

Translation to English First Draft 080609
by
Caspar de Rijk,
cderijk@gmail.com

Frontcover text only

SPIRITOLOGIE

The study of the origin, infinite potential
and the complete rehabilitation of the spiritual being

ANDREAS BUTTLER

Edition Octopus



www.spiritologie.de

SPIRITOLOGIE

The study of the origin, infinite potential
and the complete rehabilitation of the spiritual being

ANDREAS BUTTLER

Like the subtitle of this handbook already indicates, Spiritologie contains procedures for the rehabilitation of spiritual beings. Spiritologie was developed for this purpose alone and does not see itself in any way as a medical science or pretends to heal physical illness. It does not even have this intention.

The Spiritologie-Navigator mentioned in this book serves exclusively the purpose of locating socalled mental charges. It is therefore in no way intended or effective for diagnosis or healing in the medical sense.

“Spiritologie“ is a registered trademark.

Andreas Buttler, »SPIRITOLOGIE«

© 2008 of the present edition: Edition Octopus

Edition Octopus is released by the publishing house
Monsenstein und Vannerdat OHG Münster

www.edition-octopus.de

Copyright © 2008 by Andreas Buttler

All rights reserved

Translation: Caspar de Rijk

Composition: Helmut Griesenbeck

Cover: Annette Rossmy

Illustrations: Alexandra Döring

Print and binding: MV-Verlag

ISBN 978-3-86582-694-7

Table of Contents

Foreword	9
Barriers of Communication	11
The Spiritual Being	19
The Eight Impulses of Existence	31
Nothing but the truth	43
Pretended Reality	47
Unattained Normality	55
Brainwashing for Free	61
Three Universes	71
Self-Determination	77
Cause and Effect	105
God Defined	111
Spiritologie is not Scientology	129
Pan-Determination	145
Power and Simplicity	167
Consideration and Thought Pattern	181
Phantasy as Source of New Realities	193
Infinity brought back to Life	209
Epilogue	242

Foreword

Nice that you hold this book in your hands; even though you "actually" do not possess any, which you will have noticed by the end of this book if you read very alertly.

Spiritologie is an applied philosophy, that can be verified, examined and tested for effectiveness by anyone according to his own observation; so it is not just a nice theory, that sounds great or even intellectual, but is not able to support you, the reader, in understanding life any better and rise to such heights that you live and control your life - not the other way around!

Spiritologie is not a religion in the sense of a stiff belief-system with moral laws or rules that demand a certain view or even try to enforce such; it is rather dealing with reaching personal spiritual freedom and independent thinking of the individual who uses it. That is exactly why it is a philosophy and not a religion.

At the age of ten, I actively began my search for truth when I noticed how difficult it was, to get some, at least halfway sensible or logical answers from the apparently so experienced and knowledgeable "adults". What use is a philosophy that I study – whether at a university or at home – where I read statements that try to convince me, that I will never get an answer to certain questions, as there are things that are “unknowable”.

Usually I toss books of this type into the garbage, but I may someday find some use for the works of esteemed authorities, who took the trouble to put their own misapprehensions and confusions about life to paper, to so put barriers and traps in the way of those who could have made it perhaps, had they not been so easily fooled, so as to accept as “true” or “right” teachings without beforehand having established the correct definitions of ‘insignificant’ words like “truth”, “reality”; “right” or “wrong”.

Now, I invite you heartily to find your truth; your very personal truth, because the biggest mistake of mankind till now has been the assumption, that there was only one truth, equally valid for all.

Such an untrue cornerstone as the basis for correct behavior or thinking could only lead to results of this kind: chaos, war, illness, sorrow and suppression of the self-determination of the individual or whatever else we used to see as God’s inevitable “trials” of man.

Here, your voyage begins. A voyage into infinity, because you were, are and will always be infinity, and I look forward to you when you will have gone the whole way described in Spiritologie.

I bet, you were and are a good person, however now the time has come, to finally awaken again!

with love

Andreas Buttler

Barriers of Communication

This book should not deal with such a topic, nor should it become part of my work at all. Because of the fact that a few very “smart” characters had nothing else to do as to try such a reform – which yet, due to its quite idiotic nature, has to find its equal in the world – to create confusion and dissent between people all over the globe who are using the German language, I decided to use this situation to address a much bigger field of problems: barriers of communication.

The spelling reform once was supposed to be introduced with the intention to simplify. From my view it would be a great idea if things should lose their complexity. But it will quite rapidly turn into something ridiculous if people who work on such a project are not able to distinguish between importances and unimportances.

Language and spelling exist with the purpose to offer one of many possibilities to make oneself understandable and not to keep someone from communicating by branding somebody as “stupid” who has not learned to apply the spelling- or grammar-paragraphs “1025b” and is then no longer telling his thoughts in public out of fear for judgements or invalidation.

A person may be educated if he knows the rules of a language or even several languages, but

it does not really say anything about his intelligence. Education and intelligence are often presented as equivalents in meaning, although there is only a vague similarity.

Every language in the world has its own rules and not one of these is based on something like a truth or correctness inherent in the language! Therefore, there cannot be any real wrongness either! Ask an American once whether you spelled the word “Nagelschere”(nail scissors) right if you point at one and you are not sure if you maybe write “Nagelschehere” (nail scissers). Perhaps he will make it rather clear to you that you are totally “incorrect” with your writing and expression. Then you will say: “that’s normal, because he is an American”. Right! With this example I only wanted to alert you as to how such a difference between correct and wrong spelling becomes absurd, as soon as you look at it from a point of view of mankind as a whole as I have.

How “stupid” would an American be in such a case from your point of view, respectively how “stupid” would you be from the point of view of the American? The answer of this question should be left up to you, as there are an unlimited number of subjective possibilities.

Perhaps you know the old biblical story of the “Tower-of Babel-construction”, that is about confusing the once uniform language of man in order to bring about war and dispute amongst them? If you look at the discussion about the spelling reform under this aspect, you could almost get the idea that it was an enormously successful strategy at that time, which worked very well.

Where lively, but above all honest communication takes place there is no fertile soil for dispute and war. That exists only in the absence of communication between people, since a high level of understanding and love is never reached if communication cannot flow or by complexities or even lies is completely prevented.

Have you ever noticed how easy feelings of irritability or perhaps even hate can arise when you try to help someone with a problem, who then keeps asking you, because he has problems to hear or understand what you are saying? If you are familiar with this then you will have an idea of how important understanding is and thus communication – because it is a way and means to achieve this. It has even happened that well-intentioned people trying to help were beaten up or in general became enemies when the intended help failed because of problems in understanding between the parties.

Secret services by the way use language only according to the old Babylonian intention, i.e. to prevent understanding! That's why they create always new code-languages to keep all those not belonging to the "illustrious" circle from understanding.

Now you may ask what all this has to do with the topic of the spelling reform and what I am really wanting to say. I will divulge it to you. I wasted some time with thoughts whether I should use the old or the new spelling and because of this, I had the idea that I don't see any sense in making a problem out of this, because it only keeps me from doing what I

actually want to do. I didn't have any desire to sit down to learn rules and alterations, because I only wanted to write a book. I surely not intended to get myself involved in solving an artificial and externally created difficulty, but I wanted to communicate.

However in considering all this I became aware of how these different rules of all these innumerable languages on earth impede that which means a lot to me: communication, agreement, understanding, consciousness and – as result thereof – peace, freedom and unity.

Right at the present time we as mankind have all technical prerequisites to communicate freely and fast. We have fax-appliances, cell phones, satellites; we have the internet which allows instant communication all over the world. And I asked myself why we still don't have one common language on this earth, where aspects like space and time are no longer relevant as barriers to communication, when it is about exchange of ideas and thoughts? Wouldn't that be the foundation of global understanding amongst peoples? But here in Germany they discuss completely unimportant spelling rules instead of thinking about making improvements of some meaningful magnitude!

Therefore, I decided not to stick anymore to any old or new rules, that now or in the future may be introduced by people whose vision ends exactly on the other end of the dining table on which they – anyway already much too fat – can stuff their stomachs even fuller as they are receiving their continually increasing compensations.

It's over now and I hereby create my own spelling rule, which goes far beyond a mere reform of spelling, it also concerns the language. It is time for a new language with new and simplified rules, which invites everybody to have fun using it, without first having to deal with a book of rules for years.

I call this new language "Spirit". There is only one single rule to heed. One rule which is in accord with the universal basic laws of communication, and it says: If the thought concept which the sender of the communication intended to transmit, is reaching the receiver, it is right "Spirit"! In simpler words you could say: If one can make oneself understood, it is right!

This rule formulated by me is applicable for verbal and written communication, but also for spiritual (telepathic) communication, as well as for transmission of emotion! It is of no concern, whether written or spoken fragments of language used are English, French, Turkish or Chinese.

Or – when it is in written form – whether somebody additionally integrates sketches or drawings of an object!

As children we didn't learn any of these supposedly logical rules but simply copied the sounds, of which we little by little got to know for which object, which action or for which circumstances they served as symbol. If our parents would have uttered other sounds, we would have just experienced these different sound waves as "normal" and "right" and used them too. That is why some people never get rid of their dialect which they regarded as "natural" in childhood. It is the same with

writing, because if you had grown up in Japan, you would not have thought: “They write funny or wrong here“. You see this has less to do with logic and intelligence and more with local agreements and the resulting reality of groups!

And I am very well aware that each language deals with agreement and as I don’t want to create an entirely new language from scratch - like Tolkien accomplished with the language of the elves in his masterpiece “The Lord of the Rings” – I will take the German language as base. “Spirit” will develop as autonomous language far beyond its German base, as soon as influences of people from all over the world will contribute to it and will be absorbed into lingual custom by the users.

If you grew up with a different mother tongue than me – and so didn’t have anything to do with these crazy German measures – you are not effected by a failed reform and wouldn’t get the point of why just the German language would have to be fixed as the basis for „spirit“. You are right – therefore you just put your mother tongue as the basis for spirit and start to communicate just as freely as those who already some time before the publication of this book did cast a glance at this chapter.

You know what a pleasure it was to see an E-mail exchange between Danish and English people, where the Danish person wrote the email in „English“ exactly how he would probably have spoken it. The receiver was likewise very pleased as thereby

written communication between them became possible for the first time – whereas before this was unimaginable as they – apparently - had no written language in common upon which to base any E-Mail exchange. Only as the two heard about the content of this chapter was there suddenly a basis for communication and could they understand each other without any great trouble even though this real „curious“ English looked extremely funny at first glance, as you can imagine!

Particularly pleasant about this newly introduced language, I think, is that books will no longer dictate men what is right or wrong about their everyday language, but that the books that may at sometime have been written about the language “Spirit” would have to be continually updated, because they have to conform to the usage of man. That way man takes again the first place – not a textbook! So in the future people will no more – like it was the case for much too long in the past – adjust to books and rules, but textbooks will be adjusted to the people! “Spirit” as language will in subareas result in what is the complete intention of this book: To convert the apparent other-determination of individual beings, as well as mankind as a whole, into self-determination which is to then culminate in pan-determination. And after I told you now how I solved the problem of the spelling reform for me, I can finally continue with the planned chapters, which I will from now on write up in “Spirit”. If you therefore should discover, that I mix up the old and new orthography and not be quite sure whether I as an author write now rightly or wrongly,

remember that I am no longer subservient to any German orthography, because it is “Spirit” what you read.

Furthermore, I would welcome it very much of course, if you – should you at one time or another be fed up with having to look up how something has to be written – then write it the easy way - just as it comes to mind to write. You can give those people who insist to want to invalidate you in your intelligence because of one of these oh so essential rules, a hint to read this chapter and mention that you also use the brand-new, child-easy language that only has one single rule, which at once ended all discussions about reforms: “Spirit”.

The Spiritual Being

Man has basically three components. This is not new, as we already saw this stated in many old scriptures, religions and philosophies.

He is composed of a flesh body, the mind and the spiritual being, the latter being the senior part, in other words the steering or control unit. This spiritual being is the actual “I” of a person.

The body has different drives or urges such as eating, drinking, and breeding; it needs warm clothes in winter, must breathe, and needs a roof overhead, to protect it from wind and weather. The body has an enormous need for things, to procure these on a daily basis alone would be enough to absorb his complete attention, so that there seems to be no more time left for what the person himself - namely the spiritual being – actually wants to do or experience.

All these pure physical urges make work much too often to a necessary “evil”, because a spiritual being who is free and independent of bodies, would not waste a single thought on such things, as they are outside the spheres of his personal interests; this would answer the question why so many people have to whip themselves out of bed in order to do something, that is in fact only forced upon them, as they insisted on being part of playing the game “Look, I am also just human”.

If you would be completely free you would certainly not sit around lazily and inactive, while going on the nerves of the frustrated social security

officials with demands for money or rob one bank after the other, but I can guarantee you that you would perform work that you would greatly enjoy, that would have been chosen by yourself and not from the misconception that you “couldn’t” do anything else as the world is so bad and you poor man had such a bad childhood which you, though this is long past, still use as excuse to cover your own failure and that this is accepted even by your much too reasonable fellow men.

No, I didn’t mean you. You wouldn’t read this book if you were thinking like that.

The mind is an aid that can support us – also often failing – to compute in life correct answers to our questions.

There is an example: Erma and Emil are standing at a table which has two apples laying on it. Each one eats one apple. How many apples are left? Answer: Many millions of apples are still left on earth which they can still eat. Apart from that new apples are grown for future use, which may yet taste even better.

Did your mind have another answer in stock? Let me share a big secret with you that concerns mathematics – perhaps even the biggest: There is no such thing on earth as zero apples! That is only and exclusively true in mathematics. Applied to life this expression becomes a plain lie.

Thus if I were you I would figure out an answer myself, rather than just take over the one that was built into your mind and therefore your thinking by society, school, your grandparents, etc.,

as they all use the right answers and these are really and obviously true – or is that no longer the case?

The Spiritual Being – the person himself, not their mind, body or name – has inborn abilities, the totality of which had never been suspected before, that would have taken someone to define infinity correctly, which hasn't been the case thus far to the best of my knowledge.

Every SB has the ability to create and place matter and energy in space and time as well as the higher ability to even create the space and time in which to place energy and matter. You don't know how to create space and time? You are lying, as you have so much fun playing the unknowing human. Don't worry, I am not angry because you still have so much fun suppressing your own knowing.

Only a few months ago I discovered during my continued research the highest ability thus far of an SB and I am proud to be able to tell you. It has the ability to create itself as spiritual being as well as create further SBs.

You may ask yourself how you could apply this abstract description in life.

An example, you never have time for your hobbies? Create some the time for yourself! You have the potential to do so. You are even capable of creating no time, or otherwise you wouldn't have had the problem of "no time". You have no time because you think you don't have any!

Change your thinking about your time and you will notice, that your experience of time will change and you suddenly can have time for what you want to do.

I will later cover the application of these abilities in greater detail.

A spiritual being isn't subject to any limitation. In fact an SB is the correct definition of zero, as it has no mass, size, extension, nor wavelength or motion.

It has no position in space or time. It exists independent of these as pure potential. To be exact, it is a "nothing" with the potential to create, whatever it likes to, as it does nevertheless have personality and individuality.

An SB can get the conception of being in a space, but then it just occupies that position as it thought itself to that place. It does not even have to travel through space, to take up a particular position in space. If you would want to be in China as spiritual being, you wouldn't even have to look on the globe for the way. You simply would decide in this moment to be there, where you would want to be and you would in the same moment be there.

Einstein was of the opinion that "Nothing would be faster than light" - he was right! An SB is faster than light, as it is a "nothing", but one with potential!

He did not mean it that way, but as we all know one shouldn't talk bad about the dead.

An SB in the original state is infinite knowing, immortal, can't be injured and possesses all the abilities already described previously. He possesses the potential

to imagine something and subsequently perceive and experience that which it has imagined.

You want to reach your original state again? I'll let you know a further secret: You never lost it! Time is an illusion! A very early notion of the SB without which there would have been no something; no beauty, ugliness, no pain, no suffering or happiness in existence, as every "something" without time would simply stop to exist!

Everyone who would like to be self-determined, should know what is time and how to create it, as that way he takes over control over what he would like to have and what he does not want to have in his life; be it possessions, objects, conditions, character traits or emotions.

As every SB is right now as free and unlimited as in the original state, there is in fact no reason to manifest any disability or not to have available any knowledge, as nothing ever happened to him. Something could have happened to his body, that's correct. But what does that have to do with him not stopping to think of and declare (protest), how much he has been influenced by a past happening?

You wouldn't assume either, that you were hopelessly lost just because you operated a body in a computer game, whose life points had gone down to zero and the screen said: "The game is over". You and your body have similar relationships.

The mistake is to identify with something one really isn't. Imagine you would fabricate a cup out of porcelain. After that

you get the idea of how beautiful this cup is and you would like to be the cup. Now as SB you decide to be this beautiful cup. It works, so you are the cup, you took up its space and are standing on a shelf, everyone admires “your” beauty, drinks from “you” and one day all of a sudden it does “splash”. Someone dropped the cup and you as cup have the idea “I am destroyed”.

Then someone sweeps you together and you land in the garbage bin. It’s dark and rather smelly. And you lie around for the next three years “broken” and are terribly depressed and sad about your existence.

Sounds crazy?! Well, I did communicate to SBs, (not talk) who were still in their graves, as they thought they had died and felt extremely unwell after they were reduced to dust and all that.

One can be so much the body or, like in the example above a cup, so convincing oneself, that one simply “forgets”, so it is realistic, how funny the idea once was, to select such a role of beingness, to have some fun! How simple would it be just to look at the truth, to notice that one wasn’t ever broken or reduced to dust and to get ready to think up something that is new and fun, instead of getting hung up in an old game space?

You can be everything what you see or perceive. An SB can create any space, times, energy, matter, forms, objects and then decide to be what he created to really experience something.

There is nothing at all wrong with that. Now I have heard in the past so many complaints about conditions and situations in the life of these SBs, that I think it is time to softly whisper in the non present ear of the SB: “You have played very well, very convincing, but now you can be yourself again!”.

Some may yet try to suppress an impish grin and point to the real tremendously dramatic life situation, in a final attempt to still get you to play in his game. Perhaps he is playing “I have cancer” or “I can’t stop drinking”, but then you should

recognize that he is no candidate for Spiritologie as he has not had enough of it by a long way and wants to still spend a few lives here on earth as a human being.

I do not assert here that Spiritologie cures illnesses like cancer or alcohol as it isn’t a medical science, but I would like to point out what I do know, and that is that no SB ever drank a drop of alcohol, not to speak of having had a cancer growth in its bowels. So far I have not been able to detect throat, stomach or other innards in a SB, let alone physical illnesses. That is why Spiritologie does not deal with such themes, even though it has the potential to let even a speculative science like medicine grow out to become a subject of real knowledge.

Send people who are physically ill to the doctor and tell someone about Spiritologie who has other hobbies than demonstrating how deep one can still be involved in the body game as Spiritual Being.

Also the doctors will be grateful that they at least can continue to pay the rates for their expensive cars and houses, as you should understand that a large percentage of doctors – by no means all – have built their whole existence feeding on the illness of others in the hope that illness will last and no one will take them up on – even when they talk about that themselves - that around eighty percent of all illnesses are conditioned psychosomatically, yet they for ninety nine percent are treating body symptoms.

You will in any case support the self-determination of other SBs, by not insisting that Spiritologie is true as it really isn't. It is a road leading to the full truth, but it itself is only a workable system. This will become certainly even clearer when the subjects of “truth” and “reality” will be discussed more extensively in later chapters.

True for you is what you consider to be true. And once you grant all others around you that right, you will notice that you never give offence and that you will generally get along in life better than some countries leaders, who think they can spread their personal or nationwide truth faster with the threat of chemical or nuclear weapons – of course always for the good of those that should be hit over the head by it. Have you ever maybe wondered whether it would have anything to do with the thousands of billions one could earn with wars and weapons? Please!!!

To spread Spiritologie you don't need violence or weapons, as it is something that man has been looking for since times immemorial and it backs him up in his self-determination;

that's why it can't, shouldn't or must not be forced upon him.

Any attempts in this direction would mean not to have understood what it is all about.

The foundation pillar, on which this book is built, is essentially:

All – and by that I mean as a matter of fact precisely that, namely all – what you perceive and experience is exactly that, which you out of yourself, through your own causal thinking create, and indeed exactly ... now!

With this key expression one can at the same time define the final objective – and I assure you, it is a worthy goal:

A spiritual being, who reaches again the full control over its causative thinking and thus has the infinite freedom and self-determination over how to set up his own world, his personal universe or also his actual home and who can decide what it will experience in there.

Both these statements may at this point still seem “untrue” or terribly “high up” and “unrealistic” to you. But you should realize: till the end of this book there are still a few chapters awaiting you, which express views on subjects, that never have been dealt with from an awareness now within reach for everyone.

So why not put the entirety of “what you know” to the side for the duration of this book, like at school you would first

clean the blackboard of all the old stuff that was written on it with thick layers of chalk, so that new information can be placed on it and not be blended with earlier stuff that has nothing to do with the actual subject and would only serve to confuse you as it will not be clear whether an old word is still shining through or if it is after all part of the new statements.

Do you know what I mean?

Before you can evaluate and judge a statement you ought to at least have taken it in, in its totality and meaning, to think it over. And that isn't really the case when you instead of reading, wonder what that means or even, not knowing words that occur in the text fully, omit to look up their definitions in a dictionary.

It would really be a pity, if someone just because he misses the meaning of the word "couple" would understand the sentence "You are really a great couple" as "You are really a great few", as he never noticed the difference between "a couple" and "a couple" and for that reason would throw the book in the corner as he thinks it's nonsense, because the statement that came after would hardly make any sense to him.

If the text occasionally seems hard to grasp or you have the feeling you can't understand it, be sure to check carefully which word there is, for which you have no or a wrong definition, as you will be able to understand absolutely every statement, provided you keep that up as a rule, regardless of what your teacher told you about talent, intelligence and other things, to

direct your attention away from the fact that you didn't know, why you often don't succeed in learning things and of course you don't want to find the responsibility for your own failure to lie with you!

I could make a "mean" statement at this point, saying that the marks at the end of the school year only reflect the quality of the teacher and you should not punish the children, but first arrange a talk with the head of the school about the incompetence of this teacher, however that would be a simplified view of the situation, as also the child experiences just what it thinks and therefore is responsible as well for its notes.

Yet I feel that the times of "I am not responsible" and "it's not my fault" should no longer be tolerated, as this way unwanted conditions in life will not change for the better in whatever area of life it may be.

Who, for heavens sake should improve something, when each points to the other and waits? You want a better nicer world? Then you know now where you could start.

Wish you success!

The Eight Impulses of Existence

“Life is too complex to understand in its totality” this could be said to be the motto of currently popular philosophers and scientists.

You may forgive me – or maybe not – that I do not follow these in their ways, but that I tend to divide life into different areas, in order to have an overview and so it can be represented as a sort of stepladder of awareness. This can then be ascended in a relaxed manner, as long as one climbs it step by step and does not try to jump to a higher level before one reached a stable footing on the level below.

As the number eight also has been used in earlier philosophic and religious work, dealing with the description of the various areas of life or spheres and on its side it is also the symbol of infinity, I use this number to describe the single impulses, drives or urges which any being can feel to a greater or lesser degree.

When a being unfolds more and more and has not too many problems and worries regarding lower impulses, one will rapidly see that his playing field extends more and that he takes on larger problems, as he strives toward infinite happiness, which it experiences in the process of overcoming perceivable, not unknown barriers, in the way of its own goals and intentions.

A goal made in a soccer match against a goalkeeper who attempted to prevent such a hit, would be an example, for would

there have been such a happy moment, if the goal had been completely empty and no one tried to impede this hit?

Possible, but then the scorer would have been in a very bad condition, if this performance had brought him a happy moment. In that case the personal inability as soccer player would have been enough of a barrier to overcome and there could factually have been a feeling of happiness, which then confirms the above definition.

The spiritual being is on the way to reach infinity, whether it is aware of this or not. This is the common denominator of all his actions. It has already maybe been so stuck in the lower life impulses and forgotten its own goal that it could really look like his goal would have been a “house on the street corner on the edge of the town with enclosure”, that is constantly being treated disrespectfully by cherry tree branches out of the neighbours garden, leading of course to many nerve wrecking court cases on the agenda. After having reached this goal, the person just wouldn’t get rid of the feeling that these material things do not make happy in the long run and that there must be something “more” to life.

Perhaps he begins to paint or write stories to help others to lead a more satisfactory life, to tell them his view of things or maybe just to get them to laugh.

Alas there are many people who never get around to these things, as daily life already provides them with so many challenges to overcome, that some politicians still believe it is legal, because it has been “legalized” to cash half the wages at the end of the month as tax,

even though they can’t really explain why they feel justified in doing this.

Yes, they justify it e.g. with the streets, which have to be cleaned and built. But doesn't everyone who drives a car and fills her up – and these are not just a few every day – pay just for that already some 75 cents for every litre of gas at this time!?

This is completely apart from vehicle, environmental and insurance taxes, but wouldn't the world be full of roads when all this money would really be used as they are saying. Taxes are legalized, but weren't the "against the wall" – executions of their own citizens in the former DDR also anchored in law and therefore "legal" ?

I don't know if a law, just because it is a law is also equivalent to "ethics" or "reason". But I think that you, the reader, can form your own opinion on that.

I'll leave it to your judgement, whether it's by chance or intended by a few , that you don't engage yourself in the higher purposes of existence, but one thing I know for sure: I rarely heard of somebody who would have paid to this or to a former government one Mark (okay, Euro) in taxes if one hadn't – with "affectionate" arguments such as police, loss of freedom or other threat of violence, quite decently, but at the same time with fear inspiring intrusion demanded of him to fulfil his "duty".

Sometimes I wonder by the way – but not really: how the countries that don't require such high and all-encompassing tax contributions actually manage?

It actually doesn't seem impossible at all, if one had a competent government that could also make money by international business, in other words also work and not just

keeps begging those, who aren't yet fed up and like others go on welfare, as they almost get paid better than the one doing day in day out a badly paid and tough job.

Possibly an example from my own observation will answer the question that was hinted at above whether it's chance or intentional what's behind that which is presented to us as "inescapable" everyday problems in news and political broadcasts.

Even the politicians had arithmetic in school and know exactly that you cannot cut up a cake in small pieces and end up with more cake ! My grandmother has always known that you get only smaller pieces that way and that no one then gets his fill! But I hear in the media every now and then that by dividing labor more labor is created. My grandmother would simply have continued to bake additional cakes, to really have more cake as she really wasn't stupid.

That shouldn't be interpreted in a way that politicians of the world are stupid! I am just pointing out how clever my grandmother was! Alas, she never was a candidate and I think she wouldn't have been elected as she couldn't talk so splendidly and make such great speeches like the intellectual men with the fat bellies, who get the speeches written for them, which they then read from the paper, as they aren't capable themselves of thinking up such gorgeous stuff, that would also make them popular.

Besides, I did say in the foreword myself, that mathematics isn't "true" so I shouldn't use that to measure the intelligence of these people, I have to admit, so I will no longer do so from now on: what all this has to do with the eight life impulses, you

will see right away when these areas will be addressed, areas into which a being expands, when it feels stronger and more powerful. As it will try in any case to extend its self-determination more and more over all of life. In order for that to actually take place, I will now force my attention on the subject, in a “business like” fashion and in a few words sketch the gross sub-divisions of life:

Impulse 1: The impulse to exist as one's own self.

Part of this is the survival as the body that one is momentarily inhabiting, one's own name, hobbies one has as human being, the occupation as well as the role you selected for your life as human being.

Impulse 2: The impulse to exist as relationship, marriage or partnership.

This encompasses the subject of sexuality as well as the begetting and rearing of children to create thereby future bodies, as the game of human beings can only continue as long as there are new bodies, through which the spiritual being can also in future participate in this game.

Impulse 3: The impulse to exist as group or of groups

This is the category that has associations, parties, groupings of similar minded persons of similar interests, that are intended to live in agreement with several others, their own thoughts, conceptions and attitudes possibly disseminating them, in order to extend the influence of the group or grouping. This impulse can also be applied to fight opposing groups, like in the case of war, where one would designate one's own country as well as the "friendly" countries as one's own Impulse 3.

Impulse 4: The impulse to exist as all mankind.

At this level the individual is dealing with mankind as a whole. There is no differentiation anymore between different races, like black, brown, yellow or white, but his existence looks that much nicer, the happier, more content and harmonious mankind is as a whole, as a single individual cannot live in peace and calm between warring nations, as it is influenced by the external circumstances, unrest and the emotions provoked thereby, that reduce his enjoyment of life.

Impulse 5: The impulse to exist as the world of animals and plants.

All life organisms, however big or small they may be, fall in this realm, animals, plants, meadows, trees; as well as insects, bacteria or monocells belong here. All living organisms not

human beings in this sub-division of life, where “sub-division” as used here should not express any meaning of “rank” or importance.

Impulse 6: The impulse to exist as physical universe.

Matter, energy, space and time are the parts of what we call the physical or material universe. Let's call this realm simply the “playing field” of the spiritual beings where they can experience their adventures and drama's.

Impulse 7: The impulse to exist as spiritual being or of spiritual beings.

Here belong ghosts, demons, phenomena like “poltergeist”, dwarfs, fairies, angels, as well as subjects like aura, astral bodies and any other form of spiritual entity or being. Telepathic communications with other beings, extra-sensory perceptions, as well as other bodiless experiences and happenings are listed under this impulse.

Impulse 8: The impulse to exist as supreme being.

This could also be designated as urge toward divine consciousness or infinity. At this level of awareness we find nothing else but unlimited potential to be, do and have, but without being, doing or having anything. Here there is no time, space, extension, size energy or wavelength, motion, matter, significance

or other “things”. This is, as mentioned earlier, the correct definition of zero or also the definition of static and is the highest level of existence. Here there aren’t even character traits or emotion, as all these things have to be first created from “zero” or “nothing” in order to exist and be perceived. At this level we find the spiritual being in its “native state” of absolute knowing.

The questions asked most like “Who or what am I?” “Where do I come from?” “Where am I going?” an individual will only have answered fully, once he has reached again the awareness of the eighth impulse, as it is not what may have been supposed at the beginning of the rehabilitation process, that it is the first impulse that makes up his whole personality; it is rather all impulses together!

Expressed even more precisely: the Impulses 1-7 are just products of the actual personality, with which the spiritual being identifies, thus it can *be* these products, as the actual personality, the original and unchanged “I” is pure potential; i.e. what has been described and defined under impulse 8.

