



# Barcelona Exopolitics Speakers Panel on Disclosure - Part 1 of 2: Interview transcript

Home What's New	Mission Statement	Interviews and Reports	Round Table	In Tribute	The Big Picture	Shorts
Our Goals	High Praise	About Us	Get Involved	Questions	Contact	

## **Barcelona Exopolitics Speakers Panel on Disclosure 23 July 2009 - Part 1 of 2**

[Ed note: Normally the transcripts that had any parts in them that had been difficult for the transcribers to hear were put in "audibles" in square brackets in red for Bill Ryan to attend to, fix, then he'd post the transcript; however, due to unexpected interruptions in the normal working process in Project Camelot, this normal process was not able to proceed forward, so the audibles were left in the square brackets.]

BILL RYAN (BR): So this is Bill Ryan here, and...

KERRY CASSIDY (KC): Kerry Cassidy...

BR: ...from Project Camelot and we are honored, genuinely honored, and privileged to have been invited here to the Exopolitics Summit in Barcelona. And the date today, somebody, is?

NICK POPE (NP): Twenty-third of July, 2009.

BR: Excellent. [laughter] This is the guy from the Ministry of Defence, who always knows what day it is and what's going on. Thank you very much, Nick.

What we are doing here is we're gathering the opportunity to talk with most of the speakers, who are appearing here this weekend on Spain's first Exopolitics Summit. This is a formal/informal gathering, a meeting of great minds, considerable experience between us, I think. I won't add up the number of years -- it might be embarrassing.

We're just going to talk about the general subject of Disclosure and just see, once we kick that ball off, where it might go in the next hour and a half. Kerry...

KC: [laughs] I would like to say that we are going to do a transition about an hour and half into this, onto live radio. So I just want to say that *caveat* right at the beginning. On top of it, we decided we would start out with introductions, so we're going to go around the table and do some brief introductions of all the speakers here. I want to start off with Paola, Paola Harris.

PAOLA HARRIS (PH): My name's Paola Harris. I'm a little old American journalist. I've been working in this field for 30 years.

I began working with Dr. J. Allen Hynek, astronomer, researcher, professor at Northwestern University, because he was a consultant to Steven Spielberg for *Close Encounters of the Third Kind*. And so I saw the film and I went to see him and he was my teacher for 6 years. I knew this was real, because I started with a scientist who was working in this field.

I just want to say that I graduated -- because I'm a teacher; I also have a Masters in education -- from ecology to what I call *Exopolitics*. And my definition of Exopolitics is: *the formal academic study of the UFO phenomena and contact and its political and sociological implications for the planet*.

So now I am mainly in that field and I've written three books, the last one *All Of The Above*, which talks about possible protocols of Exopolitics. Protocols -- things like we need to look at a universal and planetary involvement. This is not an American problem; it's not a French problem; it's not a German problem -- it is a planetary problem.

So that's where I'm coming from. That will be my focus, that will be my talk, and that is what

I'm interested in.

BR: Paola, thank you very much. Dr. Brian O'Leary...

Dr. BRIAN O'LEARY (B'OL): My name is Brian O'Leary. In 1967 I was appointed to go to Mars by NASA, as an astronaut. Then, when the U.S. got involved in Vietnam, I became a free energy activist and a peace activist.

The contact experience and the whole UFO phenomenon, to me, ushers in many more things that can help us with our own problems here on the planet.

My principle activity over the last several years has been to visit the laboratories of some of the best and brightest so-called zero-point and other breakthrough clean energy concepts.

My latest book, *The Energy Solution Revolution*, talks about the politics and the social implications of a breakthrough energy economy. I think that the energy – and because of the suppression of free energy technologies, which has been rampant, and the high danger of doing this kind of work – makes it extremely symbiotic with the whole question of UFO/ET disclosure.

So to me *Exopolitics* means going outside the box of normal inquiry and being able to embrace those technologies which can truly create a sustainable future for planet Earth.

BR: Wonderful.

STEPHEN BASSETT (SB): You know, Brian, they are sending people to Mars now, so you still have a shot.

[laughter]

KC: And, from what we understand, all you do is walk into a sort of, like, elevator or 'jumproom' to Mars, and so there's no flight actually involved.

B'OL: Well that sure beats chemical rockets.

BR: You bet.

KC: So, that's another story. And now...

BR: *[to Bob Dean]* Do you know what? I'd love to call you Dr. Bob Dean. I think we should start calling you Dr. Bob Dean.

BOB DEAN (BD): Call me anything you like, as long as I'm not late for dinner.

KC: But didn't you say *Sergeant Major*?

BR: Command Sergeant Major.

SB: Command Sergeant Major.

KC: Okay.

BR: Bob, who are you? Tell the world. This is your chance.

BD: I have to stand up. I don't talk well sitting down.

BR: Very good.

BD: I first became aware of the extraterrestrial presence 45 years ago, but I didn't come out and start speaking about it until 1991.

I took an Oath when I was in the military. I had Cosmic Top Secret clearance while I was at SHAPE [Supreme Headquarters of Allied Forces in Europe] Headquarters in Paris and I took an Oath not to divulge any of the classified material that I had learned while I was in the service and while I was in Paris.

I kept myself to that Oath until 1991. A couple of events occurred in 1991 that outraged me to such a point that I could not keep quiet any longer.

A good friend of mine, an Air Force fighter pilot, had just gotten back from a full tour in Japan. He was flying his jet over Florida and he had *an incident* in the sky at 40,000 feet, where he

looked out of his canopy to the left and he happened to see a circular disk with a dome and he found himself looking into the face of another human being looking at him.

When he got back on the ground, he had to talk to somebody about it and explain what happened. Well, speaking openly about it was his mistake.

The Air Force grabbed him, sent him to Newfoundland, to a United States Air Force base in Newfoundland, without his family. Now, back in those days, they did things like that. I've been told that they don't do those things anymore, but I don't believe it.

Another thing that brought me *out of the closet*, as it were – a figure of speech – I was denied a promotion for a job. I was working in Pima County as an Emergency Services Special Operations, Field Operations. My boss retired; I applied for his job, I went through the entire hiring process, and I was turned down for the job.

I confronted the sheriff, who happened to have the hiring authority at the time, and I was told that: *Well, you're one of those people who speaks out a little bit openly about UFOs. I can't have you working in here, in the Department of Emergency Services.*

And if you'll forgive my French, I got myself the meanest lawyer in town and I sued the son of a bitch. I went through two years of litigation, spent \$20,000 of money that I did not have, and, after two years of litigation, I won the lawsuit. I got the job; I got the back pay; and I got \$100,000 of tort damages.

But I was so outraged at these two incidents – that I had been denied a job promotion, and my good friend had been sent to Newfoundland without his family -- I reached such a level of outrage, frustration, anger, aggravation, that I began to violate my National Security Oath and speak openly about what I had learned, what I'd been shown, what I had been told... because I had had Top Secret clearances all my career.

BR: Anger is a great motivator.

BD: You see, I'm not an easy person to get along with, sometimes, by the very nature of the fact that I retired as a Command Sergeant Major. We had a reputation, all of whom retired Command Sergeant Majors have a reputation of being able to *chew ass and take names*, as they used to say, [Kerry laughs] so I have no patience and I have no toleration for lies.

