


Case 25B The JFK Assassination--From the Memory Banks of a CIA Insider
Sue Arrigo, MD
Former Special Operation Advisor to DCIs



Who Got the Profits from the CIA after JFK was Unable to Shut Down Due to His being Murdered?

David Rockefeller's Lion's Share of the Spoils of War



I asked the CIA's creative accounting computer if it was paying David Rockefeller. That was a bit tricky to do because he has so many companies, banks, and accounts. But after about 2 days of hard work at it, I had an answer that I trusted. David Rockefeller was getting so much money from the taxpayer via the CIA that it could be considered like the Savings and Loan Scandal never stopped and 94% of the profits were going into his accounts. The Savings and Loan Scandal was a CIA op to swindle money from the taxpayers. The CIA's employees were not getting that money. 3% went to funding the Contras and 97% went to the kickbacks per

ex-CIA Al Martin who wrote financial scams for the CIA. I agree with him which is why I cite his figures. If you read his book, The Conspirators you will see that there were a lot of scams that were going on at once. But who were the end recipients did not change. The Savings and Loans scandal drained \$16,000 per taxpayer out into those recipient's pockets. The Robber Barons get rich is by stealing from the hardworking poor and middle class. Everyone has two hands with which to labor and make goods. Those who are richer than what two hands can make have cleverly figured out a way of stealing from the labor of others.

According to the Rockefeller Archive documents, the Rockefeller Family conspired with others to start WWI and also WWII. They said that the point was to bomb out the shop owners until they had exhausted their resources and could not rebuild. Then they could later get people to work for them for cheap wages while their children starved. That is almost exactly what they caused to happen in Guatemala through their henchman at the CIA, Allen Dulles. Except in that case in a third world country, it was the farmers, not the shop owners. To bankrupt farmers, they flooded the market with cheaper food. Then when the farmers went bankrupt, they bought the land up. That way they could force them into being their wage slaves and steal the fruits of their labor. Then after the people have lost their land, they would jack the prices up again because they wanted them to starve.

Let me explain why they said they wanted people to starve. It was basically a eugenics type of reasons---"We want our genes to survive." The Rockefellers and Bushes had put on Eugenics conferences even before Hitler to claim that other races are inferior. But there is nothing superior about the genes of war criminals and war mongers. Their genes may destroy the species through their insatiable desire to enslave or destroy everything in sight. That is, if genes not culture are the causative factor.

The Robber Barons are stealing much more from the Third World then even the First World. Their banking policies are the main reason that those people are so poor that they starve.

How Much was Stolen from You and Did It Buy You Protection?

If you think about your taxes, you will get an idea of how much of your labor is being stolen from you. Roughly 50% of your taxes go to paying for war--past, present, and future. Those wars did you personally no good, unless you are one of the war profiteers. Wars do not protect people from enemies anymore; planes drop bombs behind the front lines. So, all the military of the US is pointless and is not defending you from attack. All of that money spent for war is going, not to protect you, but to allow the Robber Barons to go on enslaving people and stealing their resources. War nowadays is always offensive, not defensive. There is no defense against bombs being dropped on your head, except not to go to war. It is a sad fact, but there it is.

That does not mean that police work is worthless. It still is very useful to catch dangerous criminals, disarm them, and put them in prison. But going to war in the Middle East has made us less safe, not more safe. It has made more people angry at Americans, and with good reason. If you believe that 911 was caused by 19 hijackers as the FBI said, then you already know that all the money that the US spent on the military, the FBI, and the CIA, did not protect those who died on that day.

It is known in military science/history that it is difficult to guard anything successfully. For example, studies were done on ships to see what it would take in a harbor to prevent frog men from climbing aboard. All that water and metal of the hull is like having a floating castle surrounded by a large moat. But even with many huge guns and many armed guards walking around with machine guns on the deck, that ship is alas, basically indefensible. That is because it is so easy to bribe a person on it to turn off the motion detectors and alarms. People are not good at paying attention and those guarding the ship fail eventually to notice those climbing on board. I used to train operatives for the Office of Naval Intelligence so I know the studies that were done in this area. The Navy was not happy. They asked what could be done to correct this problem. The answer is that you have to instill a culture of honesty in which people refuse to take bribes. That doesn't mean that you get to the point where no one can be bribed. There are always a few bad apples. But when it is only a few bad apples, and they are not at the top, then you can spot them and expel them. That is what mole That is what our National Security depends on. You can't have National Security without doing that. You cannot do that while you allow Robber Barons and leaders to steal the country dry. Corruption=Lack of National Security. It is that simple.

It is possible to make it so that one's country cannot be successfully occupied. The best way to accomplish that is to teach people non-violent resistance. The CIA and US military do not teach that because then people would know how to defeat the Robber Barons and not have the fruits of their labor stolen.

People want protection but rarely evaluate the risks correctly. Most people die of common diseases from poor life style choices, and auto accidents, and from violence from people they know, not from "terrorists" or rare "killer germs". So, the expenses should be

commensurate with the risks and the cost to correct them. The taxpayer is currently paying about 50% of their taxes for war and what increase in safety are they getting for it? None. Zero. They are getting more risk of terrorism in the future from it, that is what the CIA's honest studies showed. It does not take a rocket scientist to figure that out. It would be more cost effective to fix that pot hole in the road as it is more dangerous to their health than terrorism.

If money was going to protect the public, it would be spent to make sure that everyone had adequate health care, clean water, pollution free food, a sustainable environment, roads adequate to avoid delays, etc.

Let me try to make this clearer. When I investigated how much money the Robber Barons were getting of the money you pay for wars, it was 87%. Not that much went into the salaries of the troops and to actually feed people. Not much cost of metal or material went into making the toaster or the plane. Almost all of the cost was 'padding'. 47% of the Robber Baron's share was going into Rockefeller's private accounts. There were many hyenas at the water trough trying to get the leavings of his killings.

David Rockefeller in 1991 said;

“We are grateful of the The Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during those years. But the work is now much more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supernational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto determination practiced in past centuries.” <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Da1TUeuLJaE>

The larger issue of fair wages I have not addressed. Most people have more stolen from them by the Robber Barons through not being paid fairly in the first place, then stolen from taxes. People do not see how that money was stolen from them because they are not aware of how the CIA destroyed the trade unions to keep them from getting fair wages and benefits. That would be a subject for many cases going back to WWII.

When I pointed that out to Tenet he was not surprised. He said perhaps slightly unkindly, that David Rockefeller took greater care of his dog's safety than of his.

David Rockefeller's Multi-Million Dollar Dog

The statement appeared to have some truth to it. David Rockefeller had insisted that his dog be flown on a military jet with an honor guard of 10 officers when it had a veterinarian appointment in another state. It was true that animals had sometimes died in cages in unpressurized luggage compartments. I am not meaning to imply that animals should be subjected to dangerous or overly frightening conditions for them. But Rockefeller was rich enough to send the dog on one of his own private jets without the taxpayer footing the bill. He acted like his dogs were royalty, when he himself was not a king and the US not a monarchy.