There maybe people who, with what I write here, become fearful of melting together and totally losing their individuality, however these persons can relax as the climb along these impulses accomplishes the exact opposite, namely a split off from the masses of equality. The recognition if the interchanges of thought and emotions between you and other people or beings will put you in a position to notice from which direction the emotion

is coming, that you experience or who thinks the thought that you picked up. Perhaps you noticed already how you – when you are in a good mood talk to a sad person that you suddenly feel like he or she does ! That is an advantage to understand and help him, but you should after that talk be able to recreate your good mood without the emotions that you only picked up from outside and perceived, isn't it? That doesn't work however, when you have confused his emotions with your own and are now thinking that YOU aren't feeling well, as then you will experience exactly what you think!

You will finally think and experience wholly for yourself, have your own conceptions “true” or “untrue”, formulate your own concepts and thoughts crystal clear and be able to distinguish them from those of other beings and know with certainty, what exactly you intend.

It is vital to realize - with all these impulses - the fact that an SB does not go up as *part* of these impulses, but is these impulses and so can think and experience as such!

It is the origin of all these realms and will not ascend and be aware of being a part of the relationship that it lives when we take Impulse 2 as an example (sex and partnership) but will look at the actions of the partner as his *own*.

When being fully aware of the Impulse 4 (Mankind) the SB would be aware of being the entirety of mankind and would be able to think, feel and act as each of these single people without feeling confused!

This is valid for all listed impulses and it is an important fact, that if not taken into account it

will completely block one's ascension to supreme being, as no ascension will be possible without recognition and taking full responsibility for all that, what one experiences and perceives around one – however unpleasant it may seem; the reward of freedom is worth it, believe me.

On the end of your road, which doesn't have to take a single second, as I mentioned before, you will know to have reached the Impulse 8, and be yourself. You have no position in time or space, so you can no longer be threatened, made to fear or be attacked, as from then on you will never again identify or confuse yourself with your body.

An analogy for that would be a computer game, in which you thought a life long you were the figure that you actually were controlling through a joystick and so you got very afraid when an "attacker came too close".

Once you would have noticed, that you are outside sitting securely behind the monitor, knowing that you don't have to be afraid anymore for nobody, as from that game there is no more danger as long as you are outside of it; wouldn't the game really start to make fun, and wouldn't you like to do all these things you earlier thought would not be possible as you could get "killed"?

If that would happen, you take a new "doll" and go for the next goal! You will reach it! The question is how many life times would you need, but if you really go up fast, you create as much as you want, as time is only a further item that you will

understand and so will control once you are fully aware of Impulse 6!

Life is basically a game. It consists like all games of freedoms, barriers and purposes. But you can only play it when you are a player. The figures *are* played.

I will not have to ask you whether you want to be figure or player from now on, as a figure wouldn't have been able to "stand" the things stated thus far.

So I'm happy to know of another player on this planet!

Nothing but the truth

“Do you swear to tell the truth, the pure truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?”

“No, as in such places the truth is neither wanted nor accepted. What you mean is whether I will acknowledge the reality, which is designated by you falsely as “true”.

That would be just about how my answer to this question would have been, if I would have ever been asked. Why?

That’s why I will first define the small and so incredibly often misunderstood word “reality”.

The big question of the philosophers of all the Lord’s places and all the Lord’s times is all about the meaning of this word “reality”.

Reality is the degree or measure of *agreement* between two or more persons or beings. Reality is not “truth” or something that “factually and really *is so*”.

If it would be like that, then please explain to me why not all people see, experience and tell about the “reality” of life in the *same* way.

This isn’t the case as there is even an infinity of realities. A reality has been reached when at least one other person shares your own view, when at least one further life being agrees, you have a common “reality”.

There was a man once, who asserted that the Earth was not flat, but a sphere. He was at the time rather lonely in his

opinion and was heavily grilled, his mental state was put into question, just because he did not say and think what the majority at that time would agree with. At that time it was simply “unreal” what he was saying, as everyone knew that if it were true what he was saying that the Earth would be a globe, everyone would fall or slide off of it etc.

Yes, today one may laugh about this. At that time it was not so funny to be the first to conceive of something as reality that is different from what the majority is thinking.

Just like him there are people today who are ridiculed, attacked or - what is even much more effective – cut to pieces in the news media, to kill their reputation or even be “treated” by a psychologist, to help them again to become “normal” and think “according to reality”.

I could even state here simply that psychology is being “used” so to speak and only exists - with the phoney theory of “normality” - to inspire a terrible fear in you, to think differently or be different than your environment as you would suffer a “break in reality” and be passing for an idiot or be in a spin.

A spin – I think a nice compliment – as the word is derived from the activity of “spinning”.

Spinning a yarn, means to create one’s own world, be creative, use one’s fantasy, with the help of which one weaves one’s own thought web and knots it to other webs, in a logical or illogical way, to form up new views of life, which may later even be put into action.

Countless examples of these “spinners” (considered nuts) of this type can be found in the history of mankind. Many of them were extremely rich but also just influential people, who created possibilities for their posterity, that they despite any opposition like “you must agree with our reality, otherwise.... – the Guard” pushed through.

Many even had to pay for it with their lives and ended up at the stake or the one time favoured crosses, as they insisted to see things as they did see them.

Imagine that Jesus Christ would really show up here and say: “Okay, here I am, as announced and now I am about to start the revelation.”

A perfect bait for psychologists and psychiatrists.

I bet he would , after the first healing of cancer by laying on of hands, be charged in court, as he has no title, would be defamed in the media as someone who is “spinning” and some Judas in the circle of his closest family would show up fast who would help him get shut up due to the “Christ Syndrome” and get him tied to the old litter served with a medical full narcosis, which would “cure” his tendency to think such things or even publicly express them and even act like it where possible; the latter being the highest of all possible crimes here on this civilized planet.

And why is this so? As he doesn’t tell the truth! Whose truth? Well that of the general population! And in front of a court he wouldn’t do well either, but with that he already once had a real bad experience.

Should he hang around somewhere and become known to a reader of this book, let him first read a copy, so he can get oriented in the game being played here when someone with “rare” ideas comes forward and thinks he could just do and think what he likes!

Why do I write all this and what does it have to do with the subject of truth?

Now, may I invite you to please quit adjusting your personal truth to the public or let it be adjusted as that is what truth really is: It is a personal, individual thing! A truth can exist for you alone. If others agree with it, you made it to a reality.

Do you now understand the difference between these two words that have been mis-defined since times immemorial, as they were equated?

Don’t invalidate your personal truth of things, only because you haven’t found another being who thinks like you. Perhaps you are the one who carries such a “crazy conception” in you, that will one day become reality, as you don’t give up and will then some day meet the right person, who will happily realize this together with you.

Airplanes once were just a real “crazy” idea. You don’t notice that anymore nowadays, do you?

Thinking of it: There has never been anyone, who did not realize his ideas or goals. There have only been people, who stopped at some point believing in it or working at it. Did that already occur to you?

Faked Reality

Now I think you are “ripe” for a chapter, that is a tough one. It is pure dynamite, because it shakes the foundations of the structure of society. No, that is an understatement, because it will dissolve the cornerstones into nothing, which however will have the positive effect of allowing a new society to come into existence, which will be built on other pillars than the oppression of any freethinking.

This society in which you live rests upon exactly this false and in previous chapter corrected definition of reality. What does that mean?

It means you live in a house of cards that was built on a lie! It can breakdown any minute, not because the foundation is fragile, no, it doesn’t exist!

It was only pretended there was one.

Do you now understand, why so much pressure, violence, force, laws, psychology, psychiatry and even armies are necessary, to keep this society going?

Why every new idea in human history was always attacked in an attempt to eradicate it? Because the fear dominated that chaos would break loose, if one would let each man have and live his own reality.

“No, no, there can only be the one, to keep order! “ reads the principle of the powers that be, who in reality have no power at all – or have you ever seen a frightened powerful man? A contradiction in terms. Like their university doctrines. In religious studies they teach about the divine, spiritual nature of

man, whereas across the hall the theory of animal instinct and stimulus-reaction-based character of man – named psychology, and another floor up one only talks about man strictly from the viewpoint of inherited genes, that determine all.

And *all* claim they are *sciences* and *true!* Incredible, isn't it?

They are realities, currently existing agreements, no more, no less! And believe me, in no more than fifty years one will laugh so loud about those, who today still think they are teaching something meaningful or with truth content, just as one would smile to oneself about some old outdated theory, once replaced by new insights.

It's been especially the various religions of man that liked to use the fear of hell and eternal condemnation time after time, to spread their depicted " reality " as the only true one and tried to break any one's neck who came up with a conception of life, that could weaken their power position. The basic intention of any religion, that wants to enforce upon you a prefabricated thought- and belief system, is namely control. There are exceptions like Buddhism, which originally was a pure philosophy without prayer, rigid doctrine and other rituals, but in the course of time also there deviations have crept in, which Buddha surely would not find funny at all – and as a matter of fact I know from a reliable source, that is something that he cannot laugh about. This is therefore not even pure speculation.

In Buddhism the one and only objective was the recognition of one's own spiritual nature by leaving the flesh. That's why there wasn't a

“God doctrine”, but one was speaking of the “Supreme Being” and the fact that a being creates its own destiny through its own thinking and acting and should not be taken in by the illusions of the “outside world” - the physical universe - and even possibly look for

the cause of experiences there where certainly no cause is to be found. The only cause is and will only be *you*, the Spiritual Being. You can merely see the caused *effects* in the world around you.

When you throw a rock into the water and the waves slowly spread one could now assume the rock was the cause of the movement of the water, isn’t it?

Well yes, I wouldn’t know what a rock could effect on its own, were it not for a cause deciding to throw this rock into the water and then also doing it with the body’s help.

The whole confusion on the subject of truth and reality was created, so that a mere few could manipulate and without any great effort steer the vast majority of people for their own ends without them even noticing, because from the viewpoint of the information they were given there is somehow nothing that makes any sense and everything looks like chance and “natural” chaos.

Accepting finally the potential of “infinite realities” will not lead to chaos, on the contrary there IS chaos, because it was not accepted yet!

Do you have any idea how often people, when they start to feel comfortable in my presence, in a conversation tell me: “All my life I have had this thought, but never dared to speak

about it with someone, because they would have thought I am insane”?

Do you see what fear people still have, even in these “modern days”, when it is just to talk about, what they sense deep inside and hold to be “real”?

Exactly these are the effects of the “disease” of the pretended “one” reality that gets enforced with violence and penal threat.

In his history man apparently has “learned” one thing very accurately: “you may not think independently and if you do, then you better not talk about it at all”!

Every war, every fight is based on this theme. Your opinion is wrong! Your reality is not mine! Your god is not the god of my reality.

So your God is not the God of the other whom you talk with?

Now please tell me! Who of the two should then think otherwise?

Of course the other, right? Unfortunately the other has the same viewpoint as you do. Now I only hope you have a police force or army, that agrees with your reality, backing you up, otherwise YOU will loose and your reality will have to bend to the stronger one, if you want to live “one reality”.

The best thing would be for both sides to get fully armed, so that they can always threaten the other, in case he would stick to his point of view, hmm? That sounds quite “human” doesn’t it and is generally accepted as a sign of “mental health”. Entire administrations do this. Then it “has” to be right. Of course again half our pay checks will go towards more taxes, because the missiles and tanks, that “protect” our thinking, have to be paid for.

Do you know how much protection you would need, if you would accept other's realities as *their* own?

Zero! You would be safe, because you would have finally understood, that discord and war only arise, when one wants to restrict the self-determination of others and impose one's own view of things, also called "reality" on them.

Now you could argue: "But what about when others want to impose their reality on me? What then?"

To this I answer: "You experience that, what you think!" Change it and stop imagining attacks against your group's or nation's reality as a possibility.

That is also a pretended reality, which is being indoctrinated into your children in schools, so that they will become good and especially easy to control citizens, whose freedom one can take and self-determination one can rob, when they don't "function".

It is being taught, because it is now agreed upon that nobody can do something about his fate and that he can become the victim of anyone who has "evil" intentions!

Yes, when this is what the children believe, they are right. Then they *can* and *will* experience it for sure in the course of their existence.

I also have a son and love him. Exactly that's why I told him the truth: "With your thinking you determine what you will experience in your life. If you imagine bad people around you, you will experience it that way. If you imagine people who are in favor of you, who support your self-determination, you will meet such people and your life will be easier than to folks who only expect the worst, because they watched too

many “X-Files” and created a view of the world along the lines of “The world is full of criminals” and “Don’t trust anyone” for themselves.

Man is basically good and it is the exception when this is not the case. Why not talk to a few people and see how “dangerous” and “evil” they are, once you get to know them a little better.

And you know what? Already for a few months, I have been thinking that there are no more criminals or felons at all and do you know what I read in the newspaper?

Juvenile delinquency in Nordrhein-Westfalen - that's where I live - has decreased for the first time! What a “coincidence”!

You are being given the illusion of this dangerous reality, that supposedly is the same for everyone, so that you will think that way and thus can be kept under control. You can only be controlled as long as you don't start thinking that you could create your *own* reality which, independent of the realities of others, can have validity and be true and which is only accessible when given permission by you.

That's why the media are full of death, suffering or other reports of catastrophes and that's why you are being bombarded with these messages in such a rapid succession, because of this the police visit the schools and teach your children how “evil” unfamiliar people are and not to trust them.

To make sure you never stop living in fear and never think about why in fact we need so much police, surveillance or armies, when people around the world only want to live in peace?

How should the governments of the world still justify

their tax collectors, if you wouldn't need "protection", because you are such a small weak human being who lives in such a dangerous and evil world?

When you are self-determined as impulse 8, you will be able to exactly estimate the effects of this media-news-report and even more precisely understand what I have described above and laugh about how you have thought and felt before, because than you will be completely free of fear. But that doesn't mean you couldn't be free of it already now.

Because I am not dependent on money, I don't need to insist, that first you must have walked my "path", in order to be free, or that you have to throw two hundred euro in my offertory box every month, so that I will "protect" you with my army - which I don't have and would be meaningless - or refuse to give you my "blessing".

Unattained Normality

How often have I heard the sentence: He is not “normal” or also “that is not “normal”? How often did you hear it and perhaps told yourself also? According to what “norm” is this being evaluated as normal and not normal? Who determines this? Who defines this normality?

Here we have a similar problem as with the subject of reality again a foundation without foundation.

Alas I come here again to speak of the psychologist, who has been busily at work here, doing as if there is a “being normal”, which he can’t however define, as when it is said that normal is when one can adjust to one’s environment, be able to operate in a team and in general being social, then I wonder: in which environment?!

My father was in my early youth an alcoholic. Should I then adjust to also be “normal”? Normal is when one as a man swallows a few beers every day? Because of that it was not always very cosy and the conversational tone wasn’t always optimum, to express it “neatly”, but am I not normal, because I did not want to be like my environment?

Considered from a psychological viewpoint I would have been “normal” to be different as this behaviour is accepted by society in such a case, but let me give you another example and tell you how my son was evaluated by one of the “scholars” mentioned above.

He was asked if he could write his name, which he answered “yes”. He was then asked to write it and he asked what you as an adult would also have done: “why?”, to which the

psychologist answered: “as I want to see if you can really do it”. “Do you think I am lying?”, my son said and was sour that somebody would think of him like that and refused to write his name with the words: “I do not have to prove that to you”. The psychologist then “knew” immediately that my son would be unable to go to school, as he is “uncontrollable” and does not do what one says.

I had to laugh and was very proud of my son and thought I have been a good father, to have let my son grow up to such a self-determined person.

Yes, “alas” one has to ask him if he is willing to do what is demanded of him. Just like I assume to have the right to decide for myself whether I would like to fulfil the wish of someone else or not. I find that completely “normal”. Don’t you?

It is for sure that it is not very easy to deal with such a self-determined person, as long as one still deals in the old method to work against the will or to try to control him like a sheepdog, but once one is in agreement with such a person about what needs to be done, you have the friend, which you always wanted to have.

Also in a relation or partnership it should be kept like that. If you or your partner have both the feeling you have to take away the self-determination of the other as you have different views of life and about how a partnership should be lived and therefore there are constant quarrels between you both, I would start thinking about whether you have the right partner on your side.

Perhaps there was no better one at hand when you were single, or you convinced yourself by negative thinking that you would not be able to get the partner you have imagined and therefore let one on your side, who does not share your reality.

This led to you closing the door for your self and your partner to have a desirable and harmonious relationship. So don't complain when your relation goes unhappy, as your partner is not "giving in" to your conceptions. Honestly I don't want a hunch back [cringer] on my side, who completely gave up her personality, because I somehow forced or blackmailed her into it - of course only out of "love" - which is quite "normal".

That would be a relationship under the banner of: "You have the wrong idea, so you have to change", where we would fall back into an earlier chapter. Personally I would call it stone age awareness, to base a relation on this basis. But in a society that is based on the idea of "there is just this one reality", it would probably be the "normal" relationship or marriage.

No wonder that marriage enjoys such a bad reputation and with most young people is almost seen as undesirable and ill-reputed.

Do you see how fixed ideas of "normality" loosen up or change as soon as one starts to look at the situation or circumstances from a higher point of awareness. Do you also see how easily they can be solved? When you look from high enough up, there will be nothing more left to resolve, as you

will from the beginning not make this mistake anymore, that you made based on false values and data that you were taught and with which you agreed.

I would like to make it clear, even though here and there it may seem that way, that I have nothing against psychologists, but they are a wonderful example of an untrue and inapplicable science in the age of self determined, conscious people and beings, who are aware of their spiritual abilities and also finally use them instead of against themselves for themselves. There have been great efforts to invalidate these abilities or deny their existence on “scientific” grounds, to ever get to the point that psychology got accepted as “true” to make you into a controlled citizen.

“You experience what you think” is also valid here. If you agree with what they consider you to be as a being, in psychology, you will lose your complete spiritual potential and so the doctrine of the animal as origin of your being is also true for you and you will be forced to think and act by external stimuli. If you don’t agree, there will soon no longer be psychologists who use their knowledge to animate you in advertisement films, to see each product as ‘can’t do without’ and to buy the stuff one wants to palm off on you.

They will simply disappear from the surface of the earth, as no one would still give a cent for their “services to mankind”, as we self determined beings are no longer manipulatable from the outside and also not want to hear against payment, that your thoughts, feelings, ideas and fears are not “normal”. On the other hand

why shouldn't one pay them? If only, to be able to have a hearty laugh about what once was thought to be science. Then psychology would just be a fun way of amusing oneself – and as laughing is good for your health.....

Brainwashing for free

Basically the expression brainwashing is used to indicate the effort to take away from the individual his own will or break his freedom of decision with the help of physical violence or mental pressure. How strong this pressure has to be isn't mentioned anywhere, but couldn't it be enough to declare someone for crazy or mentally ill, if e.g. he would get the idea the earth is round in order to stir up his whole environment who live in another reality? Wouldn't people in the social environment of such a person not encourage them to break off contacts to this thinking individual, or no longer give it any support, because due to their agreement with this way of thinking they would also soon be considered crazy or mentally ill?

I only bring this up as I was myself already accused of using brainwashing.

You know, when I work with people or also just talk to them, very often and mostly also very rapidly, there is a noticeable change in the character and actions of these persons. Is one of these persons e.g. a woman who used to obey her husband uncompromisingly, and during our talk she all of a sudden realizes how she causes her "thyroid gland weakness" herself by constantly giving up her own thoughts, and even her own opinions to keep the peace and harmony – only with the bad aftertaste of being chronically ill – she will logically get the

idea to end that and conjure up the following situation – never occurred before - to go out with a girlfriend, going to the cupboard and pulls out a nice, short skirt and prepares herself for the evening. Her husband looks at her in disbelief, as he already years ago had been able to eradicate her attempts to dress nicely when going out and he wasn't attending, asks as innocently as can be: “ So, you are not going out tonight are you? This subject we already had discussed earlier on and I thought you had understood that..... “ , when she interrupts him saying: “ You will have to understand from today on, I will decide what to wear, when I go out with a girlfriend, as I am meanwhile for over 20 years of age! “

This is a new situation for him. She did never otherwise make a fuss, never argued against him and never had she been so openly in protest! All alarm bells go off, as the otherwise perfectly controllable, decent wife threatens to develop an own opinion. He lungs for air, finally remembers she spoke of some spiritual nonsense recently, with which she wanted to occupy herself some more in the future – Spiritologie or something like that – and of course he instantly recognizes the danger and the true cause of the conflict, namely: spiritual nonsense.

That's why he is stammering now: “ I don't recognize you anymore since you started with this claptrap. You are completely changed, so that's it now, you stop it. You don't go anymore to this brainwashing and I forbid you to have any more talks with this nutty fellow” - that is me! And what's more, why don't you take your tablets anymore.....?

"She tells him the truth: "as I realized that you were the cause of my physical complaints, i.e. not really you, but me, as I was of course the one who permitted this being patronized by you."

For the first time since the start of the relationship she feels strong and self determined, even when perhaps a bit afraid what will happen to the relationship, as he surely will not take this lightly that he can no longer impress his will upon her. But that will after some time most likely be the next theme she will want to take up, the next time she will see me – her relationship – till she perhaps realizes that there never was a real partnership and she will end it, if he does not start to accept her as an individual with her right to have her own opinions and wishes.

From his viewpoint is Spiritologie then factually a type of "brainwashing". Except that it is an anti-brainwash, as for something to be called brainwashing it by definition has to be directed against the will and freedom of decision of an individual and uses psychological or physical pressure... Spiritologie on the other hand exclusively supports the self determined impulse of an individual, where my personal opinion of what is right or wrong does not count, nor the one of the pope or another star-morality-apostle out of mine or her city block. A real spiritologist – or someone who uses the principles of this book in his life and his interpersonal relations, would even support someone who, like in the example above, perhaps would rather obey her husband again, as he and the rest of the family – children, relatives and acquaintances – would exercise too much pressure and therefore – from her viewpoint – make it impossible for her, to continue to entertain the subject of self-determination.

That is also not a loss for the spiritologist and it isn't a proof either nor is it proving that the Spiritologie isn't able to restore self-determination of a person. If you really look at it the person never gives up self-determination, as it is him who decides to give in to the will of another. Thus even other-determination is again a further illusion, which is based on the main tenet of Spiritologie: "you experience that what you create by your own thinking! "

'Here again I offer the proof that there is actually no way to go, as no spiritual being ever lost his self-determination or freedom. Each being nevertheless also has the freedom to create for itself un-freedom and other-determination for his life to then perceive these things also that way. So in any case do grant him his self-determination and do not make the mistake that too many people already made for too long: To want to impose one's own attitudes and opinion on another being. Then you would be no better than the man who wants to prescribe his wife what to wear, what to think and what to do....

The result of that is either a war or a person without personality, who will then in one hidden way or another wage war against you, in that they will "completely by mistake" destroy objects that you need or like very much, neglect agreements or "forget" appointments etc. Personally I consider both alternatives as not very attractive.

This not really freely invented series of events, including the brainwashing criticism, is a good example to show you who prefers to use such slogans. I.e. those who are afraid to lose control over their docile marionettes, when they start to become

self-determined or somebody like me gets the idea to want to help others to rehabilitate their own opinions, and own will.

The story above also showed clearly how easy it is to give up a self-determination achieved regarding the first impulse, by suppression over the second impulse when one does not have the guts to see these other-determinations as what they are in reality: decisions by oneself to be a victim. A very good indicator for if you live your own will or that of another is by the way your feeling! Are you happy with a decision or unhappy? Are you feeling stronger, healthier or does it make you sick?

When you suffer from depressions, are unhappy or physically ill, you should at least once ask yourself the question, whose life are you leading, whose thoughts are you thinking and for whom do you do what you generally do. You will be surprised!

Don't worry if someone talks of brainwashing, when you start to act in a way different from what others may like. That is a quite normal phenomenon and is relatively deeply rooted in the society in which we live. It already starts off when one as spiritual being takes a body, which normally takes place during the pregnancy or right after birth. Most parents see their child as their child. They see it as their property and try all the time to determine all what this child does, thinks or say. Then it arrives at school, which is of course mandatory, so that afterwards they all in choir can regurgitate the same things which they have to know, to function as a good and decent citizen.

Pounding in unquestioned data will become a routine, so that afterwards everything will be believed that is written in the newspapers or is given as fact through television programs.

Then it can really start with the formation of their opinions.

When someone in school is asked who shot John F, Kennedy, everyone knew instantly that the murderer was Lee Harvey Oswald and gets a little star for the correct answer. The parents of the child already knew this and the teachers of the teachers, who now pass on that “knowledge” to the next generation. Of course no one ever notices the difference between agreeing with information, which one never ever evaluated or observed for oneself and *actual* knowledge. One is happy when one has reproduced that all correctly and gets his reward in the form of a good note for the subject of “politics”.

When you don’t repeat back neatly all that as a well behaved parrot, you are threatened with no future, as later with bad endnotes you will have no chance to earn your daily bread.

This is just a small example of a free brainwashing that could be practiced daily in the media, once everyone has gotten used to look at agreed upon data as actual knowledge: I am lets say a criminal who owns a middle sized organization, and a particular guy’s dealings and actions are going on my nerves. Besides he perhaps has a nice wife, whom I have possibly have had an eye on. So far I have never been in trouble with the police and therefore enjoy a good reputation – just take my great car and the never less than snow white shirts I wear.

I found out that this man goes out for a walk into the woods every night, this he is doing all alone. Additionally I get the information, that he is momentarily short on cash and couldn’t pay the latest rent. So I don’t have to do anything else but wait for an opportune moment to approach him during one

of his nightly walks with a full pocket, put a knife into his hand. Then I cry out don't kill me and draw my wallet, take out a few bills that I offer him fearfully. Shortly before I made a little wound on my lip, by cutting myself with the knife- which he is now holding – so not only his fingerprints but also my blood are on it. Exactly in that moment a few people come close who had observed his deed completely accidentally from far – of course these are paid people out of my small criminal-family, to which people I have no contact at all in daily life and with whom I have certainly never been seen together. Certainly nobody would believe this “crazy” story when the police have heard all testimonies of everyone and from these affidavits it is clear that he is lying, now that he is caught in the act.

When everything has been well planned and organised the man lands of course in court for “armed robbery” and the “witness testimonies” confirm of course without exception and in the newspapers afterwards one can read the “true report” of why this bad person now got his “deserved punishment” .

Possibly his beautiful wife – whom I will perhaps at some later time invite for a nice dinner might separate from him and of course anyone thereafter who has gotten the sentence from the media knows what exactly happened and what to think about this man.

Do you see the tremendous difference between agreed upon data and knowledge?

Do you realize how many people falsely assume they would know something? Did it occur to you how incredibly brainwashed our society already is, if so many people don't even notice anymore that they actually don't know anything, but

only suck up data that they then pass on to others? Isn't it time to finally close the door on this persistent-falsity by making sure that everyone knows the difference between knowledge and third hand information?

And would you please do me a favour, to start right away to check any information you get from external source before you integrate these into your thinking, feeling and acting as "true"?

By making this mistake of not checking you could end up hating a single person or even hate whole races of people and prefer to see them wiped out. In the worst case you participate actively in the destruction of people, only because you were reading in newspapers or seeing the news and let your emotions for these people be caused by pieces of information that you never observed for yourself and that actually shouldn't be anymore than what they are: unverified bits of information!

Do you have an idea now of what I mean with brainwashing? In fact it isn't free of charge, in that you pay for it with the loss of your own thinking, feeling and acting; with the loss of your individual awareness because you became a small powerless particle in a thought control system. Then you have become what is colloquially referred to as "The Masses"! Lift yourself up out of the masses! Think your own thoughts, know what you know and know what you don't know and feel your own emotions!

The question one should always ask oneself is: "Is this statement or information true according to my own personal observation and perception? "

A few questions to try it out:

Who then shot John F. Kennedy?

When did the first people set foot on the moon?

Who is responsible for the attacks on 11 September?

What are – according to your own observation and personal perception – the correct answers?

I wish you a pleasant pre-wash.....!

Three universes

A universe one could simply describe as “a whole system of created things”, to not get into the complexities of what could all be in there, as the possibilities are without limits. In order to de-confuse the construction and anatomy of this special physical universe, which we perceive around us here, I will define three universes, which can be described as follows:

Universe 1:

That universe, which you create for yourself. This is the universe, in which you can create for yourself spaces, planets, times, beings, ghosts, walls, barriers, energies, people, animals and plants along all impulses of existence, where these creations are only perceivable for your self, are only “there” for you. You are determining the natural laws in your universe. You decide if there is gravitation in there or whether the clocks run backwards, if objects move or are immovable.

Universe 2:

That universe, which each other SB creates for itself, as each other SB possesses the ability to create an own personal universe with own laws, sequences and functions inside his creations. It does not matter how big it is or if there are few or

many things created. Yet it can be a closed system.

Universe 3:

The sum of illusions from universe 1 and universe 2 which has been agreed upon by all spiritual beings. The physical universe.

The powerful physical universe consists basically of nothing else than the co-created intersection of the different individual universes.

I think it will be clear by now why I earlier on insisted so much on differentiating truth and reality, why it is so important not to “normalize”, truth, thinking and perception. This is therefore so important, as otherwise no one will be able – when all beings agree on the same things – to influence or change the character of the physical universe.

At present the largest part of spiritual beings agrees that many jobs can be done by computers and micro processors, which one can observe very well in the physical universe, as it is full of this stuff. One can find them almost in every car, in washing machines, air planes, cameras etc. This is “normal”. However that wasn’t always the case!

This is only that way because a single spiritual being was strong enough and still had its own universe, in which it could imagine these things exactly! IT simply created this “illusion” in such a way, that it would be fantastic when certain activities could run automatically, faster and with less force, compared to

the general agreement that was extent before. Then it imagined also that there must be a way to convert this dream. Till that point in time these things did not exist in universe 3, but in his universe 1 he could already see them function.

When one communicates such an illusion then also to other beings, perhaps not everyone will agree that it will be or should become solid reality, but some may. These start then also to imagine this in their universe precisely that what the other being communicated to them.

They duplicate then also the conception of the other – they create *together*; from there “co-create”.

Sometime then this conception gets spread more and more, more and more beings work at the creation, and bring others to agree and all of a sudden such a big reality has arisen, that these plans are converted physically and even can be “proven”. The universe 3 so has changed! Completely new possibilities open up; new playing grounds have been created!

The next generations are then born into the changed universe 3 and experience it as completely normal, that e.g. almost everyone knows what a computer is, can operate one or even has one himself. The next generation almost automatically creates everything, which others had already created in the past, just because they think it is already there. NO it isn’t! When everyone would stop to create computers in their ideas, they would just disappear again, like they showed up! When nobody would think he needed such a thing, nobody would pay for it and the production would stop.

After that computers would have disappeared from the picture and would be replaced by the brand new and maintenance-free “invisible-noiseless-robots”.

And that is exactly why I write these lines. As also undesirable things are visible, can be experienced and are perceivable in universe 3 for the same reason. Yet these undesirable conditions, circumstances – like war, illness or also environmental catastrophes – have not “always” been there. They also were created at one point in a universe 1 and then through communication transmitted to other beings in the universes 2, through which they then at some time, through bigger dissemination and agreement, manifested in universe 3.