BR: Bob, thank you so much for that.

BD: So, I came out of the closet in '91. I've been speaking openly and bluntly about this subject. And I want to say to you all who are here that this is the greatest, most important subject in the history of the human race, because, essentially, it *is* the story of the human race.

Ladies and gentlemen, good friends, all of you -- *we are not alone and we have never been alone*. We have had an intimate interrelationship with advanced extraterrestrial intelligence from the beginning of our history, and that interrelationship is still going on.

I'm very gratified to be a part of this conference here in Barcelona. And I want to make it very clear to anyone who's interested is that I take my hat off to the sponsors of this program for having the courage to do what they're doing here.

This is important, so pay attention, and listen -- because you ain't seen nothin' yet! [laughter] Thank you.

BR: Bravo.

VOICE: Wow!

BR: Okay.

KC: Stephen Bassett...

BR: Following that, Steve, are you an angry man?

STEPHEN BASSETT (SB): Mm, not at the moment.

First and foremost, I think, it's important that I say that I speak for all of us, when I say that we have nothing against the perfectly fine Canadian province of Newfoundland, and I look forward to going there someday and talking about Exopolitics. So, for you Newfoundlanders out there -- it's okay, no problem.

I'm Stephen Bassett. I founded the Paradigm Research Group in 1996 for one reason only – to pursue the political resolution of the UFO issue. That's it and that's all that I've done for the last 13 years.

The science and the UFOlogy and the study of the sightings and all that had gone about as far as it could, in my view, by then, and it was clear that the government had a policy of withholding the truth and acknowledgement. So that had to be addressed.

In the early days, back then, '96, '97, we were looking for new terms, a new lexicon, and we talked about *the politics of UFOs*, which is kind of a bridge term. And then we talked about the concept of *Disclosure*. That word turned up.

But in 2000, the word *Exopolitics* turned up, and that was due to the gentleman sitting to my left, Alfred Webre, and, very quickly, he was joined by others, in particular, Dr. Michael Salla.

But the moment I heard *Exopolitics*, that term... the moment I heard it, instantly, I knew that that was the umbrella under which we were going to proceed. That was the boat that we would sail in.

And, so within a few days, I think within a week, I contacted Art Bell. And there was something else going on at the time involving Danny Sheehan, an activist Attorney, and I said: *We need to get Alfred and Danny Sheehan on the show at the same time*, because I was doing that for them back then, helping them put together some shows.

So Danny Sheehan and Alfred Webre came on. Danny was talking about some events that occurred in the Carter administration and Alfred was talking about that as well. They both had a connection with the Carter administration and that was the reason, but, of course, Alfred was talking about Exopolitics.

And so from that day forward – 2000 – we had that new term. In only nine years, it has now become on the edge of being a very significant change in thinking, in terminology, a new field of study.

When you Google it, you can get, I think now, something like 180,000 returns off of *Exopolitics*. There're no extra pages; it's all about the UFO/ET issue. It has no other use in the English language. Six hundred thousand, I think, on Yahoo. It's on the verge of being in the dictionary.

So that's in nine years. A new field has developed and you need that. You need language in order to move forward. You need new language to go into new places.

So this conference is part of that. I'm glad to be a part of it and I look forward to presenting on Sunday. BR: Very good.

BD: Bill, may I interject something? Make your needs known and there will be somebody who's listening. [Kerry, Bill laugh; background chatter]

SB: I need a milkshake, but I don't think I'm going to get it.

BD: To your health -- to all of you, to your health.

SB: Very good.

BR: [to Kerry] Who are you?

KC: Oh, well, no, no, no. Actually, I would like to continue around the circle here.

BR: You don't want to say anything about Camelot?

KC: Well, I think, in the end, we can.

BR: Well, okay. Okay.

KC: All right? I think the next person that we need to introduce is Dr. Michael Salla.

Dr. MICHAEL SALLA (MS): In 2001, I was a professor at a university in Washington, D.C. – American University – and I was teaching international politics. I had graduate-level classes.

I saw the video of the Disclosure Conference, the Disclosure Project Press Conference, and that really startled me. I just had no idea that this kind of information was out there. Prior to that, I always thought that extraterrestrial life was real, but, you know, some day in the future, they'll

contact us, and wow, the world will change.

So, when I saw that press conference, my world kind of really crumbled in terms of how I understood international politics and what was happening in the world.

And so, one of the first things I did was I showed the press conference video to a class. The class was on *Theories of Conflict, Violence, and War* and I showed it to the class, 25 graduate students; very progressive Liberal Arts students at American University. I showed them the press conference and then I said, you know: *What do you think?*

And I asked the students: *Well, line up in terms of... line up. There's going to be a line on the extreme right. If you agree strongly that this video is real, that you agree with that very strongly, then get on the right. If you disagree strongly, then get on the left. If you're kind of neutral, get in the middle.*

So I asked them to separate themselves, where they fit in on that line. And, of the 25 students, two agreed, one strongly; and one moderately agreed that the video was real, that the testimonies were valid; the other 23 were either strongly opposed or kind of neutral about it.

That shocked me because, basically, what I was seeing was that university students weren't ready to accept this information as real. They just came up with many of the standard responses: *Well, they're making it up. How do we know it's real? CNN would tell us if it were real.* So that was kind of an important eye-opener for me.

I found that the university administration was similarly not very impressed with this topic. So that led to my forced removal from the university and I've been studying Exopolitics ever since, which for me, really involves looking at three different processes, or three different things.

It's looking at: What are the main actors, or the individuals, involved with the question of extraterrestrial life? So we looked at, say, the role of the presidents – Truman, Eisenhower, Kennedy, and so forth – how they dealt with this issue of extraterrestrial life.

The second is we look at the institutions. What are the main institutions that are being involved with this issue? So, straight away, we come up with, say, the different intelligence departments, especially the CIA Counter-Intelligence, the National Reconnaissance Office [and] the NSA. So those are some of the institutions.

Then I looked at what are the processes involved here? Some of the processes are like maintaining secrecy, maintaining a psychological warfare program to discredit, debunk this whole question in the general public arena, and also funding these projects, which are occurring behind the scenes.

So those are the questions and the issues that I'm very interested in. I've been doing this now for eight years and I'm still learning and still finding things to be amazed at. So, this is truly an amazing field.

The more years you put into it, the more things that you have more questions about. I haven't yet reached that point where I feel that I've understood the big picture.

BR: That's right. The more you find out, the less you know. [laughs] Thank you. Alfred?

ALFRED WEBRE (AW): Thank you very much. As I was saying, I'm a futurist, an activist, and a lawyer.

KC: Are you *Doctor* Alfred Webre?

AW: You know, I always think that the lawyers tried to get a phony PhD? [Kerry laughs] Because I got an LLB and the Legal Association then changed all law degrees to JD. So, technically, are we lawyers -- doctors? Doctor? What do you think?

SD: You're a *Juris Doctor*, which sounds even better.

AW: Yeah, I'm a *Juris Doctor*, but I think that it's kind of back door. [laughter] That's kind of how I am. I always question things, and, well...

I think that Steve has really given sort of a contextual background to what has happened over the first ten years of Exopolitics.