I tell this story because I was one of the people forced to take the trip with the dog. I had many pressing duties at the CIA and Pentagon. Soldiers were dying. Civilians were falsely imprisoned and tortured in the US prisons, including in Abu Ghraib. The US's Constitution was under assault and Bush had thrown away the Geneva Convention, the treaties to help prevent nuclear warfare, and the last 800 or more years of law by throwing out the right of Habeus Corpus. And the next day I was due to have a meeting with a high ranking official in the Justice Dept. I had not sought him out. He had tracked me down as the only person who was likely to have evidence in an important corruption case. I had the evidence all right. But getting it prosecuted was another matter. His case involved a thread that would logically track back to the JFK assassination; I knew that---he didn't have a clue. The first 3 witnesses in his case had 'gone missing' without leaving a forwarding address. He figured that they had gotten frightened and left the country. They were dead. I sent him the evidence by fax and asked him if he still wanted to meet with me. He said Yes. I faxed back, "I will find you as soon as I have time." He insisted I come to his office at the Justice Dept. the next afternoon.

So, when Tenet told me to go on the plane flight with Rockefeller's dog the next morning, I said no. Tenet said that Rockefeller insisted that I go as the only person he trusted 'to know the dog's true feelings' while being transported. It was true that the dog was fond of me. I liked the dog too. I said that Rockefeller could not possibly know whether I knew the dog feeling or was just good at petting its belly because I was a relatively patient person. Tenet changed tactics and said, "He wants you because you are a physician." I said get a vet and send me out to care for the wounded Iraqis. They need my help more than the dog.

Being Sent on a Crucial Mission for the CIA

When Tenet had me thrown into the CIA's prison for 'refusing a direct order', I relented and got on the plane with the dog and the 10 military MPs with their white gloves on. I figured I could work on a laptop with one hand and pet the dog with the other. I did not get back until two days later when the dog's medical tests were done. Each time before then that I left its sight, I was arrested again. I was being treated like a runaway slave! A slave to a dog! The dog was given the hotel bed on Rockefeller's orders and I was told to sleep on the floor next to it. I have no objection to sleeping on hard rocky ground, if it is necessary for a good reason. But when there is a bed available, in fact a whole hotel worth of them, then to

deny me one was an obvious attempt to humiliate me. That is what the US soldier in Iraq feel like--humiliated by denying them what they need that others have. They were being given only tents that leaked water, dust, bugs, and the weather, while Halliburton and Carlyle employees were being given goods far exceeding their needs. There was absolutely no need to treat them like that. But David Rockefeller felt some kind of psychological need to humiliate people, even people like Tenet.

I slept on the bed with the dog on top of the covers nestled next to me. Midway through the night Rockefeller's guard barged into my room that they had locked me into and pulled me from the bed. They kept doing that about every 15 minutes for the rest of the night. I refused to be humiliated or intimidated and each time went right back to the bed, not the floor, as the human being that I am. Neither the poor dog nor I got anymore sleep. Clearly, the purpose was not to care for the dog as much as it was to harass me. The next day I filed a police report against the guards for intention to enslave and assault me. But as in the case of the US children kidnapped by Saudi Princes, I was unable to get officials, including at the Justice Dept. to prosecute the case. They treated David Rockefeller as royalty above the law.

How did that happen? How did the US become a country in which the super-rich were Kings in all but name and the poor worked two jobs to make ends meet? How did the US become a country in which the dogs of the wealthy got better health care than its children? How did the US become a country which let Saudi Princes steal blonde blue eyed children to molest in their beds night after night? How did the US become a country in which soldiers had no right to leave the military after their tour of duty was over? And were not fed or equipped properly?

The answer was largely the CIA and the secrecy that prevented the public from knowing who it served in practice.

The Justice Dept's Case that Tracked Back to the Kennedy Assassination

I did go find the Justice Dept. official and explain to him why I had missed the meeting. He was surprised to have me knock on the door on his home at 10 pm at night. He had never told me where he lived. He invited me in and I spent until almost 4 am in the morning briefing him on the evidence as I handed it over to him.

The case he was working on was one in which the construction of a large building in Iraq had collapsed due to substandard materials being used. The cement blocks had cracked and a wall had crumbled and within 2 minutes the building lay in dust on the ground. It had been an elementary school and classes had been in-session. Hundreds of children were dead and the 50 or so who were pulled from the rubble alive were very seriously wounded. The incident had been cover-up in the name of National Security saying that the news could affect the future of the US occupation. I never saw any coverage of it in the US; I did see a little of it later in Iraq.

The company guilty of the corruption was a US company, but it had its roots in the Standard Oil-IG Farben cartel in WWII which built the Auschwitz Labor Camp. That is, it was a Rockefeller enterprise, but without carrying that name. I had investigated it peripheral to a possible mole case in its parent company, which still did not have the Rockefeller name on it.

Large financial empires like the Rockefellers are often very complex with thousands of companies, each having many subsidiaries. Much of the finances are "off the books" by laundering money through off-shore banks, Swiss banks, and consortiums of banks such as the International Bank of Settlements in Basel, or the Central Bank that Rockefeller managed to start in Iraq in about 2003. That laundering has to occur to hide the profits from the drug trade for instance. The Rockefeller Fortune had its roots in the opium trade of the 1800's. The CIA runs drugs, sex slaves, and illegal weapons sales. The CIA is the front organization for the illegal operations of the Rockellers and Skull and Bones, including the Bushes, etc. A member of Skull and Bones wrote the charter for the CIA to allow it to hide those same illegal activities. That is what the CIA's creative accounting computer does--it is the world's most sophisticated money laundering scheme. Most of the time, people never catch on because the CIA shell companies use an endless variety of names. If you have a material item and trace how it was made, then it is harder to hide the corruption related to it. But those in the illegal trades own lots of real companies making products in order to have outer shells that are standard companies to hide behind. Yet because their thinking is criminal those companies often lie, cheat, and use substandard practices.

DynCo and Halliburton were been cited by Representative Cynthia McKinney for their running sex slaves. That was not incidental to their operations--it is the illegal center of their operations.

Because the shell companies can have so many names that come and go, I largely ignore names. The names are meant to distract people and hide the truth. So, I like to focus on events. That is the way my mind works--that is what it remembers.

IG Farben was a German consortium of chemical companies that made the Zyclon-B used to kill Jews and dissidents in the Nazi Death Camps. Standard Oil of New Jersey, a Rockefeller flag-ship enterprise, conspired with IG Farben to build Auschwitz in order to have slave labor for their factories. The people not suitable for labor, were gassed, so they did not have to be fed. (Please see the many references at the end of Case 12 if you have not already.). Director of the Florida Holocaust Museum, historian Loftus reports some of what I was able to document from within the CIA and Rockefeller Archives. In his article **“Former Federal Prosecutor John Loftus confirms the Bush-Nazi scandal”** at www.john-loftus.com/bush_nazi_scandal.asp he wrote;

“The Rockefeller-Harriman front company that financed Auschwitz was called Brown Brothers Harriman. It is still around today. Our President's great grandfather, Herbert Walker, founded the company, and appointed his impecunious son-in-law Prescott Bush to the boards of several holding companies, all of which became Nazi fronts.”

