

James Fulcher

# CAPITALISM

A Very Short Introduction

**OXFORD**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

VERY SHORT INTRODUCTIONS are for anyone wanting a stimulating and accessible way in to a new subject. They are written by experts, and have been published in more than 25 languages worldwide.

The series began in 1995, and now represents a wide variety of topics in history, philosophy, religion, science, and the humanities. Over the next few years it will grow to a library of around 200 volumes – a Very Short Introduction to everything from ancient Egypt and Indian philosophy to conceptual art and cosmology.

### Very Short Introductions available now:

#### ANCIENT PHILOSOPHY

Julia Annas

#### THE ANGLO-SAXON AGE

John Blair

#### ANIMAL RIGHTS David DeGrazia

#### ARCHAEOLOGY Paul Bahn

#### ARCHITECTURE

Andrew Ballantyne

#### ARISTOTLE Jonathan Barnes

#### ART HISTORY Dana Arnold

#### ART THEORY Cynthia Freeland

#### THE HISTORY OF

ASTRONOMY Michael Hoskin

#### ATHEISM Julian Baggini

#### AUGUSTINE Henry Chadwick

#### BARTHES Jonathan Culler

#### THE BIBLE John Riches

#### BRITISH POLITICS

Anthony Wright

#### BUDDHA Michael Carrithers

#### BUDDHISM Damien Keown

#### CAPITALISM James Fulcher

#### THE CELTS Barry Cunliffe

#### CHOICE THEORY

Michael Allingham

#### CHRISTIAN ART Beth Williamson

#### CLASSICS Mary Beard and

John Henderson

#### CLAUSEWITZ Michael Howard

#### THE COLD WAR

Robert McMahon

#### CONTINENTAL PHILOSOPHY

Simon Critchley

#### COSMOLOGY Peter Coles

#### CRYPTOGRAPHY

Fred Piper and Sean Murphy

#### DADA AND SURREALISM

David Hopkins

#### DARWIN Jonathan Howard

#### DEMOCRACY Bernard Crick

#### DESCARTES Tom Sorell

#### DRUGS Leslie Iversen

#### THE EARTH Martin Redfern

#### EGYPTIAN MYTH

Geraldine Pinch

#### EIGHTEENTH-CENTURY

BRITAIN Paul Langford

#### THE ELEMENTS Philip Ball

#### EMOTION Dylan Evans

#### EMPIRE Stephen Howe

#### ENGELS Terrell Carver

#### ETHICS Simon Blackburn

#### THE EUROPEAN UNION

John Pinder

#### EVOLUTION

Brian and Deborah Charlesworth

#### FASCISM Kevin Passmore

#### THE FRENCH REVOLUTION

William Doyle

#### FREUD Anthony Storr

#### GALILEO Stillman Drake

#### GANDHI Bhikhu Parekh

GLOBALIZATION  
    Manfred Steger

HEGEL Peter Singer

HEIDEGGER Michael Inwood

HINDUISM Kim Knott

HISTORY John H. Arnold

HOBBS Richard Tuck

HUME A. J. Ayer

IDEOLOGY Michael Freeden

INDIAN PHILOSOPHY  
    Sue Hamilton

INTELLIGENCE Ian J. Deary

ISLAM Malise Ruthven

JUDAISM Norman Solomon

JUNG Anthony Stevens

KANT Roger Scruton

KIERKEGAARD Patrick Gardiner

THE KORAN Michael Cook

LINGUISTICS Peter Matthews

LITERARY THEORY  
    Jonathan Culler

LOCKE John Dunn

LOGIC Graham Priest

MACHIAVELLI Quentin Skinner

MARX Peter Singer

MATHEMATICS Timothy Gowers

MEDIEVAL BRITAIN  
    John Gillingham and  
    Ralph A. Griffiths

MODERN IRELAND  
    Senia Pašeta

MOLECULES Philip Ball

MUSIC Nicholas Cook

NIETZSCHE Michael Tanner

NINETEENTH-CENTURY  
    BRITAIN Christopher Harvie and  
    H. C. G. Matthew

NORTHERN IRELAND  
    Marc Mulholland

PAUL E. P. Sanders

PHILOSOPHY Edward Craig

PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE  
    Samir Okasha

PLATO Julia Annas

POLITICS Kenneth Minogue

POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY  
    David Miller

POSTCOLONIALISM  
    Robert Young

POSTMODERNISM  
    Christopher Butler

POSTSTRUCTURALISM  
    Catherine Belsey

PREHISTORY Chris Gosden

PRESOCRATIC PHILOSOPHY  
    Catherine Osborne

PSYCHOLOGY Gillian Butler and  
    Freda McManus

QUANTUM THEORY  
    John Polkinghorne

ROMAN BRITAIN Peter Salway

ROUSSEAU Robert Wokler

RUSSELL A. C. Grayling

RUSSIAN LITERATURE  