Also space and time, heaven and hell, mountains and lakes, planets and galaxies, good and bad, angels and devils, happiness and suffering originated according to the same principle and no other – it does not matter what you may have been told, because they were afraid of the power of your thoughts!

Do you recognize the way of working of a virus in that? Does it occur to you that we are dealing with a sort of infection of thoughts? One can easily check this principle of the three universes and observe it in life. Pay attention e.g. how many people in your environment get ill when the newspaper writes about a wave of influenza, which is around. Do you think these people would have become ill if they hadn't read it? No they wouldn't have! Well, perhaps some would have as others also like to tell so eagerly about what they “know” from the newspapers. It is hard to protect one self from that, as long as one does not have his own thinking under control and is capable of hearing such communications, without automatically

duplicating these in one's universe 1. It is of importance to not simply copy everything in your universe what another hands you as a concepts.

The secret of the functioning and anatomy of the physical universe is the reason for this; the would-be powers always have been paying attention to make dreams and illusions to bad words in order to banish the awareness of the power of thought. They replaced the teachings of spiritual beings by an "animal psychology", which they already teach the children at school, that as single

person one cannot accomplish anything, that dreams are just ten a penny, and one is only a weak, fragile, dependant on each and everyone body, which should now already start thinking about a good old age pension and a good accident insurance.

Rehabilitate you self-determination along all impulses of existence to infinity, let your personal universe 1 fully blossom again and you will know what I meant, when I wrote in the foreword that it is about time finally to wake up!

Self-determination

Self-determination is the ability to place matter and energy in space and time, as well as the even higher ability to create the space and the time, in which to place matter and energy.

This description may appear relatively abstract at first glance, however one can very rapidly determine in which areas the SB is other-determined, on the basis of this definition, if one examines in conversation the eight impulses of a spiritual being in regard to these abilities.

Let us apply this definition to some real simple situations of everyday life and see from there, whether it really is as purely theoretical as it first appeared.

Possibly your boss keeps taking away all the time certain tasks from you, which he then does himself because he thinks you are too slow or in some areas too incapable? Then you would be robbed from your self-determination there, or you would have let that happen. As it will become clear fast, let us say the job concerns aesthetically ordering wine bottles on a shelf-system, that this deals with matter- namely the bottles – which then take a certain position in the space, that this shelf-system occupies.

Another case in point for the rightness and applicability of this definition would be the guitarist of a band who was so eager to have a particular chord integrated into the third stanza of a song being rehearsed. If the remaining band-members are against

it, he will have to restrain his creativity.

This so eagerly played chord would stand for energy, since it concerns a coarse and therefore audible waveform, which comes into being through the swing of several played strings. The beginning of the third stanza is a more exact description for the point in time within the song. Also, the space where the rehearsal takes place is of importance.

One therefore could also restate this particular rehearsal-situation from the view of the guitarist as follows: He cannot get his intention through to his fellow band-members, to place energy in the commonly used space, at the time chosen by him; thus he is seen from our definition as other determined.

If you therefore should hear about somebody, who tells you again and again, that he could not make it to read this book, be aware then that you are speaking with a person who has difficulties with creating time. Time doesn't automatically exist but must be made or created first – this is another aspect of this self-determination definition. There could be as well another explanation of course: This person lies and simply doesn't have any desire to read it, since there isn't sufficient interest in the subject.

And also in this case there was no time created; not stemming from inability but because the person him or herself never wanted to have or create the time for it.

You could build a house or could buy one, in order to create the necessary space for your matter - furniture, possessions, your own physical body etc... If one just rents a house or an apartment, it could happen that the owner e.g.

forbids one to have dogs, as it is his "space", which one may only use for the term of the contract

with the landlord. One doesn't own it and therefore one cannot determine and fully control the utilization of the space either.

Perhaps you know the somewhat sour feeling which one can get, when you come home and find that some object isn't in its proper place. Someone of the family decided to put this object in a place different from what one had intended? So a neighbour - even living six doors further down the street - may have heard at one time or another a penetrating and effortlessly understandable "How come the remote control is not where it is supposed to be, and why?" Clearly from the viewpoint of the chief executive-troublemaker his self-determination was violated. The only thing that happened is that someone misplaced a piece of relative unimportant matter, but he explodes.

A small everyday thing, if you want. But exactly here we have the problem with self-determination: Who may be self-determined (autonomous) and who may not?

That certainly is not a question which a knowledgeable, learned, social-pedagogue could answer in one easily understandable sentence. But one thing is sure: growing up as a child on this earth is in most cases not a good starting point for a later, self-determined life.

One is born and first carried or pushed around to places where one may not care to go at all.

One's own body is almost exclusively placed in space and time by others. Hardly any object may be touched or moved or examined, or fiddled with. Knives, forks, scissors, lights are for sure forbidden - which at a certain age is even quite understandable - but if you are then also told where to put the toys that you got for your birthday in your "own" room you have pretty much had it.

Imagine you won a fancy sports car in the lottery, and the winners-attendant was continually after you trying to show you how you should drive your "present"! I could imagine that you would at one time or another- while hurriedly parking backwards - be tempted to show that guy how a flattened face feels like.

Why it seems that no one seems to understand that all these problems that parents encounter with their pubering offspring not just occur because of hormonal imbalances, but simply stem from the fact that they are at an age that they can also now physically defend themselves against other-determination and often would do so if they would not have been in a position of financial dependency!

As superintendent in a prison one of course has the possibility to let the inmates feel who is boss. But one should also know then which dark corners exist on the way home, that could be found out by those who at sometime later no longer

under arrest... Or, do I see that wrongly? Ok, now let's go back to the pubering...

As owner of male bodies they will soon experience the questionable "joys" of the army. There one is quickly told the consequences of refusal to do one-armed push ups in dirty mud holes that even hardboiled boars would only put their feet in under loud screaming protest. And why all that?

So that finally the last bit of pride and own will, that they still have left can be taken away. So that they are ready to master their existence as adults - or in any case as empty, but functioning shells that can not do any jobs without having to ask the boss what to do?

And, as if all that is not already enough, we also have the psychologist coming along letting us partake in his "wisdom" in this area, explaining how we are determined by our "subconscious" and only react to external stimuli. How damn right the fellow is after one has been through this earth school! But only for that reason he is right! As everything has been designed and tuned to press everyone into a straitjacket of other-determination. And this "common" psychologist around the corner has not even the faintest idea that he himself is only another tool in the chain with the task to plant this "fact" into people's thinking, so they won't get such "crazy" ideas as that one could determine or even control his/her own thinking. Then one could also determine his own actions! That is an idea that his "principals" really don't like! A planet full of autonomous (self-determined) beings, which one cannot control

like a flock of inert sheep? Oh no!

"A spiritual being without its own subconscious", is described in Spiritologie as the first big awareness-level to be rehabilitated. "Why it should be important to not have one's own subconscious?" a somewhat less clever contemporary could wonder. Because one does not act by him- or herself, if one's action is not based on one's own conscious thinking! If your thoughts were really colored by external stimuli or the contents of your subconscious, you would not act wholly from the autonomous personality which is actually you.

If your thinking has been impressed through years of practiced conditioning - education, social compulsions, arbitrary, moral rules of conduct etc... – and let's not forget that we are dealing here with an immortal, spiritual being here, which has not merely spent one lifetime here on earth - the possibility exists that no single one thought that you think in one day, is really your own!

Anyone who ever stood at an intersection and could not decide knows that, as long as there is no motion, no action is taken in any one direction, that there is no advancement in life till one has made a decision and also then put this into effect. I think that we will agree on where self-determination begins, no matter about which of the different life-areas or impulses it concerns: It begins in your own thinking!

Therefore I give to people that talk with me for the first time about the topic of Spiritologie and who have no exact idea of where that all should lead to, a type "Check list" or "Instructions of use manual".

On this list I describe the "goal" of their trip, so to speak. Because how should somebody know whether he arrived at the resort, if he did not previously saw a map of the area? How should that somebody know whether the intended goal is still distant or whether he already went past this point by a long way, if he has only a vague idea of what the destination is? Therefore it's obvious that I describe the goal of this trip, before the start. It is of great importance to fully understand the individual points of this check list, since otherwise one has no appropriate idea of how big the gap is between a "normal" human being and a person who has rehabilitated his full self-determination as "Impulse 1"!

I would like to emphasize again in particular at this point how important it is to take a dictionary to hand, if you should be confused by the following lines or have the feeling not to be able to understand something. Therefore if you frequently have to yawn, while you read, that is a sure sign that a word or several words were not understood in their applicable, right meaning. This only as a sideline, in explanation of the fact that so many people can sleep so amazingly well, when reading.

You can understand everything and can bet on it that there are no reservations from my side, as to your ability. Besides dictionaries, there is also the possibility to use sketches to get deeper into the material of the written text. Even using objects lying around and things like matches, paper clips, paper-snippets, chocolates or whatever can help.

Since I don't describe any object-like things but mental stuff, it could lead to a lack of solidity or "mass". Through sketches or

using small objects, you can create a substitute, which you wouldn't need, if you e.g. would read something about a motor vehicle-engine. This can be viewed everywhere, so the 'matter' the text is about is available. On the subject of "thinking", this looks already somewhat different. If you should experience a lack of mass while reading, you will notice things like that you feel "squashed" or feel "bent", your eyes hurt or it gets you headaches. At the latest then one should add some mass.

Now let's get back to the mentioned "check list", I will make each point easier to grasp with some more detailed, additional explanations.

A spiritual being has gained the status of full self-determination on the First Impulse, when the following abilities as well as states of awareness apply without a shadow of a doubt or reservation and can stand up to very exact and careful testing:

1. An SB (Spiritual Being) who - knowingly and willingly - is cause over mental space, mental time, energy and matter.

Here we would have a person in front of us who can control every aspect of his thinking and be causatively dealing with it. Statements like "I can do what I want, but I still see every day the picture before me, of how my father was laying there with a torn off arm beside his motorcycle",

would be a clear indication that this point would *not* apply!

The motorcycle is part of memory therefore mental matter, like the material arm of the father, which meanwhile no longer exists physically but only as part of a memory. Space and time of the events are likewise not available presently, just as the emotions or the "charges" accrued through the experience. Also colloquially one very much likes to use the expression "I am maybe charged up". Charges always arise there where somebody wishes to avoid that which he experiences right then. There, where one "fights" against a situation in life, mentally protests against it, an invisible "pressure" builds up. Precisely this pressure is the reason why one is "moulded" through certain experiences or so "impressed" by them that one changes character. Maybe you heard already before that memories were designated as "mental impression-pictures"?

Now, a person who is knowingly and willingly at cause is capable of doing whatever he or she wants with a purely mentally existing situation. He could simply remove the emotions and pain from it, and place this experience simply there in the time where it belongs, namely in the past, where it couldn't have influence on the present mood - or could completely dissolve the event! Simply take out the continued existence or, expressed differently, take out the time contained in it, which would make it vanish utterly.

Any reservation or disability that may have arisen would also have vanished

since it is possible that somebody, on the basis of such an experience, would never be able to ride a motorcycle! A person however, who would fulfil the above definition, would be unaffected by his or her past, no matter what it was.

Memories can nevertheless still be created consciously of course, those one sees as desirable in one's own opinion. Memories are anyway not stored "somewhere". They are created *in the present* – by the spiritual being itself - or also not created.

2. An SB which is aware of possessing and steering a physical body; but is also aware of the fact not to be it.

One who already had the subjective experience how it is to remember one or several former "deaths" – perhaps because of the charges connected that kept one from doing things or being happy in the present existence – would certainly have no problem to confirm this statement. This is therefore an important point because one's entire outlook and attitude towards life is different when one is conscious not to be mortal, vulnerable nor assailable.

Of course, the existence of the body one shall not overlook or deny, but if one keeps the statement in view that "*all what one experiences, one is the cause of through his own thinking*", there could be a dramatic change in life, as soon as this awareness floods your thinking.

For, could you be attacked, robbed or be abducted, when you don't think as body but as yourself? No, since you as spiritual being would laugh about such ideas. It is simply

impossible to abduct a spiritual being, which not even occupies actually a position in space and time. And if these ideas are no longer part of your thinking, the criminal who plans something of the sort, probably must wait for the next one coming around the corner, as he gets the "funny" feeling with you somehow, he should wait a little still...

3. An SB without repressions, fears, compulsions or so called psychosomatic illnesses caused by one's own thinking.

Caused mentally - manifesting physically. That is a very much simplified way to describe "psychosomatic". As we are speaking however of a person, who possesses control over the mental processes occurring during thinking, it immediately becomes clear why this aspect belongs to these check list-points.

Therefore, no compulsions can be found either in such a person. Because what would he feel forced to do if he knows that he decides that which he thinks and does by himself and is therefore the sole responsible? Why would one chew their fingernails to the bones if he or she does not like to do that at all? That really would not look self-determined, if he "had" to do that against his own will isn't it?

Fears have their cause in uncertainty or unknownness, which one can very easily create through questions one asks oneself such as in the case of a fear of flying. Actually, one could enjoy the scene above the clouds or get annoyed by the too dry cake that one just got handed by a too highly perfumed, silicon-breasted blond, with the tea.

Instead such a person troubled by the fear of flying wonders: "Whether we ever will land in one piece?" or "How terrible it must be if one were to fall into the icy water down below and be dismembered by sharks. Do you see this?

He poses questions. And exactly through that he creates the fear! If he would be in the present and experience exclusively and only what actually happens around him – or even doesn't happen - this special fear wouldn't exist.

There can be repressions only there, where someone doesn't dissolve something or perhaps better worded cannot "uncreate" something. Where can one repress something to if not deep in oneself? You would not however at home "repress" the stinking garbage into some rooms, which you then wouldn't any longer be able to enter, as it would smell so bestially unpleasant there that you would rather completely avoid or perhaps even want to totally "forget" them. Especially not, if you knew, how and where you could dump the garbage. And since a person who is cause over thinking can look at and dissolve such things, that he normally would have repressed - because anyway he as spiritual being could not really be damaged by anything - also such repressions are unnecessary and therefore no longer there.

4. An SB with the ability to create, let continue to be or delete every type of subconscious for itself.

"I myself do not have an explanation for my aversion to trumpets either. It must be my subconscious."

One can now and then pick up something of the sort, if one listens to the woolly conversation of a couple of people, on a lightly clouded, average Sunday evening. And if one approaches these people uninterestedly and asks, one could think that they would know of what they speak there! Such words have become part of the language and are so readily accepted, that one could think they would also be understood by those juggling them. But that is far off the mark!

Never ask such people what exactly the subconscious, about which they speak then, could be. Better also never ask, *where exactly* it sits. It seems they come *from somewhere* these ideas, views, attitudes, thoughts and feelings of this mentioned subconscious. Yes somewhere, witness the statements of such people! Thus, the subconscious must be somewhere, isn't it?

And if it is somewhere, of what does it consist? Which form, structure or anatomy does it have? What is contained in it? If one knew what is contained in it wouldn't it already be conscious? How does it look? Why can one talk about it, if however it itself is *below* consciousness - which is what the actual meaning of the word is - or do I see this wrongly? If it is *subconscious* it should not be *knowable* even that there was such a thing.

You see what I am getting at?

If you could answer all these questions - and you better be sure that you have all these answers in yourself, regardless of what you may have been told till now - you would not have any problem to demonstrate any of the above mentioned abilities;

and every psychologist of this world would put his certificate at your disposal in case your toilet paper should have run out again, on a long evening walk.

One could describe the subconscious as the accumulation of all those things for which the person has not taken responsibility! Exactly for that reason it is used in many cases, since it is e.g. a fantastic excuse for any rapist who would like to declare his actual non-responsibility before the judge, energetically supported in that by the certified

evaluation of the "expert"! Because it says that he would not have done it at all if it weren't for the strong impulse from his subconscious mind, which is probably due to his traumatic childhood bla, bla, bla.

I would have the fellow who produced this expert opinion and who will take care that these irresponsible criminals after short time already will be on the lookout again for the next victim, locked up at the same time! I would do that if I wouldn't know that there is Spiritologie as an alternative handling, with which his consciousness can be brought up to the point at which he would recognize to which *superstitions* he fell victim himself.

I think that it is about time that we stop allowing such pseudo-scientific nonsense, like those teachings that make one believe that a subconscious - which can never be fully understood or removed - actually would exist in every person, to be taught at universities.

And what should not be tolerated at all is that one dumps such erroneous information as *scientifically acknowledged "truth"* into the children's study materials in all schools of this world -

which they then have to parrot at the time of tests and examinations merely to get good grade, which they need in their later life!

Because once the children have integrated this into their thinking, they will also have created for themselves a subconscious, which would not have existed at all without this! And we have the next potential rapist, who *simply could not do anything else*, even though he himself didn't want it at all etc...

A person, who has taken *full* responsibility for his own being, his own thinking, feeling, communications and actions etc. , doesn't need a "subconscious" any more as an excuse and becomes very willing to show you - with much relief expressed in the voice - how exactly one creates one, how to let it continue and how to dissolve it again!

5. An SB which released itself from the dedicated (fixed) link to the pictures, masses, energies, pictures, times and spaces of the mind and can think and decide completely independent from it.

You know what often is disturbing about people, who occupy themselves their whole life with very logical things? They can usually not laugh anymore!

As a child I loved this TV-series with Stan Laurel and Oliver Hardy. And do you know what always was the most fun? It was this completely stupid and illogical way in which both in turn simply stand and wait what mischief the other would produce once they would get into an argument again. Stan's wondering, stupid gaze, as Oliver is busy smashing an raw egg in

slow motion, on Stan's skull and it's running over his face and that he then with the tip of the tongue still manages to taste a little of it while he thinks of his next move. God, did I laugh! Today, I can give you a very exact analysis on why it was that I almost fell out of the chair from laughter: as

it was completely illogical to behave that way in such a situation! Logic would not have been funny. Nobody laughs about something which is logical! And no spiritual being that is too much connected with this experience-based logical mind, can still laugh really heartily or appreciate the real joys of life.

A being, which devoted itself completely to logical reasoning, can no longer laugh about such characters as "Stanley and Oliver". It would say at the most something like: "that is stupid. I cannot understand why one laughs about such things." With that statement it describes exactly what makes one laugh: Stupid, irrational stuff: things that are illogical or something, which makes no sense.

The problem of the logical person is that he can no longer think stupidly! He can no longer be consciously illogical and thereby lost his sense of humor. But he has lost something much more important than his sense of humour, if one is no longer capable of being illogical: His ability to be *creative*, their potential to think up and create something *new*. He reduced the entire area of his imagination to zero; with that he falls out of the category of persons one needs to advance a company or civilization.

In the areas of science, research, technology, politics etc... one is up the creek without a paddle, if one does not have sufficient creative, courageous, individuals, who are capable of introducing solutions and ways that no human being before them dared to think.

If scientists are concerned with how to reduce the time it takes to travel to far off planets, it is quite in order to try to find out whether there could be more effective fuel-types, that make a higher speed possible to overcome the distance between planet A and planet B in shorter time. But why doesn't somebody say once: "Stop, that's enough! We let the whole thing be and start from a completely new point of view. In order to bring some Schwung into the matter we are now going to get busy sorting out how to manipulate the space between two remote points, to reach a diminution of the distance to be bridged. We have been long enough engaged in accelerating matter with the help of energy in order to cross the space standing between us and a destination. Now we will look at how to handle this from a much higher point of view. In the next six months I would like to see the first results. Let's go!"

Space-manipulations. Stupidity? Or not realizable? Ah! Read once again the chapter on "Three universes", in case you may have "missed" something there.

You now have an idea of what it means when a self-determined person has been able to get out of the solid connection with this thing called mind? Great! And even Albert Einstein – who by the way was a very humorous and "crazy" contemporary of his

generation – confirms the importance of this fifth definition with one of his very well known statements, which you will be familiar with: "*Imagination is more important than knowledge*".

Thank you, Albert!

6. An SB without undesirable or enforced behaviour patterns – seen from his own viewpoint.

I think, this almost speaks for itself and doesn't need actually many further words of explanation. Of particular importance is actually only the aspect of the own view

here; of the viewpoint. It doesn't matter what a third party would think about your behaviour or how he judges it. It is only of interest, if you find in yourself behaviour patterns, which you didn't establish yourself on the basis of your free will, which would be put here.

Only because you are a person, who even in holiday times wakes up every morning at five o'clock, and first has to listen to the current stock market quotations because otherwise not even the exquisite breakfast would taste good, would by no means lead me to assume that this would be an undesirable or imposed behaviour pattern for you. If that is precisely what you want to do because it simply is your personal "ritual" to begin the day with, nothing at all would be wrong with that. Quite different it looks if you only would do that every morning because you "can't" do otherwise, even if you would decide so.

Then, you can also be sure, however, that a lot of 'explanatory' reasons for it are present in your thinking, that simply lead to the fact that this behaviour pattern could not be

changed without problems and through the simple decision to "do it differently from now on". But these "reasons" and "why's" will rise in your consciousness once you listen a bit closer in yourself while addressing this topic. For it almost never is only a single "thought-pattern" like "I simply wake up every morning at five o'clock - whether I want or not", which is responsible for a certain difficulty; for if it would be only that one crucial thought that upholds this performance, the matter would have been solved faster than one could pronounce it. If however, one looks repeatedly at this first, obvious, well known and familiar thought-pattern through his "mental eye", one gets further thought-patterns coming to view that are supporting the whole thing, by which one gets precisely that beautifully knitted sweater, that one cannot then so easily transform again into something else, which would have still been possible in the stage of the single, loose woollen thread.

When one however takes this sweater under the magnifying glass one will then also discern the other stitches and loops which hold it together and make it into what it is. In other words there are incredible quantities of such set up thought-patterns that may appear; they already lose their strength and influencing power though by mere recognition and unevaluated perception. These patterns, possibly occurring in very large numbers, can have contents like: "I simply am a work animal", "there I am like my father, as my mother already used to tell me when I was a teenager", "I can simply not change my ways" or different variations, that you - as the infinity, that you are in reality - therefore also would have been able to create in endless quantity!

Undesirable or imposed behaviour patterns can also take much bigger proportions and dimensions, such that when discovered, for a moment one may stop breathing, it may have been that one's whole life was based on it!

For such dimensions let's take the fictitious circumstances of a relatively successful and well-to do attorney, who obviously copes well, as far as the material side of existence is concerned.

Everything runs - from a pure human view – according to plan, and for each outside observer it looks as if nothing of any significance would change in this successful woman's life in the next ten years. She is forty-two years old meanwhile, not really quite happy, but generally quite content with herself. She only gets irritated from time to time over the fact that on some days her ability to concentrate fades so rapidly, that quite frequently only after hours she manages again to get again a grasp on the case she is working. She must actually formally force herself to do it.

In the meantime – when she is again in such a state as previously described - she doesn't really do anything productive but rather watches TV documentaries about the life of Buddhist monks which she has in her DVD Collection, or reads books that

deal with paranormal phenomena, that already fascinated her since her childhood. Nothing really to worry about till this point, one is likely to assume. Also the sporadically occurring headaches, that cause a light but still well bearable dizziness, do not seem to have any connection with that. Only the for her rather "unseemly" behaviour gets her in a stress-situations now and then, because somehow the hours lazed away somewhere

show up as missing; and exactly for that reason she would like to dig into the matter profoundly.

When she finally does that and shines the light more and more precisely and intensively over her behaviour patterns, she finally then comes to notice that almost her whole family consists of lawyers. She suddenly remembers also the everlasting arguments with the parents, when she wanted to break this "tradition" because she didn't feel the slightest desire at that time to practice the occupation of attorney at law.

It gets after all much "worse" when she remembers who she actually is - as spiritual being.

She found out to once have been an important Buddhist teacher, who had set herself the task to carry these teachings into the western world, to tell people about their spiritual nature and the possibility through responsible thinking and actions, to break the eternal cycle of lives, death and renaissance. And that is exactly what she does since then!

Now, there are no more questions about why such topics had attracted her attention so much or why she felt her existence wasn't really satisfying. She lived the life which her parents had made up for her - not her own! That is why the apparently atypical behaviour pattern was really *her own*. The rest she has in the meantime shaken off and the headaches have never shown up again since she found out.

A very good measuring-stick for establishing whether something is really yours, therefore part of your self or not, is the quality and intensity of your own contentment. Even

physical health is an important indicator. How was it called again so beautifully? A healthy body houses a healthy spirit."

7. An SB whose conclusions, calculations, decisions or thoughts are no longer falsified or distorted by unconscious influences, that previously were the cause for faulty outcomes in his thinking or led to irrational behaviour.

In order to actually be able to solve an existing problem, all facts concerning it first must lie on the table. If aspects of it are missing, it may only partially resolve or just can not be solved at all. This statement applies also to the areas of thinking and action.

If you really had to repair a car which wouldn't start, you would have to start finding out which components and conduits are connected to the starting mechanism. Leave the spark-plugs out, and it can happen that you don't examine the components that cause the problem and therefore you will never have a repaired car. Of course, you can make up a justification, and "invent" another "true" cause. With a real pompous and intellectually sounding explanation you could possibly have impressed the unknowing, but you would have demonstrably not have managed one thing: to solve the problem!

That this approach in psychology often is the rule unfortunately seems not have attracted anybodies attention until now. Therefore I just thought that I would mention this "trivial" point in this connection.

There was a man once, who was quite unpopular in his time. Nevertheless I would like to quote him: "By their deeds will you recognize them".

Jesus of Nazareth knew how to distinguish liars and honourable people and real pros from quacks. He also knew what to give attention in order to be able to uncover exactly this fine distinction, namely: on the result, which is obtained by the work or action of this person.

The human being is not too complex in order to understand him in his entirety. The existing mental "sciences" are simply too "untrue" and so full of "unrestrained fiction" to possess any real workability. Therefore, we simply apply now the principle of the failed car repair to the area of thinking, because it doesn't matter which problem one tackles. If all facts are known and understood, it is solvable - so this is also applicable to human behavior.

Somebody who does something, which looks stupid or irrational, knows why he does it! Of course, it can be that he hid these reasons and motives so well also from himself that he at first really does not know, but as this has been self-induced, it also is possible to revert it. If a being started at one point to deny knowledge of various decisions about its own behaviour, or deny its own character for itself - and for an immortal being this can be a very long time ago – that could create the appearance that there would be something like "unconscious" influences that slip into decisions or modify the behaviour of beings against their own wishes. But as I said: It has only the appearance that these influences are unconscious. Therefore, it can occur that a person, when leaving a home, always carries a litre of water - which he can explain only in extremely illogical ways. With more exact examination of this behaviour a certain incident then comes into view by using a certain procedure which is applied in Spiritologie – where this person as caravan-leader made a trip to

a water-source which was a two days-ride away. Only to have to face the fact of no more water at that destination which led to the death from thirst of all parties. Amid his agony the person then made the decision shortly before his death, in future never again to leave a house without an additional litre of fresh water.

When the person, who manifested this "strange" behavior becomes aware of this incident he can revise the decision. In the present life-situation, there are houses with streaming water on every corner if he would get into a situation of getting real thirsty. One can simply ring and ask for one glass of water. Now, the actual reasons for this *funny* behaviour are known and he can make a new decision that meets current circumstances and demands.

The type of procedures that are used in Spiritologie in such a case, I will describe in later chapters. However it should also be clear that one basically does not require any procedure to find such behaviour on oneself and change it, since it is visible what one does. And the decision to behave in such a way one makes each second newly where one decides again to behave like that. Just like the alcoholic, it's of course not that he drinks today because his mother never liked him, but the exact reason today is that he knowingly grabs a bottle and wants to drink! But it simply sounds better if it is not due to oneself, therefore a procedure can sometimes more rapidly expose to the daylight the unknown aspects, which otherwise may have remained unheeded in the darkness. Because perhaps the true reason for reaching for the bottle is that, that he simply is too shy to talk to a woman while sober – or something of the sort. But as long as this part of the problem is not on the table, one cannot tackle the actual problem of the shyness.

From the view of the alcoholic described here, the alcohol would not be the problem at all. No, the alcohol is for him the *solution* to an actual existing problem, which is however until then still unknown, because it was not given attention - as in the case of the spark-plugs with the handling of the car starting-difficulties. Therefore, you would fail to talk him out of his solution, if you tried. This by the way is the real problem that all those who try to help cases of drug or medicine dependency encounter!

8. An SB which took over the full responsibility for his thinking and acting and no longer attributes this to the past, the subconscious or other sources.

The taking of full responsibility is - in the present-day society - not a real popular thing. We already have extensively taken under the magnifying lens the subconscious as excuse, but there are still infinitely many other possibilities of course, with help of which one can present oneself before one's fellow men as "victim" of external circumstances. The most popular statement of reasons, if one in a weak moment and less creative hour really cannot think of anything else, is *God*. "I have no idea what God might have thought that time he sent me into life with these character-traits." Sounds great for a victim, isn't it? It is clear, however: This poor person obviously can't be blamed for acting often like an anti-social personality.

The "zodiac-boys", I don't even want to start with, who always happily bring up planetary-constellations at the moment of birth, to try to excuse their almost impossible style of addressing one in such an aggressive tone, that one could only get the feeling one is the original sin personified. If one points

this then out to the person once in a while, one gets a “well, you know I don't do this with any bad intention”. You know I am a scorpion and they always have their scissors out very fast. Aha! Now it is clear of course why no responsibility for their behaviour can be granted there. Scorpion! One however, should have known that beforehand as one immediately would have understood and could have accepted any verbal brutality on the part of that person!

At times one could wonder: “Would it also be okay and acceptable if I would bang him directly in the face saying I am a Taurus (bull)?” However I would not feel so irresponsible at that occasion. But the scorpion in reality also not, since he knows exactly, how far-fetched this explanation is. One only can't get any change of behaviour unfortunately if he doesn't admit this pushing off of the responsibility. Let's therefore hope simply, that he is fed up someday to constantly lie with everyone in the clinch and desires an introduction into Spiritologie, because he heard from friends that there were a few answers to be found to the question what to do if one wants to establish his life more autonomously and at the same time somewhat happier.

Right! As described in this point: to raise one's own responsibility to one-hundred percent and no longer hold to one's bosom those cherished excuses, justifications and explanations, which make external circumstances responsible for one's own beingness.

9. An SB without its own subconscious.

The last point of the check list is putting all of this together as a total-concept of the single points 1 to 8, which you now certainly would agree are integrated in this one sentence.

Actually, I also could have just put this descriptive definition on the list, but I don't think that it would have had so much power, as is the case now after the full scope of the meaning of these few words is known.

When it is about the self-determination as Impulse1 all points of this checklist are verified without exception in respect to the person himself!

