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Introduction

Who is Tom Campbell?

How did a physicist end up writing books about consciousness?
Now And Always A Scientist

- College -- Physics and Math
- Grad -- Physicist (experimental nuclear)
- Technical Intelligence (Government)
  - Physics, EE, Electronic Systems -- computer simulation
- National Missile Defense (Contractor)
  - Technology Development
    - Sensor Systems -- Radar
    - Models and simulation
    - Software Engineering
    - Program management
  - Systems Engineering
    - Integration
    - Vulnerability
    - Risk analysis
- Consultant
  - Risk analysis
    - Physics models
    - System behavior prediction
Early years – laying the foundation

Connections to Bob Monroe

- Setting up the lab
- Learning about Altered States
- Mastering OOBE
- Doing experiments
  - Joint travel, communications, remote viewing, healing, death and dying
- Teaching others

Moving On

- Learning accelerates, research never ends
- Continuously developing the model and maintaining scientific integrity

35 years later -- the publication of My Big TOE

- An inside job
- First hand experience
- Maintain scientific integrity
Section 1

The Fundamentals of Reality
A Big Picture Scientific Model

- Little picture science: how “things” work, not why things are -- the interactive and causal behavior of the “objective” stuff

- Little picture science has little interest in the subjective
  - meaning, significance, point and purpose, right and wrong, morality, value – that is the realm of metaphysics

- Big Picture models must describe everything -- objective & subjective, physics & metaphysics, normal & paranormal – all reality frames and their source.
  - It must connect ALL the dots and fit ALL the data collected

- To be valuable, Big Picture science must provide a superset -- must provide better, more complete physics and better, more complete metaphysics
Little picture science holds the opinion that there is no larger causality, no territory, no more-fundamental structure that supports and defines physical reality.

Physical reality just is. It simply starts with a big bang out of nothing.

There is no scientific justification or even much speculation – No Hypothesis, it is not falsifiable, it is a belief – a “scientific” belief

- If you told a scientist that you believed things sometimes happen without physical causes – they would, if they felt charitable, call you a mystic.

Today I am going to explain Big Picture Science. And I will show that big picture science derives little picture science – as well as metaphysics.
Many Models have some validity

Most are not complete or fundamental.

- You experience reality – then translate that experience into a model. Know it or not – you have one – Most likely based on cultural beliefs

- Your notion of reality, my notion of reality, scientific and religious notions of reality all represent reality models.

- If you are a scientist, you get to call your beliefs assumptions.

- We describe our models with 1) the logic of interaction (math), 2) metaphors and symbols (strings, or higher self, chakras), 3) slogans (“you get what you need and deserve” or “God is love”, “we are all one”).

- Don’t confuse the math or interaction logic; or the metaphors; or the slogans used to describe reality, with reality.

- All our conceptualizations are models.
Don’t confuse the model with what is being modeled – they are logically not equivalent, and are often very different.

Less abstract: Don’t confuse the map with the territory
- No matter how detailed, the map is just a characterization of something more fundamental. Models are the same – scientists forget this fact

Can we slip behind the model of consciousness to experience fundamental reality (the source of consciousness) directly?

No, to experience the source of consciousness **objectively**, you must be outside of consciousness

You can directly experience the data but not the source of the data -- a model, metaphor, description is as close as we can get to it. We describe how it appears to us or makes us feel, not what it is.

**If** you can describe it with math or language, it is a model

We can only interpret the data we get – the data is not the source of the data – conscious intent is the source

We can only infer the source – that inference becomes a reality model.
A Historical Perspective

Albert Einstein – Unified Field Theory

- “If we think of the field as being removed, there is no ‘space’ which remains, since space does not have an independent existence.” – Albert Einstein

- “Reality is merely an illusion, albeit a very persistent one.” – Albert Einstein

- “Hence it is clear that the space of physics is not, in the last analysis, anything given in nature or independent of human thought. It is a function of our conceptual scheme [mind]. Space as conceived by Newton proved to be an illusion, although for practical purposes a very fruitful illusion – Albert Einstein
Unified Field Theory -- Conclusions

Physics: David Bohm

- “To meet the challenge before us our notions of cosmology and of the general nature of reality must have room in them to permit a consistent account of consciousness. Vice versa, our notions of consciousness must have room in them to understand what it means for its content to be ‘reality as a whole.’ The two sets of notions together should then be such as to allow for an understanding as to how consciousness and reality are related.” — David Bohm from the introduction to Wholeness and the Implicate Order

- "One has to find a possibility to avoid the continuum (together with space and time) altogether. But I have not the slightest idea what kind of elementary concepts could be used in such a theory." — Letter from Albert Einstein to David Bohm October 28, 1954
The Double Slit Experiment (What Happened)

Light is a wave:

1 Photon

OR:

Light is a particle:

1 Photon

Double slit

Photon Detector

Present

Measurement Screen
The Double Slit Experiment (The Explanation – Dual Nature)

Photon position probability cloud

1 Photon

Double slit

 Measurement

Screen

Photon Detector

OR

Measurement causes the probability wave to collapse thus forming a particle in PMR
Quantum Mechanics

Eugene Wigner

- “It will remain remarkable, in what ever way our future concepts may develop, that the very study of the external world led to the scientific conclusion that the content of the consciousness is the ultimate universal reality.” -- Eugene P. Wigner a Nobel Prize winner and one of the leading physicists of the twentieth century

Max Planck:

- “Science cannot solve the ultimate mystery of nature because, in the last analysis, we ourselves are a part of the mystery that we are trying to solve.” – Max Planck
Summary:

- Time and space being continuous is a problem.
- Physical reality is an illusion and does not exist independently – it is a mental construct.
- The relationship between physical reality and consciousness is fundamental but unknown.
  - They knew the traditional fundamental assumptions were wrong (not fundamental), but they didn’t know what to do about it.
  - Consciousness is somehow at the root of reality.
  - Reality is neither objective nor deterministic – it is statistical – based on probability.
  - Reality/mass exists only as probability until a measurement is made.
Why did Einstein, Bohm, Planck et al. get stuck? Three beliefs locked the door:

- They were looking only for continuous solutions. Reality, space and time, comes in discrete units (digital) - digital physics

- They expected physical reality to be fundamental not derivative. Objective physical reality constitutes a subset of a larger consciousness system and is a virtual digital reality

- They were looking for an objective, deterministic solution. Reality is statistical and subjective -
  - The appearance of objectivity is only an approximation.
  - Consciousness, at the core of our reality, is subjective – an individual experience
  - Consciousness is fundamentally personal
    - Must be experienced from the inside, one learns little of its fundamental nature by probing from the outside
All objects are statistical in nature

- Measurement error
  - Human
  - Measurement devices

- Quantum Mechanics: Objects exist as probability distributions until a measurement is made
  - The result of a particular measurement, is randomly sampled from the array of discrete possible states that represents the probable future object at that instant.