What I've come here to this Summit to really talk about is the next ten or eleven years, and that is in terms of what the larger astronomical and cosmic cycles that will be occurring – in an

amazing synchrony – that will serve as motors or propellants of the process of Disclosure, and more deeply, the process of a transformation of our reality and the reality of all living things, I think, which includes animals, plants, and minerals... [laughs] according to some of you.

I just want to bring all that kind of cosmic consciousness here, because I think that that is really what Disclosure is about, and what will fuel Disclosure will be an interactive elevation of the consciousness of...

...and I see a gentleman back there, who will remain nameless, who is saying: Yes!

So, just very briefly, we're talking about the solar cycle-24 from 2009 to 2020, with solar maximum at 2012 to 2013. And, by the *Index of Human Excitability* that goes back to 500 BC, we know that all revolutions, wars, riots, and the fundamental fixing of things in society happens during the solar maximum, which NASA tells us now is in May of 2013.

So, in that general term, we're in for some really dynamite stuff. If we want to be changing agents in a positive way, now's the time to put out our agenda, as Dr. Bob Dean says. [laughter]

Another cycle is the cycle of the *Matrix of Time Acceleration*, which Dr. Carl Johan Calleman and Barbara Hand Clow, a colleague of mine who lives in Vancouver, Canada, also, talk about. That is, where time is accelerating, the rate of change is accelerating; the rate of our consciousness is accelerating.

And there will be, probably, according to this theory, 20 times as much change in the year 2011 as there was between 1999, when the Internet started, and the beginning of 2011. So that's the backdrop for how Disclosure can take place.

And, finally, there are the larger Galactic and cosmic cycles, sort of the source of our thoughts; of our reality; of our DNA, as well; of the stars; the black hole at the center of our Galaxy – most galaxies have black holes – and how *it* will be programming the other 97 percent of our *junk DNA* in the coming years, so that we can become more capable of interacting and, therefore, things will be disclosed.

So I look forward to *this* process, and the process of the weekend.

BD: Very good.

BR: Thank you, Alfred. I just want to say here that this idea of an *Index of Human Excitability* – I just think that's such a wonderful name, I just love it -- I take it very seriously; but, for me, it's like: *How appropriate is that? How excitable are we all now? You know. And where's it going to go?*

KC: Okay. Well, calm right down. [laughter]

BR: Oh, I'm getting too excited.

KC: You're getting too excited. And let's introduce...

BR: Nick.

NICK POPE (NP): Okay, I'm just plain Nick Pope -- no doctor, nothing like that. My background is government, British government. I worked for the Ministry of Defence for 21 years. I did about seven or eight different jobs in that period and the one which has lead to me sitting at this table is a job that I did from 1991 through to 1994, when I was responsible for researching and investigating the UFO phenomenon for the British government.

The brief was to look at the two or three hundred UFO sightings we had each year to see whether they were already *defense-significant*, which rather cleverly, in government terms, is something that we didn't actually ever define. Perhaps that's a point for later.

Anyway, my views on this were that most of these things, of course, turned out to be misidentifications. There were plenty of cases where these things were seen by pilots and police officers, where they were tracked on radar, performing speeds and maneuvers which seemed to go significantly ahead of anything in our own inventory, including prototype aircraft and drones.

So my position on the UFO phenomenon is that, I think, whatever it is – and I'm not one of these people that have a neat answer -- I'm sure, perhaps, there aren't any neat answers in this business. I think there are probably lots of different things going on, lots of overlap.

But my position is, whatever the nature of this phenomenon, or phenomena, I believe it raises some interesting and important defense, national security and their safety issues. Other issues too, which others better qualified than me here are going to discuss on the societal implications, the sociological implications. That's probably less my field.

What I'm here to really talk about at this conference is something which has been going for about a year and a half now – the British government's ongoing program to declassify and release, to the National Archives, the entire archive of British UFO files going back to the '50s and coming into the present day. So it's interesting times in the UK and it's been a story all around the world.

I left the Ministry of Defence in 2006. I now work as a freelance journalist, a media commentator, not just on the unexplained, but on conspiracy theories, some political and some defense issues. That's me.

BR: Very good. I like it.

BD: May I ask a question, please?

NP: Sure.

BD: The material that has been released so far – and I assume you've checked it, looked at it, read it – is there anything in there of any real substance? [Kerry laughs]

NP: Yes, there is. I think what there isn't... Let me answer that in reverse. There *isn't* – and I don't mean this in a disparaging way, but it's a neat sound bite that I have used before – there isn't a *spaceship-in-a-hanger* smoking gun.

That said, there are plenty of those sorts of cases that I was mentioning where things have been tracked on radar performing some quite remarkable speeds, plenty of police cases, plenty of military cases.

The one that really stood out, and I discussed it on *Fox News*, amongst others, was the case of Milton Torres, the fighter pilot who, in 1957, whilst a United States Air Force pilot posted over to the UK, was ordered to shoot down a UFO over the mainland UK. So that's a case that I'm going to be discussing in some detail at this conference.

PH: I want to add that I interviewed, as a journalist, Milton Torres. This goes to show that, yes, he was ordered to shoot down a UFO in 1957, so there *are* shoot-down orders.

But what I saw on a human level, and this is part of Exopolitics also, is that he was very emotional about telling the story. He told it at the X-Conference with Nick at Stephen Bassett's X-Conference in April.

But as a journalist, when I interviewed him, he was very emotional, because his father had died two years ago, and he had kept this secret for so long. He began to cry and was very emotional about it.

These people keep these secrets so long, until the Ministry of Defence released these files. It's a huge burden, and there are so many of them. I think more countries should release their files.

BR: And it's happening.

KC: Absolutely.

BR: It's happening.

KC: Well, Disclosure... That's what it's all about.

BD: Did the Ministry of Defence ever, ever take a position on Peter Horsley's memoir, where he said that he spent an afternoon having tea with an extraterrestrial? And Peter Horsley was a retired Air Marshall.

NP: Indeed. The Ministry of Defence, as far as I can tell, made some efforts to, shall we say, brief against him. In other words, a quote ended up in the media which was – and I'm doing this from memory -- but, it was something like: *How unfortunate that a man, when he was posted to Strike Command at that level and had his finger on the nuclear button, has been seeing aliens. So, behind the scenes, they were disparaging him.*

BD: Isn't that sad.

NP: It *is* sad and it's disrespectful.

KC: It *is* disrespectful.

NP: Of course, I mean, the other important thing is, of course, that person, as is often the case – well, always the case in this situation – didn't give their name. They were just listed as a *defense source*.

BD: Uh-huh.

NP: *A senior defense source told us...*

BD: Peter Horsley was one of your top people; he was an Air Marshall. I would have thought they would have paid attention and given some respect to his opinion.

NP: No, they just... they deployed the usual line, which is: *Let's put in a slightly disparaging comment, but see if we can get the phrase "little green men" somewhere in there and let's hope everyone just moves on.*

BD: Thank you. I'm glad they got that on film.

BR: Okay. Thank you, Bob. Rob...

ROBERT FLEISCHER (RF): Yes!

BR: ...you're waiting very patiently.

KC: Say your whole name and your background.

