE) using armed force to free the six negotiators and the two soldiers. That was achieved without casualties on either side but caused significant damage to the wall of the police station and several police vehicles. The two soldiers who had originally been arrested were found to have been taken to a house away from the police station and held by what was suspected to be a mixture of JAM and IPS personnel. A further rescue operation was carried out successfully (again without casualties) to free them later that evening.

<sup>886</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Quarrey, 23 September 2005, 'Iraq Update' attaching COS MND(SE), 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 21 September'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>885</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Quarrey, 23 September 2005, 'Iraq Update' attaching COS MND(SE), 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 21 September'.

**939.** The Chiefs of Staff considered the events at their meeting on 21 September, briefed by Lieutenant General Andrew Ridgway, the Chief of Defence Intelligence.<sup>887</sup> The minutes recorded that:

"The incident in Basra was the inevitable product of the dual loyalties of IPS members: whilst giving Iraqis status and pay, it also enabled them to sustain their tribal, religious and – in the worst cases – militia associations; these reflected Iraqi and Middle Eastern society, did not necessarily represent infiltration, but would endure and thus would remain a key planning consideration for Security Sector Reform (SSR).

"The success of SSR depended on the proportion of the Iraqi Security Force (ISF) personnel that enjoyed these dual loyalties benignly without affecting their professional integrity, against the number that exploited their ISF positions to effect intimidation and murder ... this proportion was not known and was unlikely to be clear for another six-nine months ...

"The continued existence of insurgents in the ISF evinced the weakness of the Iraqi Ministry of the Interior (MOI): having failed to purge and reform the IPS, despite being aware of problems for at least a year, the MOI needed to be galvanised by UK Government pressure through the Cabinet Office."

**940.** Mr Straw chaired a meeting on 23 September – involving Dr Reid, Mr Benn, Gen Walker and senior officials from No.10, the FCO and DFID – to discuss the incident and agree what advice should be put to Mr Blair on current policy for South East Iraq. 888 A record of the meeting by Dr Reid's Private Secretary stated Sir Nigel Sheinwald "stressed that the PM needed advice on how to deal with the political and security strands of our policy; he was not expecting a sudden lurch in any direction away from our current plan".

#### **941.** The record stated:

"During discussion it was stressed that the incident ... should be seen as a relatively minor one which had resulted in a great deal of media attention."

**942.** Those present at the meeting "agreed that the incident would probably prove to be a blip but it had highlighted the need to review the overall strategy and ensure we were on the right track". Dr Reid "suggested that the IPS be audited by a UK specialist", Mr Asquith agreed to investigate the practicality of getting someone like Sir Ronnie Flanagan, HM Chief Inspector of Constabulary, to provide the analysis.

<sup>887</sup> Minutes, 21 September 2005, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>888</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Asquith, 26 September 2005, 'Meeting to Discuss South East Iraq: Impact of Security Incident in Basra'.

#### An assessment of the Iraqi Security Forces

On 28 September 2005, the JIC assessed the security situation in the South. 889 On the ISF and local governance, it stated:

"This fragility of local governance is not new and is mirrored to a greater or lesser degree across the country. We have previously judged the Iraqi security forces (ISF) in the South to be brittle, largely untested and under the influence of political and tribal factions; and noted that the relationship between regional and national government is tenuous. The effectiveness of the police in particular has been a persistent concern. Policemen have been implicated in the recent murder of two journalists working for US papers; intelligence has indicated serious abuse of prisoners on political and sectarian grounds; and [...] some police were conducting assassinations on behalf of political militias [...] The scale of divided loyalty within the police, and the ISF more generally, is difficult to quantify. Most members of the ISF undoubtedly have allegiance to political factions or tribes: under pressure their reliability will be doubtful. We judge that a significant number actively colludes with Shia extremist militias."

**943.** The Iraqi and UK authorities in Basra conducted separate investigations into the Jameat incident. <sup>890</sup> Mr Patey met Prime Minister Ja'afari to discuss the Iraqi report on 30 September. The Iraqi investigation found faults on both sides but attributed "80 percent of the blame ... to the British", a position that was refuted by Mr Patey. When pressed on the need to take action against the militia influence at the Jameat Police Station, Mr Ja'afari claimed that the Transitional Administrative Law (TAL) gave central government very little control over the local police. Mr Patey reminded Mr Ja'afari that the worst offenders were the CIU which reported directly to the Minister of the Interior.

**944.** The UK military's investigation into the incident concluded that no crime had been committed by the UK's soldiers, as reported to Dr Reid by a junior MOD official on 28 November. Two separate investigations were carried out by military officers from the regiment responsible for troops at the Jameat cordon who judged that the actions of British troops were compliant with the Rules of Engagement and their right to self defence. They deemed that no further investigation by the Special Investigation Branch was required. Those findings had been reviewed by "a higher authority" and endorsed by AM Torpy and Gen Walker.

**945.** At the Chiefs of Staff meeting on 5 October, the minutes recorded a "need to resolve differences" between the UK's enquiry into events at Jameat and those of the Iraqi investigation team. <sup>892</sup> They hoped to close the issue with a "Joint (Iraqi/UK) statement when one could be agreed".

<sup>889</sup> JIC Assessment, 28 September 2005, 'Irag: the Security Situation in the South'.

<sup>890</sup> eGram 14641/05 Baghdad to FCO London, 1 October 2005, 'Iraq: Basra Investigation'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>891</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to PS/SofS [MOD], 28 November 2005, 'Iraq: Investigation of Events in Basra on 19 September'.

<sup>892</sup> Minutes, 5 October 2005, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

**946.** The Annotated Agenda for the DOP(I) meeting on 12 October (circulated on 11 October) confirmed that a joint statement had been agreed.<sup>893</sup>

**947.** The final paper for Mr Blair was produced on 30 September by the FCO, the MOD and DFID.<sup>894</sup> It was sent to No.10 by Mr Peter Hayes, Principal Private Secretary to Mr Straw. It stated:

"The security incident on 19 September ... highlights what was previously more opaque, that we face acute challenges in achieving our objectives in the South-East region. Stability in the South-East is being threatened by intense rivalry among political parties and their militias. Criminality, jockeying for patronage and leaders' differing political visions are being exacerbated by tribalism and increasing religiosity. Specifically, this has a severe impact on the effectiveness of the police service."

**948.** The paper stated that negative media reporting was "wrong":

"While militia rivalry is a fact of life in the Basra police, the behaviour of the Jameat police station ... is not representative of the police service as a whole. Some 70 out of 240 Jameat officers are pursuing primarily a militia rather than an IPS agenda. This should be set against a total southern police force of around 14,000. Police officers will continue to have dual loyalties to the state on one hand and militia/tribe on the other. It will take five, possibly 10 years to train up a sufficiently large cadre of middle management police officers loyal to state institutions."

**949.** Although the Basra Governor and Provincial Council had "refused to do business with us", their credibility meant that the effect was "not significant". The "immediate problem" was whether there would be "reprisal" attacks on UK personnel, and whether police and prison mentors could safely be deployed to ISF units. Training teams had been withdrawn from Basra city and some areas beyond, but the British military were "back on the ground visiting police stations".

**950.** On whether the UK was "on the right policy course", the paper stated:

"Asserting direct British control over local government and rule of [law] institutions is out of the question. There is no legal base for this ... If, on the other hand, we were to **pull out more rapidly**, the Transitional Government and security forces would be unable to fill the vacuum. Militia rivalry would escalate. Iran's influence would be entirely unchecked. We would be accused of 'cutting and running'.

"Our only realistic option is to maintain our course and see the job through. But we need to make adjustments to our policy, while sticking to our strategic approach of ensuring in due course successful transition of responsibility for Rule of Law in the South-East to the Iragis ... We should apply pressure on the Iragi

<sup>893</sup> Annotated Agenda, 11 October 2005, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>894</sup> Letter Hayes to Quarrey, 30 September 2005, 'Iraq: Basra' attaching Paper 'South-East Iraq: Impact of Security Incident in Basra'.

<u>authorities to take action</u> against those who abuse and represent a threat to law and order ... they should start with firm action against Jameat personnel."

**951.** The immediate next steps identified by Mr Hayes included to:

- "Secure an explicit undertaking from the Governor and (new) Chief of Police that our personnel will be able to operate in safety";
- "Get a clear commitment from Baghdad politicians to grip the South-East";
- "Get the Interior Minister to visit Basra, immediately";
- "Demonstrate to the international community (in particular, the US) that we can handle the situation" – while the underlying problems in Basra were "serious", they were "not new" and could be managed by the UK; and
- "Consider the dispatch of a senior UK police officer" to "audit the police in MND(SE)".
- **952.** Amongst the actions advised by Mr Hayes for the medium and longer term were that the UK would need to ensure an "**effective**" Chief of Police was in place (potentially replacing the incumbent with no party ties with one with "political clout"), to redeploy training teams and allocate more resources.
- **953.** The paper also cautioned: "we may not be able to deliver, by next year, the minimum standards required in Rule of Law and governance."
- **954.** In response, Mr Blair agreed that there was no need to change the overall strategy but Sir Nigel Sheinwald recorded in a letter on 4 October to Mr Hayes:

"He [Mr Blair] is convinced ... that we need a major and sustained push over the next few months on the political and security lines of operation if we are to get what we need – the political process moving ahead on time and producing an effective and moderate Iraqi Government after the elections, with visible progress on the Iraqiisation of security."895

**955.** Mr Blair agreed to a review to establish "whether our police training strategy in the South-East is working, and whether the national policing strategy knits together". He also agreed that a visit by Sir Ronnie Flanagan would be a good idea. Mr Blair wanted "a UK Minister to take ownership of our overall policing strategy, including our liaison with the US over national strategy" and that "this needs to be supported by a dedicated and sufficiently strong team in London". The FCO was asked to work on that with the Cabinet Office and the MOD, though the letter also noted that "the Prime Minister would be grateful if the Defence Secretary could continue to oversee the overall security strategy".

-

<sup>895</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Hayes, 4 October 2005, 'Iraq Strategy'.

**956.** In an email on 7 October to senior officials in the Home Office and the FCO, CC Kernaghan said that he was "naturally supportive" of Sir Ronnie's appointment to review the UK's policing contribution in Iraq. <sup>896</sup> However, he expressed concern that there was an "apparent lack of strategic vision" within the UK Government and asked whether Mr Blair had ever been briefed on his earlier reports, particularly his first report dated May 2003 (described earlier in this Section). He highlighted a number of issues that he believed a review of the UK's strategy on policing in Iraq needed to take into account, including:

- the level of resource finance and personnel that the UK was willing to commit;
- an assessment of what influence the UK had with both the Iraqi Government and the US in the context of SSR; and
- whether the UK's interest was limited to MND(SE) or applied to Iraq as a whole.

**957.** On 10 October, Mr Wheeler produced an update of policing in each of the four MND(SE) provinces.<sup>897</sup> He described both Muthanna and Dhi Qar provinces as "conducive to police reform" but highlighted more serious issues in the other two provinces. His comments on Maysan province are dealt with later in this Section.

#### 958. On Basra, he said:

"In Basra the situation is most complex. The security threat is high (we are in lock-down but are reviewing whether PAT movements might happen under military escort). There is significant IPS/militia affiliation, abuse and assassinations are carried out by those in the Jameat and the Governor and Council have recently been encouraging non co-operation ..."

**959.** On 24 October, Maj Gen Dutton wrote to Maj Gen Wall, setting out his views and proposals for action to improve management of the IPS programme.<sup>898</sup> On the nature of the current problems, he stated:

"The events of 19 September 2005 in Basra brought the issue into sharp focus and to public attention, but nothing that happened in that incident will have come as a surprise to anyone who had been involved or who had followed the reporting from MND (SE) over a period of many months. The problems associated with the Jameat Police Station: the lack of control and authority of the Basra Chief of Police and the problems of the divided loyalties of many policemen who are controlled (and indeed in some places planted in the Police) by militant factions, was well known and reported. Knowledge of the problem does not of course make the situation any more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>896</sup> Email Kernaghan to Home Office [junior official], 7 October 2005, 'Possible assessment of UK development of IPS by Sir Ronnie Flanagan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>897</sup> Telegram 15268/05 Basra to FCO London, 10 October 2005, 'Update on Reform of the Iraqi Police Service in Southern Iraq'.

<sup>898</sup> Letter Dutton to Wall, 24 October 2005, 'Policing SE Irag'.

tolerable but my point is that nothing changed on 19 September and we should not therefore assume that the existing strategy for police SSR is wholly off-track or now inappropriate, nor should it overshadow the excellent work being done at the tactical level."

- **960.** In his evidence to the Inquiry Lt Gen Dutton said that the military was "certainly aware" of the reputation of Jameat police station, but:
  - "... did it come as a surprise? Yes, because ... it was an event that was triggered by individuals getting themselves into a fire fight and then being taken to that police station. So ... it is not as if the Jameat police station incident ... evolved from a series of other events; it was a particular thing that caused it. So it was certainly a surprise."
- **961.** Lt Gen Dutton said that the incident had "huge effect" in London and at PJHQ but that "it calmed down quite quickly". With hindsight, Lt Gen Dutton said that "there perhaps wasn't as much upwards communication to Baghdad, to keep them in the picture, as would have been useful".
- **962.** Lt Gen Riley told the Inquiry that, although the Jameat incident occurred after he had left Iraq, he "would not have expected it". <sup>900</sup> Explaining how it arose, he said:
  - "The Iraqi police in the South ... reflected the local political climate and the tensions, and all the tensions that were present in southern Iraqi society played out in the police ..."
- **963.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lieutenent General John Cooper, GOC MND(SE) from December 2005 to July 2006, referred to the fall-out with the Basra Provincial Council as "the divorce". <sup>901</sup> He said that the restricted access to Basra's police stations that followed enabled "a series of murder squads and corruption to become endemic" and "produced a climate of lawlessness" inside Basra's police. Lt Gen Cooper told the Inquiry:
  - "... because we were not allowed to go back into contact until May of 2006, it meant that we lost ground and we lost time."
- **964.** Lt Gen Cooper added that "some policemen would still allow us in, but the vast majority were following the Provincial Council direction that they weren't to have anything to do with us". 902 He said that the Council only re-engaged when a "major security incident" occurred after a Lynx helicopter was shot down in May 2006.
- **965.** Lieutenent General Sir Richard Shirreff, GOC MND(SE) from July 2006 until January 2007, also told the Inquiry about restrictions on visiting Basra's police stations.

<sup>899</sup> Public hearing, 12 July 2010, pages 36-38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>900</sup> Public hearing, 14 December 2009, page 12.

<sup>901</sup> Public hearing, 15 December 2009, pages 20-21.

<sup>902</sup> Public hearing, 15 December 2009, pages 49-50.

He said that in the six months following the incident "there had been virtually no activity" in police stations, and many "had simply not been touched". 903

## The MOD takes the lead on policing

**966.** On 12 September 2005, a week before the Jameat incident, Dr Reid wrote to Mr Blair advising that "considerable progress" had been made in training the ISF since May.<sup>904</sup> He recommended that "consequently, an overall reduction of about 500 troops" would be possible in "October/November".

**967.** On 10 October, Dr Reid announced in the House of Commons plans to reduce force levels in MND(SE) by "about 500". 905

**968.** In the subsequent debate, Mr Michael Ancram asked Dr Reid about the level of infiltration by "Iranian-backed insurgents" in the police. Dr Reid responded:

"In any theatre of combat in the world where competing factions have been at war with each other there is always a problem of split loyalties when rehabilitating and restructuring the police force afterwards. The question is not whether those split loyalties exist, but whether we can diminish them by human rights training and training the police to be as objective as possible ... However, although there are certain elements in the police service in Iraq about who we ought to be worried, I would not want him to believe that that is the majority or anything like it. Let us remember that every time Iraqi policemen put on their uniforms in the morning, they go out to face the threat of death. Many of them have died leading operations. Even in Basra, there were police around the Jameat Police Station trying to ensure that the 250 to 300 militant demonstrators did not approach it. So it is not the case that all the police, even in Basra, were antagonistic towards us."

**969.** Dr Reid provided Mr Blair with a security update on 11 October. <sup>906</sup> He reported:

"Despite a lack of an Iraqi lead from Baghdad, MND(SE) have continued to build bridges with the local authorities post the Basra incident. Outside Basra city, Security Sector Reform (SSR) work has continued with little interruption, including joint patrolling. In Basra itself, our soldiers are again visiting IPS stations and we are able to talk in private to the Chief of Police ...

- - -

"Security Sector Reform (SSR) continues to be MNF-I's main effort with MND(SE) focusing on the 10th Division of the Iraqi Army ... and the Department of Border

<sup>903</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, pages 19-20.

<sup>904</sup> Letter Reid to Blair, 12 September 2005.

<sup>905</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 10 October 2005, columns 24, 28-30.

<sup>906</sup> Paper Reid, 11 October 2005, 'Iraq: Security Update'.

Enforcement (DBE) ... whilst playing a supporting role in the training and mentoring of the Iraqi Police Service (IPS) ...

"Progress on Iraqiisation continues. There are now 190,000 members of the Iraqi Security Forces trained and equipped ...

"SSR for the Iragi Army continues to be on track for our transition plans ...

"On policing, the situation is less satisfactory. We need to do further work between MOD, FCO, DFID and the Home Office to improve support to IPS development at the strategic level ... Problems of divided loyalties and militia links can only be dealt with by the political will of an effective Iraqi Government ...

. . .

"We need to review our strategy on policing ... at two levels ... In <u>Baghdad</u> ... there would be a good case for providing a senior Home Office official with the right experience to work with the MOI ... Sir Ronnie Flanagan [will] visit MND(SE) soon to carry out an audit of the effectiveness of [the] police training programme ... Part of this work might include an assessment of the Italians' programme in Dhi Qar province."

**970.** Dr Reid's paper sought clarification of whether or not it was intended there should be a re-assignment of Ministerial responsibilities for policing, noting that DOP(I) would need to take a collective view on the issue and that "there would be resource implications if MOD were to take this on".

**971.** Gen Jackson visited Iraq from 10 to 13 October. His visit report noted that, of the four key strategic areas (SSR, governance, reconstruction and counter-insurgency work), he "only saw encouraging signs of progress in one: SSR" though that progress was still "patchy", with the UK's "undoubted success with the Iraqi Army" contrasting with the position of the Iraqi police:

"The events of 19 September in Basra are merely indicative of a wider malaise across the IPS as a whole. We are where we are, but it is not to our credit that we have known about the inadequacies of the IPS for so long and yet failed to address them. We must do so now ... Whatever the eventual remedial plan is, it must be resourced and led properly. It must also address the specific needs of an Iraqi police force facing Iraq's current security climate. More UK Police trainers are not the answer. I have heard not one complimentary word about their involvement during my last two visits. We, the military, must be prepared to shoulder an extra training burden here."

<sup>907</sup> Minute CGS to CDS, October 2005, 'CGS Visit to Iraq: 10-13 Oct 05'.

- **972.** At the meeting of DOP(I) on 12 October, the Committee discussed the need "to do more to speed up the development of police" but considered that "the plan for ISF development that was in place was largely sound". <sup>908</sup>
- **973.** The Committee agreed that the MOD would take the lead on "police issues in Iraq" as "the Iraqi policing situation called for a para-military, rather than a civilian, style of policing".
- **974.** Although the MOD would take responsibility for police issues, the FCO continued to administer the police secondments to Iraq and to manage the contract with ArmorGroup for IPAs.<sup>909</sup>
- **975.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen Dutton commented on the move of responsibility for policing to the MOD:

"I didn't get the impression that it had a great deal of effect at all, because what did it actually mean? On the ground it didn't really mean anything; it meant that one particular Secretary of State felt he was now responsible for the police as well ... but it didn't produce more resources, it didn't, to my mind, sort out the structural problem we have ... about being able to train those sorts of policemen."

**976.** On 31 October, an MOD paper about the reform of the IPS described the number of UK civilian personnel devoted to policing.<sup>911</sup> It totalled over 200 and comprised:

- 105 UK police officers funded through the [FCO's] Peacekeeping Fund at a cost of £3.3m for financial year 2005/06:
  - 61 UK staff based in Jordan, delivering eight-week Basic Recruit Training;
  - 26 serving and retired UK police officers in MND(SE) mentoring, liaising and conducting specialist training courses with the Iraqi police; and
  - 18 serving and retired UK police officers in Baghdad, including the UK Chief Police Adviser focusing on: IPS development, planning and mentoring the Police Minister, forensics, criminal intelligence, training at the staff officer college.
- 106 UK International Police Advisers provided by ArmorGroup, funded by the GCPP (£11.1m for financial year 2005/06) and by the Dutch and Japanese governments; five of those contractors were based in Baghdad and the remainder in MND(SE), acting as advisers, mentors (including to each Provincial Chief of Police in MND(SE)) and trainers.

<sup>908</sup> Minutes, 12 October 2005, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>909</sup> Letter Howard to Asquith, 5 January 2006, [untitled].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>910</sup> Public hearing, 12 July 2010, page 39.

<sup>911</sup> Paper MOD, 31 October 2005, 'Iraq – UK Policy for Police Security Sector Reform (SSR)'.

- **977.** Additionally, in Dhi Qar province the Italian brigade was responsible for IPS training and mentoring, employing their Carabinieri. Royal Military Police (RMP) and other coalition troops (including Danes and Czechs) were involved in mentoring and follow-up training in the other three provinces. CPATT also provided International Police Liaison Officers (IPLOs) to MND(SE) to conduct training and mentoring, and to ensure that training in MND(SE) was consistent with efforts elsewhere in Iraq.
- **978.** In total, including the spend on equipping the police under Project OSIRIS (described earlier in this Section), police SSR spending represented less than two percent of the annual spend by the UK on Iraq estimated to be £1bn in financial year 2005/06.
- **979.** The Chiefs of Staff considered SSR on 18 October. They concluded: "The military effort was well resourced compared to the commitments by other Government departments." Now that Dr Reid would be responsible for SSR in Iraq, it would be "important to calculate the resources needed to deliver [this] and to secure appropriate HMT [Treasury] funding".
- **980.** On 24 October, Maj Gen Dutton sent a paper with proposals on how to reform the IPS programme in MND(SE) to Maj Gen Wall.<sup>913</sup> He wrote that:

"As time has gone by, it has become clear that the aspirations in the existing plan are unachievable. We need to be realistic about what we can expect to achieve: we should be aiming for a police force that is relevant and 'good enough' for this region."

- **981.** Maj Gen Dutton stated: "We must dismiss any ideas of starting again: it is reform that is required, not complete re-design." He proposed "a three-point plan":
  - removal of those senior elements of the IPS who were engaged in serious crime, acknowledging that that may require the MNF to act if it could not be achieved by the ITG;
  - dismissal of uncommitted IPS officers (estimated by the Basra Chief of Police to number around 6,000 in a total force of 30,000); and
  - "redoubling efforts on training the remaining police, so that eventually the 'good' outweighs the 'bad'".

**982.** On 25 October, Mr Tansley submitted recommendations along similar lines to the FCO, also proposing the three-point plan. He wrote that a "key part" of the plan would be for "joint teams" from PATs and MND(SE) conducting "surges" on police districts and stations that were "exposed as the weakest or of most importance".

.

<sup>912</sup> Minutes, 18 October 2005, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>913</sup> Letter Dutton to Wall, 24 October 2005, 'Policing SE Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>914</sup> Telegram 16521/05 Basra to FCO London, 25 October 2005, 'Reform of the Iraq Police Service in South-East'.

- **983.** Although the two papers were broadly the same, Mr Tansley suggested that the dismissal of IPS officers would require the implementation of a planned MOI redundancy package whereas Maj Gen Dutton saw that as desirable but not essential. Mr Tansley also suggested that a suitably qualified senior civil servant should be deployed to assist MOI reform.
- **984.** Both papers suggested that the numbers of military personnel dedicated to support police reform should be increased (to include an extra two infantry companies, RMP personnel and administrative staff) and that restrictions on movement of police officers and contractors should be eased to allow them to move with the military.
- **985.** Mr Tansley suggested that there should be joint responsibility for delivery between the Senior Police Adviser and the Task Force Commander in each province, with ArmorGroup personnel directly managed by the Senior Police Adviser. Maj Gen Dutton's paper did not suggest a formal command structure but did cite "lack of unity of command" as a major part of the problem.
- **986.** Lt Gen Dutton told the Inquiry that there was "nothing particularly magical" about the three-point plan:

"It was a very simple plan, but it was designed in some ways to counter what I thought was a feeling from elsewhere, that the only answer to the Basra police force was to disband it completely and start again from scratch." <sup>915</sup>

- **987.** On 27 October, Dr Reid reported to Cabinet that the build-up of Iraqi security forces was "going well" but that they "were generally not yet capable of operating on their own". He stated that he and Mr Straw were looking at ways of addressing militia infiltration in the Iraqi police.
- **988.** On 31 October, the MOD produced a paper about the UK's policy on the IPS to be considered at the next DOP(I).<sup>917</sup> The paper outlined the approach advocated by Mr Tansley and Maj Gen Dutton but proposed that all police reform in MND(SE) should be consolidated "under unified military direction … enabling the GOC to deploy additional (civil and military) resources as necessary". The MOD stated:
  - "... the utility of the civil police contingent ... is limited by their contractual terms of service and civilian working practices ... If they were brought under military direction (the command and control issues would need to be finessed) the policing component would be employed to meet the priorities of operational transition, with its output reported through the established military chain."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>915</sup> Public hearing, 12 July 2010, page 20.

<sup>916</sup> Cabinet Conclusions, 27 October 2005.

<sup>917</sup> Paper MOD, 31 October 2005, 'Iraq – UK Policy for Police Security Sector Reform (SSR)'.

- **989.** The minutes of the DOP(I) meeting on 3 November recorded:
  - "... there was considerable concern ... among British police at the prospect of police advisers in Iraq being placed under military command ..." <sup>918</sup>

### 990. Ministers agreed:

"Departments, notably the FCO, MOD and Home Office, should work together to agree a way forward on command and control of the policing effort ... Paul Kernaghan should also be consulted."

- **991.** The DOP(I) minutes did not record any discussion of increasing military resource or lifting movement restrictions. See the Box earlier in this Section, 'Security restrictions on UK police officers', for more detail on civilian security restrictions.
- **992.** On 9 November, Maj Gen Dutton reported that a new Police Strategic Steering Group had met for the first time that week.<sup>919</sup> That brought together the Consul General, GOC MND(SE), the Senior Police Adviser and members of the GOC's staff. Maj Gen Dutton wrote:

"I am confident that we are now approaching a situation where we can make best use of the different capabilities which the civil police, contractors and my own soldiers, including Royal Military Police, can provide. The Consul General and I expect to set out our proposed solution to London shortly."

**993.** The first meeting of a new cross-Whitehall SSR Group<sup>920</sup> was held on 17 November.<sup>921</sup> It was chaired by Mr Howard, reflecting the transfer of responsibility for policing to MOD. The meeting addressed what that transfer meant and the command and control issues in MND(SE). In an email to DCC Smith, CC Kernaghan summarised:

"Lead status remains to be defined but no one argued that you were in a line of command relationship with the GOC or that your professional judgement could be over-ruled by anyone else in theatre."

**994.** In a report dated 20 November, DCC Smith was critical of military co-ordination with police in MND(SE):

"Despite reassurances from London it is quite clear, to myself and senior CivPol officers on the ground in Basra, that the military are initiating changes in their relationship with CivPol. This is unfortunate as it is increasingly becoming obvious

<sup>918</sup> Minutes, 3 November 2005, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>919</sup> Report Dutton, 9 November 2005, 'CG MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 9 November 2005'.

<sup>920</sup> The cross-Whitehall Iraq SSR Group replaced the Security Sector Reform Meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>921</sup> Email Kernaghan to Smith, 18 November 2005, 'Cross-Whitehall Security Sector Reform [SSR] Group – Iraq Inaugural Meeting 17/11/05'.

that 'partnership' is a one-sided (CivPol) concept. Clarification from London would be welcome."922

## Strategic considerations in late 2005

**995.** The MOD produced a paper entitled 'Strategy for the UK's contribution to Iraq Security' for DOP(I) on 15 November. 923 It described three key outcomes:

- security up to the December elections;
- activity up to the handover of security responsibility; and
- achievement and maintenance of ISF self-reliance.

**996.** Four supporting objectives were detailed:

- establishment of a secure environment;
- transition to tactical, operational and strategic overwatch;
- development of an effective, self-sufficient IPS: "Although wholesale national reform of the IPS is beyond the scope of UK influence, the provision of a technically competent IPS at a local level within MND(SE) is possible with the appropriate resource and is fundamental to an enduring handover. Mindful of the endemic nature of divided loyalty and militia involvement, the UK must continue to pursue IPS reform within MND(SE) to a level that will support handover – nothing more/nothing less"; and
- governance and capacity-building in key Ministries (MOI and the IMOD) within the security sector.

**997.** Security was discussed at the meeting but the minutes do not refer to the MOD's paper. 924

**998.** The report produced by DCC Smith on 20 November was an update of his May 'Next Steps on Policing' review, assessing progress in both Baghdad and Basra. <sup>925</sup> In the South, he judged that the "key area to address was militia influence in the Basra IPS and the lack of real MOI authority into the province". To achieve that, he identified four elements that would need to be addressed:

- a strong Chief of Police;
- clear direction and support from the Deputy Minister for Police;
- good support infrastructure; and
- the removal of the unacceptable "bad eggs" in Basra.

<sup>922</sup> Paper Smith, 20 November 2005, 'Next Steps on Policing – Review'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>923</sup> Paper MOD, 11 November 2005, 'Strategy for the UK's Contribution to Iraq Security'.

<sup>924</sup> Minutes, 15 November 2005, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>925</sup> Paper Smith, 20 November 2005, 'Next Steps on Policing – Review'.

**999.** On 12 December, Maj Gen Dutton submitted his Hauldown Report to AM Torpy. <sup>926</sup> He wrote that the threat from IEDs had "radically affected our freedom of manoeuvre and consequently inhibited" SSR work (see Section 14.1 for detail on the IED threat).

**1000.** On the Iraqi Army, Maj Gen Dutton reported:

"The development of the Iraqi Army is the 'jewel in the crown' of our SSR effort and we must not let up now. The MiTT [Military Transition Team] system has been a great success ... their contribution to the 'conceptual' and 'moral' development of 10th Division has been enormous ... I acknowledge the desire to shift responsibility to the Iraqis themselves to prevent over-dependence, but the structure is built on 'foundations of sand' and will require support for some time yet."

1001. On the IPS, Maj Gen Dutton wrote:

"I have written more than enough on this. I believe that, over time, the IPS can be reformed to an acceptable level, but there is no simple quick solution, which is, I sense, what London wants. In fact, it will be a long hard slog and will need unwavering commitment. The one critical point I must stress is that the UK should never again expect to be able to undertake police restructuring and reform in this sort of environment using UK police: they do not have the institutional structure or expertise to cope, nor can they be compulsorily deployed."

**1002.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen Dutton said:

"We had some excellent policemen but simply not sufficient to take on the role of police training, which is why it had to be done in ... a very poor way, but as best we could, by the military."927

**1003.** Lt Gen Dutton said that there was "nothing wrong" with the policemen, "there just weren't enough of them". 928 He continued:

"My criticism of the UK's policing – expeditionary policing effort has never been aimed at the individual policemen who do it, simply the fact that I don't believe we, in the UK, have a system for expeditionary policing that will work in the sort of environment of Iraq or Afghanistan."

**1004.** On 21 December, AM Torpy wrote to Lt Gen Fry to highlight the key issues for 2006. 929 On the police he reiterated the need to "maintain momentum and our commitment, pushing ahead quickly with any new work recommended by Ronnie Flanagan". He then drew attention to Maj Gen Dutton's Hauldown Report and stated: "Jim [Maj Gen Dutton] has hit the nail on the head and we <u>must not</u> repeat this painful mistake in Afghanistan."

<sup>926</sup> Minute Dutton to Torpy, 12 December 2005, 'June to December 2005 – Hauldown Report'.

<sup>927</sup> Public hearing, 12 July 2010, page 20.

<sup>928</sup> Public hearing, 12 July 2010, pages 21-22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>929</sup> Minute CJO to DCDS(C), 21 December 2005, 'Key Operational Issues for Early 2006'.

#### SIR RONNIE FLANAGAN'S REVIEW

**1005.** On 18 October, a meeting was held at the Home Office to discuss the terms of reference for Sir Ronnie Flanagan's visit to Iraq, attended by Sir Ronnie, CC Kernaghan and senior representatives from the FCO, the MOD and the Home Office. 930 Some of the points made included:

- the FCO wanted to understand the progress in MND(SE) and how that could be improved upon, considering how this fitted with work in Baghdad and London;
- the MOD felt that current IPS training could be more efficient; and
- "much of Whitehall [was] not aware of elements that are beyond UK control.
   It would be helpful if Sir Ronnie could highlight these as part of his report".

**1006.** CC Kernaghan circulated the terms of reference on 10 November and summarised Sir Ronnie's task as to "concentrate on assessing the resourcing and effectiveness of the UK's IPS Security Sector Reform (SSR) programme in MND(SE) and its linkage to the shape and effectiveness of MNF-I national policing policy in Baghdad". There were 12 areas specifically highlighted, including the effectiveness of IPS training, police officer and ArmorGroup contract management, IPAs and the relationship between UK structures and those of the US and Iraq.

**1007.** Sir Ronnie Flanagan visited Iraq between 20 and 24 November 2005 to conduct an initial review of the UK policing effort in Iraq. He submitted an interim report to Dr Reid on 13 December, who briefed the DOP(I) on 15 December.

**1008.** Sir Ronnie's interim report identified:

- There was no single strategy for SSR at a national level he had uncovered "references to at least four", and "many of these" existed in isolation of one another.
- There was "a loss of corporacy and a disconnect with MNSTC-I and CPATT in Baghdad".
- There had been insufficient counter-insurgency preparation.
- There was an inadequate focus on intelligence he referred to US\$1m worth
  of computers for the National Information and Investigation Agency (NIIA) being
  held at Basra Airport because of "apparently insufficient funds to transport and
  then assemble it".
- Only 5 percent of military activity was currently focused on SSR.
- "Within MND(SE), the biggest issue remain[ed] militia (and criminal) infiltration of security forces".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>930</sup> Minutes, 18 October 2005, 'Meeting at the Home Office: Tuesday 18 October 2005: to discuss the Prime Minister's request to HMCIC to visit Iraq'.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>931</sup> Email Kernaghan to Home Office [junior official], 10 November 2005, 'Flanagan's TORs' attaching
 Note 'TORs for the Assessment by Sir Ronnie Flanagan of the Iraqi Police Service (IPS)'.
 <sup>932</sup> Minutes, 16 December 2005, DOP(I) meeting.

 The "biggest source of friction" between the military and UK police officers had been the inconsistent restrictions on movements but "significant progress" had been made during his visit to harmonise these, both operating on the basis of military risk assessments (subject to FCO and ACPO confirmation).<sup>933</sup>

**1009.** Sir Ronnie visited Iraq again from 3 to 8 January 2006 and submitted his final report on 31 January.<sup>934</sup>

**1010.** Sir Ronnie wrote that the original terms of reference had evolved into three overarching issues:

- "• The strategic direction and integration of the SSR (policing) effort;
- The efficiency and effectiveness of the SSR (policing) effort, including the Carabinieri, in MND(SE);
- The effectiveness of the existing training arrangements."

**1011.** Sir Ronnie was "encouraged" to learn that since his previous visit "a broad strategic plan" was now being prepared and that the "disconnect" he had identified was "already becoming a thing of the past". In MND(SE), he noted "a high level of co-operation" between UK police officers and that SSR now accounted for 47 percent of the military's work. He added:

"Nevertheless tensions, both in theatre and within Whitehall, still exist over where primacy for SSR (policing) effort rests. Put simply, the shift in thinking that should have followed the assumption by MOD of primacy for SSR in Iraq has not permeated all activity."

**1012.** Sir Ronnie made 17 recommendations, including:

- The Iraqis should be encouraged to develop a robust vetting system for IPS
  recruits as soon as possible and to take immediate action to "root out" corrupt
  and sub-standard elements within the IPS. In progressing this the UK will need
  to provide support and expert guidance.
- The UK should encourage MNF-I to transfer responsibility for criminal and counter-insurgency intelligence to CPATT.
- For Iraq and future deployments, a senior police officer of Chief Superintendent rank should be embedded within PJHQ.
- The US and UK should use whatever influence is available to them to ensure that the next Minister of the Interior has a sound appreciation of the scale of the challenges and, moreover, is willing to take decisive action to address them.

-

<sup>933</sup> Report Flanagan, 13 December 2005, 'Interim Report on Policing in Irag'.

<sup>934</sup> Report Flanagan, 31 January 2006, 'An Assessment of the UK's Contribution to Security Sector Reform (Policing) in Iraq'.

- The US should be encouraged to allow the Iraqi Government lead responsibility for the National Policing Plan. That should be led by the new Minister of Interior in consultation with the 18 provincial Chiefs of Police. In progressing that, the coalition must of course provide expert advice and guidance and perhaps the suggested draft.
- The CPA-I's successor should be re-deployed and should function within the MND(SE) command structure where he or she will be able to direct the SSR (policing) effort.
- The judicial dimension should be fully integrated within the SSR effort.
- The Strategic Taskforce should be re-convened with the goal of creating a genuine police expeditionary capability operating within a framework that provides maximum support to overseas SSR (policing) deployments.
- The UK should exert its influence to further embed and encourage the concept of joint operating between the IPS and the Iraqi Army in areas where the security situation makes this appropriate.

### **1013.** Sir Ronnie Flanagan stated:

"In many respects the challenge facing us in Iraq appears more daunting from London than it does in theatre. This I attribute to the inevitable difficulty that exists in grasping some of the key contextual factors."

**1014.** Sir Ronnie wrote that his recent visit had given him "a greater appreciation" of the significant threat from "rogue elements" in the Basra intelligence agencies and "pop up battalions" that could jeopardise SSR if not addressed. He stated that "the ultimate solution" rested with the MOI and that "the key to success" would be "the creation of effective governance structures".

#### **1015.** Sir Ronnie concluded:

"Notwithstanding the reservations I have expressed about the quality of training, intelligence and other factors that inhibit the SSR (policing) effort, Iraq is on the right path and there is a good news story to be told. From an admittedly low base, Iraq's security forces are now recognisable as such and early signs of self-sufficiency are becoming apparent ... The UK can take pride in its contribution."

**1016.** A junior FCO official sent Dr Howells a copy of Sir Ronnie's report on 17 February. The note also enclosed a matrix listing each recommendation and the department responsible for its progress alongside it, including an additional 16 "other recommendations" from the report. Out of the total 33 recommendations, five were complete and 11 others were in progress or being considered.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>935</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to Howells, 17 February 2006, 'Review of UK Policing Reform Work in Iraq by Sir Ronnie Flanagan'.

**1017.** The Baghdad SSR Working Group met on 19 February to discuss Sir Ronnie's report. The Group commented or identified action against all of recommendations, including:

- how critical the MOI and its Minister would be in delivering change;
- the practical difficulties encountered while trying to establish effective vetting procedures which were constrained by a lack of resources;
- that the National Policing Plan needed to be written by the Iraqi Government and not by the coalition; and
- that there were cost and duty of care issues in implementing Sir Ronnie's recommendation that recruits visited theatre.

**1018.** A summary of the Baghdad SSR Committee on 27 April listed progress against the six "Baghdad-related recommendations" from Sir Ronnie's report. Discussions were ongoing with CPATT on how to implement those; those had been some difficulties engaging "the right people at the right levels" and the National Policing Plan was "still too military-dominated". It was recorded that there were insufficient resources to undertake an audit of training at that time.

**1019.** On 16 May, Mr Patey wrote to Mr Stephen Pattison, FCO Director International Security, with recommendations on how to ensure the staffing of the UK's police effort was right.<sup>938</sup>

**1020.** In his response on 24 May, Mr Pattison said that the FCO was taking forward recommendations from Sir Ronnie's review, but did not provide further details. The letter focused on attracting more UK police officers to postings in Iraq, and enabling those postings.

# Reforming the Iraqi Police Service: Operation CORRODE

**1021.** On 30 November 2005, Maj Gen Dutton reported that the Iraqi authorities had taken the first step towards reforming the IPS by disbanding the Department of Internal Affairs (DIA).<sup>940</sup>

**1022.** On 25 January 2006, Major General John Cooper, GOC MND(SE) from December 2005 until July 2006, reported that two former members of the DIA who were implicated in "numerous allegations of corrupt and criminal activity including attacks against MNF and involvement in the events of Sep 19" had been arrested by UK and Danish troops.<sup>941</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>936</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to Cunningham, 21 February 2006, 'Baghdad SSR Working Group' attaching Paper 'BE Baghdad Comments – Sir Ronnie Flanagan's Report'.

<sup>937</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to Mcgurgan, 30 April 2006, 'Cross-Whitehall SSR Meeting, 27 April'.

<sup>938</sup> Letter Patey to Pattison, 16 May 2006, 'Re: Policing in Iraq'.

<sup>939</sup> Letter Pattison to Patey, 24 May 2006, 'Policing in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>940</sup> Minute Dutton, 30 November 2005, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq – 30 November 2005'.

<sup>941</sup> Minute Cooper, 25 January 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 25 Jan 06'.