Please read his whole article to understand the origins of the CIA which grew out of these business enterprises. His historical information is good, but his opinions appear to be shaped strongly by a wish to stay alive. Additional important background for this case includes the excellent 9-minute documentary **The History of the Bush Family** at www.youtube.com/watch?v=hkojpgNZD9Ifree online books, **The Nazi Hydra in America** at <http://www.nazihydra.net/> and **The Unauthorized Biography of George Bush [Sr.]** at <http://www.tarpley.net/bushb.htm> .

Those people who built Auschwitz and profited for its slave labor had a great need for secrecy. Not all of them went to prison as war criminals afterwards. 2 of that management group went into making cement blocks to house slaves in the hopes of rebuilding their slave labor empire by making prisons. IG Farben and Standard had used about 28,000 slaves from the Auschwitz labor camp. Prescott Bush was also using slaves in large numbers for his mining and steel mills nearby. The Bushes and Rockefellers had been war profiteers together in WWI and again in WWII (see the references at the end of Case 12). Those 2 making the cement blocks were in the direct ancestor company of the one that made the blocks that crushed the school children in Iraq.

The reason that this case had loose thread that tracked back to the JFK assassination is that this company changed names about 2 days after the assassination in order to hide how it had been involved in it. One of its principals had written a check that funded an aspect of the assassination to the tune of \$10,000. They were worried that their role in the assassination would be revealed as a result of that business check, so they changed the name of their company. They had been unable to get the check back it was already cashed through Rockefeller's bank. They owed Rockefeller money and not owning the company outright it


eventually became somewhat merged into the Rockefeller enterprises. The US taxpayer was footing the bill for the JFK assassination via the CIA. But Rockefeller wanted to be able to silence co-conspirators in the plot so he asked them to write checks to support it. Then he had the evidence to hold against them, if they tried to talk, since his bank kept those checks. He had them on display in a glass frame in the lounge of the Rockeller Archives. They did not say much on them, but I was able to figure out the common connection between them and later prove it. I proved it in several different ways.

One journal inside the closed-to-the-public Rockeller Archives had been written by Nelson Rockefeller. He was dead by then and perhaps no one much cared if he was blamed for the JFK assassination. He had written in his journal about 3 days after the assassination “We pulled it off.” That would not mean anything much except that he then recounted a series of blunders and how he was covering them up. Some of those steps including ordering the deaths of others. That entry covered 2 pages of handwriting roughly on a journal about 4 inches high and 6 inches wide which was leather bound with a red speckles marbling on ivory colored binding. That binding was what showed on the shelf when between other



books. There was only the name of one co-conspirator in that section. That was the DCI McCone at the time, and his name appeared as the one that Nelson Rockefeller was going to talk to in order to make sure the about 4 people in those notes were killed. I say about 4 because he was also considering a 5th but was undecided on it. Clearly, in that amount of space, about 8x6 inches total for about half a page, there was not a lot, and no discussion of his motivation. [Photo: JFK, Allen Dulles, John McCone from left to right.]

All of those checks except one was written on the same day. The other was written the day after them. They were written in Lyndon Johnson’s study of his home as a photo in that glass frame clearly showed. There was one check per man in the photo except for the Rockefeller’s signature not being on the wall. He had no need to blackmail himself. That photo showed a row of 5 men seating on a long couch and a row of 6 men standing behind them. Bush, Sr. was seated on the left end. McCone was seated on the couch, the second from the left. Allen Dulles was in the center on the couch. J. Edgar Hoover was sitting on the right of him. So far, I do not see that any purpose would be served by naming the man on the right end. He is dead. I wait and see, if it serves a useful purpose in the future. Many more people, including Mafia people appeared to be peripherally involved in the cover up. See interview with LBJ’s mistress below. I cannot name everyone involved as my access to records did not extend into the Mafia or perhaps they do not keep any.



Johnson was on the top left, then Nixon next to him. That always troubled me somehow. I thought that Republicans and Democrats would not collaborate on things like that. I was wrong. Next to Nixon was John Connally. Connally was Secretary of Navy for about a year under Kennedy and then resigned and later was Secretary of Treasury under Nixon. He was shot during the JFK assassination. If the car had been closer to the Grassy Knoll it would have been turned to not have Connally in the way. Apparently that shooter aimed too quickly. Connally had been mentored by LBJ and they were both from Texas.

Henry Luce , Bonesman and CEO of Time-

Warner was also standing.

Between him and Connally was another prominent military man who was in a position to ensure that those rebelling in the military would be reported to him. I am not going to name him because he has sincerely repented and made amends I believe to God's satisfaction. He is not standing in the way of peace on earth or the survival of the planet.

Randolph Apperson Hearst, father of Patty Hearst, was blackmailed into cooperation. When he later refused to cooperate, his daughter was kidnapped by the CIA to 'put the heat on him'. He still refused to do what was wanted. He was a brave and courageous man of principle. At the time Nelson Rockefeller assumed that this Hearst would gain full control of the Hearst media and could be bent to his will. Rockefeller was willing to 'pull strings or triggers' to make it happen. This Hearst was not willing to be a criminal to 'get ahead'. It took him about 2 years to figure out how to get out of Rockefeller's blackmail control. The CIA plays terrorist-rapist in lots of places in the world. This was closer to home.



Henry Kissinger was on the far right standing.


Another person who said that Nixon and Johnson had private meetings together was Lyndon Johnson's mistress Madeleine Duncan Brown (please see an interview with her at <http://>

21stcenturycicero.wordpress.com/jfk/jfk/interview-with-lbjs-mistress-on-jfk-assassination-alex-jones/). She states on the interview that Nixon meet with Johnson in Dallas on two days before the assassination. Although the interview is slow moving, it is useful. She said that LBJ was extremely angry at JFK and that the plans to kill him went back to 1960 when he won the Democratic Nomination. She states that LBJ did not think twice about killing people for political reasons. She said that she was sad that he had his hit man kill her maid for accidentally seeing them together in a hallway. In addition, she names many people who were in a private meeting with LBJ in late in the evening in Dallas before the assassination. One of them she said was John McCloy, an oil financier at the Chase Manhattan Bank. Johnson later appointed him as one of the 7 members of the Warren Commission which functioned to cover-up who killed JFK. It was certainly a case of the fox guarding the chicken coop. McCloy's background was very interesting (from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_J._McCloy);

- a friend of Rockefeller Family from childhood, who became a trustee of the [Rockefeller Foundation](#) ,
- McCloy was notably supportive of the Third Reich at least until 1939 and was photographed sitting with Hitler at the [1936 Berlin Olympics](#).^[*citation needed*]
- a legal counselor to the major German chemical combine [I. G. Farben](#), [Assistant Secretary of War](#) from 1941 to 1945,
- refused to bomb the railway lines to Auschwitz to stop the killing at the death camp
- Military Governor for the U.S. Zone in Germany as the [U.S. High Commissioner for Germany](#) and held this position until 1952, at his direction, a campaign of wholesale pardoning and commutation of sentences of Nazi criminals took place, including those of

the prominent industrialists [Alfried Krupp](#) and [Friedrich Flick](#) . Some of the less notable figures were retried and convicted in the newly independent West Germany.