I can assure you that this is an incredibly beautiful and powerful state, but I can also assure you that it only starts to really get moving after this step. Because when this state is reached or rehabilitated with full certainty, one can start to extend this to all eight life-impulses - towards full consciousness as infinity!

Cause and effect

Let's assume, you see a foot that braces itself powerfully on an accelerator. Almost in the same moment, you then hear also the loud roar of a motor. A very clear and transparent situation that would exist right in front of your eyes. One could then assume that you could see very exactly what the cause was for the effect that was just achieved.

If I would put this question about the cause to different people who also had been able to precisely observe this event, I would almost be inclined to predict that a few of them, with a probability close to certainty, would already have lightly peeked undertone in their voice, when they would spit out their "of course obviously". Obviously? Well I am curious to know whether these people could actually see the cause

Their answers probably would be reading something like: "Cause was the fellow there, who pressed the gas pedal , "the cause of the sound was the loud motor", or maybe also: "Cause was that the car was repaired previously and should be tested with that action to see whether everything is in order" again.

Sounds not at all bad, or? For the first attempt, that is.

The problem is only: No one has wanted to tell me the *cause* or couldn't. They all gave me *effects*.

But it was a mean thing to do to formulate the question just the way I did, as I wanted to know for sure if they could "see exactly what the cause was of the effect that was just

created". And I was sure they couldn't have seen any! How could I be so sure? Because, meanwhile it is almost "commonplace" to say: "The essential things are not visible to the eye".

These people saw a physical body that moved, a foot in motion, they heard an engine or spoke of a recently done repair. There is however but one single cause in the example: And that is the invisible spiritual being!

The cause is that which initiates an act, motion, impulse or an action, in other words starts it.

The foot *was* moved; that was visible. The whole physical body could be seen in action and perhaps one could even perceive the engine parts with the eye that were moving faster, okay. But all these things are physical and consist of matter – even if partly of living matter, like in the case of the body. Matter is not, was not and will never be factually cause!

If you don't want to believe me, just place your body, in order to verify my statement, for a day in a chair and wait if the foot starts doing something by itself or as far as I'm concerned, if the chair gets fed up sometime and goes to the Turk on the other side to order a juicy Döner. No ... no.

When I throw a stone against a windowpane which breaks apart in a thousand pieces by this action, one could say, as usual – or out of pure human ignorance – that the stone broke the windowpane. This statement however is only correct in a pure

material sense, as the stone didn't "do" anything apart from just being a stone, or to be what it was created to be. Matter however reacts, it doesn't act! It may seem strong and impressive, but it has no power. Matter is effect! Just a moment! Did somebody say that there possibly could have been another cause? "You are what, please? Psyche-, Psychi-, well whatever! What did you say? The brain is cause? Oops!"

So the throw of a stone is caused by the brain? Yes, as the deliberately motions are directed by the motor center, which is located in the posterior part of the frontal lobe all is clear now. I must have been mistaken, my statements have been disproved and I will not now continue to write this book. But you and I now know who or rather what is the cause: The lobes! (Lapps*) And that even though I loved Scandinavia.

But perhaps its better when I, just to be sure, first do a test. I am going to stand in front of another display window – the stone I have already picked up beforehand – and now I wait, for this center in my lobes which coordinates and controls, to go in action but ... nothing happens! No causation of a broken window, no stone flying through the air, the arm is already hanging limply alongside of the body, no noise – nothing! Hmm?

We were talking about *deliberate* motion when this center – the *primary motor cortex* – was mentioned. Whose will? Okay, I am back in the running! The book will be continued.

A will is needed as well as an impulse-giver in order to convert the will created by the impulse-giver into an action that can be perceived as an effect or can be seen. I talk the whole time of that: of the cause, the source starting an action, initiating an impulse etc. We can leave it with the assumption that the brain executes certain steering functions, but this statement is of a similar kind as, an accelerator pedal steers certain processes! Yet it needs someone who can be cause and uses it! The brain alike is effect of a cause!

And this cause is you, the spiritual being. Invisible, without position in space or time, without wavelength, energy or mass, without motion – pure potential – like in the chapter “The eight impulses of existence” was described under the “Impulse 8”.

No wonder though that so many things are explained as cause that in fact are not cause at all, but effect! You hid yourself well. Congrats.

Of course, I could build or create something which by itself then causes something. I could write a small computer program which would – with intervals of eleven months, over a 3 months period – spit out a random number between one and forty-nine, which would then produce all kind of colorful displays on the monitor. Then I befriend “Bill Gates” who arranges that this program gets installed on every PC in the world in such a way that it can’t be turned off, as it had been integrated in the operating system itself.

I am sure that some people would be pleased with it, others would get extremely irritated and others again would explain this rare phenomena as kind of “Appearance of Madonna” - and conscientiously as they are, have the sales figures of sacrificial candles reach great heights. Whatever may come of it: This program then causes something. But it only causes something within a framework that was exactly predetermined by me, as even producing the apparently really *random* number, was *programmed* by myself and so is not really “*actual chance*”.

* Translator’s Note: in German Lobes= Lappen=Lapps from Lapland

Wouldn’t it really be that also this program is again only a perceivable, observable effect that I – as cause – have consciously created? Yes, that is so but perhaps you are now wondering why I, with all this talk about a computer program, deviate so far from the subject.

This is because I could as well have been talking about some balls that always behave in a certain manner, turn about, circle other balls, some of which are particularly big and hot. I could have written about plants that arise out of nothing, then grow bigger and bigger, to have then leaves colored which they then lose again. Small colorful aromatic creations would also be very nice, that blossom, then completely disappear, but then next year – as if by the spell of a witch – sprout from the ground.

You realize that I write about planetary constellations, their orbits, suns; trees and plants, that portray a very special behavior?

These are all effects – like the random numbers of the computer program – that we can observe. They were created. Created by a cause. Created with the intention to cause exactly that what they cause. Created to behave exactly in the way they do behave. And of this kind of things there are endless numbers! An infinity of experienceable, perceivable, visible effects we can find around us. But that which has created this unlimited multiplicity and complexity, is not part of this world, as this world consists of the products and creations of this creator – of effects. These effects were created by an actual cause which has been looked for in vain in this world to this day.

And this cause ... is *you!*

God defined

I would like to begin this chapter with an original-quotation from the sermon of the "Holy Father" Johannes Paul II, which he held at the occasion of the Holy Saturday-Easter Celebration, on the 11th of April 1998:

*"Let us make people in our image, similar to us." (Gen 1, 26)
"God created therefore people as his image, as image of God, he created them. As man and woman, he created them." (Gen 1, 27)*

Johannes Paul II was quoting from the story of creation in the Bible, the first book of Moses, Genesis.

As "image"! An image is defined as an exact rendering, as reflection, as reconstruction, copy or also likeness if one looks up the meaning in different dictionaries.

Since I don't yet think to be completely goofy, I would have to actually be on the right track thinking that God would be human then, or more precisely physical, isn't it? Not only simply physical but also simultaneously female and male, since *both* were created as his image!

Why then does the whole remaining Bible state that God is of a pure spiritual character? And above all: Why doesn't it occur to the former head of state and Upper Preacher of the Catholic church that this statement somehow sounds completely idiotic? Can anybody give me a *logical* explanation for this?!

I mean, perhaps it is one's duty to hand over his mind at the foyer before one enters the university in order to study

theology and maybe pursuing the intent to become pope?

For how dumb must one consider his sheep before one would quote something like that in public? I would be ashamed of myself to utter something like that and because the illogicality screams to the high heavens, for that reason alone I would not teach or relay such as teachings. Furthermore I would definitely be sure as well that everyone would notice it, since I usually don't hold people for obedient full-idiots who simply swallow everything. But apparently there are still too many beings who were so overpowered by the brutality of the crusades in former lives that they even today don't yet dare to question this church and their teachings, because it was *impressed* upon them what would happen if they don't believe every conceivable contradictory nonsense! Murder and homicide have always been unbeatable "arguments" in questions of belief.

Now once again the clarification of the meaning of the word "Image" for the few dyslectics amongst those, to which you possibly want to pass this book: The image of an apple is an apple! The copy of a sheep is a sheep! The image of a human being is a human being! And God's image cannot therefore be a human being *by any means!*

But it still continues! And I quote once again, like the pope, from the book Genesis: "*Let us make people in our image, like us.*" (*Gen 1, 26*)

Let us? Who then are *us*? There are therefore *several* of those that are called God?! Or did God mean himself and his mother maybe? Because a "mother of God" was mentioned also already often in Christian writings and sermons.

Quite honestly: do you know what sometimes occurs to me when I look at mankind overall? Wouldn't the mean "*mother-in-law-of-God*" have had her unwashed fingers in the bowl here! But strangely enough I have not yet heard or read anything about her. Maybe the fact that she wasn't mentioned proves it to be true. Is there no saying: "If two argue, the third one is happy"? If one reads the rest of the Bible, there constantly was dispute between God and the human beings, which went on till the deluge.

Therefore if you ask me, this story with the third one in the pack – "God's-mother-in-law" still makes the most sense.

You are right if this all sounds quite like blasphemy or rather profane, I would like to point out however that I don't ridicule any God or Supreme Being with my statements but try to expose the naiveté and stupidity of those that call themselves scholars!

On other civilized planets people who would not be able to understand, analyze, recognize contradictions, question and rectify if necessary written materials, could hardly earn their *daily bread*; let alone reach an influential power-position.

Do you know that this quotation from the book Genesis has a quite different meaning in English?

There, it reads: "So God created man in his own image, in the image of God he created him."

Well this already sounds quite different, however, Mr. Pope! Would have better parroted the English edition there probably.

What does it mean, if one says a painter created the landscape in his own image? Of course, that he brought the landscape on the canvas in accordance with his own idea! It does *not* mean that the landscape is a copy or image of the painter!

This admittedly reads already much, much better and doesn't have this idiotic contradiction in it either as in the German translation which was used by the pope, but now I really must disappoint you, because:

God has not created man at all. That was someone totally different!

How I should know this? When you have widened your own consciousness to infinity, you can answer the question yourself! And even if the pope would tell you in person that it is impossible, let me assure you that there are already spiritual beings on this level, which succeeded with the help of Spiritologie in rehabilitating themselves as "Impulse 8".

I certainly don't demand that you now believe *me*, but I would like to tell you a story of creation that is not full of strange contradictions and inconsistencies, but a story of creation that disentangles and uncovers aspects that hitherto have remained "inexplicable".

Before the beginning was a cause and this cause made the decision to be. Previously, there were neither "being" nor "not-being", only a potential to be.

Sounds abstrusely philosophical? I give you an example since you know this condition exactly!

You know it because you assume this exact original state almost daily!

If you sleep – dreamless sleep – are you then conscious that you exist? Do you have then the idea that you aren't? No, and there is also no time or the question of "how long was the time before the beginning?" For as long as you sleep dreamlessly there is no "how long", since time doesn't exist! Therefore, there is not any idea either like: "How boring to be so long in the original state of non-being." There simply is nothing at all, do you understand this? Okay, so this cause has by its own decision awoken to being and what did it see? Nothing!

But that was really so since nothing was yet created. There was not even empty space since no idea of space was yet created.

Nobody was there to explain or show anything to this cause since there was not yet any "other" either. Therefore, this cause began to create a viewpoint. A point from where one can perceive. However, there was not yet anything to perceive. Only nothingness. This cause thought, maybe there could be something and stretched out dimension points through which expansion and consequently space was generated.

A dimension point simply is a spot as far as you try to perceive or to see. In everyday life, you can focus on a car on the highway before you or shift this dimension point to the end of the highway – as far as the horizon - through which you create and perceive more space of course, compared to when you only look as far as the car that drives in front of you.

The cause sought and sought, however, found nothing, and this cause thought that there would have to be something or somebody there, though! And it created through these

considerations for itself more and more uncertainty. Whereas in actual fact what was there was exactly what it had perceived - namely nothing!

In the meantime, however, the cause had created something like expansion. Space that it could search - and it put up the consideration: "there must be somebody that is exactly like me somewhere!"

And so the cause set out to search for that which would have to be exactly like it. There was not yet time then, therefore it is unclear how long the cause was searching before another cause actually appeared one day! And exactly at this point in the development of the spiritual being we have the creating an image or likeness! But it was not a human being that was created, but the until then single and consequently "Supreme Being" created the idea of another spiritual being with infinite create-potential "in its image". The Supreme Being - or let us just use the designation "God" for it - created thus an exact copy of itself!

At this place I would like to call a statement to memory once again; this I described in an earlier chapter as the discovered cornerstone, on which this book is built:

"Everything - and I actually mean exactly that, namely everything - which you perceive and experience, is exactly that, which you create by yourself through your own causal thinking; in fact exactly now!"

However, this discovery could be done only by having been able to trace innumerable situations, circumstances, conditions, "coincidences", difficulties or strokes of fate back to before their first appearance, even to a point before the causation! And at

every examination it was established that in the beginning there was the thought! Before these causal thoughts that type of difficulty or situation did not exist! Only after that, it appeared in the existence of people. I really looked for an exception, since I wanted to be factually certain whether there are not after all different aspects that cause something, before I go public with this statement, but this looked for exception wasn't found in a single case! In the beginning there was always the thought, the concept or the idea about that what is, will be or could be!

God had infinite creative power indeed, but he was not consciously aware of this! This whole story about the willing and knowing creation of God is a purely human invention! That's why it is so completely contradictory, irrational and does not really explain all!

The Supreme Being had no experience in dealing with his unlimited creative power, don't forget that!

It never has said: "So, now, I create – as it happens to be Monday and I am especially creative today - a being, which is just like I am!"

No, this was not the case. This being did indeed show up one day, but only a very long time - if one can speak at all of something like a timeframe – *after* this being came about through the concept "there must be somebody that is exactly like me somewhere" which called into existence a very likely possibility, which meant that it could manifest itself one day.

Particularly the future *possibility* is an extremely sneaky way to create something that can be experienced!

Now I must slide for a bit into the realm of biology however,

since I would like to explain something to you about cell division, which you already know – but can contribute to the understanding of what happened afterwards.

When a cell divides, both cells have the same information afterwards which - until the exact point in time of division - was still the information of the undivided, individual cell. Right?

Which "information" could God then after the – let's call it "division" on the basis of the example given – have passed on to the other being? The information of course "of being", then the information that there is "unknownness" and especially - and that is the most important aspect - the information that before the division there was the consciousness of being alone! As that was the idea leading to the want to find somebody else. And "somebody else" comprises the concept of "not-me". Also this information was therefore passed on at the exact moment of the division!

All these "*inheritable data*" therefore now exist also in the other being, which then "knows" of course that it was created only "later" since also the knowledge of the first being was passed on to the second, which contained the fact that "previously it had been alone". An incredibly important matter, which will later lead to what was described as the "original sin" in the Bible. But I will come back to that.

These two beings therefore communicate with each other after the first meeting on a non lingual level; but by exchange of concepts.

One day, the "new" being asks the "first" being the questions: "Where do I come from? Did you create me? You were there before me, isn't it?" upon which the first being

replies: "I don't know where you come from or who created

you. I only thought that there would have to be somebody like me and then went on the search and one day we met, as you know."

The first being answered thus absolutely truthfully based on its own experience and consciousness at that time.

It cannot answer the question either, as to who created it and from where it comes, since there is not any memory to be recalled of course before the beginning.

Do you understand the problem here?

Imagine you would not have any physical but just a pure spiritual beingness. You would have exactly the difficulty that also the Supreme Being had, to explain yourself if you would suddenly wake up from the *very first* dreamless sleep, before which there had not been any state of wakefulness!

From where would you come then? From nowhere! Who would have created you? Nobody! And what was previously? Nothing! And what exactly would be you? You wouldn't know it! Whereas those would be the exact correct answers, however, that you could know of course! You really didn't have any position in space or time; and a "character" or particular "mode of being" you had not yet defined for yourself either.

And I swear to you that one can, facing such true but also extremely vague appearing answers, feel damned uncomfortable, to then perhaps - like the two first beings - decide that there must certainly be even more beings who could perhaps provide answers to these questions.

Of course, both beings now create simultaneously many

further beings in their - now common - idea, these beings are then also "found" someday. But also they don't have any answers to the burning questions and only know afterwards that these two beings existed before them and that the one being existed even before the other.

And now, the dispute begins, which I called "original sin" a moment ago. All know that a being existed before all others. So one of the newly arrived beings understandably gets the idea that it has been lied to! Or don't you think that your children would call you a liar, if you wanted to explain to them you did not know where you came from? You were there after all before your children were there; wouldn't it therefore be understandable then if none of your children would believe you?

The lack of an explanation would show up even more if your children would ask cleverly who had actually then born the very first child! Aha! Would it be any surprise that you would have to think up a rather crazy genesis (story of creation) then, something of the order you find in the modern Bibles of the world? It would be full of contradictions and inexplicable things for sure, but maybe the children would then stop someday to ask as they would have fallen in apathy on the subject since no really conclusive answers were to be gotten out of you anyway. Or maybe your children would just become furious, since they could get the feeling to be deceived by you.

As we said: one of the newly arrived beings spreads the idea under all others they would have been lied to by the first being. Some of them then agreed upon the idea that "God" doesn't want to let them eat from the "tree of knowledge". And thus came about dispute and discord between the beings, with some

for and others against this theory. Several groups therefore were formed: the theory-opponents, the theory-advocates and those who behaved neutrally in regards the theory.

The Supreme Being felt offended very much since it never had lied and decided to do everything in order to find out the answers to all these questions.

Then, out of one of the groups came even attempts to attack the Supreme Being, because the perpetual thought of *God* lying to them generated hate, an emotion that never had been created until then.

The leader of this group therefore launched an attack against the Supreme Being out of its anger and subsequently waited for an unknown reaction to it, as there never had been something like that before. It therefore imagined it would experience something unknown, which then also happened. Although God did nothing at all, and this being only experienced that what it caused by the strength of its own thoughts as to its possible future - namely something hitherto not known - it was clear from its view that God himself had punished it. The idea of "God's punishment" therefore also here was the causal thought before the actual experience of the punishment!

This being therefore quite suddenly vanished after the "attack" from the circle of all others, which delimited the "world" so to speak, although this world was without border until then. And since it had vanished, one imagined of course where it vanished. Thereby one created the conception of a world "under this world."

Since nothing was yet created outside this world, this also then put for the first time the idea of "outside" in the thinking of the beings, which had perceived this event. Also the being itself - which had created the God-reaction idea - did not know, where it was, since it was in a nothingness consisting of blackness, as until now nothing had been created there.

The Supreme Being could again not explain this incident to itself either since it had not responded at all, but it could also perceive how the other being vanished from the existing world into darkness into the nothingness, after the attack.

The supporters of this being, who was the leader of the group, which represented the theory that God would not want to let *them eat from the 'tree of knowledge'*, also vanished from the existing world, since they had made the decision to find their leader in the "underworld" and to help him.

Of course they found him due to their thinking that someday they would and of course this being from its viewpoint explained that it would have been thrown out of the world by the Supreme Being as punishment for its action - which his supporters saw it that way too of course in accordance with their observation and in keeping with the portrayed perception of the punished "victim" . This 'being thrown out' was only *later* perceived as direct consequence of the attack!

Do you see what I am actually telling you about here? It is the true story of how the underworld - also called hell - came into existence. This is the history of the origin of concepts like good and evil, action and punishment, but above all of the birth of the "fallen angel" that has been known as "prince of darkness" or "Lucifer" since then! The one, that nominated itself to God's

enemy, because he agreed with others on being lied to by God and experienced in accordance with his own idea what he created, without really noticing however it was him who, through the power of his own powerful thoughts, was effected by an illusionary cause and effect!

This fact was not known to anybody. Not the first being and also not all following beings that were created!

In the world existing *to this point*, some beings believed the "first" being, which declared its innocence, since it was completely certain not to have done anything, however it couldn't produce any proof or an explanation for what exactly had happened. Others on the other hand believed nothing at all and behaved neutrally – and took a stance of waiting until all these things could be explained.

So it came that three groups formed: the believers, the disbelievers in God and those that decided to stay neutral.

And should I let you in on whom it was who was responsible for the creation of men? The devil in person! Because the fallen angel didn't have any bigger goal than to find out how he himself could be the Supreme Being. And he did everything until he himself could create a form of life. Physical life admittedly, but life after all. He had always been certain that the knowledge about how one creates new life was withheld from him by the being, which existed as the first, in order to keep all others in ignorance so that they remained stupid and he could keep his power and control-position as Supreme Being.

This being wanted to play God, but had an erroneous idea about how and what God is and how he thinks or acts! And he

is the one that talked about believing in him, obey him as otherwise his "father" will punish all, and one only then is redeemed and would come back into heaven if one humbly and unconditionally does what the "son" teaches. Does this seem familiar to you?

This Lucifer maybe is known better to you under one of his many other earthly names; it is not for nothing that he is called also the master of the deception: "Jesus of Nazareth." Each voodoo-grandmaster takes off the hat before rituals like "Eat, because this is my flesh." and "drink, as this is my blood." Then one retains this ritual forever,

repeats it in regular, short intervals so that the agreement and the thinking are confirmed again in each case to *let the spirit and will* of "Christ" *work* through one's own body - with that of course all who practice it will get a mental remote control installed, through which the entire thinking and actions of a person can be steered. Of course only if one agreed. But one agrees (approves of it) when one takes part in rituals as described!

And one of the unknowing accomplices of the *masters of deception and camouflage* - the one I named at the beginning of the chapter was one of the innumerable! There are many other beings that once considered themselves supporters of the fallen angel, but then also they fell in love with the idea to play God – *that is where all the other threatening, dogmatic religions that require absolute obedience and blind beliefs, that were fighting each other in wars over the centuries and a part still is – come from!*

Of course one cannot question the fact that each one of these religions is the only true religion that can bring one back into

heaven! There is of course no doubt about that.

This story of creation I described formed the cornerstone for all that was passed on and still exists in completely warped and humanly altered form in the thinking of the beings.

Revelation is spoken of in many religions. Do you know what the dictionary says the word "reveal" means? You don't need to guess: "To uncover or disclose something, which was hidden or unknown."

And exactly that the Supreme Being had decided. To find out the answers to these unanswered questions, in order to avoid continually being in the picture as a liar or punitive God.

I could see the feeble-minded-ness and inconsistency already as child in the statement that the *loving* God would *punish* somebody, just to mention one reason, for which I never have decided to study theology or to spread teachings that demand obedience by means of threat of punishments or even the violent annihilation of all the "unbelievers"! Too much stupidity, too much contradiction and illogical stuff jumped me in the face when reading the Bible, which incidentally I had completely worked through at the age of 10 - without ever having been baptized.

What precisely is it then that is revealed? What was not known or masked until now? What about it?

God or the Supreme Being is exactly what was described as "Impulse 8" in the chapter "The eight impulses of existence", only with one addition: This Supreme Being was *never aware of the fact* that everything - and with that I mean exactly that, namely everything - it perceived and experienced, was precisely

that which it created by itself, through its own causal thinking!

But take another look at that chapter while you have this concept in mind, and you will see that nobody has reason to be angry on someone or must hate him, since nothing at all of what happened, would have happened if this unknown information had been known previously! Because then *God* would have created really consciously and would have known that all others were created by himself and the unlimited creative power of his imagination. If this experience had existed already in the Supreme Being before the "division", all other created beings would also have carried this knowledge as part of their consciousness. And if each created "likeness" had been conscious of its ability to experience its own thoughts, dreams, thought up possibilities, ideas etc. as *reality*, we now would have had another world!

From this point of view however is the statement I made earlier in this chapter that "*God has not created the human beings at all. That was someone totally different!*" not quite true! Because if you as God create somebody through the concept "*there must be someone somewhere who is exactly like me*", who then creates through his ideas and imagination his own things one day – you are the *cause* of that which is created or caused by this being! Without this unknowing creating of the other being, there would never have been its workings or creating either! Furthermore, the human being is not the body alone.

Therefore one is still a long way from creating a human being when one has created a body.

However, spiritual beings saw also a possibility in these bodies to increase the bandwidth of the experience-able. And as long as one doesn't mutate into a slave of one's own body and doesn't identify itself completely, one really can experience very many beautiful things, which would have remained closed off. If you ever tried to enjoy the ardent kiss of a human being without having the possession of a body, you know whereof I speak.

But let's not lose from view one thing: This world was "built" on foundations of unconsciousness, inexperience and unknownness about the true nature and ability of the Supreme Being. Think it over once, this story of creation - that resides in my personal consciousness – following it through until the present day and decide for yourself whether it raises more questions or rather provides answers to many things which otherwise would have been regarded as "God's unfathomable ways".

From now on the world will change! And it will change because nobody will wait anymore for a God to free us from war and sorrow, or punishes us for our mistakes, as there is no God besides ourselves who could bring these things about - and did until now! Now, we are many. All with the same infinite, original potential to create – but this time to create *consciously*.

We all are God and therefore we experience what we - as the intersection of all - create in our thinking. And if we still want to apply this ability

to create murders, hate, terror-attacks and so, which we find undesirable, we can of course do so. But let us not forget that from now on we can no longer lay the responsibility for something that *is* bad on an outer God, or an outer devil or say that we have not been blessed with *salvation*. Our ability to create is unlimited, unhampered, infinite and now also not anymore unknown or hidden.

We ourselves are the Supreme Being and can create divinely, as well as diabolically - but it will always remain our own creation!

Happy, conscious work, God!

Spiritologie is not Scientology

I have heard every now and then statements from various people, who asserted that Spiritologie would be a split off from Scientology. That's why I would like to tell you a short, but true story that certainly will cause some uproar as it sounds so crazy and unbelievable that one doesn't know whether to cry about it, jump with enthusiasm or laugh about it?

Before I will tell you this story though I'd like to make a little excursion as otherwise the actual meaning of this story could not be portrayed in its full extent and scope.

There are no doubt parallels between Spiritologie and Scientology that I don't wish to argue away. But one could as well say that there are parallels between Spiritologie and Buddhism, as also there we talk of the spiritual nature of man. Also statements about subjects like reincarnation, the supreme being and the underlying theory that all knowledge and consciousness about that can be reached and rehabilitated by oneself – i.e. one isn't dependent on the graces of an external God or any other authority of an external world to gain cognizance of this.

I myself have been intensively digging into the teachings of Scientology some ten years ago and would like to present to you a few of the basic tenets, which you need in order to correctly evaluate what I am going to report.

Independent of the fact how you or I or others regard the Scientology-Organizations, the Scientologists of all countries are

likewise convinced to be spiritual beings who inhabit a body. However there is no pure reincarnation theory that says that after death one will be reborn in a new body – which is very often the case in Eastern religions – but in the lectures of the founder L. Ron Hubbard there is an extra emphasis on it being quite usual for a spiritual being to inhabit several bodies at one time.

It is not important at all whether you think this is true or not, as the only point I am trying to make is that Scientologists are convinced of that, okay? So let's go on.

This statement about simultaneously inhabiting several bodies was made in the 1950's in a series of lectures that carries the English title: "Time track of theta". Because a literal translation of the title would necessitate too many additional explanations, I explain to you simply and roughly the sense: "the history of the past of that which is colloquially designated as life".

You have to know also that this series of lectures was held to train those who in Scientology organizations, apply the techniques taught by the founder, in sessions. The reason why this statement about the simultaneous operation of several bodies is stressed, L. Ron Hubbard explains saying that the practitioners should not be puzzled when a person in session reports to have been killed in 1942 as a sixteen years old in a war and then in a later session says she was – also in the year 1942 killed at the time of a robbery, in which that same person was perhaps a forty years old man!

It is expressly pointed out that they are not talking of the otherwise well known reincarnation in which case any time after the demise a new body is taken over, but that it isn't anything special when a spiritual being controls ten or more bodies at the same time; these wouldn't only live in different cities or countries, but could also be of different ages and also of different gender. The persons to whom this lecture was addressed shouldn't consider that nonsense, but treat it like anything else that may come up in a session. As the person who gets a session

already has enough to cope with and does not need to doubt or invalidate himself due to these apparent contradictions.

The examples given are not to be taken as literal fact, but the basic statements made by L. Ron Hubbard – including the examples given in the original – are verifiable by anyone, as this lecture series can even today still be purchased for a hundred Euros or so. I mention this just in case someone would blame me for having given a distorted picture of the basic statement, or having twisted it, only because I give different examples to elucidate the theory. That is really far beyond me.

What is close to my heart is that you understand exactly that these things belong to the fundamentals of the Scientology doctrine! For one thing the statement about the immortal spiritual nature of the being itself and secondly the theory I mentioned concerning the simultaneous operation of several bodies.

Every Scientologist in the world has the conviction to be an immortal spiritual being where the death of a body doesn't mean the personality is dead, as it can independently continue to exist and act in a new body – or in other bodies operated simultaneously..

So far, so good, logically speaking we would assume that this conviction would still be valid when dealing with the person of L. Ron Hubbard himself, right? Also that any Scientologists in the world argue against the founder's teachings – and therefore also would go against their own reality.

That would be something like the owner of a highly esteemed cook book – which he upholds against whatever criticism – declaring that a recipe for fried eggs given in the book could not be done by the author of the book himself as eggs thrown into the pan would only become solid and baked when others do so.

They would think such behavior incomprehensible and idiotic, like me, wouldn't you? Now hold your seat as now the true story begins!

But don't forget: It's not about what you believe or what is true for you or can be true. It's only about what the personages of the story believe. Do you agree? Then let's take off!

Spring 2004

In Germany there is a person – male – born in 1966, the name is not important right now, but is known – who is convinced once to have been the physical identity of L. Ron Hubbard. Perhaps I should mention that this person was known

to me personally and still is.

That's why I know all considerations, actions and situations that I'll write about here, out of first hand.

This person had personally visited over a stretch of several years all kind of different Scientology organizations in order to – in his words – get an idea if the doctrine he had developed was still being used the way it was once intended. Alas this person had to face the fact that there were quite a few things that stood completely in contradiction to that.

To understand the deviations one should know that these organizations basically may only act in accordance with the directives, which had been personally written or authorized by L. Ron Hubbard. These written directives that existed for all areas of activity of the organization were not allowed to be changed let alone destroyed by nobody. The reason for that was “to keep Scientology working” as otherwise over the years the original doctrine would have been subject to alterations – something that had visibly been occurring in all religious groups – as soon as the founder had left the earthly body.

This person had to witness for example that the contributions for services had been raised to immeasurable heights. Earlier guidelines that were written with precise formulae for how to calculate these, were simply cancelled without replacement in “revised” and then re-issued collections of guidelines – named volumes. These revisions were ordered, without exception, by the international management, which to the outside stands for the effort to guarantee the purity of the

doctrine and all of L. Ron Hubbard's guidelines as its main function!

This happened around 1989 – three years after the official death of the founder. Again and again new revisions of original lectures were published. Apparently due to the fact that the old recordings, which in part had a lot of surface noise, had to be bettered by modern digital techniques.