**1023.** On 8 March, Maj Gen Cooper reported:

"We have intelligence that the recidivists within the IPS have resumed their criminal activity, and the population at large is beginning to question our commitment. Absent buy-in from the MOI, I am clear about the need for us to press ahead unilaterally with [Operation] CORRODE, before the IPS regress to a position from which they cannot be redeemed."942

**1024.** The following week, Maj Gen Cooper reported that Mr Jabr had given the "green light" for MNF to continue with arrest operations under Op CORRODE. 943 He said that the next target was a "relatively junior player". Mr Jabr was also reported to have endorsed plans to establish a new DIA based at Basra Air Station.

**1025.** On 15 May, a junior official from PJHQ provided briefing to Mr Ingram about IPS members detained by MND(SE) as part of Op CORRODE.<sup>944</sup> He stated that Op CORRODE was established in conjunction with the MOI after the Jameat incident on 19 September and aimed to:

- remove corrupt individuals in key positions of responsibility;
- replace those individuals with suitable personnel from outside Basra province;
   and
- reform the remaining officers.

**1026.** The official wrote that the operation had been constrained because of "problems with political disengagement in Basra" and "nervousness amongst senior political figures in Baghdad". PJHQ assessed that there were currently ten policemen detained in Shaibah, a military airfield seven miles southwest of Basra. They were likely to remain at the facility "for the foreseeable future", because the intelligence against detainees was not admissible as evidence. Also, the detainees had connections which meant that Basra judges would be reluctant to try them, or be susceptible to intimidation if they did try them.

**1027.** In his weekly report on 24 May, Maj Gen Cooper described two enemies in Basra: rogue JAM and – "most dangerous" – the "corrupt IPS elements ... which have murdered so many Basrawis". 945 He wrote that he needed political cover from the Iraqi Government to tackle IPS reform and would be grateful for UK political pressure.

**1028.** Maj Gen Cooper's report on 8 June stated that Op CORRODE had re-started and, on 4 June, there had been the first successful targeting and detention of a serving Basra police officer for more than three months. 946 There would now be "a succession of

<sup>942</sup> Minute Cooper, 8 March 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) - Southern Iraq Update - 8 March 2006'.

<sup>943</sup> Minute Cooper, 16 March 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 16 March 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>944</sup> Minute PJHQ [junior official] to PS/Min(AF), 15 May 2006, 'Iraqi Police Service (IPS) Detainees Held by MND(SE)'.

<sup>945</sup> Minute Cooper, 24 May 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) - Southern Iraq Update - 24 May 2006'.

<sup>946</sup> Minute Cooper, 8 June 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 8 June 2006'.

detention ops targeting IPS and N Basra leadership". Maj Gen Cooper reported that a total of three IPS officers had been detained within Op CORRODE since 17 May 2006.

**1029.** The JIC's Assessment of 9 June described the disbanding of the DIA as "remedial action" resulting from "MNF pressure". 947 However, the JIC stated that "the personnel have been reassigned rather than sacked".

1030. This JIC Assessment considered whether the ISF was "fit for duty". It reported:

"The ISF in the South reflect the deep-rooted local tribal and political influences. The Army's 10th Division in MND(SE) is rated by the MNF as increasingly effective. It has performed basic tasks such as patrols and static guard duties successfully, but remains untested in counter-insurgency operations without MNF support. The Police in the South are a cause for much greater concern. Many local Police officers, in Basra and Maysan in particular, remain loyal to their political faction or militia rather than to formal command structures. Both Badr and JAM retain support among the ISF in different parts of the South. We judge that these divided loyalties would affect the ability and willingness of the Police to cope in the event of an intensified campaign of violence by Shia militias against the MNF, or fighting between Shia factions ... Baghdad's central institutions have been unable to exert any control over the police in Basra."

## Iraqi Navy progress

On 9 June 2006, a JIC Assessment stated that, by 1 May, 800 Navy personnel had been "trained and equipped", increasing from 750 on 4 October 2005. 948

In September, the Iraqi Navy transferred to Iraqi control, under the command and control of the Joint Headquarters.<sup>949</sup>

In November, the Private Secretary to Mr Des Browne, Defence Secretary from May 2006, briefed No.10 officials that progress on the Iraqi Navy had "lost early momentum due to failure of the Iraqi procurement process" but stated that contracts had recently been awarded and that the Iraqi Navy had plans to fund and build critical infrastructure during 2007.950 He concluded: "this momentum must be sustained".

On 6 September, an Independent Commission reported to Congress its conclusion that:

"The Iraqi Navy is small and its current fleet is insufficient to execute its mission. However, it is making substantive progress in this early stage of development: it has a well-thought-out growth plan, which it is successfully executing. Its maturation is hampered by the [Iraqi] Ministry of Defence's understandable focus on ground forces and counterinsurgency operations, as well as by bureaucratic inefficiency. The Iraqi

<sup>947</sup> JIC Assessment, 9 June 2006, 'The Iragi Security Forces: Fit for Duty?'.

<sup>948</sup> JIC Assessment, 9 June 2006, 'The Iragi Security Forces: Fit for Duty?'.

<sup>949</sup> JIC Assessment, 17 January 2007, 'Iragi Security Forces: Prospects in 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>950</sup> Letter McNeil to Banner, 9 November 2006 attaching Minute DJC, 9 November 2006, 'Strengthening the Iraqi Military Forces'.

Navy will continue to rely on coalition naval power to achieve its mission for the foreseeable future."951

At that time, there were around 35 UK naval personnel working in Naval Assistance Training Teams. 952

**1031.** Mr Des Browne became Defence Secretary in May 2006. He visited Iraq from 18 to 22 June. His Assistant Private Secretary recorded that Mr Jawad Boulani, the new Minister of the Interior, told Mr Browne he was very clear about the need to reform the police, particularly in Basra.

**1032.** Major General Muhammed Latif, the Commander of the 10th Division, had told Mr Browne that there were 15,000 police in Basra, but you could never find them on the streets. If necessary, he was prepared to put a soldier in every police car to force them to do their jobs. They failed to carry out even the most perfunctory investigations into murders. Maj Gen Latif had started to use his own intelligence officers to follow up cases and monitor police progress. When his staff asked questions about inaction, the police would say that murders were "big boys' issues", usually a reference to the specialist police organisations that Mr Boulani sought to disband.

**1033.** During a meeting with UK representatives, Mr Browne was told by the police advisor that "we [the UK] had originally set our sights too high; teaching forensics instead of the basics". Adjustments had now been made and the programme seemed to be working well. The police advisor rejected the outright criticism from Maj Gen Latif and said that there were "areas that had the start of an effective policing service".

1034. Air Chief Marshal Jock Stirrup, CDS, briefed DOP(I) in July:

"... the main issue affecting the population was sectarian murders. To see progress on that we needed to take action against corrupt police officers and militia groups."954

**1035.** ACM Stirrup described progress with the Iraqi Army as "on track" but stated that "the situation of the police was more difficult".

# Further reduction in troops

**1036.** During early 2006, substantial effort was dedicated to preparation for the transfer of lead responsibility for security in Muthanna and Maysan provinces. That effort is detailed in Section 9.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>951</sup> Report, 6 September 2007, 'Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq'.

<sup>952</sup> Report, 5 July 2007, 'PJHQ Manning Tables'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>953</sup> Minute APS/SoS [MOD] to DCDS(C), 28 June 2006, 'Secretary of State's Visit to Iraq – 18-22 June 2006'.

<sup>954</sup> Minutes, 6 July 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

**1037.** In a meeting of DOP(I) on 15 February, Mr Blair made clear that the UK should be able clearly to demonstrate that the conditions for transition had been met, and that this was as a result of the increasing capacity of the ISF.<sup>955</sup> The UK should not be handing over for solely political reasons.

**1038.** During a video conference between Mr Blair and President Bush on 22 February, Lt Gen Houghton assessed that there had been good progress with the ISF in the South which should allow security transfer in Maysan and Muthanna provinces by late spring. He assessed that Basra was "less promising, given the collusion between police and militia, aided by local politicians" and that arrests of police "rapidly became confrontations over political and sovereignty issues".

**1039.** On 9 March, Dr Reid wrote to Mr Blair explaining that, as a result of the latest Force Level Review, troop levels would be reduced in May 2006, from approximately 8,000 to around 7,200 (see Section 9.4). That reduction was made possible because of the "completion of various Security Sector Reform tasks, a reduction in the support levels for those tasks, and recent efficiency measures in theatre".

**1040.** In his statement to the House of Commons on 13 March, Dr Reid stated that the completed tasks included training of trainers and Iraqi troops being capable of guarding their own establishments.<sup>958</sup>

**1041.** On 15 March, a JIC Assessment stated:

"The Iraqi security forces [in Southern Iraq] can cope with the low level of threat posed by the Sunni Arab nationalist insurgents and jihadists. Their readiness to deal with the activities of Shia extremists or intra-Shia violence is more uncertain. Army command, control and logistics capabilities are all still developing, making major operations without MNF support difficult. The police are a greater concern: they have multiple loyalties and have taken sides in intra-Shia clashes. A minority of police, particularly in Basra, is involved in attacks on the MNF, the assassination of Sunnis and organised crime." 959

**1042.** On 20 April, Mr Robin Lamb, Consul General in Basra, provided an assessment of the security situation in Basra and its impact on the ability for UK civilian staff to operate effectively (see Section 15.1).<sup>960</sup> He stated:

"Our LE [locally employed] staff regard the Iraqi Police Service as at best ineffective, and at worst complicit in the assassinations. We would support that assessment."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>955</sup> Minutes, 15 February 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>956</sup> Letter Banner to Siddiq, 22 February 2006, 'Prime Minister's VTC with President Bush, 22 February: Iraq'.

<sup>957</sup> Letter Reid to Blair, 9 March 2006, 'Irag: Force Level Review and Announcement'.

<sup>958</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 13 March 2006, columns 1152-1153.

<sup>959</sup> JIC Assessment, 15 March 2006, 'Iraq: the Security Situation in the South'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>960</sup> Letter Lamb to Mcgurgan, 20 April 2006, 'Basra: Security and Drawdown'.

## Policing reviews: ACC Barton and a Strategic Task Force

**1043.** Acting ACC Dick Barton took over from DCC Smith as Chief Police Adviser-Iraq on 27 March 2006 and was based in Basra (as opposed to Baghdad) in line with Sir Ronnie's recommendation described earlier in this Section.<sup>961</sup>

**1044.** For his first task, ACC Barton was commissioned by the FCO to conduct a review of the UK police mission in Iraq, focusing on three main areas: strategic priorities, personnel structure of UK police in Iraq and "other work required (in support of Strategic Priorities)". 962

**1045.** ACC Barton's review was completed on 20 April and sought "to avoid replicating areas already covered" by Sir Ronnie's review four months earlier. He wrote that his "review theme" was to "keep it basic", stating that basic principles sat under many complex policing issues. He highlighted three strategic priorities:

- "• The mission must focus on building links with the criminal justice system.
- The mission must be engaged in developing the new Internal Affairs, Major Crime [Unit] and National Information and Investigation Agency (NIIA).
- The mission must identify a realistic working model which facilitates draw-down and eventual complete handover to the Iraqi Police Service."

**1046.** ACC Barton made 12 recommendations for change in the way the UK police mission was staffed including:

- creating an Assistant Chief Police Adviser post with a focus on major crime and criminal justice;
- creating a post to focus on developing an effective Internal Affairs capability, warning that overt corruption in the police was "crippling";
- reducing the number of contracted police officers; and
- designating a Senior Police Adviser at Chief Superintendent level to be territorial lead for Baghdad now that the CPA-I role had relocated.

**1047.** Mr Straw wrote to Mr Charles Clarke, Home Secretary, on 17 October 2005 about findings from a Strategic Task Force established to "take a fresh look" at how the UK contributed to international operations. <sup>963</sup> Mr Straw wrote that assisting in international missions was "vital" for the UK's foreign policy priorities and also created "direct operational benefits" for the UK when officers returned with experience of "working in the field".

**1048.** The Strategic Task Force assessed that there was no need to increase the overall numbers provided by the UK, but suggested increasing the proportion of senior officers

<sup>961</sup> Statement Barton, 7 June 2010, page 3.

<sup>962</sup> Report Barton, 20 April 2006, 'Situational Review of the United Kingdom Civilian Police Mission in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>963</sup> Straw to Home Secretary, 17 October 2005, 'International Policing'.

and those with specialist skills. It proposed that "more use" was made of police staff. The Task Force also advised ensuring that the spread of officers was more evenly distributed across the forces (meaning a reduction in PSNI officers), and that the transition should be made easier for returning officers by guaranteeing interviews for posts for which they are qualified.

**1049.** On 4 May 2006, Mr Howard submitted a draft response for Dr John Reid, who became the Home Secretary on 5 May 2006, to send to Mr Straw. Howard said the draft emphasised that "recent operational experience ha[d] demonstrated the need for a robust expeditionary policing capability, ideally acting as part of an international force" but also made clear that the matter was "constrained by issues that can only be resolved by the police itself."

**1050.** Mr Patey wrote to Mr Pattison on 16 May, highlighting concerns that "our effort on SSR and the Rule of Law in Iraq will suffer if we do not get staffing of our policing effort right". <sup>965</sup> Mr Patey noted that there was a risk the UK would "continue to lose credibility in the eyes of the US as a key partner" if that issue could not be addressed, citing the frequent turnover of staff (recommending tours of more than one year) and gaps between posting of senior staff as contributing to problems. He acknowledged that those were manifestations of a wider problem in recruiting, and that there was "little benefit to the police service for their good police officers to deploy to Iraq" requiring "more radical solutions ... In the short and longer term". The letter concluded by recommending that the FCO "should engage with the new Home Secretary, about the need for appropriate career and reward packages to be in place for deployed officers and the need for all police services to contribute to the effort".

**1051.** Mr Pattison's reply agreed with the premise that "good quality police staffing is central to delivery of the UK's objectives in Iraq" but noted that that needed to be seen in the wider context, in particular that all UK police secondees were volunteers. <sup>966</sup>

# SSR across Iraq: summer 2006 to summer 2009

## Formation of the Iraqi Government

**1052.** On 1 April, Mr Blair wrote to President Bush outlining his views on the steps required to achieve success in Iraq. <sup>967</sup> He suggested a strategy that involved pressing hard for a unity government and stated:

"This must include a top quality, neutral figure in the Ministry of Interior. It is perfectly obvious to me that a lot of the Shia violence is now being organised out of there and there has to be a definite statement going throughout the police, it won't be tolerated."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>964</sup> Minute DG Op Pol to PS/SofS, 4 May 2006, 'International Policing in Peace Support Operations'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>965</sup> Letter Patey to Pattison, 16 May 2006, 'Policing in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>966</sup> Letter Pattison to Patey, 24 May 2006, 'Policing in Iraq'.

<sup>967</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Hadley, 1 April 2006 attaching Note [Blair], 1 April 2006.

**1053.** Mr Nuri al-Maliki was nominated as Prime Minister on 21 April 2006. Reporting the news, an eGram from the British Embassy Baghdad stated that Prime Minister Maliki had been a "driving force" as Deputy Chair of the de-Ba'athification Committee and had "a strong anti-militia stance". He favoured "the dissolution of all militias and [did not] believe in their reintegration into Iraqi security forces".

**1054.** Sir Nigel Sheinwald and Mr Nick Banner, a Private Secretary to Mr Blair, visited Iraq shortly after Prime Minister Maliki's nomination. In a report of the visit, Sir Nigel told Mr Blair:

"The two litmus tests ahead are:

- (i) Choice of Interior and Defence Ministers and willingness/ability to clean out their ministries and make them work;
- (ii) Disbanding the militias ... some can be integrated in the ISF, but others will need to be demobilised and retrained.

There will need to be a major DDR and jobs package which we should try to get the international community involved in. Even if we do, this is a massive task. Militias abound – from personal protection, to Badr and JAM, through to the Facilities Protection Service."

**1055.** Mr Blair spoke to Prime Minister Maliki on 28 April.<sup>970</sup> Prime Minister Maliki stressed his commitment to "remove weapons from all the militias" and requested UK support to accelerate the training of the ISF.

# Iraqi appointments

On 8 June 2006, the Iraqi security ministers were appointed:

- Minister of the Interior: Mr Jawad Boulani (Shia Independent);
- Minister of Defence: Lieutenant General Abdel Qadir (Sunni); and
- Minister for National Security: Mr Sherwan al Wa'ali (Shia Da'wa Tanzeem).<sup>971</sup>

# Improving Iraqi Security Force build-up

**1056.** Mr Blair met Prime Minister Maliki on 22 May during his visit to Iraq. <sup>972</sup> A minute from Mr Banner about the meeting reported that Prime Minister Maliki "expressed concern about the readiness of the Iraqi Security Forces, in terms of numbers, training

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>968</sup> eGram 13011/06 Baghdad to FCO, 24 April 2006, 'Iraq: Formation of the New Government: Al-Maliki Nominated by UIA as Prime Minist' [sic].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>969</sup> Minute Sheinwald to Prime Minister, 27 April 2006, 'Visit to Iraq'.

<sup>970</sup> Letter Banner to Siddiq, 28 April 2006, 'Nouri al-Maliki'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>971</sup> eGram 22963/06 Baghdad to FCO London, 8 June 2006, 'Iraq: Ministers of Interior, Defence and National Security Appointed'.

<sup>972</sup> Minute PS/PM to PS/FS, 22 May 2006, 'Irag: Prime Minister's Meeting with Nouri al-Maliki'.

levels and, in particular, equipment". Prime Minister Maliki had said that "the ISF were outgunned", citing an example in Najaf where he had been told by the Chief of Police that his 13,000 officers "had only 5,000 rifles between them".

**1057.** Following his visit, Mr Blair wrote to President Bush stating that ISF build-up "must be improved". <sup>973</sup> He continued:

"... the basic point is: we need better, stronger ISF build-up. A strong centre will be a big help. But they also need equipment and intensive support. Therefore we need to do this even better and more strongly and test the robustness of the build-up. Iraqi MOI and MOD need real capability to make it happen. If we don't do this, we can't defeat the terrorists."

**1058.** Sir Nigel Sheinwald wrote to Mr Hayes on 23 May reporting Mr Blair's conclusions as a result of his visit. <sup>974</sup> On SSR, Mr Blair wanted action including:

- "• Drawing up a timetable with conditions setting out the potential path to MNF withdrawal. This should address the desire of Iraqis for clarity over two issues: that the MNF will stay until Iraqi security forces are capable of acting independently; and that the MNF will go once that has been achieved. Any timetable should include dates, but each one should be conditional on ISF build-up of capability and overall violence levels ...
- We need to make sure that Iraqi forces really are capable of dealing with the threat, including from AQ ... The Prime Minister heard a number of disquieting comments on this score from Iraqis and others. We therefore need a candid analysis of the gap between current capabilities and future requirements ... and a plan for closing the gap ...
- •
- Turning around the situation in <u>Basra</u> ... This will require ... a larger role and presence for the Iraqi forces, working alongside UK forces ... The Prime Minister hopes that the Defence Secretary will personally supervise the military aspects of this.
- •
- Capacity-building for Iraqi Ministries. We need a paper setting out our and the US's current assistance to them, in terms both of advisers and equipment, and a plan for addressing additional gaps."

**1059.** DOP(I) met on 25 May.<sup>975</sup> Mr Blair said that the UK should focus on the development of the ISF, seeing progress in Basra, and support to the Iraqi Government

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>973</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Hadley, 22 May 2006, 'Irag' attaching Note Prime Minister to President Bush.

<sup>974</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Hayes, 23 May 2006, 'Iraq'.

<sup>975</sup> Minutes, 25 May 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

on security and electricity provision in Baghdad. On the development of the ISF, he stated:

"... the Ministry of Defence needed to look closely at the level of capability of the Iraqi forces. This should also look at the quality of training and provision of equipment ... ensuring the ISF did have sufficient capability could make a very big difference to our strategic progress in Iraq."

**1060.** The MOD was asked to provide a paper on the capability and requirements of the ISF.

**1061.** On 25 May, during a working dinner with Dr Rice and Mr Steve Hadley, US National Security Advisor, Sir Nigel Sheinwald said that urgent action was needed on ISF capacity:

"The numbers used by the MNF were suspect as they did not take account of substantial desertions. It was disappointing that there were still problems over equipment, as well as the known gaps in terms of command and control and running their own operations."

976

**1062.** On 2 June, Sir Nigel chaired a meeting of the Iraq Strategy Group.<sup>977</sup> The Group had reviewed progress against the tasks commissioned by Mr Blair:

- Gen Casey was "preparing an Iraqi-fronted security statement in mid/late June" that "would announce the first wave of provinces to transition". The UK "favoured" an indication of what could be expected "over the next 18-24 months, but the US was cautious".
- The MOD was working on a "robust assessment of where things stood" with the ISF.
- Gen Casey was preparing a Security Plan for Basra (described later in this Section).
- GOC MND(SE) "intended to start detention operations in the next few days, and was working to increase the Iraqi face on security using the Iraqi Army". The Iraqis were currently participating in 40 percent of all patrols in Basra.
- The "FCO was pursuing a US analysis of the gaps in their support to the key ministries and DFID was pulling together an overview of UK support".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>976</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Hayes, 26 May 2006, 'Dinner with US Secretary of State and National Security Adviser, 25 May'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>977</sup> Minute Cabinet Office [junior official] to Sheinwald, 5 June 2006, 'Iraq Strategy Group'.

**1063.** On 7 June, the MOD submitted its assessment of the ISF's progress to the Cabinet Office.<sup>978</sup> Some of the key points were:

- The programme was on target to complete by December 2006 with 80 percent of the ISF trained and equipped (less the forces in Anbar province and the Air Force and Navy capability).
- Higher-level command and control, logistics, equipment husbandry and intelligence remained immature, but "significant improvement" was expected during 2006. MND(SE) had "some of the same issues" but they were "less acute" with 10th Division having received 97 percent of its "critical items".
- Of the Iraqi Army battalions and brigade/divisional headquarters, 50 percent were capable of planning, executing and sustaining counter-insurgency operations. The Iraqi people held the Iraqi Army "generally in high regard".
- The police were "some way behind" but "significant progress" was expected by the end of the year. Their effectiveness rested on their credibility with the Iraqi people, which was "increasing but remain[ed] an issue".
- Equipment shortfalls in the police were attributed to MOI "over-recruiting" police forces, by "possibly 9,000 to 10,000 ... in the South alone". That was "reportedly to combat unemployment".
- "The ISF in MND(SE) should be capable of managing the threat that they will face but could be quickly undermined by poor leadership." The UK had trained 22,000 IPS officers out of an agreed number of 29,000.

**1064.** On the Basra Security Plan, the MOD wrote that "in seeking efficiencies to resource the new initiatives proposed in the Basra paper, it would be counter-productive to disrupt established projects in MND(SE) ... to then re-invest in the same sectors". The FCO was exploring options to reduce the UK's commitment to the Jordan International Police Training College, which had the potential to save £3.5m "with minimal impact upon ISF capacity-building" although it "may meet with opposition in US quarters".

**1065.** Writing about the way ahead, the MOD report stated:

"As the Iraqi security forces mature, Iraq's partners will need to put additional resources into areas such as the judiciary and courts which have been relatively neglected but which are critical to enforcing law and order as security forces. We need to caution against further growth in the size of the ISF whose size/shape has been designed to be affordable in the long run ...

"On the whole, the advice of the UK personnel is appreciated by not only the US, but more importantly the Iraqis. We must build on this influence, without negating the current good work that is being planned and will soon be implemented in

Minute DJC/Iraq to Cabinet Office [junior official], 7 June 2006, 'Iraq: Strategy Group Workstrands' attaching Paper 'Update on Progress of the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF)'.

Iraq. Additional resources (civilian official and extra CivPoI) to aid Ministerial capacity-building, particularly in the Ministry of Interior, would be a key place to add value."

# Iraqi Air Force progress

A Report by the Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq published on 6 September 2007 stated that the Iraqi Air Force had a "meagre beginning and late start" compared with the Iraqi Army. <sup>979</sup> Development of the Iraqi Air Force was led by the Coalition Air Force Transition Team (CAFTT) which was part of MNSTC-I.

In June 2004, Maj Gen Houghton recorded that 148 air force personnel were in training. <sup>980</sup> Initially, the force would be 500 strong. An agreement had been established for the transfer of air transport and helicopters from Jordan.

In July 2005, Lt Gen Brims reported that it was "a long term project" and that there were "insufficient funds to even forecast when a plan might be considered". 981

On 7 June 2006, the MOD produced an update on ISF progress.<sup>982</sup> On the Iraqi Air Force, progress had been made in the adoption of new structures and procedures. However, operational tasks were limited to reconnaissance and air transport, and equipment procurement had proved a challenge.

Initial recruits to the Iraqi Air Force were people who had served in the Air Force prior to the invasion.<sup>983</sup>

The main objectives of the Iraqi Air Force were:

- to organise, train and equip air operations;
- to conduct day/night/all-weather counter-insurgency operations; and
- to provide homeland capabilities to the Government of Iraq.

The Iraqi Air Force operated out of four bases:

- Al Muthanna Air Base which operated the Air Force's fixed wing capability;
- Taji Air Base which housed an interim Air Force Academy as well as most of the rotary wing assets; and
- Basra and Kirkuk Air Bases which focused on intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance capabilities.

The Iraqi Air Force transferred to Iraqi control in September 2006, under the command and control of the Joint Headquarters. 984

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>979</sup> Report, 6 September 2007, 'Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>980</sup> Minute ACDS(Ops) to Rycroft, June 2004, 'How Best to Progress the Iraqiisation of the Security Sector'.

<sup>981</sup> Letter Naworynsky to Quarrey, 21 July 2005, 'Update on Progress of Iraqi Security Forces'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>982</sup> Minute DJC/Iraq to Cabinet Office [junior official], 7 June 2006, 'Iraq: Strategy Group Workstrands' attaching Paper, 'Update on Progress of the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF)'.

<sup>983</sup> Report, 6 September 2007, 'Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq'.

<sup>984</sup> JIC Assessment, 17 January 2007, 'Iraqi Security Forces: Prospects in 2007'.

On 21 November, the Chiefs of Staff were briefed that the Iraqi Air Force would not be capable of external air defence until 2013. Although funding was not an issue there were difficulties with poor quality personnel, anti-corruption mechanisms and bureaucracy in the IMOD. To increase the rate of development, the US was planning to double its CAFTT team to 232 personnel. The UK was contributing one Group Captain to the CAFTT and had provided some places on courses in the UK. An earlier request from MNSTC-I for the UK to provide flying instructors had been turned down as a result of airworthiness and safety concerns. The paper recommended increasing UK support to CAFTT and providing an RAF officer to the Iraqi JHQ.

### **1066.** On 9 June, the JIC assessed:

"The new government will take time to agree critical strategic security policy. Even if the Ministers of Defence and Interior prove capable and non-partisan, robust administrative capacity in these Ministries will take time to build. We judge there is likely to be only limited progress during the rest of this year in the face of a virulent insurgency and continuing sectarian violence. The need to absorb Shia militias will add to the challenges and could exacerbate sectarian tensions; but a failure to do so would undermine the authority of the government."

## An Iraqi security strategy

**1067.** Mr Browne visited Iraq from 18 to 22 June (as described earlier in this Section). His Assistant Private Secretary recorded that "the main players from a security perspective (Maliki, Boulani (MOI) and Qader (MOD)) all seem determined to tackle problems previously skirted: corruption, militias, sectarianism".

**1068.** On 25 June, *BBC News* reported that Prime Minister Maliki had announced his plan for national reconciliation. Some of the points dealing with SSR were:

- preventing human rights violations, reforming prisons and punishing those responsible for acts of torture;
- measures to strengthen Iraq's armed forces so they would be ready to take over responsibility for national security from the MNF;
- review of the armed forces to ensure they were being run on "professional and patriotic" principles;
- ensuring the political neutrality of Iraq's armed forces and tackling Iraq's militia groups; and
- review of the de-Ba'athification Committee to ensure it respected the law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>985</sup> Minute ACDS(Ops) to COS, 21 November 2006, 'Update on UK Engagement with Iraqi Air Force (IzAF)'.

<sup>986</sup> JIC Assessment, 9 June 2006, 'The Iragi Security Forces: Fit for Duty?'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>987</sup> Minute APS/SoS [MOD] to DCDS(C), 28 June 2006, 'Secretary of State's Visit to Iraq – 18-22 June 2006'.

<sup>988</sup> BBC News, 25 June 2006, Main points of Irag's peace plan.

**1069.** On 17 July, Lt Gen Fry reported that MNSTC-I had made recommendations for changes to the ISF structures following concerns expressed by Prime Minister Maliki about the ISF's ability to tackle the current security situation. <sup>989</sup> Mr Maliki was reported to have:

"... little confidence in the police and [he] thinks that the army should be greatly expanded. The view from MNF-I and MNSTC-I is that the currently planned ISF force structure is about the right size and properly balanced between the [Iraqi] MOD and the MOI."

### **1070.** The main changes proposed were:

- The Iraqi Army should have a second Divisional HQ in Baghdad; one additional brigade in each of Diyala and Anbar; two additional battalions for 10th Division in Basra; an additional Special Operational battalion in Baghdad plus 400 armoured vehicles and a mobile armoured strike force.
- The National Police should have a "near-term reconstitution, to restore standards of training, discipline and leadership, and a two-year plan to reorganise and retrain them so that they evolve into a Carabinieri/gendarmerie force".
- To bring together existing units into a rapid response national counter-terror force overseen by the IMOD and a national strike force comprising a mechanised brigade, a Special Forces commando battalion and a National Police brigade.

**1071.** Lt Gen Fry reported that the key theme of the recommendations was "a better not bigger ISF, within budget" and that "we are close to an agreed ISF size and shape, revised in the light of experience to address the developing operational challenges".

**1072.** On 20 July, Mr Patey sent a valedictory prior to leaving Iraq. <sup>990</sup> He wrote:

"Maliki knows he must reduce and eventually eliminate the power of the militias but does not feel he has sufficient forces at his disposal or cover within his political circles to do so whilst terrorism and the insurgency show no signs of abating. We are in a Catch 22; those insurgents who might consider joining the political process are unlikely to do so until the militias have been disbanded or disarmed. As long as AQ-I and other groups are bombing Shia markets and mosques the militias will continue to pose as a better security option than the ISF and to assuage the desire for revenge ...

"But the position is not hopeless ... Our strategy must be to get the Iraqis to increasingly take the lead and responsibility. This will produce some uncomfortable

<sup>990</sup> eGram 31514/06 Baghdad to FCO London, 20 July 2006, 'Iraq: Valedictory'. This is the corrected version of his valedictory; the original was 31444/06.

<sup>989</sup> Minute Fry to PSO/CDS, 17 July 2006, 'Development of the Iraqi Security Forces'.

moments but in the long run is the only solution. Considerable progress has been made in building up Iraqi military capability and further significant milestones will be achieved by the end of the year. It should be possible to ensure that the Iraqi Government has a near monopoly of force by the time the coalition withdraws the bulk of our forces. Our ability to help them transform the National Police into a capable non sectarian force will be dependent on tackling the issue of militias. This in turn will be the key to bringing local police forces up to snuff although the civil institutions they report to will require considerably more work."

**1073.** On 27 July, the Iraq Strategy Group discussed whether there was "any better alternative to the current MNF-I strategy for building up the ISF and progressively transferring security to the Iraqis". <sup>991</sup> It agreed with the MNSTC-I view that they "should not give up on the Iraqi Police, notwithstanding the obvious problems"; that MOI reform remained a critical and urgent task; and that the Iraqi Army's ranks should be overfilled to bring them closer to 100 percent effective strength.

#### **DEALING WITH THE MILITIAS**

**1074.** Gen Jackson visited Iraq from 15 to 18 May 2006. <sup>992</sup> On the various unofficial and semi-official armed groups, he said:

"Although not wholly in the purview of SSR, these armed groupings must either be disbanded or integrated into the national security structure. The militias pose by far the hardest challenge and before there is any chance of DDR or integration into the ISF, formal political engagement with the associated political leaders of these groups is required: a priority task for the new government."

**1075.** When they met on 22 May, Mr Blair asked Prime Minister Maliki how the issue of militias could be best addressed. 993 Mr Maliki "favoured extensive dialogue, including with extremists, so long as they had not shed Iraqi blood"; terrorists should "be dealt with forcefully". There was "a consensus on militias, which all parties had now agreed to disband" but it would be necessary to find alternative employment for current militia members.

**1076.** Mr Maliki also said that he recognised the importance of the Ministries of Interior and Defence being seen to be independent and non-sectarian. He hoped to have soon appointed independent Ministers "without militia links".

**1077.** During a wider discussion on ISF at DOP(I) on 6 July, the following point was raised:

"While the numbers of ISF looked good on paper, anecdotal reports suggested that absenteeism and desertion brought those numbers down considerably. The Prime

<sup>991</sup> Minute Blake to Sheinwald, 27 July 2006, 'Iraq Strategy Group, 27 July'.

<sup>992</sup> Minute CGS to CDS, 22 May 2006, 'CGS Visit to Iraq: 15-18 May 06'.

<sup>993</sup> Minute PS/PM to PS/FS, 22 May 2006, 'Iraq: Prime Minister's Meeting with Nouri al-Maliki'.

Minister had some sympathy with the view of Maliki and the US that we should consider increasing the size of the Iraqi Army. The countervailing argument was that it was the ability to use troops available effectively that was the real constraint on the ISF's effectiveness. In either case, there was a political argument for absorbing some of the militia forces into the ISF. The US was exploring the options but the potential costs had yet to be established."994

**1078.** No.10 wrote to the MOD on 10 July to report that Mr Blair was "very concerned at the recent attack statistics" from Iraq, particularly the "widely reported sectarian killings" in Baghdad. Mr Blair judged that "overcoming the evident lack of engagement against the militias by the Iraqi Government and security forces is a major strategic task". As well as continuing to press the Iraqi Government to take action, it was important for the UK to "have a clearer view of what action is required, to complement and make up for the shortcomings of the current Baghdad and Basra security plans". In addition, he was concerned that the evidence demonstrated that the ISF were not as capable as had previously been assessed. No.10 asked for advice on addressing both of those issues.

**1079.** Mr Browne's Private Secretary replied to No.10 suggesting that the UK should press Prime Minister Maliki to:

- "re-emphasise publicly the theme of national unity";
- conduct a vigorous internal reform of the MOI;
- agree a four-step "militia engagement plan" comprising political engagement of figures with militia links, public engagement to establish popular support, military engagement to neutralise militia presence on the streets and a DDR process to absorb ex-militia members";
- overhaul the Baghdad Security Plan; and
- work with Muqtada al-Sadr to make him choose between politics and "populist adventurism".

**1080.** On 16 July, Lt Gen Fry reported continuing concerns that in the MOI "the insidious effects of political and militia affiliations are beginning to compromise any claim it might have for institutional integrity".<sup>997</sup>

**1081.** Lt Gen Fry thought that Mr Boulani would need help to address those issues and reported that Gen Casey had commissioned the development of an internal reform programme for the Ministry.

995 Letter Banner to McNeil, 10 July 2006, 'Irag: Security'.

<sup>994</sup> Minutes, 6 July 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>996</sup> Letter McNeil to Banner, 11 July 2006, 'Iraq: Security' attaching Paper, [undated], 'Summary – Update on Progress of the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF)'.

<sup>997</sup> Minute Fry to PSO/CDS, 16 July 2006, 'SBMR-I Weekly Report (218): 16 July 2006'.

### **1082.** On 23 August, a JIC Assessment of the militias stated:

"Violence in Iraq is part of a vicious circle: deteriorating security has led to a proliferation of militias, in turn fuelling further violence. Prime Minister Maliki is [...] unable to confront the militias, fearing a violent backlash that would threaten the break-up of the Shia political coalition (the UIA). Without significant progress on the National Reconciliation Plan and a sustained improvement in the security situation there will continue to be little appetite for the MNF plan for the Disarmament, Demobilisation and Reintegration of the Iraqi militias.

"Many militias are sectarian based and competing with the Iraqi state's security forces to provide security and protection for their own communities. They are undermining government authority. Some elements are engaged in violent attacks against their political and sectarian opponents and coalition forces. In some cases, the distinction between the armed gangs and the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) is blurred."998

### Who were the militias?

Table 6: The main militias recognised in Iraq in 2006

Name	Associated political party	Size
Patriotic Union of Kurdistan*	Patriotic Union of Kurdistan	80,000 to 90,000
Kurdistan Democratic Party*	Kurdistan Democratic Party	
Badr*	SCIRI then later ISCI999	10,000 to 13,000
Jaysh al-Mahdi	Office of the Martyr Sadr	10,000
Iraqi Islamic Party*	Iraqi Islamic Party	1,900
Iraqi Hizballah*		1,000
Jaysh al-Dawa*	Dawa	1,000
Army of the Guardians		500
Thar Allah		200

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes a militia recognised in CPA Order No.91 as having accepted the terms and timetable for reintegration, the process of which was expected to be completed by September 2005.<sup>1000</sup>

\_

<sup>998</sup> JIC Assessment, 23 August 2006, 'Iraq: The Problem With Militias'.

<sup>999</sup> Supreme Council for Islamic Revolution in Iraq, then Islamic Supreme Council in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1000</sup> Telegram 290 Iraq Rep to FCO, 7 June 2004, 'Iraq: Militias Order'.

**1083.** A US Report to Congress on 30 November stated that, in early October, Prime Minister Maliki had said that political parties should eliminate their militias or leave the government.<sup>1001</sup> It added:

"However, personnel with sectarian agendas remain within key ministries, especially the Ministry of Interior. In addition, rivalries for the control of key resources and the central government's limited influence outside Baghdad undermine the Government of Iraq's ability to disband the militias ...

"Despite these legal and political prohibitions, militias and other small armed groups operate openly, often with popular support, but outside formal public security structures. These militias provide an element of protection for the populace, generally on a sectarian or political basis. This is especially true in areas where there is a perception that the Government of Iraq is unwilling or unable to provide effective security for the population. Some militias also act as the security arm of an organisation devoted to social relief and welfare, lending these armed groups further legitimacy. Their continued existence challenges the legitimacy of the constitutional government and provides a conduit for foreign interference. Controlling and eventually eliminating militias is essential to meeting Iraq's near- and long-term security requirements."

## Transition to Iraqi control of security begins

**1084.** On 1 September, an eGram from the British Embassy Baghdad reported an "important step psychologically" for the Iraqi military: the Iraqi Ground Forces Command and IMOD would commence "a staggered handover" of command and control functions from MNF-I on 3 September. <sup>1002</sup> It would begin with the 8th Division and other divisions would follow at a rate of one every two weeks until the end of the year. The transfer of 10th Division was planned for January 2007and the final transfer, of the Ramadi Division, was planned for April. MNF-I forces were expected to retain responsibility for logistical support and development.

**1085.** The British Embassy Baghdad reported that "while the assumption of responsibility looks gradual and sensibly phased, in reality the pace will be demanding to both MNF-I and the IGFC [Iraqi Ground Forces Command]". As "life support and logistics capabilities" were "developing at their own, much slower, pace", it predicted that "IA Divisions will remain dependent on MNF-I for some time to come".

<sup>1001</sup> Report to Congress, 30 November 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1002</sup> eGram 38264/06 Baghdad to FCO, 1 September 2006, 'Iraq: Iraqis to Take Over Command and Control of its First Army Division'.

## **Ethno-sectarian diversity in the Iraqi Ministry of Defence**

In its Reports to Congress, the US DoD monitored ethno-sectarian diversity in the IMOD. 1003 On 26 May 2006, it stated that the leadership of the IMOD, selected with MNSTC-I co-ordination, was majority Sunni.

On 29 August, Sunnis and Kurds were over-represented, in relation to the population, at higher command levels, though Shia commanders held the majority of command positions. That was reported to reflect the requirement for military experience, which Sunnis had obtained in the Iraqi Army before the invasion and Kurds had obtained through years of experience in the Peshmerga.

The composition of Iraqi Army divisions could be divided into two groups. The nationally recruited divisions (those with an odd number) were roughly representative of the country. <sup>1005</sup> The even numbered divisions, which had been recruited locally, initially as ICDC personnel then ING, were more homogenous.

Describing the composition of Iraqi Army divisions, the JIC recorded that "of the 10 Army divisions, three are heavily Shia (over 90 percent), a further three are Shia-dominated, two are mostly Kurdish and one is relatively mixed, which is unsurprising given that five are based on National Guard divisions recruited locally in 2003. Among the top three senior Army officer grades, representation broadly reflects the national confessional breakdown: Sunnis 20 percent; Shia about 50-60 percent; the Kurds 20-30 percent." <sup>1006</sup>

To increase diversity in the odd numbered divisions, the intent was for replacements from a national recruiting pool to join these units. Further army recruitment was done at the national level with IMOD policy strictly prohibiting unit commanders from hiring their own personnel and clearly requiring enlisted and commissioned personnel to attend national training schools to receive certification of their rank and duty speciality. 1008

**1086.** On 3 November, the British Embassy Baghdad reported that a video conference between President Bush and Prime Minister Maliki had resulted in agreement to accelerate the pace of training the ISF, their assuming command and control and the transfer of security responsibility to the Iraqi Government.<sup>1009</sup>

**1087.** A High Level Working Group with three sub-committees was established to report on whether and how acceleration could take place in each area. The Working Group consisted of Gen Casey and Ambassador Khalilzad, along with the Iraqi National Security Adviser, Minister of the Interior and Minister of Defence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1003</sup> Report to Congress, 26 May 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1004</sup> Report to Congress, 29 August 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1005</sup> Report to Congress, 26 May 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1006</sup> JIC Assessment, 9 June 2006, 'The Iraqi Security Forces: Fit For Duty?'.