- Designed the Pentagon's Pentagram shaped building, called "McCloy's Folly"



Who were some of the people he pardoned?

“Friedrich Flick was a funder of the [Nazi](#) party, profited from the liquidation of Jewish property, and became one of Hitler's major armaments producers. His factories became notorious for using slave labour from [concentration camp](#) inmates. The Flick family has refused to pay compensation to wartime victims.

Alfried Krupp produced submarines, tanks, artillery, naval guns, armor plate, munitions and other armaments for the German military. A huge number of civilians from occupied countries and Allied prisoners of war were used as forced laborers by Krupp during the war,...the highest number at a reference date was ca. 25 000 civilian workers and prisoners of war in January 1943.”

There are so many ties between those who funded the Nazis and benefited from the slave labor and those who assassinated JFK that there is a website full of information at **The Nazi Connection to the John F. Kennedy Assassination** by [Mae Brussell](http://www.maebrussell.com/Mae%20Brussell%20Articles/Nazi%20Connection%20to%20JFK%20Assass.html) at www.maebrussell.com/Mae%20Brussell%20Articles/Nazi%20Connection%20to%20JFK%20Assass.html .

Now I want to turn back to the evidence that I found. Inside the Rockefeller Archives, I also found photos of Auschwitz prisoners that had been sent to the Rockefellers by Standard Oil of New Jersey's President William Stamps Farish. Wikipedia at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Stamps_Farish_II has this to say about him;

“In 1942, Farish pled "no contest" to criminally conspiring with the Nazis. He was the principal manager of a merger between Standard Oil Co. of New Jersey and the I.G. Farben concern. The merged enterprise opened the Auschwitz labor camp on June 14, 1940, to produce artificial rubber from coal. William Stamps Farish was fined \$5,000. (Similar fines were levied against Standard Oil--\$5,000 each for the parent company and for several subsidiaries.) This did not interfere with the millions of dollars that Farish had profitted as a large stockholder, chairman and president of Standard Oil. He was described by Senator Harry Truman in public as approaching 'treason' for profiting from the Nazi war machine and withholding patents from the US government.”

Clearly, he was the fall guy for the Rockefellers whose orders he was following. William Stamp Farish III, the grandson to, William Stamp Farish II, was a close friend of Bushes who appointed him to be the executor of the money they inherited from the slave labor from Auschwitz and to be US Ambassador to the UK 2001-2005. So, the Bush and Rockefeller Families have a long standing ongoing relationship to the Farish Family.

The Auschwitz photos in the Rockefeller collection had never been published. I checked that by sending some of them to Holocaust Museum people. One of those photos showed Prescott Bush at Auschwitz during WWII in about 1941. He had two factories nearby using the slave labor. A Dutch intelligence officer had said that he oversaw a portion of the slave labor at Auschwitz. He had a shipping line the Hamburg America that was importing Nazi spies into the US and it would not have been hard for him to get into Nazi Germany by changing flags at the Canary Islands. In that photo was also William Stamps Farish II who committed suicide soon after when his role helping the Nazis was exposed. Prescott had his companies next to Auschwitz and his shipping line seized as Nazi front organizations. A woman was being beaten on the opposite side of the wire fence by a Nazi in the background

of the photo. That photo was in a file that David Rockefeller had labeled as “Bush--Silence”. He had such a file for each of the JFK co-conspirators in a filing drawer just devoted to keeping the JFK coverup intact. But it was not immediately obvious to me how that photo could be used to keep a George Bush from talking. I also did not see why it was in the JFK coverup drawer and not in his Hitler coverup drawer.

Again, I clipped the figures out of the photo and took them to the CIA’s photo experts and asked them who the photos were of. They all three agreed with the information in the file as above. Then I did something that perhaps was foolish in retrospect, I showed a blackmail expert at the CIA that photo and asked him how it could be used to silence those who were still alive. He said that the photo was incriminating for several reasons. First, Bush, Jr. had appointed the grandson to be Ambassador in the face of inadequate credentials thereby making it appear that the appointment was to pay the family back for them taking the fall on behalf of their joint sponsors, the Rockefellers. Second, Bush, Jr.’s memos had authorized torture and so this photo made it appear to be a Family Tradition, not just a necessary response to 911 as was claimed. And then without my ever having mentioned JFK, he brought it up. I was shocked that he knew that it pertained to the JFK assassination when I had not had a clue. He said that that photo, or a copy of it had been found in the house of Oswald when they searched it after the JFK assassination. I found that hard to believe. He went to his photo library index and pulled the photo up on his computer. The location, date, and how the photo had been obtained were part of that file, in addition to the collecting officers names, their reports etc.. It had been collected by 2 CIA officers from his place on the morning of the assassination after he left it! On the Alex Jones 90-minute video he hypothesized that Oswald was investigating the CIA’s anti-Castro cubans for J. Edgar Hoover. It certainly did appear that he was investigating that topic when I pulled up all the photos that had been found in Oswald’s place. There were many photos of CIA operatives involved in that. One that I remember particularly well was of Felix Rodriguez who had been part of the CIA team that killed Che Guvara. Mark Lane’s book *Plausible Denial* speaks about Felix Rodriguez driving to Dallas the day before the assassination with a truck full of guns. The CIA certainly had a possible motive for wanting to frame Oswald and kill him. But I was surprised to find that Rodriguez had been one of the two CIA officers collecting the photos. His partner in doing that job had turned over to the CIA a batch of about 50 photos found in the same drawer, apparently without looking at them. That photo of Felix was one of them. It showed him killing a man; the man was not Che, however. I mention it because if Oswald should have reported that to the police or FBI. The CIA had identified the victim. I then called a friend at the FBI and asked about that victim. They knew quite a bit about him and it turned out to be relevant to me. The victim was a Cuban

who had been one of 3 taking over a business from the Walker Family, Bush, Sr.'s grandfather, when Cuba fell to Castro.. That raised the question of whether Bush, Sr. had ordered his hit. I did find some evidence along those lines in the Clandestine Dept. but it was not conclusive. But, Oswald had a series of similar photos collected from his place. It was clear that he had not taken them himself. They had been in the CIA's hands prior to going into his hands, per a CIA file I found. He had been given a file of them by a man in the FBI who got them from a corrupt person inside the CIA for him. That man in the FBI appeared to be Oswald's boss in the FBI. He was not Hoover, and he was killed shortly after the Kennedy assassination.