They were not only improved *sound-wise*, but this person found out by comparing them with original recordings that sometimes complete lectures, which may not have suited the new management, were missing or one could hear differently worded sentences that twisted the sense completely! Either they had cut out single words and with digital techniques put them together again or they used simply voice imitators as it's obvious that spoken sentences from an original lecture don't change in meaning when only a few disturbing noises are removed.

Also amongst the written course materials he found newly revised editions in the beginning of the nineties. These either weakened his original statements or let them appear in a total different meaning.

Apart from that, the former L. Ron Hubbard was shocked to find out in whose hands his "church" had fallen. Officially all the organizations are controlled management wise by what's known to Scientologists as "RTC" or "Religious Technology Center". What they don't know is that above that there is a further – very secret - management which is "CST" or in full "Church of Spiritual Technology" which only lends the "so-called" RTC Management the copyrights!

What hardly any Scientologist knows is that in this hidden management are people who never did any kind of a course in

Scientology, let alone they would have done any sessions. One of these hidden managers, and as such controller of the copyrights, is a Mr. "Meade Emory". This tax lawyer is straight from the executive branch of the American Tax Office, which had been trying for years to drive L. Ron Hubbard and also his organizations into bankruptcy. Meade Emory was from the beginning of 1970 till the end of the year, one of the driving forces behind efforts to refuse the Scientology organizations tax exemption.

Shouldn't one ask what people, who during the lifetime of L. Ron Hubbard didn't know a greater enemy on earth than him and his organizations, do in the management of the Scientology church today?

The person who refers to himself as the former L. Ron Hubbard drew the following conclusion as to what the strategy behind all this may be: the former enemies of L. Ron Hubbard could not stop him by normal means, as there wasn't anything they could have used against him. The church first had to be brought under the control of the ex-opponents. Then it should look for the outside world as if Scientology still would exist in unaltered or rather unperverted form. The next step was to make the services so expensive that no one could afford them, so that no one would profit from these techniques.

For people like John Travolta or Tom Cruise, who can afford it, as a few million dollars more or less don't make a difference to them, the teachings themselves are altered, so they

can't fully take advantage of the application of the teachings, so that they will not reach the high level of awareness to see through the whole

swindle – but still notice enough workability in it, to keep the organizations afloat. All this additionally creates a fantastic destructive picture for the public, who then certainly lose any interest in the whole philosophy and even fights them.

Scientology, from the viewpoint of the former founder had become a very diffuse trap that only remained as a shadow of what he once intended. By all these alterations this organisation of course also appeared to the uninformed public as if it had from the start been intended to rip off incredible sums of money from members and in general to act with contempt towards people, which is fully confirmed by the former L. Ron Hubbard – now operating in another body.

To facilitate writing I will use for the person who says he has been Hubbard, only the initials of the Scientology founder LRH.

Therefore, in the year 2004 LRH decided to contact different Scientology organizations in order to internally go over the information that had accumulated over the years. As he of course didn't want to talk to the former enemies, who are today in the top management, he tried through the organizations in Copenhagen, Munich and Düsseldorf to discuss these points.

The first phone calls with high ranking Scientologists from Germany were successful, but these pointed out policy that such things should be communicated to the international management, as lower organizations would not be authorized.

To quote LRH from one such phone call: “ I know that, I know the policies I wrote myself. But when I know that someone sells drugs to schools, I will for sure not inform the Mafia and get the idea that something will change”.

As these staff members have the duty to report such unusual occurrences and have to send it to the management, the reaction was an order to cut off any form of contact. Later these persons were no longer willing to talk and put down the receiver!

Also when you as reader think all this what I’m writing about the former LRH is nonsense, you should not forget that Scientologists wouldn’t. As it is the *base* of their religious conviction that a being continues to exist after death. It is the basis of all their books, courses and sessions. In the telephone calls it was clearly stated that the caller was the person, who had possessed the physical identity of L. Ron Hubbard. And that wasn’t intended to be a joke, nor a test of whether Scientologists are convinced of the teachings of their own founder!

It was even asserted in some talks that the person who said to have been LRH, could not be him as he was born in 1966 and the founder died in 1986 !

One would first have to teach these would-be Scientologists that their religion doesn’t teach the usual theory of reincarnation and specifically point out the lectures that I mentioned in the beginning of the chapter!

As further step LRH took a personal friend aboard with him, who then travelled to Denmark in order to talk to high ranking staff of the Advanced Organization. LRH had the idea that it might be easier when a third person makes contact and reports

that the founder exists in a new body and would like to take up some important matters, dealing with gross alterations of his teachings.

What happened was similar to the scene with the phone calls to the staff of the organization in Munich. The friend had hardly said what

his desired talk was about or he was already threatened with police if he wouldn't instantly leave the building. It had already been whispered about and it seems they all were alert to a state of alarm. So he travelled back and reported his failed attempt to even find one single person who would be prepared to talk about the founder of Scientology, LRH, and his concerns about Scientology.

Do you understand that??

All these Scientologists all over the world are defending their doctrine like crazy against any attacks, but if one would like to address the possibility that their teachings could be factually true and what is more, their deceased founder – now in an other body – wanting to talk to them, they throw down the receiver or threaten with police ?!

Any Buddhist would listen and get exact checks done if you would only utter the supposition that you would be the Dalai Lama who died and now found a new body! Buddhist monks even

go on a search to find their Dalai Lama in the new body, after he left his old physical vehicle ! Also the current Dalai Lama came back on the job this way. The last Dalai Lama died in 1933. On the 6 July 1935 a child was born with the name “

Llamo Dhondrul". Monks recognized in him the rebirth of the deceased and checked out the child to see if he could be their spiritual chieftain.

These verifications are not very complicated but can in no way be passed just by chance. The child had, for example, to choose out of a great lot of objects his own former properties. When you have to choose from twenty all look alike prayer chains your own, the one you once possessed, you could of course choose the right one just by chance, but when you then repeat this with all different kinds of earlier possessions, it would be like a six in the lotto, if all objects you would have picked actually belonged to the deceased.

This was the way in which the Dalai Lama currently in office, was checked out as a child and was thus recognized as the reborn Dalai Lama.

Whatever you or I may think is true: the Buddhists at least believe and practice exactly that what they maintain is their conviction and religion, as I never heard of a Buddhist calling the police when someone would talk about the rebirth of a deceased Dalai Lama who would like to speak with the monks about Buddhism.

But the story goes further...

After the failures in Munich and Copenhagen, Düsseldorf was the next place where a further attempt would be made to get a talk arranged. This time I decided to be present at the next attempt, as I could hardly believe what I had been told, as it was

said of the Scientologists that they would stand behind the teachings like a wall. At the time it rather seemed to me that they had a wall in front of the teachings!

I even took the lead in the conversation in the Düsseldorf organization. Besides myself, also present was the personal friend of LRH, the one who had undertaken the failed trip to Copenhagen.

We had hardly passed the entrance door or three persons approached us with grim faces and brusquely asked, what do we want there? I said that our intention was to speak with the leader of the organization about the subject of L. Ron Hubbard and discuss a few things that could be rated as disadvantageous to Scientology as a whole.

We were watched closely, while one person left the room for two minutes. He returned shortly after and told us that the leader of the organization wasn't willing to have a talk and that we had to leave the building right away.

It already had penetrated there what happened in Munich and Copenhagen. Also here the International Management had uttered the order to cut off any conversation about that subject right away. I felt this whole thing was too dumb for words and so I remained in my seat and told these Scientologists -

meanwhile already five of them stood around us - that it ought to be possible to say a few words as we hadn't come with any bad intention. I had decided not to leave before I had said everything I wanted to say. And I proceeded to do so.

And you know what happened? Even there the police was

called – behind our backs! They only arrived after we had already left the building but still we were warned that next time we should leave the organization already right after the first demand.

I want to call your attention yet to another aspect. Perhaps you know that in Scientology organizations they also use an electronic apparatus, which they call an Electro- or E-meter. This is in fact a very fine instrument to measure resistance and is used in sessions to locate areas of thought that are charged in some way. I write “also” as I am using a very similar model in my sessions to help the person find faster areas of thought that still have to be looked at to get rid of all the charge of certain incidents and areas of life. They never will if one tries to repress details that are perhaps uncomfortable and thereby make them into, what one after all could call again, a sub-consciousness.

A photo of the Spiritologie-Navigator I use you can find in the appendix. The designation navigator I have chosen as I like it when you already just pronouncing the word get the idea what the thing is used for. Because the attention of a being can be directed

Or navigated rapidly and precisely toward the important, charged areas with such an instrument – as these already cause readable reactions before the being himself is even aware of having contacted a significant subject I named it therefore named this useful aid a navigator.helrea of charge.

I mention this E-meter as I even offered the Scientologists to put me on this E-meter, to check if I am really just there to talk

or perhaps do have some other or even “hostile” intention in mind. Such intention namely would latest become visible on that instrument when they would have asked me if I am there for purposes different than what I pretend. If someone has actually different intentions he would at such a question unavoidably think of that. As the person doesn’t want to express these, there would be an attempt to suppress or withhold this thought so that it couldn’t be measured. However precisely this effort leads to an increase of resistance, which shows on the instrument – only to roughly explain how it works. Everything that has to be repressed or suppressed, everything one protects against that is unpleasant or causes some form of mis-emotion or past mental or physical pain, increases the resistance in thought and so is measurable.

The E-meter: another important pillar, without which no single session in the higher levels of Scientology may be done!

And you know what they told me, when I offered to be put on the meter? “It doesn’t mean anything when no charge shows on the meter! We do not take you here on the meter”.

What?!

For scientologists somehow no aspect of the teachings of their founder has any meaning? It doesn’t mean anything to them, what they teach and have learnt as basics in Scientology?

Nobody believes it? Why do they do it at all? Then they should tell people right away: “Listen, it’s true we do take a lot of dough from you, but neither do we believe you when you say I am a spiritual being and already have lived before whether this e-meter reads on that or not”.

And here again we could take the example like this one: you go enter a Buddhist temple and say: "I would like to sit down and meditate a bit but first turn a few prayer mills if I may and talk afterwards to the monks about my experiences" and you are told: " That doesn't mean anything. Go! Or I call the police!"

So to sum it up:

The Scientologists think of their own E-meter as insignificant! They call the police when you want to talk about LRH, their founder. That the founder could also after his departure in the year 1986 continue to exist they consider ridiculous or impossible and react to such a statement like I would only expect from a hopelessly fixated inquisitor of the toughest school.

Every communication is cut, members are threatened with exclusion from the organization if they would continue to talk with us or with the former LRH, telephone receivers are thrown on the hook etc.

To be honest, whoever after publication of this story still would pay a single cent for Scientology-services or gives support in whatever form to this organization for a minute should actually be condemned on a charge of "incurable dumbness and ignorance" to have to preach the first book of Moses from each pulpit in the world for two hundred years – regardless of how much ridicule he would meet!

And also if this book will come to be part of the internal list of forbidden books for Scientologists, members should carefully consider if they would nevertheless read it or contact me personally, if they are afraid to be excluded from the group if

they don't follow that order. I can tell them a lot more and especially a lot more explosive details, which would however go beyond the scope of this chapter!

Whatever you may think of the story, one thing stands: I vouch for everything I write in every single chapter of my book. I live it, practice it and know it! And surely I have nothing in common with those calling themselves Scientologists – except perhaps a few spiritual truths or philosophical fundamentals that however don't any longer fit in Scientology, as you have no doubt been able to read!

And to conclude with the words of the person who with good intentions called Scientology into existence over 50 years ago and who just in this moment – while I am writing these lines – is looking somewhat sadly at my monitor:

“Spiritologie is *not* Scientology – Spiritologie is free!” - LRH

Pan-determination

I you read the title of the chapter and figured, “at last we are getting to the part that deals with how to get all individuals around me – of course also against their own self-determination – under control to manipulate them.” you landed in the wrong place.

When you would be free of charge, along all seven impulses of existence you would know very exactly – who *you* would have wanted to manipulate or control against their own will – that is, you yourself! Because, with the awareness as “Impulse 8” you know that you are anyone and everything, you would no longer get the idea to demonstrate such sick and asocial behavior like for example George W. Bush. This statement I must put back however into its proper proportions, because this man – from the viewpoint of his personal truth and conviction – is totally right and so acts “normally”. What was it again he used to say? “God bless America”! Perhaps this *god fearing* man should try it once also with “God bless Iraq too”!

But god is - like I already have described in earlier chapters – alas also an American named George W. Bush, who by such statements expresses a “light” patriotic and therefore limited shade of the divine love, which obviously cannot be all encompassing, but only favors Americans.

But from a *limited* spirit one cannot really expect unlimited blessings. Now I know all of a sudden what to ask for a Christmas

present: I wish for next Christmas a fully unrestrained, unlimited George W. Bush.

Okay, I must admit also that wouldn't really happen when we don't handle this ourselves, as I heard someone mutter that also Santa Claus and even the Christ child are mental constructs that can't effect anything if not one of us slips into the role and creates their beingness and effectiveness.

The American weapon industry though needs of course precisely such asocial, *dead* beings, who in the human appearance seem very lively, but represent exactly what in earlier on very popular (films) movies became known under the heading "zombie" – by which was meant a "walking corpse".

This industry has enough money to put a cold unfeeling dumbhead in a position of power, from which he certainly will take care that almost every second rockets of 600.000 till 3.000.000 USD a piece, will be fried, preferably over a long period of time.

Which man – who has at least some feeling left - would be able to issue the order based on self fabricated, pure lying stories about so called weapons of mass destruction, factories – leading to the murder of tens of thousands of Iraq fathers, mothers, sons and daughters?

And that only because the weapon industry, which finances the lion's share of the costs of the elections campaigns, also celebrates their hero afterwards, for the incredible turnovers that were reached that way and so makes a few hundred million USD more available for the next electoral campaign?

When, after this new investment one is also capable of fooling the dumbed part of one's own population into believing

one is attacked by external sources – after one has managed, through many secret service vias, to blow up “two towers” and again some people – this is fantastic, seen from the position of one of these persons who plan these “genius” chess moves, to make more money and so extend their control even further. Every chess player in the world would sacrifice two towers, and of course also a few of their own “pawns”, if he thereby would reach his goal and can “checkmate” the opponent king. Only in a “democracy” one needs alas the agreement of the population.

That one can rather easily get and for sure when one smartly takes care that the secret plans get executed then through death and explosions puts everyone in horror and fear, and after that designates in the media as “guilty” the country that already long before was chosen to be the next war theatre to fire some more rockets, in order to guarantee new turnover and to the delight of the American population even raises the gross national product. Which unemployed person is not pleased when new jobs are created that way as of course somebody has to develop, build, put together or transport the whole killing machinery?

Who is not pleased about such a job? Me! And I hope you, dear reader, would too reject such a job offer with the words: “Thank you, but as I am an immortal spiritual being I take dying from hunger in stride and will even let my wife leave me, because the neighbor – who of course has immediately taken such a job - possesses a fancy house

and even drives a super car.” Man, it is a lot better to be left alone by a shop addicted, materialistic wife than to be

abandoned by all good spirits!

Otherwise you would be the next who - abandoned by the spirit - would have deserved the title *zombie*.

I am quite sure, with what I have written so far, to have plastically put forth what all determination does *not* mean. Pan-determination does not mean mass-manipulation like it is practiced by corrupt politicians, self-proclaimed war-presidents and other criminals or money craving elements of a society.

Just the concept alone of “masses” of people is already invalidation as we are not masses! There is no big mass of people at all, there are only single individuals. Let's from now on no longer allow that we through the “mass media” as masses are incited to mass panic that would like to make us think that we would have to hate Iraqis, Moslems, Chinese or whoever so that we agree with a war because by that billions of profits are made. For when we are giving our agreement to the one giving the order to kill, we may as well consider we had done the killing ourselves! And these persons will still have been murdered even when afterwards we establish that there were no factories that produced weapons of mass destruction.

Honestly I had to laugh loudly when I was reading how simple it had become to manipulate the German people. One only had to put a few suitcases filled with electronic junk in some central locations and spread the rumor in the media that enormous explosives had been found that had been placed by terrorists and everyone would say yes and would almost scream

for more control and supervision, which they of course also pay out of their own pockets which are anyway almost empty!

So, you don't even need an explosion anymore, like it used to be, in order to get people to agree to pass laws that get them to take their own freedoms away!

They make me think of small children whom you only have to threaten with an index finger, as by frequent beatings in the past they had been conditioned to immediately agree with everything in order to avoid the possible, indicated pain, if they don't do it.

Thus, after – in a “democratic” fashion – the even tougher anti-terror laws are pushed through, of course the tax burden for every worker will have to be increased, to pay even more people who by themselves do not produce anything, do not work, except to make life more difficult for those who try to make a living! One person works and five persons verify his filled out forms, his travel documents, his saliva test, his ballpoint pen – which may be a hidden explosive – or they listen to his mobile phone conversations, while ten armed policemen – also paid out of tax monies – observe the whole scene nervously, as anyone *could* be a terrorist!

We definitely shouldn't confuse anti-terror laws with anti-freedom-laws also when they are sold to us as that.

Is that democracy? Oh, no! There can only be democracy when each single individual thinks his own thoughts and makes his decisions based on those. Not when there are too many who are so tremendously easily be directed in their emotions and fears. Then you have a society indeed, which is only an

appearance of a democratic society, because any halfway intelligent, arisen pseudo Hitler - in a new body - obsessed with

control has the possibility with help of the media, to let the alert beings be *outvoted* by the manipulatable ones!

What I just mentioned, should make very unmistakably clear for what reasons a peaceful philosophy like this one, which has the potential to factually bring the awareness of simple individuals to new heights, is a favorite target to be torn to pieces in the media.

Therefore I would be very proud when also this book and philosophy would undergo this same fate, as it would be a direct indicator for how “dangerous” my statements are from the viewpoint of those needing people who can still be manipulated and thought wise and emotionally directed, to keep their system going and are worried about possible losing control. Well, they certainly are going to. I can guarantee that with some pride!

From now on these are things of the past. This book ends the spiritual Stone Age when such was still possible, and begins a new era in which we know our full potential and also consciously use it. An era in which no one will say anymore: “Forgive them, as they don’t know what they are doing”. Now we do know....

If it is therefore factually significant to have each SB in a state of full self-determination, so that a real – and not only apparent – democracy is possible and doable.

Of course, this would at first look like chaos and lawlessness, but this impression is very misleading, as you will see.

Once a person is really himself, we mean then in the sense of “Impulse 8”, he is also at the same time all the others and can

occupy as well as understand any viewpoint. He will not only look at one side of the coin in analyzing a difficulty, but both – or even bigger – all sides like in the case of a difficulty that concerns the whole population. He will be capable to create a complete, own universe (U1) which is fully under his own control. In there he can observe then and analyze all undesirable conditions in the physical universe (U3) and calculate with great speed complete solutions on such a basis that makes allowance for the self-determination of each individual as the person – as an SB- is not subject to the laws of space or time.

As he is also all others, he will of course not fight, suppress, or even destroy any aspect of himself, as the optimal solution is always only then an optimum solution when, through the result of these computations, the survival and existence of all is advanced!

Part of that are also animals and plants; objects and the continued existence of matter in space and time in itself. And in order to have all that a spiritual being doesn't need anything else than its infinite, unrestricted and unlimited creativity – his fantasy, which for this purpose of course needs to first have been fully rehabilitated: A supreme being would not even want to see a George W. Bush on the electric chair for mass murder – after all the reasons for his wars were either invented or mise en scène - because evidently the product of that would be a spiritual being

leaving the body, who then would try again in a new body to

become president of the United States! In other words no real lasting solution of the problem.

Besides, he would feel treated unjustly, which would lead to even more hate, coldness of feeling and revenge feelings in the later new born child.

Don't think right away that you are bad parents when your child is demonstrating an aggressive, asocial and violent behavior, which you can't explain, as you really tried to let it grow up in a lovely atmosphere.

Till now you were perhaps not even aware that you could hold in your arms just such a cute little fellow – *right after his own execution, now in your hospital delivery room* – as your “own” new born child. And *surely* you would get the wrong advice, when later you let the – the soul-and-spirit-denying quack around the corner – search for possible causes in childhood!

One could criticize you at the most for having spent too much time with unimportant overtime to reduce the mortgage for your own home. Instead of spending some time on spiritual matters and procure factual answers to hitherto unanswered questions – which boils down again to “bad parents” after all.

But don't worry too much about that, for when you look around you will hardly find anyone who on this point wouldn't be in the same boat; especially here in the civilized, technically high developed western parts of the earth, such “futilities” were gladly neglected.

How could you bring someone like G.W. Bush to change his behavior without hampering his self-determination or even forcing him in any way? By helping him!

You don't think he needs help? Do you think that a person who needs 60.000 security agents to feel a little bit secure and has all manhole covers welded up in a circle of 60 km, when he comes on a visit, is in a good emotional state?!

How "mentally healthy" would you estimate someone, who doesn't go out, without forbidding all neighbors expressly to access their balconies or look out of open windows? A person, who demands that nobody is allowed to park their personal cars on the street nor in their own garage – garages have to be sealed even – and also has all loose letter boxes, garbage bins and electricity connect boxes removed, when he announces he is coming to visit.

Exactly those and quite a few more were the conditions exacted by George W. Bush for his visit to Germany for the year 2005!

I would neither support such paranoia nor fulfil the conditions costing several million Euros, - that had better been invested to feed hundreds of poor families for a year or so. Instead I would deny such a person the planned visit and as an alternative offer him to help find the causes that would elicit such fears in him. For he doesn't have to come to visit when these conditions aren't fulfilled. He wouldn't want to at all. And when there is no country in this world that fulfils his conditions,

but instead offers him help and education in how to live a happy life without fear, without creating millions of enemies for oneself, that threaten one's life, he would shortly be no more than people's laughing stock, and would no longer even be of use for the arms industry.

Sure one could threaten a country like Germany with raised oil prices as punishment, but why do we have the "BMW" firm that already can mass produce cars running on hydrogen! The first reports on that I already read in 2004!

These cars by the way only exhaust water vapour, so no trace of damaging gases. But somehow there seem to be no one who is really interested in the environment, as with

petrol and oil one can extort more money out of people and the environmental tax would also drop out. That's why I can understand why they rather not want to make this known or act upon it! And why would somebody incite wars in areas that have large oil reserves, when oil isn't that much more in demand. That alone would wreck the whole war fun! But I don't want to be spoilsport so I don't talk about it anymore, so no one will think it over too thoroughly – after all I am every now and then a good fellow.

Pan-determination only just begins with first granting other beings their own self-determination! If however one of these beings would go beyond self-determination and start to hamper other beings in their self-determination, suppress them, refuse or deny them this right or try to force their will on them,

then that being has lost its own right to self-determination and is to be restrained immediately in order to restore the balance because otherwise all doors and gates would be wide open for conflicts, wars, quarrels, violence and also criminality!

As what is a criminal but somebody who would like to have money but rather robs someone else who has money than work for it himself? Do you see how in this case the self-determination of the robbed person, who rather wants to keep his hard earned money for himself, is disrespected by the criminal?

That is the common denominator of any conflict, any war, quarrel, act of violence; independent of the magnitude. It does not matter whether the fight is between two persons, a war between two or more nations or if a violent confrontation took place between the fans of two football teams. The reason is always: *The self-determination of others is not granted or tolerated!*

This has the same meaning as saying: The awareness of pan-determination was not the basis of their thinking and acting, as otherwise there would not have been a transgression against self-determination!

What exactly is this state of pan-determination of which I am speaking? Here follows the definition: *Pan-determination is the state of awareness in which a being has reached the certainty to possess the ability and willingness to start, change and stop two or more forces, whether they be opposing or not.*

The above definition also entails:

Two or more individuals, two or more groups, two or more planets, two or more similar races, two or more universes, two or more spiritual beings, as well as regulating the considerations of two or more identities be they opposing or not.

You think that is heavy? I will be able to easily show you with an example that nobody lost the ability of pan-determination, but again also here only the awareness about this ability!

Let us take the circumstances of a football game. Two opposing teams are in position. The arbiter and his assistants are also there to lead the game. A goalkeeper, each time ten field players per team, thus 22 actors all following one purpose: To score better than the opponent within the duration of the game.

To have a game the state of pan-determination should not be taken, or there would be no game taking place. One does not grant the opponent even the least bit of self-determination, but does everything to hinder him in pushing through his will! In a game there is force against force, intention against intentions, effort against effort and of course self-determination against self-determination.

Just picture yourself in the role of goal hunter of a team and attack the goal with the ball. Come on! A little faster in the attack you could....! Good! So you are closing in on the opponent's goal. Now you can almost feel the breath in your neck of the defender who came stalking in from the left side, intent on chasing you off the ball, to hinder your pass at the goal. He isn't as fast as you are and sees the only way is to stretch out

the leg to the ball, to guide it into the hands of his own goal keeper, which would handle the dangerous situation.

He lifts the leg stretches and slides precisely to the ball, which you're leading close to the foot. But you still noticed it just in time and already a tiny avoidance maneuver was enough to evaporate his planned action. Just fractions of seconds later, just as you - standing free in front of the goal - want to advance the ball past the goalkeeper into the net, the defender still sliding manages right in front of your eyes to rake the ball from your feet with his fingertips! Clear case of hands touching in the penalty area! There should be a direct free kick. But no whistle sound from the referee. The dim-witted grinning goalkeeper of the opponent has taken the ball that was played into his hands by his defender and you are running to the referee to protest very loudly and calling him a blind worm. This leads to your getting a red card for insult and you have to leave the field, as the referee nor his assistants saw the tricky hand play. As this was the final game of the championship in which there was one missing goal to score in order to end the season as champion, and while you sneak into the locker room you hear the final whistle touching you directly in the middle of the heart. Terrible, or?

No, it is not quite as terrible as it seems. And as other-determined as it looks it isn't either. How much you may hate the referee, his assistants or the opponent player, please be aware of one thing: You experience that what you through your own thinking cause!

Like all others. Isn't it that a soccer player needs not only fellow players but also opponents? There are even set times, so that every one is there in order to play the game. They also do not just take any opponent, as it is no fun at all to not have any counter play. Where is the suspense /thrill when you know already beforehand that you will win 40 -0? Thus you have only teams playing in a league who tend to lie on the same level of ability.

Of course you also need the hopefully impartial referee, who may every now and then make the wrong decision, as every soccer player knows. Likewise that there are opponent players, who struggle with unfair means or enact fouls, when the normal means aren't enough to reach their goal is also "known" to any professional soccer player.

Add to that considerations of luck and misfortune, fate or simply bad luck- also all contained in their thinking! To finish off this whole thought constellation there is the idea that the ball is round. "That is soccer", one says after the emotions have cooled down somewhat.

And anyone who is participant in a game like soccer will acknowledge that exactly what happened here can happen! That is what is considered soccer. And to go without any of these aspects would not do, as in their complexity, relative unpredictability and totality these things provide precisely that emotional roller coaster and thrill, which for many makes this game so interesting.

Is there ever anything that happens in soccer, that isn't in harmony with or in line with the thinking of each being involved in the game? No!

Isn't it so that also the opponent is desired to be in a place of which one expects that he stands in the way of one's own purposes and isn't it exactly that what is desired in order to be able to solve or win over this wanted "problem" or rather to be victorious? Yes, that is how it is!

So here one may yet actually have a case of pan-determination! It is only that it is repressed, covered up and intentionally kept unawares as otherwise the opponent would no longer be a real opponent, but a fellow player! Observing very exactly what happens here, one can see that opponents are not opponents and enemies are no enemies!

Apparently opposing forces, individuals, groups or intentions are then not factually opposing! But that you would only perceive if you don't identify with one side or party, but independent of identity, space, time or event, can take the awareness of "Impulse 8", through which one is obviously capable of starting, changing, stopping and regulating any of these situations!

Like in the case of the policemen, who are completely dependent on there being criminals, or else they would have no justification for being there, let alone could demand tax money to pay them! In fact policemen ought to transfer a percentage of their income to each criminal, as these make it possible to play the "cops and robbers" game.

Or does the police do this completely on their own in that they seduce through accomplices other criminals to criminal acts, in order to then be able to catch them in the act and arrest them? The question is open however: “Would these criminals have committed the crime if they had not been instigated to commit a crime by a disguised policeman? “Is instigating crime not illegal also for policemen? Or could one say that also in this area something is going quite wrong?

Or is the saying here applicable that everyone in the game “cops and robbers” is completely in agreement with the other, indicating the state of “pan-determination” and that it therefore can be said that each of the participants in the game do have a full cause position? The answer is obvious if you have the guts to look at it!

Do you know that I had to suppress a laughter born out of total perplexity sometimes watching TV interviews with American mothers and fathers, weeping over their sons lost in war? They were so proud when their “heroes” in fashionable uniforms were pulling out to kill the evil Iraqis! Why do they cry now? They were so proud of their well drilled potential killers of “foreign” Iraqi fathers, mothers, daughters and sons. When these Iraqis did not say oh you are Americans and of course therefore we will not defend ourselves when you guys are marching into our country in order to chase us out – against our own will – or shoot us! “you would really be surprised”!

I don’t get it! What did these American mothers and fathers think what is this thing called war in which they were sending their children? A neat Saturday Evening Game Show, in which there would not be any dead?

He who goes out with the intention to kill should not wonder how come he is killed himself. When people send their children to the front lines for a cowardly nut, should be aware that the “enemy” will also shoot at their children, who intrude into foreign countries with their weapons, out of self defense! They have consciously sent their children into their possible deaths - it was only repressed! And that is why they cry! As they know exactly who is really responsible for the death of their children: They and of course also the children who could have become Buddhists in order to then later – on grounds of their own conviction – could have refused to take up the weapons!

Perhaps – and from my viewpoint surely – am I also responsible for that myself. For, if I had been a bit faster and not wasted my time with unimportant things like music productions, film shoots or some adventures with women, this book would have been ready much earlier and therefore a greater awareness in the population would possibly have led to no one any longer agreeing to have a war – possibly! Because also with a bloody war is pan-determination in play – even when not consciously – like in the examples of soccer or the game of “cops and robbers”. Everyone has all the cards in hand and tries through the power of his own thoughts to participate in this war game or not, to play it or not; to get a foul or not , to be killed or to kill. Perhaps at first glance this is not so evident, but there will no longer be any doubt if one looks at it from the view of “Impulse 8” and one can do so anytime. In the examples mentioned above you could, isn’t it? However there was not a great amount of responsibility

to take, like in the case of a war. But actually there is not such a great difference as the common denominators are the same.

Even for me there was a gap to overcome lying between pure knowing about the own responsibilities and ability, and the conversion or application of the knowledge. This gap I have overcome writing this book.