<sup>1007</sup> Report to Congress, 29 August 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Irag.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1008</sup> Report to Congress, 17 February 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1009</sup> eGram 48788/06 Baghdad to FCO London, 3 November 2006, 'Accelerating Security Transition'.

**1088.** The Embassy reported that "Maliki is frustrated at what he feels is his lack of control over Iraqi security" but also that Gen Casey feared that "forcing the pace risks putting too much pressure on immature Iraqi systems and capabilities".

## Iraqi Security Force assessments in late 2006

**1089.** Lt Gen Fry submitted his End of Tour Report on 28 August. 1010 He wrote:

"The key indices of the development of the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) are regularly reported and show steady progress. There are some structural problems which, in the IA, will require an additional 52,000 soldiers to be trained, and in the IPS, will require the process of internal reform to be seen through. But these are regarded as running repairs to structures which are fundamentally sound in design and institutionally well-conceived. Given this positive background, the successive IA battalions which have disintegrated when placed under orders or actually deployed to operations outside their divisional area is disappointing. Disappointing, but probably not surprising. The month on month increase of numbers trained conceals organisations which remain very immature ... Seen from MNSTC-I, this is entirely predictable at the 18 month point of a three year process and [Lieutenant General] Dempsey [Commander MNSTC-I] would assert that the ISF project is on track, so long as too much is not asked of it too soon ..."

**1090.** Lt Gen Fry thought that the ISF would be tested over the next month. If they were successful he judged:

"... the campaign will have negotiated a tricky period ... But the stakes are high and failure would have implications for campaign progress, the place of the ISF in Iraqi society and the authority of the Maliki government. It is difficult to predict the outcome ..."

### **1091.** On 15 November, the JIC assessed:

"MNF operations under the Baghdad security plan have had only temporary and local impacts: violence has been displaced and has increased overall. The ISF have been unable to sustain any improvements. Operations have exposed the patchy nature of Iraqi Army capability and the ineffectiveness of the Iraqi police. Prime Minister Maliki is attempting to address some of the problems: diplomatic reporting indicates he has ordered a purge of officers within the security Ministries involved in sectarian violence. The MOI claims that 3,000 police have been relieved of duty – although most are likely to be re-deployed elsewhere." 1011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1010</sup> Minute Fry to PSO/CDS, 28 August 2006, 'SBMR-I End of Tour Report'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1011</sup> JIC Assessment, 15 November 2006, 'Irag: Risk of Deepening Sectarian Division'.

### 1092. A further JIC Assessment on 24 November stated:

"The UIA [United Iraqi Alliance] recognises the need to build ISF capabilities, but ISF credibility as impartial, national forces is being damaged by the main Shia factions entrenching their influence – and in some cases control – over state security structures. [...] SCIRI's Badr Organisation is the most organised, placing its members in important positions within the Ministries [...]. The Jaysh al Mahdi (JAM), largely under the control of Sadr, has been less systematic, but controls the police in many Shia areas through infiltration and intimidation. The relative influence of SCIRI/Badr and JAM in the ISF varies across the Shia areas of central and southern Iraq; their rivalry has led to serious violence in places, most recently in al-Amara.

"Shia militias provide protection and leverage to Shia political parties. In a climate of poor security and political uncertainty, we see no prospect that SCIRI/Badr, Sadr/ JAM and others will willingly give up their power. Maliki has made some attempts to get rid of sectarian elements within the ISF [...]. He says he is pursuing a strategy with the Sadrists to bind them more tightly into the political process while gathering the necessary political backing to take tough action against renegade JAM elements. [...] By aligning himself with the Sadrists, Maliki risks alienating SCIRI/Badr." 1012

### **Enabling the police to tackle crime**

A Report to Congress on 30 November 2006 stated that the MOI's emphasis on tactical skills meant that little resource was left for training for or conducting criminal investigations. <sup>1013</sup> As a result, corruption and smuggling were becoming more organised and entrenched. The CPATT was seeking to address that by strengthening the Iraqi Major Crimes Task Force and the Major Crimes Unit. In addition, there were discussions between the MOI and MNSTC-I about improving Iraq's forensic investigative capabilities by adding several thousand forensic specialists to the police forces.

In November 2006, the British Embassy Baghdad produced a 'Police Forward Look' that suggested the UK's aim was to move its "assistance increasingly to higher-level mentoring and support". 1014 It identified seven work strands in Baghdad, a number of which were expected to transfer to an Iraqi lead during 2007:

- TIPS CPATT was likely to move the hotline to the MOI "in the next few months". Without a significant increase in resources, the UK contribution (ArmorGroup) was judged to be unsustainable and it was recommended that it be drawn down by March 2007 "at the latest". Despite being "the UK's biggest success story", it "was not part of our original remit". TIPS is described earlier in this Section in the Box, 'TIPS hotline'.
- Forensics the Baghdad laboratory and training academy were open with "significant" support from UK police officers and ArmorGroup. They aimed to be completely Iraqi-led by the end of 2007; the Basra equivalent was "now the priority".

<sup>1012</sup> JIC Assessment, 24 November 2006, 'Irag: What do the Shia want?'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1013</sup> Report to Congress, 30 November 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1014</sup> Paper BE Baghdad, November 2006, 'Police Forward Look'.

- Intelligence the NIIA should be "running successfully" by early 2009. It was currently "still in its infancy and was heavily dependent on British assistance".
   One UK police officer oversaw the entire programme.
- Capacity-building at the MOI the UK would continue to provide Rule of Law and policing advice at a strategic level to the MOI through one or more high-level advisors.
- CPATT slots to continue "for as long as is required". British officers held two
  positions in CPATT and a further two were desired over the next year.
- Hostage affairs one officer whose role was to provide links into the
  Hostage Working Group and other US and MNF-I hostage recovery groups. It
  was a post that the UK could not "manage without" and any departing officer
  should be replaced "as rapidly as possible with another police officer from the
  UK with the relevant skill set". The role was described as "not a heavily loaded
  slot until hostage issues arise (as at present), 1015 when it involves long hours and
  a heavy workload".
- Chief Police Adviser recommended that that role be returned to Baghdad
  following the transition to Provincial Iraqi Control in Basra "to oversee what
  should be a smaller and more strategic policing team". His role could double up
  with a senior role in CPATT.

### **Developing the Ministry of Interior and Iraqi Ministry of Defence**

**1093.** Lt Gen Houghton described the focus on IMOD development (compared with the focus on the Ministry of Oil) in his 8 January 2006 weekly report to Gen Walker:

"A coalition engagement plan that has 103 advisers in the MOD yet only six in the Ministry of Oil is not properly balanced." 1016

**1094.** Mr Straw asked FCO officials for advice on Lt Gen Houghton's comments on the imbalance between coalition support for the Ministries of Defence and Oil.<sup>1017</sup>

**1095.** Mr Asquith replied to Mr Straw on 18 January. <sup>1018</sup> He advised that the IMOD had indeed received a "disproportionately" larger number of advisers than other ministries, for three reasons:

- unlike other ministries, the IMOD had been torn apart by the coalition and needed rebuilding from scratch;
- the importance of security issues; and
- its location in the Green Zone, which meant that advisers could work there relatively uninterrupted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1015</sup> This may be a reference to the kidnapping of up to 150 employees and visitors of an Education Ministry building in Baghdad.

<sup>1016</sup> Minute Houghton to CDS, 8 January 2006, 'SBMR-I Weekly Report (194) 08 January 06'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1017</sup> Minute Asquith to Foreign Secretary, 18 January 2006, 'Iraq: Capacity-building in Ministries'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1018</sup> Minute Asquith to Foreign Secretary, 18 January 2006, 'Iraq: Capacity-building in Ministries'.

**1096.** A Cabinet Office paper on 13 June reported that the UK contribution to the Ministerial Transition Teams was:

- MOI: five international consultants (working in rotation) and two military officers.
  The focus was on management and administrative capacity-building, including
  strategic planning, improving administrative controls and accountability, clarifying
  the legal and constitutional framework and human resource management.
- IMOD: seven full-time advisers (MOD), including the Team Leader/Senior Advisor who was responsible for directly advising the Minister. The team focused on establishing a functional Secretariat, strengthening contracting procedures and developing the Inspector General Group.<sup>1019</sup>

**1097.** A Report to Congress on 30 November stated that the MOI "was currently assessed as being partly effective overall". The MOI Transition Team had "just over 100 advisers":

- Seven were from the US State Department.
- Three were from the US Department of Justice.
- 45 were from the US military.
- "just over a third" were contractors (Military Professional Resources Inc).
- The rest were non-US military and civilian personnel.

**1098.** The IMOD Transition Team contained "just under 50 advisers":

- The majority were contractors (Military Professional Resources Inc).
- Six were US military personnel.
- 12 were civilian advisers.

**1099.** A "similarly scaled effort" was provided at Joint Headquarters, with US military personnel making up roughly half and the rest split between US contractors and personnel from coalition countries.

**1100.** On 17 January 2007, the JIC repeated its concerns about the IMOD and the MOI but did note some small signs of improvement in the Ministries, stating:

"The Ministerial Committee for National Security – chaired by [Prime Minister] Maliki – is taking on more strategic planning. The MOD has benefited from MNF engagement, performing better than the MOI. We judge that both ministries are better able to direct their forces, albeit inconsistently. Some efforts have been made to correct deep-seated problems. But the lack of united national political direction is reflected in Iraq's security machinery which remains largely un-coordinated and, we

Paper Cabinet Office, 13 June 2006, 'Follow-up to the Prime Minister's visit, including Delivering a Step-Change in Basra' attaching Annex B 'Capacity-building Assistance (Excluding Direct Support from UK Missions in Iraq)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1020</sup> Report to Congress, 30 November 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

judge, only partially effective: undermined by personal and party rivalries, endemic corruption and the absence of a capable bureaucracy. This is unlikely to change significantly in the foreseeable future. [...]

"Both the MOI and MOD still face significant difficulties in effectively administering their rapidly expanding forces ... Corruption and sectarianism still permeate the MOI." 1021

**1101.** Reports to Congress between November 2006 and March 2007 highlighted the work being undertaken to tackle corruption in the MOI:

- Internal Affairs: By the end of September 2006, 650 out of a total of around 1,000 MOI Internal Affairs officers had received specialised training. Training for all personnel was expected to be completed by March 2007.<sup>1022</sup>
- "Quicklook": A coalition-initiated, MOI-led police reform programme called "Quicklook" was launched in December 2006.<sup>1023</sup> It aimed to review all aspects of the performance and effectiveness of Iraqi police stations, beginning in Baghdad. It comprised visits by representatives from Police Affairs, Internal Affairs, Human Resources, Training and Administrative Directorates and was complemented by the PTTs.
- Dismissals: By August 2006, over 230,000 MOI employees had been screened by the Iraqi Police Screening Service, against Ba'ath Party records and Saddam Hussein-era criminal records.<sup>1024</sup> Possible positive hits numbered 5,300, leading to the dismissal of 74 personnel. By March 2007, there had been 1,228 dismissals with a further 2,143 dismissals pending.<sup>1025</sup> The screening process was severely hampered by its inability to check for militia links; to counter that, IPS recruits were required to take an oath of office denouncing militia influence and pledging allegiance to Iraq's Constitution.<sup>1026</sup>

#### The National Police

The DoD reported to Congress on 26 May 2006 that the Iraqi National Police had been created on 1 April 2006. The Minister of Interior signed an order to reorganise and merge the Police Commandos, the Public Order and Mechanised Police and the Emergency Response Unit to form a single force under a single headquarters.

An eGram from Mr Asquith on 7 December explained that the intention behind the National Police was to create a mixed ethno-sectarian force, filling the gap between the "provincial" IPS and the Iraqi Army in dealing with serious civil disorder and internal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1021</sup> JIC Assessment, 17 January 2007, 'Iraqi Security Forces: Prospects in 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1022</sup> Report to Congress, 30 November 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1023</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1024</sup> Report to Congress, 29 August 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1025</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Irag*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1026</sup> Report to Congress, 30 November 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1027</sup> Report to Congress, 26 May 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

emergencies.<sup>1028</sup> The planned number of officers was 25,000 but it was currently staffed to "around 19,000". Almost all National Police personnel were deployed in Baghdad.

Mr Asquith reported that the National Police's first major deployment in June had been "disappointing" and the second "somewhat better" but capability concerns remained. In response, MNF-I and the MOI had initiated a comprehensive retraining and leadership programme, resulting in a reshuffle that attracted local and international press coverage. It was now in the second phase of retraining which was scheduled to run until September 2007. Officers would be retrained in police (as opposed to military) skills and "not released for duties until they are able to meet the required standard".

The MNF-I hoped that the retraining would also make "the NP [National Police] less susceptible to the influence and infiltration of the militias" but Mr Asquith noted: "Indeed, as so often with the ISF as a whole, leadership will be the key."

While the National Police's future role was undecided, the aim was to turn it into a "more aggressive, responsive, paramilitary-style force over the next five years", similar to the Italian Carabinieri (National Military Police). Plans for regionalising the force were dependent on the security situation in Baghdad, where the National Police would be crucial in maintaining public order once the US drawdown began.

A JIC Assessment issued on 9 June 2006 reported:

"The more capable National Police, largely confined to the Baghdad region, have provided effective support to MNF counter-insurgency operations. But we judge that there are serious problems of corruption, criminality, and divided loyalties; elements have taken part in sectarian attacks and are prone to Shia militia influence." 1029

A Report to Congress on 30 November 2006 stated that while the National Police had "proven useful in fighting the insurgency", frequent allegations of abuse and other illegal activities affected their credibility. 1030 A report in June 2007 stated that a four-phase transformation programme began in October 2006 to reorient the National Police towards police (as opposed to paramilitary) functions:

- Phase I: "Quicklook" inspections to improve overall readiness.
- Phase II: Standardised collective training, including added emphasis on human rights, Rule of Law and police ethics. Extensive re-vetting of currently serving officers, including ID checks, fingerprints, biometrics, a literacy test, and criminal intelligence background checks. There was no specific screening for militia affiliation.
- Phase III: An Italian led training plan based on the tactics, techniques and procedures of the Carabinieri.
- Phase IV: Forward positioning to train on contingencies such as security for pilgrimages, natural disasters and national emergencies.<sup>1031</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1028</sup> eGram 54506/06 Baghdad to FCO, 7 December 2006, 'Iraq: The National Police'.

<sup>1029</sup> JIC Assessment, 9 June 2006, 'The Iraqi Security Forces: Fit for Duty?'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1030</sup> Report to Congress, 30 November 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1031</sup> Report to Congress, 7 June 2007, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

By September 2007, the National Police Commander had relieved commanders of both of the divisions, all nine brigades and 17 of 27 battalions. The Report to Congress stated that despite those changes, sectarianism remained a significant problem within the National Police.

### **Reform of the Facilities Protection Service**

**1102.** Facilities Protection Service (FPS) personnel were also implicated in violent crimes and other illegal activity. On 24 August 2006, Prime Minister Maliki announced that the majority of the FPS would be consolidated into a unified organisation accountable to the MOI. An early test case review of the Central Bank of Iraq's Protection Service suggested that 800 of the 1,800 employees on the payroll were either ghost employees or otherwise unfit for such employment.

**1103.** On 27 December, Prime Minister Maliki signed a consolidation directive that provided instructions to place all FPS personnel under the MOI, with the exception of the Ministry of Oil, the Ministry of Electricity and the Higher Juridical Council forces. <sup>1035</sup>

**1104.** Many Ministries resisted central control over their guard forces and continued to use the FPS as an employment opportunity for militia and sectarian interests. <sup>1036</sup>

**1105.** The draft Facility Protection Service Reform Act was still in limbo between the Council of Ministers and the Committee of Representatives at the point of UK military withdrawal more than two years later.<sup>1037</sup>

# Creation of the Iraqi national counter-terrorism capability

On 10 October 2006, Prime Minister Maliki approved the establishment of an Iraqi national counter-terrorism capability, comprising:

- The development of a National Counter-Terrorism Bureau separate from the ministries, to act as the principal adviser to the Prime Minister on counter-terrorism matters.
- The establishment of a separate major command equivalent to the Iraqi Ground Forces Command to provide support to the National Counter-Terrorism Bureau in intelligence and targeting areas.

<sup>1032</sup> Report to Congress, 14 September 2007, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1033</sup> Report to Congress, 29 August 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1034</sup> Report to Congress, 30 November 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1035</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1036</sup> Report to Congress, 7 June 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1037</sup> Report to Congress, 23 July 2009, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

 The expansion of the Iraqi Special Operations Forces that would be commanded by the new counter-terrorism command. That expansion would include an additional commando battalion with forward-based commando companies in Basra, Mosul and al-Asad.<sup>1038</sup>

### **Iraq Forward Plan**

**1106.** Following a discussion in the Iraq Strategy Group, <sup>1039</sup> a draft of a Forward Plan was sent to Mr Blair's Private Secretary by Mr Simon McDonald, FCO Director Iraq, on 24 November 2006. <sup>1040</sup> It was also sent in parallel to the FCO, the MOD and to SIS. The Forward Plan considered what more needed to be done to improve the chances of successful transition (there is more detail on the Forward Plan in Section 9.5).

**1107.** The Forward Plan assessed the key weaknesses of the ISF as:

- "• A lack of capacity and ineffective command and control arrangements, particularly at strategic and operational levels.
- Militia infiltration of the Iraqi Security Forces, in particular the Iraqi police force and other Ministry of Interior forces.
- The inability of Iraqi Ministry of Defence to apportion and release funding.
- Strategic and tactical level intelligence capabilities.
- Lack of Iraqi Security Forces logistic capacity and protected mobility.
- Lack of some heavier weapons such as machine guns."

**1108.** To address those concerns over three, six and 12 months, at both the national and the MND(SE) level, the proposals included:

- providing subject matter experts to the security Ministries;
- encouraging the Iragi Government to address issues such as sectarianism;
- reforming corrupt elements of the police;
- enhancing the UK commitment to monitoring and mentoring 10th Division; and
- providing niche equipment.

**1109.** Responding to Mr McDonald, Mr Blair's Private Secretary reported that he had described the Forward Plan as "an excellent piece of work". <sup>1041</sup> The Private Secretary asked for it to be finalised and implemented.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1038</sup> Report to Congress, 30 November 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*; Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1039</sup> Minute Cabinet Office [junior official] to Sheinwald, 27 November 2006, 'Iraq Strategy Group, 24 November'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1040</sup> Minute McDonald to Banner, 24 November 2006, 'Iraq Forward Plan' attaching Draft Paper, [undated], 'Iraq: Forward Plan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1041</sup> Letter Banner to McDonald, 27 November 2006, 'Irag: Forward Plan'.

**1110.** On 29 November, Vice Admiral Charles Style, Deputy Chief of the Defence Staff (Commitments), told the Chiefs of Staff that the Forward Plan had received Mr Blair's approval over the weekend.<sup>1042</sup>

**1111.** At DOP(I) on 7 December, Mr Browne reported that the security aspects of the Forward Plan were being implemented and that weaknesses in ISF capacity and in the Basra police were being addressed.<sup>1043</sup>

**1112.** The Inquiry has seen no other record of implementation against the Forward Plan's proposals.

# Iraqi Air Force progress

In September 2007, the US Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq (led by General James L. Jones and described later in this Section) concluded:

"The Iraqi Air Force's relatively late establishment hampers its ability to provide much-needed air support to ground operations. It is well designed as the air component to the existing counterinsurgency effort, but not for the future needs of a fully capable air force. Though limited by the availability of properly skilled personnel, and by an inclination to value force size and acquisition over operational effectiveness, it is nonetheless progressing at a promising rate during this formative period." 1044

In September 2007, the Iraqi Air Force numbered 1,100 personnel with 45 aircraft. 1045 There were plans to increase personnel to 3,000 and for the number of aircraft to increase to 80 by the end of 2007. Although the target of 3,000 personnel by the end of 2007 was not met (there were only 1,200), long-term ambitions grew and plans were developed to create an Iraqi Air Force of 12,000 personnel operating from 10 main bases and five secondary bases.

By 31 May 2010, there were 5,600 personnel with 106 aircraft.<sup>1046</sup> The US assessed that the "Minimum Essential Capability" required when US forces left in December 2011 was "to establish the capability to support COIN operations and put in place the building blocks necessary for the achievement of air sovereignty".<sup>1047</sup> The US assessed in August 2010 that the Iraqi Air Force was on track to meet this capability in all areas with the exception of airspace control and fixed wing airlift.<sup>1048</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1042</sup> Minutes, 29 November 2006, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1043</sup> Minutes, 7 December 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1044</sup> Report, 6 September 2007, 'Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1045</sup> Report to Congress, 14 December 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1046</sup> Report to Congress, 20 August 2010, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1047</sup> Report to Congress, 29 April 2010, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1048</sup> Report to Congress, 20 August 2010, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

# Focus on the Iraqi Army

**1113.** On 29 October 2006, Mr Blair wrote a minute to staff in No.10 entitled 'Iraq Plan'.<sup>1049</sup> On ISF development he suggested:

"Rectify any weaknesses in training, equipment, pay and capacity of the Iraqi Army. This should be built up as a major force which everyone knows is superior to any other force. If we need to embed more of our officers to help, we should do it. We need to make the Iraqi MOD effective in paying soldiers.

"A plan to pay off the worst aspects of the police, slim them down and change the command and control. All this is easier to do in the context of the growing Army power."

**1114.** In a Note to President Bush on 20 December, Mr Blair suggested three ways in which to support Prime Minister Maliki, the first of which was to:

"... increase the speed of Iraqi Army command and control; training and equipment. They are the one reasonably solid force structure the Iraqi Government has. All our effort must be directed to building its capability." 1050

**1115.** On 6 January 2007, Prime Minister Maliki delivered what Mr Asquith described as a "robust" speech at Iraq's Army Day event. <sup>1051</sup> Mr Maliki called for armed forces that were without political bias, cohesive in the national interest and protected from political interference and militia. Mr Maliki said:

"We will not allow anybody to be an alternative to the state, whether the militias or anybody else, regardless of their affiliations ... We will confront them firmly."

**1116.** On 14 January 2007, Mr Blair met Mr Robert Gates, US Secretary of Defence. Mr Blair was recorded as stating that the Iraqis needed "at least one institution of power which worked and supported the government". His advice to the US was to place a high value on building up Iraqi military capability.

# **Expansion of the Iraqi Security Forces**

**1117.** On 10 January 2007, President Bush announced a change of strategy for Iraq, often referred to as "the Surge". <sup>1053</sup> This is explained in more detail in Section 9.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1049</sup> Note Blair, 29 October 2006, 'Iraq Plan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1050</sup> Note Blair to Bush, 20 December 2006, 'Note'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1051</sup> eGram 534/07 Baghdad to FCO London, 7 January 2007, 'Iraq: Prime Minister al-Maliki, 7 January'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1052</sup> Letter Sheinwald to Forber, 15 January 2007, 'Prime Minister's Meeting with US Defence Secretary, 14 January: Iraq and Afghanistan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1053</sup> The White House archive, 10 January 2007, *President's Address to the Nation*.

As well as increasing the number of US and Iraqi troops in Baghdad, President Bush announced a further focus on SSR:

"In keeping with the recommendations of the Iraq Study Group [described in Section 9.5], we will increase the embedding of American Advisors in Iraqi Army units, and partner a coalition brigade with every Iraqi Army division. We will help the Iraqis build a larger and better-equipped army, and we will accelerate the training of Iraqi forces, which remains the essential US security mission in Iraq."

**1118.** On 17 January, the JIC produced an Assessment commissioned by the Iraq Senior Officials Group. <sup>1054</sup> It stated:

"The success of new US plans will depend in part on the willingness of the Iraqi Government to take on sectarian and political militias. Maliki will not take action which risks breaking the Shia United Iraqi Alliance (UIA) and bringing down his government. Only a small proportion of the ISF are currently both willing and able to take on the Shia militias. In Baghdad the ISF will need support from MNF combat units beyond 2007. Similar support will be required in the Sunni Arab heartlands if de facto control of large areas is not to pass to the insurgents."

**1119.** The US view of what was necessary to stabilise the situation in Iraq was reflected in an assessment produced and published in January 2007 by the US National Intelligence Council.<sup>1055</sup> On the ISF it stated:

"Despite real improvements, the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) – particularly the Iraqi police – will be hard pressed in the next 12-18 months to execute significantly increased security responsibilities, and particularly to operate independently against Shia militias with success. Sectarian divisions erode the dependability of many units, many are hampered by personnel and equipment shortfalls, and a number of Iraqi units have refused to serve outside of the areas where they were recruited."

**1120.** It also judged that if a rapid drawdown of coalition forces were to occur, the ISF "would be unlikely to survive as a non-sectarian national institution".

**1121.** Mr Blair met General David Petraeus, the new Commander MNF-I on 6 February. <sup>1056</sup> They discussed Iraq's security institutions and agreed that there were still problems with funding, equipment and key enablers such as intelligence. They also discussed the loyalty of the ISF and agreed that it was vital that the Iraqi Government was able to impose its authority. Gen Petraeus agreed to lobby the Government on matters such as a replacement commander for 10th Division if a solution was not forthcoming. Mr Blair said that the UK would stay in Basra Palace and do more training and mentoring.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1054</sup> JIC Assessment, 17 January 2007, 'Iragi Security Forces: Prospects in 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1055</sup> [US] National Intelligence Estimate, [approved] 29 January 2007, *Prospects for Iraq's Stability:* a Challenging Road Ahead (Key judgments).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1056</sup> Letter Banner to McNeil, 6 February 2007, 'Prime Minister's Meeting with David Petraeus'.

- **1122.** The DoD reported to Congress on 2 March that over 40 Joint Security Stations in Baghdad would be established to "facilitate co-operation between coalition and Iraqi Forces and to build trust and confidence with the local population". <sup>1057</sup>
- **1123.** The Report to Congress stated that the generation of MNSTC-I-agreed force levels as mandated under the Petraeus Plan was considered complete. Both the IMOD and the MOI had assumed control of most force generation tasks.
- **1124.** A letter from Mr Browne, circulated to DOP(I) members ahead of a meeting on 10 May, commented that "we must build on examples like Anbar, once considered all but lost, where tribal leaders are now working with the coalition to drive out Al Qaida". <sup>1058</sup>
- **1125.** Mr Browne said in discussion at the DOP(I) meeting that, in Anbar: "young Sunnis were queuing up to join the Iraqi Security Forces". 1059

# **Machinery of Government under Mr Brown**

Mr Gordon Brown took office as Prime Minister of the UK on 27 June 2007. In his initial Cabinet reshuffle, he appointed Mr David Miliband as Foreign Secretary and Mr Douglas Alexander as Development Secretary. Mr Des Browne remained as Defence Secretary until 3 October 2008.

Mr Brown reorganised the structure of Cabinet Committees. As well as discussions in Cabinet, Iraq business was formally addressed in the Overseas and Defence Sub-Committee of the Committee on National Security, International Relations and Defence (NSID(OD)). An additional sub-committee specifically on Iraq (NSID(IR)) was also established, but never met.

**1126.** In June, a proposal agreed by Prime Minister Maliki established an additional light infantry division, bringing the total planned force structure to 13<sup>1060</sup> divisions. That expansion was funded by the Iraqi Government, bringing the total IMOD expansion budget to US\$950m. The development of the 14th Division which was to be raised in Basra is described further in Box, 'A new Iraqi Army division for Basra', later in this Section.

**1127.** Commenting in his valedictory on 16 August 2007, Mr Asquith said:

"The surge has failed to create the space for politics to work because the military (tactical) successes (local security structures loyal to the MNF) conflict directly with the political objective (inclusive and integrated national Iraqi authority)." 1062

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1057</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1058</sup> Letter Browne to Blair, 5 May 2007, 'Irag: Reconciliation'.

Minutes, 10 May 2007, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1060</sup> The new division would be called the 14th Division, because the number 13 was not used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1061</sup> Report to Congress, 14 September 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1062</sup> Letter Asquith to Miliband, 16 August 2007, 'Irag: Valedictory'.

#### **1128.** Mr Asquith told the Inquiry:

"Personally, I was sceptical that the surge would be effective and was unsure whether the real objective of agreeing the local cease-fires with some of the Sunni Arab areas' tribal leaders was designed to minimise the casualties of US forces or was really designed to build them into the political process. My suspicions were that the first objective ... was probably a more important one in the minds of the military planners, and I was sceptical that they would be successful in persuading, particularly the Sunni Arab tribal leaders, to be loyal to a Shia-led government in Baghdad.

"I think in retrospect I was wrong and I think the surge did produce what General Petraeus was seeking to achieve by it, not just to create the sort of breathing space for some politics to work, but that it did, more sustainably than I assumed, quieten those areas which were extremely violent." 1063

# Iraqi border police progress

The DoD reported on 2 March 2007 that MNSTC-I had trained 28,400 Department of Border Enforcement (DBE) and Port of Entry (POE) personnel and that the DBE was supported by 28 Coalition Border Transition Teams.<sup>1064</sup>

Later in the year a joint DBE and MNSTC-I five-year plan was developed to bring the total number of constructed border forts and annexes to 723<sup>1065</sup> and to increase the personnel requirement to 46,000.<sup>1066</sup>

On 6 September, the Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq reported to Congress its conclusion that:

"Iraq's border security forces are generally ineffective and need more equipment, training, and infrastructure before they can play a significant role in securing Iraq's borders. The Department of Border Enforcement suffers from poor support from the Ministry of Interior. Overall border security is undermined by the division of responsibilities between the Ministry of Interior and the Ministry of Transportation. Corruption and external infiltration of the border security forces are widespread, and the borders are porous." 1067

On 18 December 2008, the JIC assessed that the DBE "suffer from departmental underinvestment and corruption" and "are unable to protect Iraq's borders". 1068

By August 2010, the DBE had 40,000 personnel and operated out of 657 forts and annexes. <sup>1069</sup> It was estimated that over 60,000 personnel would be required to staff the planned number of forts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1063</sup> Public hearing, 4 December 2009, page 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1064</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1065</sup> Report, 6 September 2007, 'Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1066</sup> Report to Congress, 7 March 2008, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1067</sup> Report, 6 September 2007, 'Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1068</sup> JIC Assessment, 18 December 2008, 'Iraqi Security Forces: Mixed Abilities'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1069</sup> Report to Congress, 20 August 2010, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

## The justice sector

1129. The minutes of DOP(I) on 30 March 2006 recorded that in discussion it was stated:

"Work was needed to identify what was required to build Iraqi judicial capacity ... Building up the Iraqi judicial system would take significant commitment and resources. Was it currently assigned a high enough priority in HMG's [Her Majesty's Government's] long term plans?" 1070

**1130.** On 23 May, a junior official in IPU emailed the British Embassy Baghdad to ask if there were any "gaps" that could be addressed in the justice sector through the 2007/08 GCPP bid.<sup>1071</sup> The official wrote that the FCO, the MOD and DFID agreed it could become "the weak link in the Rule of Law chain" and undermine the SSR effort.

**1131.** A junior official in Baghdad replied on 25 May, agreeing that "the justice sector has been and continues to be 'the missing link'". <sup>1072</sup> He wrote that the US was "looking to spending hundreds of millions of dollars in the justice sector", covering judicial personal security, courthouse security and administration, expanding Central Criminal Court of Iraq capacity and forensic training for judges. The EU JustLex programme (see Box, 'EU integrated police and Rule of Law mission for Iraq', earlier in this Section) had been extended recently and the EU Commission had €40m for "Governance" programmes.

**1132.** Looking at what the UK could provide, the official wrote that a Rule of Law Sectoral Working Group, chaired by the Chief Justice, had produced a "unified" strategy. The Chief Justice had advised that the Iraqi system did not want:

- more "short training courses in generic human rights issues in foreign locations"

   those took judges "away from their day jobs" for too long and further training for existing judges should be considered;
- "more Western advisers" due to language and access barriers; or
- more "soft" assistance the UK had "published at great expense a number of pamphlets, CDs, training packages and other materials. Often these have not been used effectively, if ... at all".

#### **1133.** The official added:

"In essence, the Iraqis don't want to be told what they should do, or what their rights are: they want concrete assistance to help them do what they know they should do, or help make those paper rights a reality."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1070</sup> Minutes, 30 March 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1071</sup> Email IPU [junior official] to FCO [junior official], 23 May 2006, 'Rule of Law – The Justice Sector'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1072</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to IPU [junior official], 25 May 2006, 'Rule of Law – The Justice Sector'.

**1134.** The official's view was that nothing "meaningful" had been done since the 2004 International Legal Assistance Consortium (ILAC) project (described in Box, 'International Legal Assistance Consortium', earlier in this Section). The official recommended funding an expansion of the Judicial Training Institute to improve the capacity and quality of training for new judges. Current facilities were too small to accommodate enough students, textbooks were "insufficient", there were no computers and most lecturers did not receive payment.

**1135.** A junior official in DFID reported to Mr Benn on 30 June that the success of the Rule of Law Sectoral Working Group had been "limited":

"The Working Group struggles to function effectively under a weak chair (the Chief Justice). He lacks the resources to manage the administrative workload and has requested support from donors." 1073

**1136.** To help overcome that, the official wrote that DFID was providing £93,000 for an experienced Iraqi lawyer to support the Chief Justice, as recommended by the FCO in Baghdad, believing that that "modest investment" could "have a significant impact across the sector".

**1137.** On 8 January 2007, Mr Banner wrote to Mr Irfan Siddiq, Private Secretary to Mr David Miliband, the Foreign Secretary, to commission advice on the current state of the Iraqi justice system, including the degree of governmental interference and how that might realistically be addressed.<sup>1074</sup>

**1138.** Mr Siddiq replied with a paper produced by the IPU and the British Embassy Baghdad on 16 February. 1075

**1139.** The paper reported that there were 850 judges in Iraq; 150 of those were in Baghdad. There was "widespread recognition" that that number needed to increase by between 500 and 600 to "alleviate the backlog of cases". There were 178 judges expected to graduate from the Judicial Training Institute in June 2007 and another 58 in June 2008.

**1140.** Governmental interference with the judiciary remained a concern. Citing recent examples of that, it was recommended that the UK and the US should "protest vigorously" in such circumstances. The UK had part-funded two upcoming conferences in Iraq that would promote the principles of the Rule of Law and the importance of judicial independence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1073</sup> Note DFID [junior official] to PS/SofS [DFID], 30 June 2006, 'Iraq: Support to the Rule of Law Sector Working Group'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1074</sup> Letter Banner to Siddig, 8 January 2007, 'Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1075</sup> Letter Siddiq to Banner, 16 February 2007 attaching Paper British Embassy Baghdad/Iraq Policy Unit, 'Iraqi Justice System'.

**1141.** Militia influence and intimidation remained "a grave threat"; 23 judges had been killed in the past three years and "many more" had been kidnapped or threatened. Security measures were being provided to the judiciary and other measures were being installed at courthouses. FPS had proved "ineffective", having been infiltrated by militias.

**1142.** The FCO paper sent by Mr Siddiq was provided to members of DOP(I) for their meeting on 8 March. <sup>1076</sup> It stated that there were issues related to judicial capacity, security, a backlog of cases (with between 7,500 and 12,500 detainees being held pre-trial by the Iraqi authorities) and governmental interference. It made a series of recommendations, including those mentioned in the Better Basra Mark III plan (described later in this Section). Other recommendations included:

- making it clear that governmental interference was not acceptable (when there
  is evidence of it having occurred);
- a visit to Baghdad by Lord Goldsmith to emphasise the importance of the Rule of Law;
- EU and UN action to support the principles of the Rule of Law and judicial independence; and
- the provision of security to judicial officials and witnesses.

**1143.** In discussion at DOP(I) it was suggested that the UK should:

- find ways to address as a matter of urgency the large numbers of detainees;
- take advantage of being able to act under the UNSCR mandate while it was still in place;
- consider what assistance the UK could give to the Rule of Law Green Zone<sup>1077</sup> initiative; and
- increase efforts on the Rule of Law and police reform in Basra.<sup>1078</sup>

**1144.** A Report to Congress on 2 March referred to the US-funded "criminal justice complexes" which comprised a courthouse, detention facilities, forensic labs and judicial housing within the same secure perimeter. <sup>1079</sup> The first complex was to be developed at Rusafa in Baghdad.

**1145.** The following day, Mr Asquith recorded a request from Gen Petraeus for a UK military/government lawyer and a criminal investigator to assist in the Rule of Law Green Zone. <sup>1080</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1076</sup> Paper British Embassy and IPU, 6 March 2007, 'The Iraqi Judicial System'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1077</sup> The Rule of Law Green Zone was a relatively safe area for justice actors (such as judges and prosecutors) to carry out their functions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1078</sup> Minutes, 9 March 2007, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1079</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1080</sup> eGram 9559/07 Baghdad to FCO London, 8 March 2007, 'Iraq: Weekly Assessment'.

**1146.** The establishment of the Rule of Law Green Zone was discussed at a meeting between Mr Miliband, Lord Goldsmith and Mr Browne on 7 March and was described as "promising". 1081

**1147.** Baroness Scotland, Lord Goldsmith's successor, visited Iraq from 19 to 21 November. The purpose of her visit was to "emphasise the importance of the Rule of Law and the independence of the judiciary". She summarised that there was "a long way to go to establish the Rule of Law in Iraq" and although her message was well received there was a need to "ensure that those words are met with action". She stated:

"I was very much struck that there was a genuine lack of leadership and understanding of where the responsibility for driving forward the Rule of Law agenda lies within the Government of Iraq. It seemed to be the responsibility of everyone and the responsibility of no-one!"

**1148.** A Report to Congress on 14 December 2007 stated that the previous September, Prime Minister Maliki had signed an executive order requiring humane treatment of detainees and more expeditious processing of their cases. The order directed a Ministerial Committee for Rule of Law and Detention, consisting of senior representatives from the relevant ministries, to meet weekly and address issues.

**1149.** On 20 December, a junior FCO official submitted advice to Mr Miliband about working more closely with the US to reduce the number of their Iraqi detainees. <sup>1084</sup> The official wrote that the Ministerial Committee had "been taking steps to improve Iraqi procedures for detainee handling, but progress [was] slow".

**1150.** The official stated that detainees were "frequently subject to abuse", mainly in MOI facilities, "often to obtain confessions". The Ministry of Justice's prisons suffered from "severe overcrowding". The official wrote:

"Through a combination of negligence, incompetence, poor co-ordination and lack of adequate facilities it can take a long time to process detainees through the investigative, judicial and correctional systems."

**1151.** The Stabilisation Fund was introduced in July 2007, effectively replacing the GCPP for the funding of SSR projects in Iraq (see Section 13.1). The Stabilisation Fund was owned by the MOD but was jointly managed with the FCO and DFID.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1081</sup> Email PS/SoS [FCO] to Brind, 12 March 2007, 'Foreign Secretary's Meeting with Attorney General and Defence Secretary, 7 March'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1082</sup> Letter Scotland to Miliband, 3 December 2007, 'Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1083</sup> Report to Congress, 14 December 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1084</sup> Minute FCO [junior official] to PS/SofS [FCO], 20 December 2007, 'Iraq: Detention and Reconciliation: UK Approach for 2008'.

Minute Treasury [junior official] to Chief Secretary, 23 July 2007, 'CSR2007 – Conflict Prevention and Post-Conflict Stabilisation'.

**1152.** In a bid prepared for the Iraq Stabilisation Programme Board in February 2008, £3.18m was proposed for the justice sector:

- £1.65m assisting the US-led Rule of Law complex a senior political adviser, a court administrator and a defence counsel;
- £1.04m supporting the Ministerial Committee one senior adviser and a support officer; and
- £0.49m for a Basra justice adviser. 1086

## Mid-2007 assessments of the Iraqi Security Forces

**1153.** On 27 June 2007, the JIC provided an update on the ISF. <sup>1087</sup> It recorded little change from the January paper described earlier in this Section. Development of the Iraqi Army was still described as "slow" and the IPS remained "ineffective". The security Ministries were also judged to be "underperforming". The assessment recorded:

"Work is under way by Prime Minister Maliki's government to develop a national security strategy, but it is unlikely to make a difference to Iraq's security as long as the government remains factionalised and fails to make progress on national reconciliation [...]"

**1154.** On 4 July, a DIS paper looked at future Iraqi security structures. 1088 It said:

- "• The plethora of security groupings with unique command and control mechanisms will continue to expand, and could destabilise the complex national security environment. This expansion provides an opportunity for furthering sectarian agendas and potential higher levels of intra-ISF conflict.
- Duplication of responsibilities and expanding remits of strategic authorities
  will continue as incumbent Prime Ministers seek practical solutions to national
  security threats. Sectarian bias will shape these bodies and they will circumvent
  the chain of command.
- The amalgamation of Shia militias into national security structures ensures that future Iraqi security strategy will be overwhelmingly Shia-based. This will lead to continued Sunni marginalisation, a justification for Sunni nationalist insurgents and a spur for AQ-I intent."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1086</sup> Report Iraq Stabilisation Programme Board, February 2008, 'Iraq Stabilisation Aid Fund 2008-11: Strategy Summary' attaching Paper 'Strategic Context for the Iraq Stabilisation Aid Fund Bid: 2008-11'. 
<sup>1087</sup> JIC Assessment, 27 June 2007, 'Iraqi Security Forces and Structures: Quantity not Quality'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1088</sup> Paper DIS, [undated, stamped 4 July 2007], 'Future Iraqi Security Structures and Environment'.