    Catriona Kelly

THE RUSSIAN REVOLUTION  
    S. A. Smith

SCHIZOPHRENIA  
    Chris Frith and Eve Johnstone

SCHOPENHAUER  
    Christopher Janaway

SHAKESPEARE Germaine Greer

SOCIAL AND CULTURAL  
    ANTHROPOLOGY  
    John Monaghan and Peter Just

SOCIOLOGY Steve Bruce

SOCRATES C. C. W. Taylor

SPINOZA Roger Scruton

STUART BRITAIN John Morrill

TERRORISM Charles Townshend

THEOLOGY David F. Ford

THE TUDORS John Guy

TWENTIETH-CENTURY  
    BRITAIN Kenneth O. Morgan

WITTGENSTEIN A. C. Grayling

WORLD MUSIC Philip Bohlman

Available soon:

AFRICAN HISTORY

John Parker and Richard Rathbone

ANCIENT EGYPT Ian Shaw

THE BRAIN Michael O'Shea

BUDDHIST ETHICS

Damien Keown

CHAOS Leonard Smith

CHRISTIANITY Linda Woodhead

CITIZENSHIP Richard Bellamy

CLASSICAL ARCHITECTURE

Robert Tavernor

CLONING Arlene Judith Klotzko

CONTEMPORARY ART

Julian Stallabrass

THE CRUSADES

Christopher Tyerman

DERRIDA Simon Glendinning

DESIGN John Heskett

DINOSAURS David Norman

DREAMING J. Allan Hobson

ECONOMICS Partha Dasgupta

THE END OF THE WORLD

Bill McGuire

EXISTENTIALISM Thomas Flynn

THE FIRST WORLD WAR

Michael Howard

FREE WILL Thomas Pink

FUNDAMENTALISM

Malise Ruthven

HABERMAS Gordon Finlayson

HIEROGLYPHS

Penelope Wilson

HIROSHIMA B. R. Tomlinson

HUMAN EVOLUTION

Bernard Wood

INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

Paul Wilkinson

JAZZ Brian Morton

MANDELA Tom Lodge

MEDICAL ETHICS

Tony Hope

THE MIND Martin Davies

MYTH Robert Segal

NATIONALISM Steven Grosby

PERCEPTION Richard Gregory

PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION

Jack Copeland and Diane Proudfoot

PHOTOGRAPHY

Steve Edwards

THE RAJ Denis Judd

THE RENAISSANCE

Jerry Brotton

RENAISSANCE ART

Geraldine Johnson

SARTRE Christina Howells

THE SPANISH CIVIL WAR

Helen Graham

TRAGEDY Adrian Poole

THE TWENTIETH CENTURY

Martin Conway

For more information visit our web site

[www.oup.co.uk/vsi](http://www.oup.co.uk/vsi)

## Capitalism: A Very Short Introduction

# OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.  
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,  
and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Bangkok Buenos Aires Cape Town Chennai  
Dar es Salaam Delhi Hong Kong Istanbul Karachi Kolkata  
Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Mumbai Nairobi  
São Paulo Shanghai Taipei Tokyo Toronto

Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press  
in the UK and in certain other countries

Published in the United States  
by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

© James Fulcher 2004

The moral rights of the author have been asserted  
Database right Oxford University Press (maker)

First published as a Very Short Introduction 2004

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,  
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,  
without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press,  
or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate  
reprographics rights organizations. Enquiries concerning reproduction  
outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department,  
Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover  
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data  
Data available

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data  
Data available

ISBN 13: 978-0-19-280218-7

ISBN 10: 0-19-280218-6

5 7 9 10 8 6 4

Typeset by RefineCatch Ltd, Bungay, Suffolk  
Printed in Great Britain by  
Ashford Colour Press Ltd, Gosport, Hampshire

# Acknowledgment

I would like to acknowledge the support given to me by the University of Leicester in granting me the study leave that enabled me to write this book.