If it would have prevented one or even both Bush-wars through such a book, is pure speculation. But alas I do not have – contrary to you – the excuse that I didn't know till now to have the ability to actually be able to change things. But you also don't have this excuse anymore from now on! Once pan-determination and its meaning would be taught in schools you see, we would within one generation have created the basis for a new civilization which could bloom in fresh shine in unity, justice and freedom.

And therefore I am thinking just now: When we have made it and this world would no longer experience wars as each SB has rehabilitated itself as “Impulse 8”, what would be your answer to the question: “Did you help too?”

Pan-determination is going far beyond the realm of self-determination. It encompasses the awareness of not only causing in one's own universe (U1) that what one himself experiences as his seven impulses of existence but even that what each other being experiences in his universe (U2)! A step further ones sees the next logical consequence thereof, which shows that even the commonly perceived physical universe (U3) corresponds exactly

to what is present in the thinking of the being who perceives it. With that I want to acknowledge the often heard wisdom which is saying: "The outer world is just the mirror of your soul" as correct - add that you do not "have" a soul but "are" the soul!

And these sayings are applying also to football players and – what just a few may have surmised – equally to policemen, criminals; even psychologists as well as – I really have a hard time to get this out – George Bush. As: "For God all are equal."

When you e.g. sit in a cinema and tear your heart out blubbering, as the scene you just witnessed moved you to tears, is it then really the movie, the director, actor or perhaps the fantastic music that was the cause of your emotional outburst? Or is it you yourself – in view of the fact that you at the cashier's – just to experience that - actually paid for it? Hasn't it been already beforehand part of your thinking that there are film makers who create films, which are unknown to you? Isn't it part of your thinking that there is a film industry, script writers, nice or less nice ladies at the box office – or even unpleasantly smelling, sweaty seat neighbors, whom the ads for soap and shower gel have gone by like a biblical cup?

When you got the ticket was it not wanted by you that the movie for which you paid, would influence and control the world of your feelings? Of course that was wanted, for who would visit a cinema when no effect that could be experienced would come out of that, which is what makes life to what it is: an interplay of causes and effects that again are being caused by

“others”, whom you are actually yourself.

But who thinks even about who causes what in such a case? The truth is : you are creating unknown films, unknown directors, unknown situations yourself that may cause in you at

unknown times, in an unknown place, unknown emotions. Check your own thought and you will concede that when you are honest to yourself and not intent on wanting to avoid the enormous responsibility that can then be felt entering your consciousness at a murderous rate.

Each amateur or professional soccer player in the world will find unknown end results, unknown erroneous decisions of the referee, unknown actions by the opponent, unknown actions of his fellow players or unpredicted reactions from the audience etc. in his own universe, once he starts digging around for such concepts and possible future scenarios.

And that is exactly what pan-determination means! This is the explanation for why the definition states that one could even regulate, start, change or stop the considerations and the thought of several identities also when they are antagonistic to each other. Because even the future behavior and thinking of other beings, complete other universes (U2), is already there in *your own* thinking – and even precisely right now! It is only slumbering in a corner of infinity without having been awakened by you till now.

That is the reason why pan-determination is possible once one has rehabilitated the awareness of it in oneself. To begin with it is needed to find out how exactly one causes by one's thinking all that one experiences oneself.

When that is reached, and a being has recognized the full self-determination and cause position in regards to its own universe (U1) without any doubts or restrictions, one can start again to widen this awareness.

So, when all aspects of what exactly *you* are causing through *what exact* thinking have been looked at, *then* you are ready for the next step, which however already supposes one has an unlimited awareness as “Impulse 8”. For then we will have a look at what you are letting *all other beings* cause, with respect to which you then afterwards, but only seemingly – like in the case of the cinematic film – stand in an effect or receiver position which one could also designate as *the “victim position” so favored by irresponsible people*. That is an infinitely large area of effects, that you – through others – are making experienceable for yourself as well as again for others.

Is that a consciousness-trip, that has the smell of adventure and suspense? What do you think? I can only say one thing: I have loved that trip. I have loved it because it is a journey bringing you home – to Eden.

Power and simplicity

The question asking for the characteristics one has to possess in order to be a real powerful person or a powerful being, can best be answered when we consider what the common denominator of such known powerful personalities is. There happens to be a particular thing, which stands above all other characteristics and is superior to them. This decisive factor, standing between power and weakness and thereby either tips the scales one way or the other is:

“The ability to hold a position in space.”

Nobody will ever be able to reach his goals when he isn't able to hold fast under tremendous pressure, without running away. Politicians who, after mistakes have been found out or personal missteps, speak of assuming the “consequences” by stepping back, are no more than craven jokers without sufficient spine to take full responsibility for the *actual consequences* caused by their mistakes.

You must have heard the expression: “Steady as a rock”. Right on, as power has a lot to do with space that – once taken – one never gives up or leaves. It does not matter, what spatters down, what flows toward one or with what force one is hit to get to give up that position. Before you begin to aim for a big goal therefore

or do something that is of some magnitude, be clear about it that you do need to have the ability to hold a position in space.

Japanese Sumo wrestlers are demonstrating accurately the definition of power I gave you, for in Sumo a fighter is losing when he is put out of the circle or thrown off balance by his opponent - in other words either he goes down or needs a hand to help prevent a fall.

But also in all other popular types of sport that one finds all over the world, there is an endless variation of rules that determine winning or losing, that have one way or the other to do with space, gaining space or control of space. He who e.g. at the car races does not take a position before all others often enough and also is able to hold that position until the finish, should not count on getting at the end of the season the winners garland draped around his neck – and you as racing car driver racing car driver would not be allowed to (or have to) kiss anorexic ladies near the winners platform, whom I would rather regularly have handed a nutritious soup, so they would at least some time develop at least a hint of female curvature.

There is no difference whether we are dealing with a sportsman being closed in by opponents, an employee getting mobbed by nice colleagues every day or if it is a business leader who is asked in a penetrating way by excessively smiling mafia members, to open his shop please at another place, if he does not soon pay the demanded protection fees. In any of these cases the only one who will be able to continue to realize his plans

and conceptions is the one who can hold his position in space. Whether this space is a particular work area or the place where one rented business premises for his enterprise or the space of the ring like in the example of the Sumo fighters, does not matter.

You may ask then: "How should a person be able to hold a position in space, when the spirit is so willing but the flesh so weak? How should one continue to calmly go about one's business when the swellings in the jackets of the arguing mafia comrades clearly show that these are caused by weapons?" How? By stopping right now to perceive and think like a powerless, weak person!

Your body can tolerate next to nothing, without dying and then logically also give up its position in space. People dodge almost any minor issue and give up the space they had taken. A little criticism, a bit of back biting, a trace of violence threatened and already they make themselves scarce – leaving friends, wife and children to save their own bacon. A psychotic country leader talks of nuclear attacks and everyone shivers with fear. Fear? For what? You really are peeing in your pants for a little atomic bomb, with which a weak, mortal and certainly rather sick person without any higher awareness thinks to be able to threaten a truly powerful and immortal spiritual being?

Start laughing! Could you please stop identifying with the body and laugh when in the future you would hear such an overgrown child talk like that. You are hearing someone talk, who does not know how easy it is

for a few conscious spiritual beings to create a common reality over a sort of slide – consisting of mental matter – which throws those explosives back at him! Or one leaves out the slide altogether and decide all together that no one of these explosives will explode – all depending on what these beings consider more amusing.

It is understandable that this may seem unbelievable to you to be able to create such effects, but don't forget that till now there has not been a society with fully aware, fully rehabilitated as Impulse 8, beings in their middle. No such being ever had destructive or annihilating intentions as to have that it would have to restrict its consciousness and thereby its power and would lose its power right away, but you can bet on it that such a being would have a lot of fun to take destructive intentions of yet unaware beings – from his pan-determination viewpoint – and turn them into nothing.

The magic formula, that enables a spiritual being to do so is: "zero- perception". This new word – zero-perception – means a pure perceiving without any additives. It does however not stand for perception from a human, physical viewpoint, but stands for perception from the view of a SB!

Why do I use the word magic formula? As with the ability of zero perception a perhaps so far for you unknown level is created from which you can work causatively. And that even in a way which for outsiders does not look like an actual doing something, but would rather look like magic as no visible action can be seen, acting to handle situations in your life with a simplicity that without this skill could even be life threatening.

What exactly is meant though, when I speak of “pure perception without any additions”? What are these additions which definitely have to be left out, if one would want to be increasingly able to hold one’s position in space? Your thoughts!

We were all taught in school, how extremely important it is to have the ability to think logically when it comes to build up a successful life and to keep it going as long as possible. We were taught that with reasoning in a “consequent” manner towards conclusions, which then can be called “logical” we master life better than if we miss logical thinking. However there is one thing which they failed to cram into our still young – perhaps even handsomely looking – heads, namely the fact that exactly this ability to think consequently and logically may also be the cause of our certain death! Obviously only the death of the body, but nevertheless ... !

How could for example death be brought about by thinking consequentially, let us create in our imagination, to make this easier to see, an attractive woman, who at night has to go home all by herself by a roadway that leads right through the middle of a park where it’s real dark. Perhaps ? ... already before in which only a slight risk to be robbed was estimated by her to exist.

Then, halfway through the park suddenly a dark looking man jumps up from behind a tree and with a drawn knife and already let down trousers slowly approaches her – with a repulsive,

luscious grin on his face. The intention is quite obvious: the man intends rape! Exactly that is also the consequential, logical assumption of the woman, who already shortly after feels a rising panic and whose pictures of what horribleness she will soon experience, drives her crazy. She knows that this man will brutally rape her and with a great probability even kill her, so his dirty deed will possibly remain an unsolved mystery – and about these final anxious thoughts, we read then also in the next day's newspapers, as they turned to bitter reality ...

I surely am not ridiculing such incidents or deny the responsibility of a murderer when pointing out all the causation and mistakes made by the woman who became his victim! I did intentionally choose a heavy crime of this type, to create the possibility, that mankind in future would only know such reports out of the history books of "old, barbaric days", when people still thought they were a powerless piece of flesh – not knowing their spiritual/mind potential enabling them to direct and control their own experience.

Consequential ... what does that actually mean! We are talking of a consequence, a course or sequence of events which shows the fact that logical thinking must implicate "time", as without time, no sequence.. So logical thinking could be designated as a sort of "mentally swimming in the flow of time". From that we could derive, that we must be continually aware of a non-existent past, to be able to evaluate with the help of old experiences present time perceptions to be in a position to

compute, anticipate or even “know” an in reality not yet existing future. For this relatively complex activity we are using something that may be known to us as memory or ability to remember. It was once asserted that past experiences would be stored somewhere in the brain and re-called up “automatically” when needed, but no one was even able to demonstrate the truth of this theory, for example by taking the stored information from the brain of a deceased person and call them up or transfer them to another brain. Nobody will most likely even succeed as the truth looks quite different from what bearded, white coat bearing gentleman with decent foreign accents have been trying to explain to us for a long time.

The experiences of the past are actually not stored in the brain, neither are the pictures, emotions and impressions, you perceive when you think of a purely fictitious situation that perhaps could happen in some remote future. This future is not called up from a storage place either but is created in the very moment you see it – as there is no future existing in itself – regardless, the vehemence with which the professional fortuneteller and prophesier – after you enthusiastically told him of a small book called ‘Spiritologie’ - which contents showed him a future without income from true believing clients, which wouldn’t exist either without his own creation or more specifically his own consequential thinking. So please do not afterwards make me responsible for his financial problems, which could in no way change how much I would be aware of precisely that responsibility.

In the exact same way, as in the example the future, you – the spiritual being – create also the past. Look, when exactly do you see situations and experiences of long ago? You see and feel them in the present – precisely in the moment, you have been creating them, rather than re-calling them from the brain!

There is factually no yesterday, nor is there a tomorrow, there is no ‘just a moment ago’ nor ‘in a few minutes’ which is to be found fixedly anchored somewhere, really unalterably there or even in a really curious thing that carries the name “future” – and for sure not in your coffee dregs. All the things mentioned above exist only and exclusively ... when you as a being create these for yourself and not stop creating them.

The inability, conditioned by lack of knowledge of these facts, to free oneself from these fixed concepts and thought patterns, cost that woman of the example given before her life! No one ... in all the years of her education taught her, how greatly important the ability is which is contained in the following simple sentence: only perceive the ‘NOW’... with no thinking!

Just perceive the “now” - without thinking!

You know what comes very close to this ability and why it is therefore, also seen as very ‘desireable’ and worth striving for mostly by the Great Spiritual Masters of the Far East?

A total amnesia! The complete loss of memory! Obviously one cannot equate the ability not to think with an unwanted loss of memory, but there are a few aspects that are very similar, and which when brought under control may be greatly used to one’s advantage.

Do you meanwhile get where all this is going? Looking once again at the basic premise of this book it will be rapidly clear which what the connection is with the above – mentioned ability of perception without thinking. If everyone creates by his own thinking that which he experiences, you also have to pay attention to *not-thinking*. Because, of what use is the most powerful computer in the world, if there is no way to close it down or restart it with a 'reset' button and so be sure that running programs are removed from the working memory to make place for new ones!

Such a computer wouldn't be worth much any more after some time, as only commands and concepts that already were in memory could logically be executed on it. That brings us back to consequentialness.

To "experience" something new with this computer or to change its functions, one would have to delete all old experiences! Only then could one expect a new game, a new function – or referring to life – a different future than what would have been expected based on the old data ... likewise it works with a person, whose central command is the SB.

If old patterns of thought are not removed from the present awareness, the program (life) will follow the same pattern and bring about the same situations, corresponding to those patterns.

How did the woman mentioned in the example above know, that the absence of sunlight could mean "danger"? How did she know that a physically strange man could do something to her, when she walks through a dark park alone – which was causing her 'queasy feeling' in the first place?

Why couldn't she avoid what the man intended and arrive home healthy and untouched? Because she thought according to old patterns, which she was familiar with. As she knew by experience, that earlier on women had been assaulted, robbed, raped and killed by men. As the media always had been full of such reports and the makers never considered writing that these women had been educated and trained according to the old thought patterns - without a single hour of schooling, in which it was taught that one reaps in life what one sows in thought; without a clear and distinctly worded reference to how powerful - but also deathly - thinking can be when one plays around with it in an unknowing manner or perhaps even leaves it totally uncontrolled!

Thousands of hours are put in to teach them the rules of German Grammar, so they don't make any mistake. Their later application for a job should be perfect enough to work eight hours daily in a factory to move a metalstamping lever up and down, so as to be a valuable productive member of society. But what would have been really important to themselves and their life - never was mentioned...

Where lies the difference then between the usual way of looking at life and the zero-perception by the spiritual being which I mentioned? It is contained in the fact that you as spiritual being do not have any position in space or time and may rather look at life from the viewpoint of a moviegoer, who - even though the action on the screen gives one clearly the feeling to be right "in the middle" of the story - does not have

to fear that something really could happen to one. Zero-perception means, to not have the desire to escape from a situation or be fearful of something one perceives. As who or what would you as immortal, indestructable, invisible SB without mass or extent have to get out of the way of? When you really perceive as yourself, there would not be any ground for such behavior. You could perceive what you perceive and would not add any further thinking of consequences for you, as there could not be any – unless you would just insist on experiencing some and would therefore consciously create those.

The most important thing however is what will happen in your life when you no longer perceive as the body, but as a spiritual being. Things will change, which you would have thought unalterable before, unpleasant situations that kept occurring never re-occur and circumstances which would otherwise have caused fear and worries will also have left your life.

The word „wahrnehmen“ (perceive)“ already holds the key and explanation for the future miracles you could perform with that, once you look at it closely. Wahrnehmen (Perceiving) – or expressed differently – accept or observe exactly what is true.

Translator's Note: in German wahr=true and nehmen=take or accept in other words literally that means taking in the truth. In English etymology Per=completely and capere=to grasp, of course completely grasping/encompassing something leaves no room for added thinking.

The more the truth has been veiled, covered up or altered in any way by introducing untruth the more drastically perception decreases, opening all doors to lies and situations get to be unsolvable, problems heap up till a point when what remains will only be unpredictability and chaos, from which one only can free oneself by starting again to pay attention to what is really true.

And the truth is not that one can be killed or raped. True is not that one is that female or male body.

Truth is also not that a big male body is stronger than the power of thought of a spiritual being, controlling a female body. These things are only true when one thinks in old patterns, instead of actually looking.

The real truth is that we spiritual beings – collectively and in silent agreement – created a reality, which we ourselves then at some time took for the truth.

A small anecdote from my life could even make it more clear how zero-perception may be applied in situations of daily life, leaving the realm of theory behind us for a change.

One day I went out dancing with a girlfriend of mine in a Club in Berlin. The doorkeepers were not in good mood and literally ripped my girlfriends handbag out of her hand in order to search for drugs, weapons or such. My companion first held on to her handbag then it was pulled harder, till I asked them if they perhaps wanted to beat the woman in order to do their jobs really thoroughly.

Upon that remark one of the real big broad fellows doomed up in front of me and asked in a provoking way if he should rather beat me up. I smiled and replied: „That is a real funny idea”. And you know what happened then? Was there a brawl? No! The doorman with a bizarre look on his face, retreated backwards out of my field of vision, my companion got her handbag returned by the second person and we could enter.

What caused this sudden turn around and also the relatively unexpected reaction of the doorman? I simply perceived what

happened, knew though that no danger existed, as I do not identify so closely with the body, to think as such. Because of the awareness of who or what I am and here I am, I hardly think of such things at all. The provoking question of the doorman I did get though, but really thought it amusing, as I imagined his statement in picture and therefore saw in my own mental universe how he desperately tried to beat up a person who is nowhere and has no surface or mass which his fist could hit. That is why my reply in his direction which ended the whole horrific episode not even meant ironically!

Do you see now, what a tremendous difference there is between the „normal“ perception as a person and the zero-perception as spiritual being? Do you also see, why I wrote, that consequent, human logic built upon false data could even cause death? Can you imagine how many alternative „futures“ the woman mentioned earlier on in the text would have had, if she would have heard of this new type of perception earlier on? An infinity!

When the awareness of a woman does not hold any concepts such as danger, fear or a possible abuse, as she does not perceive and think as female body, but as the SB itself, there will be no man in the world behind no tree with such intentions! Even when a criminal with such a shameful purpose would lurk about hoping for a victim, he still needs the thought agreement in the spiritual U1 of the person, who will be the victim, as it is precisely this agreement which forms the necessary bridge, to

make from the universe of the perpetrator and of the victim the apparencty of a common universe, in which the deed can then be executed and become a reality for both. But when there is no being there, who creates by ist own concepts a potential role as victim, the perpetrator lurking about will frustratedly move away after some time as no one shows up in that neighbourhood of that area where he is already waiting for the whole time. And, as if she was in favour with the „Gods“ is that the exact moment, when a woman – who already practices zero-perception in her life decides, that now is the right moment to enter her way home! This is so magical, so powerful and yet also easy to oversee, that actually nobody notices, what this woman did exactly, to escape her supposedly certain death. Even the woman does not notice, because she does not even have to think about how to avoid dangers beforehand. As this woman is not even a „woman“. This „she“ is a SB who is aware of her true nature which prefers a female body as beingness role in the game of life and who possesses the ability to occupy a position in space and keep it also.

You have here a very simple but terribly powerful tool for daily use in your spiritual bagage. Use it, try it out, play around with it. When you – perhaps already very soon – have become a master of the zero-perception, you will perhaps for a moment hold your breath. As when you have looked at the last untruth and seen it for what it is, you will perceive the nothing. And that is the highest truth there is to recognize: *There is no truth at all, which exists in itself to be perceived...*

Consideration or Thought pattern

If we would undertake to investigate every single spiritual being of this universe to find out with which thoughts they would just now be occupying themselves with in order to finally be able to write down the exact sum of the thoughts thought by all beings on a sheet of paper, we would end up with a figure consisting of so many zeros, that the pages of this book would be very insufficient to write them down. And yet there are only two categories in which all these thoughts would be contained, as some of these contain time and others are timeless.

When a thought concept contains time it is easy to see that it would either contain a pattern for the future or express one of the past. "I always feel queasy when I hear loud rock music", is a clear cut example of a thought pattern and the time contained in it. As this concept even contains two statements about time. At one point it says 'when' which indicates we are dealing with a future existence of something and the other expresses a further aspect, which is contained in the word 'always' ... with which somebody conveys that something happens continually responsible every time.

"I was very often ill as a child" this also stands for a particular pattern of experience. A frequency is mentioned which is referring to a particular phase in the life of the person, reporting this in the past. It is also this time again the case of a thought pattern, containing time. In both examples mentioned we will miss one element: the present!

I am relatively sure that you thus far when someone was talking of the present, had the idea, they were talking of something like time, isn't it? But that isn't so. The present is timeless! It has no duration, no continuation, but is now. And now again is the present. When you with shining eyes unpacked your first Christmas present in this life what was there? ... the present! Seen from today it "was". But can the now ever once have been? As you can see us, spiritual beings do manage an almost impossible feat which is on top of that accepted in full agreement by all as being totally normal! Without going too deeply into the truth of this rare phenomena of the "now that has been" ... lies and distortions we will still discuss in a later chapter. Let's occupy ourselves with what we designate in Spiritologie as 'considerations' - which contrary to 'thought patterns' - do not contain time, but are timeless. They are timeless, as considerations exist exclusively in the present form in the thought of beings.

"I can't work with computers", does not contain anytime, from the pure conceptual content of the words, it has no reference to the past nor do we find a connection to the future, as the Is-Condition does not mean that it will continue to exist or even must persist.

Just like the number of possible thought patterns is infinite, this goes as well for the quantity and variations in considerations, which a being can create for itself.

A consideration could be an opinion a belief, a conclusion on it could be an evaluation that came about through the observation of some situations

or conditions of life; but it is according to definition only a consideration when it's there in the present form.

Just that fact of the 'present' character and therefore timelessness of a consideration, can lead one to overlook a very important and inherent – almost even insidious – aspect. Let's assume in your life you would one day get the idea, to think about yourself "I am a loser". However you may have arrived at this thought we will not go into at this point. The important thing here is: This consideration is timeless! But – and that is the mystery factor mentioned – the present also is timeless. What could that mean for your life in simple words? When there is no time in this self assigned character trait, for how long would you then be "a loser"? Basically only now! But how long is now? Well now ... now... and now ... hm ... ? Would you then always be a loser ... ? Yes! This consideration would have no position in the future or the past and would therefore travel together with you in an always new "now". It would even be so damned sticky that it would even follow you in later lifetimes, or even possibly universes, which no human being could even have any idea of they do at the present moment not ever exist!

It would pure theoretically just need the duration of an eyeblink, to think this small thought to make oneself – without ever having to repeat it – a loser for eternity!

You would continue to be a loser – and of course also bring into existence the firting attitude, and situations – as log as you do not recognize, that the cause of this apparent "fact" is

just that one never told oneself “from now on it no longer is that way!” An other possibility is to not even allow this problem to come into existence, to need to have to solve it later is to recognize the timelessness already at the thought of „I am a loser“ and to know that this thought was already past, when thought to the end – an would thus no longer exist.

It only becomes difficult when a being belongs to the type who has as one of his favourite considerations: „the past continues to exist“. In that case one would spend a few hours of work and a lot of patience, before a consideration of that nature has gone up in smoke. Note that the past continues to exist is not a thought pattern only as the word past occurs in it. When you look at it exactly you will see that the consideration expresses: „that is how it is“

The thing that counts is not the words in a concept, but the timeform of the concept. “We will have soon forgotten the past“ on the contrary would of course again be a thought pattern, as here we would be speaking of a development towards forgetting, which takes place in the future – time is contained therein.

After we have looked at and unambiguously defined both categories of all potential thoughts and seen their particulars, let's take up some examples and play through the possible effects in life.

The Director of Sales of a large enterprise sits with his boss and the entire sales force in a meeting and explains the fact that once more the competing firm obtained the order for a million dollars project. After a lot of details – the following conclusive statement is given: „We tried everything in our power, but when

it comes to the real big deals we simply always draw the short straw”

What kind of effects could such a thought pattern have in life? How would this be...? The boss briefly nods looks at the faces of the men of his sales force and asks around with the question: “Is there anyone here who possibly has a different view? “ Obviously nobody at first would like to stab the leader of the Sales Department in the back, but then one of the salesmen hesitantly raises the voice and says: „In my opinion it lies only in our hands to hold good against such competitors, if we pay a lot more attention to personal contact with our clients and build up a closer relationship before it then finally is only all about closing the deal.“

Agreeing, the boss calls the salesmen together and addresses the Leader of the Sales Department in a steady voice and very directly: „As I have been for many years a friend of stiff, fresh breezes when my ship had been too long without forward speed, I am requesting that you turn over the sales department to this man“- he looks with a smile at the salesman who had a different viewpoint - „and find a place in the sales team tomorrow morning at eight. I thank you gentlemen“.

How could this be a very realistic effect that the former leader of the sales department caused in his life with such a thought pattern like „... we always draw the short straw“?

As no executive worth his title even vaguely, would tolerate even for a second such negative attitudes, that encompass all big deals

of the future – always – in his enterprise! And for sure not from a person who actually would have to see to it that exactly such things would not repeat!

Or could you imagine for example in Professional League Sports a coach who would tolerate a thought pattern in the heads of the leading personalities of his team that goes like: „we sure are a great team but in the decisive moments we always fail“?

In professional sports and of course also in industry, where one is not dealing with esoteric theories but with visible results in practice and the big earnings that go with that, they oddly enough have always already known about the power of thoughts! That is why motivation plays a large role in such domains.

No bunch of „thanks-anti-depressiva-relative-positive-thinkers“ ever became worldchampions in any halfway seriously performed types of sport, that have been established till this day around the world.

You also know then in which way a real pro is so greatly different from the amateur? Yes, by the high quality of his considerations and thought patterns! With „high quality“ here is meant the factors of thinking, containing the concepts of survival, understanding, cause position, knowing, control, responsibility, winning, ability, certainty, security etc.

„They never understand me“, „Life is really injust“, „Fate is ill-disposed towards me“, „I keep making the same mistakes“, „I also don't know why I always have problems with this“, „God is not always on my side“, „My parents never gave me an opportunity“, „I am not a winner type“ - all these are

considerations and thought patterns, of which one can say that there is one thing they are guaranteed not to make a being into: a winner!

I am not writing here that everyone should be a Champion or Worldmaster as there also are beings who have interests which are stacked in another way entirely. But I am saying that when somebody would like to reach something, that he should clean out all thought patterns and considerations, which he has put up along all of the eight impulses of life, which are of a contrary nature! And even when somebody wants to not reach something, that he should make sure that no disturbance from considerations or thought patterns which spark interference which could also thwart a desired not-succeeding. This sounds curious, doesn't it? Well, if you as motorcycle rider would go through life with a thought pattern, which holds something that you do not want to have happen, like "with the same speed that I drive back and forth, I will one day die on the country road". You had better gone to work this out right away, as you expose yourself to the danger to lose your body any time you spend on the motorcycle – and on top of all that may even possibly miss out on the payout of premiums of you building fund contracts!

Because even when you would at some time recall that you were the human identity, whose signature can still be recognized from documents meanwhile turned yellow, the earth citizens will only tiredly put you off and not let you muck up their business – unless, you had been diligently working to raise the spiritual awareness of those around you to a level which would have to lay a rather large distance above that of the – not really accountable for own thought – professional soldier.

I am sure, you could meanwhile estimate approximately the copious quantities of unfavourable concepts that could lie slumbering in a single being.

But it's not only the relatively visible and easily graspable destructive concepts that one can't overlook such as those which in the case of the motorcycle rider could make an end to a career, a life or a dream which one is working on.

It is mainly the considerations and thought patterns that contain infinity. These contain in most cases a „not-something“ and are therefore hard to locate for beings who are relatively untrained in also perceiving what is not visible to the eyes.

To not create any confusion regarding what I am talking about I will give you an example: „One never knows if one will survive the next day.“ Boy oh boy...!

This is a thought pattern for all enthusiastic supporters of „Russian-Roulette“ where one passes a revolver around which is loaded with just a single bullet spins the cylinder to place the bullet in a random position, then hands the gun to his neighbour who holds against his temple and pulls the trigger. If he does not fall down dead – i.e. the cylinder didn't turn in such a way that the single bullet got positioned directly in front of the barrel – he may call himself a real man, roll the cylinder in his turn to then observe this extremely macho ritual on the fellow who's next.

The thought pattern „One never knows, whether one will survive the next day“, is exactly the same type of „game“. It does demonstrate beautifully what I mean with an *infinity-concept of no-thing*.

Once we namely check out carefully what is there in the thinking of the person, we will observe that „not knowing the future“, „no certainty regarding the continuation of ones own existence“ as well as „no precise or possible cause“ is to be found. A lot of nothing, isn't it?

How come I call so much nothing an infinity-concept? The answer is very simple, like all answers not far from the truth are. Just ask the person presenting such a thought pattern, how many different ways of dying there are – then you know exactly why so much “nothing” can create an unlimited number of situations, which even so all owe their creation to the same source. If the person asked would really want to give a complete and comprehensive answer to the question about the quantity of possible ways of death, till he would have gained a certainty not to have overlooked or left out any method of dying he would take an awful long time to answering you!

Obviously it is not so simple to ask someone who just died, for the causes of their death, but if you could you would quickly get a personal reality on that which I earlier on described as the *difficulty of inexperienced people to discover no-thing*.

Let us assume that person had been taken out from amongst the living by a stroke and you would say to them that there was a book which said that everything one experiences, is exactly that which one creates by one's own thinking; now you want to find out if this was the case also with their death. What would their first reaction be? No!

This being will be very sure of the so perceived „fact” to not have thought even for a second, in their meanwhile past life, that they would die of a stroke. And that is then even for a dead person - who should know after all, whether he caused his demise really by his own thinking – the *obvious* and incontrovertible *evidence* for the untruth of the main assertion of this book.

Yeah... true is only that which is true for you personally – and nothing else!

But... what about the thought pattern of the person „One never knows whether one will survive the next day.”?! Well...! My view on life just is a view from the eight impulse. And that is exactly the viewpoint you should take before you even start to enter in discussion with persons who still have a relatively narrow understanding of the basic principles, which can be traced as a red thread through the chapters.

Did you ever hear of the tragic history of the American Soul Singer and actress »Aaliya«? She died at the age of twentytwo on 21 August 2001 in a plane crash – precisely at the point where her career was in a terrific uptrend.

After the media had reported this incident there soon were speculations about whether she would have had any „presentiments”, that such a thing might happen. As only a short time before as if out of the blue sky and without being asked about that at all, she said she wanted “after her death to remain thought of by her fans as an entertainer with heart and soul - and as a good person”.

It is therefore not surprising that a loud discussion took place where many participants talked of how bizarre such a coincidence was, to hear the artist herself talk of her death soon to come. Normally one would not expect a twenty-two year old woman to waste any single thought on such subjects.