- Once the measurement is made, the result must:
  - Fall with the natural uncertainty of the data, object, or process
  - Maintain historical consistency
  - Abide by the rule-set that defines PMR causality
  - The last two criteria define the array of possible states
Why did Einstein and Bohm et. al. get stuck?

Al, I feel we are SO close to a whole new world of discoveries!!

Yes... like it is virtually right here in front of us...
What's the missing bit of... Information? hmm.... Reality....
It seems just beyond the grasp of my consciousness....

I dunno, David... Does R=I mean anything to you?

Nope, not a thing.

Oh well, your move.
Reality must be a first hand experience. Information is both the source and the result of experience. Experience (processed information) creates content. Content defines the being.

There is no objective reality. All reality is personal. You make your own reality and integrate that personal reality with a common environment (PMR) of shared interactive data which must be interpreted (knowledge, belief, fear, expectations, understanding, and feedback).

- We have experiences – all experience is subjective -- we can not directly share experiences – we share words, symbols, and metaphors that must be interpreted. (effective interpretation requires shared interactive experience -, e.g., PMR or culture). The appearance of “Objectivity” is often nothing more than commonality of viewpoint.

- There is no fundamental reality frame – all frames are equivalent.
Edward Fredkin – Digital Physics -- 1992
- the entire history of our universe is computable
- Reality is:
  - A computer itself.
  - Implemented on a computer (a simulation)
  - Essentially digital.
  - Essentially informational
- The computation must be in “other” outside of physical reality

Nick Bostrom – Now at Oxford
- Are You Living In A Computer Simulation? One must be true:
  - It’s impossible
  - If not impossible, then unlikely
  - If not unlikely, then Almost all entities with our general set of experiences are most likely living in a simulation

Brian Whitworth – The Physical World as a Virtual Reality
- the universe is a virtual reality created by information processing, and furthermore this concept is supported by findings of modern physics about the physical world.
Consciousness and Reality

- Consciousness is the fundamental reality
- The larger consciousness system is a digital information system
  - At the most fundamental level:
    - Consciousness is information
    - Information is bits
    - Bits are binary
  - Information is nonphysical and subjective, thus consciousness is nonphysical and subjective
    - Information is the meaning, the content, the message, not the media or code symbols (storage & transmittal)
    - To convert the code symbols into meaning (grasp the information) requires a consciousness
    - Understanding requires a subjective interpretation of the data relative to unique personal experience
Information in a digital system is represented by organized bits

Information systems have entropy
- Lower entropy implies:
  - greater level of organization, less randomness (noise)
  - More energy available to do work (greater potential to affect something else, to effect change)

Self-changing systems with a purpose evolve to be more “successful” within their environments – evolve, stasis, de-evolve

Large, complex self-changing information systems evolve by lowering their entropy

Consciousness is a self-aware, self-modifying system evolving toward lower entropy states
Attributes of Consciousness

- Consciousness is a real, finite, large, complex, self-modifying information system where stasis is unstable -- evolve or de-evolve

- Individual consciousness evolves toward lower entropy, higher quality, more spiritual states
  - Love is the nature of a low entropy consciousness

- Attributes of consciousness: sentient, self-aware, able to learn – i.e., its alive
  - Input (experience)
  - Memory
  - Processing (compare/assess experiences – self aware)
  - Purpose -- evolutionary imperative (evolve or die)
  - Self modifying – Self improving

- Identical attributes of that first living biological cell
  - So, where did that first consciousness cell come from?
The Origins of Consciousness

- Assumption 1: A form of “nonphysical” potential energy capable of changing itself. – high entropy, low function –
- Random mutation eventually leads to purposeful evolution
  - Discovered this vs. that (reality cell - bit)
  - Self modification
  - Duplicated this vs. that (patterns of this and that)
  - Discovers synergy – e.g., fractal implementations
  - Pattern - patterns of patterns (information content)
  - Sequence of patterns (time)
  - Discovers interactive content. Divides portions of its self-modifying content into multiple units to create interaction (us)
    - experience, improved learning, and entropy reduction
    - Individuals, free-will, virtual realities
- So, where did that potential energy come from?
- Many unanswerable questions – the limits of Knowledge
The causal chain of existence – systems within systems within systems

The chain is not infinite: Nothing real is infinite. Being unable to know everything does not imply that you cannot know anything.

To understand a reality frame, one must be experientially operational within it.
Two Assumptions

- To describe a super-system, a subsystem logically needs at least one assumption that falls beyond (outside of) its own causality – that is assumption 1.

  Assumption 1: A potential energy form (call it “primordial consciousness”) exists – a medium capable of self-modification [the potential for consciousness exists]

  Assumption 2: In systems with many complex potential outcomes, evolution directs and encourages change toward more profitable states of being. [Evolution exists]

- These are the only two major assumptions made in MBT
Consciousness is best modeled as a superset -- a self-modifying digital information system capable of computing virtual realities.

The larger consciousness system evolves by lowering the entropy of the system.

It lowers the entropy of the system by organizing the bits at its disposal into a more profitable configuration.

Content creation and reorganization opportunities are generated by using conscious intent to apply free will choice to incoming experience data.

Feedback of the results of previous choice allow us to modify future choice (free will).
Because experience is the generator of input, consciousness facilitates its own evolution by creating many smaller units of consciousness and setting them loose to evolve (lower their entropy) by interacting with free will.

- Purpose and the positive direction of that purpose (evolution) is thus defined
  - Positive vs. negative, good vs. bad, evolution/devolution are defined – morality, spiritual growth, love are all defined as measurable quantities in terms of entropy
To produce an effective/profitable interaction, you need two things:

- Goal – purpose, direction, profitability
- Constraints provide structure – they define and limit the interaction with a rule-set. Define the context of the interaction in order to optimize the value of the experience.
  - Process, strategy, logic, order, and feedback are results of rules or constraints – no structure encourages high entropy behavior/interaction

Experience requires interaction. To make that interaction more effective a simpler constrained environment is needed – our local physical reality is an elementary school – a virtual reality learning lab for individuated units of budding consciousness.