**1155.** The JIC Assessment of 6 September included an update on ISF performance. <sup>1089</sup> It reported that:

"We judge Iraqi confidence, both among Ministers and more generally, has been damaged by the popular perception that security has not significantly improved.

"... The government has taken some steps to address human rights abuses: sacking 23 senior National Police Commanders and disbanding an entire battalion accused of complicity in sectarian acts. But other individuals accused of sectarian abuses remain in positions of responsibility."

# Counting the police

With the passage of time it was becoming increasingly difficult to know how many police officers trained by CPATT were still employed by the MOI, or what percentage of police on the MOI payroll were trained and equipped by CPATT.<sup>1090</sup> That was caused by a number of factors:

- the lack of an effective personnel management system in the MOI;
- a high attrition rate (about 20 percent per year, with the MOI reporting paying death benefits for more than 6,000 police officers since May 2003); and
- burgeoning local recruitment.

Provincial Governors had authority to hire more IPS officers than MNSTC-I had agreed to train and equip. In those areas, the MOI and the Provincial Governors were responsible for the extra training and equipment requirements. As police were generally unwilling to move areas, it was not possible for extra officers to be moved to areas where there was a deficit.<sup>1091</sup>

Many of the additional police had received little or no training. 1092

# US views on the progress of Iraqi Security Forces

**1156.** In May 2007, the Independent Commission on the Security Forces in Iraq was established in the US. It was led by General James L. Jones, a retired US Marine, who had previously served as the Supreme Allied Commander Europe and subsequently held the post of US National Security Advisor from 2009 to 2010. Included in the team of 20 was ACC Duncan McCausland, a serving PSNI officer. The Commission was tasked with assessing the capability of the ISF; their ability to maintain Iraq's territorial integrity, deny international terrorists safe haven, reduce sectarianism and bring greater security in the next 12 to 18 months.

<sup>1089</sup> JIC Assessment, 6 September 2007, 'Baghdad Security Plan: Impact and Prognosis'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1090</sup> Report to Congress, 29 August 2006, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1091</sup> Report to Congress, 30 November 2006, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1092</sup> Report to Congress, 2 March 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1093</sup> Report, 6 September 2007, 'The Report of the Independent Commission on the Security Forces of Iraq'.

1157. On 6 September, the Commission reported that the ISF's progress was "uneven" but "that there should be increasing improvement in both their readiness and their capability for the internal security of Iraq". The ISF "would not be able to secure Iraqi borders against conventional military threats in the near term". Whilst assessed as "severely deficient" in combat support, there was "clear evidence of developing the baseline infrastructure that leads to the successful formation of a national defense capability".

**1158.** The Commission judged that the Iraqi Army was capable of taking over an increasing amount of combat responsibilities from coalition forces, but the ISF would be "unable to fulfil their essential security responsibilities independently over the next 12-18 months".

**1159.** The Commission's conclusions on the MOI and its forces were less positive:

"The Ministry of Interior is a ministry in name only. It is widely regarded as being dysfunctional and sectarian, and suffers from ineffective leadership. Such fundamental flaws present a serious obstacle to achieving the levels of readiness, capability, and effectiveness in police and border security forces that are essential for internal security and stability in Iraq."

**1160.** The Report went on describe the IPS as "fragile", "underequipped" and "compromised by militia and insurgent infiltration", although it assessed that the IPS could improve rapidly should the MOI become more functional. Of the National Police, the Report stated that it was "not viable in its current form".

**1161.** On 10 and 11 September, Gen Petraeus testified to Congress, warning of the dangers of handing over to ISF too early.<sup>1094</sup> He did note that "despite their shortages, many Iraqi [Army] units across Iraq now operate with minimal coalition assistance".<sup>1095</sup>

**1162.** A Report to Congress on 14 September stated that, by July 2007, Iraqi Army divisions had been at about 103 percent of authorised strength, but that masked the extremely low proportion that were present for duty. Officer ranks were a particular concern, with manning levels of only 69 percent.

**1163.** A Report to Congress on 14 December 2007 stated that authorised ISF personnel numbers were increasing as a result of three factors:

- successful offensive operations and local awakenings providing a new pool of recruits in a wider range of communities;
- the incorporation of around 100,000 FPS personnel; and

\_

<sup>1094</sup> Testimony to Congress Petraeus, 10-11 September 2007, 'Report to Congress on the Situation in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1095</sup> Congressional Hearing, S. HRG. 110-490, 11 September 2007, 'Iraq: The Crocker/Petraeus Report'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1096</sup> Report to Congress, 14 September 2007, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

 the number of required forces assessed to match Iraq's security problems increasing.<sup>1097</sup>

**1164.** The Report said that nearly 500 officers and 2,000 non-commissioned officers from the former regime had been vetted successfully and had rejoined the Iraqi Army. Those personnel had to undergo a three-week "rejoining" course. Up to 1,500 former officers and 13,000 former non-commissioned officers were expected to re-enter the force.

**1165.** Those additions brought the total ISF planned strength to over 550,000.

**1166.** The DoD stated that analysis of future force structure requirement projects at the end of 2007 suggested the following force sizes in 2010:

- Iraqi Army 261,000 to 268,000;
- Iraqi Air Force 5,000;
- Iraqi Navy 1,500;
- Iraqi Special Forces 4,000;
- MOI Forces 307,000 to 347,000;
- Total: 601,000 to 646,000.

# Multi-National Security Transition Command – Iraq reorganisation

On 1 January 2008, MNSTC-I reorganised into the following directorates and teams:

- Directorate of Defence Affairs, led by a US Air Force Brigadier. It incorporated the IMOD and JHQ Transition Teams, CMATT (renamed as CArmyATT), the Coalition Air Force Transition Team (CAFTT), and the Maritime Strategic Transition Team (MaSTT).
- Directorate of Internal Affairs, led by a US Army Major General.
- Intelligence Transition Team, led by a DoD civilian intelligence professional.
- Iraqi National Counter-Terrorism Transition Team, led by a US Navy Rear Admiral.
- Functional Capabilities Directorate focusing on developing Iraqi capacity and providing subject matter experts on force management, personnel acquisition and management, material acquisition, resource management, sustainment, training and development.<sup>1098</sup>

The UK continued to provide two one-star military officers to MNSTC-I, in addition to the civilian team in the IMOD and the contractors in the MOI.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1097</sup> Report to Congress, 14 December 2007, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1098</sup> Report to Congress, 7 March 2008, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

# Improvements in Iraqi Security Force capability during 2007 and 2008

**1167.** From late 2007 onwards the security situation across Iraq improved, as detailed in Section 9.6. Alongside that reduction in threat, ISF capability began to grow, as judged by the JIC in its 20 December Assessment. Although it reiterated previous concerns about the MOI and the ISF, it judged:

"... the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) are in a much better position than six months ago, partly because of an overall improvement in capability and partly because of a reduction in threat. The prospects for them being able to successfully manage security outside Baghdad, without MNF ground support, by the end of 2008 will continue to be patchy across Iraq and depend heavily on progress being made on national reconciliation and the maintenance of MNF-led security gains. Neither is guaranteed."

**1168.** On 5 June 2008, the JIC judged that the ISF were "much better placed to manage security through 2008". <sup>1100</sup> On Prime Minister Maliki's influence on the ISF, the JIC wrote:

"In the last year he has been increasingly dictating where, when and how Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) are deployed. Security policy decisions more widely are increasingly being taken without reference to the coalition ...

"We assess that Maliki maintains a firm grip on decision making within Iraq's security Ministries. He has further increased his personal control of ISF ...

"We judge that in most cases this greater autocracy has increased overall ISF cohesiveness and responsiveness."

1169. On 10 September, the JIC assessed the future of JAM:

"... military pressure and Sadr's order for his followers to avoid further conflict with Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) in March forced JAM to surrender its control of the streets in large parts of Basra, Baghdad and Al Amara. ISF now dominate the vast majority of these areas, in many cases for the first time in years." 1101

**1170.** On 18 December, the JIC described the Iraqi Army as "an increasingly effective force at all levels", with the National Police approaching a similar capability. However, despite general improvements, the JIC judged:

"... local police remain ineffective, due to a lack of resources, militia infiltration and corruption. Law enforcement is also undermined by an overstretched and under performing judiciary. These weaknesses will inhibit the normalisation of Iraqi society and real stability for years to come."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1099</sup> JIC Assessment, 20 December 2007, 'Iragi Security Forces: Two Steps Forward'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1100</sup> JIC Assessment, 5 June 2008, 'Iragi Security Forces: More Able, Less Challenged'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1101</sup> JIC Assessment, 10 September 2008, 'Iraq: the Future of Jaysh al-Mahdi'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1102</sup> JIC Assessment, 18 December 2008, 'Iraqi Security Forces: Mixed Abilities'.

**1171.** On the balance of power between the police and the army, the JIC stated:

"The army cannot provide local security or enforce the law while it remains focused on COINOPS [counter-insurgency operations], and its method of operation – checkpoints, barriers, destruction of property – are unsuited to the task. Until the IPS and the justice system are improved and purged of militia influence and corruption, Iraq will need to choose between army methods and a police force that is incapable."

## The Sons of Iraq

**1172.** From 2006, a number of local militias and neighbourhood watches began co-operating with the MNF in Baghdad and Anbar province, acting as additional security forces in the fight against AQ-I. They were known originally as "Concerned Local Citizens" and subsequently as the "Sons of Iraq" (described in more detail in Section 9.6). In a report to Congress, the DoD stated:

"The Sons of Iraq are a key component of the counterinsurgency fight due to their knowledge of the local populace and their ability to report activity that might otherwise escape the attention of coalition and Iraqi forces." 1103

**1173.** On 25 April 2007, an eGram from Mr Asquith reported that AQ was "determined to prove that they can still operate (against Shia and Sunni targets) and to exacerbate sectarian violence" in Baghdad. They were yet to feel the "full effect" of the Baghdad Security Plan; that was expected by the end of June.

**1174.** Outside Baghdad, Mr Asquith said, the success of turning the Sunni resistance and tribal groups against AQ had been "more rapid than expected". He reported that the groups were confronting AQ with increasing aggression, and "whereas previously the whole eastern aspect of [Ramadi, the capital of Anbar province] was AQ controlled, this is now reduced to a few blocks".

**1175.** Mr Asquith wrote that Emergency Response Units had been established to help maintain security, with three units in Ramadi so far and a further 14 planned later in the year. There was also local appetite for the creation of similar resistance groups in other regions; the Baghdad district of Abu Ghraib had seen around 1,200 individuals reporting for recruitment in a single weekend.

**1176.** On 22 April, it was agreed at the MCNS that Prime Minister Maliki would chair a group (to include MNF-I) to determine what the Iraqi Government would be prepared to offer to opposition and resistance groups in exchange for renouncing violence. <sup>1106</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1103</sup> Report to Congress, 7 March 2008, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1104</sup> eGram 16933/07 Baghdad to FCO, 25 April 2007, 'Iraq: Scenesetter for Visit by Secretary of State for Defence, 30 April'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1105</sup> The Baghdad Security Plan is also referred to as Operation Fardh al-Qanoon, Arabic for 'Enforce the Rule of Law'. It is described in greater detail in Section 9.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1106</sup> eGram 16933/07 Baghdad to FCO, 25 April 2007, 'Iraq: Scenesetter for Visit by Secretary of State for Defence, 30 April'.

**1177.** Acting Assistant Chief Constable Michael Colbourne became the Chief Police Adviser in autumn 2006. In his bi-weekly report on 31 May 2007, he wrote that Prime Minister Maliki had passed an order permitting the creation of Police Support Units, attempting to win the allegiance of "middle of the road militias". <sup>1107</sup> Members of a Police Support Unit were paid 75 percent of a policeman's wage and were provided with uniforms. They were not armed as Mr Maliki reportedly believed that they had "enough weapons of their own". The new units did not receive the full basic recruit training and were expected to be in place for a maximum of 18 months.

**1178.** In his bi-weekly report on 18 June, ACC Colbourne wrote that Police Support Units had been created "in the usual rushed and hurried way". 1108 He commented:

"The Iragis are not on board with this and our MOI counterparts are opposed to it.

"The reputation of the police as a whole may be badly damaged by the arming of the militia and calling them policemen. The MOI are not equipped to undertake yet another 'good idea' that the coalition is trying to push through."

**1179.** ACC Colbourne suggested that the Police Support Units could be moved under the IMOD's control and trained as a "National Guard": "There are many positives to separating this from the MOI and putting it under the [Iraqi] MOD."

**1180.** On 14 June, Mr Banner reported to Mr Blair:

"The US are ... focused on the Anbar model, but this is creating real tension with Maliki. Violence continues to be down in Anbar, but the motivations of the tribes remain unclear, and they continue to express their opposition to the Government of Iraq. Nor do they tie in to any convincing, wider, Sunni leadership ... Maliki is ... coming under pressure from other Shia over the creation of a well-armed Sunni militia, particularly as the US now propose to extend the model to areas of Baghdad ...

"The Pentagon this week also released its now regular quarterly report on progress in Iraq. This noted that overall levels of violence in the country had not decreased since the start of the surge, noted that the Gol's delivery had been 'uneven', and that it had made 'little progress' on the political front – reconciliation was described as a 'serious unfulfilled objective'." 1109

**1181.** In an interview with *Newsweek* on 15 June, Prime Minister Maliki said:

"Now, some field commanders make mistakes since they do not know the facts about people they deal with. They make mistakes by arming tribes sometimes, and this is dangerous because this will create new militias ... I believe that the

<sup>1107</sup> Minute Colbourne, 31 May 2007, 'Bi-weekly Report of the UK Chief Police Adviser in Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1108</sup> Minute Colbourne, 18 June 2007, 'Bi-weekly Report of the UK Chief Police Adviser in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1109</sup> Minute Banner to Prime Minister, 14 June 2007, 'Iraq Update, 14 June'.

coalition forces do not know the backgrounds of the tribes. It is a job of the [Iraqi] government."<sup>1110</sup>

**1182.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Mr Asquith recalled:

"From the second half of 2006 and certainly through 2007, the American attitude moved ... to engage some Sunni Arab tribes in the Sunni Arab provinces to throw off the militias and Al Qaida groups that were positioned there, and to engage in local cease-fires, with the aim, in time, of those local cease-fires spreading more broadly across the country."

**1183.** In an email to the FCO on 14 June 2007, Mr Asquith wrote that Mr Blair and ACM Stirrup had requested further advice from Baghdad on coalition support for the Anbar tribes.<sup>1112</sup> He wrote:

"... I do not doubt the tactical benefit of engaging those in Anbar and other Sunni areas in which AQ operate with the purpose of persuading them to turn against AQ. Nor do I have any reason to doubt MNF-I assessments that this engagement has delivered significant results in terms of identifying AQ operatives and caches, restricting AQ operating capabilities and reducing attacks ... against coalition forces. Engaging with local armed, militant, insurgency or opposition groups was of course what I and others were engaged in throughout 2005. I am not opposed to the principle."

**1184.** Nevertheless, Mr Asquith questioned whether those groups had turned against AQ for wholly ideological reasons. He thought the groups were more strongly motivated by a power struggle with AQ, which was encroaching on the tribes' territory, by money or by a desire – under the protection of US forces – to rearm and prepare for a future campaign against Iran and/or the "Shia government".

**1185.** Mr Asquith considered that that had had an adverse effect on the Coalition's broader reconciliation strategy. It increased Prime Minister Maliki's concerns and put him "under severe pressure from his Shia constituency who pose the question: why is he tolerating the creation of what effectively are Sunni militias who pose a threat (now or later) to Shia communities, while at the same time tolerating regular coalition attacks on Shia militias?"

#### **1186.** Mr Asquith acknowledged:

"Given the imperative for [Gen] Petraeus to deliver something by 13 September ... we can't halt the engagement process. We should instead seek to shape it in a way that reduces the risk."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1110</sup> Newsweek, 15 June 2007, CFR: What are Irag's Benchmarks?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1111</sup> Public hearing, 4 December 2009, page 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1112</sup> Email Asquith to Casey, 14 June 2007, 'Anbar Engagement'.

**1187.** Mr Asquith suggested a number of approaches to reduce the risk of Anbar tribes derailing reconciliation efforts. They included support for an Executive Council (through which the integration of militia groups should be managed), establishing political tests for the militia to demonstrate support for the Iraqi Government, and enforcing clear time limits for their existence before being disbanded or incorporated into the IPS.

**1188.** On 16 June, Mr Asquith reported that he had discussed those proposals with Dr Mowaffak al-Rubaie, Iraqi National Security Adviser, at a meeting that day and that Dr al-Rubaie had agreed with the approach.<sup>1113</sup>

**1189.** On 21 June, Mr Asquith reported that the concept of an Executive Committee was "beginning to take on substance with Maliki in receipt of a Presidency paper setting out how they propose it should work to which he will respond". 1114

**1190.** In his weekly report on 24 June, Lieutenant General Graeme Lamb, SBMR-I from September 2006 to July 2007, wrote:

"An increasing number of tribes, neighbourhoods, Sunni insurgents and just local people are choosing to reject, occasionally terminally, AQ-I. This is seen particularly in the Southern Baghdad belts where, for example in one area there has been an 80 percent reduction in IEDs ... This 'people power' is extending to Salah ad Din, Diyala, Ninawa and of course is already in full effect in Al Anbar. In smaller, but notable cases, we are seeing the same effect in Baghdad itself, so the broader 'awakening' continues to make ground." 1115

#### **1191.** On 4 July, a DIS report stated:

"Central government will remain extremely sceptical of Sunni tribal initiatives in provinces with mixed sectarian demographics. The Shia-dominated government fears these could lead to the return of Sunni rule, and will ensure there are mechanisms to minimise this risk." 1116

**1192.** In his weekly report on 6 August, Lieutenant General William Rollo, SBMR-I from July 2007 to March 2009, wrote that the Government of Iraq had accepted 1,700 former Sunni fighters in Abu Ghraib into the IPS.<sup>1117</sup> That was the first time that the government had taken steps to incorporate the Sunni militia from areas outside the Sunni tribal regions into the MOI. Lt Gen Rollo described that as a "significant concession by the GOI [Government of Iraq] ... [and] potentially a major win that will reassure other Sunnis who have come into the fold that the GOI genuinely intends to 'see them right'".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1113</sup> eGram 25998/07 Baghdad to FCO, 16 June 2007, 'Iraq: Call on National Security Adviser, 16 June'.

eGram 26684/07 Baghdad to FCO, 21 June 2007, 'Iraq: Weekly Assessment'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1115</sup> Minute Lamb to Stirrup, 24 June 2007, 'SBMR-I Weekly Report (258) 24 June 07'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1116</sup> Report DIS, [undated but stamped 4 July 2007], 'Future Iraqi Security Structures and Environment'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1117</sup> Minute Rollo to CDS, 6 August 2007, 'SBMR-I's Weekly Report (264) 6 Aug 07'.

#### **1193.** On 20 December, the JIC assessed:

"77,000 Concerned Local Citizens (CLCs) and other tribal 'awakenings', drawn from the Sunni insurgency and, to a much lesser extent, from Shia militias, are now acting as force multipliers for MNF and ISF in Baghdad and along the Euphrates and Tigris valleys north of Baghdad. The MOI continue to resist assuming formal responsibility for these volunteers (including paying them). If their payments stopped we judge that many would resume attacks on the MNF and ISF. Their loyalty to central government is likely to remain patchy in the absence of broader national reconciliation; we judge they are likely to become an increasingly attractive target for infiltration by both Sunni and Shia extremists." 1118

**1194.** On 8 January 2008, Air Marshal Stuart Peach, Chief of Defence Intelligence, briefed the Chiefs of Staff that there were plans to integrate 20 percent of the Concerned Local Citizens into the ISF, with the remainder being available for hire by other ministries for public works programmes. US funding was due to expire in January 2008 and future funding arrangements were unclear. He noted that failure to address the issue could lead to resentment and a return to violence among former Sunni fighters but that provision for Concerned Local Citizen salaries would probably be opposed by a number of Shia groups.

**1195.** On 5 June, the JIC assessed that, of the approximately 106,000 (mainly Sunni) Sons of Iraq, around 16,300 had been recruited into the ISF, mainly in Anbar province. Elsewhere, relations between ISF and the Sons of Iraq were described as "tense". The creation of a Sons of Iraq programme in Basra in 2008 is described later in this Section. Considering the future, the JIC assessed:

"MNF reporting suggests that at least a quarter of SoI [Sons of Iraq] members expect jobs in the ISF: for others, some form of continued stipend or civil service job would probably suffice. The GoI is unlikely to be willing or able to meet either expectation; or assume responsibility for commanding and paying the SoI this year. So long as it does not, we judge that SoI rejection of AQ-I, tolerance of MNF and willingness to refrain from anti-government violence will be fragile. [...]"

**1196.** An eGram from Baghdad on 7 October reported that the Iraqi Government had taken responsibility for paying the Sons of Iraq located in Baghdad, (50 percent of the 103,000 total) from 1 October. Responsibility for the other half would follow later that month. The Iraqi Government and the Sons of Iraq did, however, remain suspicious of one another: the Iraqi Government believing that the number of the Sons of Iraq had been "inflated by the various leaders in order to line their own pockets", and the Sons

JIC Assessment, 5 June 2008, 'Iraqi Security Forces: More Able, Less Challenged'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1118</sup> JIC Assessment, 20 December 2007, 'Iragi Security Forces: Two Steps Forward'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1119</sup> Minutes, 8 January 2008, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1121</sup> eGram 39659/08 Baghdad to FCO, 7 October 2008, 'Iraq: the Awakening Movement and the Sons of Iraq'.

of Iraq seeing the Iraqi Government "as a sectarian government determined to persecute them when the protective US hand [was] removed".

**1197.** After "detailed examination of the lists", the IMOD had accepted that "most of the SOI" existed and was putting procedures in place to enable payment to foot soldiers directly (reducing group leaders' income). Standardising pay was still a problem and recruitment of the Sons of Iraq into the ISF was slow; only 12,000 members had been recruited so far.

**1198.** The British Embassy Baghdad reported that AQ had sought to "exploit the situation by increasing pressure in Baghdad and Anbar through violent activity". There was "some evidence" that AQ was trying to lure back some Sons of Iraq by attempting to outbid the Iraqi Government. The US and the Iraqi Government recognised their continued financial support would be necessary to keep the Sons of Iraq "on side".

**1199.** The JIC assessed that standardising and distributing pay was still an issue in their report on 18 December. The Iraqi Government had agreed to recruit 20 percent of the Sons of Iraq into the ISF, with the remainder to be employed in other ministries.

**1200.** The JIC stated that some Sons of Iraq groups had been infiltrated by extremists and media reporting indicated that some Sunni Sons of Iraq commanders saw "Shia militias as a target second only to Al Qaida".

# The UK's future bilateral relationship with Iraq

**1201.** On 27 May 2008, Lieutenant General John Cooper, the then SBMR-I, raised the matter of the UK's longer-term relationship with Iraq with AM Stirrup. 1123 He stated:

"I am aware of current staffing on how a future training mission might be funded and manned (including the involvement of NATO) and the relative importance of this against other operational priorities. I would simply observe that we have a real opportunity here – both in terms of the UK long-term relationship with Iraq and how we 'sell' our post-TELIC posture to the US."

**1202.** Commenting on that paragraph in Lt Gen Cooper's report, Mr Edward Ferguson, Mr Browne's Private Secretary, wrote:

"This is a bit of a concern. Although your intent on this is clear it seems that this hasn't yet got out of the starting blocks ... I gather that the main issues are a view that the Army can't afford the manpower (because of other priorities) and that Defence cannot afford it (since it may not be funded by the Reserve)."

<sup>1123</sup> Minute Cooper to CDS, 27 May 2008, 'SBMR-I's Weekly Report (302) 27 May 08'.

<sup>1122</sup> JIC Assessment, 18 December 2008, 'Iraqi Security Forces: Mixed Abilities'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1124</sup> Manuscript comment Ferguson on Minute Cooper to CDS, 27 May 2008, 'SBMR-I's Weekly Report (302) 27 May 08'.

**1203.** The details of negotiating the UK's continued presence in Iraq following the expiry of resolution 1790 on 31 December 2008 is dealt with in Section 9.7. Ahead of a visit to Iraq in late October, Mr John Hutton, the Defence Secretary from October 2008, was advised by the British Embassy Baghdad:

"The UK's wish to develop a broader based bilateral relationship, but with a continued defence element focused on training, fits with [Prime Minister] Maliki's own professed aims. But he remains deeply suspicious of us and feels politically besieged ... The US/coalition are still needed to support, train and mentor Iraqi Security Forces as they take on more responsibility. Failure to deliver a legal base for this to continue beyond the end of 2008 would be destabilising." 1125

**1204.** A key issue with respect to SSR was the provision of a combat role for UK forces; the MOD judged that to be essential to mentor 14th Division but Prime Minister Maliki was reported to be reluctant to authorise it.<sup>1126</sup>

**1205.** In his end of tour report, Lt Gen Cooper wrote about the UK's future strategic defence relationship with Iraq:

"As I depart I confess to a sense of frustration that we have yet to confirm the nature, scale and resource of our long-term military relationship with Iraq, particularly with the Iraqi Armed Forces. The Gledhill Report<sup>1127</sup> on officer training reported a year ago, as I arrived, yet we have yet to confirm what we are offering. The Iraqi MOD is very keen, desperate almost, to establish links with its former mentoring nation. Thus far, we have promised something but not yet delivered it. I acknowledge the financial pressure which the UK Defence budget faces, but we have an opportunity to cement a strategic relationship with a major regional power which sits astride the second or third largest oil reserves in the world." <sup>1128</sup>

# The strategy for 2009

**1206.** The National Security, International Relations and Defence Committee (NSID(OD) – the creation of which is described in the Box, 'Machinery of Government under Mr Brown', earlier in this Section) met on 9 December 2008, and agreed that the FCO should seek agreement on a new long-term strategy for Iraq out-of-committee. The strategy was circulated on 13 January 2009 and subsequently agreed. One of the key elements of the desired the bilateral relationship was "security":

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1125</sup> eGram 41161/008 Baghdad to FCO London, 16 October 2008, 'Iraq: Visit by the Defence Secretary to Baghdad: Scenesetter'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1126</sup> Minute Cabinet Office [junior official] to Prime Minister, 14 November 2008, 'Iraq: Update'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1127</sup> The MOD has been unable to provide the Inquiry with a copy of this report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1128</sup> Report Cooper, [undated], 'End of Tour Report 4 Mar 08 to 3 Mar 09'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1129</sup> <u>Letter Hickey to Catsaras, 13 January 2009, 'Iraq: Strategy' attaching Paper 'Iraq: a Review of Strategy'.</u>

"We should contribute to Iraq's stability and security by helping it to develop professional, accountable, non-sectarian security forces which can deal effectively with both external and internal threats. This will involve MOD support to the Iraqi military through officer training and capacity-building support to IMOD and its JHQ, and a continuation of training, mentoring and capacity-building support to the Iraqi Police Service, Ministry of Interior and criminal justice system through SAF [Stabilisation Aid Fund]<sup>1130</sup> projects and the civilian police mission."

**1207.** During the financial year 2008/09, both the Stabilisation Aid Fund and the Peacekeeping Budget<sup>1131</sup> were used to fund the UK's non-military contribution to SSR (including the UK police mission, support to the MOI and the UK contribution to EU JustLex). In the following financial year it was likely that the Peacekeeping Budget funding would be withdrawn so the MOD, the FCO and DFID were "agreeing a reprioritised programme" from the Stabilisation Aid Fund allocation of £15m focusing on:

- initiatives which would support key Prime Ministerial deliverables and provide conditions for a successful transition from Basra;
- Rule of Law initiatives which would form a central pillar of the UK's strategy in Iraq; and
- international support to the United Nations Development Programme and EU JustLex as key partners in security and economic reforms in Iraq which would support a transition of the UK's programme work in future.

#### 1208. Overall SSR activities for 2009 would be:

- leading the Coalition Naval Training Team, to help develop the capacity of the Iraqi Navy until it became fully operational and able to ensure the security of its territorial waters and two oil platforms, expected to be around 2012 - that would comprise around 60 personnel;
- leading a NATO-badged Iragi Army officer training and education programme with the intent to create a self-sustaining Iraqi training capacity by 2014 – that would require 50 NATO personnel of which around 30 would be from the UK;
- providing training places for around six Iragi officers per year at UK training establishments;
- capacity-building in the IMOD and JHQ precise numbers were unknown;
- training and mentoring for the IPS in targeted areas such as senior leadership and forensics (no figures were provided);
- capacity-building support for the MOI in planning and management; and
- training and advice to other elements of the Iragi criminal justice system (no figures were provided).

<sup>1130</sup> The Stabilisation Aid Fund was jointly owned by the MOD, the FCO and DFID.

<sup>1131</sup> The Peacekeeping Budget was formally part of the Global Conflict Prevention Pools, and was jointly owned by the MOD, the FCO and DFID. It was managed by the FCO.

**1209.** General Richard Dannatt, Chief of the General Staff, visited Iraq from 23 to 25 March 2009. In his visit report he said:

"... we must decide and then act with regard to our training support to the Iraqis ... I know that work is ongoing to determine the nature of this support but my short visit gave me the impression that both the Officer Academy and the Staff College appear to be standing still, caught in a mire of NATO indecision, and we may have become too focused on the narrow issue of force protection rather than the wider point of why we are there. We need to generate momentum and ensure that the manpower we commit is of appropriate quality, quantity and has a degree of coherence. If we get this right we will deliver strategic effect – I consider it important that we do so."

**1210.** The UK remained in protracted negotiations with the Iraqi Government until early June over the size and role of the future UK military presence and the legal basis under which it would operate (see Section 9.7). On 6 June, a Government-to-Government agreement was signed and passed to the Iraqi Parliament for ratification.<sup>1133</sup>

**1211.** On 15 October, Mr Christopher Prentice, British Ambassador to Iraq, reported to the FCO in London that the UK/Iraq "training and maritime support agreement" had completed its third and final reading in the Council of Representatives.<sup>1134</sup> It passed with 99 votes in favour and 40 votes against or abstaining. Mr Prentice wrote:

"All the elements of our broad-based relationship are now in place. Symbolically, the ratification by the Iraqi Parliament of this agreement confirms the will of a majority of Iraqi political groups to continue a special relationship with the UK, including in the security field."

#### SSR AFTER THE WITHDRAWAL OF UK TROOPS

**1212.** In Lt Gen Cooper's end of tour report dated March 2009, he commented on ISF progress:

"Boulani has transformed the Ministry of Interior, although much remains to be done. The MOD deserves credit for its growth of the IA [Iraqi Army], and the possibility exists that a generation of bright Iraqi two star commanders may yet replace the old nepotistic and biased leadership with some degree of professionalism." 1135

**1213.** On 31 March, the UK handed over division command of MND(SE) to the US.<sup>1136</sup> Mr Hutton reported to Mr Brown that "excellent progress by UK and Iraq forces

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1132</sup> Minute CGS to PS/SofS [MOD], 25 March 2009, 'CGS Visit to Iraq – 23-25 Mar 09'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1133</sup> Letter Ferguson to Fletcher, 23 July 2009, 'Iraq: UK Military Presence After 31 July 2009'.

eGram 35899/09 Baghdad to FCO, 15 October 2009, 'UK/Iraq: Training and Maritime Support Agreement Ratified by the CoR, 13 October'.

<sup>1135</sup> Report Cooper, 'End of Tour Report 4 Mar 08 to 3 Mar 09'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1136</sup> Minute Johnstone to PS/SofS [MOD], 1 April 2009, 'CDS Visit to Iraq (Basra) to Attend the MND(SE) Transfer of Authority Ceremony – 31 Mar 09'.

means that 30 April will now ... see the completion of our current military mission". That process is described in greater detail in Section 9.7.

**1214.** On 15 June, Mr Brown announced details of the UK's new bilateral relationship with Iraq, stating:

"On the day of the last combat patrol in April, I welcomed Prime Minister Maliki and most of his Cabinet to London. We signed together a declaration of friendship, partnership and co-operation defining the new relationship between our two countries for the future. At the request of the Iraqi Government, a small number of British Navy personnel – no more than 100 – will remain in Iraq for long-term training of the Iraqi Army. Royal Navy ships will continue to protect the oil platforms on which Iraq's exports depend, and we will continue to offer training to the Iraqi Army as part of a wider NATO mission. We will also offer training opportunities at Sandhurst and elsewhere in the United Kingdom for Iraqi officers of high potential."

**1215.** In April 2009, the MOI capacity-building programme was transferred to the US. 1139 The UK continued work on policing under the Criminal Investigations and Policing project, funded by the Middle East and North Africa Conflict Fund. The programme in 2009 had a budget of £7.1m and comprised three elements:

- the civilian police mission in Baghdad with four officers, working with the Baghdad Police Academy to help the IPS develop investigative techniques;
- the civilian police mission in Basra with three officers; and
- the forensics element in Basra, Baghdad and Erbil with two officers.

#### SSR in the South: summer 2006 to summer 2009

## State of emergency

**1216.** On 23 May 2006, Ms Aldred wrote to UK staff in Basra asking them for advice on how to improve the situation in Basra.<sup>1140</sup> Attached to the letter was "a strategic agenda for action". The paper gave a series of policy objectives (see Section 9.5) and stated that to achieve them there would need to be continuing UK Government engagement on SSR to ensure that the ISF were capable of:

- tackling criminality;
- bearing down on militias;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1137</sup> Letter Hutton to Brown, 20 April 2009, 'Iraq: End of Current Military Mission'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1138</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 15 June 2009, columns 21-22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1139</sup> Paper Stabilisation Unit [junior official] and Howlett-Bolton, 27 November 2009, 'Review of the support to the Ministry of Interior and Iraqi Police Service Programme'.

Letter Aldred to Lamb, Cooper & Kavanaugh, 23 May 2006, 'Basra: The Way Forward' attaching Note, [undated], 'Getting Basra Better: A Strategic Agenda for Action'.

- purging malign elements in the ISF (both those that are corrupt and those which are aligned to political groupings); and
- working with MNF-I on higher end military tasks relating to the insurgency, and gradually taking over these tasks."
- **1217.** The Iraqi Government would need to demonstrate its grip on Basra through:
  - serious and visible engagement from Baghdad;
  - if necessary, an appropriate show of strength by the ISF, reflecting their growing capabilities; and
  - encouraging and co-operating in the process of security transition."
- **1218.** On 31 May, Prime Minister Maliki visited Basra and declared a state of emergency, after which he placed a five-man Emergency Committee in charge of delivering a plan to address security in the city. That Committee then appointed Governor Mohammed Waili as responsible for the security plan.
- **1219.** On 18 June, the MCNS endorsed the Basra Security Plan and recommended:
  - expanding the Basra Security Committee to include a number of Basrawis;
  - appointing a new overall security co-ordinator for Basra; and
  - that the Iraqi Ministries of the Interior and Defence should increase the forces available for Basra, even if this was to the detriment of policing and military operations elsewhere.<sup>1142</sup>
- **1220.** The Committee had also considered whether the Basra Chief of Police and the Commander of 10th Division should be removed from post but had concluded they should remain for the time being.
- **1221.** Major General Richard Shirreff took over as GOC MND(SE) from mid-July. At this time there had not yet been agreement on the implementation arrangements of the Basra Security Plan. <sup>1143</sup> In particular, there was no overall Iraqi security co-ordinator. The Provincial Council, however, had voted to replace the Basra Chief of Police.
- **1222.** Maj Gen Shirreff's first weekly report as GOC MND(SE) set out his initial reflections on the situation facing him. <sup>1144</sup> He wrote:

"The issue in Basra is the lack of security and until we establish this there can be no PIC [Provincial Iraqi Control]. We can only generate freedom of movement by mounting specific operations, often up to company level, and we are effectively fixed by the lack of concentrated force. The lack of security means that we cannot conduct the SSR needed to transform the police, nor apply the economic inducements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1141</sup> Minute Cooper, 8 June 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 8 June 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1142</sup> Minute [junior officer] to MA/CJO, 22 June 2006, 'MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 22 June 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1143</sup> Minute Cooper, 13 July 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 13 July 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1144</sup> Minute Shirreff, 21 July 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 21 July 2006'.

needed to isolate the militants from the majority of militiamen who are only there because the militia can pay them. Thus the enemy, militant JAM and the death squads linked to the Basra police ... are able to operate with relative impunity ...

"In my view, the only way we will achieve mission success is by winning the battle for Basra and defeating militant JAM and the death squads (whether by capturing, or, if necessary, by killing them in accordance with our ROE (Rules of Engagement)). But we must be clever about it. A blunt, solely kinetic approach risks getting sucked into a series of running tactical battles against JAM that will get us nowhere. We must isolate militant JAM from mainstream JAM and build the intelligence picture in order to target them and the death squads connected to the police in Basra. The key to this is energetic and sustained effort along the governance and economic lines of operation, both of which remain inadequate ... (the comprehensive approach did not exist). Progress on these lines is essential to create and maintain tolerance for our operations in Basra. It will also underwrite success on the security line of operation. There has been plenty of planning but we need to make things happen."

**1223.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen Sir Richard Shirreff described a "cycle of insecurity" as he further explained why achieving security was his first objective:

"No security meant no reconstruction and development, it meant a loss of consent, the militia filled the gap and, effectively, the militia controlled the city." 1145

# **Provincial Iraqi Control**

Transferring responsibility from the coalition to the Iraqi Government of each of the 18 Iraqi provinces was referred to as reaching Provincial Iraqi Control (PIC). PIC was granted following an assessment by the coalition and the Iraqi Government.

#### **Transitional Readiness Assessment**

A Transitional Readiness Assessment (TRA) level was used to rank Iraqi units in terms of their capability, from TRA level 1 (fully capable) to TRA level 4 (incapable).

The Joint Committee to Transfer Security Responsibility (see Box 'Assessing readiness for Provincial Iraqi Control') judged that at TRA level 2, the IPS could maintain domestic order and prevent the resurgence of terrorism. 1146

**1224.** In his weekly report, Maj Gen Shirreff said that he had had some encouraging discussions about his proposed approach with Major General Muhammed Latif, the Commander of 10th Division, based in Basra.<sup>1147</sup> However, it would be fundamental to ensure that there was political will in Baghdad behind any operation. Maj Gen Shirreff

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1145</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, page 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1146</sup> Paper Republic of Iraq National Security Council, 10 October 2005, Joint Committee to Transfer Security Responsibility.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1147</sup> Minute Shirreff, 21 July 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 21 July 2006'.

undertook to work closely with Mr Patey and the MNF commanders in Baghdad "to ensure that we carry Maliki with us".

**1225.** By 27 July, Major General Ali Hamadi, brother of Brigadier Mohammed Hamadi the Provincial Director of Police, had been appointed as President of the three-person Basra Security Committee by Prime Minister Maliki. 1148

## **Delivering a Better Basra**

**1226.** For the meeting of DOP(I) on 15 June, Ministers were given an update paper from the Cabinet Office entitled 'Follow-up to the Prime Minister's Visit, Including Delivering a Step-Change in Basra' (see Section 9.5). The paper drew on recent assessments from the MOD and the JIC of the ISF:

"The picture across Iraq is of growing, but variable, levels of capability ... According to the US-led ISF development plan, all divisions of the Iraqi Army and MOI forces should be trained and equipped by the end of 2006 ... The development of the police is significantly behind that of the Iraqi Army, with particular problems over militia-links, over-recruitment, corruption and criminality.

"In the South, the 10th Division is judged to be increasingly effective ... However, these forces are untested in undertaking counter-insurgency operations without MNF support. The police are a more significant cause for concern, with militia links and a lack of effective political control either locally or from the centre ...

"The overall MNF plan, which the MOD judge to be robust is predicated on the MNF retaining substantial forces in Iraq until 2007 to support the ISF ... but even that timeline will be tested if the scale and sophistication of the insurgency does not diminish. The MOD supports this assessment highlighting a number of risks with the plan that fall outside its focus on training, mentoring and equipping:

- the degree to which Iraqi leadership on security develops;
- the precise nature of the security and political environment the ISF will face at the point of transition ... In the South there is a particular concern over the level of violence between competing Shia factions;
- human factors such as the experience of the ISF; and
- the dangers of over-recruitment (often of militia-linked individuals into the police) resulting in an unmanageable, ineffective and extremely expensive ISF.

"The MOD is continuing to monitor implementation of the ISF development plan and is undertaking work to consider a limited number of specific gaps they have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1148</sup> Minute Blake to Sheinwald, 27 July 2006, 'Iraq Strategy Group, 27 July'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1149</sup> Paper Cabinet Office, 13 June 2006, 'Follow-up to the Prime Minister's Visit, Including Delivering a Step-Change in Basra'.

identified, including Iraqi naval protection for oil platforms and the sustainment funding for MND(SE)."

**1227.** The paper provided a work plan entitled 'Activity to deliver a step-change in Basra'.

**1228.** In discussion, a member of DOP(I) suggested that the Cabinet Office paper risked being too optimistic on security prospects, in light of recent JIC Assessments. <sup>1150</sup> DOP(I) agreed that Mr Browne should take the lead in pulling together a strategy for Basra, with the support of the Cabinet Office and assistance from other departments.

**1229.** On 4 July, Mr Browne wrote to Mr Blair updating him on additional projects, costing £30.7m (but unfunded) over the financial year, to deliver a Better Basra plan (see Sections 9.5 and 10.2). Those included:

- a "new unit [a Department of Internal Affairs] to clean up the Basra police from within", costing around £4m and requiring eight UK police officers;
- on-the-spot mentoring of the Basra police and the regional prison managers, costing £10.3m and requiring an additional 20 police advisers;
- a new unit the Prosecution Mentoring Unit to fast-track corruption, organised and major crime cases through Basra's courts;
- more training for judges; and
- witness protection arrangements.