# Contents

	List of illustrations	xi
1	What is capitalism?	1
2	Where did capitalism come from?	19
3	How did we get here?	38
4	Is capitalism everywhere the same?	58
5	Has capitalism gone global?	82
6	Crisis? What crisis?	104
	References	129
	Further reading	132
	Index	135

# List of illustrations

- |    |  |    |    |   |    |
|----|--|----|----|---|----|
| 1  | East Indiaman, 1829  | 3  | 7  | Miners' strike, 1984  | 53 |
|    | By permission of the British Library (shelfmark 8809 dd 6) |    |    | © Alain Nagues/Corbis Sygma   |    |
| 2  | Cotton mill, 1800s   | 6  | 8  | New Deal: 'Ring-around-a-Roosevelt' cartoon by Clifford Kennedy Berryman, 26 May 1938 | 67 |
|    | © TopFoto.co.uk/Fotomas                                    |    |    | © Corbis  |    |
| 3a | Nick Leeson, 1999  | 11 | 9  | Carlos Ghosn, Nissan CEO, announces closure of factories, October 1999                | 79 |
|    | © TopFoto.co.uk/PA   |    |    | © Toru Yamanaka/AFP Photo/Getty Images  |    |
| 3b | 7th Baron Ashburton, 1999                                  | 11 | 10 | <i>Maquiladora</i> workers, Mexico, 1993  | 85 |
|    | © TopFoto.co.uk/UPP Photo                                  |    |    | © TopFoto.co.uk/Image Works   |    |
| 4  | Enclosure of the land: estate of David Wells, c.1750       | 24 | 11 | Nike workers, Vietnam   | 87 |
|    | © TopFoto.co.uk  |    |    | © Steve Raymer/Corbis   |    |
| 5  | Amsterdam stock exchange, built 1608–13                    | 28 | 12 | Large-scale banana production by Dole, Ecuador  | 92 |
|    | © TopFoto.co.uk/Fotomas                                    |    |    | © Owen Franken/Corbis   |    |
| 6  | Cyclops steelworks, Sheffield, 1853                        | 42 |    |   |    |
|    | © Corbis   |    |    |   |    |

- |    |  |     |    |   |     |
|----|--|-----|----|---|-----|
| 13 | Wall Street crash,<br>1929<br>© TopFoto.co.uk/AP                     | 110 | 15 | <i>Lastminute.com</i> ,<br>2000<br>© TopFoto.co.uk/PA | 120 |
| 14 | Auction of human<br>labour, California,<br>1932<br>© Bettmann/Corbis | 111 |    |   |     |

The publisher and the author apologize for any errors or omissions in the above list. If contacted they will be pleased to rectify these at the earliest opportunity.

# Chapter 1

## What is capitalism?

### Merchant capitalism


In April 1601 the English East India Company sent its first expedition to the East Indies. After some 18 months its four ships, *Ascension*, *Dragon*, *Hector*, and *Susan*, had returned from Sumatra and Java with a cargo mainly of pepper. The success of this venture led to a second expedition by the same ships, which left London in March 1604. On the return journey *Hector* and *Susan* set off first, but *Susan* was lost at sea and *Hector* was rescued by *Ascension* and *Dragon*, which found her drifting off South Africa with most of her crew dead. *Ascension*, *Dragon*, and *Hector* made it back to England in May 1606 with a cargo of pepper, cloves, and nutmegs. The shareholders in these two voyages made a profit of 95% on their investment.

Despite the similar success of the third expedition in 1607, the fourth one in 1608, consisting of the ships *Ascension* and *Union*, was a complete disaster. The *Ascension* reached the west coast of India but was there wrecked by its 'proud and headstrong master', who drove his ship aground after ignoring local warnings about shoaling waters. The *Union* called in at a Madagascan port, where the crew was ambushed and the captain killed, but nonetheless the ship made it to Sumatra and loaded a cargo. On her way back, the *Union* was wrecked off the coast of Brittany. The investors in this expedition lost all their capital.

Capitalism is essentially the investment of money in the expectation of making a profit, and huge profits could be made at some considerable risk by long-distance trading ventures of this kind. Profit was quite simply the result of scarcity and distance. It was made from the huge difference between the price paid for, say, pepper in the spice islands and the price it fetched in Europe, a difference that dwarfed the costs of the venture. What mattered was whether the cargo made it back to Europe, though market conditions were also very important, for the sudden return of a large fleet could depress prices. Markets could also become saturated if the high profitability of the trade led too many to enter it. A glut of pepper eventually forced the East India Company to diversify into other spices and other products, such as indigo.