RF: All right. My name's Robert Fleischer. I'm the founder and coordinator of Exopolitics Germany and it's a great privilege to be sitting here at this table with these brilliant minds.

I've studied a lot and read a lot of things you've said and, I have to say, I'm just so curious how this is all going to unfold. I'm going to make it short, as I don't even have the smallest part of the background that you all have.

I came in touch with this field when I was 16 years old when I first met the author, Erich von Däniken, who wrote *Chariots of the Gods* in 1968 or something, and became friends and whatever. He was in my region and we met, and we had discussions and conversations.

We spent hours sitting on the lawn talking about God and the world and the extraterrestrials. It was so exciting for me at the age of 16.

So, you know, it kind of made me curious about what else was there? And wouldn't it be important for us all to know about it? And why isn't that on the media?

So I started a journalist career. At a very early age, I started working for a local newspaper. Then I was a presenter on a local television show. So I kept working in this field and did my university degree at the same time.

Then, like five years ago, I think, I stopped working for television, because I finally understood that the reason why these important things which we are discussing here – which is the alien presence and free energy and consciousness.

Why that is not in the mass media is not because people there -- they never think about doing that on the media – no; it's just because they don't have the chance to do that, because television is simply not the right medium to do that. People don't want that on television, because television has a certain control in our society, a certain control function.

So, I stopped working for television when I came across a kind of free energy device, made a TV report about it, and they wouldn't let me report on it; they never actually broadcast that.

Then a couple of years later, I also came across the Disclosure Project Press Conference on the Internet and that kind of triggered my curiosity again in this field because I thought: *How the...* [laughs]

It's impossible that, in the mass media, you always hear about weather balloons or whatever, and then there's these highly-credible military witnesses and insiders -- all of you just sitting here at this table -- how is it possible that I've never heard about that?



So I thought about *what could I do?* So I founded Exopolitics Germany, which is, as Stephen said, an umbrella for all kinds of activities in this field, which are necessary to bring this issue forward. It's such a huge field; it's physical evidence, cover-up [and] Disclosure.

But, what I'm interested in -- and that's my personal interest in this -- is the fact that these craft appear and disappear, shows that our reality is obviously much greater than what we ever thought it is. It's not just three dimensions, plus linear time. No, it's much more than that. And I wanted to find out: *What is it?*

And, if these extraterrestrial visitors master these dimensions -- these superior dimensions, or supplementary dimensions, or however you want to call them -- what does that mean for their states of consciousness? How are they thinking? What kind of consciousness level must they have to be able to adopt to -- to master -- these dimensions? And what will it mean for us to understand how they think?

Or, to put it in another way, what would be the next level of evolution in human consciousness? Because we might assume that we would also come across these other dimensions sooner or later. So, that is what I'm actually interested in most.

But what really interests me the most is the consciousness thing and I'm strongly convinced that everything is actually, in the end, a question of consciousness. [to Brian] Yes, please.

B'OL: Yeah, I was just going to say that: Yes, the more recent experiments that are being done among humans is the incredible power of *positive intention*; that people coming together in groups with an intention can actually create that reality.

It's interesting that you have these two parallel tracks going on. One is science, very good science, being done here on the Earth by university scientists and, at the same time, you have the ET-UFO-Disclosure issue, and the two are very intertwined, because the ET/UFO phenomenon just points so clearly towards this.

We need to know this and we need all the help we can get from our off-planet/on-planet people that are going against the grain of Black Ops, and going against the grain of these horrible agendas, that we can actually heal the planet; we can have a sustainable future.

So, from my own point of view, I'm just very grateful to be here among you and to be able to interact in this way.

BR: That's wonderful, Brian. Thank you so much. Let me have a go here, [to Kerry] then I'll let you have the last word.

KC: Absolutely.

BR: For me, having this kind of conference sometimes feels like a group of fishermen on a little island in the Pacific Ocean who are sitting around a campfire trying to figure out whether there's anyone else in the world. And what are these funny, shiny things that they see up in the air? Do you believe that I saw this thing up in the sky? It's like: *What is that thing? Is that real, or what?*

Our level of sophistication in asking these questions may not come close to the level of sophistication that's needed in order to get the answers. This is a bridge over, I think, into the world of Exopolitics.

Because, while those islanders around this campfire in the South Pacific might be arguing with each other about whether they're all alone in the world and what are these metal things that some people say that they see in the sky, what we're really interested in is -- assuming that there *are* other people in the world and assuming that they *do* fly around in these metal things in the sky -- what are their agendas?

What are *they* doing with the world? What are they doing with *our* world? What is it that *they* might be doing that we might need to know about on our little island? What might be their agendas for *us*? What's it all about, and who are we, and how did we get here in the first place?

And if we look at the political implications of that, the questions are *so* enormous and *so* far beyond the questions that one might get in -- I don't know -- in a media article about: *Is it possible that aliens could really exist?*

It's like, come on! This is a given! But who are they? Where are they from? When are they from? What are they doing? What's our place in the universe and what do we need to know in order to understand these things?

What do our own governors and politicians and military intelligence specialists know that we are not being told? And *why* are we not being told?

I believe that the Exopolitics movement is trying to speak to those issues and this is one of the directions in which, I think, that this whole conversation would like to go.

Before I introduce my colleague, Kerry Cassidy, I want to say that several people here have talked about Steven Greer's Disclosure Project Press Conference and I remember exactly where I was when that happened.

I was driving down a Scottish road at about two o'clock in the morning and this was coming over on live BBC Radio. I was so astonished that I stopped my car to listen to it, because I didn't know whether this was a joke. Then I realized this was real, this was being streamed live. And I thought: *This is amazing! This is now going to turn the world on its head. This is extraordinary what Steven Greer is doing.*

I wish he was here right now because I want to acknowledge him for that. That was an incredible thing.

Then many of us waited for what was next, what was going to happen after this. Where was the Disclosure Project going to go next after this incredible public relations feat which they created?

One of the things that happened while we were waiting is that Kerry Cassidy picked up a consumer-grade camcorder that is about as big as this wine glass, from what I can remember, and started going to UFO conferences to talk to them, because somebody had to do that job.

It doesn't matter whether you've got money, it doesn't matter whether you've got high technology, what you need is the *intention* to start talking to people, because this is a process that is, ultimately, all about communication and that's all.

[to Kerry] And you're a master communicator. So I now want to introduce you with that. Tell us about Project Camelot.

KC: [laughs] Well, okay, thank you, Bill. That was a lovely introduction. Obviously, we're Project Camelot. We've been asked to come and host this panel discussion. We're actually going to sit back and just moderate. In many ways, we're not going to be speaking.

But just to introduce us as the moderators, we formed Project Camelot because we met at Laughlin and, actually, Bill was one of the people I interviewed. He was one of my first interviewees, if you will.

When I interviewed him on the subject of Serpo, I was very impressed by his balance, by his command of the language, by his sort of good will towards what I knew were probing questions that I have, sort of a...

BR: That's a euphemism! [laughs]

KC: ...sort of a talent with handling. He really rolled with it very nicely; he handled it well. And yet he was very, very straightforward.