**1230.** The overall aim of the projects was to increase the capacity of the Iraqis to deal with those they detained and so avoid the consequences of detaining large numbers of people for long periods.

**1231.** Mr Browne's proposals were approved by DOP(I) on 6 July. 1152

**1232.** Prime Minister Maliki met Mr Browne in London on 25 July. <sup>1153</sup> The meeting was described as "a relatively robust exchange of views" with Prime Minister Maliki stating that there was little discipline in the ISF in Basra, a lack of co-ordination between MNF-I and the IPS and that problems with the IPS were attributable to coalition failures to deliver equipment.

**1233.** Prime Minister Maliki also stated that arrests by MNF-I in MND(SE) were harming national reconciliation and should be halted. Mr Browne countered that "the real lesson from Northern Ireland was that the terrorists only came to the table once they had realised they could not win. It was only then that the combination of early releases and reconciliation became viable tools in the reconciliation process."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1150</sup> Minutes, 15 June 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1151</sup> Letter Browne to Blair, 4 July 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1152</sup> Minutes, 6 July 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1153</sup> Minute Beadle to MA/DCDS(C), 25 July 2006, 'Secretary of State for Defence Meeting with Prime Minister Maliki'.

**1234.** In August 2006, ACC Barton produced an assessment of the situation in MND(SE). 1154 He highlighted that:

- Although the UK had "trained and trained the lower echelons of the IPS and ...
  equipped them to a reasonable standard", they had not created a police force.
- Training should have been top down rather than bottom up.
- The equipment supplied by the UK "provided technological solutions way above the local need – smartboards and complex computer systems which get stolen (by the police) or can't be used due to lack of power. What they need (and like) is desks, pens, ledgers and stationery".
- A basic level of corruption was endemic to Iraqi society but the current level wasn't "hand in the till activity"; the SCU was "synonymous with killings, torture and abuse".

**1235.** ACC Barton advocated further UK pressure to encourage the MOI to purge employees, mentioning a recent purge of MOI employees (including IPS) in which there were "86 convicted murderers, 345 with bribery convictions, rapists, kidnappers, and even two IPS who were supposed to have been executed in the 90s but were alive and working in Baghdad!"

**1236.** ACC Barton described the Tactical Support Unit (TSU) and the confidential TIPS hotline as successes but added that there was a "woeful lack of command and control skills by senior Iraqi Police Officers" and "little public confidence in the IPS as an entity".

# **Problems with the 10th Division – mutiny and looting**

**1237.** Over the summer of 2006, problems began to surface with the largely untested 10th Division.

**1238.** On 10 August, Maj Gen Shirreff reported the murder of a colonel in 10th Division and suggested that the murder might have been motivated by his "resolute stand against militia influence in the IA". Maj Gen Shirreff described 10th Division as "not perfect but it is the best hope we have for now of an Iraqi solution to the security problems. Emerging signs of increasing politicisation and infiltration within the IA can only be bad news."

**1239.** The JIC considered the security situation in the South on 27 September:

"We judge that the Iraq Army in the South can cope with the limited threat posed by Iraqi Sunni Arab nationalists and jihadists. But their willingness and ability to tackle Shia militias is doubtful. MNF describe the Iraqi Army's 10th Division in MND(SE) as "fragile". Its 10,000 personnel can perform basic tasks (patrols and static guard duties) independently, and it has provided limited support to MNF counter

<sup>1154</sup> Report Barton, August 2006, 'The window of opportunity'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1155</sup> Minute Shirreff, 10 August 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 10 August 2006'.

insurgency operations, including during implementation of the state of emergency in Basra. But there have been recent instances of a breakdown of discipline. It failed to prevent the looting of MNF camps when they were handed over in Amarah and Samawah. And on 24 August over 100 men from the battalion based in Amarah refused an order to deploy to Baghdad. Intelligence shows that some army personnel retain loyalties to JAM and Badr militias. We do not know the scale of this problem, and we judge that it is less severe than in the police. But the loyalty of the army in the South has not been seriously tested."

**1240.** On 31 August, Maj Gen Shirreff wrote that members of 10th Division had refused orders to deploy to Baghdad. He viewed that event and the looting of MNF camps described by the JIC as indicating "that the IA is built on shakier foundations than we might wish and is a real concern".

## Operations SALAMANCA and SINBAD

**1241.** Operation SALAMANCA was a plan conceived in the summer of 2006 to address the security situation in the South and move Basra towards PIC. It is described in detail in Section 9.5.

**1242.** Lieutenant General Sir Richard Shirreff explained to the Inquiry that the Basra Security Plan announced by Prime Minister Maliki had "amounted really to nothing more than the establishment of a Basra security committee" and Op SALAMANCA was therefore "the operationalising of the Iraqi Basra security plan". He told the Inquiry that its concept was:

"... to achieve security, to excise the death squads, to defeat JAM, through the synchronised application of what we call kinetic, ie force, and non-kinetic, ie reconstruction and development."

**1243.** In his weekly report on 31 August, Maj Gen Shirreff said that he aimed to prepare 10th Division for operations in Basra during Op SALAMANCA. <sup>1159</sup> He remarked that that could provide "potentially more of an Iraqi face on Op SALAMANCA".

**1244.** On 1 September, Mr Browne's Private Secretary wrote to Mr Blair's Private Secretary that Mr Browne had approved the additional troops requested for Op SALAMANCA because he had "judged that the likely impact of a short term extension of an increased troop presence is offset by the need for momentum for the projects that will make a visible impact in the city". 1160

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1156</sup> JIC Assessment, 27 September 2006, 'Iraq: The Security Situation in the South'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1157</sup> Minute Shirreff, 31 August 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 31 August 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1158</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, pages 14-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1159</sup> Minute Shirreff, 31 August 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 31 August'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1160</sup> Letter Beadle to Banner, 1 September 2006, 'Iraq: troop levels in support of Op.SALAMANCA'.

**1245.** Mr Browne told the House of Commons on 11 September that 360 additional personnel would be deployed to reinforce the effort in Basra. <sup>1161</sup> That comprised an extended deployment of the Theatre Reserve Battalion and an uplift in Royal Engineers, Royal Marines (one boat troop) and Royal Military Police (one troop) to augment training of the IPS.

**1246.** At the DOP(I) meeting on 14 September, the objectives of Op SALAMANCA were described to Ministers as being to:

- increase Iraqi political grip on the issue, by having a visible Iraqi face on the plan and active involvement in the operation; and
- increase the confidence and competence of the ISF. 1162

**1247.** On 15 September, Maj Gen Shirreff reported that the police were "still incapable of providing even the most basic level of security; rather they are a major cause of insecurity". <sup>1163</sup> He anticipated that during Op SALAMANCA there would be "a concerted and sustained effort by Police Training Teams" to "turn those police stations capable of improvement into police stations that are capable of providing basic security in their local areas". His aim was "to cull the unredeemable and rehabilitate the 'just about' salvageable".

**1248.** In a meeting with Gen Casey, Prime Minister Maliki was reported as saying that "the security situation in Basra was not bad enough to warrant an operation that would upset the political balance".<sup>1164</sup>

**1249.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen Shirreff described gaining approval from the Iraqi Government as an "absolutely non-stop grind":

"Maliki said he didn't want this operation to proceed, despite ... declaring a state of emergency. So I then went up to Baghdad ... got to see Maliki and eventually briefed him and persuaded him that this operation should continue." 1165

**1250.** Lt Gen Shirreff told the Inquiry that even travelling to meet Prime Minister Maliki was difficult, with Gen Hamadi refusing to fly for two hours because he had received a call from a Sadrist Minister who said, "This operation isn't to continue".

**1251.** In response to the difficulties in securing approval, Op SALAMANCA was refined and repackaged as "a reconstruction and development operation enabled by MNF and MNF-led security".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1161</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 11 September 2006, column 111WS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1162</sup> Minutes, 14 September 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1163</sup> Minute Shirreff, 15 September 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 15 September 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1164</sup> Minute Shirreff, 21 September 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 21 September 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1165</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, pages 17-19.

- **1252.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Major General Jonathan Shaw, GOC MND(SE) from January to August 2007, said that Op SALAMANCA was altered "because of resistance within the Shia polity". 1166 In practice, that meant:
  - "... a lot of the kinetic element that had been intended in SALAMANCA was taken out. It continued under another guise, if you like, and that just showed an early sign that ... you had to work within the tolerances of the Shia polity, and that became particularly apparent where anyone in MNF tackled a Shia problem."
- **1253.** Following a meeting of the Security Committee in mid-September, the name of the operation was changed to Operation SINBAD.<sup>1167</sup>
- **1254.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen Shirreff described how Op SINBAD was undertaken. 1168 "Relatively soft areas" were selected, a surge of force was used to secure the area, and teams were then put into police stations. Those teams went "through the police stations with a fine-toothed comb" to establish their state. The UK had not visited many of the stations for six months, following the Jameat incident described earlier in this Section.

#### 1255. Lt Gen Shirreff continued:

"We surged police training teams in, Royal Military Police and contract policemen from elsewhere."

- **1256.** ACM Stirrup visited Iraq from 24 to 26 September and wrote to Mr Browne on the day after his return to give him "an early feel" for some of his conclusions. <sup>1169</sup> He considered that "the proposals for cleaning up individual police stations and culling/ retraining the force are good" but would have no long-term impact unless the "killers" in the SCU were dealt with.
- **1257.** Maj Gen Shirreff reported on 28 September that Op SINBAD had begun, and that initial operations had gone exceptionally well:

"What made a particular impact was the very evident Iraqi face on the operation, both in the form of Iraqi sappers<sup>1170</sup> working alongside British sappers and Iraqi Army security patrols on the streets alongside MNF."<sup>1171</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1166</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, page 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1167</sup> Minute Shirreff, 21 September 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 21 September 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1168</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, pages 19-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1169</sup> Minute CDS to SofS [MOD], 27 September 2006, 'CDS Visit to Iraq 24-26 Sep 06'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1170</sup> Sappers are soldiers who perform a variety of military engineering duties including bridge-building, clearing minefields and demolitions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1171</sup> Minute Shirreff, 28 September 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 28 September 2006'.

- **1258.** General Sir Nicholas Houghton described Op SINBAD to the Inquiry as the "last best operation" to provide:
  - "... sort of exemplar modelling to the Iraqi Army but trying to put them in the lead of it. In the latter stages of SINBAD, it became important that they were seen to be in the lead ..."

    1172
- **1259.** Gen Houghton described the "instantaneous" follow-up "of police reform, with police reform teams going into areas of Basra as they were cleared and made more stable by Iraqi Army back-filling".
- **1260.** On 27 October, Mr Banner wrote to Mr Siddiq, summarising a briefing that Mr Asquith had given Mr Blair the previous day. 1173 Mr Blair had been told:
  - "The Iraqi Army had performed well in some areas (e.g. 10th Division in Basra). But it lacked maturity, had poor mechanism for civilian control and direction, and equipment was unevenly distributed and sometimes inadequate to task."
- **1261.** Mr Blair requested further advice on how to strengthen the Iraqi Army and Prime Minister Maliki's control of it. A copy of the note was sent to the MOD for action.
- **1262.** The MOD replied on 9 November. With respect to MND(SE), the MOD stated that 10th Division's planned development was "on track" but that it was:
  - "... consistently placed at the bottom of the prioritisation list by Baghdad due to the perceived low threat in Southern Iraq. As a result, they are potentially outgunned by insurgents and remain vulnerable when moving by vehicle."
- **1263.** The MOD wrote that it was "scoping the possibility of gifting 250 armoured protection vehicles" to "enhance" 10th Division's capacity. It stated that Enhanced Military Training Teams (Super MiTTs) would "evolve" to "protect and enhance the progress already made" when units were transferred to Iraqi control. Those Super MiTTs were not described in any further detail.
- **1264.** Reflecting on the Iraqi Army's performance in his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen Shirreff said:

"[The Iraqi Army] improved in terms of confidence, in terms of training, immeasurably, I think, during the period of SINBAD, but they were not up to holding in security terms, because, ultimately, however confident they got, you have to remember that the Iraqi Army in south-east Iraq were Shia-recruited, locally

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1172</sup> Public hearing, 5 January 2010, page 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1173</sup> Minute Banner to Siddig, 27 October 2006, 'Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1174</sup> Letter McNeil to Banner, 9 November 2006 attaching Minute DJC, 9 November 2006, 'Strengthening the Iraqi Military Forces'.

recruited, they lived on the ground amongst the militia and they were not prepared to fight the militia, because they knew that, if they did, they would come off worse."<sup>1175</sup>

**1265.** On 16 November at DOP(I), VAdm Style stated that ISF would be in the lead of Op SINBAD pulses from the end of the month and that they would be fully in charge of the operation, including planning, by the end of February. <sup>1176</sup> He described Op SINBAD as a "considerable success" but stated that progress on reforming the police remained weak.

**1266.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, VAdm Style described some of the achievements of Op SINBAD:

"... a new level of co-operation between our own forces and the Iraqi Army, better Iraqi Army and police co-operation ... extra equipment was brought in ... By the end ... the Iraqis were in the lead to an extent they had not been before." 1177

**1267.** On 30 November, Maj Gen Shirreff reported that Op SINBAD was progressing well and considered future plans:

"Op SINBAD has led to improvements both in the general security situation (as evidenced by the declining murder rate) and the confidence and capability of the ISF. Mentoring of the Provincial Joint Coordination Centre by MND(SE) has led to noticeable improvements in its ability to plan and coordinate operations. Similarly the mentoring and training conducted by the police transition teams have led to an improvement in the average transition readiness assessment ... I assess that as SINBAD culminates we will be able to say, quite reasonably, that the security framework we have established in Basra will set the conditions for PIC.

"With regards to corrupt IPS, the DIA have already started tackling corrupt policemen but they are a small team and it will take time before they have a significant effect. In the meantime I have had my staff refine our plans to deal with the Serious Crimes Unit (SCU), the largest and most dangerous of the corrupt IPS units, with support from elements of the ISF. My intention is to replace the SCU with a new unit – the MCU (Major Crimes Unit). The first phase will be to secure the new location (the Warren) and to screen those already at the site. The Jameat police station (the present site of the SCU) will be cleared once the Warren is secure and those present will be assessed, the ineffective will be removed/transferred and the known criminal element will be arrested. Finally those selected for the MCU will be carefully screened and those that pass will be closely monitored, mentored and trained."1178

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1175</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, pages 23-24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1176</sup> Minutes, 16 November 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1177</sup> Public hearing, 5 January 2010, pages 39-40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1178</sup> Minute Shirreff, 30 November 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 30 November 2006'.

#### The Warren

The Warren site in Basra City housed the Provincial Joint Co-ordination Centre (PJCC) command and control centre. 1179 The PJCC was a provincial security committee to discuss "security issues in the broadest sense". 1180 The Warren also housed a number of IPS specialist units including the TIPS line (see Box earlier in this Section, 'TIPS hotline'), the Major Crimes Unit (MCU), and the National Information and Investigation Agency (NIIA).

The PJCC building was located around 5km from Basra Palace and 15km from Basra Airport (see Map 6, Annex 4).

**1268.** The following week, on 7 December, Maj Gen Shirreff reported:

"I have come to the conclusion that the best we can achieve through SINBAD are those surface level improvements required to get police stations to TRA level 2, the critical level for PIC. Culling militia infiltrators from the police is a non-starter without a national anti-militia plan including DDR [Disarmament, Demobilisation and Reintegration]."1181

**1269.** CC Kernaghan visited Iraq from 4 to 6 October 2006.<sup>1182</sup> His stay was hampered by problems with transport and he was unable to go to Baghdad. The main focus of his visit report was the lack of support coming from the MOI and he cited cases of corrupt officers being sacked in MND(SE) only to be reinstated "often in a higher rank" by the MOI.

#### **1270.** CC Kernaghan recommended:

"We should now be planning for a new era in which there is a reduced overt British military presence in southern Iraq. If we move to a security infrastructure delivered primarily by the Iraqis then we should remodel our support to reflect that new reality. In such an era I would suggest station visits and routine training delivery are irrelevant. We need to ask the MOI what support they would value over the medium term and then decide how best we could provide that support, assuming political support. I believe our focus should move from the tactical to the strategic ... Crucially it is hard to justify investing in tactical achievements and gains when it appears the wider strategic context is undermining our overall goals. The Iraqi MOI must set out their visions and we should seek to support it where we can and feel it is appropriate."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1179</sup> Statement Colbourne, 29 June 2010, page 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1180</sup> Minute Naworynsky to Quarry, 11 May 2004, 'Irag: Security Structures after 30 June'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1181</sup> Minute Shirreff to CJO, 7 December 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 07 December 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1182</sup> Report, 6 October 2006, '5<sup>th</sup> Visit to Iraq by Chief Constable Kernaghan 4-6 October 2006'.

**1271.** CC Kernaghan was accompanied on the visit by the Police and Justice Team Leader from the FCO's Conflict Issues Group, who reported:

"The IPS is widely recognised as an integral part of the security problem, exhibiting a serious propensity to undermine, rather than enforce, law and order. It barely functions in Basra, suffering from a paralysing combination of deeply embedded corruption (including involvement in extra-judicial killings), militia infiltration, poor leadership (the recently confirmed Chief of Police, Al Hamadi, appears to inspire little loyalty), and weak command and control structures. The dearth of local IPS officers willing to staff the nascent Internal Affairs Department illustrates the depth of the problem; recruits have finally been found, following MOI intervention, in a nearby province.

"The early stages of SINBAD have confirmed the extremely poor state of the IPS. From an over-complex and over-staffed organisational structure lacking basic administrative capacity, to the decaying police stations with no mains electricity and inadequate sewerage, the conditions on the ground are grim." 1183

**1272.** The Police and Justice Team Leader recommended to Mr Pattison and Ms Joan Link, Head of the Conflict Issues Group, that the UK should:

- Extend the window of opportunity offered by Operation SINBAD by re-deploying a number of UK police officers more directly in support of efforts to tackle gross IPS corruption, the key obstacle to longer-term improvement of the IPS;
- Increase UK strategic policing input at the MOI in Baghdad, to support the development of national, and by extension provincial, capacity (including increased financial and logistical expertise) and thereby improve the chances of sustainability;
- Encourage greater Rule of Law co-ordination between ministries in Baghdad and between the capital and provinces, again to improve sustainability. Leverage EU financing and expert support as far as possible."

**1273.** The British Embassy Baghdad produced a 'Police Forward Look' in November 2006 which assessed priorities in Basra (described in Box, 'Enabling the police to tackle crime', earlier in this Section).<sup>1184</sup> It stated:

"Key target – getting to PIC. Assumption: policing work will be more difficult in Basra city post-PIC. Will not be possible to fully tackle police corruption pre-PIC. Police to concentrate on required level – not set sights higher."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1183</sup> Report, 17 October 2007 [sic], 'UK's Policing Contribution in Iraq: Visit to Basra, 4-7 October'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1184</sup> Paper BE Baghdad, November 2006, 'Police Forward Look'.

### **CIVILIAN DRAWDOWN**

**1274.** Mrs Beckett decided in October that the majority of civilian staff should be withdrawn from Basra Palace and relocated to Basra Air Station. 1185

**1275.** In an IPU paper considering the impact of that drawdown it was assessed that:

- ACC Barton and a small number of police advisers already based at Basra Air Station would be unaffected.
- The key current task for the remaining police advisers in Basra was work to support Op SINBAD for which they needed to be based in Basra Palace or another MND(SE) site in the city. The TIPS programme, run out of the PJCC (co-located with the Basra Police Headquarters) would also be affected.
- Prisons work would be affected as Iraqi Corrections Service staff preferred to visit Basra Palace than from Basra Air Station, and unannounced prison visits were also more easily made from Basra Palace than Basra Air Station.
- There would be a negative impact on the Rule of Law work being carried out by the Provincial Reconstruction Team (PRT).<sup>1186</sup>

**1276.** The IPU recommended that the police team at Basra Palace should be reduced by 14 officers, three of whom would relocate to the Air Station. The remainder would leave Iraq. That would "retain just enough officers in the city to provide essential support to Op SINBAD". The prisons team would leave theatre "pending progress on the Basra Central Prison project" and "we would need to think hard about whether the PRT could have enough real impact to justify the costs and risks of maintaining it at its current size."

**1277.** Brigadier James Everard, Commander 20 Brigade, reporting in place of Maj Gen Shirreff, expressed concern that that move would have a negative effect on SSR work, making it impossible to train the specialist police teams that would take over from the corrupt SCU and hampering the planned move of Iraqi prisoners out of the Jameat facility into a new facility. 1187

**1278.** Brig Everard also reported the murder of 17 Iraqi interpreters and locally employed contractors employed at the Basra Police Academy. He advised:

"How the ISF (particularly the police) deal with this incident should be an important test. Unsurprisingly, they may disappoint. There is a lack of IPS will to prosecute a JAM-linked case with much vigour, let alone conduct any arrests."

**1279.** A paper drafted on 30 December by the Deputy Chief Police Adviser proposed that there would be 31 International Police Advisors, nine police officers (not including

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1185</sup> Minute Cabinet Office [junior official] to Sheinwald, 30 October 2006, 'Iraq Strategy Group, 27 October'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1186</sup> Minute Casey to Sawers, 24 October 2006, 'Iraq: DOP: Political Strategy and Basra Palace Site'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1187</sup> Minute Everard, 2 November 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 2 November 2006'.

ACC Barton and his staff officer) and two military officers operating from Basra in 2007. 1188 It was thought that the PTT numbers would reduce further in April and, depending on progress, might not be required at all.

**1280.** The MOD and the FCO produced separate papers on future plans for Basra for DOP(I) on 7 December. 1189 Both papers envisaged military and civilian personnel relocating to Basra Air Station with an undefined "residual presence" possibly remaining at the PJCC. The MOD stated that there would be a number of post-handover tasks including:

- continued training and mentoring of the Iraqi Army, IPS and the Department of Border Enforcement (DBE);
- support to other government departments' efforts on Iraqi police training, including co-ordinating the disbandment of the Serious Crimes Unit (SCU); and
- retaining the capacity to intervene if security were to deteriorate beyond the capabilities of the ISF.

**1281.** The FCO listed a number of police training tasks for 2007 including:

- clearing out the SCU (dealing with 300-400 staff and transferring detainees out of SCU custody);
- specialist support to Basra Police Headquarters at the Warren site, including the National Information and Investigation Agency (NIIA), the TIPS hotline and forensics training;
- mentoring the Chief of Police; and
- developing leadership training.

**1282.** DOP(I) discussed and "took note" of both papers. 1190

## TACKLING THE SERIOUS CRIMES UNIT: OPERATION THYME

**1283.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen Shirreff described the specialist police units, in particular the SCU, as "a serious problem that needed to be resolved". He said that their activities included "intimidating, murdering, kidnapping ordinary Basrawis". He added that for the people of Basra, the SCU was a "bastion of tyranny right in their midst".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1188</sup> Paper FCO [junior official], 30 December 2006, 'Shaping the CivPol Mission – Iraq 2007'.

Paper MOD officials, 5 December 2006, 'UK Military Plans for Southern Iraq in 2007, A Briefing Paper for DOP-I by Officials'; Paper FCO officials, 1 December 2006, 'Basra: Objectives and Presence in 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1190</sup> Minutes, 7 December 2006, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1191</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, pages 27-31.

**1284.** The November 2006 'Police Forward Look' included the target of replacing "the corrupt and dangerous SCU". The plan was:

"Two hundred people to be disciplined, removed or prosecuted, then seek PDoP's [Provincial Director of Police's] and Governor's agreement to close down and establish MCU [a Major Crimes Unit] in new location. Close down the Jameat (present site of the SCU). Realistically, only aim to get the MCU the best possible start, then hand over to Iraqi control immediately at PIC."

**1285.** That work would be undertaken by ArmorGroup contractors.

**1286.** On 15 December, a junior official at the PJHQ briefed Mr Browne on Op SINBAD and the plans to deal with the SCU based at Jameat Police Station. The briefing did not suggest a real improvement in the overall capability of Basra's IPS stations: while prior to Op SINBAD stations had an average of TRA level 3 or worse, the average was now assessed at between 2 and 3 with "some inconsistencies" between stations. It did, however, state that the PJCC's "command ability to plan and co-ordinate operations throughout the city" was "much improved" due to the permanent presence of the MNF at the centre.

**1287.** The PJHQ official assessed the SCU as "so thoroughly tainted by corrupt officers that it is effectively beyond gradual reform" and that orders had now been given by the MOI and Prime Minister Maliki for it to be disbanded. The new Operation THYME was being planned by Maj Gen Shirreff to disband the SCU, clear its headquarters and remove all prisoners at the Jameat. The existing 200 SCU personnel would either:

- be detained (only if "sufficient targetable intelligence" existed);
- have their case handed to the Department of Internal Affairs (DIA) (where no "targetable" evidence existed but there was information suggesting the individual had been involved in criminal activity); or
- moved to other parts of the IPS and given further training (if not suspected of any criminal activity).

**1288.** The PJHQ official said that where individuals were dismissed from the IPS, continued biometric testing from the IPS should prevent them from being re-employed, although that was only possible if the Iraqis continued "to implement a stringent and routine testing regime". The new MCU would be formed at the PJCC made up of 120 individuals selected by the PTT following "a rigorous screening process".

**1289.** Op THYME was carried out on 25 December. 1194 Briefing Mr Browne the following day, a PJHQ junior official reported that the operation had been successful but that MNF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1192</sup> Paper BE Baghdad, November 2006, 'Police Forward Look'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1193</sup> Minute PJHQ [junior official] to PS/SofS [MOD], 15 December 2006, 'Op TELIC: Iraq: Op SINBAD and its Enduring Effect on the IPS'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1194</sup> Minute PJHQ [junior official] to APS/SofS [MOD], 26 December 2006, 'Outcome of Op THYME – MND(SE) Operations to Disband the Serious Crime Unit (SCU) in Basra'.

had been attacked a number of times, resulting in a "very minor" MNF casualty and "some vehicle damage". Seven attackers had been killed.

**1290.** The PJHQ official stated that 127 prisoners were found and that the Iraqi Army had transferred them to the Warren facility. "Some 80 percent" of the prisoners "showed signs of torture". A search of the Jameat site uncovered weapons, grenades, shells and bomb-making equipment. The MNF demolished part of the building to prevent it from being reoccupied.

**1291.** There had been a mixed reaction from the Iraqi Government to the operation. A spokesperson from the IMOD had reiterated that the Iraqi Army was involved; making clear that the MNF did not act alone. Governor Waili and tribal leaders had offered "very strong support" but some of those briefed on the operation beforehand had since said they were unaware of the MNF's intent. That was believed to be due to militia pressure.

**1292.** Reflecting on the Iraqi reaction to Op THYME, Lt Gen Shirreff told the Inquiry:

"Maliki was generally supportive, Governor Waili was delighted, the tribal sheikhs within Basra were delighted, the principal cleric of the largest Shia mosque in Basra, with a congregation of 10,000 people on Friday prayers, thanked me for delivering the people of Basra from this nest of vipers." 1195

**1293.** On 28 December, Mr Dominic Meiklejohn, Deputy Consul General in Basra, described the ISF's role in Op THYME as "significant". The performance of Brigadier Ibrahim, the only member of the Basra Security Committee in the country, had been "less encouraging", getting "cold feet at the last moment" and ordering a Commander of 10th Division not to participate. Consequently, the brigade failed to provide the outer cordon as planned.

**1294.** Mr Meiklejohn reported that Prime Minister Maliki had claimed not to know about the operation, despite it being raised with him on three separate occasions. Some members of the Provincial Council had criticised the operation publicly but those were the "usual suspects". At a local, tactical level reactions had been positive, and although local media had suggested the IPS would no longer be participating in Op SINBAD, the PTTs had been "welcomed warmly" at police stations. He wrote:

"... excising the SCU has demonstrated that MNF and ISF have the will and capacity to root out militia influence over the IPS. The SCU were not the only offenders but had become a signal of what was wrong with the IPS. The operation ... sent a powerful signal to Basra.

"There is still much to do. MNF operations against the Shia militias remain outside [Prime Minister] Maliki's comfort zone. Local ISF commanders are scared of being

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1195</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, page 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1196</sup> eGram 57155/06 Basra to FCO, 28 December 2006, 'Iraq: Basra: Action Against Serious Crime Unit'.

hung out to dry by Baghdad if they get too closely involved. We can avoid some of the pain by doing even more to keep Maliki ... in the loop (and paying the price in operational security – the fact that no members of the SCU were caught in the Jameat suggests they were warned off). But we can't make Maliki more determined or happier to confront the Shia militias. And we can't get to PIC unless we can show that the ISF are ready and able to confront the militias."

**1295.** Maj Gen Shirreff, also reporting on Op THYME on 28 December, wrote that the operation "may mark a decisive moment, if not *the* decisive act in our efforts to reform the IPS in Basra". He thought it "brought to a head the hard choices that face the Provincial Council, the Basra Security Committee and the ISF: do they confront or continue to roll over in the face of the militia?"

**1296.** Maj Gen Shirreff criticised Maj Gen Latif for refusing to order a brigade to deploy into an area, because JAM was there with Rocket Propelled Grenades and small arms. Maj Gen Shirreff considered the Basra Security Committee "no longer fit for purpose" after two members deserted the Committee during the operation and Brig Ibrahim denied "any foreknowledge having previously been in full support".

**1297.** On 4 January 2007, Maj Gen Shirreff reported that the relationship with the Council remained tense, with all members refusing to meet the MNF face to face. He was concerned about the welfare of the prisoners after SCU members had arrived to work at the Warren facility. While prisoners had not been subject to further torture, they had not received access to medical care or legal advice. The Director of Police had assured he would keep SCU out of the Warren because it was not possible to be sure who had been involved in the torture of prisoners.

**1298.** The consequences of Op THYME continued as members of the Provincial Council refused to engage fully with the MNF. They rejected evidence that the MNF had authority for the operation and denied being briefed about it beforehand. Maj Gen Shirreff believed it was "absolutely clear" that that was due to fear of reprisals from JAM and SCU.

**1299.** Lt Gen Shirreff told the Inquiry about how Op THYME affected Op SINBAD:

"... there was some delay ... but ... there was no significant impact on SINBAD at all. In fact, in a sense it allowed us to continue that process which had begun to develop, but putting the Iraqis more into the lead on SINBAD ..." 1200

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1197</sup> Minute Shirreff to CJO, 28 December 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 28 December 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1198</sup> Minute Shirreff to CJO, 4 January 2007, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 04 January 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1199</sup> Minute Shirreff to CJO, 11 January 2007, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 17 January 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1200</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, pages 32-33.

# EARLY ASSESSMENTS OF IRAQI SECURITY FORCE PERFORMANCE IN OP SINBAD

**1300.** On 4 January 2007, Maj Gen Shirreff reported that the Iraqi Ground Forces Command had declared operational control of 10th Division from MNF-I.<sup>1201</sup> Maj Gen Shirreff stated:

"I am content, but on the condition that Latif is replaced and the Army does not accept orders from the Basra Security Committee."

**1301.** On the No.10 copy of Maj Gen Shirreff's report, Sir Nigel Sheinwald commented that, while there was some positive news in it, "the worst ... is left to the end and is a big problem". 1202

**1302.** Lt Gen Lamb produced an update on ISF capabilities on 5 January 2007. On equipment, he wrote that the Iraqi Government did not view 10th Division as "a relatively high priority for investment" because their ratings and manning levels were "significantly higher than other Divisions on a number of criteria". If the UK was to lobby the IMOD and MNSTC-I for equipment, Lt Gen Lamb advised:

"... this needs to be done in the full knowledge that it distorts the prioritisation and investment system that we have encouraged the Iraqis to develop and meet their national needs as a whole; expect push back from US and ISF commanders."

**1303.** The manuscript comment on Lt Gen Lamb's assessment of the priorities for investment said: "We have to make this up then." The MOD has been unable to identify the author of the manuscript comments.

**1304.** On 8 January, the IPU produced an engagement strategy for the future UK presence in southern Iraq.<sup>1204</sup> On ISF capability in Basra, the paper stated: "We should be frank about the problems, for the sake of our own credibility." The IPU then suggested a series of positive messages:

- Both the Iraqi Army and the IPS are increasingly taking the lead following Op SINBAD.
- Cleaning up the police is "at the heart of our current work".
- The SCU is being disbanded and replaced by a Basra Crimes Unit at the PJCC site.
- A DIA and a Prosecution Mentoring Unit have been created.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1201</sup> Report Shirreff, 4 January 2007, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 04 January 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1202</sup> Manuscript comment Sheinwald on Letter Beadle to Banner, 8 January 2007, 'Iraq: Update' attaching Report Shirreff, 4 January 2007, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 04 January 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1203</sup> Minute Lamb to DCDS(C), 5 January 2007, 'Iraqi Security Force (ISF) Capability'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1204</sup> Paper IPU, 8 January 2007, 'Future UK Presence in Southern Iraq: Engagement Strategy'.

- "We are confident that this mix of activity will get the Basra police to the required standard for transition, even if problems will remain. But we intend to retain a significant police training, support and mentoring presence to sustain forward momentum after transition, including at the PJCC in Basra city."
- **1305.** On 10 January, the MOD produced an update on UK military plans for transition for DOP(I). <sup>1205</sup> An annex provided an interim assessment of Op SINBAD. It stated that a clearer review would be available in March but that there were a number of positive outcomes as well as some areas requiring more work.
- **1306.** The annex noted that police effectiveness had increased; it was currently assessed as 69 percent capable and was on track for the 72 percent capability required for transition. But parts of the IPS remained "actively criminal" and harboured the "Death Squads responsible for a large proportion of the murder rate in Basra".
- **1307.** The Iraqi Army had taken the lead through the latter stages of Op SINBAD and was able to respond to requests for assistance through MND(SE). It still lacked the "capability, structurally and conceptually" to deploy nationwide.
- **1308.** Op SINBAD had also "confirmed suspicions that some leaders in parts of the Iraqi security sector in MND(SE) are not fit for task, including members of the Iraqi Army and the Basra Security Committee".
- **1309.** DOP(I) considered the paper on 11 January. 1206
- **1310.** The minutes recorded that, although arrest warrants had been issued for members of the SCU, they had not been actioned and there were signs that those members were "continuing to operate". The UK would continue to press Mr Boulani, and the mentoring effort in the MOI should be increased.
- **1311.** Cabinet discussed Op SINBAD and transition in Basra later that day. <sup>1207</sup> Mr Blair stated that during his visit at the end of 2006 "he had sensed, for the first time, that Iraqi generals felt that if they were given the right training and equipment they would be able to do the job".
- **1312.** Mr Browne said that ISF "would only improve if they were given more responsibility". He reiterated the problems with the police, particularly the SCU, and stated that the UK was "determined" that rogue officers "would not be allowed to remain in the police".
- **1313.** On 17 January, the JIC assessed:

"In MND(SE) the predominantly Shia 10th Division is already operating independently in the provinces of Muthanna, Dhi Qar and much of Maysan ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1205</sup> Paper MOD, 10 January 2007, 'UK Military Transition Plans for Southern Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1206</sup> Minutes, 11 January 2007, DOP(I) meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1207</sup> Cabinet Conclusions, 11 January 2007.

despite reported comments by the former Commander of 4 Brigade that up to 50 percent of his unit was sympathetic to JAM, they successfully and impartially policed a cease-fire in Amara between JAM and Badr. In Operation SINBAD in Basra units conducted cordon and search operations independently and effectively and in one instance intervened in a public order disturbance. We judge 10th Division is slowly improving and gaining confidence, but it remains prone to interference from local government and militia pressure. It is likely to prove fragile if confronted by serious Shia militia violence."<sup>1208</sup>

**1314.** On 18 January, Dr Rosalind Marsden, Consul General in Basra, produced the first consolidated weekly report from MND(SE). <sup>1209</sup> She highlighted a number of difficulties including:

- the Provincial Council's disengagement with MNF;
- a requirement to replace or dissolve the Emergency Security Committee;
- a requirement to appoint a new Chief of Police; and
- a continued need to tackle death squads and high-level corruption in the police.

**1315.** In contrast to the MOD's assessment that 69 percent of police stations were at a level sufficient for transition, Dr Marsden assessed that 56 percent were ready and that police trainers had been able to operate normally in 80 percent of the stations visited – in others "they have been turned away politely". Dr Marsden also stated that the transfer of land to allow construction of a new Central Prison for Basra had finally been agreed in December 2006.

**1316.** In his end of tour report, dated 19 January, Maj Gen Shirreff stated:

"Mission success for Britain depends on a capable, confident IA and the last six months has witnessed both highs and lows. The mutiny of 2/4/10 [2nd Battalion, 4th Brigade, 10th Division] and the failure of 4 (IA) Bde [4th Brigade] to control the looting of Camp Abu Naji in August were the obvious lows and demonstrated that the 'hands off' approach to training the IA adopted by the UK was inadequate. Arguably, no other army in the world has greater depth of experience in training indigenous armies than the British and yet we have not been true to ourselves. We have not lived, trained and fought alongside them, preferring a centralised MiTT and a far more hands off approach, in contrast to our US allies. Effectively the stabilisers were removed from the bike too early. The result has been a lacklustre, inadequately trained and supported Division that failed the test when it came.

"Subsequent to these failures, SINBAD has, for the most part, done much to improve IA capability and confidence ... We finish SINBAD with the IA in the lead. However, until the IA in Basra is prepared to fight JAM, the ISF will not be capable

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1208</sup> JIC Assessment, 17 January 2007, 'Iraqi Security Forces: Prospects in 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1209</sup> Letter Marsden to Aldred, 18 January 2007, 'Basra: Weekly Report'.

of maintaining law and order ... as with any organisation, it depends on the man at the top and it is the best possible news that Latif, CG 10 (IA) Div [Commander General 10th Division], who has proved to be worse than useless, is to be shifted ... if we are to get the IA right, it means accepting the risk of much greater embedding within IA units. This, in turn, means not only living and training with them, but being prepared to fight with them too."1210

**1317.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen Shirreff said that Op SINBAD:

"... failed to achieve the security which was the original genesis of the plan, but it achieved other things. I think it achieved an increased confidence among the Iraqi Army. It certainly achieved a better standard, generally speaking, of Iraqi police, in terms of the mechanistic boxes that needed to be ticked before Provincial Iraqi Control should be established ..."1211

**1318.** On 25 January, Mr Browne briefed Cabinet that, in Basra:

"... the murder rate had reduced from over 100 to less than 30 a month, which compared favourably with a number of European States and American cities. The kidnap rate had been halved and polling suggested levels of confidence in security which would be welcome in the UK; 90 percent of those polled felt more secure than a year ago; only two percent had encountered intimidation in the last six months. The biggest challenge was improvement in policing, but the police forces were only a couple of percentage points below the benchmark set for transfer of security." 1212

**1319.** On 26 January, Lt Gen Lamb produced a report on ISF capability for Mr Blair. <sup>1213</sup> He warned about the problems of gifting equipment in an attempt to fix capability gaps:

"This will only provide, perhaps, a marginal short term difference (usually offset by IMOD to compensate against other national priorities) and is, in general, just as likely to exacerbate the systemic issues already present in terms of logistic support e.g. through multiple vehicle fleets or weapon systems. There are also other additional factors ... such directed gifting is likely to received pushback from both the US and the Iragis."

**1320.** In her weekly report dated 1 February, Dr Marsden warned that the formation of the new Basra Crimes Unit (BCU) had stalled and that 400 members of the former SCU were still turning up to claim wages and entering buildings designated for the new BCU.<sup>1214</sup> The MOI were yet to stop their wages and the Provincial Director of Police was not engaging on the matter. She stated that MNF protection for DIA officers travelling to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1210</sup> Report Shirreff, 19 January 2007, 'Post Operational Report – Operation TELIC, Part One: General Officer Commanding's Overview'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1211</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, page 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1212</sup> Cabinet Conclusions, 25 January 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1213</sup> Report Lamb, 26 January 2007, 'Iraqi Security Force (ISF) Capability – January 07 Report for the Prime Minister'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1214</sup> Letter Marsden to Aldred, 1 February 2007, 'Basra: Weekly Report'.

the Warren was critical and that "DIA concerns ... were not allayed when they made the trip with Iraqi Army backup and were confronted by SCU officers". She noted that the Basra Police Academy had gone into decline since it was transferred to Iraqi control in December 2006.

**1321.** On 9 February, Dr Marsden sought to explain the apparent difference between improving Transitional Readiness Assessments of the police and the more qualitative assessment by those on the ground that the police force had become less effective. She stated:

"The measurement of transition readiness levels (defined by CPATT) is mainly based on quantitative indicators such as who has been given what training, whether or not police stations are producing the requisite reports, following the right procedures and performing basic police business and whether they are properly equipped. It does not include a moral component.

"On the positive side, we have trained and trained the lower echelons of the Basra police (11,500 in the Shaibah college alone). They have all been equipped with guns, cars and uniforms. There are some good units in the Basra police and a number of good, professional middle-ranking police officers, trying to do a good job. But the Basra police also includes an influential minority of seriously criminal and corrupt individuals and a large number of officers (probably the majority) who are more or less adequate but stifled by weak leadership and intimidated by the seriously corrupt elements and militant militias.