Physical reality is a digitally based virtual reality where interactions are constrained according to a given rule-set: local physics

Next Level of relativity: there is no absolute or fundamental reality frame within the system.
Multiple Virtual Realities

- Multiple data streams, multiple VRs
  - More constrained
  - Dream reality frame
  - Less constrained
  - There is no fundamental reality frame – one may assume everything is physical, or equivalently, everything is nonphysical – a matter of perspective

- Switching data streams -- hacking the system
  - A matter of awareness, focus, and intent
Virtual Reality

- Data stream **to each** participating consciousness
- Multi player computer games --WOW
  - **The characters and the game setting** – your character and your character’s objective reality displayed on your local computer. *You, the body and your environment*
  - **The server** – generates the set and enforces the rule-set defining characters, interactions, and set. Also serves as the interface between characters and players – the larger consciousness system
    - **Local computer** Stores character’s content and experience and self modifications (unlike WOW, no cheat and no bandwidth issues) – *(brain function)*
    - **The players** – provide intent and free will – higher self/intuition/guidance/direction -- *you, the FWAU, the individuated local PMR consciousness*
Multi-player Virtual reality system
- Present choices drive change (implies local VR time)
- The server records everything - historical database (DB)

Consciousness system “simulates” or computes PMR – one $\Delta t$ at a time
- Probable future DB – preprocessing -- all possible choices and their expectation value. We may trace the most likely choices
- Past DB – the result of those choices – our history thread
- Un-actualized past DB -- all the choices that were not made
  - Everything that can happen but doesn't – (many worlds, parallel universes – error in thinking that past, present and future are all simultaneous)
Present, Past, and Future As Time Increments

- OS spawns 3 states: OS₁, OS₂, OS₃
- Each subsequent state generates 3 children.
- The next DELTA-t, OS actualizes OS₃
  - OS₁, OS₂ and all of their descendants become unactualized past possibilities
  - Only the descendants of OS₃ become future possibilities
  - OS₃ updates OS₁’, OS₂’, and OS₃’ and all their descendants, as necessary.
- The next DELTA-t, OS₃ actualizes OS₁’. Now only the descendants of OS₁’ can become our future possibilities. The descendants of OS₂’ and OS₃’ become part of the unactualized past; etc., etc.
Future Probability Surface
The Larger reality System

- The larger reality is teeming with Life
  - Evolution fills every niche – what can exist generally does exist
  - Many different reality frames or dimensions – all are virtual realities -- containing sentient (conscious) entities who are interacting according to their own rule sets.
  - All entities in our larger reality system have the purpose of lowering their entropy by growing up, by becoming more spiritual, by becoming love – i.e., by eliminating fear and ego.
Reality Systems: The Big Picture

And This Is but a fraction of What I Have Experienced
Section 2

How Does It Work?

The Mechanics of the Larger Reality
The Rendering of Virtual Realities

- Conservation of computer resources.
  - Multi-player virtual reality games
    - Setting is rendered as required uniquely to each player
      - Trees and mountains pop up in the background as characters move into an area.
  - Consciousness System
    - Setting (stage, props, bodies) evolve from the PMR rule set and the big digital bang simulation
    - Future exists in probability and remains that way (un-rendered) until required by game play – some player requires the data i.e., makes a measurement
    - The Larger consciousness system knows (in terms of probability) what is possible, likely, and important (you and the set)
    - The set of possibilities are represented statistically
    - Time update increment \( \Delta t \) is very small relative to our sense of time, so generally not noticed. \( \Delta t \) is smaller yet
The Nature of Virtual Reality

- Does Oxygen need to be rendered for the characters in WOW or Sims to breathe?

- Computational requirements are greatly reduced since only effects need to be rendered and only to individuals making a measurement
  - The engine under the hood, the back side of the moon, stars (day and night), the oxygen in the room, the brain in your head.

- When something is rendered, it must be: 1) consistent both historically (with existing data) and 2) consistent causally with the rule-set – (must appear to have a consistent physical basis or physical justification). These criteria are specific to the situation.
  - These two constraints define the natural uncertainty of the data, object, or process.

- Note: the consciousness system is playing all parts in this game – it controls the historical record and the rule-set – however meddling would ruin the integrity and thus the value of the VR
  - You, as consciousness are both the creator as well as the experiencer of the creation
In our culture, everything is physical and external

Then we try to conceptualize that we are part of a larger consciousness system – One with All That Is
You trying to be One with ALL There Is but needing to be separate at the same time.

Mysteriously connected but still separate
Us And It – Connected – We Are All One

You trying to be One with ALL There Is but needing to be separate at the same time.

Other Incarnation

You trying to be One with your Higher Self or oversoul but needing to be separate at the same time.

Other Incarnation

Connected But Still separate

Other Incarnation

Higher Self

Other Incarnation

Other Incarnation

US
You trying to be One with ALL There Is but needing to be separate at the same time.

You trying to be One with your Higher Self or oversoul but needing to be separate at the same time.

You trying to be One with those you love but needing to be separate at the same time.

Connected But Still separate
We Are All One

Stop conceptualizing yourself as separate. That’s a cultural belief

What you are

How you conceive of it

These arbitrary groupings do not exist as separate things – conceptual training wheels

What you are

How you conceive of it

These arbitrary groupings do not exist as separate things – conceptual training wheels
The system is designed to facilitate its own evolution by providing a PMR where experience and feedback facilitates your evolution.

Conscious intent changes the probabilities: Talking to the car, or plant, parking place, Placebo effect, healing, etc. Power of Positive Thinking / prayer / Law of attraction.

Why it doesn't always work. Random selection from distribution.

Conscious intent is the motive force within a consciousness system -- you are both the creator and the experiencer.

- Consciousness intent is the driver of present choices. These choices influence the future probabilities (a system of feedback)
- Intent directly influences probability. You create your own reality. (through limitations, interpretations, and by modifying the data stream)
- Constraint: the rule-set (including Psi Uncertainty) and consistency in time and content – what comes in stays in until it exits by the rule-set

The consciousness system actively supports your evolutionary success. (nudges, synchronicity, etc)
The system only has to compute the probability of the next thing happening according to the **rule-set** and to **history**.

- The system can use the lowest fidelity statistical representation that maintains known historical consistency and does not violate the rule-set. **Uncertainty provides multiple solutions.**

Weak history gives the system fewer constraints: Your car keys, grandma’s ring, and no beer in the fridge

- No **objective** records/measurement - and a plausible “normal” explanation satisfies psi uncertainty and violates no rules.
  - Because it can and fewer constraints saves cycles. It is not a deterministic reality.
  - Because it provides the flexibility to creates a learning opportunity in support of the evolution of your consciousness. (lose a ring)
  - Synchronicity.

- If because of low fidelity modeling, the system very occasionally gets stuck, it can always cheat, but tries very hard to minimize such problems and pick the cheat of minimum effect. Must obey psi uncertainty.
PMR does not have to be rendered at all unless someone needs that rendering (makes a measurement or observation) in their data stream.

Yes, the system needs to know what to render if a measurement is made, but it does not have to calculate a deterministic model of the entire universe to accomplish that.

- First of all, the probable future database generally gives plenty of warning of what is likely to happen next: -- it can anticipate
  - delta-t and DELTA-t => plenty of time to work a slow moving problem
  - Everything does not have to be assessed in full detail/precision all of the time. High level statistical models will often suffice.