But the photos that Oswald had of the anti-Castro CIA officers and their activities were so explicit and showed the faces of them so well, that they looked like the CIA had had one of their good photographers assigned to hang out with them for the purpose of blackmailing them into silence. It was when I finally got to one of the photos of Bush, Sr. at a desk with the photo of his father at Auschwitz on it like it was the photo of a wife, that I finally understood the blackmail value of it. The photo of him at the desk did not show the one on the desk well enough--so the old one was put with it in the file. In the office with him was William Stamps Farish, II. The date showed that the photo was taken not long before the Bay of Pigs invasion. They were both young men at the time. I then looked at the CIA files and found the Wm. Stamps Farish, II had been on the CIA's payroll during that time period. The photo was troubling in another regard. It appeared to be an attempt to recreate the earlier photo. There was a Cuban woman being beaten in the background of it, not far away. In both photos, the Bush and the Farish were drinking what appeared to be cocktails in a party mood.

It puzzled me why the second picture which made the older one blackmail material had not been in the Rockefeller file. When I had a chance to go back to the Archives, I found that it had just been misfiled in the Bush, Jr. file. I had not looked in that file due to time constraints.

Who was taking the photos? It was a Rockefeller. Even in the Auschwitz photo, it was a Rockefeller. From all the evidence I could find, when a Rockefeller wants to take a photo to blackmail you later, most people do not stand up and walk out. I guess they wanted the money that would flow in their direction if they played dirty pool with the Rockefellers.

The problem with taking photos of your underlings doing bad things so you can blackmail them, is that if you took the photos, they can be used as evidence against you to. I guess that the Rockefellers felt too far above the law to worry about that.

Next, I want to discuss another piece of evidence. This one I found at the CIA. It was footage of the Kennedy assassination taken by a journalist standing between the Book Depository and the Grassy Knoll. It was confiscated from him by a CIA officer on the day of the assassination. It barely shows the assassination. But he forgot to turn his camera off. It was dangling upside down and captured images of people running behind him towards the Grassy Knoll. Two of those people were CIA people dressed in plain clothes. One of them was Ruby who was a CIA asset, not an officer, per CIA documents. LBJ's mistress said that Ruby [Rubenstein] was well known to her as a person who an unscrupulous politician could hire to beat some one up for \$10 to 15 dollars or kill them for \$25. Prices sure have gone up these days--that was Texas in 1960's. Marita Lorenz, the ex-mistress of Castro whom the CIA kidnapped, brainwashed and turned in a CIA operative talked about Ruby also (see Lane's Plausible Denial). She said that after E. Howard Hunt came in to supply the money for the hit, that Ruby came in and got his share. Clearly, he had not been told that the op would send him into jail long time, or no amount of money would be worth it. So he was told something else. The information inside the CIA pointed to his having been told that at the end of the op his death would be faked and he would then be given a new identity. The CIA often promised assets things and does not deliver. It certainly would make it easier for the CIA to get a person's cooperation to hold still while they killed them, if they had been told in advance that the plan was only to fake their death to give them a new identity.

The photo of Ruby running behind the journalist seems almost as inexplicable until you know what the CIA intended to happen in the op and didn't. Ruby was supposed to be arrested just as he was leaving the Book Depository a moment after the assassination. He was to act as a friend of Oswald's, a presumptive conspirator;

“More than a dozen people claim to have seen Ruby and Oswald together during the four months prior to the Kennedy assassination. In 1994, Dallas reporters Ray and Mary La Fontaine claimed that, shortly after Oswald's arrest on Nov. 22, he told a cellmate that he and Ruby attended a meeting in a local hotel just days earlier.” (see www.crimemagazine.com/03/richardnixon,1014.htm)

That would allow him to give the reason for the murder of JFK as if he had been in on it from the beginning. But the CIA officer dressed as a police officer didn't recognize him in his upset at seeing the President killed. So, that meant that Ruby didn't know what to do. He was unable to feed the information he was supposed to into the investigation to divert attention away from the true culprits who planned the assassination. Thus, the night of the assassination he impersonated a journalist at a press conference to correct the name of the group that Oswald allegedly worked for from the anti-Castro Free Cuba Committee to the pro-Castro Fair Play for Cuba Committee. That was essential because an investigation of the anti-Castro Free Cuba Committee might have tracked back to Nixon and Bush, Sr.. The anti-Castro Free Cuba Committee was a CIA front for those in the CIA who had been in the Bay of Pigs invasion and still hoped to invade again. Nixon as the Vice President under Eisenhower had helped put the Bay of Pigs invasion plan in place. Kennedy came in and the CIA pushed forward with it without Kennedy being really for it. It was a big slip up that Ruby's name later showed up on a document showing that he had worked for Nixon. It almost revealed that Nixon was one of the conspirators in the Kennedy assassination. (see <http://www.jfkmurdersolved.com/nixonruby.htm> and **Richard Nixon's Greatest Cover-Up: His Ties to the Assassination of President Kennedy** at www.crimemagazine.com/03/richardnixon,1014.htm).

The evidence that the checks in the glass case in the Rockefeller Archive were from conspirators to kill JFK was all follows. Each check had a number written lightly in pencil on the right top edge. That number was one to 11 with the checks arranged in the same pattern as the men in the picture, their signatures matching their picture. When I found the blackmail files in the drawer marked JFK, those files were also numbered 1 to 11 and the names matched. In addition, there were in each of those 11 files a running list of possible loose threads needing attention. Those loose threads were related to the JFK assassination quite directly. For example, in Nixon's file, the loose thread of the document saying Ruby worked for Nixon was listed.

Although the original op, called for Ruby to be arrested as a co-conspirator of Oswald's, that was supposed to fall through for lack of evidence and turn out that he was just his friend who knew his thinking. Then he would be let go. Oswald was supposed to be found dead in his cell after Ruby was let out. But the Police Chief backed out of being willing to possibly take the rap for that when he understood the extent of the publicity. Ruby's role was then changed in mid-op to killing Oswald. That was clumsy and poorly planned,

resulting in “witnesses saw him with a handgun in the halls of the Dallas police headquarters on several occasions after President Kennedy's assassination and arrest of [Lee Harvey Oswald](#)”. The police had orders from their chief who was in on the plot per LBJ’s mistress and a file I found at the CIA, not to arrest Ruby but to let him shoot Oswald. If Ruby killing Oswald had been part of the original op, Ruby’s shooting of him would have been set up already as to how it would occur.

Since Ruby had not intended to sign up for a long prison sentence, he later felt betrayed and started talking;

“Not long before Ruby died, according to an article in the London Sunday Times, he told psychiatrist Werner Teuter, that the assassination was "an act of overthrowing the government" and that he knew "who had President Kennedy killed." He added: "I am doomed. I do not want to die. But I am not insane. I was framed to kill Oswald." (see http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jacob_Leon_Rubenstein).