He wasn't taking sides, other than to say that the Serpo story was something that he felt was important enough [that] it needed to be discussed, it needed to be understood and it needed to be talked about; it needed to be explored and investigated, and he was there to encourage that process.

I think that, in many ways, what happened when we formed Project Camelot is that we really wanted to extend what was the initial impulse of what -- I guess you could call the Disclosure Project initially -- which was to go out, to put a camera in front of somebody's face, to ask them questions, to let the audience decide. And that's really been our mission from that day forward.

We decided this in Tintagel. We really connected there. We connected with the past of King Arthur and the Roundtable and the idea of, not a hierarchy, but a sort of a utopia in which all people were equal and all opinions would be listened to.

That's really what we wanted to do with Project Camelot. We wanted to allow the camera and the power of the Internet to connect these people.

It's been an incredible journey. We've gone all over the world. We've interviewed some of the

most charming, brilliant minds, I have to say, that are here on planet Earth at this time. We've been fortunate in that way.

Some of those people are sitting around the table today with us. Some are in the audience.

So it's been very exciting. It's been a lot of work, hard work. We've gotten tons of support from the audiences around the world. We want to thank all of them, at the moment, for that.

BR: This is a bridge into our most recent whistleblower interview. It has not been published; we're still waiting for the okay to do that. This is with Dr. Pete Peterson.

We interviewed him; it is nearly four weeks ago now, and he was a man who had some extraordinary things to say. This is now our intro into one of the main topics of this conference.

KC: Yeah, absolutely. What we're covering here is really Disclosure. And I want to say that, in many ways, what it's really all about is *truth*. That's what we're interested in seeking with Project Camelot, but it's also what this conference is about.

We're really trying to get to the bottom of: What is truth? What does it mean to be human? What does it mean to be a member of a Galactic Community that involves peoples and extraterrestrials, interdimensionals, all kinds of beings, all kinds of places, all kinds of levels [and] all kinds of dimensions?

And to take that holistic view, and the fact that we are multidimensional beings, simultaneously here and many other places. We are time travelers, all of us. We are ETs, all of us. And those are extensions of the concept.

So to get back to the discussion...

BR: What did Pete Peterson say?

KC: One of the things that our recent whistleblower was talking about was the fact that there... Okay, well, you're supposed to talk about this topic.

BR: I'm supposed to talk about this topic? Okay.

What Pete Peterson told us, on camera, is that he had heard from somebody who had already booked the time with the networks, that there is to be a planned Disclosure towards the end of this year by President Obama, who will confirm the reality of contact with four or five or six -- we don't quite know the number -- friendly ET races.

That was what he was prepared to say on record. Now, this is the kind of thing that Stephen Bassett has been waiting for, for the last 13 years, or longer...

SB: It seems like 30 years, actually, Bill.

BR: ...and what you've been saying on record, that Obama is going to be the *Disclosure President*.

Now, maybe none of us should hold our breaths, because it's quite a long way to hold our breath until the end of the year. And Peterson was also anxious to say that, just because it's planned, it doesn't mean it's going to happen. But we believe him when he tells us that, at the moment, this is being slated.

There are all kinds of reasons why this might be true. Because, as Stephen has pointed out, in his many, many on-record comments about all of this, America is under quite some pressure to disclose, because how embarrassing would it be for them if some other little tin-pot country like... Great Britain! [comments, laughter] Or France!

[laughter, comments, everyone talking at once]

KC: Okay, before we get into name-calling, I think we should start off, on the question of Disclosure, knowing what our recent whistleblower was talking about.

Could you talk about how this affects your view -- and we want to hear from everybody -- on whether you think this is possibly going to happen, whether you think it's not going to happen and why; and also what are the implications if it does?

BD: May I?

BR: What are the implications for Exopolitics if it does? And how does Exopolitics respond,

etcetera?

BD: It's been my observation that, when you get 25 people into a room, you have 25 separate visions of what disclosure really means.

KC: Mm-hmm.

BD: And my point is this: I think Disclosure is underway and has been underway for quite a while.

I do not believe that you're going to have Obama getting on national television and saying: *Gee whiz, guys, we've got some news for you.* [Kerry laughs] *We're not alone. We've been visited by guys from other star systems and other planets. Whoopee!*

And everybody gets excited, you know. They all run to the door to get it on the evening news.

That's not the way it's going to happen. Now, that's my view, and I'll be the first to admit that it could happen that way, although I doubt it. [Kerry laughs]

But you know, you cannot come out on TV and say: *Oh, lookee! We've known this for years. We've kept it from you because we didn't think you could deal with it, but now we're going to level with you and tell you the truth. Isn't that nice?*

BR: Okay.

BD: It's like opening *Pandora's Box*.

BR: This is where we start to get some really interesting reactions from people. Already people are leaning forward in their seats because they want to...

BD: You're not going to get a Disclosure like that. Recognize that it *is* underway. It's taking place. It's been underway for some time and it's the form of *subliminal education*.

When I made a presentation some time back at Laughlin, Nevada, I spoke about *The Three Stevens and the Apocalypse* and this one... [indicating Stephen Bassett]

SB: I was the Apocalypse. [laughter]

BD: ...this was one of the *Three Stevens* that I spoke about – Steven Greer, Stephen Bassett, and Steven Spielberg.

BR: Mm-hm.

BD: And I also gave the definition of the Greek word *apocalypse*, which is not at all what most fundamentalists think it is, that of the Four Horsemen riding... what is it?

AW: Armageddon.

BD: War, famine, plague, pestilence, and all that. The Greek word simply means *the disclosure, the unveiling, and the revealing*. Really. That's been underway now for over 20 years, and Steven Spielberg has played a major role in that...

KC: Absolutely.

BD: ...with his movies, and that TV special he did was dynamite, really. Was it *Taken*?

KC/PH: *Taken*.

BD: Yeah.

BR: *Taken*.

BD: That was so filled with real truth...

KC: Yes. Yeah, absolutely.

BD: ...that I would like for them to run it again, and maybe they will. But you're in the midst of Disclosure, my friends, and it's happening right around you every day.

BR: I want to ask... Michael Salla wants to jump in.

KC: I don't think anyone would disagree with that.

BR: Michael wants to jump.

KC: I think we'd all agree; *we* are part of *Disclosure*.

BR: Michael wants to jump in.

KC: But Stephen was supposed to have a chance.

SB: No, no, no. Michael can jump in any time he wants. I always defer to Michael -- that way I keep getting asked back to Hawaii after his conferences. [laughter]

KC: I'm deferring to Michael!

MS: I have an idea as to what might be released at the end of this year. One of the things to keep in mind is: *What does the government know about this?*

One of the things that I think is very important is that, in 1969, there was [a] memorandum released by a Brigadier General called the Bolender Memo, and that basically said that UFO reports are separated in terms of their national security implications. Those that weren't very significant went into the *Blue Book* system, and we know that Blue Book ended in 1969.

Those that had significance in terms of national security went into the CIRVIS system, which is *The Communications Instructions for Reporting Vital Intelligence Sightings*, and that went into a separate body for study and analysis, because it had national security implications.

So you have two bodies of information concerning UFOs – those that are kind of like just the sightings that are recorded by radar that became part of the Blue Book system and were eventually released in '69 or up to '69; and those that concerned more significant events, such as crashes of UFO disks, that went into the CIRVIS system.