Sometimes the probability gets so constrained that the calculations approximate deterministic calculations

Summary: To describe any part of the universe at any particular time, requires only a statistical (probability) model at the lowest level of fidelity that can meet demands for rendering the present moment and support an adequate present and future data stream that is consistent with the rule-set and within allowable uncertainty.
The False Appearance of Backward Causality

- Length of hospital stay
  - Bias generated in expected results of shorter than average stay
- Radioactive decay
  - Bias generated in expected results of time between decays
- Specification of outcome was uncertain -- still in the future – no objective proof to the contrary
- Repeat experiment but determine ahead of time, how many from each group went home early
- Present intent affects future outcomes.
  - Interpretation, belief, ego, fear and feedback
  - Note connection of QM to healing and talking to your car.
- No inconsistency in the rule-set, No conflicts with known information.
1) Physical reality is not rendered (it remains in the probable future database) until a measurement makes it necessary to do so. 2) Intent modifies probable reality

- In the macro world we don’t notice – actually we do -- but don’t realize it because we are belief blinded
  - Baseballs versus electrons.
  - The system may allow un-provable (non-objective) exceptions – Psi uncertainty
  - Any process that has uncertainty in it – ballistic trajectories, your mother-in-law coming to live with you -- inventory
  - Random processes (radioactive decay, random number generator, combining DNA, forming ice crystals
  - The pH of water, sports, gambling, luck, accidents
  - The scientific method is fundamentally flawed
    - Recognized in the social sciences (double blind is required)

- **You or the system** can modify future results
  - If you get arrogant about your parking space….
The Double Slit Experiment (Why It Works That Way)

What is the probability of light being at any point on this screen?

Measurements are made in the present

What is the probability of light being at any point on this screen?

Present measurement constrains the probable future

Probability wave collapses to form particle in PMR

Present

Probable future

Photon

Double slit

Photon position probability cloud

1 Photon

Photon Detector

1 Photon

P

x
That particles should, at the most fundamental level, be probability distributions was and still is unexpected -- but eventually was accepted as fact.

Was, and still is, not understood -- The big question is "Interpretation"
- Describing particles as probability wave functions was found to fit the data

Today traditional physicists still have no idea why physical reality should be generated from probability distributions.

If one understands consciousness and our virtual reality -- All physical reality is generated from probability distributions
To Render a Virtual Interactive Reality, One Must Define a Virtual Space

Resolution - Pixel size // Frame rate - Refresh rate

In PMR: Specify the size and speed of required resources

- 3D Pixel - 1 quantum of PMR Volume $\Delta V$ (DELTA-V)
- Frame Rate - 1 quantum of time $\Delta t$ (DELTA-t)

Unperturbed Space-time at rest must be homogeneous and isotropic to be functional (work with our rule-set), thus $\Delta V$ and $\Delta t$ must be constant – otherwise a “fun house” reality

\[
C = \frac{3\sqrt[3]{\Delta V}}{\Delta t}
\]
Relativity Derived

- Relativity Theory is a logical consequence of \( c \) being constant.
- \( c \) being constant is a logical consequence of reality being digital and virtual.
  - Each cycle around the time loop represents a constant unit of time.
    - Time in the computer room vs. time in the simulation.
    - Characters in a film – press the “stop” or “hold” button.
  - \( \Delta t \) must be constant, thus \( c \) must be constant.
    \[ c = \frac{3\sqrt{\Delta V}}{\Delta t} \]
- The magnitude of \( c \) represents a constant that specifies the demands placed upon the virtual reality rendering engine.
  - \( C \) is specified / evolved to optimize learning within a reality frame that appears consistent within space-time.
Summary:

- Modeling consciousness as a self-modifying digital information system that evolves toward states of lower entropy.
- Physical reality is a virtual reality – a subset of the larger consciousness system designed to help budding individuated units of consciousness (called an entity) evolve (lower their entropy) through experience/interaction.
- Our virtual reality begins as a future probability distribution and remains that way until a measurement is made (the data is needed).
- Conscious intent can modify future probability within the constraints of 1) maintaining continuous, consistent history and 2) abiding by the rule-set.

Result:

- Physics and metaphysics become parts of one logical theory and are thus unified. Eastern philosophy and theology have been Integrated with science.
- Love and spirituality are both defined in terms of entropy – a measurable quantity – i.e., quantities suitable to the ways of physics.
- Normal and paranormal are Unified as ordinary artifacts of interaction within and between reality frame perspectives of the larger reality system.
- The fundamental purpose of existence in general and our existence in particular has been derived – to evolve toward lower entropy states.
- Time, Relativity, and Quantum Mechanics have been derived from one set of fundamental principles.
Synchronicity and mind – matter scientific anomalies have been explained (placebo effect, backward causality, modifying random number distributions, etc.)

Lowering entropy increases the energy/power/information available to the evolving entity.

Lowering entropy, spiritual growth, increasing the quality of consciousness, evolving one’s consciousness, and growing up are all different expressions for the same thing.

Love is defined as the fundamental expression of low entropy consciousness.

The larger consciousness system is an aware evolving system. It is real and therefore finite.

We are an individuated unit of consciousness, a chip off the Old Block (larger system) -- one with All That Is

All reality frames and everything contained in them are a part of the same consciousness system – all are connected

What is the difference between physical and nonphysical reality frames? -- only the observer’s perspective
Consciousness is fundamental (superset)

The physical reality is virtual (subset)

- The PMR rule-set evolved providing for the evolution of critters with brains. (Consciousness evolution fractal)

- The physical is derived by sending data to an individuated consciousness thus creating the perception of a physical universe.

- The virtual brain, as a creation of consciousness, cannot create consciousness.
A Better Brain Metaphor

- There is a partition or folder with your name on it that separates your experience of a virtual physical reality from the larger consciousness system.

- That partitioned subset of consciousness is called a Free Will Awareness Unit (FWAU) – That is what you identify as you.

- A Free Will Awareness Unit (FWAU) is a collection of data, memory, rules and processing that interprets the data stream defining experience within the virtual physical reality. It also makes the choices.
The Function of The Virtual Body

- The body & brain’s function is to provide constraints to the FWAU to enable consciousness to express itself as a character within a virtual reality trainer.

- The FWAUs interpretation and interaction is limited to what is allowable according to the PMR rule-set (what the body & brain can do within the PMR environment).

- The virtual brain is not a source, repository, or processor of information. It is only a constraint upon the source, storage, and processing of information.
Two kinds of change

1) Gain new capabilities:
   - The consciousness leads, and the body follows.
     - A changed consciousness produces a modified brain to support the changes: Sheep morality, raising consciousness quality.

2) Lose capabilities already attained:
   - The body leads, and the consciousness follows.
     - A Brain that becomes damaged, further limits (adds additional constraints) to how the FWAU can interpret and interact with its virtual environment.
There is no physical brain -- just a computed/simulated virtual brain that functions (defines constraints) according to the simulation's rule-set.

The properties of the simulated virtual body and brain provide the constraints that limit how an individuated unit of consciousness (IUOC) can express itself (interpretation and choice) within this virtual PMR.

It does that by limiting that expression to what can be naturally supported by PMR evolution (electro-chemical-mechanical requirements of body and brain) according to the rule-set.