When the op did not go as planned with JFK dying quickly from the first shot or even two, the lone gun man scenario that the op was using as its cover, fell apart.

It was the duty of the FBI to investigate and give the American people the truth about who killed the President. The American people did not know the truth, therefore the FBI did not do its job. The Director of the FBI was responsible for ensuring that the FBI did its work. Therefore, he was either a willing conspirator or an unwilling blackmailed one. It should thus surprise no one that he was standing next to Nixon in the photo and had written a check to Rockefeller on the date in question. That date was about 2 months before the assassination. The desire to kill JFK and the plans may have been much more long standing. But the photo of the meeting and the checks were used as a reference point for the cover-up. So, Rockefeller considered those men and those checks at that meeting to be a critical point in the assassination. When I listened to the interview of LJB’s mistress, she mentioned that LBJ had a meeting the night before the assassination which included J. Edgar Hoover. That is additional confirmation of the photo’s significance. Alex Jones in the 90 minute video explained that J. Edgar Hoover had helped prosecute the Rockefeller-Bush-Harriman conspirators with the Nazis when some of their companies were seized by the US Govt. in WWII as Nazi front companies under the Trading with the Enemy Act. Alex Jones gave a reason that Hoover could have been blackmailed into compliance. The

photos in his file in Rockefeller's JFK drawer were certainly explicit enough to do the job. History proved that the American public were not told the through through the FBI's investigations. Thus, history and the testimony of 2 people in a position to know, myself and LBJ's mistress, show that Hoover was a conspirator in the assassination. I judged him to be a coerced conspirator because I found letters to Nelson Rockefeller in the Archives in which he argued strongly against any attempts to "counter the Presidency".

Another person who had a duty to get the truth to the people was Johnson. Again, history and the testimony of same two people in a position to know, show that he was a conspirator. His mistress said that he was extremely angry with JFK and the night before told her that "the Irish Mafia will never bother us again" and confirmed that was the case a day or two later using the past tense "they can't". Johnson not only conspired in the killing, he directly helped effect it. His mistress said that the location of the killing was picked to be Texas because LBJ had "Texas sewn up". She mentions that the Chief of the Dallas Police, Mafia bosses, Ruby, Texas bigwigs, and many Media people were at that meeting the night before. Clearly, not all of those people needed to be blackmailed by Rockefeller, they were LBJ's responsibility to control. LBJ's mistress spoke about his hit man and that he had killed her maid. So, Rockefeller was able to trust that LBJ would 'control his troops' as he wrote in his journal later. In that entry of his journal devoted to LBJ, he listed loose threads that he was intending to remind LBJ in "his camp". Then he listed the names of 4 people. This was about 16 months after the JFK assassination. I was able to verify that those 4 people were dead quickly thereafter. 2 of them clearly had long had evidence of the JFK assassination that Johnson knew was dangerous to the coverup, but had not acted on. So, the orders were going in the direction of Rockefeller to Johnson, not the other way around. LBJ's mistress said that he was under investigation in a kickback scam from Texas agriculture. She said that the killing of JFK had to happen ASAP to keep him out of jail. So, his motivation was to escape prison, not just to gain the Presidency.

LBJ appointed the members of the Warren Commission, needless to say, he appointed people who he was sure would cover up the real conspirators. How did he pick those 7 people? I have already explained that one of them John McCloy was a Rockefeller henchman who helped ensure that people kept arriving at Auschwitz to be turned into slaves to work for companies. Bush-Harriman-Rockefeller had factories that used those slaves to make a profit. Some of those companies were "seized" by the US govt. fairly early in the war. But what was seized were their names on a piece of paper. Those factories kept on operating using slaves. The US had no way to ensure that the money those factories made in Poland did not secretly still flow into bank accounts of the US owners. The Rockefeller Archives had abundant evidence of that secret flow. The profits of those factories remained

in the same hands during the whole of WWII. McCloy was a lawyer for IG Farben and he prevented the bombing of the railway lines to Auschwitz for the duration of the war. The factories he was protecting from bombing there were making steel, fuel from coal, and armaments;

So, the man was a traitor to the US, but proven to be loyal to the Rockefellers and Bushes.



Let's look briefly at another man he appointed to the Warren Commission, former DCI Allen Dulles. He was the lawyer that Prescott Bush hired to hide his Nazi business dealings. History records that he and his brother John Dulles had been in the room when Hitler promised bankers that he would break the back of the trade unions if he came to power in exchange for their financial backing. How did two American lawyers come to be in the room when such a secret deal was made? They were the lawyers for German bankers who financed the Brown Shirt and Black Shirt Armies. Those armies were 300,000 private mercenaries devoted to providing the muscle for the bankers. When the German govt. tried to outlaw them, Prescott Bush gave free passage on his Hamburg-America shipping line to anyone who would go to Germany to protest it.

He and his business partner Thyseen were funders of those private armies of thugs.

Allan Dulles was fired by President Kennedy after the CIA's Bay of Pigs invasion. The CIA planned that invasion under Vice President Nixon. Nixon in at the end of WWII as a military officer had an assignment to review documents. He helped cover-up Allan Dulles's

Nazi activities. Dulles had helped grandfather Prescott Bush hide his Nazi money as well as Rockefellers. Dulles and Prescott Bush funded Nixon's first political campaign as the payment for that (see The Nazi Hydra in America, Loftus, and references already given at the end of Case 12). It was only a few months after JFK was elected that the CIA launched the Bay of Pigs invasion without it properly going through his or the US military's channels or Congress. After the JFK assassination Johnson, appointed Allan Dulles to the Warren Commission as an expert in cover-ups.

The drawer labeled JFK in the Rockefeller Archives had the 11 files in them as I explained. I want to talk some about what was in those files as a way of releasing those men from the blackmail. The files were organized by number starting at the left end of the couch being 1. George Bush, Sr. was not so old at the time of the JFK assassination. Since the file had been started then it had material that included Skull and Bones and Bay of Pigs related type of events. Skull and Bones is a death worship cult and the Bay of Pigs was an invasion, so you can pretty well guess killing could have been a topic that the photos covered. Photos of soldiers killing are not necessarily useful blackmail items. Bush, Sr. was not a soldier however. So, what about intelligence people? There is definitely a difference between what an intelligence person in say Cuba could claim to be doing in the line of duty which is above and beyond what a civilian without that job could claim. I have already said that the Committee had ordered two hits of people who could blow Bush, Sr.'s cover as having been in the CIA before being a DCI. The question is whether the hits were to deny Bush, Sr. the excuse for the photos in which case they were likely ordered by Rockefeller? Or were the hits to hide that Bush worked for the CIA in order to hide his association with the CIA operatives who did the shooting of JFK? Bear with me a moment longer as I lay the framework for the considerations.