So, eventually, where did all of these reports end up?

I believe that the CIRVIS reports went into the archives held by various corporations that have been studying these files for reverse engineering applications, for then contracting out to the military services that might want to use some of this technology. And the other stuff that didn't have national security implications just became available through the NGO [Non-Governmental Organizations].

So what is going to be released at the end of this year? I think the key is that what you mention by Dr. Pete Peterson was, you said *up to six races*.

Well, I have a kind of memory about who's talked about *up to six races*. In 1977, there was a Congressional Research Study done that was exploring the question of UFOs: *What's out there?*

It was President Carter who asked for this to be conducted, because he asked the CIA to be briefed on UFOs and he was told: *No* – Bush said no to him. So he couldn't get his hands into what was actually in the archives. He couldn't find out, but he asked the Congressional Research Study to go out and do a study on this.

This was done by Marcia Smith, who was a senior congressional science investigator. She went out and she came up with a report that between two to six extraterrestrial civilizations have been visiting the Earth.

That report was not compartmentalized. That was something that Danny Sheehan says he has a copy of that. He never released it, but he has a copy of that.

So I think, if Peterson is correct that towards the end of this year, we're going to have a Disclosure, I think what that could be would be something like Obama ordering the Congressional Research Service to make this document publicly available. So you'll have a Congressional Research Study becoming available saying that up to six extraterrestrial races are visiting us.

BR: Is it possible that the ETs could force our hands by making appearances that would require that there be a governmental response?

SB: It's possible but it would not be a good thing.

BR: It wouldn't be a good thing?

SB: No.

BR: Why is that?

SB: There're basically two kinds... By the way, there're a number of things I'd like to comment on at some point.

BR: Yeah.

KC: Well, no. Yeah, I think he...

SB: There're two basic kinds of Disclosure, okay? One is *self-disclosure*. That's when the nations of the world go to their people – right? – the ones that they have this social contract with to govern, and say: *Look, this is what we know; we've got these beings here; we know this about them; don't be alarmed, be alarmed, whatever and we're going to try to inform you about this.*

And then over a period of time, weeks, months, years, the public will, I'm sure, be quite interested in learning everything they can. That honors the social contract. That's the way governments are supposed to operate; that will instill a certain... at least assure that the contract is honored. That's one kind.

The other kind is *force majeure* Disclosure. That's where the governments keep going: *I don't know anything; nothing here; nothing going on; nothing to see; no problem* –and, all of a sudden, big ships start appearing over the cities of the world.

Well, yeah, now the government has to come forward and say: *Well guess what? Yeah, they're here and we didn't tell you.* Everybody, of course, is scared to death.

So, in that version, the social contracts of all the First World nations – Third World nations have other things to worry about – is broken. *You didn't tell us? You lied? Here they are. What are we going to do?*

And so, that is a much more traumatic, much more disruptive process that will lead to a lot more problems. And it's non-trivial; the difference is quite profound.

Now, the ETs can do it anytime they want, but I can't worry about that. They're going to do what they're going to do. They don't tell me what they're up to. All I can do is try to help us get this self-disclosure process out of the way.

BD: I'd like to ask a question, if I may, and I want to ask it of Alfred. Do you believe that this extraterrestrial presence should be a national security issue?

AW: Well I believe yes and no. The yes part is, as researchers, we have come across the fact that, *de facto*, it is. The extraterrestrial presence is... has been handled since at least the '50s on a national security level.

For example, I worked with a researcher, Andrew Basiago, who in the company of his father, who was a CIA official, in 1981, at an Air Force base – at *Wright Air Force Base in New Jersey* [Ed note:googling "Wright AFB" brings up Wright-Patterson AFB in Ohio; googling New Jersey AFBs brings up McGuire AFB in Wrightstown, NJ] – had a meeting with three Martian astronauts from the intelligent civilization that lives under the surface of Mars.

Now, they were there on a visit, on a coordinating visit, with the Central Intelligence Agency, which means that, if this version is true, since at least 1971 – just that data-point alone – the US Central Intelligence Agency, and perhaps other intelligence agencies, have some sort of a strategic alliance within our Solar System.

Now, that may be a legitimate matter of national security. But now we have a whistleblower coming forth who is alleging this and who has a lot of data to back it up. So that may be a Disclosure, the issue of the U.S. presence on Mars.

C I mean, Mr. Basiago in 1981 went to Mars via teleportation from a CIA teleportation launch room in El Segundo, California, in the company of then-CIA agent Courtney Hunt. These are all actual names.

And Virginia Olds, a lifetime CIA employee, called him in January of '09 to confirm that the CIA knows that there is an intelligent civilization under the surface of Mars. She said a million. I have no idea whether that is effective or not.

So the ship is leaking. And whatever the matter of national security was in 1971 that caused

these astronauts to come and have their liaison meeting, those matters should become public.

And, if there is an Obama announcement... I mean, I'm just saying this from the particular view that our organization is pressing Obama to disclose the U.S. presence on Mars, and to disclose the strategic alliance that it has with the intelligent civilization on Mars.

BD: Now, do you believe the American public, just as an example, and the public in the world at large, can deal with this information?

AW: Yes. And, you know, we have objective data for that. If we go to the 2002 Roper Report, which is available on the Internet, there was a specific question that asked in that way. And the answer came back that 85 percent of the American public – and, by extension, I would imagine most adult publics – are congruent in terms of their religion, their personal values.

The question was: *If the government announced that there was an extraterrestrial presence, how would this impact you?*

And the answer was 85 percent: *It's congruent with my religion, with my personal values, and it would not ruffle any of those very much.*

So we say: *The time is now, the place is Mars.*

Now, will the U.S. do it? They may not, because in certain ways, according to certain military plans or intelligence plans, it's possible the U.S. base on Mars, or bases on Mars, may be considered one of the most strategic and important as a gateway to occupying the Solar System via teleportation. BR: I'd just like to say, Alfred, for the benefit of the cameras here and for anyone here who doesn't know this story, that Henry Deacon, who is here at this conference, who was here in this room – I can't see him right now -- is he there?

SB: No. He stepped out.

BR: He stepped out. He's just coming in. Here he is.

KC: Okay, well... go ahead, yes.

BR: But we can talk about his testimony because we've already got it on the Camelot site and he supports this fully, as best as I'm aware. The Mars base has got a population, a peak population of 670,000. They travel there...

KC: Give or take...

BR: Give or take a few. You know, it depends on what you call *human* and what you don't. They look like us pretty much. People can commute from this planet.

KC: They're known as the Anunnaki. That's the name they go by.

BR: Some of them are. Some of them are the Anunnaki of old, the same ones Sitchin talked about, who are now in a couple of factions. But there is a wide range of races, basically, who all look like humans, just as we do.

And the travel is through... ah ... essentially, it's teleportation. And this is one of the biggest secrets. They've got this huge base there. It's a multi-functional base.

KC: Okay. But we're allowing the panel to talk here.

BR: Exactly, but this is important information to put on camera.

KC: Yes. It is.