That part of consciousness that interprets the data stream – You, the PMR VR player – is contained in a folder with your name on it called the FWAU.
The Virtual Brain -- Limitations

- It sets a constraint on the content of your experience and what you make of your experience.
  - If your particular brain can’t capture or process some potential piece of information, then that experience is unavailable to you because it lies beyond how the rule-set has defined your specific limitations in this virtual reality. A limited Consciousness is not implied.
  - If you get Alzheimer’s, a brain tumor, or have a stroke…that changes the limitations imposed on your experience by the rule-set. It affects your “consciousness” (PMR intellectual awareness) but not your Consciousness.
The Brain – Consciousness Interface

- Data is not flowing in and out of your physical brain – your brain is virtual – there is no separate physical brain. The data stream “flows in and out” of your FWAU experience packet partition.

- Though there is much data exchanged through this VR data stream, consciousness has no bandwidth problem because it is not really sending the data anywhere (that is a 3D space thing), it is just a matter of access within a hugely parallel-processing information system -- each individuated consciousness is a part of the server.

- Using today's "computing cloud" concept as a metaphor: Let each IUOC have its own sentient CPU (or portion thereof) within a multi-processor architecture where all CPUs and memory are shared system resources.

- While you are enrolled in PMR, the larger system in the form of the higher self saves (Raid 1: mirror) everything your FWAU experiences every so many DELTA-t as the individual consciousness experiences, interacts, learns, and has accidents or gets old.
When The Brain Dies

- At death, that temporary partitioned data set dissolves and is deleted. (It has been backed up continuously or mirrored). The collection of data, rules, quality, memory, and experience that define the Larger You (higher self, oversoul, etc.) remains in the larger consciousness system.

- Until it again partitions off some new portion of itself to define a new player in the PMR game -- must start as a newbie – learn all over again how to interpret data -- but with a potential that reflects the quality earned in previous packets.
“Video lag” is the major problem in virtual reality games

- The image on the local computer (individual brain/body) executes more slowly than on the server (consciousness)

“Slow” and “last in line” both create latency problems – one must change what one can

The WOW computer game cannot change “last in line”, it can’t change “slow” either, but you can by speeding up the hardware (network and local computer)

The virtual PMR game cannot change “slow” by speeding up the hardware (brain, electro chemical, and muscle response) – that is fixed by the rule-set. But it can change “last in line” by giving the slowest elements in the sequence a head start so that your intellectual awareness (local consciousness) and body motion seem smooth and well connected
1. Within consciousness, the near probable future choice is computed

2. Next, within the context of a virtual PMR, the virtual body automatically starts to react as the virtual brain emulated within the FWAU receives the probable future data stream (slowest process comes first)

3. Then, in the present, the choice is changed or continued by the consciousness

4. And finally the probable future data and present experience data are interpreted into an intellectual, local, situational awareness by the virtual brain emulator within the FWAU (fast process goes last)

- This significantly reduces apparent “video lag” between body motion (slowest component) and local mental awareness (much quicker process). Small times and high future probabilities produce few errors. Much better design.
In 1964, two movement-related cortical potentials, the so-called Bereitschaftspotential (readiness potential) and the contingent negative variation were coincidentally described by two independent groups of investigators. Both of these evoked potentials reflect dynamic changes in motor cortical activity 1–1.5 s prior to movements, and thus mirror the preparation and/or anticipation of movement. But whereas the Bereitschaftspotential appears with self-paced, ‘voluntary’ movements, the contingent negative variation is related to cued movements.
Anticipation of Future Events

- Dean Radin's studies showing the body reacts to a calm or disturbing picture six or seven seconds before any information energy or photons is available to have any effect on the body, meaning no [physical] energy is involved.

- Likewise researchers have shown that people physiologically manifest empathy at a distance.

- Precognitive dreams and prescience are commonly experienced by millions.

[Probable reality database allows anticipation of future events as can networked communications]
Anticipation of Future Events

- Honorton's meta-analysis of studies of people's ability to predict pictures to be shown. He studied 309 experiments in 113 articles published from 1935 to 1987, done by 62 different investigators with 2 million individual trials by over 50,000 subjects. The data showed that people are able to predict what will be shown at levels that exceed those expected by chance, (before any [physical] energy is involved to make information available to the senses).

[probable reality database allows anticipation of future events as does networked communications]
The retro-intention studies showing that intention somehow has an effect on an experiment group of cardiac intensive care patients* that were in treatment nine years previous to the healing intention effort, and that clicks on Geiger counters recorded at one time can be influenced by* consciousness a year later to have more of the recorded clicks come from the left or right speaker of a headphone, depending on the listener's intention.

Consciousness can affect machines that use energy*, such as random-number generators, random-noise generators, telephones, recorders, and the like. *Effects output data

[Your present intent and consciousness system’s nudges can modify future probability. The effects must remain within the allowable uncertainty]
The fact that no one has been able to use a form of energy to interfere with any of the telepathy, remote viewing, or psi experiments, which would be expected if they were created by some form of energy. However, the intentions of the experimenter do affect the phenomena.

The fact that remote viewers and people in telepathy experiments in Faraday cages, shielded from electromagnetic energy, are as able to remote view or experience telepathic information as when outside of the cages.

[Consciousness is not a physical phenomena]  The physical is a virtual reality – data streams to individuals
Value Of A Theory

- It supports current scientific beliefs -- NOT
- It explains what is known
  - Fewer assumptions is better
- It explains what is unknown
  - Makes sense of what is now paradoxical or mysterious
    - Consciousness / free will / placebo / healing / Wave particle duality / precognitive dreams / anticipatory knowledge / reverse causality / readiness potentials / empathy at a distance / UFO experiences / OOBE / entangled pairs / remote viewing / human purpose / spirituality / metaphysics / failure of physical explanations to explain consciousness / that physical artifacts (Faraday cage) has no direct affect on consciousness / importance of attitude / luck (good or bad) / synchronicity / And delivers Einstein’s little TOE
  - Provides new insight and predicts new information
    - Provides a comprehensive consciousness model and brain function model, human physical action, reaction, and interaction model / predicts conscious computers / introduces process fractals and a more productive and useful cosmology / creates new connections between everything: the paranormal becomes normal, and integrates East and West turning mysticism into science.
- Experience (collected data) must verify the new information
Section 3

Experiential Exercises – 1

An Introduction to Operating in the Larger Reality

(2 sets of exercises – morning and afternoon -- they are progressive)
What You Might Learn