Did she really have a „presentiment“, or was the cause of her death perhaps rather such a thought pattern like: „One never knows whether one will survive the next day“?

Take you time to look through your eight impulses of existence for considerations and thought patterns. Write them all down on so many pieces of paper you may need – and there will be a lot once you start to force awareness along all impulses of existence regarding these things – so you do not get on the track of the concepts of little use for you personally as impulse 1 but also as the 8th impulse which encompasses also the family, friends, groups, all of mankind, all animals, other life forms etc.

Why? Because I really like it a lot when beings are happy, laugh and have fun – and ... as I also like it when *You* live!

Phantasy as Source of new Realities

That there should be quite a few women who are quite interested to have on their side a man who „stands with both legs on the solid ground of reality“ is well known. They of course mean by that a man who stopped chasing after some „dreams“, has no ideas which deviate too much from the agreed upon reality and who – endowed with these qualities – will naturally be a good, conservative money earner, just the best a woman with this attitude could wish for herself.

I certainly have nothing against a relative healthy sense of reality but such women I have – when I even did encounter them in the course of my life – mostly given to understand that I was the wrong one, but would be quite willing to accompany them to the nearest cemetery. The men there namely are not only with both legs, at about hundred-eighty centimeter depth, rooted in the solid ground of facts, but have already accomplished a “total body anchorage”.

The reason that I never would have considered to share life with such a woman I would like to be illustrated at this point by a well known American author who came to fame with the adventure stories of »Tom Sawyer« und »Huckleberry Finn« - which I already loved very much as a child: *»Never leave your illusions behind. When they are gone, you may survive but will have stopped living.« – Mark Twain (1830-1903)*

»illusions«, »dreams« or »phantasies«, often are words that are interchangeable, as in most cases they are used to point out what separates the mechanical from the conscious, the creative from the apathetic or the living from the dead: the presence of creative power!

Realities are not created by those who are so inseparably rooted therein or with two legs are tramping on it like elephant cows on the ground. In order for the above mentioned woman to detect her desired candidate at all, a reality must first have been created, with which the „boy of her dreams“ can so wonderfully solidly connect. You see if every being would be an absolute realist and we would simply get rid of all phantasts, dreamers – as well as persons who had too many illusions – we would rapidly have a world full of „men of their dreams“ for the type of woman mentioned above. But alas there would also very soon no longer be a theater to go to on an evening out, and no cinema, yeah no music to which to dance with your spouse!

We would be a bunch of conservative „machines“ stumbling around living in a sad, colorless world. We would get our „datachip“ implanted right after birth, then would be filled up with data of „down-to-earth reality“ in order to work hard, so as to make enough money, to afford a roof over our heads and have the means to earn foodstuff, which is needed else we couldn't work. Not to forget obviously the monthly tax payments of at least eighty percent of what was produced – which is however collected - with drawn weapons - even in person by our friendly and nicely down-to-earth

servants of the state from our broken open habitats...

Whoever didn't turn in every receipt of bought stamps very cleanly for "democratic-voluntary" verification has then only himself to blame, to have to *correctly* tolerate this legal, measure of law. Yeah, I can almost see this world of the *down-to-earth*: nobody steps out of line, all think alike, wear the same standard brand of suit, everyone does the same – except for the five or six banker families who profit from this – and I would certainly be proud as well to be the "man of her dreams".

Do you know why especially artists are admired by so many people and are very often seen as special individuals? They still actively use their inner creative powers! As creative persons did not permit their own world – the personal U1 – to be destroyed by "reality". What's more these artists don't possess something , that is not also in the possession of he who is so much looking up to his idol – it's just laying there idle. It is this U1 that needs to be put up again or perhaps it would have to be consciously created for the first time.

A lot of people are very good at imitating songs – be it melodies or words or in combination. And then there are those who can cite books perfectly or can reproduce verbatim long verses of great poets – which is in itself an amazing ability. But what happens when you ask such persons to come up with something of their own making...? Silence...! How come?! What is so „difficult“, in thinking up something which can then be sung, cited or performed? It is the thinking which is anchored in

the given (default) reality! Quite a lot of people are so used to receive all information with which they think – and with which they look at the world – from the outside, that they sometimes live for several years without ever having a thought that is actually their own. Just look at the many years in school, which serve to place data and information into SBs. Add parents, familymembers, friends, television, magazins and of course there are still all the universities or various evening schools that offer further education. Then there are still all experiences from this life but also past lives, which a being may have lived. Do you believe that when a teenager wonders which jeans he should wear on the next day, that it could be a thought of their own? The question is more: „Would this teenager then think at all about whether or not his or that brand would be more appropriate?!” Even in the case of such an innocent deliberation, we are dealing with effective marketing concepts of the fashion industry, which in the moment of contemplation race through the mind of him or her who may count themselves amongs the enlightened who “know”, what “one” wears nowadays!

There really is no lack of accumulated considerations and thought patterns, that do not even stem from the person himself! Where should one still find then the few needed square millimeter of free space in order to think of something oneself?! I am of course joking, as a being who does not even exist in a position in space and also has no size, breadth or depth, which shows that the question of lack of free space is meant purely symbolically...! The fact remains that creativity, creative power and phantasy are no longer available for active usage,

precisely from the moment one thinks exclusively with experience, memory or with the help of data from „external sources“ like school knowledge or information from the media! I think that should be a sufficiently plausible reason, with which to answer the question about the cause for the rare silence one encounters asking for an own creation or own product.

Our complete culture, the society in which we live, the quality of our existence as well as the degree of happiness and satisfaction which each of us feels is only and exclusively depending on the ability to create desired conditions as factual and common reality. For that we must however – *stupidly* enough already *before* it is known common reality! – be first *capable* by our imagination, phantasy, creative ability – or however you want to call that, to create a mental concept of that in U1, of just how this world should look like!

I already have heard so many people complain about innumerable conditions and undesireable things in their life. Yes, being critical and complain is so simple, that it is even for a good art critic a snap to tear any artist to pieces, even if he himself – well you want to know how come? – never in his life had been able to even produce one piece of recognized art! If you ask such a “wild-complainer-about-life” something like “What solution do you propose that would be equally correct for everybody?”- what are you getting then? “Eehh...” Right! There we have our silence again, as Mr of Mrs SB cannot even think independently – which becomes all to painful and obvious, when you ask the critic... *Would anybody really be so asinine, to expect a noticeable change when the world of tommorrow is created by beings who get*

their present thinking out of a long gone past? No.

One would simply expect no better than that we will experience crime and suppression also tomorrow as already today we abuse our collective spiritual power by accepting yesterday's *is*-condition automatically as continuing – and thereby change it into "concrete".

No interior designer of this universe would ever get the idea to construct a house according to his own idea if there were still around all the 'ideas' of a 'formerly' existing present. Everyone would laugh at him, if he would try to put a new couch where an old table was still standing, or wants to hang up a picture exactly in the place where the broken through wall still would show a hole, which he had wanted to see there also ten years ago. How does he solve these problems which prevent him from creating his new home? Letting destruction reign and blow up everything? That's for sure not a good idea, as then he would even worsen the difficulties. Just think of all the dust and small particles now laying spread out all over. What does he need then actually to create his new home? He just has need for a "nothing" in this space! That's exactly what's required also of a being, to be creative again, the full awareness as 8th Impulse – the nothing with infinite potential!

I am working also many years already as composer, textwriter, singer and also meanwhile produce the songs I write myself. Perhaps a few readers here and there heard some of the titles that were published under my own name. More recent work

was published under the project name 'stimmkraft', in case you are interested in the not uninteresting subjects, about which I am singing, which contain a lot of „Spiritologie“, as you could imagine .Also when I skip into the role of an artist, when I take up a new composition, I know exactly with what to begin. I begin with nothing.

How should I think up new sequences of notes, or words, themes, or new arrangements when I would not at first have *no* thoughts? Imagine, how impossible this would be for me, if old songs, fragments of texts from songs of other artists or perhaps even shoes of fate of "the past" or 'fears of the future' would crackle down on me. There would not be a song! Nobody could ever hear it, or hold a material CD in the space of current reality in his physical hands – as I would not have been able to control my thinking!

"*From nothing comes nothing*", is one of the *worst* lies one could imagine *all* that *is*, came from nothing! Just like a sometime audible music or tangible CD.

When and where does one of my material CDs come about? Where has then origin? In 'reality'? In the IS-condition? Of course not! It is created outside the space and time of U3 – out of the nothing, which I myself am! Some, later *mechanical* aspects are added like stiking of the wires of the guitar or the make up of the silver-blank (rohling) etc. I am asking though for the *factual first moment* of the process accure! This is the moment in which

I start to create a song in my “phantasy”.

There lies the source of perceivable, physical products!

To get new things created in the real world the phantasy and creativity of a SB is needed. The requirement though is for us, to be completely free of undesirable considerations and thought patterns and also to be able to think and create fully independently and uninfluenced by them – the latter being the logical consequence of that freedom.

As I know about it, I developed the technology that I use in my sessions. This is fully and *exclusively* addressing the infinite creature power – or expressed differently – the unlimited phantasy of a SB. “Imagination procedure” or also called “Consideration processing” in the only method used to rehabilitate the above mentioned abilities – when the being does not make it by itself, applying the information from this book to itself; which obviously is possible!

A person is unable – which can be *proven* and demonstrated *physically anytime* – to imagine something regarding a given subject, as long as there is *any single* undesired consideration, past experience, future uncertainty or any disturbing thought pattern connected to this imaginative concept! Some people certainly will think that they can also imagine something with these connections present. Sure! But then you cannot *just* make an imagination that is *free of charge*, which is demanded of you in session! Tha tis the differnce! This imagination-concept will in such a case be “fixedly”connected with old experiences, which

just by “trying” to imagine will float to the surface and reach the consciousness of the person! An example? Just tell someone who recently lost a loved one: “Imagine, that something was taken away from you!” Do you think this person will really imagine something? I will tell you, what will happen: You go rather rapidly get yourself a big a big pack of paper tissues as you have in this person’s mind pulled into the present all past moments that were chained to this concept! You will possibly wait for hours in vain for one actually pure and really new *imagination* – created in the present – and which should also then be free of charge!

You will get to hear *all* deaths, threats of loss, yes also things stolen ... which this person has experienced in the total of their past existence if you after every answer gotten ask what exactly happened in their “imagination” and repeat the same concept in a new unit of time. And not one description of that which the person allegedly imagined will be a present ‘imagination’ - I mean phantasy – as it will have been something real that was *remembered!*

Because, as I have said earlier in the text, a being can *no* longer use his phantasy and creative power accurately and freely when it obtains his thought from experience, memory, old considerations or thought patterns – and is thus out of the *timeless* present!

And a person who has just suffered the loss of a loved one, would be completely overwhelmed with these things! Earlier on, spiritual people tried to reach hidden areas that could block a person with recalls. Why the hocuspokus?

Just tell them simply to imagine something specific pertinent to a problem area or aspect of life. And the past appears automatically in the present but with vehemence! A rehabilitated being, free of charge has no phantasy touched or influenced by any factors and you get one funny imagination after the other – bang, bang! In a being with charge however, this “phantasy” mutates in front of your eyes to a „monstrous magnet“ as it is not even possible for that individual to use its phantasy causatively!

So do not play around idly with the information I have just passed on to you, but only read the examples as an aid to be able to penetrate and better understand the theory. What ought to have occurred to you by now, is that Spiritologie is not intended to compete with any „Incense-Esoteric-Movement“ but that its theoretical basics, by application in real life, can rapidly be tested – by any objective observer – for applicability, functionality as well as efficiency. More about the application I will put in a next chapter, as I – before one can work effectively with imagination-procedure would like to give a few explanations of why something *is* and why something *isn't*! As when such great significance is attached to putting back the “nothing” in order to lay bare again the full and unlimited creative potential we should look then at how survival – and thus the existence of *something* – is reached.

Everything starts on the moment of instantaneous „creation“. Please just imagine for *right now*

a blue planet. If this would be hard to do with eyes open, you could just as well close your eyes for a moment – just don't forget to read on after that! Do you have the imagination picture of a blue planet? Good..

If you've seen it clearly or less clearly is not so important. How long did it take to make this up in your imagination? Try to perceive the exact duration. Could it possibly be that there is no time to report? Exactly so! As the process of creation is *now* and does not contain *any time*. When I now write: „Let this blue planet disappear again immediately, by no longer creating it“, you might think: „in the meantime while I was thinking about the question of how long the creation took it was no longer there at all!“ If that was so and the planet was not existing during the time of that contemplation and the evidence of that was striking you, you have made an important discovery! Because: It does not exist by itself and continues beyond the moment of creation, as the action of creating does not hold time! That's why the moment of creation coincides exactly with the moment of destruction – as long as no alteration is introduced into the creation! So we would arrive at the second factor which is necessary to have continuance and thus keep something, that is: „the introduction of an *alteration* into a *creation*“

And again let's appeal to your phantasy in order to take a closer look at the aspect of alteration in more detail. Again imagine a blue planet. Now think: "It was there all the time. I just didn't perceive it for a while – till now –

as I was distracted reading the last sentences.”

Did you do that? Very well. Then you introduced an alteration that way, which consists of the “lie” time! Because till this thought “of not having perceived it”, there was no time at all and no continuance of this planet! And that is exactly how you get to the point that this planet *is* and you even have now the idea that it *was*, when it actually did not exist in your imagination! There you suddenly get a past if this planet, which on top of that is also *unknown* to you. As you in the „timespan“ brought into existence by this consideration did not „perceive“ at all, you also could not „know“ whether or not anything happened to it in the „meantime“, isn’t it?

And now we have already created a whole series of curious things, which according to our considerations even possess a reality and are rather real, that is to say: „Time“, „Unknownness“, „a past“ and there is even something absolutely rare like the „meantime“! The blue planet is obviously still extent, is just not „noticed“, while one is occupied with other things. That is how one arrives at something that is – in the sense of exists and continues to exist: By adding an alteration into a creation, which then brings about Existence!

How did this , now already enormously grown complexity into being at all? By the consideration that the planet would have existed, when it definitely did not! And that is why that complexity is only then resolvable again, when one becomes aware of the true cause – *the altering consideration*.

Any effort to destroy existing things, conditions, problems etc. with force, fighting, energy or other means, would be a counter-effort, which however would not be able to make an existence disappear and therefore is doomed to fail.

A very simple example of everyday life, would be a real cheerful young man, who meets a woman on the street finds her beautiful and thinks: "That is the woman of my life!" Then he plucks up courage and even addresses her, she however does not respond favourably. So he sadly steps away and feels terribly depressed, while thinking: "Life is not worth it when every woman I really like doesn't want to have anything to do with me." In the next days he feels emotionally depressed due to his experience. He starts to drink in order to lessen his lovesickness feelings and every new morning the feelings, now also worsened due to additional headaches, which have to be combatted with tablets on top of all that – start to come up again bringing him to seek newly his solace in alcohol. The problem is not only not getting solved, but gets even worse and the existing situation in life becomes increasingly complex – as he due to his bad state – now also is calling his bosses attention to himself.

A very precise, business like but unspoken analysis of what is observed, sounds as follows:

The counter effort "alcohol" does obviously not lead to a disappearance of the existence "love sickness", which through the alteration "Life is not worth it...", was added into the creation at the time of the first meeting "That is the woman of my life"!

Fact though is: In the life of this man – given applied zero-perception – nothing has changed at all. He does not have the woman and did not have her before! What exactly ruined his life?

His altering considerations, which give the whole thing continuance and complexity! Zero-perception would be the solution to have him recognize that he dropped himself in a rather deep hole! And the consideration that it is „no woman who wants nothing to do with him”., is also not “true”, as he did not even meet “future” women and in his past there were till now exactly *five* women who did not want him.

I would advise such a person, with such an “is-condition” to rapidly stop thinking, and instead practice real pure observation – i.e. zero-perception, so as to make the present reality *resolvable*, and so that the actual creation will not – by *continual* and *increased* alteration stack up to a *more and more solid and complex* existence – which by the attempted counter effort turns into an *apparently unresolvable* problem

His situation would otherwise at the most be a pleasure for the representatives of the pharmaceutical industries, who through higher sales of psychopharmaca and the resulting bonus-payments, can earn their next big BMW – which is produced by a firm which was built up with the financial means of the family “Quandt”.

But I am sure that also this “noble” family would be happy with the successes of the representatives, as their ancestors already were letting their later inherited capital

be earned by in-house concentration camp prisoners working for their battery plant AFA, later in 1962 renamed „Varta“.

Pure business people are always happy about their new sales, which have been earned with suffering and illness. If you *still* drive „BMW“ don't worry about it, as you just got the totality of the fundamental theory by the practical application of which existing *is*-conditions through the *“four factors of existence”* being described above – creation, alteration, existence and countercreation – you as SB *with* the ability of zero-perception can change into “nothing”, for yourself and others!

From whatever I have written in this book so far, whatever examples of possible realities I may have used to explain the theory – never lose sight of the most important thing: When something continues to exist and *is*, it may be “reality” – which merely rests on agreement – but one thing is sure it is *not* the truth! “Existence” needs alteration and actually even continual alteratioin in order to obscure the actual timeless creation.

Nothing in this book reflects therefore the truth... but everything in this book should demonstrate and clarify, that the basic truth is a “static” – and thus does not possess time, space, position in space, significance, mass or motion!

So on recognizing the ultimate truth there would not even be an incident or a “something”, about which one could communicate. Therefore don't fall for it if I - or *whoever* else - tells you, that so and so „*is*“! If you would think then that that is *true*, you would not have understood this book!

About truth no one can write or talk - one can only *use* the

unlimited quantity of realities to knit a “ladder *to* the truth”! And once arrived there you see there was never a truth outside you having the potential to create your own! No guru, preacher, professor, doctor, teacher, pope or jesus – but no Buddha, John Lennon, Elvis or Andreas Buttler either will ever be able to tell you what it is. Everyone would – when he is very, very honest and act responsibly – only say one thing: „There are no words for the truth, as when you recognize yourself even that no longer exists”.

When also for you then perhaps already soon, no aspect of the reality known till now exists *by itself, for the simple reason* that you have recognized and ended the actual creation by yourself of what you perceived, the era of new realities begins. And these will have been created by your own, boundless, powerful not to be limited by no one, infinite phantasy.

Life is the sum of all your illusions – dream it newly...!

Infinity brought back to Life

Now all important, theoretical basics have been explained in detail, it is time to get practical and consider the aspects of the application of the principles we have talked about in earlier chapters. The most beautiful and flowery theory about how a spinach-pizza tastes and is satisfying is from the view of a starving man of completely senseless and useless if it doesn't enable him also to make one himself and also enjoy it himself and – through the actual subjectively available awareness about what was described in theory – even survive it!

The application in the area of difficulties need no more additional explanations, where a single consideration – or a single thought pattern – is leading to continually present problematic in life. If you would think something like: "I only get to know people who cheat me." You'd not have a real easy future ahead. This thought pattern perhaps built on old experiences, you just discontinue. By that you believe, exactly from the moment of "no more creating", your so called "Karma" – the fate conditioned by earlier thinking and acting – and have the possibility to install a new, desired thought pattern for the now existing futures, which can effect an instant change in life. You can also bring that about *at the same time* as the simple decision: "From now on every person I meet in life are straight people with honest intentions" solves at the same time

an old, up to now existing thought pattern, as well as creates a new pattern of future experience.

Did you notice something? This new thought pattern did *not* sound: “Everybody *will* ... “, but I wrote: “From now on everyone *is* ... “. Very important! Why?

Because there *is* no future! When people will *become* so and so you are not formulating a *present* existence but a “future” one. The future is not the present though and that’s why it could be that you will at sometime in the *future* will meet honest persons, which would lead me to ask “from when on”?

This in explanation of why so much „positive thinking“ could never lead to the desired result. Without knowledge of the anatomy of time and its creation – which is senior to all other mechanical aspects like space, energy, matter and objects – it can be very frustrating to have to continually find that it “just does not work”.

You put up thought patterns which are right away valid and don’t make up a sort of double future either like in the case of: „From the next week onward I will sometime have more business deals closed.“

As what would you really have been saying? Nothing! „From the next week on“ could as well mean: „Possibly after ten human lives.“! As that you will drink a coffee some time“, is as statement still true, when till the time of the actual drinking a hundred years would have passed, as in the thought concept the exact time had not been included.

If a being is emotionally in a bad state, the thought pattern „I will be doing gradually better soon“ would express definitely a hope, but would

however never change anything in the *present* situation, and the improvement could take months – if not years – as there was no time established for the improvement to show up. Such a being could certainly just as easily create the thought „Next Monday I will again be able to laugh“, but why should it remain in a bad state till that day, if it could go substantially faster?!

Really effective would be a causatively created consideration, which is *timeless* and therefore works *presently*, containing the concept: “It *is already better*. I no longer *feel depressive*“. or „I am cause over my emotions and moods.“ Of course the desired effect would not occur, when one would think beforehand - or even during – that “this Buttler can say what he wants but the truth is that my emotions are too deeply connected with my bad experiences, which I just can’t forget.”

„Everything you experience is caused by your own causative thinking.“ does obviously also apply in such a case! And as the failure would already be part of such a concept, the consideration „It *is already better etc.*“, would not bring about any improvement. Apparently further counter thought patterns and considerations would show up as soon as the attempt is made to create with the help of phantasy a *new* emotion – *independent* of memories, experience, the past or any reference to „*reality*“. On a person *with* this sort of problems in evidence, this fantasy would not be free nor unhampered, but it would be *measurably* charged and you could follow such a thought sequence on a “Spiritologie-Navigator”.

We would have had in the above example though a being who would apparently not be able to overcome the situation, by reading a few chapters of this book and cover some theory to apply to his thinking and to recognize: „Yes, of course I am depressed, when I already for years think that I can not just forget unpleasant situations of the past, that keep me again and again falling in an emotional hole! So that's it now: „It's over!“

For sure it *is* so easy, when one as being could have kept enough of one's own responsibility and thereby causeposition – in other words if you had been living an existence near the truth. If instead of a realization – instantly „counter arguments“ come up that say that one of the basic principles of Spiritologie is not applicable, you are witnessing a “dramatization” of accumulated charge, which has come about through the continual alteration of an actual creation, through which lies and untruth have led to the continued existence of this particular thing which the being is complaining about.

And it is just for this type of situation that a technique is needed! An applicable principle, which would make it possible to approach such an area and penetrate to the truth of a situation by gradual discharge of a particular aspect of life in order to dissolve this continual situation in life into nothing. – and one never, never, never takes up more than one aspect at once, otherwise too many incoming charges would lead to confusion.

The basic tenet of Spiritologie slight reworded could also be stated as: “what you experience is the mirror of what you create by your own thinking.”

And exactly because of that the word “miroring” is used which aptly describes the technology applied in Spiritologie sessions.

The verb mirroring does not only exactly describe the actual application of the basic principles in a Spiritologie Session, but on top of that defines even the mental attitude resp. character traits to be adopted by someone who carries out such sessions with self or others, as he must become a mirror in order to be effective! There is no great difference between a session, which one carries out with oneself (solo) or one which one carries through to a good end with a second person. The same fundamental rules apply to both types of sessions, but let us now explain exactly what is a „mirror“.

What makes a „mirror“? Does he analyze? Does he think? Does he evaluate? Does he invalidate someone or something or volunteer his opinion or view? Does he add to what is spoken to him, said or communicated in another way, a thought? No! All these things are not characteristics or properties of a mirror! A mirror only and just reflects that which actually exists or is present in front of it.

Do you recognize that we describing the mirror also almost again define the word zero-perception? The perception is not – but really not at all – added to. A mirror with a completely professional attitude would not even think of the word „tree“ when he would behold one. As the designation „tree“ was hammered into him at school in the past, which with normal beings may lead to automatic thinking.

As the zero-perception does not contain thinking though, but is pure perception, not containing any additives, we are then also describing exactly what a mirror would do when an observable tree would appear in front of it. Nothing! No thought, no analysis, no evaluating, no judgement or invalidation based on older information or experiences. Just as the assertion of a being would not be ordered by a „mirror“ into categories like right and wrong, logical or illogical, moral vs immoral etc. as a mirror has a completely neutral attitude in regards to what is perceived! If one observes all these points what is then the action of „mirroring“? To mirror another being (or also oneself) means: One reflects exactly that which was perceived before as “imagination-concept”! For a session one could add: that which was distinctly perceived as „charged“! An example:

A being cries over a heavy criticism it has just been subjected to and in a brief talk about what had happened the thought pattern shows up: “I always do everything wrong.”, which is uttered under even stronger tears (thus clearly perceivable charge) than before. Let's assume for now that the person already knew something about Spiritologie and would be interested as well, to examine his present situation in the light of his own thinking. One thing is to be noted: a session is not held – as a nice coffee klatch – but is always started in a proper environment, continued as long as needed and ended at an appropriate point, which is always reached only after a distinct relief – and not before that has occurred!

If these conditions are met a session with the other being could be started in which one would take up the exact charged subject which the individual himself has mentioned, namely „I always do everything wrong“. As imagination process to be executed – as in a Spiritologie session one works exclusively with the phantasy of a being – the exact wording would be: „Imagine you are always doing everything wrong“. The person would because of the charge of course not be able to really create a new, present imagination in his phantasy, but the imagination-process would also here act as a magnet for all past situations and experiences – in which it was - for smaller or larger goofs – insulted, invalidated or even punished or physically abused, which bit by bit would show up and would have to be spoken about in order to then perhaps after thirty minutes or so – or possibly also only after several hours – to reach a distinct relief, which will be accompanied by a feeling of cheerfulness.

To not already now put too much attention on the technical aspects of the such a session, I would like to once more come back to the „mirroring“. Did you recognize from the example why I chose just this expression? As being a mirror one actually reflects precisely in the form of an „imagination-concept“ what showed up as undesired, charged consideration or as counter-survival thought pattern! In the example of „I always do everything wrong“, the for the session repeatedly to be executed imagination-concept became „Imagine, you always do everything wrong“. Don't make the mistake to underestimate the force and vehemence of such as simple procedure! As at the latest when

you have the first person in front of you who is twitching, has foam on the mouth, writhing with pains or even losing consciousness, when you just wanted to play around with a few imaginations – which wouldn't really „have anything to do with real life”, you will know that you have completely misjudged something! Then it would also be a little late to dig out this book in order to read up on what to do exactly, what the rules to be observed are with which every – in actual fact every – session phenomena can be brought completely under control again. As regardless of the content of the mirrored imagination process: Once started each individual can be brought to a completion free of charge – without exception! And if it wasn't a solo session which you did, both sides will show a laughter free of charge.

Never forget that with Spiritologie you have a very powerful tool you are using, which – in the „non existent“ hands of an honest being acting with good intention – is capable of baring the roots of forgetting, suffering, war, criminality and even bare the causes and reasons – yes, even can undo them – of the SB almost losing the awareness of his own true immortal and godly nature...!

So think twice, better to look things over once more to see where a misunderstanding may have crept in before you would at sometime or other assert that Spiritologie doesn't work in practice! As that has not been observed in any case of correct application! And when results do not show also the reasons for that are known

and will be described by me in the next section, so you would not even try to apply Spiritologie when the conditions for it to function are not there! But let's first go over more information on the setup and run of a correctly run session, based on the fundamentals given in this book.

The imagination-procedure can be done as effectively without the Spiritologie-Navigator – yet with such an aid it will be considerably faster. As considerations or thought patterns possibly still situated outside the awareness, repressed incidents etc. are already measurable and thus available for mirroring as soon as one by carefully aimed questions – which could be covering all eight of the impulses of existence – detects charged aspects. But such as in the case of the crying person there are also other signs or indicators, very visible to the naked eye, which betray where “charges” are to be found.

Every concept which counters „survival“ has its cause lying in an unresolved charged area. Thus one needs not wonder a long time if there is charge when one's best friend confesses with a gloomy face that he will never find a job again because he always makes such stupid verbal boobooos in application interviews. Of course there is charge there, which upon asking further reveals an interesting consideration, namely: „Principals make me nervous“. As this consideration is expressed and does not lead to an immediate disappearance of the problem there happens to be a whole package of considerations and thought patterns, where the one mentioned forms only the tip of the iceberg.

The mirrored imagination-process is of course: „Imagine, superiors make you nervous“, where the mental attitude of the mirror of course has to be kept up by the person who carries out a process in the context of a session of course all the time!

That means one remains neutral in regards to the person and subject, does not analyze, does not evaluate and let alone invalidating what the person offers as imagination, expresses or personally considers to be true etc. – nor verbally nor thoughtwise to oneself! That would in itself already constitute a violation of the rules of mirroring, as a mirror just mirrors and nothing else!

The course of speech would be as follows:

Mirror: „Imagine, superiors make you nervous“.

SB: „Yes.“ The being does not give any further details about the contents of the imagination he made.

Mirror: „What exactly was the imagination?

„*SB*“: Last year in May I *also* sat in an office, to apply for a job and stammered a little when I should answer questions. Hm, I do stammer every now and then, when I feel unsure.”

Note: Here we have a further consideration showing up, through the “magnetic effect” of the executed imagination which is not free of charge though – which actually and per definition isn’t really an imagination at all. Exactly the reason why one would mirror such a consideration, when it already has been looked at and didn’t produce a release of charge, as it can be used to bring to light further considerations/thought patterns out of a linked package.

In no case would the mirror tell the being something like: „That is not an imagination at all. No wonder you don't get a job if you are so dumb.” Also positive evaluations such as „Ah, you are not a stammerer. You have enough self consciousness to be able to speak properly that I know.” You don't have to teach a basically powerful spiritual being anything or explain or analyze it for them. That already would be an invalidation from your side! Just be helpful so it looks with the imagination procedure at all aspects and thereby makes itself aware of what that whole thing is all about.

Rule 1: The mirror acknowledges the SB in such a way that the communication has been received and that the imagination process was executed by him, without expressing any judgement, slighting, approval or other attitude which would show or could be constructed as not neutral – whether verbally or through any physical gestures like pulling up one's eyebrows, arrogant grinning, yawning or other things which could be looked at as expressing judgement.

The course of speech from the side of the mirror remains „neutral“, after the being said to tend to stammer every now and then.

Mirror: „I have understood what you said.“ Then as if the instruction had never before been given, altogether in a new, present „Now“.

Mirror: „Imagine, superiors make you nervous.“

This time the being starts to speak about it by itself, of what it has just experienced in ist U1 and so the..

Question „What exactly was the imagination? is not needed.

SB: „I seen just see myself sitting there and laugh embarrassedly, when my educator ridiculed me a few years ago in front of the other students, as I had dropped a brush on my black shoes, which was soaked with white paint. I sometimes am real dumb.“

Mirror: „Okay“

Note: And again a further consideration about, how “he” often is. After perhaps sixty toninety minutes session and a correspondign nummber of situations, the SB looked at in this time and imparted to the mirror, the concept is mirrored again.