A large amount of capital was needed for this trade. An *East Indianman*, as the ships engaged in this trade were called, had to be built, fitted out, armed with cannon against Dutch and Portuguese rivals, and repaired, if and when it returned. The Company's shipyards at Blackwall and Deptford, which were major employers of local labour, required financing. Capital was also needed to stock outgoing vessels with bullion and goods to pay for the spices, with munitions, and with food and drink for the large crews they carried. On the Company's third expedition, *Dragon* had a crew of 150, *Hector* 100, and *Consent* 30 – in all 280 mouths to feed, at least initially. One reason for the large crews was to make sure there were enough sailors to get the ships back after the hazards of the expedition had taken their toll.

The East India Company's capital was obtained largely but not entirely from the rich London merchants who controlled and administered it. Aristocrats and their hangers-on were another source, and one welcomed by the Company because of their influence at Court. The Company's privileges depended on royal favour. Foreign money was also involved, mainly from Dutch



### 1. East Indiaman, 1829

merchants excluded by the rival Dutch East Indies Company. They were also a useful source of intelligence about that company's activities.

The first 12 voyages were each financed separately, with capital committed to one voyage only and the profits of the voyage distributed among its shareholders, according to traditional merchant practices. This was, however, a risky way of financing long-distance trade, for it exposed capital to a long period of uncertainty in far-away and unknown places. Risk could be spread by sending out several ships on each expedition, so that not all the eggs were in one basket, but whole expeditions could, nonetheless, be lost, as in 1608. The company shifted to a method of finance that spread risks over a number of voyages and then became a fully fledged joint-stock company, with, after 1657, continuous investment unrelated to specific voyages. In 1688 trading in its stocks began on the London Stock Exchange.

Risk was also reduced through monopolistic practices. Like its counterparts abroad, the English East India Company was closely intertwined with the state, which granted it a monopoly for the import of oriental goods and gave it the right to export bullion to pay for them. In exchange the state, always short of money, gained revenue from customs duties on the large and valuable imports made by the company. There was certainly competition but it was international competition, in the Indies between the English, the Dutch, and the Portuguese, and as far as possible eliminated within each country. Outsiders were always trying to break into the trade, and one of the key privileges bestowed on the East India Company by the state was the right to take action against 'interlopers'.

## Capitalism

Markets were manipulated by buying up stocks and holding back sales. In the 17th century Amsterdam merchants were particularly skilled in these practices and busily established monopolies not only in spices but in Swedish copper, whale products, Italian silks, sugar, perfume ingredients, and saltpetre (an ingredient of gunpowder). Large warehouses were crucial to this and Fernand Braudel comments that the warehouses of the Dutch merchants were bigger and more expensive than large ships. They could hold sufficient grain to feed the entire country for 10 to 12 years. This was not just a matter of holding goods back to force up prices, for large stocks also enabled the Dutch to destroy foreign competitors by suddenly flooding the whole European market with goods.

This was certainly capitalism, for long-distance trade required a heavy investment of capital in the expectation of large profits, but a free market capitalism it clearly was not. The secret of making high profits was to secure monopolies by one means or another, exclude competitors, and control markets in every way possible. Since profit was made from trading in scarce products rather than rationalizing production, the impact of merchant capitalism on society was limited. Most of the European population could get on with their



daily work without being affected by the activities of these owners of capital.

## Capitalist production

In the 1780s two Scots, James M'Connel and John Kennedy, travelled south to become apprentices in the Lancashire cotton industry. After gaining experience and making some money in the manufacture of cotton machinery, they set up their own firm in 1795 with an initial capital of £1,770. They soon made good profits from cotton spinning, achieving a return on capital of over 30% in 1799 and 1800. They accumulated capital rapidly and by 1800 their capital had risen to £22,000, by 1810 to £88,000. By 1820 the company had three mills and had established itself as the leading spinner of fine cotton in Manchester, the global metropolis of cotton spinning.

This soon became a very competitive industry, however, and profits could not be sustained at the high level of the early 1800s. This was, indeed, largely because high profits had resulted in expansion and attracted new entrants. There were already 344 cotton mills by 1819 but by 1839 there were 1,815. Technical advances enabled huge increases in productivity during the 1830s, and competition drove companies to invest heavily in the new machinery. The bigger mills built at this time contained 40,000 spindles, as compared with the 4,500 or so of their predecessors. The costs of this heavy investment in buildings and machinery, together with the downward pressure of increased productive capacity on yarn prices, depressed the industry's profitability to low levels in the 1830s.

Profit depended ultimately on the workers who turned raw cotton into yarn. M'Connel and Kennedy's labour force grew from 312 in 1802 to around 1,500 by the 1830s. Much of this was cheap child labour and at times nearly half those employed were under the age of 16. In 1819 there were 100 children under the age of 10, some as young as 7, who worked from 6.00 in the morning until 7.30 at night.