- Focus your intent effectively without the usual process/ritual. (achieve an effective altered state)
- Parallel processing and multitasking multiple realities
- Achieving and switching states quickly and effortlessly
- Using intent to define a unique address through relationship (a unique event, person, place or situation – not just any John Q) **requires a positive identification**
- Tool generation and use (humanoid shapes, etc)
  - Symbol/metaphor -- you are in control – imagine. (belly to back)
  - Intent navigates the database. Intent modifies reality.
  - Tools merely help you focus intent
- Accessing the databases
  - Viewing physical, emotional and spiritual energy-bodies
  - Output formatting
- Manipulating time
- Diagnosing and Healing
- Remote viewing – be specific – what Keith did on Friday
The point is not the result (getting the right answer), but the process
This is about your learning experience – an experiment
Forget all your usual techniques – forget lengthy preparation and relaxation. Forget formal meditation. Let your intent direct the action
Don’t try to do it – let it happen – let information come to you. Simply execute to the best of your ability, participate, and observe what happens with open minded skepticism. You are an independent detached observer
Get into it, be immersed – 100% focused. Ignore background
- No Expectations. No Intellectual control. No analysis
  - Forget about answers being rational and making sense.
  - Forget about being in control – just observe
  - Beliefs are your main limitation – “I can’t…” is the worst
  - The need for it to make sense is the next worse limitation
We will move along quickly – stay with me. I will give you 20 seconds
Falling behind is probably a “belief in necessary process” issue (ritual)
Don’t worry over process or details. Humor me, just follow along and observe the results. Do the best you can
Get comfortable – shift around as necessary.
Do not talk – diagnose, return to record, go back (7 times) remain silent.
4 Exercises

- 2 diagnosing and healing exercises
- 1 remote viewing exercise (present)
- 1 remote viewing exercise (past and future)

- Take the next 30 seconds to relax
  - Take a deep breath and get comfortable
  - If you have a belief trap problem or so other issue, just ignore it and follow along the best you can – don’t disturb others. If disturbed, let it go and resume
  - Give me (My voice) 100% of your attention
  - Do NOT intellectualize, analyze, judge or struggle with anything. This must be a zero anxiety exercise.
Tools and Specific Approaches

- No expectations, beliefs, analysis, or fear
  - The intellect can direct but not judge or analyze

- Clearly holding multiple intents
  - Humanoid shape + Intent = energy body
  - Specify output: White on black or vice versa
  - Orientation – left/right-front/back 3D views

- Parallel processing – listening in PMR while working in NPMR

- Coming and going in and out of the altered state

- Diagnosis – accessing the database by intent

- Healing by intent -- Healing tools
  - White light – intensity – sunglasses – staying power (return often) - Zoom in and out – Leverage (all cells be like this cell) – other colors –

- Remote Viewing by intent:
  - Exp3 – multiple approaches – each approach is independent
  - Exp4 – multiple approaches – time is a database search variable
Some Things To Consider

- This was about the process, not about the results
- Was it real? → Was the data accurate?
- The approach is critical
  - Silence the intellect – analysis, judging, fear, ego, making things happen
- The speed was intentional
- Clear input and well defined output otherwise GIGO
- Specific vs. general – the result mirrors the intent. (a tight focus requires some knowledge)
- Left and right confusion – specifying physical detail not important unless you are collecting visual evidence
- Tools – make them up and give them properties as needed
- Revisit several times a day for several weeks
- How do you know when not to interfere?
Typical Reasons Why It Might Not Have Worked For You Today

- The four major problems:
  - Noise – constant chatter – analysis, guessing – lack of steady focus.
    - The focus of your imagination in the exercises was probably fine
    - Find point consciousness first
    - Holding multiple intents is steady focus
  - Fear (non-intellectual) – being wrong -- not being able to do it -- expecting that it will not work for you
  - Belief (non-intellectual) -- that it could not possibly be this easy – that it is impossible
  - Inability to remain a detached observer
    - It is not about you. Being a detached observer takes practice, like being a good listener
Section 4

Experiencing the Larger Reality
Can anybody learn to experience and become operational in nonphysical reality?

- **Yes, theoretically**, anybody. But that is like saying anyone can learn to play the piano well, be a good soccer player, design a computer chip, or teach autistic children.
  - Anybody can, but not many do

Should becoming operational in a nonphysical reality be a main goal?

- No – let it be a natural result, not a fundamental goal
How does one go about learning to experience and become operational in nonphysical reality? Are there any exercises you recommend to help develop this awareness?

- Start with meditation – Ch 23 in Book 1
- Explore. Experiment. Practice. Have patience and stick-to-itiveness. **Collect evidential data** to confirm what you are doing has real results. Explore…
- No magic pill or magic process – You earn your way by growing up, by pulling yourself up by your bootstraps.
- **No shortcuts** other than steady effort and diligence
- Drugs are generally counter productive
Approach to Meditation

- **Attitude:** While in a meditative (quiet and relaxed) state.

- **Fear nothing and hope for nothing**

- Send your intellect away – just experience the exercise dispassionately – no thinking and no analysis allowed.

- **Expect nothing** – have no preconceived notions about what will or will not happen. Eliminate beliefs.

- **Don’t compare** experimental events – let each one be unique

- **Don’t judge** quality or value of experimental events – just let them be as they are – record everything as an impartial disinterested data taker

- Accept whatever happens as the objective results of an experiment

- Make every effort to collect evidential corroboration whenever possible. Set up your experimental situations so that evidence will be generally available.
Typical NPMR Experiences

- OOBE and OOME
  - (mind not body is the launching point)
- Lucid dreams, night dreams, day dreams
- Precognitive dreams
- Remote viewing
- Healing self and others
- Visiting other PMR & NPMR reality frames
- Communicating with non-physical beings
- Accessing the probable future data base
- Accessing the actualized and un-actualized data bases

- A low entropy consciousness can easily access all the above with a little effort, but none of it is necessary to evolve the quality of your consciousness
- Psychotropic drugs -- no long term growth or value
Reality frames are available for your exploration, However, what you find there is strongly limited by YOU

- NPMR is not just a disembodied view of PMR – A funny version of PMR with different stuff in it – People who do not understand the nature of consciousness tend to extrapolate PMR into NPMR
- NPMR is experienced through your consciousness, not through your senses.
  - What you experience (data received) may have an objective source within the reality frame you are in, but how you experience it is subjective – that is the same in both NPMR and PMR
  - Communications are telepathic – 1 conceptual lump, not linear
  - Content is subjectively interpreted in terms of metaphor and symbol

Because you are launching your exploration from PMR

- You must interpret the experiences of consciousness through the constraints of your FWAU -- your personal experience within PMR – personality, attitudes, fear, ego, and beliefs.
  - Pattern matching forces imperfect interpretations – don’t push it
- You must communicate the experiences of consciousness (even to yourself) through the constraints of your language
Experiences within various reality frames are defined and limited by fears and beliefs – You create your own reality through personal belief and interpretation.

Your consciousness defines your reality:
- Inside data (you create it – your interpretation) or outside (“objective”) data all looks the same and all is interpreted and processed subjectively.

You experience the junk in your mind as reality:
- Not returning – the wall metaphor
- The thing that gets you – the hook or demon
- Going somewhere – the door or tunnel; motion, traveling, flying
- The white light – the source, great orifice, and god metaphor
- Silver cord metaphor
- Specific beings – angels, saints, relatives, hooded robes, historical figures, wise mentors – all metaphors and symbols

Solution: Get rid of the junk – ego, fear, beliefs
- Explore, research, experiment – always be open & skeptical; believe nothing, have no expectations, be a detached scientist.
A blind man abducted from rural Appalachia and dropped in the middle of New York Times Square in a sack. There are no social services nor will anyone help. He is on his own.