"The real problem is the high level of ... serious police related crime ... This is evidenced by the recent interviews carried out by the Department of Internal Affairs with tortured prisoners from the Jameat. There is evidence that some police officers are also directly involved in anti-MNF activity. These criminal elements are a big part of the problem on the streets, although the size of that problem is not massive compared to Baghdad."

**1322.** Gen Dannatt visited Iraq from 14 to 15 February. 1216 He reported:

"For MND(SE), 10 IA Div clearly represent the exit strategy not only from Basra City but elsewhere across the region ... the fear is that 10 IA Div may not be as good as we hoped it would be ... But as GOC MND(SE) rightly observed, we have regularly changed the role for which these troops were designed; they have gone from local militia (ICDC), to regional defence force and now onto an expeditionary footing ... over the past three and a half years. However, we are where we are; the trick now is to maintain sufficient SSR momentum to get 10 IA Div at the level of combat effectiveness appropriate to its future role against the predicted threat. And GOC MND(SE) is looking carefully at this. It is not simply a question of enhancing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1215</sup> Letter Marsden to Aldred, 9 February 2007, 'Basra: Weekly Report'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1216</sup> Minute CGS to CDS, 19 February 2007, 'CGS Visit to Iraq: 14-15 Feb 07'.

our MiTTs – many Iraqi soldiers fear the MiTTs simply draw the enemy's fire. 'Partnership' is key, as we saw on Op SINBAD ..."

**1323.** In his statement to the Inquiry, Former Chief Superintendent Barton said that, by early 2007, "the security situation had worsened so much that it was impossible to move around the city in anything other than a Warrior". He wrote that due to the security risk, inspection visits "would often be as short as twenty minutes"; in comparison, an inspection at a UK station would take "at least one full day".

# 10th Division called to Baghdad

In his weekly report from 31 January, Major General Jonathan Shaw, GOC MND(SE) from January 2007 until August 2007, reported that elements of 10th Division were likely to be called to Baghdad in the near future for a combat role. 1218 He assessed: "while the 10th Division has proven itself capable of basic tasks ... they are not yet combat proven. Asking them to deploy to Baghdad in this role at this stage risks asking too much of them."

Two battalions of 10th Division were expected to move north in support of the Baghdad Security Plan in late February. <sup>1219</sup> Each battalion was to be accompanied by a team of four "military observers" <sup>1220</sup> who would "co-locate with US forces".

On 12 February, MOD officials recommended that two four-man UK teams should embed alongside US MiTTs with the two 10th Division battalions being deployed to Baghdad. <sup>1221</sup> By 21 February, plans had expanded to include a UK team of 24 based at Besmaya (from where ISF were being forward deployed to Baghdad) to help the US prepare other ISF units for the Baghdad Security Plan. <sup>1222</sup>

In his evidence to the Inquiry, Major General Graham Binns, GOC MND(SE) from August 2007 until February 2008, recalled:

"... when the unit that we had trained from 10 Division deployed to Baghdad, we mentored and we sent mentoring teams with them to Baghdad ... this was something that had evolved in Basra but not Baghdad." 1223

# **Planning to leave Basra City**

**1324.** On 8 January, No.10 wrote to departments requesting a number of additional reports (as described earlier in this Section), including a weekly report on developments in ISF capability, stating that Mr Blair wished to know of problems, and how and by whom they would be tackled.<sup>1224</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1217</sup> Statement, 7 June 2010, pages 9-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1218</sup> Minute Shaw to CJO, 31 January 2007, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Updated – 24 [sic] January'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1219</sup> Minutes, 7 February 2007, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1220</sup> These "military observers" were later described at MiTTs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1221</sup> Minute PJHQ [junior official] to PS/SofS [MOD], 12 February 2007, 'Iraq: Op TELIC: Deployment of Two Iraqi Army Battalions to Baghdad with Embedded UK Military Training Team'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1222</sup> Minute MOD [junior official] to PS/SofS [MOD], 21 February 2007, 'Iraq – Media Handling of the MiTT Deployments to Baghdad'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1223</sup> Public hearing, 15 January 2010, page 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1224</sup> Letter Banner to Siddiq, 8 January 2007, 'Iraq'.

**1325.** At the Iraq Senior Officials Group on 12 January, Mr Howard pointed out that weekly reporting would be difficult as information was produced by the US on a monthly basis so little would change from week to week. Monthly reports would therefore be more sensible.

**1326.** On 22 January, Mr Banner wrote to departments thanking them for a series of papers and asked that the next report on ISF capabilities include more detailed recommendations on addressing shortfalls and bottlenecks. <sup>1226</sup>

**1327.** On 26 January, Mr Banner provided Mr Blair with a number of updates. He judged that the Basra update and the ISF capabilities update suggested that "all is not well with 10th Division, including on equipment (we need to work out how this squares with CDS's assurance that all they were lacking is a water truck)".

**1328.** On 24 January, Mr Browne wrote to Mr Blair to update him on the rationale behind a planned reduction in troops from 7,000 to 4,500-5,000 (this is also addressed in Section 9.5). 1228 He stated:

"There is no question of us leaving a vacuum in the city, as the IA and IPS are already doing patrols and we will remain present in the Provincial Joint Co-ordination Centre and military transition teams. Early evidence from the final stages of Op SINBAD, where the IA are in some areas not just in the lead but doing it by themselves, is that inevitably they enjoy a greater level of consent than we do – but also that they are doing a decent job. They are far from the finished article but after re-posturing our shift towards mentoring and support will ensure they continue to develop.

"The clear military advice ... is that re-posturing and the associated drawdown will not adversely affect our capacity to provide support to the Iraqi Security Forces, including underwriting it by providing a battlegroup size reserve force. We must recognise that after re-posturing re-intervention would not be straightforward but this is a nettle that must be grasped at some stage.

"We should explain what these 4,500-5,000 personnel will be doing ... The answer is that as well as holding a battlegroup in reserve ... Security Sector Reform will once again be the main focus – reflected in an increase in our commitment to military and police training teams. In relation to the Police in particular – an area where I know the Americans have concerns – we have ... done a considerable amount to clean up the police in Basra, but making it stick now depends mainly on the Iraqi MOI and Emergency Security Committee acting on outstanding arrest warrants ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1225</sup> Letter Cabinet Office [junior official] to Sheinwald, 12 January 2007, 'Iraq Senior Officials Group'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1226</sup> Letter Banner to Siddig, 22 January 2007, 'Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1227</sup> Minute Banner to Prime Minister, 26 January 2007, 'Iraq Update, 26 January'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1228</sup> Letter Browne to Blair, 24 January 2007, 'Next Steps on Force Levels in Irag'.

"Finally, given the importance right now of the Iraqis being able to assume the lead, we should take the opportunity to deal with the public demands which PM Maliki made last week on equipment – repeating in public what he has said to us in private. In fact, the position in IA 10 Div is relatively good, but the Iraqis continue to look for symbols of force to over-face the militia. We have managed to bring forward the deployment of some 240 Humvee vehicles – 140 are now in place – and we are expecting some heavy calibre arms over the next month. On my visit I will again press Defence Minister Qadir to spend his capital budget wisely and quickly including for 10 Div."

**1329.** On 29 January, Mr Banner requested further details from the MOD on equipment issues and MiTTing (as support for police reform). 1229

**1330.** On 30 January, Mr Banner briefed the Prime Minister that one of the two "key issues" for the US was that the UK should have "an embedding/MiTT programme similar to their own". The MOD was "working on this" but was, Mr Banner felt, "reluctant".

**1331.** Mr Blair met Lieutenant General Nicholas Houghton, Chief of Joint Operations, and senior officials from FCO and SIS to discuss the situation in Basra on 31 January. The issue of embedding mentors was raised. Lt Gen Houghton explained that the UK approach to mentoring in Basra was different to that being developed by the US, in part because of a differing context; the US were primarily embedding with Shia forces operating in hostile Sunni areas, whereas the UK was working with Shia forces in Shia areas. He stated: "We, not they, drew the fire of local militias", hence most UK mentoring would take place at headquarters level and at bases.

**1332.** Mr Blair also expressed concern about the Iraqi ability to ensure security after re-posturing. Lt Gen Houghton noted that the UK would retain a re-intervention capability, and that it was "important to allow 10 Division to act independently". He conceded that there was still considerable work to be done to improve leadership in 10th Division and fill equipment gaps "where it was difficult to take bilateral action specific to 10th Division, given the prioritisation system in place via MNSTC-I". Mr Blair stated that it was "essential that our plans resulted in a 10 Division that was able to defeat JAM whenever it encountered them in an open fight. This would be the only way to instil wider confidence in the security situation."

**1333.** The MOD responded to Mr Banner's request on 2 February. On equipment, the MOD denied that there were any shortages for 10th Division. It explained that relevant training must take place before equipping could be completed, and that that

<sup>1229</sup> Letter Banner to Siddig, 29 January 2007, 'Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1230</sup> Minute Banner to Blair, 30 January 2007, 'Iraq Meeting, 31 January'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1231</sup> Letter Banner to Siddiq, 31 January 2007, 'Iraq: Meeting with Officials'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1232</sup> Letter Forber to Banner, 2 February 2007.

training had not yet happened. Set against IMOD critical equipment targets for each division, 10th Division were:

- 99 percent equipped in protected mobility;
- 100 percent equipped in light weapons;
- 86 percent equipped in body armour; and
- 84 percent equipped in helmets.

**1334.** The MOD did acknowledge that corruption led to issued equipment being lost but stated: "once equipment has been issued ... there is little MND(SE) can do to oversee the loss or relocation of such assets".

**1335.** On MiTTing, the MOD stated that US MiTT plans elsewhere in Iraq saw US personnel directly embedded and serving alongside their Iraqi counterparts but the UK approach differed:

"UK practice, and one we have adopted in numerous Military Assistance Missions around the world, is focused on leadership and embedding UK personnel at brigade and divisional level rather than in fighting units. It is possible that our slightly different approach will attract criticism from the US and we will need to be ready to explain our reasoning, which is, in large part, due to the different circumstances on the ground in southern Iraq. 10 Division is more advanced than some Iraqi Army divisions elsewhere and is already responsible for security in much of MND(SE) outside Basra City. Embedded MiTTs may not, therefore be required or wanted much by the Iraqi Army in Southern Iraq."

**1336.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lieutenent General Barney White-Spunner, GOC MND(SE) from February 2008, described the US approach to MiTTing:

"It is an embedded military training team. So what the Americans had done with the First Division, and indeed with most of their formations for which they were responsible in the Iraqi Army, was they had put dedicated teams into that formation who lived and worked with them. So when that formation deployed ... it brought those teams with it." <sup>1233</sup>

**1337.** On 31 January, the Cabinet Office circulated a paper entitled 'Transition in Southern Iraq: Progress and Plans'. The paper set out assessments and plans on security transition for DOP on 1 February, and is described in more detail in Section 9.5. On the IPS, it stated:

"Basic police capability has improved and the Basra IPS is on target to achieve the 72 percent Transitional Readiness Assessment (TRA) level 2 required for transfer

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1233</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, page 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1234</sup> Paper Cabinet Office, 31 January 2007, 'Transition in Southern Irag: Progress and Plans'.

to Provincial Iraqi Control (PIC) by April. Over 70 percent of Police Stations in Basra province have already reached this level. This is up markedly from a starting average TRA level of 3.3 (out of 4) in September 2006.

"However, for all the efforts made, levels of trust in the police force remain low, and some assessments indicate that the IPS continue to do more to undermine rather than quarantee security."

**1338.** On the Iraqi Army, the paper stated that the main issue was the "quality of its leadership, in addition to unwillingness to stand up to militia activity and a lack of equipment". To address concerns about 10th Division's capabilities and readiness, a "dedicated" SSR team of four MiTTs would be deployed, each comprising five or six people, to carry out training at divisional and brigade level.

**1339.** The paper noted that closing bases in the city would make any military re-intervention high-risk. In the event of re-intervention, all training of the ISF would have to cease until the operation was complete. Post-PIC plans for SSR depended on a degree of freedom of movement in and around Basra, including a military and civilian presence at the PJCC.

**1340.** Mr Browne visited Iraq from 28 to 31 January (described in Section 9.5). <sup>1235</sup> In a report of the visit, an Assistant Private Secretary reported that Mr Browne was told that:

- The leadership of Basra security structures was inadequate (a point which he in turn raised with Prime Minister Maliki and Mr Abdel Qadir, Iraqi Defence Minister).
- The US was not convinced about Basra being ready for transition or the capability of the 10th Division.
- The Police Adviser felt the police were less effective than six months before "principally because of intimidation rather than any lack of training or capability".

**1341.** Mr Browne's Assistant Private Secretary commented that those views seemed to contradict the messages that had previously been received on the success of Op SINBAD. There was "a lack of firm indicators to substantiate or refute" the different conclusions.

**1342.** In his update to DOP on 1 February, Mr Browne reported on his visit to Iraq. 1236 In Basra he had "seen first hand the positive effect that Operation SINBAD had had"; the reported murder rate had reduced and "sectarian violence had almost stopped". Continued violence was mainly directed against coalition forces.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1235</sup> Minute McNeil to MA1/DCDS(C), 1 February 2006 [sic], 'Defence Secretary's Visit to Iraq – 28-31 January 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1236</sup> Minutes, 1 February 2007, DOP meeting.

# Understanding the murder rate in Basra

On 1 February, Dr Marsden sought to provide context to the figures behind murder rates in Basra. 1237 She wrote:

"Anecdotal evidence from Basrawi contacts and some other sources of information suggest that levels of intimidation of Basrawis by JAM and other militias remain high. Yet crime figures quoted in the 31 January DOP paper suggests that the reported murder rate fell sharply in the second half of 2006.

"Confusingly there is no single collation point for recording crime in Basra. There are currently two sets of crime figures in use: those produced by the PJCC (jointly run by the IPS, IA and MNF) and those produced by the criminal statistics department of the IPS. The IPS figures are based on crimes reported weekly by police stations to the criminal statistics department in Police HQ. The PJCC figures are based on emergency calls received from members of the public and (sporadic) radio reports from individual police officers on the ground. They do not take account of police station reports. Both sets of figures are incomplete because they do not include tribal murders (in which the police do not get involved), many cases of family violence and crimes committed by police officers themselves (a significant omission as many of the murders in Basra are actually committed by the police themselves, notably the death squads in the Serious Crimes Unit and certain other units).

"The reported murder and kidnapping rates quoted in the DOP paper are based on PJCC figures. These show that the murder rate rose from around 50 a month in early 2006 to over 100 a month in the second quarter ... declining to 30 in December 2006. The IPS figures show a similar trend in the first half of the year, with the murder rate peaking at over 100 a month in April-June 2006, but with a much less marked decline in the second half of the year (to 80-90 murders a month in the last quarter of 2006)."

**1343.** On 13 February, MOD officials provided a paper to DOP on how best to balance military effort across Iraq and Afghanistan (see Section 9.5). The MOD said that current plans were that, following re-posturing up to six UK military sub units and three battlegroup headquarters would be available for ISF training. Specifically:

- one company devoted to training the Iraqi Army at the Divisional Training Centre;
- one company to provide a "flying" MiTT to monitor and mentor the 1st and 4th Brigades of 10th Division;
- one company to support FCO-led Police Training Teams, based at the PJCC;
- up to two companies to provide training and assistance to the DBE in border-related operations; and
- a company based at Basra Palace (until August) available to periodically conduct training of the Iraqi Army.

<sup>1237</sup> Letter Marsden to Aldred, 1 February 2007, 'Basra: Weekly Report'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1238</sup> Paper MOD, 13 February 2007, 'Iraq and Afghanistan: Balancing Military Effort in 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1239</sup> The Inquiry estimates that those sub units would each contain around 100 people.

**1344.** In addition, smaller MiTTs would remain embedded with the Headquarters of 10th Division.

**1345.** The MOD said that central to their re-posturing plans would be the release of manpower to better focus on training for the IPS, Iraqi Army and DBE, concentrating on the weakest areas of leadership and collective training.

**1346.** To help Mr Blair draft a statement to Parliament on the latest military plans, the MOD provided an update on Op SINBAD on 16 February. <sup>1240</sup> The paper described a number of areas where progress had been achieved:

- Experience of operations for the PJCC had improved the ISF's ability to plan and co-ordinate operations in the city. The paper did say that "given its central importance PJCC mentoring will continue beyond SINBAD and PIC".
- A reduction in reported crime. There was no mention of concerns over the validity of those figures.
- An improvement in basic police capability, although problems with leadership and corruption were acknowledged and the paper later assessed that up to 75 percent of Basra IPS were members of a militia and "many" were linked to criminal activity.
- The Iraqi Army had reached the level required for PIC but "their ability to stand up to militias unaided by coalition remains questionable".

## **1347.** On 21 February, Mr Blair delivered his statement in Parliament:

"Since the outset, our plan, agreed by the United Nations, has been to build up Iraqi capability in order to let Iraqis take control of their own destiny, and that as they would step up, we would increasingly step back. For three years therefore, we have been working to create, train and equip Iraqi security forces capable of taking on the security of the country themselves.

"In normal circumstances, the progress would be considered remarkable. There are now 10 Divisions of the new Iraqi Army and more than 130,000 soldiers, able in significant parts of the country to provide order. There are 135,000 personnel in the Iraqi Police Service. There, the progress has been more constrained, and frequently hampered by corruption and sectarianism, but none the less, again, in normal circumstances, it would be considered a remarkable effort. The plan of General Petraeus ... which was conceived in 2004, has in its essential respects been put in place ...

"Over the past months, we have been conducting an operation in Basra with the 10th Division of the Iraqi Army, to reach the stage where Basra can be secured by the Iraqis themselves ...

Letter Beadle to Banner, 16 February 2007, 'The Effects of Op SINBAD 20 September 2006 to 14 January 2007' attaching Paper 'The Effect of Operation SINBAD'.

"As a result of the operation in Basra, which is now complete, the Iraq forces now have the primary role for security in most parts of the city. It is still a difficult and sometimes dangerous place, but many extremists have been arrested or have left the city. The reported levels of murder and kidnapping are significantly down ...

"What all this means is not that Basra is how we want it to be but that the next chapter in Basra's history can be written by the Iraqis ...

"The British forces that remain in Iraq will have the following tasks:

- training and support to Iraqi forces;
- securing the Iraq-Iran border;
- securing supply routes;
- and, above all, the ability to conduct operations against extremist groups and be there in support of the Iraqi Army when called upon.

"Over time, and depending naturally on progress and the capability of the Iraq security forces, we will be able to draw down further, possibly to below 5,000 once the Basra Palace site has been transferred to the Iraqis in late summer." 1241

# CONTINUING CONCERNS WITH THE BASRA JUSTICE SYSTEM AND IRAQI POLICE SERVICE

**1348.** The paper produced by the IPU and British Embassy Baghdad on 16 February 2007 described problems with Basra's judicial system. There was "considerable evidence of the extra-legal influence of political/religious factions on the judicial process". Those judges involved in combating corruption had expressed concern for their personal safety and there was a lack of judicial control.

**1349.** The paper included a recommendation that attempts to bring prosecutions in IPS corruption cases should continue. A new Basra courthouse would be completed by November 2007 and a 1,500-capacity prison would be created in Basra. Those conclusions were reflected in the Better Basra Mark III plan (described later in this Section).

**1350.** On 26 February, in response to the latest weekly report from Dr Marsden, No.10 wrote to departments:

"The Prime Minister is seized of the need to replace [Brigadier] Hamadi as Director of Basra Police. We need to take urgent action with Maliki to underline the case for this, and to ensure the effective functioning of the Serious Crimes Unit. The Prime Minister thinks this may require a high level visit, from the Foreign or

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1241</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 21 February 2007, columns 261-280.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1242</sup> Letter Siddiq to Banner, 16 February 2007 attaching Paper British Embassy Baghdad/Iraq Policy Unit, 'Iraqi Justice System'.

Defence Secretary or a Special Envoy, in order to reinforce the point that this is of high importance to HMG [Her Majesty's Government] and the Prime Minister personally."<sup>1243</sup>

**1351.** In her report dated 28 February (dealt with in more detail in Section 9.5), Dr Marsden wrote:

"While polling suggests that the IPS inspire confidence in about 65 percent of the population ... those questioned by British representatives said that the police could not be trusted. Many told stories of intimidation and claimed knowledge of kidnappings and death squads. Others said they would not call the police emergency hotline to report criminal or terrorist activity for fear that policemen taking the calls were in cahoots with the militias. Basrawis are willing to call the police to report general crime but if criminals threatened them or their families, they are more likely to turn to their tribe for help." 1244

### UPDATED BETTER BASRA PLAN

**1352.** The third iteration of the Better Basra Plan, 'Better Basra Mark III', was sent by Dr Marsden to Ms Aldred on 2 March. 1245 It is described more fully in Section 9.5.

**1353.** It set out the combined military and civilian strategic priorities for Basra for the coming six months. One of the indicators of success would be "Iraqi Government control sustained after PIC with no breakdown of law and order". The plan had a number of subsections including "security" and "Rule of Law".

**1354.** The "security" aim was to "reduce the threat from illegal armed groups and Iranian proxies and build the capacity of the Iraqi Army to take on militant JAM and conduct their own Strike Operations". The plan noted that, although the Iraqi Army had grown in confidence during Op SINBAD, it would "certainly face stiffer tests in future". To enhance capability over the next six months the UK would:

- deploy MiTTs with 10th Division Iraqi Army units;
- provide further leadership training;
- conduct more joint operations;
- establish Iraqi ownership and a relationship of trust with the Basra Emergency Security Committee (assuming the Iraqi Government wished to maintain it); and
- press the IMOD and the US to provide more equipment (particularly heavy weapons) so that 10th Division felt sufficiently equipped to engage effectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1243</sup> Letter Fletcher to Siddig, 26 February 2007, 'Iraq: Basra'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1244</sup> Letter Marsden to McDonald, 28 February 2007, 'Basra: Everyday Life for Ordinary Iraqis'.

Letter Marsden to Aldred, 2 March 2007, 'Better Basra' attaching Paper, 1 March 2007, 'Better Basra Mark 3: The 2007 Plan'.

## **1355.** The aim for "Rule of Law" was to:

"... bring the Basra police to a 'good enough' standard to transition to PIC. Basra's population have the right to expect more of its police. The police should be able to carry out basic policing tasks; Basrawis should feel that if they report a crime, it will be acted on; the police should not be the home of organised death squads (although it is unrealistic to expect zero corruption); and militia influence should not be at levels where it is the dominant force in police stations."

## **1356.** To achieve those aims, the UK would:

- support the DIA, based in a protected compound at Basra Air Station;
- encourage the "pursuit" of the 62 death squad leaders from the SCU and NIIA (of which three had already been arrested);
- press Baghdad to replace the weak Provincial Director of Police (PDoP);
- improve co-operation between the Basra police and the MOI in Baghdad;
- continue intensive mentoring of the PDoP and other senior IPS officers;
- continue monitoring and mentoring police stations to achieve 80 percent of police stations at the level required for PIC;
- encourage the removal of unqualified and poor performers;
- establish a properly vetted, fully professional Criminal Investigation Department;
- mentor the Basra branch of the NIIA to try and ensure that criminal elements of the CIU do not migrate into the unit; and
- provide forensic capability at Basra Police Headquarters.

**1357.** The plan described the judiciary as "weak and unable to prosecute serious crime". The aim was to "empower Basra's judges and prosecutors to tackle serious crime (particularly police corruption) in a more secure, less intimidating environment". Priorities for the next six months were to:

- build the capacity of judges and others involved in the judicial process through mentoring, specifically the Prosecution Mentoring Unit (staffed by two international prosecutors funded by the plan);
- establish regional training programmes;
- improve security measures at the main Basra courthouse;
- build an additional courthouse, using US Department of Justice funding, which would include witness protection facilities; and
- provide scene of crime and forensic training for investigative judges and judicial investigators.

**1358.** Basra's prisons were described as "old, overcrowded" and said to "not meet minimum international human rights standards". The aim was to "support the development of an Iraqi Corrections System that complies with Iraqi law and

international standards regarding capacity, conditions of confinement and humane treatment of prisoners" by:

- increasing prison capacity by building and commissioning a new US-funded Basra Central Prison for 1,500 prisoners;
- continuing to strengthen the capacity of correctional services staff by implementing a UK training programme and further mentoring; and
- continuing to monitor management of the two existing prisons.

#### NATIONAL INFORMATION AND INVESTIGATION AGENCY RAID

**1359.** On 3 March, Iraqi Special Operations Forces (ISOF), supported by MNF troops, carried out a raid on the National Information and Investigation Agency (NIIA) in Basra. 1246

**1360.** Mr Beadle wrote to No.10 about the raid in a letter dated 16 March.<sup>1247</sup> The pre-planned operation was to detain an NIIA officer associated with death squads who had been a "priority UK target for over two years". The target was not present and the raid resulted in the escape of around 30 prisoners (who had been tortured according to some reports).

**1361.** On 5 March, Prime Minister Maliki's office issued a statement condemning the raid as "illegal" and "irresponsible". Mr Maliki ordered local security authorities, including the police, to cease all "joint activities" with MND(SE) until further notice.

**1362.** On the same day, Mr Maliki told Mr Asquith that he was disappointed at the "reprehensible" way in which the raid had been conducted and the violation of Iraqi sovereignty that it represented. He warned that the consequence of such operations might be severe restrictions on the ability to deploy ISOF.

**1363.** Three investigations resulted from the raid: one by the MOI, one led by Mr Safa al-Safi (Prime Minister Maliki's Ministerial Security Adviser on Basra) and one by the MNF. The MNF was reviewing mechanisms for informing the Iraqi Government of sensitive operations.

**1364.** Maj Shaw wrote in his weekly report on 8 March:

"It is clear that the raid was both legal and, in tactical targeting terms, a good call ... Within the context of the wider politics of Iraq and with the benefit of hindsight,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1246</sup> eGram 9049/07 Baghdad to FCO London, 6 March 2007, 'Iraq: Meeting with Prime Minister Maliki, 5 March'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1247</sup> Letter Beadle to Fletcher, 16 March 2007, 'Iraq: National Intelligence & Information Agency (NIIA) Operation'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1248</sup> eGram 9049/07 Baghdad to FCO London, 6 March 2007, 'Iraq: Meeting with Prime Minister Maliki, 5 March'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1249</sup> Letter Beadle to Fletcher, 16 March 2007, 'Iraq: National Intelligence & Information Agency (NIIA) Operation'.

however, the operation was ill-judged. Local political reaction has been relatively muted ... My sense though is that, locally, the desire for progress and transition remains and this should motivate them to treat this incident more as a speed bump than an obstacle ..."1250

**1365.** Mr Bill Jeffrey, Permanent Under Secretary for the MOD from November 2005 to October 2010, met Maj Gen Shaw during a visit to Iraq from 12 to 13 March. Maj Gen Shaw reported that the raid on the NIIA offices could "have been handled better", with hindsight, but that "most reactions by local political figures were either somewhat synthetic or manageable".

**1366.** Maj Gen Shaw reflected on the raid in his evidence to the Inquiry. 1252 He said:

"[It] was the one operation where they [the Iraqi Government] did object to it ... that raid ... exposed the difficulties within the Shia polity again ... It was a raid carried out by the Iraqi special forces, so it was an Iraqi raid and it was trying very hard to abide by or comply with Iraqi sovereignty."

**1367.** On 15 March, Maj Gen Shaw highlighted the importance of tackling violence not directed at MNF and the difficulties of doing that, saying:

"The fundamental assumption behind the NIIA raid was that it was consistent with, indeed in pursuit of, Iraqi sovereignty: that the nature of the target (known death squad leader against whom an Iraqi judge had issued an arrest warrant) and the method of arrest (ISOF, not MNF) would bring GoI buy-in, even though it was within a building owned by the IPS (which PM Maliki ... acknowledged to be corrupt)." 1253

**1368.** Maj Gen Shaw reflected on how the NIIA incident illustrated concerns about transition:

"It is in this context ... that the NIIA raid needs to be viewed. If we are to address the Iraqi end-state, our focus needs to be less on the 90 percent violence against us, more on the 10 percent reported inter-Shia/Iraqi violence which threatens stability when we are gone. Tackling death squad leaders ... who pose the major threat to the political stability of Basra, is the most useful application of military force to support the political end-state ...

"My short-term concern is that the issue blights transition ... A line needs to be drawn under this operation in the interest of achieving Iraqi self-reliance ... My long-term concerns centre around the defining impact these investigations will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1250</sup> Minute Shaw to CJO, 8 March 2007, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 8 March 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1251</sup> Minute PS/PUS [MOD] to PS/SofS [MOD], 16 March 2007, 'PUS Visit to Multinational Division South-East, 12 March 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1252</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, pages 17-18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1253</sup> Minute Shaw to CJO, 15 March 2007, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 15 March 2007'.

have for our future operations and indeed rationale. Firstly, the 'Untouchable' status of ISOF is already being attacked by the sectional interest within the GOI that (quite rightly) feel threatened by such a body. The fear is that their freedom of movement and action is curtailed, their operations politically constrained; this would be most damaging to ISOF itself and PM Maliki's ability to operate to the national interest. Secondly, the danger is that political constraints are so tightly drawn that MND(SE) cannot operate against the 10 percent threat to the Iraqi end state. If we ever reached the stage when MND(SE) were restricted to operations in pursuit of our own force protection, we would need seriously to question our rationale for being here."

**1369.** Maj Gen Shaw said in his evidence to the Inquiry that "all kinds of mistreatment of prisoners" were found during the raid, including the rape of a woman in front of her two children. However, the mistreatment was not the political headline, "the political headline was that we had broached Iraqi sovereignty". Maj Gen Shaw concluded:

"So yes, that was a mistake, it was an unfortunate raid, we learned lessons from it, we played even more gingerly with Shia political sensitivities thereafter."

- **1370.** On 20 March, ACM Stirrup told Mr Blair that "Petraeus had been helpful in handling the fallout from the raid on the NIIA headquarters, and that this was in any case having only a limited effect on operations in Basra itself." <sup>1255</sup>
- **1371.** Maj Gen Shaw reported on 21 March: "The ripples of the raid on the NIIA are seemingly spreading the further we get from the operation itself." <sup>1256</sup>
- **1372.** The IMOD had issued a letter stating that joint operations between the Iraqi Army in Basra and the MNF should cease temporarily. Although that had since been rescinded, Maj Gen Shaw commented that "this makes moving Basra forward towards PIC more difficult".
- **1373.** On the same day, Mr Blair's Private Secretary updated Mr Blair on the continued fallout from the raid. The MNF-I investigation into the NIIA raid had concluded that the operation was conducted in good faith and in support of Iraqi law. But there had been no notification to either the Iraqi Government or Gen Petraeus because the operation had been deemed time sensitive. The raid was described as "aggressive but professional" and it was miscommunication that had led to the prisoners escaping.
- **1374.** It took until late April for the police mission to regain access to the NIIA building.<sup>1258</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1254</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, page 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1255</sup> Letter Banner to Hickey, 20 March 2007, 'Iraq: Meeting with Officials'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1256</sup> Minute Shaw to CJO, 21 March 2007, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 21 March 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1257</sup> Minute Banner to Blair, 21 March 2007, 'Phonecall with Maliki'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1258</sup> Letter Tinline to Aldred, 26 April 2007, 'Basra: Weekly Report'.

# ASSESSMENTS OF IRAQI SECURITY FORCE READINESS FOR PROVINCIAL IRAQI CONTROL

**1375.** In March and April, British forces withdrew from a number of bases in Basra City, handing them over to 10th Division:

- the Old State Building on 20 March;<sup>1259</sup>
- the Shatt al-Arab Hotel on 8 April; and 1260
- Shaibah Logistics Base on 24 April. 1261

**1376.** A JIC Assessment on 25 April considered the prospects for transition in the South. 1262 It assessed:

"Increased security efforts in Basra between September 2006 and March 2007 (Operation SINBAD) had some local effect in disrupting militia activity and improving public confidence ... Sectarian and other murders have fallen from some 100 a month in mid-2006 to 30 in March 2007 ... Other forms of violence, criminality, and intimidation – much of which we judge goes unreported – remain widespread.

"MND(SE) assess that ISF in Basra now meet the minimum criteria for transitional readiness. Slow improvement in the army continues: 1 Brigade in Basra took the lead in the latter stages of Operation SINBAD and performed well, within the limitations of their capability. Much more serious problems persist in the local police [...] 61 arrest warrants against SCU officers remain outstanding, despite coalition pressure. A weight of reporting shows that police effectiveness in Basra is still severely compromised by corruption, poor leadership and the entrenched influence of Shia militias. Some policemen are actively assisting JAM attacks on MNF.

"We judge that as the scale of MNF presence reduces, violence between rival Shia political parties, backed by their militias, is likely to intensify. Most see PIC as an opportunity to extend their own power base in political and security structures, and increase control over economic resources ...

"The nature and scale of any conflict will be determined partly by events in Baghdad and Najaf, particularly the ability of the United Iraqi Alliance to stick together and assert authority over its provincial supporters ... In the absence of an effective political brake on serious intra-Shia fighting, we judge that the ISF would not be able to cope; the police would probably fragment and the army would try to avoid direct confrontation, while seeking to contain the situation."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1259</sup> Minute Shaw to CJO, 21 March 2007, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 21 March 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1260</sup> Minute GOC MND(SE) to CJO, 12 April 2007, 'COS HQ MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 12 April 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1261</sup> Minute Shaw to CJO, 24 April 2007, 'GOC HQ MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 24 April 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1262</sup> JIC Assessment, 25 April 2007, 'Iraq: Prospects for Transition in the South'.

## **1377.** At Cabinet on 3 May, Mr Browne stated:

"In Basra and the South-East, there were encouraging signs of progress. The Iraqi Army division ... was becoming, by any measure, very effective. Its performance and training had impressed the Americans when it was operating in Baghdad ... In Basra we had now handed over to the Iraqis two bases in the City and one outside without incident, a significant contrast with the disorder that had taken place over earlier base handovers ... The Iraqi security infrastructure did not work well and its leadership, including the Provincial Chief of Police and Emergency Security Committee, was ineffective and incapable of providing the direction needed by those in the police forces capable of working effectively (estimated as some 80 percent) ..."1263

**1378.** On 2 May, Sir Nigel Sheinwald met ACM Stirrup to discuss whether there was "continuing military utility" in the UK's mission in Iraq.<sup>1264</sup> ACM Stirrup said that he saw that resting on the continuing need to train the Iraqi 10th Division, anti-JAM operations and a capacity to re-intervene. Sir Nigel reported the meeting to Mr Blair and highlighted that ACM Stirrup had not mentioned the IPS, making the observation: "I think the MOD now regard them as a busted flush."

**1379.** On 25 May, a planned ISOF operation in Basra resulted in the death of the Basra JAM leader Mr Wissam Abu Qadir. 1265 Maj Gen Shaw described the operation as a success but reported:

"The performance of the ISF was less convincing. Not surprisingly that the IPS failed to stand and defend the PJCC, but more disappointing that the Iraqi Army was returned to barracks (following another JAM capture and humiliating release of two IA vehicles and crew) whilst JAM was on the streets. The order to remain in barracks was given by Gen Ali Hamadi (Chair of the Emergency Security Committee). His reasons are not clear, although Gen Habib [the new commander of 10th Division] claims that it was a direct order from PM Maliki. It may well be true that last Friday night was not the right time for 10th Division to stand and fight JAM toe to toe and that the call was a good one. It may equally be true that Gen Ali ordered them back into barracks as a face saving measure, fearing that 1 Bde (who are Basrawis) would refuse to soldier, or that JAM had pressurised him into withdrawing them, to give them a clear shot at us. Gen Habib is, however, acutely aware of the issues within his own Division and the need to address them. He needs to be given the opportunity to solve the problems ... in an Iraqi way ... But as hinted at above, this 'Iraqi way' may prove in time to be a 'non-aggression' pact between the IA and JAM."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1263</sup> Cabinet Conclusions, 3 May 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1264</sup> Minute Sheinwald to Prime Minister, 3 May 2007, 'Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1265</sup> Minute Shaw to CJO, 31 May 2007, 'GOC HQ MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 31 May 2007'.

**1380.** On 13 June, Maj Gen Shaw concluded his weekly report to Lt Gen Houghton:

"The thought I leave my staff to ponder is the credibility of our recommendation at month end if this remains, 'Basra ready for transition to PIC in Aug/Sep'. The pragmatic UK PIC judgements have always been based in large part on judgements about 'Iraqi good enough' in agreement with Iraqi judgements on risk. The recent focus of GoI interest in Basra security has seemingly reversed what was hitherto Iraqi enthusiasm for PIC. PM Maliki is concerned about early PIC, the Governor is against it, as is MG Habib: the IPS are recognised as incapable hence the future of Basra's security is being placed in a new ... army division (as yet unformed and unprogrammed); and a new security supremo is promised but as yet unappointed (although rumours abound). Lack of Iraqi enthusiasm for PIC, and the lengthy period required to enact the Iraqi solutions, play to State's concerns about the PIC process and will make my and LTG Odierno's [Lieutenant General Raymond Odierno, US Commander Multi-National Corp - Iraq] desire for Basra PIC harder to justify." 1266

**1381.** On 27 June, the JIC reiterated their judgement on the likelihood of violence after PIC and the ability of the Basra ISF to cope:

"The desire for national Shia unity and the ability of local parties to broker deals may restrain but will not prevent political violence in the South. In the likely event of serious intra-Shia fighting the police would probably take sides according to their particular tribal and militia affiliations and the army would try to remain on the sidelines." 1267

# Iraqi appointments

Three key security personnel in Basra were replaced between March and June 2007:

- Major General Habib was appointed as the new Commander of 10th Division, replacing Maj Gen Latif.<sup>1268</sup>
- General Mohan became the head of newly established Basra Provincial Operational Command, effectively taking overall control of security from Maj Gen Ali Hamadi, who became his deputy.<sup>1269</sup>
- Major General Jalil was appointed as Provincial Director of Police, reporting to General Mohan. Maj Gen Jalil replaced Brig Mohammed Hamadi.

376

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1266</sup> Minute Shaw to CJO, 13 June 2007, 'GOC HQ MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 13 June 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1267</sup> JIC Assessment, 27 June 2007, 'Iraqi Security Forces and Structures: Quantity not Quality'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1268</sup> Minute Shaw to CJO, 15 March 2007, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 15 March 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1269</sup> Minutes, 26 June 2007, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

### TRANSITION IN BASRA

**1382.** In July 2007, the UK presence at the PJCC comprised 100 military personnel and seven police advisers.<sup>1270</sup>

**1383.** On 7 June, Maj Gen Shaw reported:

"The increased attacks on the PJCC have exposed a known vulnerability, and disproved the hope that co-location with IPS would provide some protection ... [W]e are reinforcing its sustainment whilst we are there, and reviewing its viability in the longer term, particularly when Basra Palace is vacated." 1271

**1384.** The minutes of the Chiefs of Staff meeting on 12 June recorded that a DOP paper on the timing of leaving Basra Palace was being delayed until 12 July to allow time for further advice on whether UK personnel could remain in the PJCC after it was vacated. The MOD assessment was that the advantages of remaining outweighed the disadvantages.

**1385.** On 13 June, Maj Gen Shaw reported that MND(SE) was keeping the PJCC issue under constant review: "The situation is fluid and I would wish to retain the freedom of decision for as long as possible. My intent remains to retain it for as long as practicable, and we are well aware of the message sent if/when we leave it." <sup>1273</sup>

**1386.** On 20 June, the PJCC was attacked by indirect fire (IDF), fatally wounding Major Paul Harding. 1274 The junior official briefing Mr Browne on the incident wrote that the PJCC had been subject to a number of attacks over the last month, including from Rocket Propelled Grenades and IDF. Although a number of personnel had been wounded in those attacks, this was the first UK fatality.

**1387.** The official wrote that, because of the attacks, the PJCC was subject to regular security reviews. A mortar locating radar had recently been installed as a result. The medical team in place (one Emergency Trauma Nurse and three combat medical technicians) was more than would ordinarily be allocated to a deployment of the PJCC's size, but had been deemed necessary because of the increased threat to the site.

**1388.** AM Stirrup visited Iraq from 1 to 3 July. 1275 His visit report stated that he had been advised by Maj Gen Shaw that there was "little military advantage" in retaining a presence at either the PJCC or Basra Palace, other than for "retaining a base for strike operations and some situational awareness". Maj Gen Shaw advocated relocating to Basra Air Station at the "earliest practicable point". Maj Gen Shaw was "confident" that the conditions set for PIC had been met.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1270</sup> Paper FCO & MOD, 12 July 2007, 'Irag: Transition in Basra'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1271</sup> Minute Shaw to CJO, 7 June 2007, 'GOC HQ MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 07 June 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1272</sup> Minutes, 12 June 2007, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1273</sup> Minute, Shaw to CJO, 13 June 2007, 'GOC HQ MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 13 June 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1274</sup> Minute PJHQ [junior official] to APS/SofS [MOD], 20 June 2007, 'Iraq: Op Telic: Death of a British Soldier'; *GOV.UK*, 21 June 2007, *Major Paul Harding 4th Battalion The Rifles killed in Iraq.* 

Minute Kyd to PS/SofS [MOD], 5 July 2007, 'CDS Visit to Iraq 1-3 Jul 07'.