Prescott Bush had in essence worked for the Rockefellers in WWII as the Harriman-Bush bank had about 80% Rockefeller funds in it. See Loftus. It is quite reasonable to suppose the blackmail had long been practiced by the Rockefellers to keep their henchmen under control, given that Nelson and David Rockefeller had both had a hand in keeping those files up to date. I did find evidence in a drawer that showed that Prescott Bush had had much incriminating evidence against him squirreled away. He is long dead now. Why did the upkeep of the files not destroy that information? After all, keeping it exposed that the Rockefellers intended to blackmail him. Why keep that evidence for even a minute longer than it is useful? I thus had to conclude that it did have a utility that was just not immediately apparent to me.

I will tell you what I saw in those files and you can ponder the question with me. First off, their was about a 3 page document. It was rather like a shipping list, but it was items from Auschwitz that had been shipped on the Hamburg America shipping line of Bush's to the States. The items had been taken off those being shipped to Auschwitz. There were lots of things like mink coats, gold watches, gold jewelry, loose diamonds and the usual. Most of the items were things that one might be able to claim that one had obtained from a pons shop. But some of them were not. It is hard to explain why you have a jar of blue eyes in vodka and a jar of brown eyes in vodka. There is certainly no implication that Prescott Bush cut them out and put them in the jar--they were being sent to him. But even accepting them at shipping could raise eyebrows at the very least. The list did show that he had signed for the items on the invoice. The invoice was in English, so there was no plausible deniability. I thought about it and decided to try to figure out if the items had been tossed

out immediately as unwanted. But before I had a chance to do that I found a photo of him dangling an eyeball above his mouth. I went back to the invoice--maybe it was a sheep eye. The invoice did not specify. I decided to give him the benefit of the doubt and conclude that it was not a human eye and that the photo was a gag in which he did not swallow it, but put it carefully back in the jar. But the next photo did not support my hypothesis. Of course, there could have been a gunman off of sight in the photo who was threatening to shoot him or another person, if he did not do what the photo showed. And he could have been injected with a drug against his will beforehand. So, I did not conclude based on that evidence that he had done what the photo showed of his own free will. In those days it was not likely that the image was digitally re-mastered at the time it was stored for black mail purposes, if my supposition in that regard was right. What is the difference between a harmless "fraternity" prank, a tasteless idiosyncrasy, and a blackmail-able offense? There may be no difference except in the mind of the person who is being black mailed. That is, if the person is comfortable with others seeing the photo, or has a 'thick skin' such a photo might not be blackmail material. But suppose you want to run for public office. Then an extra-martial affair, for example, may mean more than the end of a bad marriage you want out of anyway; it might mean the end of your political career. The next photo left no doubt in the viewer's mind that publishing it in the newspaper would end a political career. It showed him with the jar of blue eyes in one hand, the other hand holding one mid air above a dog's mouth with the dog begging. It was in such poor taste. And it looked too intentional and well coordinated for him to be dead drunk. He was standing up. I hoped that there was a gunman training a gun on him out of view threatening dire consequences. It distressed me that the jar was now only 3/4ths full when it had been completely full.

As I thought about it, I decided that printing that photo in the newspaper with a story about Prescott Bush's role overseeing slave labor in Auschwitz per the Dutch spy who alleged that, could harm Bush, Sr.'s image. There is perhaps nothing strictly illegal about the act implied in the photo, but it is in such bad taste that it barely matters even if it was a sheep's eye.

I went looking for the jar as there was a section of the Rockefeller Archives that has bizarre items in it. I was locked in the Archives rather like a prisoner while babysitting the dog liked me. Although I could not prove it was the same jar, it looked the same and was about a third full. When I opened it and sniffed inside, the dog stood up to beg. That seriously upset me. It was as if this dog had also been fed out of the specimens in the room. The smell was of alcohol, not formaldehyde. I screwed the lid back on the jar tightly and then went to where the treats for the dog were kept and feed him a standard dog bone. It was a real bone. I wondered why feeding him a piece of cow's bone was something that I had never thought twice about.

Then I went back to the Prescott file. Most of the rest of the 30 or so photos were variations along the same lines. It was apparent that they had been sorted very carefully in increasing order of shock value. Someone had blackmail down to a fine art, much more subtly shaded than the way I had seen at the CIA. I wondered if there was an article in the Archives that explained it. I knew the Rockefellers quite well as a family since Helms had first assigned me to "help" Nelson Rockefeller not sell secrets to the Russians, esp. while he was Vice President. So, I knew that they liked to lock me in rooms for a while without food and come back at their convenience. Perhaps Nelson wanted to have a chance to talk to others without my listening in as my auditory memory is pretty good. Maybe once you got treated by one of them as a captive slave for an hour, they also decided to do it. It seemed rather bizarre behavior, but calling the police got nowhere. The Archives were always

kept locked and the Rockefellers locked the door behind them when they were inside too. I liked to pretend that their security premiums required it to keep their bizarre items under wraps all the time.

Wealthy people, maybe people in general can have some weird behavior. See the movie based on true life about Howard Hughes called the Aviator for an example.

See Nelson Rockefeller's Presidential Power Grab Revealed at Last!! for some related background information <http://www.reformation.org/rockefeller-for-president.html>

In that specimen room of the Rockefeller Archives there were lots of jars with eyeballs in them. They had labels on them which indicated a country and a date. For example, there was a jar labeled Guatemala with a date about 2 years after the CIA's overthrow of the democratically elected President Arbenz. There was also marked Iran from not long after the CIA re-installed the Shah of Iran so that the Rockefellers et al could get the oil. There was also one from Vietnam during the time of Operation Phoenix. As I looked at them, it occurred to me that the dark history of CIA torture and the training of torturers was being told in those dreadful jars.

Wanting to know who was sending those to the Rockefeller Archives, I went to its receiving dept. file cabinet. In it I was able to locate about a dozen invoices that listed "medical specimen-eyes". The invoices were not so revealing as to a name of a person sending them, but the country was listed. When I went back to the CIA, I was able to verify that the companies sending the eyes were CIA front companies. Through further research I found the name of a CIA operative at Headquarters still who had sent one of the jars and went to talk to him. Call him Jim. He was an old timer at the CIA, and like myself had been in Vietnam. He told me about the 'good old days' of Operation Phoenix and admitted that they did a 'lot of carving during the interrogations'. I asked him if it was hard to cut an eyeball out of a dead body. He laughed at my innocence and said "Lady, they did not start out dead, so I can't answer the question you asked, I can only tell you that on a living person, it ain't hard." I asked him if all of the CIA torturers had been trained to do that in Operation Phoenix, or if it was an 'acquired specialty. He said, "most everyone had the training up at Catskill, but not everyone." Alarm bells had gone off in my head. The CIA training camp called Catskill was in a remote part of upstate New York and the Rockefellers had an ultra fancy underground radiation shelter associated with it. I listened to him talk about the training he got up there, it sounded like the human medical experiments done at Auschwitz. I asked him if he still knew others in the CIA who had gone through that training with him. He searched in his files and handed me a list of about 20 names. It had a Skull and Bones emblem on the page.