- Take a step in any direction and gain mastery over what is there.
- Take another step and gain mastery over what is there.
- Go back to the first step and integrate the two.

Explore – experiment – open minded skepticism

- Subjective interpretation of an objective reality
- You must collect evidence and test it to separate fact from fiction -- and to realize the big picture from the subjective data

Many years later a confident master of New York City – his Appalachian existence seems so quaint and limited by comparison
What You Find In NPMR – Other’s Influence

- Guides – what are they (higher self, independent entities)
  - Does everybody have guides? – No  Can everybody get them? -- Yes
  - Are there to help you evolve your consciousness . They are focused on your growth in the big picture, not meeting your needs or serving your wants. Talking to guides
  - Have independent consistent personalities – sense of humor
  - Are limited in what they can do -- nudge, encourage, and provide opportunity for growth. You must learn through your own experience.
  - Are imperfect – it’s a job, an opportunity
  - Will take any form or means necessary
  - Arrange specific NPMR/PMR experiences – dreams/synchronicity
  - Will help you follow the plan if there is one

- Higher Self, or other beings with a message or issues

- Negative entities – lower entropy through self-control, ego focused on control, power and force

- Parasites and other low life – attracted through fear/ego. Intentional harm. Fear. Same solution
Inexperience in PMR
- Limited conceptual base for accurate interpretation

Inexperienced and lack of understanding in NPMR
- Cannot separate subjective interpretation of subsets of data from the big picture of the whole
- Misunderstand the nature of what you are experiencing
  - Intent drives all -- clarity and precision are required
  - Tools are arbitrary
  - Confuse: PMR present / Probable future database / actualized history data base / un-actualized history data base
  - Wouldn’t know you can extract data in any format you want
  - Wouldn’t understand that access is dependent on probable change in entropy for whole system and psi uncertainty principle.
Just being blasted or coached into NPMR produces little of lasting value.

Being ready means dropping ego and fear and raising the quality of your consciousness.

If not ready, one should be working on getting ready, not on getting into NPMR and being frustrated.

You must learn through experience not through being told or reading about someone else's experience.

- Your learning (lowering your entropy) must be at the being level not the intellectual level – you must grow up.
- Learning at the intellectual level is almost irrelevant – therefore not supported by guides or NPMR experiences except as an aid to real growth. Interaction and growth process are experiential.
- You are only told, or shown, or led to experiences that are likely to help you (and the larger system if others are affected) grow your being – improve the quality of your consciousness.
One can get to NPMR before one is ready to grow from the experience

- One can go to a great library and read comic books
- From PMR or NPMR, one can make a living by threatening people and stealing their money
- Many things can be done that may seem advantageous in the little picture but are self-limiting if not counter-productive – generate beliefs and bad attitudes
- NPMR is the colorful flower that attracts attention and interest (both positive and negative), however, one can also turn it into a trap
Section 5
Accessing The Larger Reality
Some Things to Remember
Accessing NPMR is a matter of focusing your awareness to a different incoming VR data stream.

- For the most part, access is a self-policing system.
- Gaining access requires an act of intent and needs neither physical, emotional, nor intellectual process – in fact those processes are inhibitors.
- Gaining access, like meditation, is a matter of not doing rather than doing. You do not need to learn a new technique – you need to unlearn ego, beliefs, fear, and expectations – you need to BE differently.
- When you reach that meditation state of bodiless point-consciousness, you have escaped PMR. What you do after that is a function of your ability to hold that state steady for a long time and your intent. You are the blind man crawling out of the sack in Time Square – explore, experiment, be open and skeptical – slowly and carefully map out the territory – always collect evidence – you must collect evidence.
Tools are personal and not fundamental
Interpretations, metaphors and symbols are personal and not fundamental
- Most explorers do not realize this and thus report their experience as if it were not largely dependent on what they bring to the table.
  - The result is: The subjective interpretation of objective data are mixed in a hodgepodge with threads of truth running through it

Venture forth and see what happens – experiment and gather evidence.
- Be detached and skeptical -- observe, study, and experiment
- Have your own experience, do not try to duplicate someone else’s experience
- Stop judging and second guessing until you have worked an experimental vein for 3 or 4 months – let the evidential data tell the story
- Take the long view – in 20 years you should be good at it
- Remember the point is personal growth, not having cool experiences.
Making Contact

- Intend simple straightforward questions
- Expect telepathic responses in chunks of content
- Ask and listen. Be a disinterested observer.
- Do not judge or second guess the independence of the source. Just take notes
- Eventually it will become clear when the information is not coming from you – that may take months
- Be patient and persistent – and polite
- Be fearless. Ask who what and why – question and interrogate
Remote Viewing

- An exploration in pictures of the probable future and the actualized and un-actualized past data bases.
- The rest is really fundamentally the same as making contact or healing – even if the tools and process appear quite different
  - Someone must specify intent clearly
  - As in all NPMR activities, ego, fear, expectations, and an analyzing intellect must be removed from the process. Detachment is required.
  - The experience must unravel on its own
Healing

- Distance, name, picture, etc are all irrelevant
- Time is a variable
- Generate diagnosing tools
- Generate healing tools
- Do not force your will on others, do not interfere
- Use your intuition
- For maximum effect maintain background intent and awareness – repeat several times a day for several weeks
- Collect evidential data
- Use parallel processing to make process more effective.
Parallel Processing

- Unnecessary to learn but makes much more efficient use of your time and puts NPMR at your fingertips even under suboptimal conditions
- Learn to meditate in difficult situations
- Learn to shift focus between realities and in and out of meditation states in seconds
- Learn to operate in two realities at once
  - Each reality remains separate while you timeshare your attention between them
  - Difficult at first, easy later
Fear And Negative Beings

- Sometimes these are the same thing
- Fear allows a negative being to make a connection with your energy
- Fearful situations are often used by your guides as a test of your readiness to explore
- Fear is a “Kick Me” sign. Become fearless first and then explore.
- It is very unlikely that anything will hurt you
- Fear will ruin your ability to interpret your experience accurately
- Fear will inhibit your ability to learn and grow from your experience
- Gaining access is a self balancing situation – you generally cannot get to where you do not belong.
Staying Balanced

- Remember why you are here
- Keep your PMR and NPMR awareness (whether operational or not) balanced
- Concentrating on one much more than the other is out of balance
- PMR is the primary growing place
- Having operational awareness in NPMR may help you understand the larger reality but it is not fundamental to your purpose
- Access is available to those who are good citizens of the larger reality – those in the process of lowering system entropy. Otherwise, you can push your way in but that experience will be of little value in the big picture
So, What Do I Need To Know About The Future?

- Nothing!
- In general if you do not have access, you cannot profitably use access.
- Future information tends to exacerbate ego and fear.
- Fear has the property that it manifests itself
- Increasing the anxiety/fear level is counterproductive
- Listen to your intuition and you will be wherever you need to be whenever you need to be there
- Don’t worry about it – let the future take care of itself
- This is just an iterative virtual reality – death is not a serious result in the big picture – that’s your fear talking.
Think of something you love!