BR: And we just wanted to support you and we also want to support Andrew Basiago who we also know. We're saying the guy's not crazy. We've got somebody here in this room who can support this story. He doesn't want to go on camera, but he can speak to the microphone, if he wants to.

KC: Okay. What about some of the other panelists here? What is your point of view of Disclosure?

PH: First of all, what you're saying is probable, Alfred. I will say this now, but I will also disagree as far as going to the public with Grade Twelve, when we haven't done Grade One, Two, Three, Four, and Five of Disclosure yet, because for me, as a teacher...

And this is teaching the public, because they may be able to assimilate this information, but it's hard to digest. It changed my whole entire life.

When I met Hynek, [Ed note: Dr. Josef Allen Hynek] it took a long time for me to understand that contact was real. I didn't want to believe that. I was a nuts and bolts UFOlogist. I wanted to look at the craft, the sightings. I did not want to go to the contact part. That was very hard for me, because it changed reality.

So I disagree with saying that the general public could swallow this very easily.

But I will support Alfred in this way: I did do an interview with Pierre Giorgio Agina, who was Marconi's partner, and while I was doing that, he mentioned that Marconi, with the Vatican, had had Martian contact in 1939.

And I knew this material. I did not put it out there, because the implications of the Vatican with Marconi and extraterrestrials are very heavy, but I will talk about it today.

I'm not sure that the general public can go there, if they haven't understood the nature of Roswell; the nature of the cover-up; the nature of visitation due to, maybe, our use of nuclear and the fact that *all aliens are not Grays*.

These are things that we need to get straightened away, because I can tell you from having lived in Europe, where aliens look like us -- the Pleadians, Billy Meier -- and that there are people in the universe who look like us.

And then I go and move to America, and on every single magnet, t-shirt, magazine and everything, I see only the stamp of the Gray being. That is where they want us to remain in America, because, if you believe that these extraterrestrials could be -- and the Martians are some of them that look like us, you know, if you believe that -- then it becomes very dangerous.

As Colonel Corso said: *We weren't afraid of the Extraterrestrial Biological Entities* -- he said at the Pentagon -- *because they were Extraterrestrial Biological Entities; we were deathly afraid of their creators, or what could be walking in the halls of the Pentagon*.

So this is very complex. I'm not sure the general public, the whole world and everybody needs to have the whole, entire thing in one big swallow, because it's very difficult to digest.

BR: Yeah. Thank you, Paola. I want to bring in Brian here, because I have a sneaking idea that I know what he's going to say, but I'll ask him this question, which is: Have we got time to be precious about this Disclosure issue? Do we have time on planet Earth, do you believe?

B'OL: Well, we're obviously at a huge crossroads here and I'm aware of the psychological implications of the grieving process that's going on right now, that we're grieving an old paradigm and transforming to a new. Elizabeth Kubler-Ross, in some of her work, talks about the phases of grief.

We've been in a state of mass denial, denial always being the first stage; the second stage is usually anger.

I had this bumper sticker for a while that said: *The truth will set you free, but first -- it'll piss you off!*

And I think that we're poised on that. People are getting very angry and outraged by these cover-ups, so there has to be a process that, maybe, we can help engineer -- and I know, Steve, you've been involved in this -- of creating some sort of negotiating where the transition isn't too painful for any particular group.

And that we can get out of this swamp you referred to, Bill, the swamp of deceit and lies and spin. We're, I think, in a situation now where, maybe, we can discuss with our *adversaries*. Obviously, the darkest agendas we hear about -- like eugenics and so forth -- are horrible and we don't have to do that.

I'd like to be able to discuss that, ultimately, with the rest of the world, or even with those individuals who are in charge, to be able to say: *Well, maybe we can do something else? Maybe we can have a world of free energy? Maybe we can support the current population? Maybe we can make the contact experience a positive thing for all of us?*

And that is the direction I'd like to see us go in. Rather than coming out fear, come out of a sense of diplomacy and understanding all around.



BR: That's a great bridge into the potential role of Exopolitics. I wonder who wants to pick up that ball and run with it.

RF: Can I say something?

BR: Rob.

RF: Now, you are all great researchers sitting on this table and it's doubtlessly so interesting listening to all of the insider stories and all of the testimony; but, what you just said actually means that we need to find a way to -- and Paola said that, too -- we need to find a way to prepare the understanding of the whole thing.

We need to make people aware that we are not looking at something like at a bottle, like I'm looking at the bottle and, you know, after five minutes, I will have looked at it from any perspective possible and I will have understood it entirely. No, it's the opposite.

We are on this tiny little planet. We're looking outwards. That means that *anything's* possible in the universe, so I think that's the paradigm thing that we have to prepare, but how do we do that?

I think the strategy is probably not in telling everything we know and giving out the information like that. I think it's much more basic than that. It's like... Well, yeah, let's [unclear] evidence, first of all.

And then I think it's also about showing relationship between the UFO cover-up and certain other things that are going on in the world. I think that's also important.

When we talk about the understanding of the greater reality that these visitors live in, it's also important to know that we wait for the paradigm shift, but there are also people in quantum physics who've been waiting for paradigm shifts for almost one hundred years now, [laughs] you know?

So, maybe, the third thing is cooperation with certain other groups; anyway, I think the nuts-and-bolts thing is the most important.

B'OL: And I just want to return for a moment to the question of *how much time do we have?*

I happen to feel that the ecological condition of the Earth – just giving my research – is so grave that we're going to have to create the foundation of cooperation in the very near future. To be able to come up with new paradigms of Disclosure that will allow us to develop the new paradigm; to develop the energy sources we need to tide us over; to be able to directly confront alternative agendas and be open about it, at least with those who are in control now.

And, in terms of the release of the information, that it would be based more on just reasonable – ah, how should I say it? – a reasonable, but chaotic transition period.

BR: [laughs] That's great!

KC: Well, I sort of want to say that, in answer to what Bob Dean is talking about, Disclosure is happening. It has been happening. We're part of it – Camelot; Paola, one of the early pioneers in this area; Alfred Webre, Exopolitics; you know, Michael Salla, etc. – it's already been done, you know, if you will, the *work* has been done.

This is the funny thing about all this. Obama's announcement is actually coming at the end – I'm just positing here for your consideration, from my point of view – the end of the process rather than the beginning.

It's already out there. Spielberg did it for you. We did it. It's been done. The fact is, what we're really talking about, is something coming at the tail end for the people who have not gotten clued in up to now.

Now, I'm well aware, it's the majority of the populations out there, quite possibly, but I actually have a sort of optimistic view of the level of intelligence out there, and the fact that we are all ETs anyway.

So you have to keep in mind that we came here – these bodies are temporary, okay? It's a much bigger reality, and even the most silly, dumb person out there has consciousness of having been able to go other places -- in their dreams, if nowhere else.

So the consciousness is actually out there. It's like, it's ready; it's primed. And it's been primed in part by *the controllers*, if you will, as well as us – okay? – who have picked up the ball and

run with it; and we, hopefully, have run with it farther and faster than they wanted us to.

BR: Maybe it sounds as if the ground ... perfect [overtalk], I mean, is this perfect timing now?

KC: Well, listen to what Alfred Webre's talking about, and the sun cycle 24, and actually what we're moving into, which is the period between now and 2017.