By talking to a couple of other men on the list, I was able to confirm that they had learn to cut out eyes of living mammals at that Catskill camp. I will spare the reader the gory details. One even had photos of that torture procedure being done in Vietnam. It was not a medical experiment or a surgery done by physicians using sterile gowns or anesthesia. Frankly, I was horrified and felt like vomiting. He laughed at my discomfiture and said, "you get used to it". Later though he admitted that he still had nightmare and sometimes

drowned the pain in alcohol. I asked him if the eyeballs were routinely perserved in alcohol. He said, “No, only if there was a special order.” I asked him if he knew how many jars of eyeballs had been sent Stateside. He said, “From my unit, I would say about 50.” I asked him who was the end recipient. He didn’t know, but the 3rd man I spoke to said, “Probably Nelson Rockefeller, but don’t quote me.”

Then I looked up the history of the Catskill base. It was on a piece of land donated by the Rockefellers. That sounded like a bonus for the taxpayers, except that the CIA had paid to build the Hilton of Radiation Shelters just for the Rockefeller Family on that piece of land. The CIA training center was the cover story of why the CIA needed to do that.

Privatized Torture in Vietnam

From Builders: Herman and George R. Brown;

The book shows the relationship between the brothers and an up and coming Congressman from Texas in the 1930's, Lyndon Johnson. The book chronicles the parallel rise of both the Brown brothers' company and Lyndon Johnson in the national and international scene.

From **Johnson's Hidden Loyalties** at http://www.jfkmontreal.com/johnson's_hidden_loyalties.htm



“Throughout Lyndon Johnson’s career, Brown & Root was his biggest financial supporter. Today the company is a huge defense contractor. It was founded by Herman Brown in the 1920s. The son of a Belton, Texas shopkeeper, Herman’s career had a humble beginning. But Alvin Wirtz and Lyndon Johnson helped Brown & Root acquire huge defense contracts from President Roosevelt in the late 1930s. The company prospered a great deal after America’s entry into World War II. Brown & Root returned the favor by giving Johnson virtually any financial help he requested. [Photo (http://www.halliburton.com/about/images/brown_bros.jpg)

When Johnson got America into the Vietnam War, Brown & Root made a fortune constructing military bases in Southeast Asia. They built the Tan Son Nhut Air Base and reportedly built many of the infamous tiger cages used to brutalize and torture suspected enemies of the Saigon regime.⁶⁰ Tiger Cages were cells constructed below ground with just enough room to fit one person. Prisoners were put in these as punishment for various infractions of the rules. http://www.jfkmontreal.com/johnson's_hidden_loyalties.htm

From **Torture, American Style** at <http://www.historiansagainstwar.org/resources/torture/luce.html>

“We walked in.

The faces of the prisoners in the **cages** below are still etched indelibly in my mind: the man with three fingers cut off; the man (soon to die) from Quang Tri province whose skull was split open; and the Buddhist monk from Hue who spoke intensely about the repression of the Buddhists. I remember clearly the terrible stench from diarrhea and the open sores where shackles cut into the prisoners' ankles. "Donnez-moi de l'eau" (Give me water), they begged. They sent us scurrying between cells to check on other prisoners' health and continued to ask for water. Grace Paley described the prison life of one of the 300 women who were incarcerated in the **Tiger Cages** in her 1998 book, *Just As I Thought*:

In prison, Thieu Thi Tao was beaten on the head with truncheons. Her head was locked between two steel bars. Water was forced down her throat. She was suspended above the ground. Then, on November 20, 1968, she was transferred to national police headquarters. The Vietnamese Catholic priest, Father Chan Tin, in a plea for international concern about her case, wrote that she was "further beaten and subjected to electric shock." "She's become insane,"

Late in 1969 Tao was transferred to the **Tiger Cages** of Con Son. She was there for a year and transferred to the Bien Hoa Insane Asylum. For several days, she was hung from an iron hook. Her spine was damaged by this torture and she still wears a neck brace.

"You saved our lives," Tao later wrote. "I still remember the strange foreign voices when you came. In the **cages**, we wondered what new indignities were to be visited upon us. But a foreigner [myself] who spoke Vietnamese with a heavy accent told us it was a U.S. congressional investigation. We had prayed for such an inquiry and took the chance to speak of the tortures. We begged for water and food. We were dying you know."

Tao was a 16-year high school student then. She was put in **cages** because she would not salute the flag. She was obstinate, the prison director said at the time. The oldest prisoner in the **Cages** was Ba Sau. She was blinded by the caustic lime that was thrown onto prisoners as a disciplinary measure. "I was a Communist," she says. "But the others were only student protestors, Buddhists and writers."

Similar to contemporary events in Iraq and the so-called War on Terror, in 1971 the Department of Navy gave a contract to the company Raymond, Morrison, Knutson-Brown Root and Jones to build new **cages** even smaller than the original ones. The money for the new **cages** came from the U.S. Food for Peace program. Ironically, part of the construction consortium, Brown and Root, is today the Halliburton subsidiary that built the "isolation cells" in Guantánamo, Cuba for imprisonment of Afghan and Iraqi suspects. (For a copy of the contract, see *Hostages of War* by Holmes Brown and Don Luce.)...

Final Note:

"I read the books about the survivors of Auschwitz and Dachau," one of the former **Tiger** Cage inmates told me. "They are like us. Each has a special memory of someone who was there for them at a crucial moment. Someone who gave them a crust of bread or a few drops of water. Moments of kindness are seared in our minds. There is no way we can forget [Senator] Mr. Harkin and his group."

Islamberg **Catskill** Mountains on the outskirts of Hancock, New York



Br



own & Root









continued to grow as the primary contractor for building military bases. When Johnson got America into the Vietnam War, Brown & Root made a fortune constructing military bases in Southeast Asia. They built the Tan Son Nhut Air Base and reportedly built many of the infamous tiger cages used to brutalize and torture suspected enemies of the Saigon regime.[60](#)



Tiger Cages were cells constructed below ground with just enough room to fit one person. Prisoners were put in these as punishment for various infractions of the rules. As of this writing (2002) Brown & Root is owned by the Halliburton [Brown and Root merged into Halliburton in 1962]. George Brown wrote a letter to Johnson pledging his support:

‘In the past I have not been very timid about asking you to do favors for me and hope you will not get any timidity if you have anything at all that you think I can or should do. Remember that I am for you, right or wrong, and it makes no difference if I think you are right or wrong. If you want it, I am for it 100%’.[66](#)

Secrecy hides many evil deeds behind its doors, including the rape of American children and the killing of some of America's best citizens. It is time for that secrecy to end--- especially in the halls of the US military-intelligence-industrial complex.