- **1389.** The minutes from the Chiefs of Staff meeting on 10 July stated that Lt Gen Odierno had disagreed with Maj Gen Shaw's assessment that Basra was ready for PIC. 1276 Lt Gen Odierno had said that the new ISF structures should be allowed time to "bed-in", with the possibility of PIC in October 2007.
- **1390.** On 13 July, Maj Gen Shaw reported that Maj Gen Jalil was taking a "robust stance" towards the IPS in Basra, docking pay and sacking police officers. <sup>1277</sup> He had also begun his "purge" of militia elements within the IPS removing vehicles and ending their employment. In response, he had been subject to an assassination attempt when he left the PJCC.
- **1391.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Maj Gen Shaw recalled that Maj Gen Jalil had come to "the very strong conclusion very early" that "the issue here is not one of training, nor of equipment, it is one of loyalty", and that that was a statement that resonated very strongly with previously held views. 1278 Maj Gen Shaw said:
  - "... why is it that police forces in Muthanna and Dhi Qar ... operate so differently to the police in Basra when they both had the same equipment and the same training? The only difference was loyalty. It wasn't a question of whether they were members of militias or not, because ... the police forces in Dhi Qar and Muthanna were actually Badr dominated, but ... there was unity of command.
  - "... if you, as a militia, decided to work with the Government of Iraq, then that was fine."
- **1392.** Maj Gen Shaw said that Maj Gen Jalil recognised that the problem with the Basra police force was that it "reflected all the divisions within the Basra society". Maj Gen Shaw added that "Unfortunately, the same was true of the army as well and that was the problem with 10 Division."
- **1393.** Gen Mohan shared Maj Gen Shaw's analysis "that the problem was loyalty". MNF received political advice from Gen Mohan "as to what he thought the impact of military strikes would be and whether they would be good or bad". That process generated optimism "with a very positive way forward ... for a political resolution of the violence problem".
- **1394.** On 15 July, Lt Gen Lamb reported that Gen Mohan and Maj Gen Jalil had given a "very stark" assessment of the situation in Basra to the Ministerial Committee for National Security (MCNS). 1279 He stated that Gen Mohan had "an 'outline' plan" to rectify "what he described as a city without law and order". He was already reviewing his initial assessment on the early move of British forces out of Basra City.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1276</sup> Minutes, 10 July 2007, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1277</sup> Minute Shaw to CJO, 13 July 2007, 'GOC MQ MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 13 July 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1278</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, pages 24-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1279</sup> Minute Lamb to CDS, 15 July 2007, 'SBMR-I Weekly Report (261) 15 July 07'.

# A new Iraqi Army division for Basra

On 12 June 2007, Maj Gen Wall briefed the Chiefs of Staff that the Iraqi Minister of Defence had recently called for MND(SE) to have two army divisions; one for Basra City and one for the rest of the South-East. <sup>1280</sup> Maj Gen Wall reported that there was no indication of how this new division would be raised, funded or equipped.

At the Chiefs of Staff meeting on 26 June, Lt Gen Houghton reported that "greater clarity" had been achieved. 1281 The 10th Division would be given an additional brigade; a new 14th Division which would assume responsibility for the rest of the South-East, with nine brigades across Muthanna, Dhi Qar and Maysan provinces.

Lt Gen Houghton stated that work would continue on training 1,000 personnel, primarily pre-2003 Iraqi Army personnel, to form the Presidential Guard Force who would take control of Basra Palace. The force was due to be ready by 14 August.

On 17 July, Maj Gen Wall briefed the Chiefs of Staff that a Ministerial Order had been issued for the creation of 14th Division, which would now have responsibility for Basra. 1282

The 10th Division would "reposture" with its headquarters in either al-Amara or Nasiriyah.

**1395.** On 19 July, Major General Gerald Berragan, Deputy Commander (Operations) Multi-National Corps-Iraq, reported on a meeting of the Crisis Action Cell earlier that week. He wrote that Gen Mohan's description of Basra at the meeting was that:

- The police were infiltrated by militia and unreliable.
- The 1st Brigade of 10th Division were "defeated".
- The 5th Brigade was still in formation and lacking key capabilities.
- The ISF was set against a complex political environment with 24 militias all armed and competing for power.
- Iran was influencing and gathering intelligence.
- Organised crime was rife and weapons were being openly sold in the streets.

**1396.** In advance of a planned NSID(OD) meeting on 19 July, FCO and MOD officials produced a joint paper, setting out the latest assessment and plans for security transition and the associated re-posturing and drawdown of UK troops in Basra, to inform decisions by Ministers at that meeting (see Section 9.6). The paper described the strategic context across Iraq and then focused on what that meant for transition in Basra. The US, parts of the Iraqi Government and Gen Mohan, Maj Gen Jalil and Gen Habib had serious concerns over the ability of the ISF in Basra to cope with the security situation. On the other hand Gen Mohan's and Maj Gen Shaw's assessment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1280</sup> Minutes, 12 June 2007, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1281</sup> Minutes, 26 June 2007, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1282</sup> Minutes, 17 July 2007, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1283</sup> Minute Berragan to CJO, 19 July 2007, 'MNC-I Update – 19 Jul 07'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1284</sup> Paper FCO and MOD, 12 July 2007, 'Irag: Transition in Basra'.

was that the MNF presence was a distorting factor which caused the ISF to be seen as collaborators rather than nationalists.

**1397.** The officials suggested withdrawing the UK's presence from the PJCC at the same time as Basra Palace, given the threat to UK personnel. The paper stated:

"When we leave the PJCC, our Security Sector Reform work there will cease ... But in our judgement, these downsides are outweighed by the risks in remaining at the site. And the PJCC is no longer as crucial a centre for the Iraqi Security Forces, since Mohan moved the Basra Operations Centre to the Shatt Al-Arab Hotel. We therefore recommend that we plan to remove all UK personnel from the site in parallel with leaving Basra Palace."

**1398.** Recalling the looting that occurred when the UK vacated Camp Abu Naji in Maysan, the paper stated that it was "critical" that the UK did not leave until a "credible Iraqi Guard Force" was in place. That would be ready by the end of August.

**1399.** The paper described US concerns about transition:

"They [the US] are intensely nervous about transition in Basra. They believe the local Iraqi Security Forces are not robust enough to handle security without our direct support."

**1400.** On the future for Basra, the paper stated that there might be "an initial period in which the Iraqi Security Forces faced challenges to their authority from militia groups" and that "There will be weaknesses at the leadership level in the Iraqi Security Forces."

**1401.** In an annex to the paper, there was an assessment of Basra province against the conditions for PIC, one of which was "the Iraqi Security Forces' capacity to maintain order and conduct counter insurgency operations". The paper reiterated concerns about the "vulnerability [of 10th Division] to political pressure when operating in Basra" and stated that it was likely that that would continue leading to them refusing to confront JAM independently. The Basra IPS was "on target" to meet the PIC criteria with 93 percent of stations assessed at TRA level 2 or higher. It then reiterated concerns about public confidence, militia infiltration and the requirement for institutional reform.

**1402.** Another annex addressed future UK ambitions in Basra. The military plan was that 950 troops would be assigned to SSR and "rear area tasks such as border patrols". The military would also assist in maintaining an acceptable security environment to enable SSR activities.

**1403.** On 6 August, the British Embassy Baghdad reported on the MCNS meeting held the previous day. 1285 Mr Qadar, the Minister of Defence, was impressed with the "positive impact" that Gen Mohan and Maj Gen Jalil had made on the security situation in Basra

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1285</sup> eGram 33092/07 Baghdad to FCO London, 6 August 2007, 'Iraq: Ministerial Committee on National Security, 5 August'.

and he was trying to identify further reinforcements for 10th Division. Mr Boulani, the Minister of Interior, was looking to reinforce Basra's police force with better leaders, possibly with commanders outside the province.

**1404.** On 30 August, Major General Graham Binns, GOC MND(SE) from August 2007 until February 2008, reported that UK forces had handed over the PJCC four days previously, ahead of schedule and without incident. <sup>1286</sup>

**1405.** The same day, at the Iraq Strategy Group meeting, Lieutenant General Peter Wall, Deputy Chief of the Defence Staff (Commitments) from August 2007, reported that there had been some "limited militia infiltration and looting of the site" following the handover "with the possible collusion or acquiescence of the Iraqi Police Service". <sup>1287</sup>

**1406.** In a letter from Mr Browne to Mr Gordon Brown (who had become Prime Minister on 27 June) on 31 August, the looting was described as "unauthorised movement of equipment". <sup>1288</sup> In response, Gen Mohan deployed his entire reserve battalion to the site.

**1407.** On 3 September, UK forces withdrew from Basra Palace and relocated at Basra Air Station (as described in Section 9.6). As well as the Presidential Guard Force, elements from the 10th Division were stationed in Basra Palace.

**1408.** Maj Gen Binns described the withdrawal in his evidence to the Inquiry:

"... we first had to fold in from the ... PJCC, the Permanent Joint Co-ordination Centre. So we had to remove our presence there and come into the Palace. We then had to recruit, train, equip and deploy an Iraqi security force which became known as the Palace Protection Force, to take over the Palace.

. . .

"Then we had to conduct a relief in place<sup>1290</sup> with the Iraqi Palace Protection Force, and then, the final act in all of that, was to remove ourselves in early September.

"... I reflect that it went remarkably well, considering all of the complexities." 1291

**1409.** As a result of withdrawing UK police from the Warren site, support to the PJCC and the NIIA ceased, as did station visits by Police Transition Teams. 1292

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1286</sup> Minute Binns to CJO, 30 August 2007, 'GOC HQ MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update - 30 August 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1287</sup> Minute Cabinet Office [junior official] to McDonald, 30 August 2007, 'Iraq Strategy Group, 29 August'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1288</sup> Letter Browne to Brown, 31 August 2007, 'Iraq: Handover of Basra Palace and Provincial Iraqi Control in Basra'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1289</sup> eGram 37263/07 Baghdad to FCO London, 10 September 2007, 'Iraq: Ministerial Committee for National Security (MCNS) – 9 September'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1290</sup> A "relief in place" is an operation in which one unit is replaced with another.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1291</sup> Public hearing, 15 January 2010, pages 10-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1292</sup> Minute Colbourne, 27 August 2007, 'Bi-Weekly Report of the UK Chief Police Adviser'.

# The security situation after British withdrawal

**1410.** The Governor of Muthanna province was assassinated on 20 August, the second Shia governor to be killed that month. 1293

**1411.** On 20 September, Maj Gen Binns stated:

"Reporting from multiple sources indicates that the security situation is improving; IPS and IA units are conducting joint operations in the city ... and LOs [liaison officers] from the BOC [Basra Operations Command] are now working in the PJCC. Basrawis seem reassured by this new security profile but remain concerned that militias are using the ceasefire period to reorganise and resupply. Of course criminality and gangsterism remain endemic." 1294

**1412.** On 1 October, ahead of a visit to Iraq, Mr Brown was briefed by a junior official that there had been "some worrying high profile assassinations of religious and police figures" and a car bomb which had killed three people in recent weeks. Support by local politicians for Gen Mohan and Maj Gen Jalil was described as "sporadic".

**1413.** On 2 October, Mr Brown told journalists in Baghdad:

"What we have been trying over these last few months also to build up the Iraqi Security Forces ... we are now in a position where there are nearly 30,000 Iraqi Security Forces [in the South]. So what we propose to do over these next few months is to ... maintain a facility for re-intervention if necessary, but at the same time we play a greater role in training future security forces. I believe that within the next two months we can move to Provincial Iraqi Control, and that is the Iraqis taking responsibility for their own security in the whole of Basra. I believe that the 30,000 security forces that are being trained are capable of discharging these responsibilities for security ..."1296

**1414.** On 7 November, Acting ACC Michael Colbourne, Chief Police Adviser from March 2007 to April 2008, wrote to the FCO in London to articulate Maj Gen Jalil's expectations for UK support with police training. 1297 Maj Gen Jalil intended to reform the Basra IPS by:

- "restructuring the force to deliver five Emergency Battalions" (only one was currently formed);
- "rebuilding the NIIA (retaining 50 of the current staff and dismissing the rest)";
- "rebuilding the CID (retaining 50 of the current staff and dismissing the rest)"; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1293</sup> BBC News, 20 August 2007, Roadside bomb kills Iraq governor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1294</sup> Minute Binns to CJO, 20 September 2007, 'GOC HQ MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 20 September 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1295</sup> Minute Cabinet Office [junior official] to Brown, 1 October 2007, 'Iraq Visit: 2 October 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1296</sup> Transcript Sky News, 2 October 2007, Live at Five with Jeremy Thompson; BBC Radio 4, 2 October 2007, PM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1297</sup> Letter Colbourne to FCO [junior official], 7 November 2007, 'The Policing Mission in Basra'.

 "re-organising the 'local policing' resources to deliver effective crime prevention, investigation and community policing".

**1415.** ACC Colbourne wrote that developing the Emergency Battalions would be "the most demanding aspect of this support". He had agreed with Maj Gen Jalil that his team would complete the current training of his officers at Shaibah in addition to generating an Iraqi training team. He planned for the six existing ArmorGroup IPAs to put that team in place, capable of delivering the Emergency Battalion syllabus, by early December. It had been agreed that all other Basra-based ArmorGroup contractors would end their missions by the end of November. ACC Colbourne stated that "the sheer scale of the training" required a "bigger and more permanent" solution.

**1416.** ACC Colbourne wrote that the Emergency Battalions would be "instrumental" in enabling Maj Gen Jalil to "engage the militias" and "hold ground". Once achieved, he wrote that there would "be an urgent need to reposition the 'miltaristic' policing style which will be dominant in Basra, to a more community focused local policing approach".

**1417.** The House of Commons Defence Committee had published a report on 3 December 2007, following a visit to Iraq from 8 to 11 July. 1298

**1418.** Reporting on the Committee's visit to Basra, Mr Asquith said that in response to being asked about the current security situation in the city and the likely consequences of a UK withdrawal within 12 months:

"The Basrawis were clear: services and reconstruction were improving but the main problem was that the ISF were under-funded, unqualified and security was deteriorating. The British Government had promised a lot when it liberated Iraq, but had not delivered. Militias were more of a concern than criminal gangs. The provincial authorities were not able to confront the militias because the security forces owed their loyalty to political parties rather than the State ... Pulling no punches, they said a British withdrawal would 'be followed by chaos sweeping the province like a hurricane'." 1299

## **1419.** The Committee's report concluded:

"Despite its increasing capability, the Iraqi Army in South Eastern Iraq still requires the support of UK Forces, particularly in logistics and intelligence ...

"... The Police would seem to have a long way to go in becoming truly effective and in gaining the trust of the population. Given the scale of the problems which still need to be tackled, there would seem to be a need for an ongoing commitment by the UK to training and mentoring the Iraqi Police." 1300

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1298</sup> First report from the House of Commons Defence Committee, Session 2007-08, *UK Land Operations in Iraq 2007*, HC 110.

eGram 30010/07 Baghdad to FCO London, 13 July 2007, 'Iraq: Visit of House of Commons Defence Committee, 8-11 July'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1300</sup> First report from the House of Commons Defence Committee, Session 2007-08, *UK Land Operations in Iraq 2007*, HC 110.

**1420.** Maj Gen Binns described the method of support at that time in his evidence to the Inquiry:

"... the concept was described as M2T, monitoring, mentoring and training. I would say it was a big T. It was a medium-sized M, monitoring, but we didn't do a lot of mentoring ...

"So if I start with the T, training, I think we had a very successful training centre that we had built at Shaibah Log Base. We were able to take people from initial training, we were able to supervise Iraqis training themselves. We were able to equip them, to deploy them, to sustain them ... we didn't then mentor them when they were deployed on operation, and that was the significant difference between the way that we approached support and the way that the Americans approached support in Basra." 1301

## THE ABSENCE OF A CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM IN BASRA

**1421.** Mr Browne visited Iraq from 29 October to 2 November. <sup>1302</sup> He described the visit, in a letter to Mr Brown, as "intense but stimulating and productive", observing that it had been "markedly the most encouraging of my seven visits to Basra". He commented:

"The primary deficiency in the security apparatus remains the judicial sector. I am sceptical about our ability to deliver an effective Iraqi Police Service when there is no functioning framework of enforceable law within which they can operate. This needs our urgent attention. It does not, in my view, need to mean the deployment of significant additional resources to Iraq; I am attracted by the idea of electronic mentoring of the Iraqi judiciary by international counterparts."

**1422.** The FCO, DFID, the MOD and the Stabilisation Unit produced a UK Strategy for Security and Justice Sector Reform (SJSR) in December. Acknowledging that it was subject to any Ministerial decisions in 2008 on the UK's overall strategy in Iraq, it listed four areas for development in 2008-2009:

- A presence in both cities could help the UK influence central policy initiatives by feeding intelligence from work on the ground.
- The UK could contribute strategic policing advice to the IPS and influence US thinking on the IPS's development needs.
- The UK could utilise its "significant experience in pursuing civil service reform in weak states" to reform Iraq's "weak" Government institutions, making them more effective.
- The UK could encourage the EU and UN to put greater resources into co-ordinating Rule of Law donor engagement.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1301</sup> Public hearing, 15 January 2010, pages 16-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1302</sup> Letter Browne to Brown, 2 November 2007, [untitled].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1303</sup> Report FCO, DFID, MOD and Stabilisation Unit, December 2007, 'UK Strategy for Security and Justice Sector Reform (SJSR) in Iraq 2008-09'.

**1423.** The paper identified three "sub-programmes":

- Supporting the MOI and IPS with training and development programmes.
   £12.98m was available from the Stabilisation Aid Fund (SAF) and £8m from the Peacekeeping budget.
- Supporting the Iraqi judiciary and wider justice system the paper did not explain how that would be done other than stating it would "support" its various components and build Basra's professional links in the Middle East. £3.18m was available from the SAF.
- Supporting the IMOD by building a professional cadre of IMOD civil servants through mentoring. Resources to be delivered from the MOD's administrative budget.

# Withdrawal and Provincial Iraqi Control for Basra

**1424.** On 8 October 2007, Mr Brown announced plans for a significant troop drawdown over the next 12 months (dealt with in Section 9.6). He described the need for two remaining phases:

"In the first, the British forces that remain in Iraq will have the following tasks: training and mentoring the Iraqi Army and police force; securing supply routes and policing the Iran-Iraq border; and the ability to come to the assistance of the Iraqi Security Forces when called upon. Then in the spring of next year – and guided as always by the advice of our military commanders – we plan to move to a second stage of overwatch where the coalition would maintain a more limited re-intervention capacity and where the main focus will be on training and mentoring."

**1425.** On 9 October, Lt Gen Houghton briefed the Chiefs of Staff that the plan for 14th Division would see "initial operating capability" by December 2007 with their training being complete by June 2008. He also informed them of Gen Mohan's intention to relocate the Basra Operations Centre to Basra Air Station, a move that would "benefit MND(SE) in terms of improved opportunities for key leader engagement, better situational awareness and senior officer mentoring". <sup>1305</sup>

**1426.** ACM Stirrup visited Iraq from 26 to 29 October. A note on his visit stated that Maj Gen Binns was generally positive about the ISF but doubted it would have the ability to counter JAM if the current cease-fire broke (see Section 9.6). The Deputy Brigade Commander of 1 Mechanised Brigade told ACM Stirrup that Basra was experiencing an increase in criminality in the wake of MNF withdrawal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1304</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 8 October 2007, column 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1305</sup> Minutes, 9 October 2007, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1306</sup> Minute Kyd to PS/SofS [MOD], 29 October 2007, 'CDS Visit to Iraq 26-29 Oct 07'.

- **1427.** ACM Stirrup met the Commanding Officer of the Monitoring, Mentoring and Training Battlegroup who assured him that 14th Division were on track to be ready by June 2008. The Chief of Police Training Adviser told him that Maj Gen Jalil was "proving very dynamic, robust and effective, particularly in fighting the MOI's reluctance to root out militia influences" but that only 48 percent of Basra police had been trained.
- **1428.** On 8 October, Lt Gen Odierno had advised Gen Petraeus that he recommended Basra for PIC in December. He had been encouraged by the positive impact that Gen Mohan and Maj Gen Jalil were having but remained concerned by reports of militia influence within the Basra ISF. Maj Gen Binns commented: "Not a ringing endorsement, but a positive step and an endorsement we've been trying to achieve since April this year."
- **1429.** Basra transitioned to PIC on 16 December 2007 (described in Section 9.6).
- **1430.** When asked about the capability of the ISF in December 2007 during his evidence to the Inquiry, Maj Gen Binns said:
  - "... they had weaknesses ... they were well trained, as individuals, but their leadership was not experienced, they were capable of conducting tactical, low-level operations, but their ability to conduct manoeuvre, to sustain themselves logistically, was a challenge to them.
  - "But I thought they wouldn't get better until they were given responsibility ... it was a bit like taking the stabilisers off a child's bike. They were going to wobble for a while and I was there to make sure they didn't fall over." 1308
- **1431.** On the police's capability at that time, Maj Gen Binns said:
  - "The police were a mixed bag. At their worst, they were trouble. They had been infiltrated and they were a constraint on progress.
  - "At their best, and there were some very good police units ... they were good, they were effective. The national police units, who came from Baghdad, were highly effective and something that the Iraqis were particularly proud of."
- **1432.** On 20 December, the JIC assessed:

"Prospects in Basra will depend on ISF willingness and ability to take on Shia militias or reach and maintain an accommodation with them and on the ability of local political leaders to broker deals which restrain political violence. All are uncertain at this stage. The loss of either General Mohan or Jalil would remove a stabilising influence." 1309

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1307</sup> Minute Binns to CJO, 11 October 2007, 'GOC HQ MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 11 October 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1308</sup> Public hearing, 15 January 2010, pages 25-26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1309</sup> JIC Assessment, 20 December 2007, 'Iraqi Security Forces: Two Steps Forward'.

**1433.** On the 14th Division, the JIC judged:

"The replacement of an Iraqi Army brigade in Basra with the new 14th Army Division (still 50 percent undermanned) and the deployment of an NP [National Police] battalion and a mechanised infantry unit have raised the ISF profile in Basra from 30,000 in June to 33,500 in December. MNF expect ISF to reach a full strength of 36,500 in June 2008. Largely manned from outside Basra, these forces are probably less influenced by local tribal and political ties or militia infiltration than those recruited locally. The vast majority of JAM continues to observe a cease-fire with MNF in Basra and have not challenged ISF for local control – although [...] they think they could successfully do so."

- **1434.** On 8 January 2008, AM Peach told the Chiefs of Staff that Gen Mohan had created a "security equilibrium" in Basra, using a "carrot and stick" approach, but that recent concessions and reassurances by him to JAM "demonstrated the precarious nature of the balance of power in Basra". <sup>1310</sup>
- **1435.** Gen Mohan visited the UK in January. <sup>1311</sup> He gave an "upbeat" description of security, stating that it was up to the British if they wanted to leave but that he needed to be left with "real military capability" to outface JAM and Iranian-backed militias. He asked for UK assistance in building intelligence capabilities.
- **1436.** IDF attacks on UK forces at Basra Air Station began to rise again in 2008 (see Section 9.6). On 21 February, Major General Barney White-Spunner, who had just succeeded Maj Gen Binns as GOC MND(SE), commented:

"General Mohan is fully aware (as are we) that his ability to further strengthen his control of Basra City is limited as the ISF cannot match JAM in their urban heartlands, though he is deploying 14th Division into the city as soon as he can. Our efforts to develop the ISF capability to interdict smuggling of lethal aid as well as strenuous efforts to develop their urban warfare skills may enable Mohan to have the desired effect in the future, but for now there is not very much he can do." 1312

- **1437.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen White-Spunner recalled that the first "increasingly obvious" point on his arrival was that:
  - "... we needed to redirect our training of the ISF and we needed to ... dedicate more of a mission to ... develop 14 Division." 1313
- **1438.** Lt Gen White-Spunner said that Gen Mohan had asked for "offensive support":

"By this we mean those weapons systems ... which support infantry rather than being infantry themselves, particularly the ability to target air and helicopters, intelligence and surveillance assistance, assistance with command and control and logistics."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1310</sup> Minutes, 8 January 2008, Chiefs of Staff meeting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1311</sup> Minute Binns to CJO, 24 January 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly Letter – 24 January 2008'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1312</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 21 February 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly Letter – 21 February 2008'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1313</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, pages 5-6.

**1439.** On 23 February, Mr Prentice discussed the security situation in Basra with Dr Rubaie, who confirmed that an order replacing Gen Mohan had been signed by Prime Minister Maliki based on a report that a "non-interference pact" had been signed with JAM. <sup>1314</sup> Mr Prentice commented that the UK had some understanding of the balance that Gen Mohan and Maj Gen Jalil had to strike in handling the militias and that "It was probably wise not to seek a confrontation with JAM, while the army and police were still building their strength." Dr Rubaie observed that there was a difference between calming the situation and fearing to confront it.

**1440.** On 27 February, the JIC assessed security prospects in the South:

"The Iraqi security forces (ISF) ability and willingness to maintain security in the South remains patchy and dependent on MNF training, logistic and specialist air support. Radical improvements in police effectiveness are unlikely. The army will remain at the forefront in providing security, relying on assistance from units outside the South to cope with serious and sustained violence there. The Iraqis would only call for MNF troop re-intervention as a last resort.

"Violent criminality, murders, kidnappings, score-settling and intimidation will remain part of life in southern Iraq. Pressure from national Shia political and religious leaders, reinforced by some local political leaders and security officials, may limit the scope of unrest. But local ISF action, accommodations between the ISF and elements of JAM, and the perception of MNF willingness to intervene, will also remain crucial tools for managing instability." 1315

**1441.** The JIC reported that, although reliable data for attacks against non-MNF targets was lacking, in Basra City alone there had been about 80 murders and 40 kidnappings. Accounts in the media suggested that Shia militia were increasingly punishing and sometimes killing women for "contravening strict interpretations of Islamic mores". Around 10 women were reportedly murdered each month in Basra City.

**1442.** Mr Brown and Mr Browne had breakfast with the Chiefs of Staff on 6 March. The Chiefs told them that "there was quality in the ISF but it was not broadening as rapidly as hoped, so training and mentoring of 14Div remained a vital job".

# **Charge of the Knights**

**1443.** In late March, Prime Minister Maliki launched a security operation in Basra, code-named *Sawlat al-Fursan* (Arabic for "Charge of the Knights"). The operation had wide-ranging effects on the UK's position and standing in Iraq and is described in detail in Section 9.6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1314</sup> Email Prentice to Betts, 24 February 2008, 'Meeting with National Security Adviser Rubaie, 23 February'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1315</sup> JIC Assessment, 27 February 2008, 'Iraq: Security Prospects in the South'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1316</sup> Letter Fletcher to Rimmer, 6 March 2008, 'Prime Minister's Breakfast with Chiefs of Staff, 6 March'.

**1444.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen White-Spunner described the lack of a strategy at the start of the Charge of the Knights:

"To start with, there wasn't one, because, of course, the detailed planning hadn't been done. That's why the first few days were very anxious ... – particularly for General Mohan – because there was really no plan for his existing troops – 14 Div, and the police ... to work to." <sup>1317</sup>

**1445.** Lt Gen White-Spunner described how Gen Mohan was given a planning team and a strategy was developed:

"... General Mohan and I drew up this plan which saw an Iraqi lead with us in support rather than the other way on, as in SINBAD ... the overall plan, which was actually very similar to SINBAD in concept, it was a clearance of Basra and the whole province by phases ..."1318

#### **1446.** Lt Gen White-Spunner told the Inquiry:

"One of those things we did in the first days of Charge of the Knights was bring forward what we had wanted to do, what we had realised we had to do in February, which was to put teams in with the MiTTs ... with the Iraqi formations to whom we were responsible ..."

**1447.** Lt Gen White-Spunner explained how that was a "major change" as the UK approach to MiTTs was brought closer to the US approach:

"Ultimately, we looked at what they [the US] were doing and certainly it was very influential. Our construct was slightly different ... the point was that we reversed what had been British policy up until then, which wasn't to do this, and had very strong and immediate support – I put a submission in to the Ministry of Defence I think on 1 April and had authority the next day to do this. So I thought that showed great sort of flexibility and ability to adapt." 1320

#### **1448.** Lt Gen White-Spunner later added:

"It became rapidly clear to us that the nature of support that the Iraqi Security Forces wanted had changed with Charge of the Knights ...

"Charge of the Knights meant we had to adjust very rapidly and untidily, but we did it ..." 1321

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1317</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, page 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1318</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, page 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1319</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, page 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1320</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, pages 31-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1321</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, page 39.

- **1449.** On 29 March, the Director of Joint Commitments reported to Mr Browne's Assistant Private Secretary that he considered "little tangible success has been achieved by either side, and sustained conflict looks set to continue". 1322
- **1450.** An eGram on the same day stated that the Iraqi media were reporting that over a hundred police officers had been sacked in Basra, apparently for losing their weapons and/or abandoning their posts during the recent clashes.<sup>1323</sup>
- **1451.** On 31 March, it was reported that questions had been asked at the MCNS meeting that day about the reports of police desertions in Baghdad and allegations of poor co-operation between the police and army.<sup>1324</sup> The representative of the MOI told the Council that "only 10 percent of the national Police had proven ineffective" and that more than 400 police had been dismissed in Basra in recent days for "disloyalty".
- **1452.** On 14 April, the CIG assessed ISF performance in MND(SE) during Charge of the Knights. <sup>1325</sup> It referred back to the JIC's Assessment on 27 February, reporting that the JIC had correctly predicted that:
  - The ISF would rely on MNF support "... on their own, the ISF underperformed against JAM in Basra, Maysan and Dhi Qar during recent operations. In Basra they relied heavily on MNF supplies (i.e. ammunition and rations), air strikes and eventually MNF mentoring. [...] Military reporting suggested little sign of a detailed operational plan or evidence of precision targeting of JAM Special Groups or other hard-line elements until the arrival of MNF training teams from 1 April."
  - The influence of Shia militias would hinder radical improvement in the effectiveness of Basra's police "Basra's Chief of Police reportedly believes that hundreds of local police melted away within the first 24 hours of fighting others joined JAM's ranks. Reporting that several police stations and dozens of police vehicles were abandoned in the face of militia intimidation supports this. Many of the National Police units drafted in from Baghdad, with superior arms and armour, fared much better. The affiliation of many to ISCI [Islamic Supreme Council in Iraq]/Badr probably strengthened their resolve to try and weaken their chief rival [JAM]."
  - The 10th and 14th Divisions of the IA would require assistance from outside the South to cope with serious and sustained violence – "... even with reinforcements from Baghdad's 1st Division, military reporting suggests that the Iraqi Army lost most tactical engagements against JAM and failed to take any ground prior to JAM's stand down on 31st March. However, neither did they cede ground and specific successes, such as taking charge of the strategically

<sup>1322</sup> Minute DJC to SofS/APS4 [MOD], 29 March 2008, 'Basra: MOD Update'.

<sup>1323</sup> eGram 11975/08 Basra to FCO London, 29 March 2008, 'Basra – Update – 29 March'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1324</sup> eGram 12023/08 Baghdad to FCO London, 31 March 2008, 'Iraq: Baghdad: Security and Political Update, Sunday 30 March'.

<sup>1325</sup> CIG Assessment, 14 April 2008, 'Iraqi Security Forces Performance in MND(SE)'.

important ports at Umm Qasr and Khor al-Zubayr and expelling the militia ridden Facilities Protection Service has emboldened them. Iraqi Special Forces were ineffective until their US mentors were re-inserted. In Dhi Qar's capital Nasiriyah, JAM reportedly made some territorial gains over the ISF before their stand down, while in Maysan, ISF opted not to confront JAM (recognising they would not be able to defeat them and leaving them in control of Al-Amara for the second time in two months)."

### 1453. Assessing the ISF's future, the CIG stated:

"Despite their underwhelming performance, we assess that JAM's stand down, leaving ISF holding the field, has increased the latter's credibility among most Basrawis and imbued them with greater confidence. Despite the continued likelihood that Generals Mohan and Jalil will be moved on, diplomatic reporting suggests that the MOI may at least continue some of their security reforms: it has already sacked 1,000 militia affiliated members of the police. However, many will almost certainly re-surface within the system. Others, unless directed towards viable alternative employment, may replenish JAM's ranks."

**1454.** On 14 May, the JIC stated in an Assessment that, as a result of the Charge of the Knights, "public confidence in the ISF has grown". However, "Strong JAM resistance in the initial phases of the Charge exposed enduring weaknesses in the largely untested local ISF: inadequate planning, confused command and control structures, feeble logistics and split loyalties ... Basra's police were particularly ineffective."

### 1455. The JIC continued:

"Though the ISF overall are improving, the Iraqi Government has recognised that radical changes are needed to upgrade Basra's security forces – particularly the police. The Ministry of Interior plans to fire 6,000 security personnel for deserting their positions ... implementing [changes] effectively will be tricky: militiamen dismissed from the army or police often find employment elsewhere in the ISF ..."

**1456.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen White-Spunner reflected on police performance during the Charge of the Knights, and the different approach taken afterwards:

"I don't think the police came out of Charge of the Knights very well, if I'm being honest ... we do need to look at how we trained the police prior to that. I think we may have erred on the side of training the police in what I would call sort of UK/Home Counties policing, whereas actually what was probably wanted was something slightly more robust ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1326</sup> JIC Assessment, 14 May 2008, 'Iraq: the Charge of the Knights'.

"What happened during the Charge of the Knights was actually a lot of the police collapsed effectively and it took a lot of time to rebuild them and we tried to rebuild them on a different model, on more what I would call ... a paramilitary basis, so that they could fire weapons, defend themselves and restore order as much as they could take fingerprints and gather evidence." 1327

**1457.** Lt Gen White-Spunner commented on the joint working between the army and the police:

"It was because the police were felt to be less reliable than the Iraqi Army. The Iraqi Army has always been to the fore in Iraq ... and there was a feeling that the police would be morally and physically strengthened by having the Iraqi Army posted alongside them." 1328

**1458.** When asked whether the police fought against the ISF during the Charge of the Knights, Lt Gen White-Spunner responded:

"A few, very few. Some units did very well. I certainly wouldn't want to be overcritical of the force as a whole ... some of his [Maj Gen Jalil's] units did very well, but a lot just put their weapons down and melted away. Some were infiltrated by JAM."1329

**1459.** On 8 July, an eGram from the British Embassy Office Basra reported that the operational phase of Charge of the Knights had ended. Intelligence-led strike operations continued around Basra, leading to the arrest of the second in command for the team that attacked the Contingency Operating Base on 8 May and 8 June. The ISF considered their operation in Maysan a success: the Chairman, two Council members, and the former Chief of Police were arrested for supporting militia and criminal activities. They tried to arrest the Governor of Maysan but he had already fled.

**1460.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen Shirreff described how 14th Division was able to tackle JAM in the South during the Charge of the Knights, unlike the locally recruited 10th Division:

"There was no way they were prepared to really get stuck in and fight against the Jaysh Al Mahdi, for understandable reasons, and I think it was only when Iraqi troops from outside the Shia south came in that you were able to really begin the process." 1331

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1327</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, pages 44-45.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1328</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, page 45.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1329</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, page 46.

<sup>1330</sup> eGram 26653/08 Basra to FCO, 8 July 2008, 'Basra: Weekly Update'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1331</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, page 44.

**1461.** Maj Gen Shaw also reflected on the Charge of the Knights in his evidence to the Inquiry:

"Charge of the Knights actually was a great success, in terms of the establishment of ... Iraqi self-rule, because, finally, it was a decisive blow by Maliki declaring some elements of the Shia polity out of bounds." 1332

## Iraqi Navy progress

In April 2008, the Iraqi Navy grew and took on additional responsibilities:

- Around 500 Iraqi Army personnel were transferred to the Iraqi Marines to form a second battalion.<sup>1333</sup> One battalion provided defence of the offshore oil platforms and the second protected the port of Umm Qasr.
- The Iraqi Navy took responsibility for the point defence of the Khwar al Amaya
   Oil terminal and perimeter security for the port and power station in az-Zubayr.

By that stage the Navy personnel total had increased to over 1,800.

As the UK had failed to negotiate an MOU covering the continued presence of Royal Navy trainers, the 80 Royal Navy personnel temporarily departed Iraq along with other UK forces in July 2009. 1334 A UK-Iraq Training and Maritime Support Agreement was signed in November 2009 and the trainers returned. They worked alongside around 50 US Navy, Marine and Coastquard personnel.

The Royal Navy team remained in Iraq until 22 May 2011 when the agreement expired. Between 2003 and 2011, the UK trained 1,800 Iraqi Navy personnel, providing between 50 and 90 Royal Navy personnel for the task. Dr Liam Fox, the Defence Secretary, stated:

"Royal Navy personnel have used their formidable skills and expertise to bring about a transformation in Iraq's naval force. The Iraqi Navy has a key role to play in protecting Iraq's territorial waters and the oil infrastructure that is so vital to Iraq's economy, and I am proud of the role British forces have played in making it capable of doing that job."

The Naval training mission continued until May 2011 when 81 Navy trainers and three UK personnel in Baghdad withdrew and Op TELIC formally ended. 1336

# Basra 'Sons of Iraq' programme

**1462.** On 1 April 2008, Prime Minister Maliki announced that he was going to supplement the ISF with 10,000 Basra citizens as Sons of Iraq (as described earlier in this Section). Maj Gen White-Spunner reported:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1332</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, page 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1333</sup> Report to Congress, 13 June 2008, *Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1334</sup> Report to Congress, 29 January 2010, Measuring Stability and Security in Iraq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1335</sup> Press Release MOD, 18 May 2011, 'Operations in Iraq Finish with the Completion of Royal Navy Training Mission'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1336</sup> GOV.UK, 18 May 2011, Operations in Iraq Finish with Completion of Royal Navy Training Mission.

<sup>1337</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 3 March [sic] 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly letter – 3 April 2008'.

"In effect, he has engaged with the local tribes and established a 'Sons of Iraq' programme. The maturity of this programme seems similar to that for his overall Basra initiative; limited. A combined MNF-I and MNC-I team has begun to work on possible recommendations for implementation, but clearly this must be a Gol programme and they may not want any coalition support. A sufficiently robust governance structure will be required to prevent this group turning into another armed militia and a considered approach is needed to prevent them becoming a new target set for JAM. Whilst the establishment of such a programme in MND(SE) is something that the UK has sought to avoid and which we continue to oppose, our voice carries little weight and there is little that we can and ought to do other than support the MNC-I in developing recommendations."

#### **1463.** On 14 April, the CIG reported:

"Intelligence shows that despite the Government of Iraq's previously strong objections to tribal awakenings in the South, their proven ability to act as force multipliers for the ISF in Basra and a counter-balance to JAM is going to be one of the key products of the recent conflict. Intelligence suggests that 500 have already been recruited and that Maliki has tasked local tribal leaders to hand pick others. Diplomatic reports suggest that as many as 25,000 have been asked for. However, this additional dynamic to Basra's security landscape is not without risk. Inter-tribal conflict may result in places: reporting suggests that JAM already has plans to eradicate them." 1338

**1464.** On 8 July, an eGram from the British Embassy Office Basra suggested that the number recruited had risen to 7,000, when reporting that the Sons of Iraq might return to "the streets of Basra" because the MOI had not paid them. <sup>1339</sup> General Adel had asked for outstanding salaries to be paid immediately. He also requested "not to be sent any more police officers as he ha[d] enough".

## The UK starts embedding troops with the Iraqi Army

**1465.** On 2 April, a junior official in PJHQ wrote to Mr Browne, advising him that MND(SE) was intending to embed MiTTs within 14th Division to strengthen "some of the key vulnerabilities that ha[d] been demonstrated during recent operations". <sup>1340</sup> It would be "in a manner akin to the Operational Mentoring Liaison Team (OMLT) concept successfully used in Afghanistan". The US had "made it clear that they would welcome" the move. The official stated:

"It is true to say that most armies around the world would have struggled in the circumstances faced by 14 Div over the last two weeks. We should not therefore rush to criticise what we were already aware was still some way from a properly

\_

<sup>1338</sup> CIG Assessment, 14 April 2008, 'Iragi Security Forces Performance in MND(SE)'.

<sup>1339</sup> eGram 26653/08 Basra to FCO, 8 July 2008, 'Basra: Weekly Update'.

Minute PJHQ [junior official] to PS/SofS [MOD], 2 April 2008, 'Op TELIC: Enhancing UK Operational Support to the Iraqi Army'.

trained Division. Our main focus will be to help resolve the following concerns: a lack of situational awareness; a lack of clear command and control; poor planning; and an inability to co-ordinate effectively with coalition assets and experience, in particular with regards to calling on coalition forces for fire support and in extremis extraction when these can only be delivered within coalition rules of engagement."

**1466.** On presentation, the official wrote that there were "many potential positives" but it would be likely to raise questions about whether the UK handed Basra over to PIC too early "and whether we have acted too late and only under pressure".

**1467.** The total number of military personnel involved was 150.

**1468.** In Mr Browne's absence, Mr Adam Ingram, the Minister for Armed Forces, considered the advice on 3 April. Mr Ingram noted the advice and "emphasised the need to ensure that personnel are clearly briefed on the red lines beyond which they must not operate and the action to be taken in the event such lines are crossed". He "also asked that any evidence of behaviour by Iraqi forces with which UK personnel had concerns be reported rapidly to Ministers".

**1469.** A note for Mr Browne was written on Mr Ingram's response by Mr Browne's Private Secretary the same day. He reported that MiTTs were now deployed in Basra. He wrote:

"... It wasn't so long ago that MiTTs/OMLTs [Operational Mentoring Liaison Teams] in Iraq were thought to be a bad idea, but then, the situation has definitely changed in the last week or so ...