BR: The Matrix of Time Acceleration... how about that?

KC: We're talking about a consciousness change that's making all of this possible and accelerating it all very quickly, so that change is not going to happen in the same way it's happened in the past. It *can't*.

Brian O'Leary, you're right. So where do we go from here? How do we get it out there even further?

And *if* the government... the government is coming at the tail-end, because the government is not in control; Obama is not in control. We all know that. He's the front guy. Okay?

They've got technology, according to our whistleblowers, that is quite likely 10,000 years in advance of anything we are dealing with right here and now in this reality. We've been held back for a very long time on this planet.

It's time that we actually take this baton in our hands, and say: *Okay. You want to run with this, Obama? We're going to give you a run for your money.*

And, actually, that's what *this* is all about, okay? We're going to release this video. It's going out there and we're going to say: *You know what? We already know.*

We don't *need* Obama sitting on a pedestal to tell us that Disclosure is now. Disclosure is. It has been, and we are clued in.

Now, if the rest of the people out there aren't clued in, we're going to continue to do our jobs. We're going to wake up the planet. Obama is just one individual and he's only representing a very fake part of the government anyway.

So let's say *Disclosure is Us*, in a certain sense.

PH: Very briefly, can I remind you of one scene in *Close Encounters*? And this is very brief.

All the witnesses that had seen the lights came to a press conference, something like this, and they all said: *I saw this light*, and everybody in the media believed them. They were, you know, they were talking about their experiences.

Then, out of the blue, this man stands up in the back and says: *And yeah, I saw Bigfoot the other day*. Right back – *I saw Bigfoot* – and Bigfoot exists, so let's not even go there. I closed down the whole entire truth-saying, because Spielberg was trying to say that people cannot digest too much, too fast.

BD: Speaking of digestion, do you believe – and I'll throw this out to the panel – do any of you believe that the American public, *en masse*, can deal with the reality of having been *lied to* and *cheated* for the last 65 years, if they were to learn that we have a separate space program?

KC: Didn't you learn it? Didn't you deal with it?

BD: Yeah, and my whole damn paradigm collapsed around me! That's why I'm sitting here angry today!

You know, I have guns in my home [Kerry laughs] and I'm almost to the point of grabbing my American flag, putting on my old uniform, and going into the damn streets and causing a riot, because of the fact that I have learned how many lies have been told for the last 65 years.

Now, take Joe Blow, Joe Six-pack out there in Kansas, and he finds out that he's been conned for so damn long...

PH: [laughing] It's true.

BD: ...by a bunch of stinking politicians in Washington. And he's going to find out that he's got a separate space program? That NASA is a joke? And we are on Mars and we can step through a portal in S4 and end up in Pine Gap?

These are realities and facts, and it took me a hell of a long time to digest this and not lose it, you know? Because I'm a typical Joe Blow.

I was trained to be an infantryman. I've been a grunt. I've been in two wars. I was *this close* to going out there and beating the hell out of some of those government authorities.

Do you think that the average American is going to be able to handle it?

KC: They just found out that the government has been lying to them and stealing their money right, left, and center. They're still not in the streets.

BD: They are suspicious and they have feelings that maybe they've been conned. But the con is so *big*...

KC: Absolutely.

PH: Yes.

BD: ...that when the average guy out there in the street in Kansas City or Sacramento or wherever, when this really hits him, the impact is going to be devastating.

BR: There's a possibility here that they might ameliorate their own problem of Disclosure by giving us some other problems, as well to take our minds off this. This is beyond the scope of this conference, but if they crash the dollar...

KC: No, actually, let's look at this. Why now? Think about this. Let's have some... step up to the plate here, Michael. What do you think? Why now?

MS: I think that a big factor that's driving this is that there is a major dispute between the controllers.

On the one hand, you've got those that are tied up with the corporations -- Majestic, who have trans-nationalized this whole ET phenomenon; reverse-engineering going to corporations, and enormous profits beings made, deals being made, ETs being involved in these deals.

And, on the other hand, you have a kind of more constitutional group -- which is lead by the U.S. Navy -- that has traditionally been more tidy with representing the national interests.

The Navy, as I understand it, is very upset with the way the whole management system has been trans-nationalized, has been taken out of the hands of constitutional government in the U.S., and that the Navy is fighting back.

And I think it's very important to point out the role of the Navy in the Obama administration. His Director of National Intelligence, Dennis Blair, is an ex-four-star Admiral. His National Security Advisor, Jim Jones, is a four-star Marine and the Marines are traditionally Navy; they're a kind of sub-service of the Navy.

And now you've had recently the appointment of a NASA administrator who is an ex-Marine, a General, again, in the Marines.

What you have here is, in the background, a process by which these... and all of these people that I've named have been briefed fully on the UFO issue. They know about the black projects. And I think they are the ones that are preparing the groundwork for this to be moved forward.

I know that the Navy has been also involved in other things, such as the 2008 disclosure of secret meetings at the UN concerning UFOs; and, that a senior Navy UFO working group was involved in that; and, I've recently been out to confirm that two of the Vice-Admirals, three-star Admirals, that were involved in that were -- there was a blowback for that -- and they were basically forced into retirement; and, I can't name them at this point.

But there's been a struggle. The Navy took the initiative, disclosing these UN meetings; there was blowback; two three-star Admirals were sacked, but that was kind of 16 months ago, so there's been time for the Navy to reorganize.

Now you've got these Navy people surrounding Obama, so I think we are going to see this moving forward and the Navy's going to be playing a big role in that.

BD: Do all of you remember the movie called *Seven Days in May*?

MS: Sure.

BD: And you know what the gist of it was, that a bunch of admirals and generals were going to take over the country?

KC: Mm-hm.

BD: Let me tell you something – and I have this from first-hand sources – there is a whole passel of Generals and Admirals that are so pissed off about this cover-up and the lies that are being told that they're *this close* to...

I don't know whether they want to take over the country, but I think they damn well want the truth to come out.

KC: Yeah. I think we can see some of the resignations that have been happening as a result of that.

BD: These guys are honorable men. They give their lives to their country and they're not going to put up with this crap any more.

KC: I mean, we're talking about the United States and this is a worldwide situation. What's interesting is that Henry Deacon is in the audience and he is nodding yes to what you've just said.

And in fact, Camelot is alive and operational – and we have been told this by a number of people on the inside – because, we are protected by just such people, which we call *White Hats*. It's just a terminology.

BD: The reason I'm alive is because of some of those people.

KC: There you go.

[Click here](#) **for the video interview**

*\*\*Transcript provided by the hard-working volunteer members of the Divine Cosmos/ Project Camelot Transcription Team. All the transcripts that you find on both sites have been provided by the Transcription Team for the last several years. We are like ants: we may be hidden, but we create clean transcripts for your enjoyment and pondering.\*\**

---

**Support Project Camelot - make a donation:**

[Make A Donation](#)

*Donations are not tax deductible for U.S. citizens.*

*Thank you for your help.  
Your generosity enables us to continue our work.*

Bill Ryan and Kerry Cassidy

[kerry@projectcamelot.org](mailto:kerry@projectcamelot.org)

[bill@projectcamelot.org](mailto:bill@projectcamelot.org)