Mirror: “Imagine that superiors make you nervous.”

SB: “He that’s special, I see right now how my parents mad fun of me as I couldn’t pronounce the word Kindergarten properly. I am about three years old, a neighbour is visiting and my parents keep saying I should should say Kindergarten over and over again. That’s right parents are somehow also a sort of superiors. Idiots! They are ridiculing me and that makes me nervous. I dropped my bottle and feel like a halfwit! My parents were the half wits! They kept showing me for years like a domestic animal whenever the opportunity arose and that was only painful for me. Isn’t it quite normal for a child learning to speak to not be able to correctly pronounce things right away. All this nervousness when people are nearby me who want to test my abilities that stems only from that time! And you know what I am thinking right

now? I think that there is nothing wrong with me at all!
Crazy, or „ and while saying this the person is beaming
ear to ears...

Mirror: I am very glad . End of process!"

Then would be reached what in Spiritologie is called a classic endphenomenon, which is always consisting of several of the following components:

1 *The person is visibly in good emotional state which shows in several ways from contentedness, smiling, exhilaration to loud, relieved laughter.*

2 *The person mentions a realization, a rehabilitated ability or relief, which is directly related to the subject which has been mirrored and expresses a clearly perceivable change in the thinking resp. mental attitude.*

3 *A single imagination-process results in a sequence of at least three „commands“ where the SB does not show any change in the timespan needed to receive the command, execute it completely and inform the mirror of his answer*

4 *On the navigator one would additionally observe a movement of the indicator - needle, which resembles the flow of honey, if you would let it drop from a spoon – moving the spoon twice from one side to the other – on a piece of toast. That's why this needle indication is also called "flowing" or "floating needle".*

So in order to talk of a *valid* endphenomenon – which is the *only* acceptable reason to end off an imagination-process once

started – just one of the four components would never be sufficient as the desired undoing of the charge will not have been accomplished! Just the expression of a realization, uttered with a grim face would not be a reason to end off mirroring a process!

Likewise it is not a valid endphenomenon – E/P in short – when great cheerfulness is showing, but there is no realization nor has there been a relief or can one speak of a rehabilitated capacity.

If an navigator is used, the flowing or „floating needle“ by itself does not mean an E/P was reached, when there is not also at the same time evidence of the emotional indicators combined with a relief or realization.

Without navigator the reached E/P cannot be additionally seen by the movement of the needle, but may be recognized easily through the other points, with a little practice and knowing beforehand what you are having to pay attention to.

In the Point 3 the timespan is mentioned that a being needs to receive a process-instruction, execute it and to communicate to the mirror what happened during that. You will certainly already have noticed that several persons in your environment need different amounts of time to in a normal case completely answer a question put to one. That is their average communication-lag – i.e. the duration of time passing between posing the question and the received answer. In order to in When you would pose a question about an uncharged subject, the answer would generally have been received by you very rapidly.

If however uncertainty, unknownness, misemotion or so – generally speaking – is there charge connected with the question, you would observe that there is variation in the time it takes before an answer to the same question is reaching you. Even the emotions going along with this would be varying to a great degree.

If for example you ask a person, after they read this book: „What is your name?“ Answer: „Paul“. And again: „What is your name?“ again the person would answer: „Paul.“ Whereby almost the same amount of time passed as after asking the question the first time. You repeat again the question: „What is your name?“ Now the person looks away, hesitates for a moment and reacts with: „Well Paul – in any case that is what my parents told me.“ Paul grins. This question is of course not a imagination-process but only given to demonstrate here the meaning of the word “communication-lag”.

Posing the question would have caused in the person queried a change in the timespan that would have passed from the start of the question to the completed answer. A change thus in the *communication-lag*. What was behind that „different behavior“? If you would ask that person what happened exactly, he could possibly answer: „Well, I just suddenly thought about the fact you were asking about my name, but the name I gave you was actually the name of my body. Then I had to think it over a moment what I should tell you.“

You see what is the reason for a change in the natural communicaton lag of a being? As long as it is investigating its thought, turning his considerations and thought patterns about looking from different angles, the thought process has not yet

ended! In order to notice that you do *not* need to look at a *Navigator*, which anyway would reveal to you no more than what you already can read from the answers and right from the observable communication-lag of the person. Neither was there a clearcut realization about something mentioned, what was expressed was only a „deliberation“, which shows as well that the „case“ is still „moving“. We use the word „case“ here to designate the way and manner in which a being due to his considerations and thought patterns reacts to communication in his environment. Let's imagine, you would repeatedly pose the question to someone and you wait for the three subsequent times that the same timespan would occur between the asking of the question and getting the answer, till the person at one point laughs and responds: „But I don't have a name at all!“ When asking in a friendly way „What happened?“, that person would possibly give an answer like: „What came to mind, is that I was continually lying, I said my name would be Paul. Me as spiritual being was never baptised with a name. The only did that with the body!“ Now we have the clearly communicated realization together with the emotional indicators which have been defined as one of the further components of the valid E/P And if you now also would have a navigator, it would with the accompanying floating needle confirm exactly what you already knew also without that: The process has worked! The subject is free of charge.

Do you see that it is not very complicated at all, once you got somewhat familiar with this?

So here we have another important thing, which belongs to the *fundamentals* of the *application*, when mirroring yourself or another being with imagination-processes...

Rule 2: An imagination-process once started is given, after every execution by the mirrored being - in a new unit of time in a new present „now“- repeatedly, and exactly as long as the process leads to communication-lags, charges, real incidents, experiences or memories etc. emerging in the being's consciousness, which are linked to it. First when a clearly visible endphenomenon has occurred may a process that has been started be ended off!

Let's go back to the consideration mentioned in the example before of „Superiors make me nervous.“ This consideration was mirrored successfully to a valid endphenomenon, upon which the process was ended with a nice realization, through which the being already would regard life with much more self confidence, than it did before. In Spiritologie though we use four instructions (commands), giving a complete set as only by running through all four commands the whole field of responsibility can really be covered and made free of charge. As a being does not just consist of its own physical being, but consists of in total eight impulses of existence! Therefore we look in the sessions following at which thinking is available as regards these realms, laying outside the own human identity and therefore may have been left unattended!

Which aspects still of the subject of „Superiors make me nervous.“ weren't we paying attention to?

Obviously those that concern the society and all mankind as well as the own direct responsibility and causation was not deemed worth a mental glimpse!

That's why I introduce to you the full set of imagination-commands with the example of the consideration before described, with which one works on the professional level in the framework of a Spiritologie-session:

- 1 »*Imagine that superiors make you nervous.*«
- 2 »*Imagine that superiors make another nervous.*«
- 3 »*Imagine that superiors make others nervous.*«
0. »*Imagine that you cause yourself that superiors make you nervous.*«

You also see now perhaps how „big“ these concepts become suddenly! „Another“ of course means on the one hand a person known to the being but also may be a fictional individual. Since performing such imagination-processes bring to the surface thought patterns of the mirrored being which it would otherwise perhaps continue to use indefinitely without ever actually become aware of what he is quietly creating in his inner U1 with the power of his thoughts. The rules made for mirroring as well as the definition of a valid E/P apply of course to each of these commands of the full „responsibility-set“!

The third command now really extends the domain and will, by the utilisation of the infinite fantasy of a SB – whilst taking into account the charged consideration found originally – in any case call to the person's awareness all aspects of his thinking regarding whole groups of persons with similar problems. Even thought patterns of society – with which a being could be agreeing to or not – will this time surface fully and become available for conscious perception an evaluation. And when you look at the concept of „Imagine that superiors make you nervous“ a bit closer, you will notice that already with such an innocent looking consideration we could enter the realm of politics, economy, weapon industry or even the power structures of the various religious groups of this planet – which of course would always depend on which subjects the thinking model of the mirrored being contains charge built up along the impulses of existence.

I am quite sure , that at this point in time it will be clear to you why I in the chapters in the beginning have spoken so often of infinity, unconfined awareness that has no limits in any way. Beccause already this small begin with the consideration „Superiors make me nervous“ already is showing what we are moving towards in Spiritologie sessions really, when we mirror a being with the imagination-procedure. As we are moving it directly and without detours to infinity. The „destination“ of his real being. The place which really isn't a place, but a point of awareness, from which it – as Impulse 8 – can perceive and work causatively.

And when we with precisely this definition in the „back of our head“ look at the last command of the „set“, you could get an idea of how far we in Spiritologie are really distant from those who believe a few cents in the offertary box would heal the world! Ha!

What it takes is personal work, personal responsibility – and that is exactly what we are concerned with in the final command which always is the last one of the set, the command 0! Why the zero in that slot? As the zero closes the circle, which symbolizes the outgoing cause, which retroacts on one's own self! The zero stands for the range of insights (realizations) that lead a being to recognize how it so to speak is biting its own "tail"!

The most fatal error of a being, which a being can make at all is this: To become himself the effect of his own cause and then pin the blame for this cause on another – by which it denies responsibility and thus lets the control over its own existence slip out of its own hands!

That is why this command is actually the most significant but can only successfully be mirrored when a being already has turned the effects out of the victim-view into „areas free of charge“. Alright, would you think that a woman who has a violent husband beating the living daylights out of her, would in the beginning of being mirrored be able to run the full responsibility command on this subject, which could be something like: "Imagine you cause yourself to regularly be beaten half to death?" Oh, no! Don't even try that, rather follow the steps given here so she will have a chance first of all to relieve

herself of all the emotional pain, which she had to suffer as actual “victim” – in so far as this is her own reality and view of life. really be able en Then you bring her bit by bit through all the social aspects, perhaps having to do with her former environment, girlfriends or brutalities done to other persons in the sector of life perceived by her.

And when she has made it till that point – that is to say she has gone to full endphenomenon every one of the foregoing imagination-processes – she will also be able to overcome manage the full responsibility as nothing would be left, to bar her view of that!

Each being begins on his own current level of awareness and moves up with the exact speed which it finds comfortable. So there can not be overwhelm in session, if one does not put forth an external demand a particular cognition or realization.

If a being already from the beginning has taken a lot of responsibility and just by reading this book would have gotten innumerable realizations, the command 0 for such an individual could mean something quite different than someone who still identifies strongly with their body.

If a SB on a high level of responsibility would have a charged consideration, which would in essence be „There is something there that I cannot know“ this would have to be mirrored obviously, as a being who cannot know could only rehabilitate only a limited awareness. As in infinity there are no “can’ts”.

The command to be mirrored would be worded as follows: “Imagine there is something there that you cannot know“.

Which would already from a human viewpoint be an interesting thing to tackle in session at one time or another. We have here now a SB, which is very aware of its spiritual nature and already at least in part tries to occupy the viewpoint as Impulse 8.

This SB now understands the word „you“ in a completely different way compared to a person who is exclusively aware of his physical beingness! As this being does understand that part of the command correctly and thinks: „So me as highest being, as infinity should imagine that I do not know something. That means me including all my creations, which is every stone, space, human being, plant, animal, every single cell of every organism etc.“

And from that viewpoint it would get all four commands of the full set mirrored – or mirror it all by itself in a solo session. But can you imagine the order of magnitude of not knowing, to which this being then applies zero-perception? Unimaginable, you think? No because as long as you are still convinced that something would be unimaginable for you, you still would have a way to go. But there is not really a way to go, as no being ever really lost the condition of infinity. That is also why it can be regained and brought to life again in every individual.

If you would have thought, something would be unimaginable for you, then this something would alas be unimaginable for you - until you would have brought this consideration with the set of commands formulated for this purpose to a full endphenomenon. As thereafter the world would have looked again totally different and a further self-created barrier – this inability brought about by one's own thinking – would have been out of the way!

You see that I know one thing very definitely: When I made it and meanwhile many other beings, who *also* had more than once in their sessions the idea, they couldn't bring an imagination-process to completion, then *you* can make it too if you *really* want to.

Just keep going through this chapter – of course also the earlier ones over and over again, pay attention to the rules one and two, which I have designated as especially important, get practice in the wording of the processes to be mirrored and leave the old thinking of some esoteric theoreticians and psychologists out of it, as mixing up the basic theories one can expect a mishmashed result.

I still do not leave you alone, as I do have a few more useful tips for you, which you really should take to heart if you want to go this road under your own steam. Get practice in not doing a lot of thinking when working with these processes. While working in session one should apply zero-perception, in that you don't build up *new* considerations or counterproductive thought patterns, but really rather perceive, observe what comes up in terms of thinking through mirroring. As when you figure around and analyze, you will not resolve old patterns, but instead *add* new ones.

If you perceive the idea during mirroring "I will never get through this", be sure that this is not a thought presently thought, but an old one that popped up just precisely due to working with imagination – processing, in your present – awareness, so you can perceive it and throw precisely that out of your U1 what it till now always was: An untruth, that ...

could have made you quite unable. Then you just repeat the command which you were working with and get the show on the road piece by piece.

Every one of the four commands may be considered from different “directions of flow” which define “who” is causing “what” to whom and who is resp. was the effect of a causation.

If we simply take any old consideration in the second command to be mirrored and this would be: “Imagine another had many injuries”, it would be possible that a third person would have injured the other. But it could also be that the would have given himself these injuries. Or it could even be that you yourself would have been the one giving the other injuries or this other individual suffered injuries while one group was fighting another. There are thus four basic directions of flow, going from a cause point to an effect point, which in every one of the four wordings of imagination processes could be applied.

1. Something happens to oneself
2. One causes something on another
3. Others cause something with others
0. One causes something on oneself

Expressed differently it would look:

1. Victim
2. Perpetrator
3. Participating or not participating onlooker
0. Victim of own deed or action

A few instructions arranged in order of relative importance which if followed or not decide the success or failure of a Spiritologie-session, I would not omit to give you for on the way I am writing “you” (German “Ihren”, polite form) whereas you must have noticed that in the wordings of the processes I only use the address form of you (Du). There are two rather personal reasons for that: First of all it simplifies in the German grammar the wording of the imagination-process considerably, and the second is that anybody who would insist on ‘earthly titles’ - by the way: “Hallo Professor, Doctor, med. Wurst!” - would no doubt be given another round of book study to take to his petrified heart. As anyone who could not earn esteem and respect by his own being and acting must not also try in my presence try to make up for lack of self esteem by titles, which often only came about in that a shy, easy to manipulate colleague in study – who could never get a woman – was so fond of being ‘cheered up’ to make his almost perfect parrot-knowledge also available to him for his next doctoral thesis, so he would ‘have to be’ called the “next-super-doctor-in Germany”. Even in film and music cycles – in which one definitely finds, a great number of very valuable and social beings , who by their works incited to ‘re-think’ existing concepts, yes in part even created new awareness and thereby change in the always present ‘Zeitgeist’ (spirit of the times) – they always introduce themselves with their first names. As those who know their actual worth and therefore already got that acknowledged sufficiently, possess the ‘mental’ greatness to just be who they actually are – not their title or fraternity name.

If those with whom I had sessions would all want to kneel in order to kiss my ring – which I don't even have at the moment – I would seriously ask them whether they had fallen back into the darkest idolatry. If at all, one should kiss a living being, certainly not a stone it's wearing! Ah, I am digressing ...

Here are the instructions, which are meant in the first place for mirroring another being, but can be applied as well to sessions which are carried out by one person by himself:

1. *Never mirror a being who has not from their own self determination desired to.*
2. *Never evaluate in a session the statements of a SB or invalidate their personal truth.*
3. *Never pass on any information that you have been given by a SB during a session to others.*
4. *Never mirror a being, when you have the feeling you can't really help him.*
5. *Never continue mirroring with a process when the valid end phenomenon has already been obtained.*
6. *Never end a process once started before a valid end phenomenon has been obtained.*
7. *Never recoil for something in a session, but bring every action to completion using zero-perception.*

One could add many more directions to describe the optimum behavior of a mirror during an session in action , to most effectively use the Spiritologie–procedure, but if you stick to these seven points which are demanded of you as mirror, you will be able to rehabilitate in others as well as in yourself more awareness and realizations than you ever dared to imagine.

This book in its totality, gives you an inexhaustible source of possible approaches to find considerations and thought patterns to mirror. Just from the nine definitions of the chapter self determination you could formulate a marvelous batch of imagination-processes, which would then function like a “rundown”. Definition ‘Rundown’: A rundown is a predetermined series of imagination-processes and (or questions which are “run” from the top down and the combination and composition of which form a program which – when mirrored in its full sequence – will result in a clearcut and predefined known awareness. The word comes from the English.

I myself have developed such rundowns for Spiritologie, as it is relatively simple of course for someone who already found the way out of the labyrinth, to save for the one who’s also seeking the way out, many unnecessary steps and byroads – that are a ways off the direct straight line from A – B. You can find on the ‘Awareness Chart’, a reproduction of which can be found in the appendix.

However the means which you have available through this book alone are sufficient to start out on a long trip of self knowledge. Once you have reached the state of “Self Determined

as Impulse 1” namely one can use the nine checklist points of the chapter on “Self Determination” to look over all other impulses as the definitions of self determination do not change just because the area of existence they are being applied to is enlarged.

As a small ‘starter package’ I will give you a mini-list of imagination-processes, which I personally and very often already have used in demonstration or intro sessions which I gave to persons who wanted to experience subjectively the practical application of the technology.

Do apply though the rules and instructions with which you are now already familiar theoretically and stick to it with discipline. Make sure you have a comfortable environment, where you are not disturbed set some time apart and begin with the first process, when your body slept enough and also was sufficiently fed – not to be distracted by theses things – as the process can also produce “tiredness”, strong yawning and all kind of other phenomena. Therefore one is also advised not to take any drugs, alcohol and if possible no medicines either, at least twenty four hours before as these things can weaken you awareness as well as your perception, which could cause your work would not being the expected result.

If you want to mirror these processes later on others, the same conditions are to be observed, where by I would like to emphasize one thing in particular as inevitable when a second being is mirrored. Be really sure that this (being) SB is familiar with the principles of this book *beforehand* and is *really* willing to face the truth! The reason is that when the mirrored person does not have the willingness to be speaking openly and honestly

about everything that may come to mind during a session – without then *having to* withhold something from you – it's not even worth to begin. As someone who has subscribed to secrets and lies should also honestly admit this. Such beings have blocked the road to truth to the degree that they themselves work at wanting to defend and obscure their own lies. Actually a beautiful and just circumstance, I think.

Here are the processes, which you can in sequence – one after the other – simply and always till a valid E/P – mirror, as soon as you want to start the adventure. And this I write again in the personal address format to you (German 'du'). Mr. Doctor, forgive me ...

1. *Imagine something which you wouldn't want others to know about you?*
2. *Imagine something which another wouldn't want another to know about him.*
3. *Imagine something, which others wouldn't want others to know about them.*
0. *Imagine something about yourself, which you yourself wouldn't want to know.*

1. *Imagine something that you have forgotten.*
2. *Imagine something that another has forgotten.*
3. *Imagine something, that others have forgotten.*
0. *Imagine you cause yourself to have forgotten something.*

1. *Imagine a hidden communication.*
 2. *Imagine a hidden communication of another.*
 3. *Imagine a hidden communication of others.*
 0. *Imagine you cause a hidden communication with yourself.*
-
1. *Imagine unconsciousness.*
 2. *Imagine (the) unconsciousness of another.*
 3. *Imagine (the) unconsciousness of others.*
 0. *Imagine you cause unconsciousness in yourself.*

End of list.

Before I say you finally goodbye, let it be said that there is a simple rule of thumb, enabling any reader to find a near unlimited number of very interesting imagination-processes or more precisely to formulate for mirroring.

This formula has to do with the ability to discover on any of the impulses of existence things one would not be willing to create, permit to continue to be or destroy. It also has to do with finding along all impulses considerations having to do with the unwillingness or inability to start any aspect along the impulses of existence, to change their motion or to stop them.

Why is this important? Well, if someone would not be willing to destroy their relationship,

he would be a real fearful person, who never dares to say what he thinks as it would be feared the partnership could break up if he does. That is why rather ulcers and more generally „everyday-frustration“ are accepted rather than openly communicate with one another and even trigger off an argument, which however surely also would put things into view, which then could be cleared up and lead to leaving the tablets against ulcers exactly where they belong namely in the trunk of those who manufactured them. Because then it's him who will get the stomach-ulcers when there is again one less person running away from the actual causes of his expensive sores.

You certainly do not belong to those who panic when confronted with other views on life, or else you would never have gotten this far. And therefore I am already now enjoying the realizations which will open up for you, after you will have run that small list of processes on yourself – and I can promise you that you will be surprised what effect so few words can cause. But also here one can see the validity of: „you only experience that what you by your own thinking create.“

So set your standards high with what you expect of Spiritologie. So like a sportsman, who should already know beforehand, to hold the gold medal in his hands after the contest also you have to create that future result – in the present – yourself.

Don't be disappointed when you do not already after two days make it to reproduce all you read in this book. Think of it that a being as infinity which it is, almost needed an eternity,

almost – but fortunately almost – to become a piece of sticky protein blob in the head of a human meatbody. That's why even the full duration of a human life, needed for the rehabilitation of his true „I“ would just be an eyeblink in that what is still lying before us. – if we want it that way then.

We have the tools and we have the potential to make that come true what so many powerhungry politicians planned for so long with all their force: A One-World-Government. That is exactly what we have now – only in another form as once dreamed up by those who hoped so much that we would agree to our own enslavement and deny our beingness forever.

One thing though they didn't think of: That even they do not exist when we do not continue by the power of our own thought to create our slave drivers. Now they are dying out: the bankers, popes, Rothschilds and self appointed “war presidents”. As they failed. Failed, as they tried to kill something, which is immortal – and therefore does not even need resuscitation: They ...you... me...infinity...

Epilogue

Already Gautama Siddhartha (ca. 563 v. Chr. - 483 v. Chr.), later also known as »Buddha« - which translated literally means, something like “awakened” or “enlightened” emphasized repeatedly, how important it was not to believe the hearsay of holy traditions, fixedly rooted religious convictions or the words of the great masters, but to accept for oneself what one has - by himself – thoroughly verified and which provenly serves the well being of self and others. He also taught principles saying that we with our thinking not only create that what we *are* ourselves but even create and form the outer world by these thoughts. He also spoke of the non-existence of the past and future as well as the importance of being in here and now.

Those were all subjective, personal wisdom, which he had reached through striving to perfect his mind and to put an end to the eternal cycle of birth and death, with all the suffering going with that. He noticed namely real fast that a word taught from the *outside* cannot replace one thing: the *personal* awareness of these things reached by self recognition!

There was no technique or technology making it possible for him to make this awareness *experienceable* for others *themselves*. And so he once promised, that in about 2500 years a *future* Buddha with blond or “golden” hair would be born, who would promulgate this technology once in the Western world – and I am very proud to even be *in time* with “Spiritologie”...

ICE AGE

Verse 1:

*Pale moonlight befalls my face
Makes me look like the dark figures
Who feel no more than the hate they stoke
So black their hearts, so murky their look
Pull yourself away and run – don't give yourself up
Don't step into the circle of slavery
Which is under money's spell & thinks it's the master,
And fears me as my soul lightens the senses*

Refrain:

*We've Ice Age
Just watch & waste this human life – Ice Age
Keep cool – no matter how hot heaven burns
Turn away from me, sweep your own doorstep
Abandon me, we can also do without you – Ice Age
I am here like it was predicted and prophesied*

Verse 2:

*Wonder and figure not – you don't recognize me
Do you ask for the sound of my name
Which makes me to flesh – that doesn't wake
Once carried to the grave
Surrendered to the dream - under a tree
Schlepped me through endless suffering
To turn you round & bring you truth
So we could exist in love and happiness*

Refrain:

*We still have Ice Age
Just watch & waste this human life – Ice Age
Keep cool – no matter how hot heaven burns
Turn away from me, sweep your own doorstep
Abandon me, we can also do without you – Ice Age
I am here like it was predicted and prophesied – Ice Age*

© Musik und Text: Andreas Buttler – Stimmkraft – 2007

ANDREAS BUTTLER

His current identity Andreas began when his body in May 1966 – still very small then – saw the light of day in Oberhausen, Nordrhein-Westfalen. Already at a very young age he saw that he did not get halfway sensible or logical seeming answers to his simple but basic questions. The apparently so experienced and knowing “adults” tried to still his curiosity with answers like “nobody knows”, or they came up with some cause that sounded very scientific, called “subconsciousness”, the existence of which they again couldn’t explain to his satisfaction.

Already at the age of ten did Andreas go actively on a search for answers, in that he worked once through that thick ‘holy’ book; after all the truth ought to be in there, when people even put their right hand on it to make clear that they would tell it.

But at the end of this work he could look back at having had the pleasure of reading some nice and lesser nice stories – which he could believe or not – but he could not find the searched for truth there.

Besides further search in other religions and philosophies for the truth Andreas devoted his time to sports like kick-boxing or snow-boarding and with great joy, king football (soccer). He played together with Olaf Thon in the youth team of FC Schalke 04 and even had the ambition to become professional soccer player which he didn’t really go for after all.

As also his other hobbies he wanted to extensively devote his attention, after all other talents like making music, acting, books – yes even scripts – were waiting in him.

At nineteen Andreas was awarded the contract for a main role at the casting, public invitation of the ZDF, for the TV Film “Der Drücker” (door-to-door seller). Without any training as actor he had as ‘Tommy’ together with names like “Heinz Hörig” a lot of fun ... doing this movie. which was later to become really well-known and loved. As an acknowledgement for his acting performance Andreas received in 1987 the ‘Adolf Grimme’ award. Expressing his talent further, he took part in numerous productions like f. ex. in the ARD television series “Stars of the South” or together with ‘Franka Potente’ in the drama “Downhill City”.

Following his musical tendencies – again without professional education – Andreas turned to composing and writing the lyrics of new songs, practical instruments like the guitar and convincingly enough brought the EMI records label Electrola 1992 to publish a maxi-CD with his piece ‘Gleichschritt’ (Lockstep). After a promising start in the charts at #112 Andreas was asked to take out the text “You are taught to murder – and when you are good, you get a medal”. Background to this was the political discussion around the Bundeswehr’s military entry into the Iraq theater of war. Andreas of course did not agree, as the text ought to say what it is saying. Shortly thereafter a planned television appearance was cancelled on short notice for editorial reasons, the upcoming hit was no longer played on the radiostations and so it disappeared

off the socalled “waitinglist” – shortly before the Top 100 Charts.

As Andreas motivation had never been aimed at „money or material success“ this did not discourage him in the least and was rather a larger incentive to tell people what he felt was essential. In 1996 he confirmed his uncompromising stand by publishing a complete album; the CD „Rollercoaster riding“ with Vivian / BMG / Ariola.

Besides further musical and acting activities Andreas now turned even more intensively to his spiritual research. With consciousness expanding sessions he and also others he gave such sessions won insights and freedom of mind. Then at last the idea arose to summarize his spiritual research in a book so it could be reproduced by others. Andreas created in unison with »Spiritologie, the study of the origin, unlimited potential and full rehabilitation of the spiritual being“ a new musical album. He not only wrote the texts of eleven of the twelve songs, but composed the melodies and teaching himself became conversant with the complex software for music production, recorded the singing and guitars and also added playing the rest of the instruments through keyboard.

A few months later he brought out the album so created under the project name Stimmkraft as CD with EMG label under the title “More than 1 face”. The title itself mirrors the sound of the twelve songs and basically describes what can be heard on the album: hard guitar sounds mixed with dance elements and a voice that penetrates into the depths of the soul; however also melodious, emotional ballads the vocals of which

would make one suspect a different singer entirely behind it.

Andreas is himself the source of all his insights; all he does turns around the subject of truth and freedom and is intended to bring this closer to the people. And not just to recognize that there are more valuable things as my car, my house, my firm, my yacht... „but also be able to implement these insights and really use them for his „game of life“.


After he completed his spiritual research and development Andreas founded in 2004 in his hometown Oberhausen the first Spiritologie-Center in which he imparts his view of truth and reality. One of his main concerns thereby is to remind people of their own force to design and create themselves and ther world around them and not – against their will – to let themselves be determined and controlled by other people, forces or things.

That is the spirit in which Andreas wrote the present handbook of Spiritologie, in which he in his refreshing way and full of humor imparts messages to the reader which he would never before have heard or read in *such* a form – with the purpose to make every spiritual being conscious again of the fact that him forgetting his own godliness and infinite power resulted in the downfall, which was erroneously taken for the crown of the creation and reached its climax in succumbing to the illusion to be just a toy of that which one once was himself and still is:

God – the highest being – the source of all being and not-being infinity – the nothing from which everything stems.

Appendix

Spiritologie-Navigator



The Navigator is a very fine instrument for resistance measurement, which can be used in professional Spiritologie-sessions in order to rapidly and precisely locate areas in the thinking of a spiritual being, which contain in one form or another charged considerations and thought patterns, which may lead to distorting, warping or even make perception of the truth completely impossible – which would result in the continuance of undesirable conditions.

As a „scene created in phantasy with full consciousness“ – without any connection to reality – would show a similar reading on the instrument as a real past incident which had been released of charge the navigator cannot be used as a liedetector nor was it intended to be so used!



Endphenomenon

A static which reached the willingness and complete ability to have his state of pandeterminism become reduced to "absolute zero", along all life impulses, without lessening or even losing his power-, control-, and cause-position.

Absolut-Zero-Rundown

Endphenomenon

A being that reached certainty to have the ability and willingness to start, change and stop two or more forces whether they are opposing or not. This would mean: two or more individuals, two or more groups, two or more similar races, two or more planets, two or more universes, two or more spiritual beings, as well as to regulate the considerations of two or more identities, may they be opposing or not.



Pan-Determinism-Rundown

Endphenomenon

A spiritual being that has regained his super powers of infinity.
Expansion of the first Impuls.
Extension of awareness on all eight impulses.

- 8
- 7
- 6
- 5
- 4
- 3
- 2

Infinity-Rundown

Endphenomenon

Complete selfdeterminism on the first Impuls.
A spiritual being without his own subconsciousness.

1

Individual Consideration Processing

A being prepared for mirroring.

Competence by Communication Course

Awareness / Ability

Impuls

Reached through

News and further information:

www.spiritologie.de

and

www.stimmkraft.com

Backcover

Everything – and with that I mean exactly that, namely everything – what you perceive and experience is exactly that what you create from your self, by your own causative thinking and that happens...now!

With this key statement also the final goal – and I assure you it is a worthwhile goal - can be defined at the same time ...

∞

...would one really be so asinine and expect a noticeable change when tomorrow's world is created by beings who get their current thinking out of a long past yesterday?...

∞

... the subconscious one could roughly describe as the collection of all those things for which a person takes no responsibility! ...

∞

...A spiritual being without its own subconsciousness, is described in Spiritologie as the first of all awareness levels to be rehabilitated...