One Big Toe...Two Big Toes....Three Big Toes.....Four.....

ALIEN MEDITATION

Section 6
Experiential Exercises – 2
An Introduction to Operating in the Larger Reality
Focus your intent effectively without the usual process/ritual. (achieve an effective altered state)
Parallel processing and multitasking multiple realities
Achieving and switching states quickly and effortlessly
Using intent to define a unique address through relationship (a unique event – e.g. names and dates – not just any John Q) – **You must make a positive identification.**
Tool generation and use (humanoid shapes, etc)
  - Symbol/metaphor -- you are in control – imagine. (belly to back)
  - Intent navigates the database. Intent modifies reality.
  - Tools merely help you focus intent
Accessing the databases
  - Viewing physical, emotional and spiritual energy-bodies
  - Output formatting
Manipulating time
Diagnosing and Healing
Remote viewing – be specific – what Keith did on Friday
The point is not the result (getting the right answer), but the process
This is about your learning experience – an experiment
Forget all your usual techniques – forget lengthy preparation and relaxation. Forget formal meditation.
Don’t try to do it – let it happen – let information come to you. Simply execute to the best of your ability, participate, and observe what happens with open minded skepticism.

Get into it, be immersed – 100% focused. Ignore background
  - No Expectations. No Intellectual control. No analysis
    - Forget about answers being rational and making sense.
    - Forget about being in control – just observe
    - Beliefs are your main limitation – “I can’t…” is the worst
    - The need for it to make sense is the next worse limitation

We will move along quickly – stay with me. I will say “20 seconds”
Falling behind is probably a “belief in necessary process” issue (ritual)
Don’t worry over process or details. Humor me, just follow along and observe the results. Do the best you can
Get comfortable – shift around as necessary.
Do not talk – diagnose, return to record, go back (7 times) remain silent.
The four major problems:

- **Noise** – constant chatter – analysis, guessing – lack of steady focus.
  - The focus of your imagination in the exercises was probably fine
  - Find point consciousness first
  - Hold multiple intents in steady focus
- **Fear** – being wrong -- not being able to do it -- expecting that it will not work for you
- **Belief** -- that it could not possibly be this easy – that it is impossible
- **Inability to remain a detached observer**
  - It is not about you. Being a detached observer takes practice, like being a good listener
4 Exercises

- 2 diagnosing and healing exercises
- 1 remote viewing exercise (present)
- 1 remote viewing exercise (past and future)

- Take the next 30 seconds to relax
  - Take a deep breath and get comfortable
  - If you have a belief trap problem or some other issue, just ignore it and follow along the best you can – don’t disturb others. If disturbed, let it go and resume
  - Give me (my voice) 100% of your attention
  - DO NOT intellectualize, analyze, judge or struggle with anything.
Additional Tools and Approaches

- No expectations, beliefs, analysis, or fear
  - The intellect can direct but not judge or analyze
- Humanoid shape + Intent = energy body
- Specify output: health, emotional, spiritual
- Healing by intent -- Healing tools
  - Illness inception and prognosis by stepping time
    - Adjust step size to suit
    - Start healing from within the past (particularly those very critical)
    - Check results – prognosis under various conditions
  - Modify output format – dynamic graphical displays, movies
  - Intuitively pick colors or let them pick themselves by intending optimal effect
  - Tongs, gloves, containers – cleanup
  - Lasers, blow torch, vacuum, scalpel, filters, suns
Some Things To Consider

- Was it real?
- The approach is critical
  - Silence the intellect – analysis, judging, fear, ego, making things happen
- The speed was intentional
- Clear input and well defined output otherwise GIGO
- Specific vs. general – the result mirrors the intent. (a tight focus requires some knowledge)
- Left and right confusion
- Tools – make them up and give them properties as needed
- For your protection *(From your own fear and beliefs)*:
  - Dispose of the black goo -- Clean up after yourself
  - Use tongs or gloves – throw them away
- **Revisit several times a day for several weeks.**
- How do you know when not to interfere?
Does this guy know what he is talking about?
Or Does he just think he knows?
Are his experiences real?
Or does he just think they are real?

Unless you have extensive experience with the larger consciousness system, you must have this question

There is strong consistency among those of us who do have that experience – 4000 years ago to now – 600 BC Lao Tzu wrote the Tao Te Ching.

These concepts of reality are not new – that they are logically derived from first principles in the language of science is new.

What to do about it
- Get involved – go find out
- This is really about YOUR big TOE
- FIND OUT!
Enlightenment is knowing the journey never ends.

Let OUR journey begin!!
Questions?

Drawing by: Judy Johnston
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Logical Implications
What are the connections between physics, metaphysics, philosophy, and religion?

- They are all partial views of the same reality from different perspectives and from different beliefs – different assumptions.
- With a big picture perspective they all are easily understandable as individual shadows of one whole thing. One can see where and how each got stuck because of their limiting beliefs.
what is the cause of psi (paranormal) phenomena – what is going on here and why is it so difficult to study? why is it so difficult to prove?

- Psi phenomena are glimpses of the larger reality at work but don’t make sense from the limited understanding and perspectives required by our beliefs.

- They are difficult to study because we force little picture constraints on big picture phenomena.

- We demand a physical explanation of nonphysical phenomena.

- The “psi uncertainty principle” limits “knowing” so as not to destroy the usefulness of our learning lab.
Psi Phenomena such as remote viewing, healing, OOBE, Exploring NPMR, communicating with nonphysical beings, and telepathy are all natural attributes of (are accessible to) a low entropy consciousness.

One develops a low entropy consciousness by eliminating belief, fear and ego and by expanding one’s awareness into the Bigger Picture of existence. That is, by developing one’s self spiritually.
psi uncertainty principle is part of the PMR rule-set. It represents the entanglement (interaction) of uncertainty with the measurement of psi effects.

You can force your virtual physical reality to exceed the limits and function of its defining rule-set, but only if some proscribed level of uncertainty (that the rule-set was actually circumvented) remains in the system.

psi effects must be largely constrained in PMR or the effectiveness of the learning lab would quickly degenerate. The psi uncertainty principle is the mechanism for maintaining the usefulness and functional integrity of our virtual reality.

For example: Rarely is a-causal or paranormal information obtained from NPMR and then directly applied to develop or invent physical devices (giant leap) because the psi uncertainty principle would generally forbid that sort of overt information transfer. Otherwise evolutionary integrity would suffer.
Is there a possible connection here with UFO experiences?

Perhaps. Some UFO experiences may well be more of an experience of consciousness perceiving beings from other reality frames than an experience that others could verify as physical.

- Simultaneously parallel processing multiple reality frames.
- Such an experience cannot be easily differentiated from a physical experience. Perhaps something like dreaming you are awake or dreaming while awake.