"Everyone appears to be content that the legal position is robust, but there are clearly presentational risks in being seen to be drawn into town, while Warrior back in Basra will not go unnoticed. It means 58 additional people will be deployed but they're temporary and will be invisible – we're still at 'around 4,000' established posts."

**1470.** Maj Gen White-Spunner reported on 3 April that US MiTTs and the "accompanying military resources they bring" were having a "significant supporting impact" to those Iraqi forces.<sup>1343</sup>

**1471.** On 4 April, Mr Jon Day, MOD Director General Operational Policy, advised Ms Aldred that there were over 400 US troops embedded with the Iraqi reinforcements sent to Basra in support of Charge of the Knights.<sup>1344</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1341</sup> Minute PS/Min(AF) to PJHQ [junior official], 3 April 2008, 'Op TELIC: Enhancing UK Operational Support to the Iraqi Army'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1342</sup> Manuscript comment PS/SofS [MOD] on Minute PS/Min(AF) to PJHQ [junior official], 3 April 2008, 'Op TELIC: Enhancing UK Operational Support to the Iragi Army'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1343</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 3 April 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly Letter – 3 April 2008'.

<sup>1344</sup> Letter Day to Aldred, 4 April 2008, 'Military Plans for Basra'.

**1472.** On 17 April, Maj Gen White-Spunner reported that the UK MiTT concept was continuing to evolve but was adding "real value to current operations". 1345

## Iraqi appointments

On 17 April 2008, Maj Gen White-Spunner wrote that the senior Iraqi personnel responsible for security in Basra were to be replaced:

- Gen Mohan, Basra Operations Commander, was replaced by General Mohammed (the former Commander 14th Division);
- Maj Gen Jalil, Provincial Director of Police, was replaced by General Adel (a former police commander in Baghdad); and
- General Abdul Aziz became Commander 14th Division. 1346

**1473.** In May, Maj Gen White-Spunner's weekly reports highlighted a number of resource issues:

- There were no "suitable" armoured vehicles available for the UK MiTTs; the choice being either Mastiff which was too large or Bulldog which was tracked.<sup>1347</sup> US and Iraqi personnel used Humvees.
- "Substantial engineering work" was required to create "sustainable
  accommodation and force protection of their locations across the city". 1348 As a
  consequence there was a requirement for reinforcements to free up engineering
  squadrons who were currently undertaking guarding tasks.
- By 2 May, only two of a planned four brigades for 14th Division were operational.<sup>1349</sup> There would be a further requirement for UK MiTTs when the final two brigades came online, and delivering that requirement would require "taking risk" against the Brigade Quick Reaction Force.

**1474.** On 20 June, Maj Gen White-Spunner reported that the full UK MiTT group was in place. The Engineer Group was addressing the considerable force protection and environmental health risks that are currently being carried by MND(SE).

# The future of the Iraqi police in Basra

**1475.** On 1 April 2008, Mr Crispin Blunt suggested to Parliament that a large number of the criminal forces against which the ISF was fighting were members of the Basra police, whom the UK were responsible for training.<sup>1351</sup> Mr Browne responded:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1345</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 17 April 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly Letter – 17 April 2008'.

<sup>1346</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 17 April 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly Letter - 17 April 2008'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1347</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 2 May 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly Letter – 2 May 2008'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1348</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 8 May 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly Letter – 8 May 2008'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1349</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 2 May 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly Letter – 2 May 2008'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1350</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 20 June 2008, 'MND(SE) Weekly Letter – 20 June 2008'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1351</sup> House of Commons, *Official Report*, 1 April 2008, columns 628-642.

"The early attempts to create a police force in Iraq had exactly the results that the Hon. Gentleman describes, as criminal elements came out of the police forces and may, indeed, have deliberately gone into them in order to obtain training. Under the generalship of General Jalil ... we have dealt with that very problem during the past year or more: a significant number of police officers have been dismissed from the Iraqi police force, while others have been retrained to ensure that the situation does not occur again. We have learned significant lessons from those early days of police training, and we shall implement them in Afghanistan to ensure that we do not repeat the problem."

**1476.** On 10 April, Maj Gen White-Spunner reported that the severe problems with police officers were:

"... seen here partly as an issue of training (maybe the training teams had focused, understandably, more on civilian policing skills than military tactics) but more as a result of deep rooted corruption and lack of loyalty to the GOI."1352

**1477.** Maj Gen White-Spunner warned that there were discussions in MNC-I and the Iraqi Government over whether to disband the Basra police entirely and start again. He also reported that MNC-I and CPATT were keen on the concept of Police Transition Teams stating: "we will need to know how much appetite there is in the UK to provide these."

1478. The following week, on 17 April, Maj Gen White-Spunner wrote:

"... daily interaction with the IPS at the coalface (i.e. in their stations, of which there are over 40) would require numbers in the high 100s to be successful, plus the associated force protection. My feeling from the UK police team here is that the bill would be too big for the UK to source, and would involve accepting a degree of risk in their modus operandi which would be unwelcome. Our approach is therefore to encourage maximum CPATT involvement and consequent injection of resources, as the need to rebuild the police in Basra in the next six months remains urgent." 1353

#### The US takes over SSR tasks in the South

**1479.** Lt Gen Houghton met Gen Petraeus on 25 April. They discussed force levels and tasks for UK and US forces in MND(SE) and agreed the following division of SSR tasks:

- The UK would provide:
  - MiTTs for the Basra Operations Centre;

<sup>1352</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 10 April 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly Letter - 10 April 2008'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1353</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 17 April 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly Letter – 17 April 2008'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1354</sup> Minute Houghton to PSO/CDS, 26 April 2008, 'CJO – Gen Petraeus Meeting 25 Apr 08'.

- MiTTs of 14th Division with supporting Quick Reaction Forces; and
- the Naval Transition Team.
- The US would provide:
  - MiTTs of 10th Division;
  - border security; and
  - IPS reform/retraining.

**1480.** On 1 May, Mr Brown met Gen Petraeus and agreed that the key remaining UK task on SSR would be the preparation of 14th Division to be operational by the end of the first quarter of 2009. Once that task was complete, the UK would consider its mission complete.

**1481.** On 2 May, Mr Simon McDonald, Mr Brown's Foreign and Defence Policy Adviser, warned Mr Brown:

"We'll need to think about how we assess 14th Division's readiness; we do not want to leave this solely to the US; they may be tempted to use that responsibility to delay us." 1356

**1482.** On 5 June, the JIC Assessment of the ISF judged:

"In Basra, even with coalition mentors, the ability of 14th Army Division to fully maintain security once Jaysh al-Mahdi (JAM) fighters return is uncertain, without the continued support of reinforcements from 1st and 7th Divisions (which are likely to be called on to support other operations in Sadr City and Maysan)." 1357

**1483.** On 13 June, Maj Gen White-Spunner reported that development of 14th Division was likely to take until April 2009. He stated that, in December, there would be a complete US military police battalion in Basra which, together with the Joint Security Stations, he believed would lead to a "slow improvement in police performance".

**1484.** NSID(OD) met on 15 July and discussed the future strategy for the UK in Iraq. <sup>1359</sup> It was suggested that beyond April 2009 the "remaining military tasks" that would "resemble normal defence co-operation" were:

- continuing the "small scale" mentoring for 14th Division's Headquarters;
- training the Iragi Navy; and
- supporting officer training.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1355</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 2 May 2008, 'GOC MND(SE) Weekly Letter – 1 May 2008'.

<sup>1356</sup> Email Fletcher to Brown, 2 May 2008, 'Iraq Troop Numbers – Note from Simon'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1357</sup> JIC Assessment, 5 June 2008, 'Iraqi Security Forces: More Able, Less Challenged'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1358</sup> Minute White-Spunner to CJO, 13 June 2008, 'MND(SE) Weekly Letter – 13 June 08'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1359</sup> Minutes, 15 July 2008, NSID(OD) meeting.

**1485.** On 22 July, Mr Brown made a statement to Parliament on the future strategy for Iraq, as described in Section 9.7. <sup>1360</sup> He stated that, in response to "changing needs", the UK had now embedded more than 800 UK personnel within the Iraqi command structure. He continued:

"The focus of the 4,100 forces still in southern Iraq is now on completing the task of training and mentoring the 14th Division of the Iraqi Army in Basra ... Other remaining military tasks ... include ... continuing to develop the capacity of the Iraqi navy and marines ..."

**1486.** On 19 August, Mr Nigel Haywood, the British Consul General in Basra, wrote that the first US police training teams had deployed in Basra. Their first impression of the IPS had been "positive".

**1487.** On 26 August, Mr Haywood reported a "milestone": "the first visit downtown in civilian vehicles (albeit armoured Land Cruisers with a Mastiff escort), and also the first visit to the Governor's office, for nearly two years". <sup>1362</sup> Mr Haywood wrote that that showed the UK's confidence in the ISF, although acknowledged "we will be able to demonstrate greater confidence, when we are able to travel in civilian vehicles without a UK military escort".

**1488.** Mr Haywood reported that police training continued and had enabled the IPS to produce a "Policing Plan for 09/10". Forensic training also continued and a two-week residential course had begun "introducing experienced IPS trainers to leadership development to help them run new courses" later in 2008.

**1489.** Mr Haywood also said that the contract to build the new Basra Central Prison had been signed "after weeks of delay". It was due for completion in a year.

**1490.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Mr Haywood described policing support at that time as "community policing, use of forensic evidence, building up forensic laboratories". <sup>1363</sup> He said:

"Arguably, those weren't what was immediately needed in the period post-Charge of the Knights, but it laid the groundwork for now what is becoming an increasingly good policing system. If that hadn't happened, then there would have been nothing to build on."

**1491.** In a video conference on 11 September, Mr Brown told President Bush that training of Iraqi forces in Basra was "going well" and that the UK would "finish the job". 1364

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1360</sup> House of Commons, Official Report, 22 July 2008, columns 660-679.

<sup>1361</sup> eGram 32273/08 Basra to FCO, 19 August 2008, 'Basra: Weekly Report - 19 August'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1362</sup> eGram 33105/08 Basra to FCO, 26 August 2008, 'Basra: Weekly Report – 26 August'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1363</sup> Public hearing, 7 January 2010, page 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1364</sup> Letter Catsaras to Gould, 11 September 2008, 'Prime Minister's VTC with US President, 11 September'.

#### **1492.** On 18 September, a JIC Assessment stated:

"Locally raised army units in the South will continue to need coalition mentors and to operate alongside more experienced Iragi forces to manage security for the rest of this year. By early 2009, provided JAM remains quiescent, they will be able to cope with only limited MNF mentoring ... In the unlikely event of a widespread return to violence we would expect local units to call for reinforcement by more experienced Iraqi forces in the first instance. But they might ultimately still need to call on MNF for specialist assistance."1365

#### **1493.** On police effectiveness, the JIC Assessment stated:

"Interior Minister Boulani has taken steps to address police ineffectiveness. However, despite an increase in MNF mentors and better vetting of police recruits, we expect militia loyalty and corruption in the local police to remain serious problems [...] The Army will have to retain overall responsibility for security for at least the next few years."

**1494.** Mr John Hutton, the Defence Secretary, visited Iraq in October. <sup>1366</sup> In a letter to Mr Brown, he wrote:

"The Iraqi Security Forces (ISF), the Army in particular, are looking confident and capable. Their show of force in the areas which I visited, where they took the lead in providing my security, was genuinely impressive. There is no doubt that Basra itself has been transformed and the ISF now have complete freedom of movement throughout the city. While they do not yet have all the capabilities that we would like to see in a fully-formed Division, and there is important work still to do, we will soon have reached the point where we can say with confidence that we have fulfilled our training mission for 14 Division ..."

**1495.** On 16 December, the British Embassy Office Basra reported that the US military police teams and IPAs had almost reached full deployment. 1367 That was followed by the deployment of US Border Transition Teams and Port of Entry Transition Teams in January. 1368

1496. The UK police mission continued to deliver training in community-based policing and forensics throughout 2009. 1369 A review of UK support to the IPS was undertaken in November 2009 and recommended that the programme be closed at the end of the financial year. The police mission in Basra was commended as a "politically useful" extension of the Consulate staff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1365</sup> JIC Assessment, 18 September 2008, 'Irag: Security in the South'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1366</sup> Letter Hutton to Brown, 23 October 2008, [untitled].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1367</sup> eGram 49767/08 Basra to FCO, 16 December 2008, 'Iraq: Basra Weekly Update – 16 December'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1368</sup> Report Salmon, 15 May 2009, 'COMUKAMPHIBFOR OP TELIC 12/13 (HQ MND(SE) Post Operational Report (POR)'.

<sup>1369</sup> Paper Stabilisation Unit [junior official] and Howlett-Bolton, 27 November 2009, 'Review of the support to the Ministry of Interior and Iraqi Police Service Programme'.

## **Training of 14th Division completed**

**1497.** On 27 March 2009, a junior official informed Mr Brown that 14th Division was considered to be effective and that all UK mentors and trainers had been withdrawn. 1370

**1498.** In his post-operation tour report on 15 May, Major General Andrew Salmon, GOC MND(SE) from August 2008 until March 2009, assessed:

"There was considerable adaptation seen from our MiTTs who, given the circumstances, did a great job. But this is a specialist game where maturity, linguistic and teach, coach and mentor skills are required. A great MiTT made a huge difference and enabled civil capacity; poor ones made little progress. Much of this is down to training and selection, like the USMC [US Marine Corps] who provide the benchmark. Our soldiers and officers also tend to approach their tasks through the lens of the enemy as opposed to the lens of the people. We have much to learn from our American colleagues ... It was noticeable that US MiTTs were much better prepared than ours." 1371

**1499.** Maj Gen Salmon wrote that the decision to enable some UK MiTT elements to travel in Iraqi Army vehicles "was a defining decision – pivotal in establishing trust and building meaningful relationships at the tactical level". There was "no doubt that the (accurate or otherwise) US tactical perception of UK casualty aversion ha[d] been a negative factor in coalition cohesion over the past 12 months":

"Basra has arguably progressed from anarchy to democracy in 12 months. The militias have been defeated and residual insurgent activity is limited and cellular in nature. There is widespread recognition of the Rule of Law, with judicial processes being developed to meet the need of a democratic society. To all intents, 14th Division has met the MNSTC-I mandated requirement of ORA 2 [Operational Readiness Assessment level 2 – see Box, Provincial Iraqi Control'], under British tutelage. In terms of wider Basrawi security, policing and border security have improved considerably. Collectively, the ISF conducts intelligence-led coordinated operations supported by an integral IO capability. The harmonisation of the ISF requires further work but has improved markedly ... UK Defence can withdraw from Iraq having delivered on its promises and with its professional reputation intact."

# SSR in Maysan province

**1500.** Mr Tansley provided some background about Maysan province in his 6 December 2005 eGram:

"Maysan has long had the reputation for being lawless and separate from the rest of Iraq. During Saddam Hussein's time, more than 20,000 soldiers were permanently

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1370</sup> Minute Cabinet Office [junior official] to Prime Minister, 27 March 2009, 'Iraq: Update'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1371</sup> Report Salmon, 15 May 2009, 'COMUKAMPHIBFOR OP TELIC 12/13 (HQ MND(SE)) Post Operational Report (POR)'.

deployed in the province in an attempt to subdue it. The locals claim that they, rather than coalition forces 'liberated' Maysan in 2003, and this helped explain the higher levels of hostility to MND(SE) than elsewhere in the region." <sup>1372</sup>

**1501.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Lt Gen Shirreff said:

"Maysan had always been a very difficult province. There was effectively no security at all where MNF were concerned." 1373

**1502.** On 9 July 2004, Commander Kevin Hurley, UK Senior Police Adviser Iraq (South), reported that the Governor of Maysan province, Governor Riyadh, had been "implicated" in the shooting of the local Chief of Police. 1374 Cdr Hurley wrote that the Governor's continued tenure was "a potential challenge to not only the development of a wholesome policing ethic but also that of the wider democratic process".

**1503.** On 24 July, Mr Collis reported that Maysan province was fragile and stuck in "political stagnation". Although Prime Minister Allawi had suspended Governor Riyadh, the Governor refused to acknowledge it and continued in his role. Mr Collis attributed the "bad" economic situation to "35 years of neglect and the overnight loss of its previous principal employer, the old Iraqi Army". The deployment of police mentors was "likely to be problematic on security grounds".

**1504.** By 28 July, Mr Collis wrote that the situation had "worsened". <sup>1376</sup> A suspension letter issued from Baghdad had been sent through low-level police channels, rather than from Prime Minister Allawi, meaning Governor Riyadh either had not seen the instructions, or was ignoring them. The Governor's behaviour was causing concern for some Council members and Mr Collis predicted that, without further action, he would "continue to undermine those he sees as rivals and the scope for violence w[ould] rise".

**1505.** On 3 September, Mr Collis wrote that a cease-fire was agreed between the local Office of the Martyr Sadr (OMS) leadership, the IPS and ING on 2 September and a declaration was signed. Key points included a commitment to resolution 1564 (2004) (allowing MNF freedom of movement whilst undertaking reconstruction work and IPS training), and the IPS being given "the responsibility of upholding security".

**1506.** Mr Collis wrote that Maysan was still without an effective Governor. The Governorate Council was incapable of electing a replacement as a result of intimidation from Governor Riyadh's brother, the tribal leader Mr Abu Hatim ("Prince of the Marshes").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1372</sup> eGram 20021/05 Basra to FCO, 6 December 2005, 'Iraq: Visit to Maysan Province'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1373</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, page 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1374</sup> Report Hurley, 9 July 2004, 'Reporting to 5th July 04/Senior Police Advisor [sic] Iraq (South)'. In May 2004, the Police Chief of Majar al-Kabir was shot and killed (Fairweather J, *A War of Choice: The British in Iraq 2003-9*, Jonathan Cape, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1375</sup> Telegram 86 Basra to FCO, 24 July 2004, 'Irag: Visit to Maysan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1376</sup> Telegram 90 Basra to FCO, 28 July 2004, 'Iraq: Position of the Governor of Maysan Province'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1377</sup> Telegram 141 Basra to FCO, 3 September 2004, 'Maysan – Update'.

**1507.** On 21 September, Mr Collis reported that Governor Riyadh had been exonerated by a Baghdad court but the circumstances surrounding his exoneration were unclear. Mr Abu Maythem, Chief of Police, had taken "one look at the letter exonerating Riyadh, declared it a forgery and repeated his desire for justice to be served". While Mr Maythem agreed "the current situation was calmer than for a while", he "believed fighting would start up again soon".

**1508.** Mr Hatim looked most "likely to come out on top of this struggle", appearing to have "purged" the Council of anyone who opposed him and "worn down any opposition in Baghdad to acquitting his brother". There was "no sign" of General Rashash, Prime Minister Allawi's Security Co-ordinator, and Mr Maythem "appeared quite unaware" of his appointment.

**1509.** On 26 September, Mr Davies reported that as part of a deployment of 38 ArmorGroup contractors, three contractors were deployed to Maysan to develop criminal intelligence capability and mentor the TSU.<sup>1379</sup> Mr Davies also reported that the location of the police in Maysan had "received some rocket and mortar fire during the week".

**1510.** On 15 October, Mr Collis stated that the security situation in Maysan remained "superficially quiet" following the cease-fire, with "no serious attacks against the MNF for several weeks". The political struggle, however, continued. There had been a series of high-profile murders and the perpetrators were unknown. Governor Riyadh had used the opportunity to criticise Mr Maythem for failing to prevent the murders. The fall-out from Mr Hatim's 'purge' of the Council rumbled on. Mr Collis concluded:

"Maysan remains a sorry mess and a standing indictment of the new Iraq's (and our) failure to grip its linked problems of tribal warlordism, Iranian meddling, corruption and extremism."

**1511.** On 24 October, the Chief of Police, who was being mentored by DCC White, was murdered in al-Amara as he exited a mosque. Following that, a police committee was established, with UK and Danish support, to oversee policing, including the selection of a new Chief of Police.

**1512.** Following a visit to Maysan province on 10 February 2005, Mr Collis wrote:

"Real progress has been made in Maysan, although none of it is irreversible and we need to ensure adequate resources are in place to maintain SSR and reconstruction momentum. UK forces have turned round a difficult environment. Their security presence is robust, but welcomed by the local population ... The province looks to be an early candidate for a reduced MNF-I presence, with only a back-up role in security. Our planned increase in police mentoring is essential to maintaining

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1378</sup> Telegram 153 Basra to FCO, 21 September 2004, 'Southern Iraq: Maysan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1379</sup> Minute Davies, 26 September 2004, 'Ministry of Interior – Weekly Report Number: 46'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1380</sup> Telegram 171 Basra to FCO, 15 October 2004, 'Southern Iraq: Peace and Politics in Maysan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1381</sup> Public hearing, 21 July 2010, page 52.

momentum. There are currently very few attacks on local security forces; the small number of rejectionists continue to target MNF-I. The absence of pressure from the local population, or their leaders, for early withdrawal was striking." <sup>1382</sup>

- **1513.** On 21 February, the FCO strategy for support to policing in Iraq in 2005 noted that the GCPP had approved additional funding to ArmorGroup to allow mentoring to be undertaken in Maysan.<sup>1383</sup>
- **1514.** By April, 20 ArmorGroup contractors were deployed under the co-ordination of a UK police Chief Inspector. <sup>1384</sup> They were supported by four CPATT mentors.
- **1515.** The FCO produced an IPS Transition Plan on 7 September. <sup>1385</sup> It stated that 57 percent of the IPS in Maysan had completed basic training and 93 percent had undertaken other specialist training. The FCO judged that training was "largely on track" and "transition targets should be met if co-operation continues".
- **1516.** On 10 October, Mr Wheeler produced an update of policing in each of the four MND(SE) provinces. <sup>1386</sup> On Maysan, he said:

"The lack of co-operation by the Chief of Police has had a particularly detrimental effect on what we have been able to achieve eg many police stations have still not been visited by PAT. The security situation has constrained the work of PAT, and CPATT and the Royal Military Police have been trying to fill the gap. And IPS/militia affiliation is considerable eg there have been instances of IPS complicity in attacks on MNF forces. The Chief of Police has been unwilling to be mentored. Very recently he has withdrawn his students and instructors from the training programme, claiming that ArmorGroup are not up to the job ... Abuse of prisoners is still occurring ... The PJOC is fully equipped, but there is disagreement between the IPS, the Iraqi Army and the Governor on its role ..."

- **1517.** Mr Tansley visited Maysan from 2 to 3 December. <sup>1387</sup> He reported that the area "belie[d] its reputation" for being "lawless". It had been "relatively peaceful in recent months" with a lower number of attacks on MND(SE) than in Basra, and no attacks on the ISF since November. Despite that, Mr Tansley reported that the threat remained "relatively high". He wrote:
  - "... beneath the surface there is an underlying tension. Unlike in neighbouring Dhi Qar, the (relative) stability depends on an uneasy balance of power between the Badrists and Sadrists, rather than co-operation. The potential for the situation to deteriorate quickly remains."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1382</sup> Telegram 24, Basra to FCO, 10 February 2005, 'Iraq: Visit to Maysan Province'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1383</sup> Note FCO, 21 February 2005, 'Iraq – UK Support to Civil Policing in Iraq – 2005'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1384</sup> Report Smith, 15 May 2005, 'Next Steps on Policing – Review'.

Letter FCO [junior official] to Cabinet Office [junior official], 7 September 2005, 'Iraqi Police Service Transition Plan for Southern Iraq' attaching Paper Consulate Basra, 7 September 2005, 'Southern Iraq: Iraqi Police service – Transitional Plan'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1386</sup> Telegram 15268/05 Basra to FCO London, 10 October 2005, 'Update on Reform of the Iraqi Police Service in Southern Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1387</sup> eGram 20021/05 Basra to FCO, 6 December 2005, 'Iraq: Visit to Maysan Province'.

- **1518.** A 'Transitional Plan Update' issued from Maysan on 27 December stated that the proportion of recruits who had completed basic training had risen to 70.5 percent, in line with the 2005 training target. 1388
- **1519.** On 20 January 2006, Mr Tansley provided an update on the readiness of Maysan for handover to Iraqi control. <sup>1389</sup> It stated that the Iraqi Army was of "adequate standard" though suffered from logistical issues; the DBE was "inadequate in size (7,000)" but was "judged to be acting effectively"; and reform of the police was "going well" despite a 100 percent growth in numbers (due to an MOI employment generation initiative) and militia (mainly Badr) affiliation.
- **1520.** In his review of UK support to policing in Iraq on 31 January (described earlier in this Section), Sir Ronnie Flanagan concluded that Maysan province should "be capable of moving to Operational Overwatch at the earliest opportunity".<sup>1390</sup>
- **1521.** On 28 February, Captain Richard John Holmes and Private Lee Ellis were killed in an IED attack in a joint Snatch and Warrior vehicle convoy in al-Amara.<sup>1391</sup>
- **1522.** At a meeting between MNF representatives and the Chief of Police on 2 March, it was agreed that such attacks should not be seen as a way of prising the relationship between the ISF and MNF apart. <sup>1392</sup>
- **1523.** The Chief of Police had also reported difficulties with the Head of the OMS in Maysan who "wanted to commit acts of terror, but the Governor was trying to keep a lid on the strife". It was thought that extremist elements were "attempting to gain kudos and standing in the community" by attacking the MNF.
- **1524.** Dr Howells visited Iraq in March. An FCO briefing pack for his meeting with the Muthanna and Maysan Chiefs of Police stated that the proportion of trained police in Maysan had increased to 86 percent. Security conditions were "less favourable" than in Muthanna but a continued coalition presence could be "counter-productive". UK support for the province at this time comprised 17 ArmorGroup police advisers, one police officer and one prison adviser.
- **1525.** The UK's overall support was 95 police advisers in MND(SE) and Baghdad, 57 trainers in Jordan, six prison advisers, one justice adviser and three EU JustLex courses. The US contribution was 247 police trainers Iraq-wide, 66 JIPTC trainers, 70 prison advisers, and, justice advisers (no number given).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1388</sup> Report, 27 December 2005, 'Iraqi Police Service – Transitional Plan Update'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1389</sup> eGram 1266/06 Basra to FCO London, 20 January 2006, 'Iraq: Military Transition in Maysan and Muthanna'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1390</sup> Report Flanagan, 31 January 2006, 'An Assessment of the UK's Contribution to Security Sector Reform (Policing) in Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1391</sup> GOV.UK, 1 March 2006, Captain Richard Holmes and Private Lee Ellis killed in Iraq; BBC News, 1 March 2006, Troops in Iraq blast named.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1392</sup> Note MOD [junior officer], [undated], 'Meeting with Maysaan Chief of Police – Thursday 2nd March 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1393</sup> Minute Mortimer, March 2006, 'Briefing for the Visit of the Muthanna and Maysan Chiefs of Police to Dr Kim Howells, 6 March 2006, 17.00'.

**1526.** The FCO briefed Dr Howells on concerns about over-recruiting Iraqi police. In Muthanna, there was estimated to be three times as many officers as the sanctioned 1,960. In Maysan, staffing levels were twice the 4,000 agreed by MNF-I. Those units sat "almost entirely outside" existing training programmes for the IPS.

**1527.** On 24 August, the UK military vacated Camp Abu Naji on the outskirts of al-Amara in Maysan and handed it over to the Iraqi Army. Shortly afterwards the base was looted, with reports that the Iraqi Army at best allowed the looting but may have been directly involved.

**1528.** On 26 October, Maj Gen Shirreff reported that there was significant fighting in al-Amara:

"Al-Amara and the fighting between JAM and the IPS, has dominated events this week. Nevertheless, despite the media images of burning buildings, destroyed police vehicles and black-clad militiamen with slung RPGs [Rocket Propelled Grenades], the news is not all bad. Events were kicked off with the killing of the (Badr) Head of the Criminal Intelligence Unit by JAM, resulting in the arrest (and subsequent killing) of the brother of the leader of Amara JAM by the IPS. In response JAM attacked the Badr dominated IPS. In the ensuing street battles on 19-20 Oct around 20 people were killed, scores injured, dozens of police cars destroyed and several buildings damaged. Despite all this, the response by both the IA and the Iraqi Government gives ground for optimism." 1395

**1529.** Maj Gen Shirreff reported that the 10th Division had responded by deploying large numbers of troops rapidly and the Government had sent a delegation from Baghdad to negotiate a cease-fire. MNF assets had been used to show force and provide situational awareness. Maj Gen Shirreff reported that the incident had "tempered" assessments of Maysan's readiness for security transition.

**1530.** The cease-fire held into the following week but there were reports of police being murdered in their homes.<sup>1396</sup>

**1531.** On 8 December 2006, a junior FCO official emailed Mr Asquith to say that the MND(SE) Strategy Group had agreed Maysan should be reinstated as a candidate for transition in January 2007.<sup>1397</sup> Mr Asquith was asked to encourage Dr Rubaie to "press hard" for this at MCNS.

**1532.** On 10 December, Mr Asquith responded:

"MND(SE) have just assessed Maysan as Amber (so even the most ardent transitioners aren't pushing); the Chief of Police is refusing to step down;

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1394</sup> Minute Shirreff, 31 August 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 31 August 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1395</sup> Minute Shirreff, 26 October 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 26 October 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1396</sup> Minute Everard, 2 November 2006, 'GOC MND(SE) – Southern Iraq Update – 2 November 2006'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1397</sup> Email FCO [junior official] to Asquith, 8 December 2006, 'IPU Priorities'.

Badr/JAM (or tribes – that we don't really know which is symptomatic of the lack of clear knowledge on the ground) threaten to shape up for another bout; Iranian angle (I'll leave it at that) likely to become hot from early Jan with obvious risks for Maysan (and, indeed Basra); and there is an ESC [Emergency Security Committee] still in place ... To argue forcefully for Maysan to transition in these circumstances strikes me as a touch Nelsonian. Or did Strategy Group take all these factors into account when deciding we should get [Dr] Rubaie to 'press hard' at MCNS next week?" 1398

**1533.** On 25 January 2007, Mr Asquith reported that the MNF were unable to visit police stations in the centre of town, and relied on police to meet them on the city perimeter. 1399

**1534.** On 14 March, the British Embassy Office Basra reported that it was continuing to push that Maysan was ready for transition: "Our approach with the US – that Maysan is not perfect, but it is good enough – appears to be working."<sup>1400</sup> Prime Minister Maliki had disbanded the Emergency Security Committee on 7 January and "sacked" the Chief of Police Mr Maythem, replacing him with General Hassan.

**1535.** The Embassy stated that there was "a lot of anecdotal evidence of arms smuggling" across Maysan's border, and "regular press reports of arms smuggling and militants crossing", but no "concrete evidence". The local Chief of the DBE was "weak" and the MOI was "looking to replace him". While there had been attempts to close the border and improve infrastructure, the Iraqi Government was concerned about the potentially negative impact on the local economy. MND(SE) would continue patrolling the border after transition. The Embassy stated:

"The border issue will not be easily solved; it is a source of wealth as well as weapons."

**1536.** On 3 May, Mr Sheinwald reported to Mr Blair that ACM Stirrup saw no utility in the Maysan border-monitoring role. It was not preventing incoming arms, nor acting as a deterrent. However, ACM Stirrup did not want to "make an early move", given US sensitivities in relation to Iran.

**1537.** On 4 April, the Iraqi Government announced that Maysan would transfer to PIC on 18 April. 1402

**1538.** In his evidence to the Inquiry, Maj Gen Shaw described the reason for the decision to transfer Maysan to PIC at that time:

"What happened in Maysan was not that there was any blinding flash of new security, but, rather, that the situation in Maysan had been stable for long enough, in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1398</sup> Email Asquith to Casey, 10 December 2006, 'IPU Priorities: Maysan/Basra Port'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1399</sup> eGram 3125/07 Baghdad to FCO London, 25 January 2007, 'Iraq: Basra: Handling the US'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1400</sup> eGram 10299/07 Basra to FCO, 14 March 2007, 'Maysan: Getting to Provincial Iraqi Control'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1401</sup> Minute Sheinwald to Prime Minister, 3 May 2007, 'Irag'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1402</sup> eGram 14083/07 Baghdad to FCO London, 4 April 2007, 'Government of Iraq Announces Transition in Maysan'.

the sense that the rough coalition of JAM, or the accommodation that JAM and Badr seemed to have made there seemed to look reasonably steady and it was as good as it was ever going to get, and, therefore, the decision was made to give it PIC on the basis, not that that handed it over to the militia, but actually that it was as good as it was going to get ..."1403

**1539.** On 19 April, Mr Richard Jones, the British Consul General in Basra, reported that, at the transfer ceremony for PIC, Dr Rubaie had described the transition as "another expression of Iraqi will". 1404

**1540.** Mr Jones wrote that the handover was immediately overshadowed by an IED attack in the north-west of the province which had left two UK soldiers dead<sup>1405</sup> and one seriously injured. He concluded:

"As we have reported ... Maysan has always been a tough, lawless place. The question is whether the broad local balance can continue. It has held pretty well since the de facto withdrawal of coalition forces (apart largely from the border) and the violence last Ramadan."

**1541.** Maj Gen Binns, in his evidence to the Inquiry, recalled ongoing concerns about post-PIC border infiltration:

"[Lt Gen Odierno] ordered me to conduct operations on the border with Iran in order to disrupt the flow of what he described as lethal aid ... He was concerned about the border crossing points and he was concerned about infiltration through the marsh area in Maysan province.

"So from September onwards, we started to conduct a series of operations which became known as Operation CERTAIN SHIELD into the border area.

"I think they may have been effective as a deterrence, but we didn't find anything ..." 1406

**1542.** Maj Gen Binns went on to reflect that capacity-building was a useful area on which to focus:

"... a good way of achieving what we needed to achieve was to develop the capabilities of the Department of Border Enforcement ... So we improved our relationship with them."

# UK police officer numbers 2003 to 2009

**1543.** As with civilian personnel (described in Section 15.1), there was no single continuous record for the number of UK police officers deployed to Iraq. How numbers were recorded varied considerably in relation to:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1403</sup> Public hearing, 11 January 2010, page 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1404</sup> eGram 16055/07 Basra to FCO, 19 April 2007, 'Maysan: Under Provincial Iraqi Control'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1405</sup> Corporal Ben Leaning and Trooper Kristen Turton.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1406</sup> Public hearing, 15 January 2010, page 29.

- how a 'police officer' was defined some definitions included retired officers and MOD police officers, others did not; and
- the way in which numbers were counted some counted the posts in place regardless of whether an officer occupied it at the time, others did not.

**1544.** Table 6 contains a broad estimate of the number of UK police officers deployed to Iraq between 2003 and 2009. Because of the limitations of the source material and the variety of sources used, the numbers quoted are approximate and, in some cases, are inconsistent with other material. The explanatory notes provide the reader with helpful background information on how the numbers have been calculated. In some cases, the Inquiry has made assumptions in the figures about the continuation of posts based on preceding or subsequent evidence.

Table 7: Estimated number of police officers deployed to Iraq 2003 to 2009

Period	Estimated total			Notes
	Iraq	Iraq and Jordan	Including known ArmorGroup posts	
July 2003	<b>4</b> <sup>1407</sup>			DCC Brand in Baghdad and DCC White in Basra. DCC White was accompanied by two MOD police officers.
October 2003	10 <sup>1408</sup>			6 MOD police officers were deployed to Baghdad for various roles including helping to establish the Joint Co-ordination Cell and supporting the Baghdad Police Academy.
November 2003	10	461409		Including 36 police officers who were deployed to Jordan to conduct police training.
December 2003	341410	70		Including 24 police officers who were deployed to az-Zubayr Academy in Basra to conduct police training.
January 2004	34	1061411		An additional 36 officers were deployed to Jordan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1407</sup> Statement Brand, 29 June 2010, page 1; Statement White, 30 June 2010, page 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1408</sup> Letter Lee to Clarke, 18 February 2004, 'Deployment of MDP Officers to Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1409</sup> Letter Owen to Rycroft, 18 December 2003, 'UK Contribution to Iraqi Police Training'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1410</sup> Report ISSU [FCO], 2 March 2004, 'Security Sector Reform – UK Contribution'; Statement White, 30 June 2010, page 36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1411</sup> Annotated Agenda, 1 March 2004, Ad Hoc Group on Iraq Rehabilitation meeting; Report ISSU [FCO], 2 March 2004, 'Security Sector Reform – UK Contribution'.

Period	Estimated total			Notes
	Iraq	Iraq and Jordan	Including known ArmorGroup posts	
March 2004	431412	116		Figures based on:  2 senior officers  73 officers in Jordan  24 officers at az-Zubayr  5 PSNI officers about to deploy to Basra  11 MOD officers  1 officer in Baghdad
September 2004	431413	98	138	Figures based on:  2 senior officers  23 officers at az-Zubayr  6 officers – a combination of PSNI and MOD officers  40 IPAs ArmorGroup  12 officers in Baghdad  55 officers in Jordan
October 2004	46 <sup>1414</sup>			Comprising:  11 officers helping in the MOI 6 mentoring senior police in Basra 21 at az-Zubayr 5 at Baghdad Police Academy The Inquiry has added 2 senior officers and a staff officer to the total. It appears from subsequent documents that those posts were consistently held during this time.
November- December 2004	44 <sup>1415</sup> (only 25 confirmed – see notes)			Comprising:  14 at az-Zubayr (down from 19 but with the desire to recruit more)  11 PSNI posts  The Inquiry has added 2 senior officers, a staff officer, and 16 officers (that were based in Baghdad). It appears from subsequent documents that those posts were consistently held during this time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1412</sup> Minute Owen to ISSU [junior official], 8 March 2004, 'Iraq: Contracting of Police Monitors' attaching Minute ISSU [junior official] to Buck and PS [FCO], 3 March 2004, 'Iraq: Contracting of Police Monitors'. 
<sup>1413</sup> Statement Asquith, 11 July 2010, 'The British Contribution to the Development of Iraqi Police Capabilities, 2004-6'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1414</sup> Minute Owen to Crompton, 12 October 2004, 'Iraq: Police Service' attaching email Davies to Owen, 6 October 2004, 'The Iraqi Police Service'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1415</sup> Teleletter Hayward to Dodds, 5 November 2004, 'Southern Iraq: Civilian Police'.

Period		Estimated to	otal	Notes
	Iraq	Iraq and Jordan	Including known ArmorGroup posts	
February 2005		1041416	144	There were 86 officers training the IPS but it is not clear where they were based. In addition there were: 6 officers mentoring senior police officers. 12 officers working on specialist capabilities. 40 IPAs ArmorGroup
May 2005	<b>47</b> <sup>1417</sup>	105	More than 187	Comprising: CPA-I DCC and staff officer 11 officers in Baghdad (UK funded) 8 officers in Basra with 10 ArmorGroup officers in support. 70+ ArmorGroup in provinces 21 in az-Zubayr plus 2 ArmorGroup officers 58 in Jordan The Inquiry has added 5 officers to reflect training posts in Baghdad that appeared consistent around that time.
November 2005 (although data from 18 Oct)	<b>47</b> <sup>1418</sup>			Comprising: CPA-I DCC and staff officer 13 officers in Baghdad (+4 ArmorGroup) 5 at Baghdad Training Academy 11 officers in Basra (+5 ArmorGroup and 1 military) 16 officers at Shaibah
December 2005	351419			Comprising: CPA-I DCC and staff officer 10 officers in Baghdad (+13 ArmorGroup) 5 at Baghdad Training Academy 11 officers in Basra (+5 ArmorGroup and 1 military) 7 officers at Shaibah plus a civilian

Note FCO, 21 February 2005, 'Iraq – UK Support to Civil Policing in Iraq – 2005'.

Report Smith, 15 May 2005, 'Next Steps on Policing – Review'.

Paper Smith, 20 November 2005, 'Next Steps on Policing – Review'.

Minute Smith, 25 December 2005, 'Weekly Report'.

Period	Estimated total			Notes
	Iraq	Iraq and Jordan	Including known ArmorGroup posts	
February 2006	321420			Comprising: CPA-I DCC (staff officer post to be subsumed into another role from March) 7 officers in Baghdad (+14 ArmorGroup) 5 at Baghdad Training Academy 11 officers in Basra 7 at Shaibah
August 2006	23.51421		169.5	
January 2007 (projected)	19 <sup>1422</sup>			Comprising:  1 ACC and Staff Officer  11 officers in Basra 6 officers in Baghdad ArmorGroup numbers said to reduce to '39/40' in February.
April 2007	<b>12</b> <sup>1423</sup>		48	
July 2007	171424		49	
November 2007	<b>11</b> <sup>1425</sup>		29	
February 2008	5 <sup>1426</sup>		11	
March 2008 – April 2009	14 <sup>1427</sup>			

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1420</sup> Minute Smith, 19 February 2006, 'Weekly Report'.

<sup>1421</sup> Report Barton, August 2006, 'The window of opportunity'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1422</sup> Paper Donnan, 30 December 2006, 'Shaping the CivPol Mission – Iraq 2007'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1423</sup> Minute Colbourne, 15 April 2007, 'Bi-Weekly Report of the Chief Police Adviser in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1424</sup> Minute Colbourne, 16 July 2007, 'Bi-Weekly Report of the Chief Police Adviser in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1425</sup> Minute Colbourne, 20 November 2007, 'Bi-Weekly Report of the Chief Police Adviser in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1426</sup> Minute Colbourne, 24 February 2008, 'Bi-Weekly Report of the Chief Police Adviser in Iraq'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1427</sup> Statement Cooper, 29 June 2010, page 1.